

# Deficient mismatch repair and *RAS* mutation in colorectal carcinoma patients: a retrospective study in Eastern China

Xiangyan Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Wenwen Ran<sup>2</sup>, Jie Wu<sup>2</sup>, Hong Li<sup>2</sup>, Huamin Liu<sup>3</sup>, Lili Wang<sup>2</sup>, Yujing Xiao<sup>2</sup>, Xiaonan Wang<sup>2</sup>, Yujin Li<sup>2</sup>, Xiaoming Xing<sup>Corresp. 2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Pathology, Qingdao University Basic Medicine College, Department of Pathology, Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University,, Qingdao, China

<sup>2</sup> Department of Pathology, Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University, Qingdao, China

<sup>3</sup> Department of Oncology, Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University, Qingdao, China

Corresponding Author: Xiaoming Xing  
Email address: edithxing@126.com

**Objectives:** To investigate the frequency and prognostic role of deficient mismatch repair (dMMR) and *RAS* mutations in Chinese patients with colorectal carcinoma.

**Methods:** Clinical and pathological information from 813 patients were reviewed and recorded and 114 of them were followed up. Expression of mismatch repair proteins was tested by immunohistochemistry. Mutation analyses for *RAS* gene were performed by real-time polymerase chain reaction. Correlations of mismatch repair status and *RAS* mutation status with clinicopathological characteristics and disease survival were determined.

**Results:** The overall percentage of dMMR was 15.43% (123/797). The proportion of dMMR was higher in patients <50 years old ( $p < 0.001$ ) and in the right colon ( $p < 0.001$ ). Deficient mismatch repair was also associated with mucinous production ( $p < 0.001$ ), poor differentiation ( $p < 0.001$ ), tumor stage ( $p < 0.05$ ), and bowel wall invasion ( $p < 0.05$ ). The overall *RAS* mutation rate was 45.88%, including 42.56% (346/813) *KRAS* mutation and 3.69% (30/813) *NRAS* mutation. *KRAS* mutation was significantly associated with mucinous production ( $p < 0.05$ ), tumor stage ( $p < 0.05$ ) and much higher in non-smokers ( $p < 0.05$ ) and patients with colorectal carcinoma family history ( $p < 0.05$ ). dMMR and *KRAS* mutation were not independent prognostic factors for colorectal carcinoma. Overall, 43.9% (54/123) dMMR tumors harbored *KRAS* mutation, however, dMMR tumors were less likely to have *NRAS* mutation.

**Conclusions:** This study confirms that the status of molecular markers, involving mismatch repair status and *RAS* mutation, reflects the specific clinicopathological characteristics of colorectal carcinoma.

1 **Deficient mismatch repair and *RAS* mutation in colorectal carcinoma patients:**  
2 **a retrospective study in Eastern China**

3

4 **Xiangyan Zhang,<sup>1,2\*</sup> Wenwen Ran,<sup>2\*</sup> Jie Wu,<sup>2</sup> Hong Li,<sup>2</sup> Huamin Liu,<sup>2</sup> Lili Wang,<sup>2</sup>**  
5 **Yujing Xiao,<sup>2</sup> Xiaonan Wang,<sup>2</sup> Yujun Li,<sup>2</sup> Xiaoming Xing<sup>2</sup>**

6 1 Department of Pathology, Qingdao University Basic Medicine College, 38 Dengzhou Road,  
7 Qingdao, 266000, China

8 2 Department of Pathology, Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University, 16 Jiangsu Road,  
9 Qingdao, 266000, China

10 3 Department of Oncology, Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University, 16 Jiangsu Road,  
11 Qingdao, 266000, China

12 \*These authors contributed equally to this work.

13 Correspondence to: Xiaoming Xing, M.D. Department of Pathology, Affiliated hospital of  
14 Qingdao University, 16 Jiangsu Road Qingdao, China, 266000

15 E-mail address: edithxing@126.com

16 **Keywords:** colorectal cancer, deficient mismatch repair (dMMR), *KRAS* mutation, *NRAS*  
17 mutation, clinicopathological characteristics, prognosis

18

19 **ABSTRACT**

20 Objectives: To investigate the frequency and prognostic role of deficient mismatch repair  
21 (dMMR) and *RAS* mutations in Chinese patients with colorectal carcinoma.

22 Methods: Clinical and pathological information from 813 patients were reviewed and recorded  
23 and 114 of them were followed up. Expression of mismatch repair proteins was tested by  
24 immunohistochemistry. Mutation analyses for *RAS* gene were performed by real-time  
25 polymerase chain reaction. Correlations of mismatch repair status and *RAS* mutation status with  
26 clinicopathological characteristics and disease survival were determined.

27 Results: The overall percentage of dMMR was 15.43% (123/797). The proportion of dMMR was

28 higher in patients <50 years old ( $p < 0.001$ ) and in the right colon ( $p < 0.001$ ). Deficient  
29 mismatch repair was also associated with mucinous production ( $p < 0.001$ ), poor differentiation  
30 ( $p < 0.001$ ), tumor stage ( $p < 0.05$ ), and bowel wall invasion ( $p < 0.05$ ). The overall *RAS*  
31 mutation rate was 45.88%, including 42.56% (346/813) *KRAS* mutation and 3.69% (30/813)  
32 *NRAS* mutation. *KRAS* mutation was significantly associated with mucinous production ( $p <$   
33  $0.05$ ), tumor stage ( $p < 0.05$ ) and much higher in non-smokers ( $p < 0.05$ ) and patients with  
34 colorectal carcinoma family history ( $p < 0.05$ ). dMMR and *KRAS* mutation were not independent  
35 prognostic factors for colorectal carcinoma. Overall, 43.9% (54/123) dMMR tumors harbored  
36 *KRAS* mutation, however, dMMR tumors were less likely to have *NRAS* mutation.

37 Conclusions: This study confirms that the status of molecular markers, involving mismatch  
38 repair status and *RAS* mutation, reflects the specific clinicopathological characteristics of  
39 colorectal carcinoma.

40

## 41 INTRODUCTION

42 Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the fourth most common cancer in China, with 331,300 new cases  
43 and 159,300 disease-related deaths in 2012(Chen et al. 2016). The morbidity has increased  
44 steadily due to the growth of aging population and the change of lifestyle in recent years,  
45 however, the exact mechanism and related predicted biomarkers are largely unknown.

46 During the past decades, microsatellite instability (MSI) and *RAS* mutation have been well  
47 studied as two prevalent genetic biomarkers involved in colorectal carcinogenesis. The mismatch  
48 repair (MMR) system, which including the proteins of MLH1, MSH2, MSH6 and PMS2, can  
49 repair incorrect base-pairing or unmatched DNA loop to keep genomic stability. MSI is caused  
50 by the deficient mismatch repair (dMMR) system, which leads to a high rate of mutations in  
51 repeat sequences and accounts for approximately 15% of all CRCs as well as virtually all Lynch  
52 syndrome (LS) (Geiersbach & Samowitz 2011; Marra & Boland 1995; Zhang et al. 2016).  
53 Tumors with high level of microsatellite instability (MSI-H) which caused by germ line  
54 mutations or epigenetic silencing of MMR genes have unique clinicopathologic characteristics

55 (Cunningham et al. 2010). CRC patients with MSI-H demonstrated favorable prognosis  
56 compared to those with low level of microsatellite instability (MSI-L) and microsatellite stability  
57 (MSS) (Ribic et al. 2003; Sinicrope et al. 2011), however, these patients could not benefit from  
58 fluoropyrimidine-based adjuvant chemotherapy (Ribic et al. 2003; Sargent et al. 2010).

59 The *RAS* gene family, the other one significant biomarker, which including *KRAS*, *NRAS*  
60 and *HRAS*, is located downstream in the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signal  
61 pathway. Mutations in the *RAS* gene, which are thought to occur early in the adenoma-carcinoma  
62 continuum, have been proved to activate the *RAS* /MAPK pathway independent of EGFR  
63 activation, leading to poor response to EGFR inhibitors (Amado et al. 2008; Punt et al. 2016).  
64 Moreover, National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) clinical practice guidelines  
65 suggested that *KRAS* gene mutation should be detected for metastatic CRC (mCRC) patients  
66 before the treatment of Cetuximab and Panitumumab (Engstrom et al. 2009).

67 The status of dMMR and *RAS* mutation had been widely studied in western countries. The  
68 frequency of dMMR CRCs ranged from 15-20% (Giraldez et al. 2010; Sinicrope et al. 2011;  
69 Sinicrope et al. 2012), *KRAS* mutation ranged from 20-50% (De Roock et al. 2010; Naguib et al.  
70 2010; Palomba et al. 2016; Rosty et al. 2013; Sasaki et al. 2016) and *NRAS* mutation was noted  
71 in less than 5% (De Roock et al. 2010; Palomba et al. 2016; Peeters et al. 2013; Russo et al.  
72 2014). However, studies in China showed a lower frequency of dMMR compared with that in  
73 western populations, and the clinicopathologic characteristics were also inconsistent (Huang et al.  
74 2010; Jin et al. 2008; Ye et al. 2015). Although several studies reported the frequency of *KRAS*  
75 mutation in Chinese CRC patients, the amount of samples was limited in most of these studies  
76 (Shen et al. 2011; Ye et al. 2015; Yunxia et al. 2010). Moreover, the information about *NRAS*  
77 mutation in Chinese CRC patients was limited. Little has been studied on the association  
78 between status of dMMR and *RAS* mutation. Therefore, in the present study, we collect the data  
79 of CRC patients in our department from 2013 to 2016 and analyze the status of dMMR and *RAS*  
80 mutation to evaluate possible associations between dMMR, *RAS* mutation and the  
81 clinicopathologic characteristics in colorectal carcinoma and also attempt to explore the

82 prognostic role of dMMR and *RAS* mutation.

### 83 **Materials and Methods**

84 Eight hundred and thirteen formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tumor specimens from colorectal  
85 carcinoma patients who underwent primary surgical resection from 2013 to 2016 in the  
86 Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University were selected for this study. Patients who had  
87 undergone preoperative radiotherapy, chemotherapy and/or EGFR-targeted therapy were not  
88 included in this study.

89 The clinical and pathologic variables were extracted from medical records and pathological  
90 reports, which include age, gender, primary locations of tumor, tumor diameter, histological  
91 characteristics, TNM stage, smoking status, drinking status and family medication history. 114  
92 patients, who were diagnosed with stage I~ III colorectal carcinoma between September 2013  
93 and May 2014, were followed up until May 2017, and the data concerning cancer recurrence and  
94 patient survival were collected.

95 Primary locations of tumors were divided into the right colon (from the cecum through the  
96 transverse colon), the left colon (from the splenic flexure through the rectosigmoid flexure) and  
97 rectum. Tumors were staged according to the criteria of the seventh edition of the American Joint  
98 Commission on Cancer (AJCC) TNM staging system. Mucinous adenocarcinoma and signet-ring  
99 cell carcinomas were recorded as mucin-producing tumors.

100 The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao  
101 University (No.20130049) and all patients had signed the informed consent.

### 102 **Immunohistochemistry for MMR proteins**

103 As previously described by Song et al. (Lin et al. 2014b), all specimens were fixed in 10%  
104 neutral buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin blocks. 3  $\mu\text{m}$ -thick tissue sections were used  
105 for immunohistochemical analysis. Immunohistochemical staining was performed using standard  
106 reagents and techniques on an Automated Staining System (BenchMark XT, Ventana Medical  
107 Systems, Inc. Arizona, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The ready-to-use  
108 antibodies were used as follows: MLH1 (No.M1, Ventana Medical Systems Inc, Arizona, USA,

109 working solution), PMS2 (No.EPR3947, Ventana Medical Systems Inc, Arizona, USA, working  
110 solution), MSH2 (No.G219-1129, Ventana Medical Systems Inc, Arizona, USA, working  
111 solution), MSH6 (No.44, Ventana Medical Systems Inc, Arizona, USA, working solution).

112 The results were assessed by two pathologists who were blinded to the clinical data. Any  
113 tumor cell with nuclear staining was recorded as positive staining. Intact expression for all these  
114 proteins was regarded as proficient MMR (pMMR). Protein expression was defined as abnormal  
115 when nuclear staining of tumor cells was absent in the presence of positive staining in normal  
116 colonic epithelium and lymphocyte. Loss of expression of any MMR protein was regarded as  
117 dMMR.

#### 118 **Analysis of *KRAS* and *NRAS* gene mutations by ARMS-PCR**

119 Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tumor sections were deparaffinized and air dried, and DNA  
120 was extracted using the Tiangen Blood and Tissue Kit (TiangenInc, Beijing, China). *KRAS*  
121 (exons 2 and 3) and *NRAS* (exons 2 and 3) were detected by amplification refractory mutation  
122 system in multiple quantitative polymerasechain reaction (ARMS-multi-qPCR) analysis with the  
123 Human *KRAS* and *NRAS* Mutation Detection kit (YuanQi Bio-Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. Shanghai,  
124 China). Codons of *RAS* were amplified as described previously (Dong et al. 2016). Briefly, 3  $\mu$ l  
125 sample DNA was amplified in a 25  $\mu$ l reaction system containing 9  $\mu$ l of Mix1 and 13  $\mu$ l of  
126 PCRMix3. Positive and negative controls for each sample were run simultaneously. The program  
127 for the PCR amplification flanking *KRAS* mutation site was as follows: 1 cycle at 42 °C for 5  
128 min; 1 cycle at 94 °C for 3 min; 40 cycles at (94 °C for 15 sec; 60 °C for 60 sec). Fluorescence  
129 signals were collected at 60 °C. The program for the PCR amplification flanking *NRAS* mutation  
130 site was as follows: 1 cycle at 42 °C for 5 min; 1 cycle at 94°C for 3 min; 40 cycles at (94 °C for  
131 45 sec; 60 °C for 80 sec). Fluorescence signals were collected at 60 °C. The mutations were  
132 identified with a specific probe labeled with Hydroxy fluorescein (FAM). Amplicons were  
133 detected using ABI7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (ThermoFisher ScientificInc, MA, US).

#### 134 **Statistical analysis**

135 Results were analyzed with SPSS 19.0 (SPSS, Inc, Chicago) software package. For comparison

136 of the frequencies among the groups, the Chi-square test and the Fisher exact test were used.  
137 Survival curves for disease free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS) were estimated using  
138 the Kaplan–Meier method. Probability (p) value < 0.05 was considered as statistical significance.

## 139 **RESULT**

### 140 **Patient characteristics**

141 The main characteristics of the patients were summarized in the Table 1. There were 506  
142 (62.24%) male and 307 (37.76%) female with a mean age of 64 years. The majority of the  
143 patients (87.7%) were older than 50 years. 11.69%, 40.84%, 37.15% and 10.33% of patients  
144 presented with stage I, Stage II, stage III and stage IV disease, respectively. The primary location  
145 was more common in rectum (54.49%). There were 248 (32.96%) patients and 165 (20.3%)  
146 patients with a smoking and alcohol in taking history, respectively. There were 133 (16.36%)  
147 patients with mucin-productive carcinoma.

### 148 **MMR status and associations with clinicopathological characteristics**

149 MMR status was successfully evaluated in 797 patients. 123 (15.43%) patients exhibited dMMR.  
150 The deficient rates of MLH1, PMS2, MSH2 and MSH6 in studied patients were 10.04%  
151 (80/797), 11.92% (95/797), 3.26% (26/797) and 4.14% (33/797), respectively. Loss expression  
152 of four proteins was detected in 2 patients, loss expression of any two proteins was detected in  
153 105 patients, and loss expression of only one protein was found in 16 patients. The association of  
154 clinicopathological characteristics with MMR status was presented in Table 2. Patients with  
155 dMMR tumors were more likely to be younger ( $p < 0.001$ ) and to have poorly differentiated  
156 tumors ( $p < 0.001$ ), especially with a mucin production. A higher rate of dMMR was found in  
157 stage II (19.63%) versus stage I, stage III and stage IV (6.38%, 13.62% and 15.79%, respectively)  
158 cancers ( $p = 0.011$ ). dMMR was also found to be associated with localization of the tumor to the  
159 right colon ( $p < 0.001$ ) and to have a higher propensity to bowel wall invasion ( $p = 0.013$ ).

160 Although dMMR tumors were more often in patients with CRC family history, no  
161 significant difference (22.92% vs 13.43%,  $p > 0.05$ ) was found in this study. The loss of  
162 MSH2/MSH6 expression was more often observed in patients with CRC family history (12.5%

163 vs 3.58%,  $p = 0.016$ ). In other respects, the patients with tumors exhibiting dMMR were similar  
164 to those exhibiting pMMR.

### 165 ***RAS* gene mutation and associations with clinicopathological characteristics**

166 *RAS* status was detected for 813 patients. The mutation rates of *KRAS* and *NRAS* were 42.56%  
167 (346/813) and 3.69% (30/813), respectively. There were three patients demonstrating mutation in  
168 both *KRAS* and *NRAS*. Patients suffering from tumors with mucinous production had a higher  
169 incidence of *KRAS* mutation compared with those having tumors without mucinous production  
170 (54.89% vs 40.18%,  $p = 0.002$ ). A higher rate of *KRAS* mutation was found in stage II (48.49%)  
171 compared with that in stage I, stage III and stage IV (36.84%, 40.45%, 34.52%, respectively)  
172 cancers ( $p = 0.023$ ) and in non-smokers compared with smokers (45.32% vs 36.94%,  $p = 0.023$ ).  
173 Patients with CRC family history also showed higher rate of *KRAS* mutation (54.17% vs 37.39%,  
174  $p = 0.013$ ). Tumors with *RAS* mutation showed lower propensity to lymph node metastasis ( $p =$   
175 0.006) and distant metastasis ( $p = 0.048$ ). No significant associations between *KRAS* mutation  
176 and other clinicopathological characteristics were found in the present study. Meanwhile, *NRAS*  
177 mutation was not significantly associated with any clinicopathological characteristics (Table 3).

### 178 **Correlations between *RAS* mutation and MMR status**

179 *RAS* mutation rate was slightly higher in pMMR tumors than dMMR tumors, but it failed to  
180 reach a significant difference (46.44% vs 43.9%,  $p > 0.05$ ). There was also no obvious  
181 correlation between MMR status and *KRAS* mutation (42.43% vs 43.9%,  $p > 0.05$ ). No *NRAS*  
182 mutation was detected in dMMR tumors. pMMR was associated with *NRAS* mutation ( $p = 0.009$ ,  
183 Table 4). The distribution of MMR and *KRAS* status was showed in supplement I. Correlation  
184 between *KRAS* gene mutation and clinicopathological characteristics in dMMR tumors was  
185 summarized in the Table 5. No significant associations between *KRAS* mutation and any  
186 clinicopathological characteristics were found in dMMR tumors.

### 187 **Prognostic value of dMMR and *KRAS* mutation**

188 Of the 114 followed-up patients (23 with dMMR and 43 with *KRAS* mutation), 13 deaths  
189 occurred. The stage of these patients was 16 in stage I, 58 in stage II and 40 in stage III. dMMR

190 was not independent prognostic factor for 3-year DFS and OS ( $p = 0.911$  and  $0.858$ ) (Figure.1A  
191 and Figure.1B, respectively). *KRAS* mutation was also not independent prognostic factor for 3-  
192 year DFS and OS ( $p = 0.418$  and  $0.427$ ) (Figure.1C and Figure.1D, respectively).

### 193 **DISCUSSION**

194 As prognostic and predictive biomarkers, MMR deficiency and *RAS* mutation are important for  
195 clinical treatments and prognosis of CRC patients. Compared with pMMR patients, dMMR  
196 CRCs are reported to have unique clinicopathological characteristics such as poor differentiation,  
197 early stage, increased tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes and better clinical outcome (Brenner et al.  
198 2014; Korphaisarn et al. 2015; Ribic et al. 2003). The *RAS* gene is a predictive biomarker for the  
199 resistance to anti-EGFR monoclonal antibodies (MoAbs) treatment in mCRCs (Amado et al.  
200 2008; Punt et al. 2016). However, geographic and racial differences between Chinese and other  
201 countries were reported (Huang et al. 2010; Ismael et al. 2017; Kim et al. 2007; Vasovcak et al.  
202 2011; Ye et al. 2015), which need to be validated with large sample amounts. Furthermore, data  
203 regarding *RAS* mutation frequency and dMMR colorectal carcinoma is not consistent in China.  
204 Thus, we designed this study in the Chinese population aiming to explore the relationship  
205 between the *RAS* mutation, MMR status and clinicopathological parameters, also expecting to  
206 find some prognostic and predictive biomarkers for colorectal cancer.

207 Our results demonstrated that an overall MMR deficiency rate was 15.43%, which was  
208 within the established range of 15-21% (Giraldez et al. 2010; Sinicrope et al. 2012; Carethers et  
209 al. 2004; Cushman-Vokoun et al. 2013), but slightly higher than that reported from other Chinese  
210 population (Huang et al. 2010; Jin et al. 2008; Ye et al. 2015). Reports from Korea (Jung et al.  
211 2012) and Japan (Kadowaki et al. 2015) which using PCR-based MSI testing also showed that  
212 the frequencies of MSI-H CRCs were around 10%. This discrepancy can be elucidated by the  
213 differently detective methods to some extent. Compared with PCR-based MSI testing  
214 examination, immunohistochemistry is thought to be easily available and time-saving.  
215 Furthermore, immunohistochemistry may detect MMR-deficient cases that can potentially  
216 missed by PCR-based MSI testing (Shia 2008).

217 Correlations between dMMR status and clinicopathologic characteristics were controversial  
218 (Ismael et al. 2017; Jin et al. 2008; Ribic et al. 2003; Sinicrope et al. 2011). Reports from three  
219 independent Chinese groups (Huang et al. 2010; Jin et al. 2008; Ye et al. 2015) indicated that  
220 dMMR were associated with gender, tumor location and mucin production, but not with age. In a  
221 study including 1063 CRCs, Lin et al (Lin et al. 2014a) observed that MSI were not only  
222 associated with gender, tumor location and mucin production, but also with tumor differentiation  
223 and tumor stage. In our current study, we found patients younger than 50 tended to be with  
224 dMMR. These diverse findings may attribute to different criteria for age division, ethnicities  
225 environmental factors as well as the specificity and sensitivity of the detective methods.

226 In our study, there was a correlation between MSH2/MSH6 deficiency and family history of  
227 colorectal cancer, but not MLH1/PMS2 deficiency. This implicated that different gene mutation  
228 in dMMR deficiency might show different clinicopathologic characteristics. In addition,  
229 according to the Bethesda criteria (Burt et al. 2010), 12 CRCs were diagnosed LS. In  
230 MSH2/MSH6 deficiency CRCs, 33.3% (6/18) was LS and the percentage was 14% (6/43) in  
231 MLH1/PMS2 defective cases, suggesting MSH2/MSH6 deficiency patients had higher  
232 opportunity to diagnosis LS. Some of the recent studies may help to explain this finding: the  
233 majority dMMR CRCs were caused by inactivation of MLH1 and more than 70% MLH1  
234 deficiency was caused by primarily MLH1 promoter hypermethylation (Hampel et al. 2005),  
235 which could distinguish sporadic dMMR CRCs from LS cases, therefore, most MLH1 defective  
236 tumors were sporadic CRC. Another interesting phenomenon in our investigation is that we  
237 found most patients' family medical history was unclear and they did not know whether other  
238 family members had polyps removed, moreover, many cancers might be prevented by early stage  
239 colonoscopy, so the family history may be deceptive (Hampel 2014). Therefore, screening  
240 strategy based on family history may be improper, all patients with newly diagnosed CRC should  
241 be screened for Lynch syndrome (Hampel 2014). Inconsistent with previous studies, which  
242 indicated that patients with dMMR tumors had significantly better survival than that of pMMR  
243 patients (Des Guetz et al. 2009; Korphaisarn et al. 2015; Lanza et al. 2006), our study showed

244 that there was no significant difference in 3-year DFS and OS between dMMR and pMMR  
245 patients, although the incidence of dMMR in stage III/IV disease was lower, suggesting that  
246 dMMR tumors had lower propensity to metastasize.

247 In the present study, the mutation rates of *KRAS* and *NRAS* are 42.56% and 3.69%,  
248 respectively. The *KRAS* mutation rate is significantly higher than the value of 20.7% among 314  
249 CRC patients from Taiwan, China (Liou et al. 2011), 22% among 202 CRC patients from the  
250 England (Naguib et al. 2010), 30.1% among 392 CRC patients from Switzerland (Zlobec et al.  
251 2010), but similar to that previously reported in Guangzhou, China (43.9%, 25/57) (Mao et al.  
252 2012). Several factors may lead to such differences, such as sample size, dietary and lifestyle, as  
253 well as racial and/or environmental differences. Furthermore, we detected the coding sequence of  
254 exon 2 and exon 3 of *KRAS* and exon 2 and exon3 of *NRAS*, which may help to explain the  
255 higher percentage of *RAS* mutation than those detected exon 2 only. Except for exon 2 and exon  
256 3, recent studies have showed 5-10% of tumors harbored exon 4 mutation (Janakiraman et al.  
257 2010; Lin et al. 2014a), which would also result in the resistance to anti-EGFR inhibitors.  
258 Therefore, extending detective spectrum of *RAS* might help to optimize the selection of the CRC  
259 patients to receive anti-EGFR MoAbs.

260 The frequency of *KRAS* mutation has been reported to be associated with age, gender,  
261 differentiation and tumor stage (Gao et al. 2012; Li et al. 2011; Ye et al. 2015; Yunxia et al. 2010;  
262 Zhu et al. 2012). In our study, there was no association between *KRAS* mutation and age, gender,  
263 tumor differentiation or tumor location. However, *RAS* mutated tumors showed lower propensity  
264 to lymph node and distant metastasis. Moreover, we found that *KRAS* mutation was associated  
265 with mucin production, tumor stage, no-smoking and family history of CRC, which was similar  
266 with the results reported by Liou et al (Liou et al. 2011). The detailed mechanism about no-  
267 smoking CRC patients tended to harbor *KRAS* mutation needs further epidemiological  
268 investigation. No convincing evidence demonstrates that *KRAS* mutation is an independent  
269 prognostic factor for CRC (Jin et al. 2008; Palomba et al. 2016; Russo et al. 2014; Yunxia et al.  
270 2010). In the present study, we found no associations of *KRAS* mutation with OS in CRC.

271 Further studies based on longer follow-up time and larger sample size were needed to conform  
272 this conclusion.

273 In our study, the percentage of the four subgroups tumors, including dMMR/*KRAS* mutation,  
274 dMMR/*KRAS* wild, pMMR/*KRAS* mutation and pMMR/*KRAS* wild tumors was 6.78%, 8.66%,  
275 35.88%, 48.68%, respectively, which was similar to the data reported by study from Beijing,  
276 China(Ye et al. 2015). According to the recent reports (Nash et al. 2009; Roth et al. 2010),  
277 patients with MSS/*KRAS* mutant tumor had the worst survival than other three groups. Therefore,  
278 dMMR and *KRAS* markers may provide foundation for developing a molecular prognostic  
279 scoring system for colorectal cancer patients in the future.

280 Previous studies have shown that pMMR patients tended to harbor more *KRAS* mutation  
281 than dMMR patients (Naguib et al. 2010; Ye et al. 2015). One hypothesis for this result is that  
282 *BRAF* and *KRAS* mutations were almost mutually exclusive in colorectal cancer and MSI tumor  
283 harbor more *BRAF* mutation, so MSS tumor might harbor more *KRAS* mutation (Naguib et al.  
284 2010). However, in the present study, we didn't find any differences in *KRAS* mutation between  
285 pMMR and dMMR patients, and further studies based on larger sample size were needed to  
286 explore this controversy in Chinese CRCs.

287 Additionally, our study provided an opportunity to investigate the status of *KRAS* mutation  
288 in Chinese dMMR patients. *KRAS* mutation presented in 43.9% dMMR patients in our study,  
289 similar to previous studies in western countries (Cushman-Vokoun et al. 2013; Oliveira 2004).  
290 All of these results indicate that *KRAS* mutation could be quite common in dMMR tumors. There  
291 were no association between *KRAS* mutation and clinicopathologic characteristics in dMMR  
292 tumor. A study conducted by Nash et al, indicated *KRAS* status was an independent prognostic  
293 factor in MSI CRC patients (Nash et al. 2009). Moreover, MSI patients with wild-type *KRAS* and  
294 *BRAF* tumors have more favorable prognosis than patients with mutated *KRAS* or *BRAF* tumors  
295 (de Cuba et al. 2016; Phipps et al. 2015).

296 *NRAS* gene, as one of the *RAS* family, showed close relations with *KRAS*. Unlike *KRAS*,  
297 *NRAS* mutation was rarely in CRC patients. In our study, the mutation rate of *NRAS* was 3.69%,

298 similar to the previous reports (Chang et al. 2016; Irahara et al. 2010; Palomba et al. 2016;  
299 Peeters et al. 2013). Moreover, we observed 25 out of 388 *KRAS* wild-type tumors with *NRAS*  
300 mutation, which can partially help to explain the anti-EGFR MoAbs resistance in *KRAS* wild-  
301 type patients. Considering the heavy financial burden in MoAbs treatment in CRC patients,  
302 *NRAS* mutation should be tested before MoAbs treatment in *KRAS* wild-type tumors. Another  
303 interesting phenomenon is that no *NRAS* mutation was detected in dMMR patients, which  
304 suggested *NRAS* mutation might be mutually exclusive with dMMR. Meanwhile, *NRAS* mutation  
305 was not significantly associated with any clinicopathologic characteristics in our study.

306 However, our study findings should be elucidated with consideration of its limitations: first,  
307 the samples size was relatively small, rendering some of our findings inconclusive; second, we  
308 used commercially available kit authenticated by China Food and Drug Administration (CFDA)  
309 and the mutation subgroups were uncertain. A study conducted by Lin et al (Lin et al. 2014a).  
310 Therefore, the subgroup of mutation codons should be carefully explored in future; third, we did  
311 not collect the data on clinical management, therefore, the influence of clinical treatment for  
312 survival was uncertain.

### 313 **Conclusion**

314 In conclusion, this was an exploratory analysis of correlations between *RAS* mutation and  
315 MMR status and the clinicopathologic characteristics in Eastern Chinese CRC patients. The  
316 status of these molecular markers, which involving MLH1/PMS2, MSH2/MSH6, *KRAS* and  
317 *NRAS* mutation, reflects the specific clinicopathologic characteristics of colorectal carcinoma.  
318 More comprehensive molecular classification and survive analysis should be explored in our  
319 future experiments.

### 320 **Acknowledgement**

321 None

### 322 **Declaration of conflicting interest**

323 The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

### 324 **Fund**

325 The present study was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of  
326 China (nos. 81201947), the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong, China (ZR2009CM014),  
327 and the Excellent Young Scientist Foundation of Shandong Province, China (no.  
328 2006BSB14001).

## 329 **References**

- 330 Amado RG, Wolf M, Peeters M, Van Cutsem E, Siena S, Freeman DJ, Juan T, Sikorski R, Suggs  
331 S, Radinsky R, Patterson SD, and Chang DD. 2008. Wild-type KRAS is required for  
332 panitumumab efficacy in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. *J Clin Oncol*  
333 26:1626-1634. 10.1200/JCO.2007.14.7116
- 334 Brenner H, Kloor M, and Pox CP. 2014. Colorectal cancer. *Lancet* 383:1490-1502.  
335 10.1016/s0140-6736(13)61649-9
- 336 Burt RW, Barthel JS, Dunn KB, David DS, Drellichman E, Ford JM, Giardiello FM, Gruber SB,  
337 Halverson AL, Hamilton SR, Ismail MK, Jasperson K, Lazenby AJ, Lynch PM, Martin  
338 EW, Jr., Mayer RJ, Ness RM, Provenzale D, Rao MS, Shike M, Steinbach G, Terdiman  
339 JP, and Weinberg D. 2010. NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology. Colorectal  
340 cancer screening. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* 8:8-61.
- 341 Carethers JM, Smith EJ, Behling CA, Nguyen L, Tajima A, Doctolero RT, Cabrera BL, Goel A,  
342 Arnold CA, Miyai K, and Boland CR. 2004. Use of 5-fluorouracil and survival in patients  
343 with microsatellite-unstable colorectal cancer. *Gastroenterology* 126:394-401.  
344 10.1053/j.gastro.2003.12.023
- 345 Chang YY, Lin PC, Lin HH, Lin JK, Chen WS, Jiang JK, Yang SH, Liang WY, and Chang SC.  
346 2016. Mutation spectra of RAS gene family in colorectal cancer. *Am J Surg* 212:537-  
347 544.e533. 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2016.02.013
- 348 Chen W, Zheng R, Zuo T, Zeng H, Zhang S, and He J. 2016. National cancer incidence and  
349 mortality in China, 2012. *Chin J Cancer Res* 28:1-11. 10.3978/j.issn.1000-  
350 9604.2016.02.08
- 351 Cunningham D, Atkin W, Lenz H-J, Lynch HT, Minsky B, Nordlinger B, and Starling N. 2010.

- 352 Colorectal cancer. *Lancet* 375:1030–1047.
- 353 Cushman-Vokoun AM, Stover DG, Zhao Z, Koehler EA, Berlin JD, and Vnencak-Jones CL.  
354 2013. Clinical utility of KRAS and BRAF mutations in a cohort of patients with  
355 colorectal neoplasms submitted for microsatellite instability testing. *Clin Colorectal*  
356 *Cancer* 12:168-178. 10.1016/j.clcc.2013.04.005
- 357 de Cuba EMV, Snaebjornsson P, Heideman DAM, van Grieken NCT, Bosch LJW, Fijneman  
358 RJA, Belt E, Bril H, Stockmann HBAC, Hooijberg E, Punt CJA, Koopman M, Nagtegaal  
359 ID, Coupé VHM, Carvalho B, and Meijer GA. 2016. Prognostic value  
360 of BRAF and KRAS mutation status in stage II and III microsatellite instable colon cancers.  
361 *Int J Cancer* 138:1139-1145. 10.1002/ijc.29855
- 362 De Roock W, Claes B, Bernasconi D, De Schutter J, Biesmans B, Fountzilias G, Kalogeras KT,  
363 Kotoula V, Papamichael D, Laurent-Puig P, Penault-Llorca F, Rougier P, Vincenzi B,  
364 Santini D, Tonini G, Cappuzzo F, Frattini M, Molinari F, Saletti P, De Dosso S, Martini  
365 M, Bardelli A, Siena S, Sartore-Bianchi A, Tabernero J, Macarulla T, Di Fiore F,  
366 Gangloff AO, Ciardiello F, Pfeiffer P, Qvortrup C, Hansen TP, Van Cutsem E,  
367 Piessevaux H, Lambrechts D, Delorenzi M, and Tejpar S. 2010. Effects of KRAS, BRAF,  
368 NRAS, and PIK3CA mutations on the efficacy of cetuximab plus chemotherapy in  
369 chemotherapy-refractory metastatic colorectal cancer: a retrospective consortium analysis.  
370 *Lancet Oncol* 11:753-762. 10.1016/s1470-2045(10)70130-3
- 371 Des Guetz G, Schischmanoff O, Nicolas P, Perret G-Y, Morere J-F, and Uzzan B. 2009. Does  
372 microsatellite instability predict the efficacy of adjuvant chemotherapy in colorectal  
373 cancer? A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Eur J Cancer* 45:1890-1896.  
374 10.1016/j.ejca.2009.04.018
- 375 Dong YU, Ren W, Qi J, Jin BO, Li Y, Tao H, Xu R, Li Y, Zhang Q, and Han B. 2016. EGFR,  
376 ALK, RET, KRAS and BRAF alterations in never-smokers with non-small cell lung  
377 cancer. *Oncol Lett* 11:2371-2378. 10.3892/ol.2016.4235
- 378 Engstrom aF, Arnoletti JP, III ABB, Chen Y-J, Choti MA, Cooper HS, Covey A, Dilawari RA,

- 379 Early DS, Enzinger PC, Fakih MG, Fleshman J, Fuchs C, Grem JL, Kiel K, Knol JA,  
380 Leong LA, Lin E, Mulcahy MF, Rao S, Ryan DP, Saltz L, Shibata D, Skibber JM,  
381 Sofocleous C, Thomas J, Venook AP, and Willett C. 2009. The NCCN Colon Cancer  
382 Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* 7:778-831.
- 383 Gao J, Sun ZW, Li YY, and Shen L. 2012. [Mutations of KRAS and BRAF in Chinese patients  
384 with colorectal carcinoma: analyses of 966 cases]. *Zhonghua Bing Li Xue Za Zhi* 41:579-  
385 583. 10.3760/cma.j.issn.0529-5807.2012.09.002
- 386 Geiersbach KB, and Samowitz WS. 2011. Microsatellite instability and colorectal cancer. *Arch*  
387 *Pathol Lab Med* 135:1269-1277. 10.5858/arpa.2011-0035-RA
- 388 Giraldez MD, Balaguer F, Bujanda L, Cuatrecasas M, Munoz J, Alonso-Espinaco V, Larzabal M,  
389 Petit A, Gonzalo V, Ocana T, Moreira L, Enriquez-Navascues JM, Boland CR, Goel A,  
390 Castells A, and Castellvi-Bel S. 2010. MSH6 and MUTYH deficiency is a frequent event  
391 in early-onset colorectal cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 16:5402-5413. 10.1158/1078-  
392 0432.CCR-10-1491
- 393 Hampel H. 2014. NCCN increases the emphasis on genetic/familial high-risk assessment in  
394 colorectal cancer. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* 12:829-831.
- 395 Hampel H, Frankel WL, Martin E, Arnold M, Khanduja K, Kuebler P, Nakagawa H, Sotamaa K,  
396 Prior TW, Westman J, Panescu J, Fix D, Lockman J, Comeras I, and de la Chapelle A.  
397 2005. Screening for the Lynch syndrome (hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer). *N*  
398 *Engl J Med* 352:1851-1860. 10.1056/NEJMoa043146
- 399 Huang YQ, Yuan Y, Ge WT, Hu HG, Zhang SZ, and Zheng S. 2010. Comparative features of  
400 colorectal and gastric cancers with microsatellite instability in Chinese patients. *J*  
401 *Zhejiang Univ Sci B* 11:647-653. 10.1631/jzus.B1000198
- 402 Irahara N, Baba Y, Nosho K, Shima K, Yan L, Dias-Santagata D, Iafrate AJ, Fuchs CS, Haigis  
403 KM, and Ogino S. 2010. NRAS mutations are rare in colorectal cancer. *Diagn Mol*  
404 *Pathol* 19:157-163. 10.1097/PDM.0b013e3181c93fd1
- 405 Ismael NEHS, El Sheikh SA, Talaat SM, and Salem EM. 2017. Mismatch Repair Proteins and

- 406           Microsatellite Instability in Colorectal Carcinoma (MLH1, MSH2, MSH6 and PMS2):  
407           Histopathological and Immunohistochemical Study. *Open Access Maced J Med Sci* 5:9.  
408           10.3889/oamjms.2017.003
- 409 Janakiraman M, Vakiani E, Zeng Z, Pratilas CA, Taylor BS, Chitale D, Halilovic E, Wilson M,  
410           Huberman K, Ricarte Filho JC, Persaud Y, Levine DA, Fagin JA, Jhanwar SC,  
411           Mariadason JM, Lash A, Ladanyi M, Saltz LB, Heguy A, Paty PB, and Solit DB. 2010.  
412           Genomic and Biological Characterization of Exon 4 KRAS Mutations in Human Cancer.  
413           *Cancer Res* 70:5901-5911. 10.1158/0008-5472.can-10-0192
- 414 Jin HY, Liu X, Li VK, Ding Y, Yang B, Geng J, Lai R, Ding S, Ni M, and Zhao R. 2008.  
415           Detection of mismatch repair gene germline mutation carrier among Chinese population  
416           with colorectal cancer. *BMC Cancer* 8:44. 10.1186/1471-2407-8-44
- 417 Jung SB, Lee HI, Oh HK, Shin IH, and Jeon CH. 2012. Clinico-pathologic Parameters for  
418           Prediction of Microsatellite Instability in Colorectal Cancer. *Cancer Res Treat* 44:179-  
419           186. 10.4143/crt.2012.44.3.179
- 420 Kadowaki S, Kakuta M, Takahashi S, Takahashi A, Arai Y, Nishimura Y, Yatsuoka T, Ooki A,  
421           Yamaguchi K, Matsuo K, Muro K, and Akagi K. 2015. Prognostic value of KRAS and  
422           BRAF mutations in curatively resected colorectal cancer. *World J Gastroenterol*  
423           21:1275-1283. 10.3748/wjg.v21.i4.1275
- 424 Kim GP, Colangelo LH, Wieand HS, Paik S, Kirsch IR, Wolmark N, Allegra CJ, and National  
425           Cancer I. 2007. Prognostic and predictive roles of high-degree microsatellite instability in  
426           colon cancer: a National Cancer Institute-National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel  
427           Project Collaborative Study. *J Clin Oncol* 25:767-772. 10.1200/JCO.2006.05.8172
- 428 Korphaisarn K, Pongpaibul A, Limwongse C, Roothumnong E, Klaisuban W, Nimmannit A,  
429           Jinawath A, and Akewanlop C. 2015. Deficient DNA mismatch repair is associated with  
430           favorable prognosis in Thai patients with sporadic colorectal cancer. *World J*  
431           *Gastroenterol* 21:926-934. 10.3748/wjg.v21.i3.926
- 432 Lanza G, Gafa R, Santini A, Maestri I, Guerzoni L, and Cavazzini L. 2006.

- 433 Immunohistochemical Test for MLH1 and MSH2 Expression Predicts Clinical Outcome  
434 in Stage II and III Colorectal Cancer Patients. *J Clin Oncol* 24:2359-2367.  
435 10.1200/jco.2005.03.2433
- 436 Li HT, Lu YY, An YX, Wang X, and Zhao QC. 2011. KRAS, BRAF and PIK3CA mutations in  
437 human colorectal cancer: relationship with metastatic colorectal cancer. *Oncol Rep*  
438 25:1691-1697. 10.3892/or.2011.1217
- 439 Lin C-C, Lin J-K, Lin T-C, Chen W-S, Yang S-H, Wang H-S, Lan Y-T, Jiang J-K, Yang M-H,  
440 and Chang S-C. 2014a. The prognostic role of microsatellite instability, codon-specific  
441 KRAS, and BRAF mutations in colon cancer. *J Surg Onco* 110:451-457.  
442 10.1002/jso.23675
- 443 Lin S, YuJun L, XiaoMing X, and WenWen R. 2014b. Expression and significance of leptin  
444 receptor, p-STAT3 and p-AKT in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Acta Histochem*  
445 116:126-130. 10.1016/j.acthis.2013.06.003
- 446 Liou JM, Wu MS, Shun CT, Chiu HM, Chen MJ, Chen CC, Wang HP, Lin JT, and Liang JT.  
447 2011. Mutations in BRAF correlate with poor survival of colorectal cancers in Chinese  
448 population. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 26:1387-1395. 10.1007/s00384-011-1229-1
- 449 Mao C, Zhou J, Yang Z, Huang Y, Wu X, Shen H, Tang J, and Chen Q. 2012. KRAS , BRAF  
450 and PIK3CA Mutations and the Loss of PTEN Expression in Chinese Patients with  
451 Colorectal Cancer. *PLoS One* 7:e36653. 10.1371/journal.pone.0036653.t001
- 452 Marra G, and Boland CR. 1995. Hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer: the syndrome, the  
453 genes, and historical perspectives. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 87:1114-1125.
- 454 Naguib A, Mitrou PN, Gay LJ, Cooke JC, Luben RN, Ball RY, McTaggart A, Arends MJ, and  
455 Rodwell SA. 2010. Dietary, lifestyle and clinicopathological factors associated with  
456 BRAF and K-ras mutations arising in distinct subsets of colorectal cancers in the EPIC  
457 Norfolk study. *BMC Cancer* 10:99. 10.1186/1471-2407-10-99
- 458 Nash GM, Gimbel M, Cohen AM, Zeng Z-S, Ndubuisi MI, Nathanson DR, Ott J, Barany F, and  
459 Paty PB. 2009. KRAS Mutation and Microsatellite Instability: Two Genetic Markers of

- 460 Early Tumor Development That Influence the Prognosis of Colorectal Cancer. *Ann Surg*  
461 *Oncol* 17:416-424. 10.1245/s10434-009-0713-0
- 462 Oliveira C. 2004. Distinct patterns of KRAS mutations in colorectal carcinomas according to  
463 germline mismatch repair defects and hMLH1 methylation status. *Hum Mol Genet*  
464 13:2303-2311. 10.1093/hmg/ddh238
- 465 Palomba G, Doneddu V, Cossu A, Paliogiannis P, Manca A, Casula M, Colombino M, Lanzillo  
466 A, Defraia E, Pazzola A, Sanna G, Putzu C, Ortu S, Scartozzi M, Ionta MT, Baldino G,  
467 Sarobba G, Capelli F, Sedda T, Viridis L, Barca M, Gramignano G, Budroni M, Tanda F,  
468 and Palmieri G. 2016. Prognostic impact of KRAS, NRAS, BRAF, and PIK3CA  
469 mutations in primary colorectal carcinomas: a population-based study. *J Transl Med* 14.  
470 10.1186/s12967-016-1053-z
- 471 Peeters M, Oliner KS, Parker A, Siena S, Van Cutsem E, Huang J, Humblet Y, Van Laethem JL,  
472 Andre T, Wiezorek J, Reese D, and Patterson SD. 2013. Massively parallel tumor  
473 multigene sequencing to evaluate response to panitumumab in a randomized phase III  
474 study of metastatic colorectal cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 19:1902-1912. 10.1158/1078-  
475 0432.CCR-12-1913
- 476 Phipps AI, Limburg PJ, Baron JA, Burnett-Hartman AN, Weisenberger DJ, Laird PW, Sinicrope  
477 FA, Rosty C, Buchanan DD, Potter JD, and Newcomb PA. 2015. Association Between  
478 Molecular Subtypes of Colorectal Cancer and Patient Survival. *Gastroenterology* 148:77-  
479 87.e72. 10.1053/j.gastro.2014.09.038
- 480 Punt CJA, Koopman M, and Vermeulen L. 2016. From tumour heterogeneity to advances in  
481 precision treatment of colorectal cancer. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 14:235-246.  
482 10.1038/nrclinonc.2016.171
- 483 Ribic CM, Sargent DJ, Moore MJ, Thibodeau SN, French AJ, Goldberg RM, Hamilton SR,  
484 Laurent-Puig P, Gryfe R, Shepherd LE, Tu D, Redston M, and Gallinger S. 2003. Tumor  
485 microsatellite-instability status as a predictor of benefit from fluorouracil-based adjuvant  
486 chemotherapy for colon cancer. *N Engl J Med* 349:247-257. 10.1056/NEJMoa022289

- 487 Rosty C, Young JP, Walsh MD, Clendenning M, Walters RJ, Pearson S, Pavluk E, Nagler B,  
488 Pakenas D, Jass JR, Jenkins MA, Win AK, Southey MC, Parry S, Hopper JL, Giles GG,  
489 Williamson E, English DR, and Buchanan DD. 2013. Colorectal carcinomas with KRAS  
490 mutation are associated with distinctive morphological and molecular features. *Mod*  
491 *Pathol* 26:825-834. 10.1038/modpathol.2012.240
- 492 Roth AD, Tejpar S, Delorenzi M, Yan P, Fiocca R, Klingbiel D, Dietrich D, Biesmans B,  
493 Bodoky G, Barone C, Aranda E, Nordlinger B, Cisar L, Labianca R, Cunningham D, Van  
494 Cutsem E, and Bosman F. 2010. Prognostic role of KRAS and BRAF in stage II and III  
495 resected colon cancer: results of the translational study on the PETACC-3, EORTC  
496 40993, SAKK 60-00 trial. *J Clin Oncol* 28:466-474. 10.1200/JCO.2009.23.3452
- 497 Russo AL, Borger DR, Szymonifka J, Ryan DP, Wo JY, Blaszkowsky LS, Kwak EL, Allen JN,  
498 Wadlow RC, Zhu AX, Murphy JE, Faris JE, Dias-Santagata D, Haigis KM, Ellisen LW,  
499 Iafrate AJ, and Hong TS. 2014. Mutational analysis and clinical correlation of metastatic  
500 colorectal cancer. *Cancer* 120:1482-1490. 10.1002/cncr.28599
- 501 Sargent DJ, Marsoni S, Monges G, Thibodeau SN, Labianca R, Hamilton SR, French AJ, Kabat  
502 B, Foster NR, Torri V, Ribic C, Grothey A, Moore M, Zaniboni A, Seitz JF, Sinicrope F,  
503 and Gallinger S. 2010. Defective mismatch repair as a predictive marker for lack of  
504 efficacy of fluorouracil-based adjuvant therapy in colon cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 28:3219-  
505 3226. 10.1200/JCO.2009.27.1825
- 506 Sasaki Y, Akasu T, Saito N, Kojima H, Matsuda K, Nakamori S, Komori K, Amagai K,  
507 Yamaguchi T, Ohue M, Nagashima K, and Yamada Y. 2016. Prognostic and predictive  
508 value of extendedRASmutation and mismatch repair status in stage III colorectal cancer.  
509 *Cancer Sci* 107:1006-1012. 10.1111/cas.12950
- 510 Shen H, Yuan Y, Hu HG, Zhong X, Ye XX, Li MD, Fang WJ, and Zheng S. 2011. Clinical  
511 significance of K-ras and BRAF mutations in Chinese colorectal cancer patients. *World J*  
512 *Gastroenterol* 17:809-816. 10.3748/wjg.v17.i6.809
- 513 Shia J. 2008. Immunohistochemistry versus microsatellite instability testing for screening

- 514 colorectal cancer patients at risk for hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer syndrome.  
515 Part I. The utility of immunohistochemistry. *J Mol Diagn* 10:293-300.  
516 10.2353/jmoldx.2008.080031
- 517 Sinicrope FA, Foster NR, Thibodeau SN, Marsoni S, Monges G, Labianca R, Kim GP, Yothers  
518 G, Allegra C, Moore MJ, Gallinger S, and Sargent DJ. 2011. DNA mismatch repair status  
519 and colon cancer recurrence and survival in clinical trials of 5-fluorouracil-based  
520 adjuvant therapy. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 103:863-875. 10.1093/jnci/djr153
- 521 Sinicrope FA, Foster NR, Yoon HH, Smyrk TC, Kim GP, Allegra CJ, Yothers G, Nikceвич DA,  
522 and Sargent DJ. 2012. Association of obesity with DNA mismatch repair status and  
523 clinical outcome in patients with stage II or III colon carcinoma participating in NCCTG  
524 and NSABP adjuvant chemotherapy trials. *J Clin Oncol* 30:406-412.  
525 10.1200/JCO.2011.39.2563
- 526 Vasovcak P, Pavlikova K, Sedlacek Z, Skapa P, Kouda M, Hoch J, and Krepelova A. 2011.  
527 Molecular genetic analysis of 103 sporadic colorectal tumours in Czech patients. *PLoS*  
528 *One* 6:e24114. 10.1371/journal.pone.0024114
- 529 Ye JX, Liu Y, Qin Y, Zhong HH, Yi WN, and Shi XY. 2015. KRAS and BRAF gene mutations  
530 and DNA mismatch repair status in Chinese colorectal carcinoma patients. *World J*  
531 *Gastroenterol* 21:1595-1605. 10.3748/wjg.v21.i5.1595
- 532 Yunxia Z, Jun C, Guanshan Z, Yachao L, Xueke Z, and Jin L. 2010. Mutations in epidermal  
533 growth factor receptor and K-ras in Chinese patients with colorectal cancer. *BMC Med*  
534 *Genet* 11:34. 10.1186/1471-2350-11-34
- 535 Zhang C-M, Lv J-F, Gong L, Yu L-Y, Chen X-P, Zhou H-H, and Fan L. 2016. Role of Deficient  
536 Mismatch Repair in the Personalized Management of Colorectal Cancer. *Inter J Env Res*  
537 *Pub Heal* 13:892. 10.3390/ijerph13090892
- 538 Zhu XL, Cai X, Zhang L, Yang F, Sheng WQ, Lu YM, Du X, and Zhou XY. 2012. [KRAS and  
539 BRAF gene mutations in correlation with clinicopathologic features of colorectal  
540 carcinoma in Chinese]. *Zhonghua Bing Li Xue Za Zhi* 41:584-589.

541 10.3760/cma.j.issn.0529-5807.2012.09.003

542 Zlobec I, Bihl MP, Schwarb H, Terracciano L, and Lugli A. 2010. Clinicopathological and  
543 protein characterization of BRAF- and K-RAS-mutated colorectal cancer and  
544 implications for prognosis. *Int J Cancer* 127:367-380. 10.1002/ijc.25042

**Table 1** (on next page)

Clinicopathological information of the studied patients (n=813)

1 Table 1. Clinicopathological information of the studied patients (n=813).

Characteristics	Number	(%)
Gender		
Male	506	62.24
Female	307	37.76
Age		
< 50	100	12.3
≥ 50	713	87.7
Location		
Right colon	181	22.26
Left colon	189	23.25
Rectum	443	54.49
Mucin production		
With	133	16.36
Without	680	83.64
Tumor differentiation		
Poor	138	16.97
moderate	599	73.68
Well	33	4.06
Unknown	43	5.29
Tumor stage		
I	95	11.69
II	332	40.84
III	302	37.15
IV	84	10.33
Bowel wall invasion (T)		
T1	21	2.58

---

T2	104	12.79
T3	336	41.33
T4	352	43.3
Lymph node metastasis		
(N)		
N0	458	56.33
N1	203	24.97
N2	152	18.7
Distant metastasis (M)		
M0	729	89.67
M1	84	10.33
Lymphovascular		
invasion		
Yes	339	41.7
No	462	56.83
Unknown	12	1.47
Alcohol intake		
Ever	165	20.3
Never	648	79.7
Smoking		
Ever	283	34.81
Never	530	65.19
Colorectal family history		
Yes	48	5.9
No	337	41.45
Unknown	428	52.65

---

**Table 2** (on next page)

Correlations between mismatch repair protein deficiency and clinicopathological characteristics (n=797)

1 Table2. Correlations between mismatch repair protein deficiency and clinicopathological  
 2 characteristics (n=797)

Characteristics	Number	dMMR		MLH1/ PMS2		MSH2/MSH6	
		Defective (%)	P value	Defective (%)	P value	Defective (%)	P value
Gender							
Male	495	73 (14.75)	0.493	55 (11.11)	0.241	21 (4.24)	0.853
Female	302	50 (16.56)		42 (13.91)		12 (3.97)	
Age							
< 50	99	29 (29.29)	< 0.001	24 (24.24)	< 0.001	6 (6.06)	0.284*
≥ 50	698	94 (13.47)		73 (10.46)		27 (3.87)	
Location							
Right colon	173	62 (35.84)	< 0.001	48 (27.75)	< 0.001	18 (10.4)	< 0.001
Left colon	185	26 (14.05)		21 (11.35)		7 (3.78)	
Rectum	439	35 (7.97)		28 (6.38)		8 (1.82)	
Mucin production							
With	131	36 (27.48)	< 0.001	27 (20.61)	< 0.001	11 (8.4)	0.007
Without	666	87 (13.06)		70 (10.51)		22 (3.3)	
Tumor differentiation							
Poor	134	36 (26.87)	< 0.001	29 (21.64)	< 0.001	12 (8.96)	0.012*
moderate	589	73 (12.39)		55 (9.34)		19 (3.23)	
Well	31	4 (12.9)		4 (12.9)		1 (3.23)	
Unknown	43						
Tumor stage							
I	94	6 (6.38)	0.011	5 (5.32)	0.025	1 (1.06)	0.288*
II	326	64 (19.63)		52 (15.95)		17 (5.21)	
III	301	41 (13.62)		32 (10.63)		11 (3.65)	
IV	76	12 (15.79)		8 (10.52)		4 (5.26)	

Bowel wall invasion (T)							
T1	20	3 (15)	0.013	2 (10)	0.069	1 (5)	0.067*
T2	102	5 (4.9)		5 (4.9)		0 (0)	
T3	334	61 (18.26)		49 (14.67)		15 (4.49)	
T4	341	54 (15.83)		41 (12.02)		17 (4.98)	
Lymph node metastasis (N)							
N0	445	76 (17.08)	0.155	61 (13.68)	0.275	20 (4.49)	0.583
N1	200	31 (15.5)		24 (12)		9 (4.5)	
N2	152	16 (10.53)		12 (7.95)		4 (2.63)	
Distant metastasis (M)							
M0	721	112 (15.53)	0.808	89 (12.34)	0.645	30 (4.16)	0.929*
M1	76	11 (14.47)		8 (10.53)		3 (3.95)	
Lymphovascular invasion							
Yes	335	48 (14.33)	0.473	40 (11.94)	0.894	12 (3.58)	0.481
No	457	74 (16.19)		56 (12.25)		21 (4.59)	
Unknown	5						
Alcohol intake							
Ever	162	19 (11.72)	0.144	14(8.64)	0.124	6 (3.7)	0.755
Never	635	104 (16.38)		83 (13.07)		27 (4.25)	
Smoking							
Ever	263	35 (13.31)	0.244	26 (9.89)	0.166	11 (4.18)	0.967
Never	534	88 (16.48)		71 (13.3)		22 (4.12)	
Colorectal family history							
Yes	48	11 (22.92)	0.082	6 (12.5)	0.765	6 (12.5)	0.016*
No	335	45 (13.43)		37 (11.04)		12 (3.58)	
Unknown	414						

3 \*Fisher's exact test was used

**Table 3** (on next page)

Correlations between *RAS* gene mutations and clinicopathological characteristics  
(n=813)

1 Table 3. Correlations between *RAS* gene mutations and clinicopathological characteristics  
 2 (n=813)

Characteristics	Number	<i>RAS</i>		<i>KRAS</i>		<i>NRAS</i>	
		Mutation(%) P value	0.105	Mutation (%) P value	0.097	Mutation (%) P value	0.9
<b>Gender</b>							
Male	506	221 (43.68)	0.105	204 (40.32)	0.097	19 (3.75)	0.9
Female	307	152 (49.51)		142 (46.25)		11 (3.58)	
<b>Age</b>							
< 50	100	38 (38)	0.091	37 (37)	0.23	1 (1)	0.161*
≥ 50	713	335 (46.98)		309 (43.34)		29 (4.07)	
<b>Location</b>							
Right colon	181	91 (50.28)	0.178	88 (48.62)	0.097	3 (1.66)	0.164
Left colon	189	77 (40.74)		71 (37.57)		6 (3.17)	
Rectum	443	205 (46.28)		187 (42.21)		21 (4.74)	
<b>Mucin production</b>							
With	133	74 (55.64)	0.014	73 (54.89)	0.002	1 (0.75)	0.087
Without	680	299 (43.97)		273 (40.18)		29 (4.22)	
<b>Tumor differentiation</b>							
Poor	138	55 (39.86)	0.315	54 (39.13)	0.604	1 (0.72)	0.093
moderate	599	276 (46.08)		251 (41.9)		28 (4.67)	
Well	33	17 (51.52)		16 (48.48)		1 (3.03)	
Unknown	43						
<b>Tumor stage</b>							
I	95	41 (43.16)	0.031	35 (36.84)	0.023	6 (6.32)	0.18*
II	332	170 (51.2)		161 (48.49)		9 (2.71)	
III	302	133 (44.04)		122 (40.4)		14 (4.64)	

IV	84	29 (34.52)		28 (34.52)		1 (1.19)	
Bowel wall invasion (T)							
T1	21	9 (42.86)	0.36	8 (38.1)	0.158	1 (4.76)	0.36*
T2	104	40 (38.46)		34 (32.69)		6 (5.77)	
T3	336	154 (45.83)		146 (43.45)		9 (2.68)	
T4	352	170 (48.3)		158 (44.89)		14 (3.98)	
Lymph node metastasis (N)							
N0	458	224 (48.91)	0.006	209 (45.63)	0.079	15 (3.28)	0.265
N1	203	88 (43.35)		83 (40.89)		6 (2.96)	
N2	152	61 (40.13)		54 (35.53)		9 (5.92)	
Distant metastasis (M)							
M0	729	343 (47.05)	0.048	317 (43.48)	0.116	29 (3.98)	0.353*
M1	84	30 (35.71)		29 (34.52)		1 (1.19)	
Lymphovascular invasion							
Yes	339	157 (46.31)	0.763	145 (42.77)	0.825	14 (4.13)	0.623
No	462	209 (45.24)		194 (41.99)		16 (3.46)	
Unknown	12						
Alcohol intake							
Ever	165	67 (40.61)	0.128	63 (38.18)	0.203	5 (3.03)	0.615
Never	648	306 (47.22)		283 (43.67)		25 (3.86)	
Smoking							
Ever	283	109 (38.52)	0.002	99 (34.98)	0.001	10 (3.53)	0.863
Never	530	264 (49.81)		247 (46.6)		20 (3.77)	
Colorectal family history							
Yes	48	28 (58.33)	0.017	26 (54.17)	0.013	3 (6.25)	0.178*
No	337	135(40.95)		126 (37.39)		9 (2.67)	

---

Unknown	428
---------	-----

---

3 \*Fisher's exact test was used

4

**Table 4**(on next page)

Correlations between DNA mismatch repair protein expression deficiency and *RAS* status (n =797)

1 Table 4. Correlations between DNA mismatch repair protein expression deficiency and *RAS* status (n =797)

MMR status	<i>RAS</i>		<i>KRAS</i>		<i>NRAS</i>	
	Mutant/tested cases (%)	P value	Mutant/tested cases (%)	P value	Mutant/tested cases (%)	P value
dMMR	54/123 (43.9)	0.623	54/123 (43.9)	0.742	0/123 (0)	0.009*
MHL1/PMS2 deficiency	41/97 (42.26)	0.456	41/97 (42.26)	0.994	0/97 (0)	0.066*
MSH2/ MSH6 deficiency	15/33 (45.45)	0.924	15/33 (45.45)	0.721	0/33 (0)	0.391*
pMMR	313/674 (46.44)		286/674 (42.43)		30/674 (4.45)	

2 \*Fisher's exact test was used

**Table 5** (on next page)

Correlations between *KRAS* gene mutations and clinicopathological characteristics in dMMR tumors (n=123)

1 Table5. Correlations between *KRAS* gene mutations and clinicopathological  
 2 characteristics in dMMR tumors (n=123)

Characteristics	Number	<i>KRAS</i> Mutation (%)	P value
<b>Gender</b>			
Male	73	31 (42.47)	0.698
Female	50	23 (46)	
<b>Age</b>			
< 50	29	11 (37.93)	0.459
≥ 50	94	43 (45.74)	
<b>Location</b>			
Right colon	62	26 (41.94)	0.545
Left colon	26	12 (46.15)	
Rectum	35	16 (45.71)	
<b>Mucin production</b>			
With	36	20 (55.56)	0.094
Without	87	34 (39.08)	
<b>Tumor differentiation</b>			
Poor	36	10 (27.78)	0.112*
Moderate	73	35 (47.95)	
Well	4	2 (50)	
Unknown	10		
<b>Tumor stage</b>			
I	6	2 (33.33)	0.357*
II	64	33 (51.5)	
III	41	15 (36.59)	
IV	12	4(33.33)	

Bowel wall invasion (T)			
T1	3	2 (66.67)	0.156*
T2	5	0 (0)	
T3	61	26 (42.62)	
T4	54	26 (48.15)	
Lymph node metastasis (N)			
N0	76	38 (50)	0.07
N1	31	13 (41.94)	
N2	16	3 (18.75)	
Distant metastasis (M)			
M0	112	50 (44.64)	0.754*
M1	11	4 (36.36)	
Lymphovascular invasion			
Yes	48	21 (43.75)	0.956
No	74	32 (43.24)	
Unknown	1		
Alcohol intake			
Ever	19	9(47.37)	0.807
Never	104	46(43.4)	
Smoking			
Ever	35	14 (40)	0.582
Never	88	40 (45.45)	
Colorectal family history			
Yes	11	5 (45.45)	0.742
No	45	24 (53.33)	
Unknown	67		

# Figure 1

Kaplan-Meier curves for disease free survival and overall survival according to dMMR or *KRAS* status

A: Disease free survival (DFS) according to dMMR status; B: Overall survival (OS) according to dMMR status; C: DFS according to *KRAS* status; D: OS according to *KRAS* status.

