First evidence of bryophyte diaspores in the plumage of transequatorial migrant birds.

Correlations between transequatorial migratory bird routes and bipolar biogeographic disjunctions in bryophytes suggest that disjunctions between northern and southern high latitude regions may result from bird-mediated dispersal; supporting evidence is, however, exclusively circumstantial. Birds disperse plant units (diaspores) internally via ingestion (endozoochory) or externally by the attachment of diaspores to the body (ectozoochory). Endozoochory is known to be the primary means of bird-mediated dispersal for seeds and invertebrates at local, regional, and continental scales. Data supporting the role of bird-mediated endozoochory or ectozoochory in the long distance dispersal of bryophytes remain sparse, however, despite the large number of bryophytes displaying bipolar disjunctions. To determine if transequatorial migrant shorebirds may play a role in the ectozoochory of bryophyte diaspores, we developed a method for screening feathers of wild birds. We provide the first evidence of microscopic bryophyte diaspores, as well as those from non-bryophyte lineages, embedded in the plumage of long distance transequatorial migrant birds captured in their arctic breeding grounds. The number of diaspores recovered per feather suggests that entire migratory populations may be departing their northern breeding grounds laden with potentially viable plant parts and that they could thereby play significant roles in bipolar range expansions of lineages previously ignored in the migrant bird dispersal literature.

1 **Title:** First evidence of bryophyte diaspores in the plumage of transequatorial migrant birds.

2 **Authors:** Lily R. Lewis¹, Emily Behling¹, Hannah Gousse¹, Emily Qian¹, Chris S. Elphick¹, Jean-
3 François Lamarre², Joël Bêty², Joe Liebezeit³, Ricardo Rozzi⁴, Bernard Goffinet¹

4 **Affiliations:** ¹Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Connecticut,
5 Storrs, CT 06269, USA; ²Centre d'Études Nordiques et Département de Biologie, Université du
6 Québec à Rimouski, Québec, Canada, G5L 3A1; ³Audubon Society of Portland, OR 97210,
7 USA; ⁴Omora Ethnobotanical Park, Puerto Williams, Antarctic Province, Chile; Department of
8 Philosophy, University of North Texas, Denton TX 76201, USA.

9 **Correspondence:** Lily R. Lewis. Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Connecticut,
10 75 N Eagleville Road, U-3043, Storrs, CT 06269 USA. Email: Lily.Lewis@uconn.edu

1 ✉lilyrlewis@gmail.com, emily.behling@uconn.edu, hgousse@gmail.com, emily.qian@uconn.edu, chris.elphick@uconn.edu,
2 jflamarre@gmail.com, joel_bety@uqar.ca, jliebezeit@audubonportland.org, ricardo.rozzi@unt.edu, bernard.goffinet@uconn.edu

11 Introduction

12 Climate, geological processes, and long-distance dispersal shape global species
13 distributions. Although wind is the primary vector for long-distance dispersal (LDD) of
14 microscopic diaspores in the northern (Wilkinson *et al.*, 2012) and southern (Muñoz *et al.*, 2004)
15 hemispheres, it is an unlikely candidate for explaining bipolar disjunctions (i.e., transequatorial
16 distributions between high latitude areas), which characterize many sporic plants, including at
17 least 60 species of moss (Ochyra, 1992; Ochyra & Buck, 2003; Ochyra, Smith & Bednarek-
18 Ochyra, 2008), 17 liverwort species (Schuster, 1983; Bednarek-Ochyra *et al.*, 2000), and 160
19 lichen species (Øvstedal & Lewis Smith, 2001). Bipolar disjunctions at the species or
20 infrageneric levels largely originated in the Miocene through the Pleistocene (Wen & Ickert-
21 Bond, 2009; Popp, Mirré & Brochmann, 2011; Fernández-Mendoza & Printzen, 2013) and thus
22 correspond with the continued presence of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (Hyeong *et al.*,
23 2005), which produces a barrier to wind dispersal across low latitudes. This time frame predates
24 human activities, which in modern times have greatly expanded dispersal opportunities
25 (Wilkinson, 2010). Inferences based on molecular data have largely supported direct LDD across
26 the tropics as the process shaping bipolar disjunctions in plants and lichens (Wirtz, Printzen &
27 Lumbsch, 2008; Wen & Ickert-Bond, 2009; Kreier *et al.*, 2010; Popp, Mirré & Brochmann, 2011;
28 Piñeiro *et al.*, 2012), with only a few examples supporting ancient vicariance (Mao *et al.*, 2012)
29 or stepwise migration across the tropics (Fernández-Mendoza & Printzen, 2013). Bird migration
30 routes between boreal and austral regions provide a direct link between antipodal populations,
31 and hence migratory birds are routinely invoked as the dispersal vectors that account for bipolar
32 disjunctions (Du Rietz, 1940; Wen & Ickert-Bond, 2009; Popp, Mirré & Brochmann, 2011).
33 Evidence of birds dispersing sporic plant or lichen units (i.e., diaspores), as well as those of other
34 lineages, across the tropics, however, is exclusively circumstantial.

Birds may disperse diaspores internally (endozoochory) or externally (ectozoochory; Ridley, 1930; Carlquist, 1974). The feasibility of avian mediated endozoochory in the LDD of aquatic invertebrates and seed plants is well supported by diaspore retention times in captive birds and the survival of ingested diaspores (Proctor, 1968; Figuerola & Green, 2002) as well as the recovery of viable seeds from the dung of wild birds (Bruun, Lundgren & Philipp, 2008). Based on experimentally derived retention times and viability estimates paired with migratory movement data, modeling of potential dispersal distances supports intercontinental-scale movement of aquatic organisms and seeds (Viana *et al.*, 2013). The effects of avian ingestion on the spores of the liverwort *Riella* was explored by Proctor (1961) who demonstrated that viable spores could be recovered from the dung of domesticated mallard ducks (*Anas platyrhynchos*) after approximately 30 minutes. Spores of slime molds have been recovered from the dung of migratory songbirds (Suthers, 1985). Furthermore, bryophyte spores and vegetative diaspores have been shown to withstand ingestion by slugs (*Arion vulgaris*, *A. rufus*, and *Limax cinereoniger*; Boch *et al.*, 2013), or by the spectacled flying fox (*Pteropus conspicillatus*; Parsons *et al.*, 2007), respectively. Studies on seed plants have shown that the effects of internal passage vary according to bird species and seed (Traveset, Riera & Mas, 2001), but in general, diaspore viability decreases with increasing exposure to a bird's digestive tract (van Leeuwen *et al.*, 2012).

Alternatively, ectozoochory requires that (1) diaspores become attached to the exterior of a bird prior to migration, and (2) remain on the bird over the course of the journey (Figuerola & Green 2002). Comparisons of endozoochory and ectozoochory of aquatic invertebrates and seed plants suggest a secondary role of ectozoochory in terms of number and diversity of diaspores dispersed (Brochet *et al.*, 2010; Sánchez *et al.*, 2012; Costa *et al.*, 2013). Several studies, however, suggest that ectozoochory may provide an important means of dispersal for fungi or sporic plants. Viable fungal spores were recovered from the feathers of wild birds up to 45 days after inoculation (Warner & French, 1970) and diaspores of lichen-forming fungi can become

attached to the feet of albatross (Bailey & James, 1979). Screening of the coats and hooves of roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*), wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) (Heinken *et al.*, 2001) and domesticated sheep (Pauliuk, Müller & Heinken, 2011) showed that mosses, most notably pleurocarpous mosses, are commonly carried by mammals, despite lacking any specialized means of adhering to them (Sorensen, 1986). Although birds are known to actively transport bryophytes locally for use as nesting material (Osorio-Zuñiga, Fontúrbel & Håkan 2014), evidence for avian ectozoochory playing a role in the regional or global dispersal of bryophytes is lacking. To test this hypothesis we sought to assess whether the first condition for ectozoochory of bryophytes would be met, namely do potential vectors between high latitude ecosystems harbor bryophyte diaspores.

To evaluate the first condition for ectozoochory by transequatorial migrant birds, we developed a method for microscopically screening feathers for diaspores. We present the results from the screening of 23 individual birds, representing eight transequatorial long-distance migrant species in the order Charadriiformes (shorebirds) captured in their arctic breeding grounds. We provide empirical evidence demonstrating that the first condition for ectozoochorous LDD is met, and with a frequency that suggests that birds are active carriers of diaspores and thus may play a critical role in shaping global species distributions.

Methods

Feather samples were collected from transequatorial migrant birds in their breeding ranges at a site along the Ikpikpuk River, U.S.A. (approximate location: 70.55343° N, 154.69750° W; United States Department of the Interior, permit # 23566) and Bylot Island, Nunavut Territory, Canada (approximate locations: 73.15623° N, 79.97065° W; and 72.89216° N, 79.90510° W; Comité de protection des animaux de l'Université du Québec à Rimouski, permit # CPA-42-10-77 - R1) (Fig. 1) between late May and July of 2008 through 2013 by members of the Arctic Shorebird Demographic Network. Target bird species were selected based on migration paths connecting the Northern and Southern Hemispheres, having breeding and wintering ranges

in habitats where bryophytes are abundant, and all represent members of the order Charadriiformes. Feather sampling times correspond with the availability of mature bryophyte spores, and preceded the commencement of fall migration. Individual birds were captured using bow nets positioned on nests. When triggered, the bow nets formed a dome over the nest so birds were not immobilized or pressed against the ground, thus lowering the risk that diaspores were picked up due to extraordinary circumstances. Birds were held by grasping the back with clean hands or using nitrile gloves. Feathers were sampled from the base of the breastbone, to minimize invasiveness of feather removal, and based on our prediction that the ventral surface of birds is most likely to make contact with mature bryophyte sporophytes, which are typically erect, or vegetative parts of bryophyte mats. Three to six contour and undercoat feathers were collected using tweezers from each bird and immediately placed into a clean paper envelope. If prebasic molt had begun, older-generation feathers were selected. Following collection, feathers were stored in sealed paper envelopes at room temperature. Contour and undercoat feathers collected from a single individual on the same date were pooled for screening. Feathers from 23 individual birds, representing 8 species, American golden-plover (*Pluvialis dominica*; n = 11 individuals), red phalarope (*Phalaropus fulicarius*; n = 3), red-necked phalarope (*Phalaropus lobatus*; n = 2), ruddy turnstone (*Arenaria interpres*; n = 1), dunlin (*Calidris alpina*; n = 1), Baird's sandpiper (*Calidris bairdii*; n = 1), white-rumped sandpiper (*Calidris fuscicollis*; n = 1), and semipalmated sandpiper (*Calidris pusilla*; n = 3) were screened (Table 1).

All screening was performed in a laminar flow hood in which the surface of the work area and all materials were sterilized with 10 % bleach, 70 % ethanol and 15 minutes of ultraviolet light exposure. The laminar flow hood was located in a laboratory where bryophytes are not handled. Feathers were placed in a sterile 1.5 mL centrifuge tube with 350 μ L of autoclaved distilled water, and vortexed for 5 min at 2,500 rpm to dislodge any particulate matter attached to the feather. The sample was then centrifuged for 5 min at 14,000 g to collect the particulate

matter in the base of the microcentrifuge tube. The feather was removed from the tube and placed in a second sterile 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube for drying. The wash water was then re-centrifuged for 3 min in case the pellet was disturbed when the feather was removed from the tube.

Three hundred μL of supernatant were pipetted off and discarded following the second centrifuging to reduce the sample size for efficient screening. The tube with the remaining 50 μL of the wash water was vortexed to redistribute the pellet, and the solution divided into two 25 μL -samples for microscopic examination. Samples were screened at 40X magnification. The presence of any putative diaspores was recorded and photographed with a Nikon Coolpix E995 camera.

Results & Discussion

We recovered 23 structures representing bryophytes (mosses and liverworts), cyanobacteria, algae and fungi from the small down and contour breast feathers of two semipalmated sandpipers, two red phalaropes, and three American golden-plovers; Table 1; Fig 1; Fig 2). Two of the recovered structures are moss leaf fragments. The first is composed of dimorphic cells, with relatively thin chlorophyllose cells alternating with wide hyaline cells, characteristic of *Sphagnum* leaves (Goffinet, Buck & Shaw, 2009; Fig. 1A). The second has smooth elongate cells, most likely representing a moss from the class Bryopsida, potentially belonging to the family Bryaceae s. lat. or to the the pleurocarpous mosses (superorder Hypnanae; Goffinet, Buck & Shaw, 2009; Fig. 1C). The third bryophyte structure is a liverwort elater, an elongated cell with two helical thickenings (Crandall-Stotler, Stotler & Long, 2009; Fig. 1B). Elaters are dead, hygroscopic structures in the capsule that aid in the dispersal of the spores. The presence of an elater in the plumage highlights that even rare structures, available during limited periods of the year may be picked-up by birds. The recovery of two vegetative

diaspores suggests that unspecialized diaspores, which are available consistently throughout the year, may play an important role in ectozoochory of bryophytes.

The behavior of these transequatorial migrant birds in their northern breeding grounds likely promotes their inadvertent acquisition of diaspores. American golden-plovers, semipalmated sandpipers, and red phalaropes all breed in coastal tundra (Tracy, Schamel & Dale, 2002; Hicklin & Gratto-Trevor, 2010; Johnson & Connors, 2010), where bryophytes are common. Shallow nests are constructed by scraping depressions into the ground with breast, feet and beaks, and are commonly lined with plant materials (Tracy, Schamel & Dale, 2002; Hicklin & Gratto-Trevor, 2010; Johnson & Connors, 2010). Timing of molt and migratory behavior will affect the likelihood of attached diaspores being dispersed across the birds' migratory range. Molt in American golden-plovers and semipalmated sandpipers takes place primarily after concluding southward migration, but may occasionally commence before they leave the Arctic (Pyle, 2008; Hicklin & Gratto-Trevor, 2010; Johnson & Connors, 2010). Red phalaropes replace most body feathers prior to, and flight feathers after, southward migration (Tracy, Schamel & Dale, 2002; Pyle, 2008). American golden-plovers and semipalmated sandpipers make only terrestrial stopovers throughout their migration to South America (Hicklin & Gratto-Trevor, 2010; Johnson & Connors, 2010), while red phalaropes travel largely over the ocean and spend the non-breeding period offshore (Tracy, Schamel & Dale, 2002). The individuals screened for this study were sampled between June and July (with one exception being a ruddy turnstone sampled on May 31st). Fall migrations in the species found to be vectors commence as early as July for semipalmated sandpipers and red phalaropes, and August for American golden-plovers (Tracy, Schamel & Dale, 2002; Hicklin & Gratto-Trevor, 2010; Johnson & Connors, 2010), which overlaps with the production of spores by many arctic bryophyte species. Vegetative fragments are constantly available and do not require any temporal correlations between vectors and diaspores. The post-migratory molt and terrestrial destinations of American golden-plovers and

semipalmated sandpipers are compatible with the requirements for dispersal across the equator and subsequent establishment of diaspores. The majority of migratory shorebirds with non-breeding grounds in the Southern Hemisphere provide similar opportunities for diaspore dispersal, with the molt typically occurring on the southern non-breeding grounds (Pyle, 2008).

Prior evidence of ectozoochory by birds has shown that this process plays a role in the dispersal of aquatic invertebrates (Dundee, Phillips & Newsom, 1967; Green & Figuerola, 2005; Sánchez *et al.*, 2012), seed plants (Brochet *et al.*, 2010; Costa *et al.*, 2013), and fungi (Warner & French, 1970). Sporic plants commonly exhibit broad intercontinental disjunctions, even across disjunctions that lack wind connectivity. Despite this situation, little work has been done to investigate the possibility of long distance ectozoochory of sporic plants by birds. Our observations provide unequivocal evidence of bryophyte, fungal and protist diaspores, embedded within the plumage of transequatorial migrant birds, demonstrating that the first condition for bird-mediated ectozoochory is met. Furthermore, our study suggests that vegetative fragments may be significant dispersal units for ectozoochory of bryophytes, supported more broadly by the screening of non-avian vectors (Heinken *et al.*, 2001; Pauliuk, Müller & Heinken, 2011), relative to anemochory whereby spores may be the primary dispersal units. Experimental studies comparing the ability of spores and vegetative fragments to attach and be carried by animal vectors will be necessary to explore this hypothesis further.

The potential of diaspores to establish new populations depends on their sustained viability over the course of dispersal. In bryophytes, resistance of spores to the extreme conditions associated with LDD by wind is a determinant of a given species' potential for wind mediated range expansions, with continental endemics displaying greater vulnerability during transport than transoceanic disjunct species (van Zanten, 1978). The conditions experienced by diaspores trapped in the plumage of a bird are plausibly less severe than those associated with high atmospheric wind dispersal. Bryophytes are well known for their physiological drought

tolerance and the totipotency of their vegetative tissues, which can develop mature plants even after severe grinding (Shaw, 1986), rapid passage through a mammalian digestive tract (Parsons *et al.*, 2007) and even after being frozen under a glacier for 400 years (La Farge, Williams & England, 2013). Future work will explicitly address the second condition for successful ectozoochory by employing culturing techniques to assess viability of recovered diaspores and DNA barcoding for diaspore identification. Based on the general literature supporting the resilience of vegetative bryophyte diaspores, however, resistance to dispersal conditions is unlikely a strong selective force governing the effectiveness of bird-mediated ectozoochory and associated range expansions (Sánchez, *et al.*, 2012).

Establishment of a new population is a stochastic event following any mode of dispersal, and may pose the greatest challenge toward range expansions. Considering that 23 diaspores were recovered from seven out of 23 birds sampled, the frequency with which birds may externally transport such structures over time may be sufficiently high to explain bipolar distributions. Extrapolated to entire migratory populations, which range in size in North America from 500,000 individuals for American golden-plovers to 2,260,000 individuals for semipalmated sandpipers (Andres *et al.*, 2012), hundreds of thousands of diaspores may be transported annually across the Equator, dramatically increasing the probability of successful dispersal, establishment and thereby range extension.

Acknowledgements: Louise Lewis provided access to a laminar flow hood, Richard Lanctot helped in the submission of this project to the Arctic Shorebird Demographic Network, the Arctic Shorebird Demographic Network provided support and collaboration, Margaret Rubega and Matthew Chmielewski provided advice on project development, Susan Hochgraf assisted with preliminary protocol testing, Rafael Medina provided laboratory assistance, and Cameron Rutt

207 contributed bird photos. Andy Green, Casper van Leeuwen, and one anonymous reviewer
208 provided valuable feedback on the initial submission of this work.

209 Literature Cited

- 210 Andres BA, Smith PA, Morrison RIG, Gratto-Trevor CL, Brown SC, Friis CA. 2012. Population
211 estimates of North American shorebirds, 2012. *Wader Study Group Bulletin* 119:178-194.
- 212 Bailey R, James P. 1979. Birds and the dispersal of lichen propagules. *Lichenologist* 11:105-106.
- 213 Bednarek-Ochyra H, Vana J, Ochyra R, Lewis Smith RI. 2000. *The liverwort flora of Antarctica*.
214 Poland: W. Szafer Institute of Botany, Polish Academy of Sciences.
- 215 Boch S, Berlinger M, Fischer M, Knop E, Nentwig W, Türke M, Prati D. 2013. Fern and
216 bryophyte endozoochory by slugs. *Oecologia* 172:817-822.
- 217 Brochet AL, Guillemain M, Fritz H, Gauthier-Clerc M, Green AJ. 2010. Plant dispersal by teal
218 (*Anas crecca*) in the Camargue: duck guts are more important than their feet. *Freshwater*
219 *Biology* 55:1262-1273.
- 220 Bruun HH, Lundgren R, Philipp M. 2008. Enhancement of local species richness in tundra by
221 seed dispersal through guts of muskox and barnacle goose. *Oecologia* 155:101-110.
- 222 Carlquist S. 1974. *Island biology*. New York & London: Columbia University Press.
- 223 Costa MJ, Ramos JA, da Silva LP, Timoteo S, Araújo PM, Felgueiras MS, Rosa A, Matos C,
224 Encarnação P, Tenreiro PQ, Heleno RH. 2014. Endozoochory largely outweighs
225 epizoochory in migrating passerines. *Journal of Avian Biology* 45:59-64.
- 226 Crandall-Stotler B, Stotler RE, Long DG. 2009. Morphology and classification of the
227 Marchantiophyta. In: Goffinet B & Shaw AJ, ed, *Bryophyte biology*, 2nd ed. Cambridge:
228 Cambridge University Press, 1-54.

- 229 Du Rietz GE. 1940. Problems of bipolar plant distribution. *Acta Phytogeographica Suecica*
230 13:215-282.
- 231 Dundee DS, Phillips PH, Newsom JD. 1967. Snails on migratory birds. *Nautilus* 80:89-91.
- 232 Fernández-Mendoza F, Printzen C. 2013. Pleistocene expansion of the bipolar lichen *Cetraria*
233 *aculeata* into the Southern hemisphere. *Molecular Ecology*, 22, 1961-83.
- 234 Figuerola J, Green AJ. 2002. Dispersal of aquatic organisms by waterbirds: a review of past
235 research and priorities for future studies. *Freshwater Biology* 47:483-494.
- 236 Goffinet B, Buck WR, Shaw AJ. 2009. Morphology and classification of Bryophyta. In: Goffinet
237 B & Shaw AJ, ed, *Bryophyte biology*, 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press,
238 55-138
- 239 Green AJ, Figuerola J. 2005. Recent advances in the study of long-distance dispersal of aquatic
240 invertebrates via birds. *Diversity and Distributions* 11:149-156.
- 241 Hicklin P, Gratto-Trevor CL. 2010. Semipalmated sandpiper (*Calidris pusilla*). In: Poole A ed,
242 *The Birds of North America Online*. Ithaca: Cornell Lab of Ornithology.
- 243 Heinken T, Lees R, Raudnitschka D, Runge S. 2001. Epizoochorous dispersal of bryophyte stem
244 fragments by roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) and wild boar (*Sus scrofa*). *Journal of*
245 *Bryology* 23:293-300.
- 246 Hyeong K, Park S, Yoo CH, Kim K. 2005. Mineralogical and geochemical compositions of the
247 eolian dust from the northeast equatorial Pacific and their implications on paleolocation of
248 the Intertropical Convergence Zone. *Paleoceanography* 20:PA1010.
- 249 Johnson OW, Connors PG. 2010. American Golden-Plover (*Pluvialis dominica*). In: Poole A ed,
250 *The Birds of North America Online*. Ithaca: Cornell Lab of Ornithology
- 251 Kreier HP, Feldberg K, Mahr F, Bombosch A, Schmidt AR, Zhu RL, von Konrat M, Shaw B,
252 Shaw AJ, Heinrichs J. 2010. Phylogeny of the leafy liverwort *Ptilidium*: Cryptic

- 253 speciation and shared haplotypes between the Northern and Southern Hemispheres.
- 254 *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 57:1260-1267.
- 255 La Farge C, Williams KH, England JH. 2013. Regeneration of Little Ice Age bryophytes
- 256 emerging from a polar glacier with implications of totipotency in extreme environments.
- 257 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 110:9839-9844.
- 258 Mao K, Milne RI, Zhang L, Peng Y, Liu J, Thomas P, Mill RR, Renner SS. 2012. Distribution of
- 259 living Cupressaceae reflects the breakup of Pangea. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
- 260 *Sciences* 109:7793-7798.
- 261 Muñoz J, Felicísimo AM, Cabezas F, Burgaz AR, Martínez I. 2004. Wind as a long-distance
- 262 dispersal vehicle in the Southern Hemisphere. *Science* 304:1144-1147.
- 263 Ochyra R. 1992. *Amblyodon dealbatus* (Musci, Meesiaceae) - A bipolar disjunct. *Fragmenta*
- 264 *Floristica et Geobotanica* 37:251-259.
- 265 Ochyra R, Smith L, Bednarek-Ochyra H. 2008. *The illustrated moss flora of Antarctica*. New
- 266 York: Cambridge University Press.
- 267 Ochyra R, Buck WR. 2003. *Arctoa fulvella*, new to Tierra del Fuego, with notes on trans-
- 268 American bipolar bryogeography. *Bryologist* 106:532-538.
- 269 Osorio-Zuñiga F, Fontúrbel FE, Håkan R. 2014. Evidence of mutualistic synzoochory between
- 270 cryptogams and hummingbirds. *Oikos* 123:553-558.
- 271 Øvstedal DO, Lewis Smith RI. 2001. *Lichens of Antarctica and South Georgia: A guide to their*
- 272 *identification and ecology*. Studies in Polar Research. Cambridge: Cambridge University
- 273 Press.
- 274 Parsons JG, Cairns A, Johnson CN, Robson SKA, Shilton LA, Westcot DA. 2007. Bryophyte
- 275 dispersal by flying foxes: a novel discovery. *Oecologia* 152:112-114.

- 276 Pauliuk F, Muller J, Heinken T. 2011. Bryophyte dispersal by sheep on dry grassland. *Nova*
277 *Hedwigia* 92:327-341.
- 278 Piñeiro R, Popp M, Hassel K, Listl D, Westergaard KB, Flatberg KI, Stenøien HK, Brochmann C.
279 2012. Circumarctic dispersal and long-distance colonization of South America: the moss
280 genus *Cinclidium*. *Journal of Biogeography* 39:2041-2051.
- 281 Popp M, Mirré V, Brochmann C. 2011. A single Mid-Pleistocene long-distance dispersal by a bird
282 can explain the extreme bipolar disjunction in crowberries (*Empetrum*). *Proceedings of*
283 *the National Academy of Sciences* 108:6520-6525.
- 284 Proctor VW. 1961. Dispersal of *Riella* spores by waterfowl. *Bryologist* 64:58-61.
- 285 Proctor VW. 1968. Long-distance dispersal of seeds by retention in digestive tract of birds.
286 *Science* 160:321-322.
- 287 Pyle P. 2008. *Identification guide to North American birds, part II*. Point Reyes Station, CA:
288 Slate Creek Press.
- 289 Ridgeley RS, Allnutt TF, Brooks T, McNicol DK, Mehlman DW, Young BE, Zook JR, BirdLife
290 International. 2012. Digital distribution maps of the birds of the Western Hemisphere,
291 version 5.0. In BirdLife International & NatureServe Bird species distribution maps of the
292 world Version 2.0. Arlington, USA: BirdLife International, Cambridge, UK and
293 NatureServe.
- 294 Ridley HN. 1930. *The dispersal of plants throughout the world*. Ashford, England: L. Reeve &
295 Co. Ltd.
- 296 Sánchez MI, Hortas F, Figuerola J, Green AJ. 2012. Comparing the potential for dispersal via
297 waterbirds of a native and an invasive brine shrimp. *Freshwater Biology* 57:1896-1903.
- 298 Schuster RM. 1983. Chapter 10. Phytogeography of the Bryophyta. In Schuster RM, ed, *New*
299 *manual of Bryology*. Nichinan, Miyazaki, Japan: Hattori Botanical Laboratory.

- 300 Shaw AJ. 1986. A new approach to the experimental propagation of bryophytes. *Taxon* 35:671-
301 675.
- 302 Sorensen AE. 1986. Seed dispersal by adhesion. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*
303 17:443-463.
- 304 Suthers HB. 1985. Ground-feeding migratory songbirds as cellular slime mold distribution
305 vectors. *Oecologia* 65:526-530.
- 306 Tracy DM, Schamel D, Dale J. 2002. Red Phalarope (*Phalaropus fulicarius*). In: Poole A, ed, *The*
307 *birds of North America online*. Ithaca: Cornell Lab of Ornithology.
- 308 Traveset A, Riera N, Mas RE. 2001. Passage through bird guts causes interspecific differences in
309 seed germination characteristics. *Functional Ecology* 15:669-675.
- 310 van Leeuwen CHA, van der Velde G, van Groenendael JM, Klaassen M. 2012. Gut travellers:
311 internal dispersal of aquatic organisms by waterfowl. *Journal of Biogeography* 39:2031--
312 2040.
- 313 Viana DS, Santamaria L, Michot TC, Figuerola J. 2013. Migratory strategies of waterbirds shape
314 the continental-scale dispersal of aquatic organisms. *Ecography* 36:430-438.
- 315 van Zanten BO. 1978. Experimental studies on trans-oceanic long-range dispersal of moss spores
316 in the Southern Hemisphere. *Journal of the Hattori Botanical Laboratory* 44:455-482.
- 317 Warner GM, French DW. 1970. Dissemination of fungi by migratory birds: survival and recovery
318 of fungi from birds. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 48:907-910.
- 319 Wen J, Ickert-Bond SM. 2009. Evolution of the Madrean-Tethyan disjunctions and the North and
320 South American amphitropical disjunctions in plants. *Journal of Systematics and*
321 *Evolution* 47:331-348.
- 322 Wilkinson DM. 2010. Have we underestimated the importance of humans in the biogeography of
323 free-living terrestrial microorganisms? *Journal of Biogeography* 37:393-397.

- 324 Wilkinson DM, Koumoutsaris S, Mitchell EAD, Bey I. 2012. Modelling the effect of size on the
325 aerial dispersal of microorganisms. *Journal of Biogeography* 39:89-97.
- 326 Wirtz N, Printzen C, Lumbsch HT. 2008. The delimitation of Antarctic and bipolar species of
327 neuropogonoid *Usnea* (Ascomycota, Lecanorales): a cohesion approach of species
328 recognition for the *Usnea perpusilla* complex. *Mycological research* 112:472-484.

Table 1 (on next page)

Feather screening results.

Bird species screened, total number of individuals (and feathers) screened, total number of vectors detected (individual bird carrying diaspores) per species, and individual vector identities (reported as location and date of sampling) and number of diaspores recovered per individual. Collection localities are shown in Fig. 1D - F. Recovered diaspores are shown in Fig. 1A - C and Fig. 2 A - T. Thirteen diaspores were recovered from red phalarope Alaska-22-June-2013. This bird showed no signs of sickness and did not exhibit any peculiar behaviors. Seven individuals representing three species were found to be vectors out of a total of 23 individuals representing 8 species screened. Small sample sizes likely account for the absence of diaspores in some species. [b]

Bird species	Total individuals screened (# feathers)	Total # Vectors	Total # diaspores recovered	Vector ID	# Diaspores per vector	Figures(s)
American golden-plover	11 (23)	3	6	Canada-30-June-2011	2	1B, 2P
				Canada-7-July-2011	2	2I, N
				Canada-13-July-2013	2	2B, C
Semipalmated sandpiper	3 (21)	2	3	Alaska-5-July-2013	2	2D, T
				Alaska-6-July-2013	1	1A
Red phalarope	3 (14)	2	14	Alaska-22-June-2013	13 ^a	1C, 2A, F-H, J-M, O, Q-S
				Alaska-29-June-2013	1	2E
Red-necked phalarope	2 (8)	0	-	-	-	-
Ruddy turnstone	1 (3)	0	-	-	-	-
Dunlin	1 (2)	0	-	-	-	-
Baird's sandpiper	1 (1)	0	-	-	-	-
White-rumped sandpiper	1 (1)	0	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	23 (73)	7	23	-	-	-

^aRed phalarope Alaska-22-June-2013 harbored more diaspores than could be reliably counted, thus 13 representative diaspores were photo-documented.

Figure 1

Bryophyte diaspores and their vectors.

Three bryophyte diaspores, (A) *Sphagnum* leaf fragment, (B) liverwort elater, and (C) Bryopsid moss leaf fragment recovered from (D) semipalmated sandpiper (Alaska-6-July-2013), (E) American golden-plover (Canada-30-June-2011 and (F) red phalarope (Alaska-22-June-2013), respectively. 5 μ m horizontal scale bars are in the lower right corner of each diaspore image. Maps show Western Hemisphere breeding, migratory, and wintering distributions as well as rare sightings for each bird species (Ridgeley *et al.*, 2012) . The migratory and wintering range for red phalaropes (F) overlaps. Bird photo credits: Cameron Rutt.

Figure 2

Diaspores recovered from the feathers of 23 birds.

Twenty of a total of 23 putative diaspores recovered from breast feathers of migratory shorebirds in their breeding ranges. A-G, K, and M are believed to represent green algae or cyanobacteria; H & I meiotic spores, with L representing an immature meiotic product; J, N, and O are multicellular plant fragments; P-T are fungal spores. 5 μ m horizontal scale bars are in the lower right corner of each image. Bryophyte diaspores are shown in Fig. 2 with their vectors. Vectors for each diaspore are listed in Table 1. [b]