Information from dynamic length changes improves reliability of static ultrasound fascicle length measurements

Jeroen Aeles Corresp., [1] , **Glen A Lichtwark** [2] , **Sietske Lenchant** [1] , **Liesbeth Vanlommel** [1] , **Tijs Delabastita** [1] , **Benedicte Vanwanseele** [1]

[1] Department of Kinesiology, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium
[2] School of Human Movement Studies, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

Corresponding Author: Jeroen Aeles
Email address: jeroen.aeles@kuleuven.be

Purpose. Various strategies for improving reliability of fascicle identification on ultrasound images are used in practice, yet these strategies are untested for effectiveness. Studies suggest that the largest part of differences between fascicle lengths on one image are attributed to the error on the initial image. In this study, we compared reliability results between four strategies.

Methods. Static single-image recordings and image sequence recordings during passive ankle rotations of the medial gastrocnemius were collected. Images were tracked by three different raters. We compared results from uninformed fascicle identification (UFI) and results with information from dynamic length changes, or data-informed tracking (DIT). A second test compared tracking of image sequences of either fascicle shortening (initial-long condition) or fascicle lengthening (initial-short condition).

Results. Intra-class correlations (ICC) were higher for the DIT compared to the UFI, yet yielded similar standard error of measurement (SEM) values. Between the initial-long and initial-short conditions, similar ICC values, coefficients of multiple determination, mean squared errors, offset-corrected mean squared errors and fascicle length change values were found for the DIT, yet with higher SEM values and greater absolute fascicle length differences between raters on the first image in the initial-long condition and on the final image in the initial-short condition.

Conclusions. DIT improves reliability of fascicle length measurements, without lower SEM values. Fascicle length on the initial image has no effect on subsequent tracking results. Fascicles on ultrasound images should be identified by a single rater and care should be taken when comparing absolute fascicle lengths between studies.

Information from dynamic length changes improves reliability of static ultrasound fascicle length measurements

Jeroen Aeles[1], Glen A. Lichtwark[2], Sietske Lenchant[1], Liesbeth Vanlommel[1], Tijs Delabastita[1], Benedicte Vanwanseele[1]

[1]**KU Leuven - University of Leuven** Department of Kinesiology, Human Movement Biomechanics Research Group, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium
[2]**University of Queensland – St. Lucia** The School of Human Movement Studies, Centre for Sensorimotor Performance, Queensland, Australia

ORCID, Jeroen Aeles: 0000-0003-1514-4958

Corresponding author:

Jeroen Aeles

Human Movement Biomechanics Research Group
GBDN 02.15
Tervuursevest 101 - box 1501, 3001 Heverlee
KULeuven
Belgium
Jeroen.aeles@kuleuven.be
+32 16 37 90 29

Other authors:

Glen Lichtwark
School of Human Movement and Nutrition Sciences
Room 217
St Lucia, QLD 4072
The University of Queensland
Australia

Abstract

Purpose. Various strategies for improving reliability of fascicle identification on ultrasound images are used in practice, yet these strategies are untested for effectiveness. Studies suggest that the largest part of differences between fascicle lengths on one image are attributed to the error on the initial image. In this study, we compared reliability results between four strategies.

Methods. Static single-image recordings and image sequence recordings during passive ankle rotations of the medial gastrocnemius were collected. Images were tracked by three different raters. We compared results from uninformed fascicle identification (UFI) and results with information from dynamic length changes, or data-informed tracking (DIT). A second test compared tracking of image sequences of either fascicle shortening (initial-long condition) or fascicle lengthening (initial-short condition).

Results. Intra-class correlations (ICC) were higher for the DIT compared to the UFI, yet yielded similar standard error of measurement (SEM) values. Between the initial-long and initial-short conditions, similar ICC values, coefficients of multiple determination, mean squared errors, offset-corrected mean squared errors and fascicle length change values were found for the DIT, yet with higher SEM values and greater absolute fascicle length differences between raters on the first image in the initial-long condition and on the final image in the initial-short condition.

Conclusions. DIT improves reliability of fascicle length measurements, without lower SEM values. Fascicle length on the initial image has no effect on subsequent tracking results. Fascicles on ultrasound images should be identified by a single rater and care should be taken when comparing absolute fascicle lengths between studies.

Keywords: intra-rater, inter-rater, muscle, repeatability

Abbreviations

CMD	Coefficients of multiple determination
DIT	Data-informed tracking
ICC	Intra-class correlations
MSE	Mean squared error
SEM	Standard errors of measurement
UFI	Uninformed fascicle identification

Introduction

It has long been established that muscle fascicle length changes are decoupled from the length changes of the entire muscle-tendon unit (Hoffer et al. 1989; Fukunaga et al. 2001). Because of this, inferences about fascicle behavior from kinematic data are seriously erroneous and objective data of muscle fascicle lengths is needed. As such, an increased interest in identifying muscle fascicle geometry has been emerging, as muscle fascicle length and orientation can provide valuable information about muscle performance (e.g. Abe et al. 2000; Blazevich 2006; Farris et al. 2016) as well as clinical or training adaptations (Mohagheghi et al. 2007; Blazevich et al. 2014; Hoffman et al. 2016). Ultrasound imaging is the most commonly used method to determine muscle fascicle geometry, because it is cost- and time-effective and it allows for measurements in dynamic settings, such as walking (Fukunaga et al. 2001; Ishikawa et al. 2005), running (Lichtwark and Wilson 2006; Ishikawa et al. 2007), and jumping (Kurokawa et al. 2001; Farris et al. 2016).

Acquired ultrasound images are often analyzed by manually identifying muscle fascicles to obtain information about fascicle length and orientation (Cronin et al. 2011; Cronin and Lichtwark 2013). This has the potential to result in low reliability and low repeatability because of the subjective nature of this analysis. Studies on the reliability of fascicle identification from ultrasound images during a wide range of tasks, including measurements of muscle in a relaxed and contracted state, during walking, running and jumping, have reported standard error of measurement (SEM) percentages of 4.3 – 14.2% for inter-session (Kwah et al. 2013), 0.0 – 8.3% for inter-image (Kwah et al. 2013) and 3.8 - 7.5% for inter-rater (König et al. 2014; McMahon et al. 2016) analyses. Overall, these values remain rather high, considering the effect sizes generally reported in cross-sectional or longitudinal training studies (10-19%) (Abe et al. 2000; Fukutani and Kurihara 2015; Timmins et al. 2016) and in studies that compare fascicle length changes between various conditions within similar dynamic tasks (9-14%) (Lichtwark and Wilson 2006; Farris and Sawicki 2012; Brennan et al. 2016). It is therefore essential to explore methods for lowering fascicle identification errors and increasing reliability.

Even though manual fascicle identification remains the “gold standard”, it is likely to induce subjective errors, for example due to experimenter bias (Cronin et al. 2011). Various efforts have been made in the last two decades to objectify fascicle tracking on image sequences by development of (semi-) automated image-processing algorithms. These algorithms are mainly based on cross-correlation methods (Loram et al. 2003; Herbert et al. 2011) or optical flow modelling (Magnusson et al. 2003, Rana et al. 2009, Cronin et al. 2011; Farris and Lichtwark 2016) and allow for an automated tracking of visible structures on ultrasound image sequences. Most of these proposed automated methods have proven to accurately match manual trackings and allow for a more objective analysis. This makes automated processing an appealing alternative for manual processing as it is also less time-consuming and thus more efficient. In spite of these advantages of automated tracking, the errors made between consecutive trackings still remain rather high. Gillet et al. (2013) reported standard errors between 5 and 10% of absolute fascicle length, similar to manual tracking (Gillet et al. 2013; Kwah et al. 2013). They stated that this is most likely contributed to by the errors made on the required initial manual input, which is often required on the first frame of an image sequence for this type of automated tracking algorithm (Herbert et al. 2011; Cronin et al. 2011; Gillet et al. 2013; Farris and Lichtwark 2016). These authors suggest that the initial length estimate variability likely explains the greatest part of variability in fascicle tracking reliability and recommend efforts for improvement of the initial fascicle tracking.

Many studies on static muscle fascicle architecture use single-image ultrasound recordings (Abe et al. 2000; Karamanidis et al. 2011; Franchi et al. 2014; Aeles et al. 2017). For these studies it may be of even greater importance to find methods for improving the fascicle identification reliability, as the absolute fascicle lengths are generally the main outcome. A natural consequence of this single-image method is that there is no information prior to or after the initial image to help guide the researcher for accurate and reliable fascicle identification. It is often believed in practice that tracking of image sequences is more reliable than fascicle identification on single images as the researcher can focus on movement patterns to identify the correct fascicle orientation on the image sequences. Despite the recommendations by Gillet et al. in 2013, no studies, to the best of our knowledge, have focused on improving fascicle identification reliability for single-image and image sequence ultrasound recordings.

The aim of this study was therefore, to compare different strategies for ultrasound fascicle length measurements, commonly used in practice, in order to increase fascicle identification reliability between different raters. We used images of the medial gastrocnemius as this is a popular muscle for ultrasound measurements in vivo. Our first strategy test compared images from single-image fascicle identification, with no information before and after the image, i.e. uninformed fascicle identification (UFI) and the first images of image sequences with fascicle information after the initial image, i.e. data-informed tracking (DIT). We hypothesized the UFI to yield larger between-rater differences and lower reliability compared to the DIT. For our second strategy test, we compared ultrasound image sequences with two different fascicle starting lengths. We hypothesized lower between-rater differences and greater reliability when tracking fascicle shortening (initial frame has long fascicle lengths; further named ‘initial-long condition’) compared to tracking fascicle lengthening (initial frame has short fascicle lengths; further named ‘initial-short condition’). This hypothesis was based on the premise that fascicles are more clearly visible at long lengths, when they have their lowest pennation angle and therefore the fascicle structure is more perpendicular to the ultrasound waves, creating more defined images (Lichtwark 2017).

Materials & Methods

Participants

Ultrasound image sequences of passive ankle joint rotations were collected for 28 participants (13 female, 15 male; body height = 179.73 ± 8.21 cm; body mass = 73.01 ± 9.21 kg). From these 28 participants, 5 were randomly selected and single-image ultrasound recordings were taken for an intra-rater reliability test and the UFI analyses. All participants confirmed to participate in the study by written informed consent. Three independent researchers, who will be referred to as 'raters' were asked to participate in the study for the fascicle identification of the ultrasound images. All raters were experienced with identification and tracking of medial gastrocnemius fascicles and were not informed about the purpose of the study. The study was approved by the local ethics committee (UZ Leuven) and conforms to the recommendations of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Experimental protocol

For the assessment of the intra-rater reliability and the UFI analysis, single-image recordings of the medial gastrocnemius fascicles of the left and right leg were collected for 5 subjects. For each leg and subject, three images were taken at approximately the same mid-image location of the muscle with the ankle joint in a maximal dorsiflexed position. The fascicle length at this joint angle is equal to the fascicle length used in the initial-long condition for the DIT and thus these images were used to compare the UFI and DIT strategies. For the DIT and image sequence tracking analyses, ultrasound images of the medial gastrocnemius fascicles of the left and right leg were collected during passive rotations of the ankle joint. Subjects were laying in prone position on a table, with the knee and hip joint fully extended. During this passive trial, the ankle joint was manually rotated three times over the full range of motion with the subject fully relaxed. All ultrasound image recordings were captured using a Telemed Echoblaster 128 CEXT system (UAB Telemed, Vilnius, Lithuania). B-mode images were collected at 30 Hz for all measurements using a 128-element linear transducer (UAB Telemed, Vilnius, Lithuania, LV 7.5/60/128Z-2). The transducer was positioned longitudinally over the mid-belly of the medial gastrocnemius for all measurements.

Image processing protocol

All ultrasound images were processed using a semi-automated algorithm (Farris and Lichtwark 2016) in MATLAB R2014 (The Mathworks, Natick, US). The mathematical calculations used in the algorithm have been described elsewhere (Gillet et al. 2013; Cronin et al. 2011). This algorithm automatically processes ultrasound image sequences after identifying the end-points of the fascicle on the initial image. However, for this study, all raters were asked to identify the fascicle end-points manually on each frame. All raters used the same fascicle identification techniques: at first, two lines were manually drawn on the image, one over the deep aponeurosis and one parallel to the muscle fascicles with attachments to the deep and superficial aponeurosis. The length of this line represented the fascicle length and was calculated based on its relative length to the image depth, which was set during the measurements at 50 mm. Each rater received the same instructions for the fascicle identification: they were asked to track the initial image of each image sequence first and then, for the image sequences, manually adjust the fascicle end-points of the tracked fascicle for each frame. Raters could adjust the fascicle end-points on the initial image based on the fascicle information they obtained from the dynamic length changes of the fascicle on the subsequent frames (i.e. DIT). For all images, raters were instructed to focus on the middle region of the image for identification of the fascicle, as fascicle behavior may differ throughout the muscle belly (Lichtwark et al. 2007) and this ensures that most of the fascicle is visible in the image. For the fascicles with attachments outside of the image, visual linear extrapolation of the superficial aponeurosis only was used by the raters, ensuring that at least the attachment on the deep aponeurosis was visible throughout the whole image sequence. For the tracking of the passive rotation image sequences, the second of three full rotation cycles was extracted and split at the maximum fascicle length, resulting in one image sequence file with fascicle shortening (= initial-long) and one with fascicle elongation (= initial-short).

All raters followed the same order of analyzing the different ultrasound recordings. They were instructed to first track the static ultrasound images used for the reliability test and UFI analysis. Afterwards, each rater tracked the image sequences of the passive rotations. All raters first tracked all files containing the initial-long images and then all files containing the initial-short. Due to technical issues (e.g. no data of full ankle joint range of motion or missing data from one of the raters), 3 files from the initial-long condition and 6 files from the initial-short condition were excluded. As such, 53 sets of initial-long and 50 sets of initial-short image sequences were used for further analyses. In order to exclude tracking biases, all data was randomized per set of recordings and blinded for the raters. After data processing, fascicle length results from the left and right leg were combined for all data sets for analyses.

Data analyses

For the comparison between UFI and DIT, inter-rater intra-class correlations (ICC) (2,1; single) and SEM values were calculated between the different raters using SPSS v.22 software (IBM SPSS, New York, U.S.A.). This was done using all of the 30 single-image recordings for the UFI condition and the first image of each of the 53 initial-long image sequences for the DIT condition.

Each of the analyses further described were done for both initial-long and initial-short fascicle conditions. All image sequence waveforms were low-pass filtered using a fourth order Butterworth filter (MATLAB R2014, The Mathworks, Natick, US). The initial-long and initial-short strategies were compared for the DIT condition, using the first image of each of the 53 initial-long and the first image of each of the 50 initial-short image sequences. Coefficients of multiple determination (CMD) were calculated between the waveforms of two of the raters and the corresponding waveform of a reference rater. The reference rater was chosen based on the results of an intra-rater ICC (2,1; single) and SEM analysis. For this, 10 of the 30 single-image recordings that were used for the UFI, were analysed three times non-consecutively by each rater for the assessment of the intra-rater reliability. ICC values for all raters were good to excellent with the lowest ICC equal to 0.78. SEM values were good to excellent with a maximal value of 3.73 mm. The ICC value of the reference rater was very high (0.98) and SEM was low (0.81 mm). Calculating the CMD values between the reference rater and each of the other raters resulted in two CMD values per set, which were first averaged per set and then averaged over all sets. To assess the absolute error, the mean squared error (MSE) was calculated. MSE was calculated as the mean of the squared difference between the waveforms of each of the rater at every data point. Again, MSE values were first averaged per set and then averaged over all sets. To test for the influence of the variability between raters on the initial image, we used the methods described by Gillet et al. (2013): all waveforms were corrected for their respective initial fascicle length and MSE analyses was repeated (= offset-corrected condition) (fig. 1B).

To evaluate the practical relevance and impact of the different strategies, the differences between raters for physiologically-relevant parameters were calculated (fig. 1A). A first parameter was the total fascicle length change over the full ankle joint range of motion, which was calculated as the difference between the longest and shortest length of the fascicle on one waveform. Secondly, to test for outcome differences in static muscle architecture studies that use single-image recordings, absolute fascicle lengths were compared for the three raters on the first and last image of each waveform. Results were calculated as absolute differences between the two respective raters. For all these parameters, the difference between the three raters were calculated per set of waveforms, after which these differences were first averaged per set of waveforms and then over all sets.

Results

ICC values were greater for the DIT as compared to the UFI, however similar SEM values were found (table 1). Between the initial-long and initial-short conditions, similar ICC values were found for the DIT. However, a higher SEM value was found in the initial-long condition compared to the initial-short condition.

CMD values were very high for both the initial-long and initial-short conditions and not significantly different between both conditions ($p = 0.390$) (table 2). MSE values were not significantly different between the two conditions for both the original waveforms ($p = 0.637$) as well as the offset-corrected waveforms ($p = 0.427$). However, MSE significantly decreased after offset correction ($p < 0.001$) in both conditions.

Differences in total fascicle length change between the reference rater and raters 1 and 2 are shown in figure 2. These differences between raters were not significantly different between the initial-long and initial-short conditions ($p = 0.108$) (table 3). Differences in fascicle length between the different raters were greater on the initial image in the initial-long condition compared to the initial-short condition (p = 0.005). On the final image, the differences in fascicle length were smaller in the initial-long condition compared to the initial-short condition (p = 0.003).

Discussion

In this study, we tested different strategies for ultrasound fascicle length measurements that are commonly being used in practice. Our first aim was to compare two strategies for use in studies that make use of single-image recordings for fascicle length. We made a comparison between single-image recordings with no information before or after the image of interest (UFI) and the initial frame of image sequences with information after the image of interest (DIT). There was a substantial difference between the DIT and UFI in ICC scores. However, SEM values were not different between the two conditions, suggesting that although the identification error was not influenced by the condition, the error was made in a more consistent manner. Raters often rely on the movement of the fascicle and changes in its orientation and length to help identify the correct movement patterns during fascicle identification. As this cannot be done on single-image fascicle lengths, our results suggest that it is worthwhile to record image sequences with fascicle movement, even for studies interested in single-image analyses. SEM values between different raters remain rather high (4.15 and 4.29 mm for DIT and UFI respectively) for both strategies, especially compared to intra-rater SEM values (average of 2.59 ± 1.56 mm), but are within the range reported in other studies (König et al. 2014; McMahon et al. 2016).

As the DIT strategy proved to be a more reliable method for single-image outcomes and it can be used in studies interested in fascicle length changes during dynamic activities, we aimed at further improving this method by employing our second strategy. For this strategy we compared two conditions with different fascicle lengths on the initial image, either initial-long or initial-short. ICC values for both conditions were similar, yet, against expectations, the SEM value for the initial-long condition was almost 1 mm greater compared to the initial-short condition. A reasonable explanation for this is that many times, in the initial-long images, fascicle attachments to the superficial aponeurosis are outside of the visible image area. In these cases, linear extrapolation of both the tracked fascicle and the aponeurosis was used visually by the raters, a common method in fascicle length measurements for ultrasound images. As such, efforts should be made to avoid this type of errors, for example by using suggested methods in which only the visible part of the fascicle is identified and then the whole fascicle length is calculated using extrapolation in the analyses only after identification of the fascicle on the image (Finni et al. 2003; Seiberl et al. 2010). To verify that this greater difference in fascicle length between the raters was actually due to the longer fascicle length together with the associated difficulties that were addressed earlier, and not due to the fact that this was the initial frame of the image, we compared the absolute MSE values between the raters on both the initial and final image of each waveform. Here we again found a significant difference between the two conditions, with a larger difference between the raters in the initial-long compared to the initial-short condition on the first image but the opposite, a larger difference between the raters in the initial-short compared to the initial-long conditions, on the final image. As such, it appears that this difference is indeed mainly a result of the difference in absolute fascicle length at that respective image, as also suggested by Gillet et al. (2013). Indeed, our results clearly show that the greater difference between raters on images with long fascicle length is due to the greater fascicle length and is independent of its relative position (i.e. first or final image) in the waveform, as the differences relative to the average fascicle length on that image were equal between the initial-long and initial-short condition for either the first (8.98 ± 5.12 % versus 8.12 ± 4.29 % respectively) or last (8.34 ± 4.97 % versus 8.89 ± 4.55 % respectively) frame.

Since many movements commonly assessed with ultrasound are cyclical movements, such as gait or passive and active ankle joint rotations, researchers are often allowed to choose at which part of an image sequence cycle they would like to start their fascicle tracking. Through personal communication, we established that many researchers in practice prefer to start tracking on lengthened fascicles and thus prefer the initial-long strategy as it is commonly believed that this results in lower fascicle identification errors for the rest of the image sequence. However, our results indicate no difference in inter-rater reliability, inter-rater waveform similarity (CMD), absolute differences between raters (MSE), and total fascicle length change differences between the two conditions. Overall, these results suggest that the length of the fascicle on the initial image does not influence the reliability of the subsequent tracking in image sequences.

When we corrected the fascicle length on each frame for the fascicle length on the initial image, MSE was significantly lowered. This shows that a large part of the variability between fascicle lengths of different raters is explained by the variability in fascicle length on the initial image. This finding was also reported by Gillet et al. (2013) when comparing automated trackings with different initial-image inputs by different raters. Combined with the very high CMD values found in this study, we can conclude that there was high similarity between the tracking of waveforms by different raters and that the main difference arises in the initial fascicle length estimation. As such, relative length changes of the fascicle are highly reliable between different raters, but less so in terms of absolute values.

Even though offset-correction is a successful strategy for lowering MSE values between different raters, it has no effect on physiologically-relevant parameters such as the total length change of the fascicle. To our knowledge, no other study has tested the reliability between raters for analyses of total fascicle length changes on ultrasound images. Yet, the observed differences in these length changes between raters in this study are in close proximity to reported effect sizes in total fascicle length changes (4 – 6 mm) of other studies (Duclay et al. 2009; Sakuma et al. 2012; Theis et al. 2013; Blazevich et al. 2014). Indeed, as can be seen on figure 2, these effect sizes are well within the range of the coefficients of repeatability (calculated as 1.96 times the standard deviation of differences between two raters). This means that there is a 95 % probability that differences in total fascicle length change between raters are greater than the actual effect size. As such, we suggest that processing of image sequences in studies that are interested in parameters such as absolute fascicle length changes, should not be performed by different raters, as could sometimes be preferable in large studies. Furthermore, this means that care should be taken when comparing absolute values of fascicle length changes between different studies. However, as we did not test within-rater reliability on the image sequences, we cannot conclude that processing of the images by a single rater is more reliable. Yet, it seems that the differences between the raters are rather consistent (fig. 2) in terms of over- or underestimating the total fascicle length change compared to the other raters. Together with the high CMD values between the waveforms of each set, this suggests that raters are consistent in their tracking both within one image sequence as well as between the different image sequences.

Conclusions and recommendations

In conclusion, we have shown that DIT does not result in lower SEM values but a higher reliability between the raters was found, suggesting a more consistent fascicle identification. SEM values were lower however when using initial-short image sequences, yet this effect may be cancelled when calculating differences relatively to the absolute fascicle length. Overall, studies interested in single-image fascicle lengths are advised to record image sequences with fascicle length changes prior to or after the fascicle length of interest. For these image sequences, we have shown that the difference in fascicle length on the initial image explains most of the variability between the fascicle lengths of different raters. Differences between raters in fascicle lengths of image sequences are still relatively high, yet, in a relative sense, independent of the fascicle length on the initial image and the results show high similarity and consistency. However, caution is needed when comparing fascicle lengths between different studies, mainly in terms of absolute values (e.g. total fascicle length changes). Furthermore, both the intra-rater error as well as the inter-rater error should be taken into account when drawing conclusions from comparisons of absolute fascicle lengths in both static and active conditions either within one study or between different studies. SEM from our raters ranged from 1.5 to 5% of absolute fascicle length within one rater and was around 7% between different raters. These values are not far from the typically reported effect sizes mentioned in the introduction and are within the range of previously reported SEM values. As such, we recommend caution when drawing conclusions from fascicle length comparisons with differences below 10% of absolute fascicle length. Combined, our findings suggest that fascicle identification on ultrasound images is best done by one rater and that the error due to the manual fascicle identification should be taken into account when comparing absolute fascicle lengths. Finally, we urge that other strategies for improvement of the initial image fascicle identification on ultrasound images should be studied.

References

1. Abe T, Kumagai K, Brechue WF (2000) Fascicle length of leg muscles is greater in sprinters than distance runners. Med Sci Sports Exerc 32: 1125-1129
2. Aeles J, Lenchant S, Vanlommel L, Vanwanseele B (2017) Bilateral differences in muscle fascicle architecture are not related to the preferred leg in jumping athletes. Eur J Appl Physiol 117: 1453-1461
3. Blazevich AJ (2006) Effects of physical training and detraining, immobilization, growth and aging on human fascicle geometry. Sports Med 36: 1003-1017
4. Blazevich AJ, Cannavan D, Waugh CM, Miller SC, Thorlund JB, Aagaard P, Kay AD (2014) Range of motion, neuromechanical, and architectural adaptations to plantar flexor stretch training in humans. J Appl Physiol 117: 452-462
5. Brennan SF, Cresswell AG, Farris DJ, Lichtwark GA (2017) The effect of cadence on the muscle-tendon mechanics of the gastrocnemius muscle during walking. Scand J Med Sci Sports 27: 289-298
6. Cronin NJ, Carty CP, Barrett RS, Lichtwark GA (2011) Automatic tracking of medial gastrocnemius fascicle length during human locomotion. J Appl Physiol 111: 1491-1496
7. Cronin NJ, Lichtwark GA (2013) The use of ultrasound to study muscle–tendon function in human posture and locomotion. Gait Posture 37: 305-312
8. Duclay J, Martin A, Duclay A, Cometti G, Pousson M (2009) Behavior of fascicles and the myotendinous junction of human medial gastrocnemius following eccentric strength training. Muscle Nerve 39: 819-827
9. Farris DJ, Sawicki GS (2012) Human medial gastrocnemius force-velocity behavior shifts with locomotion speed and gait. Proc Natl Acad Sci 109: 977-982
10. Farris DJ, Lichtwark GA (2016) Ultratrack: software for semi-automated tracking of muscle fascicles in sequences of B-mode ultrasound images. Comput Methods Programs Biomed 128: 111-118

11. Farris DJ, Lichtwark GA, Brown NAT, Cresswell AG (2016) The role of human ankle plantar flexor muscle-tendon interaction and architecture in maximal vertical jumping examined in vivo. J Exp Biol 219: 528-534.
12. Farris DJ, Lichtwark GA, Brown NAT, Cresswell AG (2016) Deconstructing the power-resistance relationship for squats: a joint-level analysis. Scand J Med Sci Sports 26: 774-781
13. Finni T, Ikegawa S, Lepola V, Komi PV (2003) Comparison of force–velocity relationships of vastus lateralis muscle in isokinetic and in stretch-shortening cycle exercises. Acta Physiol Scand 177: 483-491
14. Franchi MV, Atherton PJ, Reeves ND, Flück M, Williams J, Mitchell WK, Selby A, Beltran Valls RM, Narici MV (2014) Architectural, functional and molecular responses to concentric and eccentric loading in human skeletal muscle. Acta Physiol (Oxf) 210: 642-654
15. Fukunaga T, Kubo K, Kawakami Y, Fukashiro S, Kanehisa H, Maganaris CN (2001) In vivo behavior of human muscle tendon during walking. Proc R Soc Lond B 268: 229-233.
16. Fukutani A, Kurihara T (2015) Comparison of the muscle fascicle length between resistance-trained and untrained individuals: cross-sectional observation. SpringerPlus 4: 341
17. Gillet JG, Barrett RS, Lichtwark GA (2013) Reliability and accuracy of an automated tracking algorithm to measure controlled passive and active muscle fascicle length changes from ultrasound. Comput Methods Biomech Biomed Engin 16: 678-687
18. Herbert RD, Clarke J, Kwah LK, Diong J, Martin J, Clarke EC, Bilston LE, Gandevia SC (2011) In vivo passive mechanical behaviour of muscle fascicles and tendons in human gastrocnemius muscle–tendon units. J Physiol 21: 5257-5267
19. Hoffer JA, Caputi AA, Pose IE, Griffiths RI (1989) Roles of muscle activity and load on the relationship between muscle spindle length and whole muscle length in the freely walking cat. Prog Brain Res 80: 75-85
20. Hoffman BW, Cresswell AG, Carroll TJ, Lichtwark GA (2016) Protection from muscle damage in the absence of changes in muscle mechanical behavior. Med Sci Sports Exerc 48: 1495–1505

21. Ishikawa M, Komi PV, Grey MJ, Lepola V, Bruggemann GP (2005) Muscle-tendon interaction and elastic energy usage in human walking. J Appl Physiol 99: 603–608
22. Ishikawa M, Pakaslahti J, Komi PV (2007) Medial gastrocnemius muscle behavior during human running and walking. Gait Posture 25: 380-384
23. Karamanidis K, Albracht K, Braunstein B, Catala MM, Goldmann JP, Brüggemann GP (2011) Lower leg musculoskeletal geometry and sprint performance. Gait Posture 34: 138-141
24. König N, Cassel M, Intziegianni K, Mayer F (2014) Inter-rater reliability and measurement error of sonographic muscle architecture assessments. J Ultrasound Med 33: 769-777
25. Kurokawa S, Fukunaga T, Fukashiro S (2001) Behavior of fascicles and tendinous structures of human gastrocnemius during vertical jumping. J Appl Physiol 90: 1349-1358.
26. Kwah LK, Pint RZ, Diong J, Herbert RD (2013) Reliability and validity of ultrasound measurements of muscle fascicle length and pennation in humans: a systematic review. J Appl Physiol 114: 761-769
27. Lichtwark GA (2017) Ultrasound technology for examining the mechanics of the muscle, tendon, and ligament. In: Müller B, Wolf SI (ed) Handbook of Human Motion, 1st edn. Springer International Publishing AG, Cham, pp 1-20
28. Lichtwark GA, Wilson AM (2006) Interactions between the human gastrocnemius muscle and the Achilles tendon during incline, level and decline locomotion. J Exp Biol 209: 4379-4388
29. Lichtwark GA, Wilson AM (2007) Muscle fascicle and series elastic element length changes along the length of the human gastrocnemius during walking and running. J Biomech 40: 157-164
30. Loram IA, Maganaris CN, Lakie M (2004) Paradoxical muscle movement in human standing. J Physiol 556: 683-689
31. Magnusson SP, Hansen P, Aagaard P, Brønd J, Dyhre-Poulsen P, Bojsen-Moller J, Kjaer M (2003) Differential strain patterns of the human gastrocnemius aponeurosis and free tendon, in vivo. Acta Physiol Scand 177: 185-195

32. McMahon JJ, Turner A, Comfort P (2016) Within- and between-session reliability of medial gastrocnemius architectural properties. Biol Sport 33: 185-188
33. Mohagheghi AA, Khan T, Meadows TH, Giannikas K, Baltzopoulos V, Maganaris CN (2007) Differences in gastrocnemius muscle architecture between the paretic and non-paretic legs in children with hemiplegic cerebral palsy. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon) 22: 718-724
34. Rana M, Hamarneh G, Wakeling JM (2009) Automated tracking of muscle fascicle orientation in B-mode ultrasound images. J Biomech 42: 2068-2073
35. Sakuma J, Kanehisa H, Yanai T, Fukunaga T, Kawakami Y (2012) Fascicle–tendon behavior of the gastrocnemius and soleus muscles during ankle bending exercise at different movement frequencies. Eur J Appl Physiol 112: 887-898
36. Seiberl W, Hahn D, Kreuzpointner F, Schwirtz A, Gastmann U (2010) Force enhancement of quadriceps femoris in vivo and its dependence on stretch-induced muscle architectural changes. J Appl Biomech 26: 256-264
37. Theis N, Korff T, Kairon H, Mohagheghi AA (2013) Does acute passive stretching increase muscle length in children with cerebral palsy. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon) 28: 1061-1067
38. Timmins RG, Bourne MN, Shield AJ, Williams MD, Lorenzen C, Opar DA (2016) Biceps femoris architecture and strength in athletes with a previous anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Med Sci Sports Exerc 48: 337-345

Figure captions

Fig. 1. Example set of three waveforms without (A) and with (B) the initial-frame offset removed, one from each rater, for the initial-long condition. The black solid waveform is the tracking from the reference rater. Data analyses methods and results are shown for (the differences between) the grey dashed waveform and the black solid waveform as an example. Note that the values in the results section are average differences between all three waveforms.

Fig. 2. Bland-Altman plot of the difference in total fascicle length change during the passive rotation between rater 1 (R_1) and the reference rater (R_{ref}) (black *) and rater 2 (R_2) and R_{ref} (grey ◊) on the y-axis. X-axis shows the total length change values of R_{ref} for the initial-long condition. Mean differences between R_1 and R_{ref} are shown by the black solid line and between R_2 and R_{ref} by the grey solid line. The black dashed lines give the upper and lower boundary of 1.96 times the standard deviation (SD) for the difference between R_1 and R_{ref}, the grey dotted lines show this for the difference between R_2 and R_{ref}.

Figure 1

Analyses example

Example set of three waveforms without (A) and with (B) the initial-frame offset removed, one from each rater, for the initial-long condition. The black solid waveform is the tracking from the reference rater. Data analyses methods and results are shown for (the differences between) the grey dashed waveform and the black solid waveform as an example. Note that the values in the results section are average differences between all three waveforms.


A
R² = 0.99
MSE = 6.05 mm
Δ Length change = 3.78 mm
Δ First image difference = 7.85 mm
Δ Final image difference = 4.07 mm
First image difference
Total fascicle length change
Final image difference
Fascicle length (mm)
80
75
70
65
60
55
50
45
0
20
40
60
80
100
Normalised number of frames
B
R² = 0.99
MSE = 1.81 mm
Δ Fascicle length (mm)
10
5
0
-5
-10
-15
-20
-25
0
20
40
60
80
100
Normalised number of frames

Figure 2

Bland-Altman plot

Bland-Altman plot of the difference in total fascicle length change during the passive rotation between rater 1 (R_1) and the reference rater (R_{ref}) (black *) and rater 2 (R_2) and R_{ref} (grey ◊) on the y-axis. X-axis shows the total length change values of R_{ref} for the initial-long condition. Mean differences between R_1 and R_{ref} are shown by the black solid line and between R_2 and R_{ref} by the grey solid line. The black dashed lines give the upper and lower boundary of 1.96 times the standard deviation (SD) for the difference between R_1 and R_{ref}, the grey dotted lines show this for the difference between R_2 and R_{ref}.



Table 1(on next page)

DIT – UFI comparison results

Intra-class correlations and standard error of measurement values (mm) for the data-informed tracking (DIT) and uninformed fascicle identification (UFI).

Tables

Table 1. DIT – UFI comparison results

Condition		DIT	UFI
Initial-long	ICC	0.818	0.666
	SEM	4.15	4.29
Initial-short	ICC	0.857	/
	SEM	3.24	/

Intra-class correlations and standard error of measurement values (mm) for the data-informed tracking (DIT) and uninformed fascicle identification (UFI).

Table 2 (on next page)

CMD results for the initial-long and initial-short conditions

Values are means ± SD. Coefficient of multiple determination (CMD) values approaching 1 denote high similarity of waveforms. Mean squared error (MSE) values are in mm. * is significantly different compared to the offset-corrected condition ($p < 0.05$).

Tables

Table 2. CMD results for the initial-long and initial-short conditions

Condition	R^2	MSE	MSE [offset-corrected]
Initial-long	0.98 ± 0.05	5.07 ± 2.61 *****	2.75 ± 1.38
Initial-short	0.98 ± 0.02	5.30 ± 2.36 *****	2.52 ± 1.52

Values are means ± SD. Coefficient of multiple determination (CMD) values approaching 1 denote high similarity of waveforms. Mean squared error (MSE) values are in mm. ***** is significantly different compared to the offset-corrected condition ($p < 0.05$).

Table 3(on next page)

Differences between raters for physiologically-relevant parameters for the initial-long and initial-short conditions.

Values are means ± SD. All values represent the differences between values of the different raters for that respective parameter. Fascicle length differences are in mm. $^{\$}$ = significantly different from the initial-short condition.

Tables

Table 3. Differences between raters for physiologically-relevant parameters for the initial-long and initial-short conditions

Condition	Total fascicle length change	Fascicle length - first image	Fascicle length - final image
Initial-long	4.83 ± 2.56	6.14 ± 3.16 $^{\$}$	4.42 ± 2.58 $^{\$}$
Initial-short	3.97 ± 2.80	4.54 ± 2.43	6.15 ± 3.11

Values are means ± SD. All values represent the differences between values of the different raters for that respective parameter. Fascicle length differences are in mm. $^{\$}$ = significantly different from the initial-short condition.