The Parenting to Reduce Adolescent Depression and Anxiety Scale: Assessing parental concordance with parenting guidelines for the prevention of adolescent depression and anxiety disorders

Mairead C Cardamone-Breen [1] , **Anthony F Jorm** [2] , **Katherine A Lawrence** [1] , **Andrew J Mackinnon** [2,3] , **Marie B H Yap** Corresp. [1,2]

[1] School of Psychological Sciences, Monash Institute of Cognitive and Clinical Neurosciences, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

[2] Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

[3] Black Dog Institute, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

Corresponding Author: Marie B H Yap
Email address: marie.yap@monash.edu

Background. Despite substantial evidence demonstrating numerous parental risk and protective factors for the development of adolescent depression and anxiety disorders, there is currently no single measure that assesses these parenting factors. To address this gap, we developed the *Parenting to Reduce Adolescent Depression and Anxiety Scale (PRADAS)* as a criterion-referenced measure of parental concordance with a set of evidence-based parenting guidelines for the prevention of adolescent depression and anxiety disorders. In this paper, we used a sample of Australian parents of adolescents to: 1) validate the PRADAS as a criterion-referenced measure; 2) examine parental concordance with the guidelines in the sample; and 3) examine correlates of parental concordance with the guidelines.

Methods. 711 parents completed the PRADAS, as well as two established parenting measures, and parent-report measures of adolescent depression and anxiety symptoms. 660 adolescent participants (aged 12 to 15) also completed the symptom measures. Concordance with the guidelines was assessed via nine subscale scores and a total score. Reliability of the scores was assessed with an estimate of the agreement coefficient, as well as 1-month test-retest reliability. Convergent validity was examined via correlations between the scale and two established parenting measures.

Results. One proposed subscale was removed from the final version of the scale, resulting in a total of eight subscales. Reliability was high for the total score, and acceptable to high for seven of the eight subscales. One-month test-retest reliability was acceptable to high for the total score. Convergent validity was supported by moderate to high correlations with two established measures of parenting. Overall, rates of parental concordance with the guidelines were low in our sample. Higher scores were associated with being female and higher levels of parental education. Greater parental concordance with the guidelines was associated with fewer symptoms of depression and anxiety in adolescent participants.

Discussion. This initial validation study provides preliminary support for the reliability and validity of the PRADAS. The scale has potential for use in both clinical and research settings. It may be used to identify parents' strengths and potential targets for intervention, and as an outcome measure in studies of preventive parenting interventions.

1 **The Parenting to Reduce Adolescent Depression and Anxiety Scale: Assessing parental**

2 **concordance with parenting guidelines for the prevention of adolescent depression and**

3 **anxiety disorders**

5 Mairead C Cardamone-Breen[1], Anthony F Jorm[2], Katherine A Lawrence[1], Andrew J
6 Mackinnon[2,3,] Marie B H Yap[1,2]

7 [1] School of Psychological Sciences, Monash Institute of Cognitive and Clinical Neurosciences,
8 Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.

9 [2] Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, University of Melbourne, Melbourne,
10 Victoria, Australia.

11 [3] Black Dog Institute, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia.

14 Corresponding Author:

15 Dr Marie Yap

16 School of Psychological Sciences, Monash University, 18 Innovation Walk, Clayton, VIC, 3800,
17 Australia.

18 Email address: marie.yap@monash.edu

19   **Abstract**

20   **Background.** Despite substantial evidence demonstrating numerous parental risk and protective

21   factors for the development of adolescent depression and anxiety disorders, there is currently no

22   single measure that assesses these parenting factors. To address this gap, we developed the

23   *Parenting to Reduce Adolescent Depression and Anxiety Scale (PRADAS)* as a criterion-

24   referenced measure of parental concordance with a set of evidence-based parenting guidelines

25   for the prevention of adolescent depression and anxiety disorders. In this paper, we used a

26   sample of Australian parents of adolescents to: 1) validate the PRADAS as a criterion-referenced

27   measure; 2) examine parental concordance with the guidelines in the sample; and 3) examine

28   correlates of parental concordance with the guidelines.

29   **Methods.** 711 parents completed the PRADAS, as well as two established parenting measures,

30   and parent-report measures of adolescent depression and anxiety symptoms. 660 adolescent

31   participants (aged 12 to 15) also completed the symptom measures. Concordance with the

32   guidelines was assessed via nine subscale scores and a total score. Reliability of the scores was

33   assessed with an estimate of the agreement coefficient, as well as 1-month test-retest reliability.

34   Convergent validity was examined via correlations between the scale and two established

35   parenting measures.

36   **Results.** One proposed subscale was removed from the final version of the scale, resulting in a

37   total of eight subscales. Reliability was high for the total score, and acceptable to high for seven

38   of the eight subscales. One-month test-retest reliability was acceptable to high for the total score.

39   Convergent validity was supported by moderate to high correlations with two established

40   measures of parenting. Overall, rates of parental concordance with the guidelines were low in our

41   sample. Higher scores were associated with being female and higher levels of parental education.

42 Greater parental concordance with the guidelines was associated with fewer symptoms of

43 depression and anxiety in adolescent participants.

44 **Discussion.** This initial validation study provides preliminary support for the reliability and

45 validity of the PRADAS. The scale has potential for use in both clinical and research settings. It

46 may be used to identify parents' strengths and potential targets for intervention, and as an

47 outcome measure in studies of preventive parenting interventions.

48   **Introduction**

49   Depression and anxiety disorders are among the largest contributors to global burden of

50   disease, and are leading causes of disability in young people (Kessler et al., 2007; Mathews et

51   al., 2011; Patel et al., 2007). These disorders have peak onset early in life, with approximately

52   half of all cases emerging by age 14, and 75% by 24 years (Kessler et al., 2005). Early onset of

53   depression and anxiety is associated with a cascade of negative long-term sequelae (e.g.,

54   Copeland et al., 2009; Dekker et al., 2007; Woodward & Fergusson, 2001), leading to

55   recognition that prevention of these disorders early in life is a global healthcare priority (Patel et

56   al., 2007).

57   Existing research has demonstrated numerous risk and protective factors for the

58   development of depression and anxiety early in life, many of which are shared between the

59   disorders. The overlap of risk factors and high degree of comorbidity has led to the

60   recommendation of a transdiagnostic preventive approach (Garber, 2006). Many risk factors are

61   not modifiable (e.g., female gender, genetic disposition; Garber, 2006; Mathew et al., 2011;

62   Rapee et al., 2009; Van Voorhees et al., 2008), or are difficult to intervene with at an individual

63   level (e.g., socioeconomic status, family history of mental illness; Garber, 2006; Mathew et al.,

64   2011; Van Voorhees et al., 2008). However, a number of identified factors involve the family

65   environment, or can be detected and responded to by parents. For example, inter-parental

66   conflict, over-involvement, parental aversiveness, and lack of family support have been shown to

67   increase risk (Hankin, 2006; Rapee, 2012; Rapee, Schniering & Hudson, 2009; Van Voorhees et

68   al., 2008; Yap et al., 2014a); whereas parental warmth, family connectedness, and autonomy

69   granting reduce risk (Van Voorhees et al., 2008; Yap et al., 2014a). Thus, targeting specific risk

70   and protective factors that are within parents' control is likely to be effective in preventing

71   depression and anxiety in young people. Consideration of family and parenting factors in the

72   prevention of depression and anxiety has been recognised as a key research translation priority

73   (Avenevoli & Merikangas, 2006; Fisak et al., 2011; Restifo & Bögels, 2009). Promisingly, a

74   growing body of evidence supports the efficacy of preventive parenting interventions for

75   reducing risk of mental illness in children and adolescents (e.g., Sandler et al., 2011;

76   Siegenthaler, Munder, & Egger, 2012; Yap et al., 2016).

77   To translate research evidence related to the role of parenting in the prevention of

78   adolescent depression and anxiety, a set of guidelines were developed for parents of adolescents:

79   "How to Prevent Depression and Clinical Anxiety in Your Teenager: Strategies for Parents"

80   (henceforth *the Guidelines*; Parenting Strategies Program, 2013;

81   www.parentingstrategies.net/depression). The Guidelines were developed through a two-phase

82   process involving: 1) a systematic review of parental risk and protective factors for adolescent

83   depression and anxiety (Yap et al., 2014a); and 2) a Delphi expert consensus study to establish

84   parenting strategies that are important for the prevention of depression and anxiety disorders in

85   adolescents (Yap et al., 2014b). The Guidelines include 190 practical parenting strategies,

86   organised under 11 topics: you can reduce your child's risk of depression and anxiety problems;

87   establish and maintain a good relationship with your teenager; be involved and support

88   increasing autonomy; establish family rules and consequences; minimise conflict in the home;

89   encourage supportive relationships; encourage good health habits; help your teenager deal with

90   problems; help your teenager to deal with anxiety; encourage professional help-seeking when

91   needed; and don't blame yourself.

92   Despite the large body of research demonstrating the importance of parenting factors,

93   there is currently no single measure that specifically assesses the range of parenting factors

94   shown to influence the development of depression and anxiety in adolescents. Some factors, such

95   warmth, control, and aversiveness, have been extensively researched using widely-used

96   measures (e.g., the Parental Bonding Instrument, Parker, Tupling & Brown, 1979; the EMBU

97   [Egna Minnem av Barndoms Uppfostram], Perris et al., 1980; the Children's Report of Parental

98   Behavior Inventory, Schaefer, 1965). However, other factors (e.g., encouraging sociability,

99   encouraging good health habits) have limited research and no validated measures. In order to

100   effectively target the range of parenting risk and protective factors in preventive interventions, a

101   valid measure to assess these factors is needed. To address this gap, the current paper describes

102   the development and validation of the *Parenting to Reduce Adolescent Depression and Anxiety*

103   *Scale (PRADAS)* – a parent-report measure of parenting practices against the recommendations

104   in the Guidelines. As the Guidelines recommend specific, defined strategies, we developed the

105   scale as a *criterion-referenced* measure. In contrast to commonly used *norm-referenced* tests, in

106   which an individual's performance is compared with that of others, criterion-referenced tests

107   assess knowledge or skills against defined domains of content (Hambleton & Rogers, 1990).

108   These tests are used to determine whether an individual has reached a pre-defined level of

109   competence or mastery of a skill (Hambleton & Rogers, 1990). Norm-referenced tests are unable

110   to provide this information, hence are not appropriate when this is the purpose of assessment.

111   Based on the research informing the Guidelines, higher levels of parental concordance with the

112   Guidelines are expected to be protective against adolescent depression and anxiety disorders.

113   **Aims and hypotheses**

114   In this paper, we used a sample of Australian parents of adolescents aged 12 to 15 to: 1)

115   validate the newly developed PRADAS as a criterion-referenced measure of parental

116   concordance with the Guidelines; 2) examine levels of parental concordance with the Guidelines;

117   and 3) examine correlates of parental concordance with the Guidelines. We examined the

118   reliability and validity of the scale to fulfil the first aim. Reliability was assessed via an estimate

119   of the *agreement coefficient*, as well as 1-month test-retest reliability. Validity of the scale was

120   determined based on its face validity and convergent validity indicators. Convergent validity was

121   assessed via correlations between the scale and two previously validated measures of parenting:

122   the Inventory of Parent and Peer Attachment (IPPA) and the Emotions as a Child scale (EAC).

123   As these measures each have some overlapping content with our scale, we predicted at least

124   moderate correlations. We predicted positive correlations with the subscales assessing positive

125   parenting practices, and negative correlations with the subscales assessing undesirable parenting

126   practices. We also expected small to moderate correlations between the nine PRADAS subscales

127   and moderate to high correlations between the subscale scores and the total score. Finally, we

128   explored the correlates of parental Guidelines concordance with participant characteristics (e.g.,

129   demographics, mental health history, adolescent depression and anxiety symptoms). We

130   predicted small correlations between parental Guidelines concordance and symptoms of

131   depression and anxiety in adolescent participants. Examination of other correlates were

132   exploratory in nature, hence no hypotheses were specified.

133   **Methods**

134   **Participants and procedures**

135   Participants were parent-adolescent dyads participating in one of two randomised

136   controlled trials (RCTs) of online parenting interventions aimed at preventing adolescent

137   depression and anxiety disorders. Eligible participants were parents or primary caregivers of an

138   adolescent aged 12 to 15 years, who resided in Australia and had regular internet access. No

139   exclusion criteria were specified. Parent participation was not dependent on adolescent

140   participation; as such, parents could participate without their child. Only one parent-adolescent

141   dyad per family was eligible to participate. Recruitment was via online parenting networks,

142   social media, university networks, mental health organisations, and schools across Australia.

143   Ethics approval was obtained from the Monash University Human Research Ethics Committee

144   (approval numbers CF14/3886 - 2014002023 and CF14/3887 - 2014002024).

145   Parent participants self-selected by responding to advertisements and registering and

146   providing consent for themselves and their adolescent via the trial websites. Parents and

147   adolescents were provided with participant explanatory statements, detailing participation

148   requirements, privacy, and standard limits to confidentiality (e.g., disclosure of risk of harm to

149   self or others, child abuse). A member of the research team called adolescent participants to

150   explain the study and obtain their verbal assent. Adolescents who agreed to participate were

151   guided through their online baseline assessment over the phone, which included the child-report

152   version of the Spence Children's Anxiety Scale (SCAS) and Short Mood and Feelings

153   Questionnaire (SMFQ). Assistance to complete the measures was provided by the researcher

154   where necessary (e.g., in the case of learning disabilities). Adolescents were reimbursed for their

155   participation with an e-voucher. Following submission of the adolescent baseline assessment, an

156   automated email was sent to the parent participant containing the link to their baseline

157   assessment. Parent participants completed the PRADAS, and the parent-report versions of the

158   IPPA, EAC, SCAS, and SMFQ.

159   The two RCTs from which participants were drawn assessed similar interventions (i.e., a

160   brief and intensive version of the same online parenting program) and targeted similar

161   populations. Further, data used in the current paper was collected prior to any intervention being

162   received. It was therefore deemed acceptable to combine baseline data for the purposes of this

163   paper. Demographic characteristics of participants from the two samples were compared to

164   assess for significant differences on key variables. There were no significant differences between

165   samples for the following variables: parent or child age; parent or child gender; parent

166   employment status; parent marital status; parent-child relationship (i.e., mother/father/other);

167   parent- or child- report scores on the SCAS or SMFQ; or total score on the PRADAS (all ps >

168   .05). Significant differences were found for three demographic characteristics: 1) percentage of

169   parents speaking a language other than English (8.6% in sample 1 vs. 15.8% in sample 2, χ^2 [1,

170    $N = 711] = 8.62$, $p = .003$); 2) highest parent qualification, with a greater number of participants

171   in sample 1 having post-graduate qualifications (32.9% vs. 24.9%) and more parents in sample 2

172   having secondary school (year 7 to 12) qualifications (13.9% vs. 7.7%), χ^2 [5, $N = 711] = 15.75$,

173    $p = .008$; and 3) state of residence, with more participants in sample 2 residing in Victoria

174   (86.1% vs. 21.4%, χ^2 [7, $N = 711] = 316.63$, $p < .001$). As these variables were not outcomes, nor

175   expected to significantly affect results, we opted to combine the samples in order to attain a

176   larger and more diverse sample of parents in Australia.

177   A total of 350 and 361 parents registered and completed baseline assessments for the two

178   trials respectively. The final sample therefore comprised 711 parents. Most parents were female

179   (89.5%), with a mean age of 45.15 years ($SD = 5.66$). 660 adolescents also consented to

180   participate and completed baseline assessments. Adolescents had a mean age of 13.66 years (SD

181    $= 1.05$) and 47.3% were female. Table 1 presents additional participant demographic

182   characteristics.
183   Table 1

184   *Descriptive Statistics for Parent and Child Demographic Characteristics*

Parent/child characteristic	N	%
Parent relationship to child		
Mother	631	88.7
Father	73	10.3
Grandmother	2	0.3
Step-mother	2	0.3
Step-father	1	0.1
Aunt	1	0.1
Guardian	1	0.1
Parent relationship status		
Married/defacto	548	77.1
Separated/divorced	120	16.9
Single	37	5.2
Widowed	6	0.8
Family situation		
Intact family, child living with both parents	486	68.5
Separated parents, shared care	71	10.0
Child living with one parent (participant)	132	18.6
Child living with one parent (not participant)	9	1.3
Other	12	1.7
Parent employment status		
Working full time	319	44.9
Working part time	309	43.5
Unemployed	83	11.7
Studying (full or part time)	133	18.7
Parent education level		
Secondary school year 7 to 12	77	10.8
Trade/apprenticeship	7	1.0
TAFE certificate/other technical qualification	70	9.8
Diploma	126	17.7
Bachelor degree	226	31.8
Postgraduate	205	28.8
Language other than English spoken at home	87	12.2
State of residence		
Victoria	386	54.3
New South Wales	120	16.9
Queensland	78	11.0
Tasmania	54	7.6
South Australia	25	3.5
Australian Capital Territory	25	3.5
Western Australia	22	3.1
Northern Territory	1	0.1

185   *Note.* TAFE = Technical and Further Education.

186   **Measures**

187   **The Parenting to Reduce Adolescent Depression and Anxiety Scale (PRADAS)**. As

188   discussed, the PRADAS was developed as a criterion-referenced measure of parental

189   concordance with the Guidelines. The scale therefore assesses current parenting practices against

190   the highest-quality evidence for parental risk and protective factors for adolescent depression and

191   anxiety. The scale also forms part of two newly developed individually-tailored online parenting

192   interventions which are currently being evaluated in two RCTs (trial registrations available at:

193   https://www.anzctr.org.au/Trial/Registration/TrialReview.aspx?ACTRN=12615000247572,

194   https://www.anzctr.org.au/Trial/Registration/TrialReview.aspx?id=368274).

195   Item selection and writing are critical to the validity of criterion-referenced tests

196   (Hambleton & Rogers, 1990). The consensus of experts on the topic is one suggested way of

197   ensuring item validity (Hambleton & Rogers, 1990). The items were developed and

198   comprehensively revised during multiple workshops by authors M.C.B., M.Y., A.J., and K. L.

199   Two of the authors (M.Y. and A.J.) were authors of the Guidelines, as well as a number of

200   systematic reviews on the role of parenting in the prevention of child and adolescent depression

201   and anxiety (e.g., Yap et al., 2016; Yap & Jorm, 2015; Yap et al., 2014a). These authors also

202   have experience developing a similar criterion-referenced measure of parenting practices for the

203   prevention of adolescent alcohol misuse (Yap et al., 2011; Yap et al., 2015). The remaining

204   authors were a graduate clinical psychology student conducting doctoral research on the topic

205   (M.C.B), and an experienced clinical psychologist and academic with expertise in the field

206   (K.L.).

207   The original version of the scale included 79 items, across nine subscales (6-12 items

208   each), corresponding to the sections of the Guidelines. The first and last sections of the

209   Guidelines were not included in the scale, as they provide psychoeducation rather than specific

210   parenting recommendations. Table 2 presents a summary of the PRADAS subscales, Guideline

211   topics, and risk/protective factors assessed in each. Most questions relate to a specific parenting

212   behaviour recommended in the Guidelines (e.g., eating dinner together as a family), assessed on

213   a Likert-type frequency scale (e.g., never, rarely, sometimes, often). Other questions relate to

214   hypothetical situations (e.g., noticing a persistent change in the adolescent's behaviour), and

215   enquire how likely a parent is to take certain actions (e.g., very unlikely, unlikely, likely, very

216   likely). In an attempt to reduce response bias, twenty-six items were negatively worded or false-

217   positive questions (e.g., the opposite behaviour to the recommendation in the Guidelines).

218   Supplemental File 1 contains the scale items.

219   ***Face validity.*** The scale was designed to have face validity, based on the opinion of the

220   developing authors. This was confirmed via consultation with a reference group of parents of

221   adolescents (see below).

222   ***Consultation with target-end users.*** To ensure acceptability of the measure to target-end

223   users, we consulted a reference group of 22 parents (19 mothers, 3 fathers) of adolescents aged

224   11 to 18 years. Reference group parents were recruited via staff newsletters at Monash

225   University and the University of Melbourne, local school newsletters, and social media. Parents

226   attended one of three repeated focus group workshops ($n = 7$ to 8 per workshop), during which

227   they were shown a draft of the scale. Parents provided feedback about the presentation,

228   acceptability, wording, and order of items. Where possible, this feedback was incorporated into

229   the final version of the scale.

230   ***Scoring of the scale for Guidelines concordance***. As the scale is a criterion-referenced

231   measure, a cut-off score for mastery of each item and subscale was carefully selected by the

232   developing authors. Responses for each item were deemed either concordant or non-concordant,

233   based on the content of the Guidelines. Depending on the specific recommendation, some items

234   were given only one concordant response (e.g., for the false positive item "When [my teenager]

235   is upset, I encourage [him/her] to toughen up", only "never" was considered concordant).

236   However, we allowed more "lenient" scoring for items that may differ between families or

237   situations, but are still considered concordant with the Guidelines' recommendation (e.g., for the

238   item "I make time to ask [my teenager] about [his/her] day and what [he/she] has been doing",

239   answers of "often" or "sometimes" were considered concordant). Concordant item responses

240   were scored as 1 and non-concordant responses as 0. We summed the item scores to yield nine

241   subscale scores and a total score. The total score can therefore range from 0 to 79. Subscale

242   scores depend on the number of items in the subscale (see Table 2). A cut-off score was also set

243   to indicate concordance for each subscale (i.e., mastery of the skill area). These cut-off scores

244   were intentionally set to be high, so as to indicate close to absolute concordance with Guidelines.

245   We set this as our criterion for mastery, as the Guidelines are based on high quality research

246   evidence and international expert consensus. The recommendations are therefore the ultimate

247   goal which parents are encouraged to achieve. Concordance was set as one score less than 100%

248   for all subscales except for the *health habits* subscale, which is the longest subscale (12 items).

249   This subscale has seven items on general health habits (diet, physical activity, sleep hygiene) and

250   five items on alcohol or drug use. We allowed a total of two non-concordant responses in this

251   subscale (cut-off score of 10).

252 Table 2

253 *PRADAS Subscales, Guidelines Topics Covered, Number of Items, Risk/Protective Factor*
254 *Assessed, and Example Items*

PRADAS subscale	Guidelines topic covered	No. of items	Risk/protective factors covered	Example items[a]
Parent-child relationship	Establish and maintain a good relationship with your teenager	8	Parental warmth, aversiveness, affection, emotional availability	I let my [my teenager] know that I love [him/her]. I can tell when [my teenager] is open to talking with me.
Involvement	Be involved and support increasing autonomy	8	Parental over-involvement, autonomy granting, monitoring	I increase [my teenager]'s responsibilities and independence over time (e.g. let [him/her] make more decision about [his/her] life).
Relationships with others	Encourage supportive relationships	6	Parental encouragement of sociability	I encourage [my teenager] to spend time with [his/her] friends.
Family rules	Establish family rules and consequences	9	Consistency of discipline	Have you set specific, defined rules for [your teenager]'s behaviour? Was [your teenager] involved in developing the family rules?
Home environment	Minimise conflict in the home	8	Inter-parental conflict, parent-child conflict management, criticism, parental modelling of conflict management	If I argue with my partner, I make sure that [my teenager] can't hear. When I have an argument or conflict with [my teenager], I problem solve the issue with [him/her].
Health habits	Encourage good health habits	12	Diet, physical activity, sleep hygiene (7 items); responding to alcohol or drug use (5 items)	I have good health habits (i.e. healthy diet, regular exercise, responsible use of alcohol) myself. I allow my teenager to have an alcoholic drink at home to help [him/her] learn to drink responsibly. *(False positive)*.
Dealing with problems	Help your teenager to deal with problems	10	Problem solving, emotion regulation, stress management, modeling of problem solving approaches	I encourage [my teenager] to work towards realistic goals. I give up on tasks that prove to be too difficult. *(False positive)*.
Coping with anxiety	Help your teenager to deal with anxiety	9	Anxiety management (avoidance, exposure), modeling of anxiety management strategies	If my teenager takes steps to manage [his/her] anxiety, I praise [him/her] for doing it.
Professional help-seeking	Encourage professional help-seeking when needed	9	Professional help-seeking knowledge and behaviours (parent and child)	If you noticed a persistent change in [your teenager]'s mood or behaviour, how likely would you be to: Encourage [him/her] to talk to you about what's going on. Take [him/her] to a trained mental health professional.

255 [a] Square brackets in items denote personalisation with the adolescent's name and gender.

256   In addition to the PRADAS items, we collected parent-reported information on parent

257   and child demographic characteristics, parent and child history of mental illness, and parental

258   concern about their child's risk of developing depression and anxiety. Other measures used for

259   cross-validation of the PRADAS are described below.

260   **Inventory of Parent and Peer Attachment – Parent-report (IPPA-P; Armsden &**

261   **Greenberg, 1987).** The IPPA was originally developed as an adolescent-report measure of

262   attachment to parents, measuring three cognitive-affective dimensions of attachment: mutual

263   trust, quality of communication, and alienation in relationships. We administered an adapted 25-

264   item parent-report version of the scale (McElhaney et al., 2008; Allen, 2013, personal

265   communication) to parents. Items were scored on a 5-point Likert scale (never true, seldom true,

266   sometimes true, often true, almost always true). We calculated the three subscale scores (trust,

267   communication, and alienation) as well as a total score. The original version of the inventory has

268   demonstrated good internal consistency reliability (Cronbach's alpha .89 to .92) and three-week

269   test-retest reliability (.93; Greenberg & Armsden, 2009), as well as convergent validity with

270   other scales of family functioning and environment (Armsden & Greenberg, 1987; Gullone &

271   Robinson, 2005). The adapted version used in the current study has high correlations with the

272   original version (.92 to .98), and acceptable to high Cronbach's alphas (.70 to .89 for total score,

273   trust and communication subscales; .68 to .70 for the alienation subscale; Allen, 2013). A similar

274   parent-report version has also been found to have high internal consistency (total score

275   Cronbach's alpha = .92; McElhaney et al., 2008). Cronbach's alphas for our sample were high

276   for the total score (.90), acceptable for the trust (.87) and communication (.79) subscales, and just

277   below acceptable for the alienation subscale (.69).

278   **Emotions as a Child Scale (EAC; Magai, 1990, as cited in O'Neal & Magai, 2005).**

279   The EAC is a 45-item parent self-report inventory that assesses parental response to children's

280   expression of sadness, anger, and fear, according to five emotion socialisation strategies: support

281   (e.g., comforting or assisting the child); override (e.g., minimising the emotion); magnify (e.g.,

282   amplifying the emotion); neglect (e.g., not responding); and punish (e.g., critical or punishing

283   responses). We calculated both the emotion-specific and global subscale scores, yielding a total

284   of 15 emotion-specific subscales and five global emotion socialisation subscales. The EAC has

285   previously demonstrated acceptable to good internal consistency reliability (Garside & Klimes-

286   Dougan, 2002; Klimes-Dougan et al., 2007; O'Neal & Magai, 2005; Silk et al., 2011) and

287   acceptable one-week test-retest reliability (Klimes-Dougan et al., 2001, as cited in Garside &

288   Klimes-Dougan, 2002; O'Neal & Magai, 2005). Internal consistency reliability for the current

289   sample was acceptable to high for the global emotion socialisation subscales (Cronbach's alphas:

290   reward = .88; punish = .81; neglect = .79; override = .86; magnify = .84). Six of the 15 emotion-

291   specific subscales had Cronbach's alphas greater than .70, but the remaining nine ranged from

292   .55 to .68. We therefore opted to utilise the global emotion socialisation subscales in our

293   analyses.

294   **Spence Children's Anxiety Scale (SCAS; Spence, 1997).** The SCAS is a 45-item child-

295   report (SCAS-C) and 39-item parent-report (SCAS-P) measure that assesses six domains of

296   anxiety: separation anxiety, social phobia, obsessive-compulsive disorder, panic/agoraphobia,

297   generalised anxiety, and fear of physical injury. Respondents rate the degree to which the child

298   experiences a number of symptoms on a 4-point frequency scale (never, sometimes, often,

299   always). Six of the child-report items are non-scored filler items designed to reduce response

300   bias. Items are scored from 0 (never) to 3 (always), and summed to form a total anxiety score.

301   The SCAS total score has demonstrated high internal consistency reliability (Cronbach's alpha =

302   .93) and adequate test-retest reliability between three and six months (.63 and .60, respectively)

303   in children aged 8 to 14 years (Spence, 1997; Spence, 1998; Spence, Barret & Tuner, 2003). The

304   SCAS has also been normed on Australian school children aged 8 to 15 years, similar to the

305   current sample (Spence, 1998; Spence et al., 2003). We calculated the total score for parent- and

306   child-report versions, both of which had high internal consistency in our sample (Cronbach's

307   alphas: parent-report = .91; child-report = .94).

308   **Short Mood and Feelings Questionnaire (SMFQ; Angold et al., 1995).** The SMFQ is

309   a 13-item child- (SMFQ-C) and parent-report (SMFQ-P) scale assessing depressive symptoms in

310   children and adolescents. The scale is a shortened version of the original 32-item Mood and

311   Feelings Questionnaire (Angold et al., 1995). Respondents rate each item on a three-point scale

312   of 0 (not true), 1 (sometimes true) or 2 (true) to indicate the frequency of depressive symptoms in

313   the previous two weeks. The scale has been used widely in children aged 6 to 17 years, across

314   various samples including psychiatric, paediatric, and community populations (e.g., Angold et

315   al., 1995; Messer et al., 1995; Rhew et al., 2010; Thapar & McGuffin, 1998). The SMFQ has

316   been shown to correlate highly with other widely-used measures of depression in children and

317   with structured clinical interviews (Angold et al., 1995; Kovacs, 1984). The scale has also shown

318   high internal consistency reliability (Cronbach's alphas = .85 for child-report, .87 for parent-

319   report), and good sensitivity and specificity for detecting cases of depression (Angold et al.,

320   1995). Cronbach's alphas for our sample were high (.90 for parent-report; .91 for child-report).

321   **Data analysis.** Proportion of missing data was low at both the subject and item level.

322   Less than 5% of cases had missing data on any particular measure. All items on the PRADAS

323   were mandatory due to the programming of the online parenting interventions, therefore all

324   parents answered all items. Due to a technical error, four items had missing data for between one

325   and three participants. This was deemed to be missing completely at random and replaced using

326   Expectation Maximisation (EM) imputation. Overall proportion of missing data was less than

327   1% for all other measures. Missing data for the IPPA, EAC, SCAS and SMFQ were replaced

328   using EM for cases with less than 30% of data missing on any measure. Participants with

329   missing data greater than 30% on a measure were excluded from analyses.

330   Concordance with the Guidelines was initially examined with descriptive statistics for the

331   total and subscale scores. Frequencies were calculated for parent and child characteristics.

332   As the scale is a criterion-referenced measure, conventional internal consistency

333   reliability indices are not considered appropriate (Berk, 1980; Hambleton & Rogers, 1990;

334   Subkoviak, 1988). Alternative reliability indices for criterion-referenced tests include the

335   *agreement coefficient* and the *kappa coefficient* (Hambleton & Rogers, 1990; Subkoviak, 1988).

336   The agreement coefficient is a measure of the proportion of examinees consistently classified on

337   two or more administrations of a test; whereas the kappa coefficient reflects the gain in

338   consistency provided by using the test over an unreliable measure (Subkoviak, 1988). We chose

339   to utilise the agreement coefficient, as our purpose was to assess the overall consistency of the

340   measure in classifying parents as concordant or non-concordant with the Guidelines. Subkoviak

341   suggested that agreement coefficients of 0.75 or greater are acceptable in situations where half of

342   test-takers are masters and half are non-masters. Agreement coefficients increase as the

343   proportion of masters to non-masters becomes more dissimilar (Subkoviak, 1988). We utilised

344   the tables provided by Subkoviak to calculate an estimate of the agreement coefficient based on a

345   single administration of the measure, for the subscale scores and the total score.

346   Test-retest reliability was assessed by calculating the correlation between baseline and 1-

347   month follow-up assessment scores for a subset of participants ($N = 175$) in the waitlist control

348   group of one of the RCTs.

349   Validation of conventional norm-referenced tests typically involves structural modeling

350   techniques such as exploratory or confirmatory factor analysis to assess the extent to which items

351   represent underlying latent constructs. In contrast, criterion-referenced tests may assess a broad

352   range of skills within a given domain, all deemed necessary to achieve "mastery" of the domain.

353   The individual items comprising each domain may not be expected to reflect a single factor, as

354   items may represent distinct skills. As such, factor analyses of items may not be appropriate. In

355   the case of the current scale, the skills covered within each domain of the Guidelines were not

356   expected to uniformly represent nine distinct constructs or factors. Instead, we considered the

357   items to represent a set of skills that were deemed necessary to be concordant within a particular

358   parenting domain. As such, we did not employ conventional factor analytic techniques. Instead,

359   we were interested in the interrelationships between the domains and overall concordance with

360   the Guidelines. We examined the correlation matrix of subscale scores and the total score. We

361   predicted small to moderate correlations between the subscales, as each subscale was designed to

362   assess distinct parenting skills. As we expected concordance within one domain to be associated

363   with overall concordance, we predicted moderate to high correlations between the subscale

364   scores and total score.

365   To assess convergent validity of the scale, we examined correlations between the total

366   and subscale scores of our measure and those of the EAC and IPPA. Finally, we examined

367   bivariate correlations between parent and child characteristics (gender, age, education, mental

368   health history, scores on SCAS and SMFQ) and overall Guidelines concordance. We also

369   conducted exploratory analyses to assess the ability of the PRADAS to distinguish between

370   participants above and below clinical cut-offs on the SCAS and SMFQ, using logistic

371   regressions.

372   **Results**

373   **Parent and child mental health characteristics**

374   Table 3 presents frequencies for parent and child history of mental health problems and

375   parental concern about their adolescent's risk of developing depression or anxiety. Most parents

376   expressed at least "a little" concern about their adolescent's risk of developing depression

377   (79.9%) or anxiety (79.0%). Over half (58.5%) of parent participants reported experiencing

378   either past or current mental health problems themselves. Regarding adolescent mental health

379   history, parents reported that 15.1% of adolescents had been diagnosed with a mental health

380   problem in the past, and 22.1% believed that their child had experienced a mental health or

381   behavioural problem that had not been formally diagnosed. Similarly, 17.2% of adolescents were

382   reported to be experiencing current mental health issues, with 24.1% of parents concerned that

383   their child may be currently experiencing an undiagnosed mental health or behavioural problem.

384 Table 3

385 *Frequencies for Parental Concern about Adolescent Risk of Depression and Anxiety, and Parent*

386 *and Child History of Mental Health Problems*

Parent/child characteristic	*N*	%
Parental concern about child's risk of developing depression		
Not at all	139	19.5
A little	338	47.5
Yes	150	21.1
Very much so	80	11.3
Missing (declined to answer)	4	0.6
Parental concern about child's risk of developing an anxiety disorder		
Not at all	145	20.4
A little	323	45.4
Yes	151	21.1
Very much so	89	12.5
Missing (declined to answer)	3	0.4
Parental history or current mental health problem		
None	290	40.8
Yes, past history	277	39.0
Yes, current	75	10.5
Yes, past and current	64	9.0
Missing (declined to answer)	5	0.7
Child history of mental health or behavioural disorder diagnosis [a]		
None	425	59.8
Depression	15	2.1
Any anxiety disorder	50	7.0
Other mental health or behavioural disorder	56	7.9
No formal diagnosis, however I believe my child has experienced some emotional or behavioural problems	157	22.1
Missing (declined to answer)	34	4.8
Child current mental health or behavioural problems [a]		
None	437	61.5
Depression	24	3.4
Any anxiety disorder	79	11.1
Other mental health or behavioural disorder	55	7.7
No formal diagnosis, however I believe my child is currently experiencing some emotional or behavioural problems	171	24.1
Missing (declined to answer)	13	1.8

387 *Note. 'Other mental health or behavioural disorder'* category includes: Autism Spectrum

388 Disorder, Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder, Oppositional Defiant Disorder, Conduct

389 Disorder, learning difficulties, or any other disorder specified by parents.

390 [a] Percentages add to > 100% as multiple responses were allowed.

391 **Concordance with the Guidelines**

392   Table 4 presents descriptive concordance statistics for the nine subscales and the total

393 score. Concordance rates for most subscales were low, with less than 20% of parents scoring

394 within the concordant range for seven of the nine subscales. This may in part be due to the fact

395 that cut-off scores for concordance were intentionally set to be high. Two subscales were notable

396 exceptions to this pattern: 1) *Relationships with others* had a much higher concordance rate of

397 77.5%. The mean score of this subscale was close to the highest possible score (5.11 out of 6.00)

398 and there was less variance in responses, representing a ceiling effect; 2) *Professional help-*

399 *seeking*, which also had a higher concordance rate than other subscales, at 49.37%.

400   Further exploration of items within the *relationships with others* subscale revealed ceiling

401 effects for four of the six items (94% to 99% of participants concordant), explaining the overall

402 ceiling effect. These items assessed parental encouragement of socialisation, being kind to

403 others, and talking to the adolescent about social problems (see Supplemental File 1 for scale

404 items). The remaining two items in this subscale, which were both negatively worded, had

405 concordance rates of 70.2% and 53.2%.

406   Examination of the *professional help-seeking* subscale revealed ceiling effects for three

407 of the nine items. Two of these items assessed parental response to the question "If you noticed a

408 persistent change in your teenager's mood or behaviour, how likely would you be to: [insert

409 question]". 99% of parents responded that they would encourage their teenager to speak to them

410 about what was going on, and 98.7% endorsed that they would try to determine whether the

411 change was caused by a temporary situation or more ongoing problem. Further, 93% of parents

412 stated that they would know where to seek appropriate professional help for their teenager if

413 needed. Other items within this subscale had concordance rates between 45.9% and 83.1%.

414 Table 4

415 *Descriptive and Reliability Statistics for PRADAS Subscales and Total Score (N = 711)*

PRADAS subscale	Highest possible score[a]	Cut-off score for concordance	Observed minimum	Observed maximum	*M*	*SD*	% concordant	Agreement coefficient
Parent-child relationship	8.00	7.00	0.00	8.00	5.00	1.27	11.67	.84
Involvement	8.00	7.00	1.00	8.00	5.26	1.28	17.86	.77
Relationships with others	6.00	5.00	2.00	6.00	5.11	0.80	77.50	.68
Family rules	9.00	8.00	1.00	9.00	4.57	1.69	4.36	.98
Home environment	8.00	7.00	0.00	8.00	4.23	1.67	9.85	.89
Health habits	12.00	10.00	3.00	12.00	7.65	1.68	13.22	.81
Dealing with problems	10.00	9.00	1.00	10.00	6.93	1.75	18.28	.81
Coping with anxiety	9.00	8.00	1.00	9.00	6.14	1.51	11.95	.81
Professional help-seeking	9.00	8.00	2.00	9.00	7.30	1.28	49.37	.61
Total score	79.00	69.00	18.00	72.00	52.21	7.59	0.42	.97
Revised total score[b]	73.00	64.00	15.00	67.00	47.09	7.44	0.42	.97

416 [a] Lowest possible score for all (sub)scales is zero. Highest possible score is equal to the number
417 of items in each (sub)scale.
418 [b] Revised total score is the final total score, based on revisions discussed below. This score
419 excludes all items from the *relationships with others* subscale, which was removed from the final
420 version of the scale.
421
422 **Reliability of the scale**

423   Agreement coefficients for the subscales and the total score are presented in Table 4.

424 Agreement coefficients were above the recommended .75 level for seven subscales, and was

425 very high (.97) for the total score. Two subscales had lower reliability: *relationships with others*

426 (.68); and *professional help-seeking* (.61). Reliability was therefore deemed to be high for the

427 total score, acceptable to high for seven of the subscales, and questionable for two subscales.

428   Test-retest reliability was calculated for a smaller subsample of 175 participants in the

429 waitlist control group of one of the RCTs, based on data from baseline to 1-month follow-up

430 assessments. Test-retest reliability was deemed to be acceptable for the total score, $r = .76$, $p <$

431 .001. Subscale test-retest reliability ranged from .29 to .72 ($p < .001$), with five of the nine

432 subscales having test-retest reliability between .59 and .72 (see Table S1 for individual subscale

433 test-retest reliability).

434 **Correlations between subscale scores and total score**

435   We examined the correlation matrix of subscale scores and the total score (see Table 5).

436 With the exception of the *relationships with others* subscale, all subscales had significant

437 moderate to high correlations with the total score, as predicted. Also as hypothesised, most

438 subscales had small to moderate correlations with the other subscales, reflecting the unique skills

439 assessed within each domain. The *relationships with others* subscale had the lowest correlations

440 with other subscales.

441

442   Table 5

443   *Pearson's Correlations between PRADAS Subscale Scores and PRADAS Total Score (N = 711)*
444

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	Relationship								
2. Involvement	.35***	–							
3. Relationships with others	.09*	.21***	–						
4. Family rules	.31***	.31***	.03	–					
5. Home environment	.40***	.24***	.08*	.28***	–				
6. Health habits	.19***	.22***	.00	.23***	.18***	–			
7. Dealing with problems	.37***	.32***	.06	.44***	.33***	.30***	–		
8. Coping with anxiety	.29***	.26***	.12**	.31***	.29***	.19***	.49***	–	
9. Professional help-seeking	.21***	.20***	.07	.20***	.21***	.27***	.28***	.25***	–
Total score	.62***	.58***	.23***	.64***	.61***	.53***	.73***	.64***	.51***
Revised total score[a]	.62***	.57***	.13***	.65***	.61***	.54***	.74***	.64***	.51***

445   [a]Revised total score is the final total score, based on revisions discussed below. This score
446   excludes all items from the *relationships with others* subscale, which was removed from the final
447   version of the scale.
448   * *p* < .05, ** *p* < .01, *** *p* < .001
449

450   **Refinement of the scale based on analyses**

451   Based on the above analyses, we chose to remove the *relationships with others* subscale

452   from the final version of the scale for the following reasons: 1) there was a ceiling effect for the

453   subscale score, attributable to ceiling effects for four of the six items; 2) questionable reliability

454   (agreement coefficient = .68); and 3) this section had a low correlation with the total score (*r* =

455   .23; in contrast to all other subscales which had correlations ≥ .51) as well as low correlations

456   with the other subscales (*r*s .00 to .21). After removing the 6-item *relationships with others*

457   subscale, the *agreement coefficient* for the total score was unchanged (.97) and the test-retest

458   reliability of the total score increased slightly (from .76 to .78).

459   Although the *professional help-seeking* subscale also had ceiling effects for three out of

460   nine items, this may be at least partially attributable to the demographic characteristics of our

461   sample, rather than the items. Most parents were highly educated, and had already taken a step

462   towards seeking professional help by enrolling in an RCT aimed at reducing risk of depression

463   and anxiety in their adolescent. Moreover, given that many parents and adolescents in our sample

464   had a history of mental health issues, it is likely that these parents had greater knowledge of

465   mental health support services than parents who have never had reason to access these. Further,

466   the subscale total score did not have a ceiling effect, and the subscale had a moderate correlation

467   with the total score. We therefore chose to retain this subscale, despite its lower reliability.

468   All subsequent analyses are based on the revised total score calculated without the items

469   comprising the *relationships with others* subscale.

470   **Convergent validity**

471   To examine convergent validity, correlations between the total and subscale scores of the

472   PRADAS and the IPPA and EAC were examined (see Table 6). We predicted positive

473   correlations with all IPPA scores, as the items from the *alienation* subscale were already reverse

474   scored. Four of the five EAC subscales (punish, neglect, override, magnify) assess undesirable

475   parenting practices and were not reverse scored, hence we predicted our measure to correlate

476   negatively with these subscales and positively with the *reward* subscale. In support of the

477   convergent validity of the PRADAS, there was a moderate to strong positive correlation between

478   the PRADAS total score and the IPPA total score ($r = .61$, $p < .001$). The total score also had

479   moderate to strong correlations in the predicted directions with the trust and communication

480   subscales of the IPPA (rs = .56 and .61, ps < .001), as well as three of the five EAC global

481   subscales (reward, r = .59; punish, r = -.49; neglect r = -.56; all ps < .001). These subscales each

482   have some overlapping content with our scale, hence the strength of the correlations are

483   appropriate to the degree of overlap of constructs assessed. There were also small to moderate

484   correlations in the predicted direction with the IPPA alienation subscale (r = .43, p < .001), as

485   well as the EAC override and magnify subscales (rs = -.32 and - .36, ps < .001).

486   Examination of the correlations between the PRADAS subscale scores and subscales of

487   the IPPA and EAC also revealed small to moderate correlations in the predicted directions. For

488   example, the *parent-child relationship* subscale had a correlation of .51 (p < .001) with the IPPA

489   total score and trust subscale, and lower correlations with the communication and alienation

490   subscales (rs = .45 and .35, ps < .001), as well as the EAC reward, punish, and neglect subscales

491   (rs = -.42 to .46, all ps < .001). Likewise, the *involvement*, *dealing with problems*, and *coping*

492   *with anxiety* subscales all had small to moderate correlations in the predicted directions with

493   various subscales of the IPPA and EAC (see Table S2 for subscale correlations). Two subscales

494   of our measure assessed content not measured by the IPPA or the EAC: *health habits* and

495   *professional help-seeking.* As expected, these subscales had the lowest correlations with all

496   subscales of the IPPA and EAC (all $|r|$ < .32; see Table S2). Overall, we interpreted these results

497   as support for the convergent validity of the PRADAS.

498   Table 6

499   *Correlations between PRADAS Revised Total Score and Scores on the IPPA and EAC*

	N	Pearson's correlation with PRADAS revised total score
IPPA total score	709	.61
IPPA trust subscale	709	.56
IPPA communication subscale	709	.61
IPPA alienation subscale	709	.42
EAC reward global subscale	704	.59
EAC punish global subscale	704	-.49
EAC neglect global subscale	704	-.56
EAC override global subscale	704	-.32
EAC magnify global subscale	704	-.36

500   *Note.* IPPA = Inventory of Parent Peer Attachment, parent-report; EAC = Emotions as a Child
501   Scale.
502   *p*s < .001 for all correlations.
503

504   **Correlates of Guidelines concordance**

505   **Associations with adolescent symptoms.** To examine the associations between parental

506   concordance with the Guidelines and adolescent symptoms of anxiety and depression, we

507   conducted bivariate correlations between the PRADAS revised total score and the parent- and

508   child-report versions of the SCAS and SMFQ (see Table 7). There were small significant

509   correlations in the predicted direction for both parent-report symptom measures, with higher

510   parental concordance with the Guidelines associated with lower parent-reported adolescent

511   symptoms. Correlations were very low, yet significant, for the child-report measures. The

512   correlations between parent- and child-report symptom measures were moderate (SCAS: $r = .45$,

513    $p < .001$; SMFQ: $r = .47$, $p < .001$).

514   To further explore the relationship between PRADAS scores and adolescent symptoms,

515 we conducted *post hoc* exploratory analyses of participants above and below clinical cut-offs on

516 the SCAS and SMFQ (see Supplemental File 2 for details). Binary logistic regressions were

517 performed to assess the ability of the PRADAS to predict elevated symptom status (i.e., above or

518 below clinical cut-off) on each of the measures. The logistic regression models were statistically

519 significant for the SCAS-P (χ^2 [1] = 12.77 p < .001, 77.5% correctly classified), SMFQ-P (χ^2 [1]

520 = 21.88 p < .001, 77.4% correctly classified), and SMFQ-C (χ^2 [1] = 7.41 p = .006, 69.8%

521 correctly classified), but not for the SCAS-C (χ^2 [1] = 3.50 p = .061, 85.5% correctly classified).

522 Effect sizes were small (Cohen's ds = 0.15 to 0.31). Results of these analyses are presented in

523 Supplemental File 2, Table S3.

524 Table 7

525 *Correlations between PRADAS Revised Total Score, Parent- and Child-report SCAS and SMFQ*

526 *scores, and Parent and Child Characteristics*

	N	Pearson's correlation with PRADAS revised total score	*p*
Parent-report SCAS	708	-.13	< .001
Parent-report SMFQ	708	-.21	< .001
Child-report SCAS	660	-.08	.044
Child-report SMFQ	658	-.10	.011
Parent male gender	711	-.13	< .001
Child male gender	711	.04	.355
Parent age	711	.06	.086
Child age	711	-.05	.187
Parent education level	711	.11	.004
Parental concern re: child's risk of depression	707	-.14	< .001
Parent concern re: child's risk of anxiety	708	-.11	.005
Child current mental health problem	698	-.01	.722
Child history of mental health diagnosis	677	-.02	.694
Parent history or current mental health problem	706	-.05	.224

527 *Note.* SCAS = Spence Children's Anxiety Scale; SMFQ = Short Mood and Feelings
528 Questionnaire. Parent and child gender coded as 0 = female, 1 = male; parent education level
529 coded on an 6-point scale following Australian national education standards (higher values
530 represent higher levels of education; Australian Qualifications Framework Council, 2013);
531 parental concern about child's risk of depression and anxiety coded from 1 = not at all concerned
532 to 4 = very much concerned; child and parent mental health characteristics coded as 0 = no
533 history/current mental health diagnosis (including parent-reported potential undiagnosed
534 problem), 1 = history/current mental health diagnosis.

535

536

537 **Associations with participant characteristics.** Finally, we explored the correlations

538 between parent and child characteristics (gender, age, mental health history, parental concern

539   about child's risk of depression/anxiety) and overall Guidelines concordance. As shown in Table

540   7, there were significant small positive correlations between overall Guidelines concordance and

541   parent female gender, higher parent education level, and lower parental concern about child's

542   risk of depression or anxiety. Parent or child age, child gender, and parent or child history of

543   mental health diagnosis were not correlated with Guidelines concordance.

Discussion

545   The primary aim of this study was to validate the newly developed *Parenting to Reduce*

546   *Adolescent Depression and Anxiety Scale* as a criterion-referenced measure of concordance with

547   a set of evidence-based guidelines, which recommend parenting strategies for the prevention of

548   adolescent depression and anxiety. To do this, we examined the reliability and convergent

549   validity of the measure. Our second aim was to examine levels of concordance with the

550   Guidelines in a large sample of Australian parents of adolescents aged 12 to 15. Finally, we

551   examined the correlates of concordance with the Guidelines in this sample.

552   Our results provide preliminary support for the reliability and validity of the PRADAS.

553   We removed one subscale from the final version of the scale, due to a ceiling effect, questionable

554   reliability, and low correlations with the total score and other subscale scores. The final version

555   of the scale therefore comprises 73 items, which are summed to form eight subscale scores and a

556   total score. The total score and seven of the eight subscales had high reliability, as measured by

557   the agreement coefficient. One-month test-retest reliability of the total score was acceptable to

558   high, and varied for the subscale scores. Subscale scores had moderate to high correlations with

559   the total score, indicating that concordance in one area of the Guidelines is associated with

560   greater overall concordance. Most subscales had small to moderate correlations with the other

561   subscales, suggesting that while there is some association between domains, each subscale

562   reflects a set of unique parenting skills. The total and subscale scores correlated in the predicted

563   direction and strength with the IPPA and EAC, supporting the convergent validity of the scale.

564   Based on our findings, we recommend that interpretation of scores on the PRADAS be

565   primarily based on the total score, which we found to be the most reliable and valid score. This

566   score gives an overall level of concordance with recommendations in the Guidelines, indicating

567   the degree to which a parent's current parenting practices align with the highest quality evidence

568   regarding parenting risk and protective factors for adolescent depression and anxiety. The eight

569   subscale scores may also provide valuable information, particularly if specific domains of

570   parenting skills are of interest. The subscales scores should, however, be interpreted in light of

571   the findings of their lower reliability. This is particularly the case for the *professional help-*

572   *seeking* subscale, which had questionable reliability. Results regarding this subscale may have

573   been influenced by our sample demographics, as parents were highly educated and had self-

574   selected to participate in an RCT of an online preventive parenting intervention. Further, parents

575   reported that they and their adolescents had slightly higher rates of current and past mental health

576   diagnoses than have been reported in national prevalence studies (e.g., Lawrence et al., 2015;

577   Slade et al., 2009). While our findings are based on parent-report of diagnosis, rather than

578   rigorous assessment measures, it is possible that parents chose to participate in the research

579   partially due to their own and/or their child's history of mental health issues. Future research

580   with the scale would benefit from collecting data from participants with varying levels of

581   education and mental health history.

582   **Parental concordance with the Guidelines**

583   We examined levels of parental concordance with established Guidelines in an Australian

584   community sample of parents of adolescents aged 12 to 15 years. Overall, parental concordance

585   with the Guidelines was relatively low, with less than 20% of parents deemed concordant in six

586   of the eight domains. This is unsurprising given that we intentionally set high cut-off scores for

587   concordance. In contrast, the *professional help-seeking* domain had the highest concordance rate,

588   at 49%. As discussed, this may be a result of our sample characteristics. Alternatively, parents

589   may have scored highly in this domain due to the hypothetical nature of the questions. The items

590   assess how likely a parent would be to respond in certain ways if they noticed a persistent change

591   in their adolescent's mood or behaviour, as well as how likely they would be to seek professional

592   help if needed. It is possible that parents may overestimate their likelihood to take particular

593   actions when posed in hypothetical scenarios. As posited by theories such as the Theory of

594   Planned Behaviour (Ajzen, 1991), and confirmed in numerous empirical studies (e.g., Armitage

595   & Conner, 2001; McEachan et al., 2011; Sheeran, 2002), intentions do not always translate into

596   action.

597   Concordance was lowest (4% of parents) for the *family rules* subscale, which assesses the

598   establishment and consistency of rules and consequences. Closer examination of item responses

599   revealed that less than half (47%) of parents endorsed having set specific, defined rules for their

600   teenager's behaviour in most areas. Similarly, only 27% of parents answered that they had set

601   specific, defined consequences for breaking the rules, and 16% said that their teenager had been

602   involved in developing most of the rules. Promisingly, most parents (86%) stated that they adapt

603   the family rules to their teenager's maturity and responsibility, and 80% said that they speak with

604   their teenager about why their behaviour is not acceptable when enforcing a consequence. These

605   findings are consistent with findings from a similar measure designed to assess parental

606   concordance with guidelines for the prevention of adolescent alcohol misuse (Yap, Jorm &

607   Lubman, 2015). This measure also assessed consistency of family rules, and found the *family*

608   *rules* subscale to have the lowest concordance rate (7.2%). Together, these findings highlight an

609   important target for future preventive programs, particularly given that parental disciplinary

610   practices are associated with risk for both internalising and externalising disorders (Berg-Nielsen

611   et al., 2002; Gryczkowski et al., 2010; Yap et al., 2014a).

612   The remaining six domains had concordance rates between approximately 10% and 20%

613   of parents. Although this small percentage of parents scored above the cut-off score for

614   concordance with the entire domain, it is promising to note that mean subscale scores were close

615   to or above the mid-range of possible scores. In other words, most parents answered within the

616   concordant range for at least half of the individual items comprising each subscale, although they

617   did not reach the threshold set for mastery of the skill area. This was also the case for the total

618   score, which had a mean of 47 out of a possible 73. This means that while very few parents were

619   considered concordant according to the cut-off scores set for "mastery" of each domain, on

620   average parents were adhering to over half of the recommendations in the Guidelines. It is

621   therefore plausible that many parents, particularly those who scored close to the cut-off, may

622   move into the concordant range with intervention, where parents are supported to make changes

623   in these parenting skill areas.

624   It could be argued that the designation of cut-off scores for concordance was somewhat

625   arbitrary, and that the cut-off scores could be set lower given the low concordance rates obtained

626   in our sample. As discussed, we chose high cut-off scores that indicate close-to-absolute-

627   concordance with the Guidelines' recommendations. This was based on the expertise of the

628   developing authors, the strong evidence base for the parenting factors assessed, and the purpose

629   for which the scale was initially developed. The optimal cut-off scores for a criterion-referenced

630   test such as this will depend on individual applications. For example, high cut-off scores may be

631   appropriate when the goal is to evaluate intervention effects or to identify areas for improvement,

632   whereas lower cut-off scores may be appropriate if attempting to determine a "bare minimum"

633   level of competency. In the case of the PRADAS, we aimed to develop a measure that could be

634   used to identify domains of parenting that could be improved by parents so they become more

635   concordant with the Guidelines. From a public health perspective, prevention approaches that

636   attempt to shift all individuals along the continuum of risk are likely to have greater effects at a

637   population level than approaches only targeting those at highest risk (in this case, parents with

638   the lowest PRADAS scores; e.g., see seminal work by Rose, 1993). To explore this, we

639   examined scatterplots of PRADAS scores and scores on the symptoms measures with locally

640   weighted smoothing (LOESS) lines fitted. These curves indicated that, even at the higher end of

641   PRADAS scores, adolescent symptoms were lower, supporting the value of encouraging all

642   parents (even those with moderate and high scores) to increase their concordance with the

643   Guidelines. Finally, we conducted additional exploratory analyses with lower cut-off scores (one

644   score less than the original cut-offs for all subscales). With these lower cut-off scores, reliability

645   of the eight subscales and the total score reduced. Together, these findings support the use of

646   high cut-off scores for the current purpose of the PRADAS. It should also be noted that the cut-

647   off scores for concordance are one of two methods of interpreting PRADAS scores. The

648   continuous scores are also calculated (i.e., total score out of 73, or subscale scores), and these

649   can be interpreted alone or with reference to the subscale cut-off score. In many settings, the

650   continuous scores are likely to be of most interest, as they allow interpretation of an individual's

651   score in comparison to the range of possible scores. This may be of particular value in clinical

652   contexts, as well as to track change over time.

653 **Correlates of parental concordance with the Guidelines**

654   We examined correlations between parental concordance with the Guidelines and parent-

655 and child-report measures of adolescent depression and anxiety symptoms, as well as participant

656 characteristics. We found small but statistically significant correlations between parental

657 Guidelines concordance and adolescent symptoms. The correlations were slightly larger for

658 parent-reported symptoms compared to child-reported symptoms, suggesting that parents who

659 rate themselves as more concordant with the Guidelines also report their adolescents to have

660 fewer symptoms of depression and anxiety. Additional exploratory logistic regression analyses

661 revealed a small effect of the PRADAS in predicting current symptom elevation status (i.e.,

662 above or below clinical cut-off) for three of the four symptom measures (SCAS-P, SMFQ-P,

663 SMFQ-C). To follow up on these findings, we also compared mean PRADAS scores for

664 participants above and below clinical cut-offs. In line with the logistic regression results,

665 PRADAS total scores were significantly lower for participants who scored above the clinical cut-

666 off on the SCAS-P, SMFQ-P and SMFQ-C (see Table S4). Together, these findings suggest that

667 there is a relationship between PRADAS scores and current clinically elevated symptom status,

668 albeit a modest one. Similarly, there was a small, significant correlation between Guidelines

669 concordance and parental concern about their child's risk of developing both depression and

670 anxiety. Parents with higher concordance were less concerned about their child's mental health.

671 The findings regarding adolescent symptoms should be interpreted with caution, due to the small

672 effects obtained and design of the study. The cross-sectional analyses limits our ability to assess

673 the theorised longer-term risk factors that the PRADAS is designed to assess. That is, the factors

674 assessed by the scale are expected to increase or decrease risk of depression or anxiety problems

675 developing throughout adolescence. More rigorous assessment of the relationship between

676   PRADAS scores and adolescent symptoms requires prospectively collected data, which would

677   more accurately assess the predictive validity of the scale. Additionally, the symptom measures

678   could be considered "state" measures (i.e., assessing current/recent symptoms), whereas the

679   PRADAS may be more likely to capture "trait" level parenting practices. A cross-sectional

680   design may not adequately capture the relationship between these variables. Also, we

681   intentionally recruited a community sample, yielding mean scores on the symptom measures that

682   were below clinical cut-offs. It would be of interest to examine the associations between

683   PRADAS scores and symptom levels in a clinical population. Finally, meta-analyses of the

684   associations between parenting and child/adolescent symptoms have also reported small effect

685   sizes (e.g., McLeod, Weisz & Wood, 2007; McLeod, Wood & Weisz, 2007; Yap et al., 2014).

686   Given these points, it is unsurprising that the associations between PRADAS scores and

687   symptom measures were small.

688   Regarding demographic characteristics, there were small significant correlations of parent

689   female gender and higher levels of education with higher Guidelines concordance. This should

690   be interpreted in light of the over-representation of female, highly-educated parents in our

691   sample. This is in line with previous findings of higher rates of mental disorders among children

692   of parents with lower education (e.g., Lawrence et al., 2015; Meltzer et al., 2003; Merikangas et

693   al., 2010), as well as an association between parental education level and treatment outcomes in

694   parenting intervention studies (e.g., Reyno & McGrath, 2006). Additionally, in a survey of

695   Australian parents' beliefs about the role of parenting in the prevention of depression in young

696   people, parents with tertiary-level education were more likely to hold beliefs consistent with the

697   evidence regarding parental over-control as a risk factor for depression (Yap & Jorm, 2012). The

698   current findings contribute further evidence highlighting the need to consider socio-demographic

699   factors, such as parental education level, when considering strategies to target in preventive

700   parenting programs.

Limitations and future directions

702   The current findings should be interpreted in the context of study limitations. Our sample

703   had an overrepresentation of highly-educated mothers from intact families, limiting the

704   generalisability of findings to fathers, those with lower levels of education, and different family

705   situations. Nonetheless, while our sample may not be representative of the general population, it

706   is likely representative of parents who self-select to participate in online parenting programs. Our

707   sample characteristics are similar to those often reported in online parenting intervention studies

708   (e.g., Dittman et al., 2014; Enebrink et al., 2012; March et al., 2009; Sanders et al., 2012).

709   Importantly, given the correlation found between education and parental Guidelines

710   concordance, concordance rates in our sample may be higher than would be found in less

711   educated populations. It is an important, yet challenging, direction for future research to recruit

712   more diverse samples of parents into research of this nature.

713   The current study is the first psychometric evaluation of the newly developed PRADAS.

714   Use of the PRADAS in future research will allow for further refinement of the measure. This

715   would be particularly valuable to improve the utility of the subscale scores, so as to provide eight

716   short scales that can be used with confidence to assess the various domains of parenting covered

717   in the Guidelines. The current form of the scale is quite long, with 73 items, and mean

718   completion time just under 18 minutes (M = 17.76, SD = 12.93). It would therefore be valuable

719   to develop a short-form of the scale, which could have greater utility in clinical settings.

720   Additionally, development of an adolescent-report version of the PRADAS would allow cross-

721   validation between parent- and adolescent-report, which is not captured in the current version of

722   the scale.

723   The associations between PRADAS scores and adolescent symptoms were small. The

724   cross-sectional nature of the study limits our ability to assess the prospective predictive validity

725   of the scale. We are also unable to ascertain concurrent validity based on diagnostic status, which

726   cannot be determined from symptom measures such as those used in the used in the current

727   study. However, it should be noted that the PRADAS is designed to assess parenting factors

728   which evidence and expert consensus suggest would influence risk of adolescent depression and

729   anxiety; the measure in itself does not purport to predict future risk. Results of the PRADAS

730   should therefore not be interpreted as indicative of risk for the adolescent.

731   To our knowledge, the PRADAS is the first assessment measure to comprehensively

732   assess the range of parenting risk and protective factors shown to influence the development of

733   depression and anxiety disorders in adolescents. This is a valuable addition to the growing body

734   of research investigating prevention of depression and anxiety in young people. It has potential

735   for use in both research and clinical settings. The scale may serve as an assessment measure to

736   tailor and evaluate preventive interventions targeting parenting factors, such as in the RCTs

737   mentioned above. For investigators interested in specific domains of parenting, the option of

738   eight subscales assessing different risk and protective factors may be of particular interest.

739   Clinically, the PRADAS could be used to identify individual parents' strengths and areas for

740   improvement, which may be valuable to clinicians working with parents. Future studies could

741   examine parental Guidelines concordance in clinical versus community samples, including

742   parents of adolescents receiving treatment for depression or anxiety. It would be of interest to

743   ascertain whether the same parenting factors are relevant to treatment or relapse prevention

744 outcomes in a clinical population. If the PRADAS was found to contribute valuable information

745 in this population, it could be used to tailor interventions for parents of adolescents experiencing

746 depression or anxiety disorders.

747 **Conclusions**

748 This paper presented the development and validation of the *Parenting to Reduce*

749 *Adolescent Depression and Anxiety Scale* – a self-report, criterion-referenced measure that

750 assesses parental concordance with a set of evidence-based parenting guidelines for the

751 prevention of adolescent depression and anxiety disorders. Our findings provide preliminary

752 support for the reliability and validity of the scale as a measure of parental concordance with the

753 Guidelines, which reflect high-quality research evidence and expert consensus regarding

754 parenting risk and protective factors for adolescent depression and anxiety. Its ease of use and

755 coverage of a broad range of parenting factors make the PRADAS a valuable measure for both

756 clinical and research settings. It can be used to identify individual parents' strengths and areas for

757 improvement, or as an evaluative measure in studies of preventive interventions targeting

758 modifiable parenting factors for adolescent depression and anxiety disorders.

759

760 **Acknowledgments**

761 The authors would like to acknowledge the RCT project managers Mrs Jennifer Hanson-

762 Peterson, Dr Shireen Mahtani, Ms Claire Nicolas, and Ms Jacqueline Green, as well as the team

763 of research assistants who assisted with data collection. We would like to thank the parents in

764 our reference group for their input into the development of the PRADAS. We also acknowledge

765 *beyondblue* for their partnership in developing and disseminating the Guidelines, and Mental

766 Health First Aid Australia and the schools who assisted with recruitment for the two RCTs.

References

767

768 Ajzen I. 1991. The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision*
769   *Processes,* 50:179-211. DOI: 10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T

770 Angold A, Costello E, Messer S, Pickles A, Winder F, & Silver D. 1995. Development of a short
771   questionnaire for use in epidemiological studies of depression in children and
772   adolescents. *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research,* 5:237-249.

773 Armitage CJ & Conner M. 2001. Efficacy of the theory of planned behaviour: A meta-analytic
774   review. *British Journal of Social Psychology,* 40:471-499. DOI:
775   10.1348/014466601164939

776 Armsden GC & Greenberg MT. 1987. The inventory of parent and peer attachment: Individual
777   differences and their relationship to psychological well-being in adolescence. *Journal of*
778   *Youth and Adolescence,* 16:427-454. DOI: 10.1007/BF02202939

779 Allen, JP. 2013. Unpublished data on the Inventory of Parent and Peer Attachment. Personal
780   communication, 2013.

781 Australian Qualifications Framework Council, 2013. *Australian Qualifications Framework:*
782   *Second Edition.* South Australia: Australian Government Department of Education and
783   Training. Retrieved January 2017 from: https://www.aqf.edu.au/

784 Avenevoli S & Merikangas KR. 2006. Implications of high-risk family studies for prevention of
785   depression. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine,* 31:126-135. DOI:
786   10.1016/j.amepre.2006.07.003

787 Berg-Nielsen TS, Vikan A, & Dahl AA. 2002. Parenting related to child and parental
788   psychopathology: A descriptive review of the literature. *Clinical Child Psychology and*
789   *Psychiatry,* 7:529-552. DOI: 10.1177/1359104502007004006

790 Berk RA. 1980. A consumers' guide to criterion-referenced test reliability. *Journal of*
791   *Educational Measurement,* 17:323-349.

792 Copeland WE, Shanahan L, Costello EJ, & Angold A. 2009. Childhood and adolescent
793   psychiatric disorders as predictors of young adult disorders. *Archives of General*
794   *Psychiatry,* 66:764-772. DOI: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2009.85

795 Dekker MC, Ferdinand RF, Van Lang ND, Bongers IL, Van Der Ende J, & Verhulst FC. 2007.
796   Developmental trajectories of depressive symptoms from early childhood to late

797   adolescence: Gender differences and adult outcome. *Journal of Child Psychology and*

798   *Psychiatry,* 48:657-666. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2007.01742.x

799   Dittman CK, Farruggia SP, Palmer ML, Sanders MR, & Keown LJ. 2014. Predicting success in

800   an online parenting intervention: The role of child, parent, and family factors. *Journal of*

801   *Family Psychology,* 28:236. DOI: 10.1037/a0035991

802   Enebrink P, Högström J, Forster M, & Ghaderi A. 2012. Internet-based parent management

803   training: A randomized controlled study. *Behaviour Research and Therapy,* 50:240-249.

804   DOI: 10.1016/j.brat.2012.01.006

805   Fisak Jr BJ, Richard D, & Mann A. 2011. The prevention of child and adolescent anxiety: A

806   meta-analytic review. *Prevention Science,* 12:255-268. DOI: 10.1007/s11121-011-0210-0

807   Garber J. 2006. Depression in children and adolescents: Linking risk research and prevention.

808   *American Journal of Preventive Medicine,* 31:104-125. DOI:

809   10.1016/j.amepre.2006.07.007

810   Garside RB & Klimes-Dougan B. 2002. Socialization of discrete negative emotions: Gender

811   differences and links with psychological distress. *Sex Roles,* 47:115-128. DOI:

812   10.1023/A:1021090904785

813   Greenberg MT & Armsden GC. 2009. Manual for the Inventory of Parent and Peer Attachment.

814   Seattle, WA: University of Washington.

815   Gryczkowski MR, Jordan SS, & Mercer SH. 2010. Differential relations between mothers' and

816   fathers' parenting practices and child externalizing behavior. *Journal of Child and Family*

817   *Studies,* 19:539-546. DOI: 10.1007/s10826-009-9326-2

818   Gullone E & Robinson K. 2005. The Inventory of Parent and Peer Attachment—Revised

819   (IPPA-R) for children: A psychometric investigation. *Clinical Psychology &*

820   *Psychotherapy,* 12:67-79. DOI: 10.1002/cpp.433

821   Hambleton RK & Rogers J. 1990. Advances in Criterion-Referenced Measurement. In:

822   Hambleton RK, and Neal JN, eds. *Advances in Educational and Psychological Testing:*

823   *Theory and Applications*. 1 ed. New York: Springer.

824   Hankin BL. 2006. Adolescent depression: Description, causes, and interventions. *Epilepsy &*

825   *Behavior,* 8:102-114. DOI: 10.1016/j.yebeh.2005.10.012

826   Kessler RC, Angermeyer M, Anthony JC, de Graaf R, Demyttenaere K, Gasquet I, de Girolamo

827   G, Gluzman S, Gureje O, & Haro JM. 2007. Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset

828   distributions of mental disorders in the World Health Organization's World Mental

829   Health Survey Initiative. *World Psychiatry,* 6:168-176.

830   Kessler RC, Chiu WT, Demler O, & Walters EE. 2005. Prevalence, severity, and comorbidity of

831   12-month DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Archives*

832   *of General Psychiatry,* 62:617-627. DOI: 10.1001/archpsyc.62.6.617

833   Klimes-Dougan B, Brand AE, & Garside RB. 2001. Factor structure, reliability, and validity of

834   an emotion socialization scale. In: O'Neal CR, editor. *The Annual Meeting of the*

835   *American Psychological Association.* San Francisco, CA.

836   Klimes-Dougan B, Brand AE, Zahn-Waxler C, Usher B, Hastings PD, Kendziora K, & Garside

837   RB. 2007. Parental emotion socialization in adolescence: Differences in sex, age and

838   problem status. *Social Development,* 16:326-342. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-

839   9507.2007.00387.x

840   Kovacs M. 1984. The Children's Depression, Inventory (CDI). *Psychopharmacology Bulletin,*

841   21:995-998.

842   Lawrence D, Johnson S, Hafekost J, de Haan KB, Sawyer M, Ainley J, & Zubrick SR. 2015. The

843   mental health of children and adolescents: Report on the second Australian Child and

844   Adolescent Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing. Department of Health, Canberra:

845   Australian Government Department of Health.

846   March S, Spence SH, & Donovan CL. 2009. The efficacy of an internet-based cognitive-

847   behavioral therapy intervention for child anxiety disorders. *Journal of Pediatric*

848   *Psychology,* 34:474-487. DOI: 10.1093/jpepsy/jsn099

849   Mathew A, Pettit J, Lewinsohn P, Seeley J, & Roberts R. 2011. Co-morbidity between major

850   depressive disorder and anxiety disorders: Shared etiology or direct causation?

851   *Psychological Medicine,* 41:2023-2034. DOI: 10.1017/S0033291711000407

852   Mathews R, Hall WD, Vos T, Patton GC, & Degenhardt L. 2011. What are the major drivers of

853   prevalent disability burden in young Australians. *The Medical Journal of Australia,*

854   194:232-235.

855   McEachan RRC, Conner M, Taylor NJ, & Lawton RJ. 2011. Prospective prediction of health-

856   related behaviours with the theory of planned behaviour: A meta-analysis. *Health*

857   *Psychology Review,* 5:97-144.

858   McElehany KB, Porter MP, Thomson LW, & Allen, JP. Apples and oranges: Divergent meaning
859   of parents' and adolescents' perceptions of parental influence. *Journal of Early*
860   *Adolescence,* 28:206-299. DOI: 10.1177/0272431607312768

861   McLeod, BD, Weisz, JR & Wood, JJ. 2007. Examining the association between parenting and
862   childhood depression: A meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 27: 986-1003. DOI:
863   10.1016/j.cpr.2007.03.001

864   McLeod, BD, Wood, JJ & Weisz, JR. 2007. Examining the association between parenting and
865   childhood anxiety: A meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 27: 155-172. DOI:
866   10.1016/j.cpr.2006.09.002

867   Meltzer H, Gatward R, Goodman R, & Ford T. 2003. Mental health of children and adolescents
868   in Great Britain. *International Review of Psychiatry,* 15:185-187. DOI:
869   10.1080/0954026021000046155

870   Merikangas KR, He J-P, Burstein M, Swanson SA, Avenevoli S, Cui L, Benjet C, Georgiades K,
871   & Swendsen J. 2010. Lifetime prevalence of mental disorders in US adolescents: results
872   from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication–Adolescent Supplement (NCS-A).
873   *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry,* 49:980-989. DOI:
874   10.1016/j.jaac.2010.05.017

875   Messer S, Angold A, Costello E, Loeber R, Van Kammen W, & Stouthamer-Loeber M. 1995.
876   Development of a short questionnaire for use in epidemiological studies of depression in
877   children and adolescents: Factor composition and structure across development.
878   *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research,* 5:251-262.

879   O'Neal CR & Magai C. 2005. Do parents respond in different ways when children feel different
880   emotions? The emotional context of parenting. *Development and Psychopathology,*
881   17:467-487. DOI: 10.1017/S0954579405050224

882   Parenting Strategies Program. 2013. *How to prevent depression and clinical anxiety in your*
883   *teenager: Strategies for parents.* Melbourne: Beyondblue.

884   Parker G, Tupling H, & Brown L. 1979. A parental bonding instrument. *British Journal of*
885   *Medical Psychology,* 52:1-10. DOI: 10.1111/j.2044-8341.1979.tb02487.x

886   Patel V, Flisher AJ, Hetrick S, & McGorry P. 2007. Mental health of young people: A global
887   public-health challenge. *The Lancet,* 369:1302-1313. DOI: 10.1016/s0140-
888   6736(07)60368-7

889 Perris C, Jacobsson L, Linndström H, Knorring L, & Perris H. 1980. Development of a new

890   inventory for assessing memories of parental rearing behaviour. *Acta Psychiatrica*

891   *Scandinavica,* 61:265-274. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0447.1980.tb00581.x

892 Rapee RM. 2012. Family factors in the development and management of anxiety disorders.

893   *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review,* 15:69-80. 10.1007/s10567-011-0106-3

894 Rapee RM, Schniering CA, & Hudson JL. 2009. Anxiety disorders during childhood and

895   adolescence: Origins and treatment. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology,* 5:311-341.

896   DOI: 10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.032408.153628

897 Restifo K & Bögels S. 2009. Family processes in the development of youth depression:

898   Translating the evidence to treatment. *Clinical Psychology Review,* 29:294-316. DOI:

899   10.1016/j.cpr.2009.02.005

900 Reyno SM & McGrath PJ. 2006. Predictors of parent training efficacy for child externalizing

901   behavior problems - a meta-analytic review. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry,*

902   47:99-111. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2005.01544.x

903 Rhew IC, Simpson K, Tracy M, Lymp J, McCauley E, Tsuang D, & Stoep AV. 2010. Criterion

904   validity of the Short Mood and Feelings Questionnaire and one-and two-item depression

905   screens in young adolescents. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health,* 4:1-

906   11. DOI: 10.1186/1753-2000-4-8

907 Rose, G. 1993. Mental disorder and strategies of prevention. *Psychological Medicine, 23,* 553-

908   555. DOI: 10.1017/S0033291700025320

909 Sanders MR, Baker S, & Turner KM. 2012. A randomized controlled trial evaluating the efficacy

910   of Triple P Online with parents of children with early-onset conduct problems. *Behaviour*

911   *Research and Therapy,* 50:675-684. DOI: 10.1016/j.brat.2012.07.004

912 Sandler IN, Schoenfelder, EN, Wolchik, SA, & MacKinnon, DP. 2011. Long-term impact of

913   prenetion programs to promote effective parenting: Lasting effects but uncertain

914   processes. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 62:299-329. DOI:

915   10.1146/annurev.psych.121208.131619.

916 Siegenthaler, E, Munder, T, & Egger, M. 2012. Effect of preventive interventions in mentally ill

917   parents on the mental health of the offspring: Systematic review and meta-analysis.

Limited
Limited
Limited
Limited

918   *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry,* 1:8-17. DOI:
919   10.1016/j.jaac.2011.10.018

920 Schaefer ES. 1965. Children's reports of parental behavior: An inventory. *Child Development,*
921   413-424.

922 Sheeran P. 2002. Intention-behavior relations: A conceptual and empirical review. *European*
923   *Review of Social Psychology,* 12:1-36. DOI: 10.1080/14792772143000003

924 Silk JS, Shaw DS, Prout JT, O'Rourke F, Lane TJ, & Kovacs M. 2011. Socialization of emotion
925   and offspring internalizing symptoms in mothers with childhood-onset depression.
926   *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology,* 32:127-136. DOI:
927   10.1016/j.appdev.2011.02.001

928 Slade T, Johnston A, Oakley Browne MA, Andrews G, & Whiteford H. 2009. 2007 National
929   survey of mental health and wellbeing: Methods and key findings. *Australian and New*
930   *Zealand Journal of Psychiatry,* 43:594-605.

931 Spence SH. 1997. Structure of anxiety symptoms among children: A confirmatory factor-
932   analytic study. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology,* 106:280-297.

933 Spence SH. 1998. A measure of anxiety symptoms among children. *Behaviour Research and*
934   *Therapy,* 36:545-566.

935 Spence SH, Barrett PM, & Turner CM. 2003. Psychometric properties of the Spence Children's
936   Anxiety Scale with young adolescents. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders,* 17:605-625.

937 Subkoviak MJ. 1988. A practitioner's guide to computation and interpretation of reliability
938   indices for mastery tests. *Journal of Educational Measurement,* 25:47-55.

939 Thapar A & McGuffin P. 1998. Validity of the shortened Mood and Feelings Questionnaire in a
940   community sample of children and adolescents: A preliminary research note. *Psychiatry*
941   *Research,* 81:259-268.

942 Van Voorhees BW, Paunesku D, Kuwabara SA, Basu A, Gollan J, Hankin BL, Melkonian S, &
943   Reinecke M. 2008. Protective and vulnerability factors predicting new-onset depressive
944   episode in a representative of US adolescents. *Journal of Adolescent Health,* 42:605-616.
945   DOI: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2007.11.135

946 Woodward LJ & Fergusson DM. 2001. Life course outcomes of young people with anxiety
947   disorders in adolescence. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent*
948   *Psychiatry,* 40:1086-1093. DOI: 10.1097/00004583-200109000-00018

949   Yap MB, Morgan AJ, Cairns K, Jorm AF, Hetrick SE, & Merry S. 2016. Parents in prevention:
950   A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials of parenting interventions to prevent
951   internalizing problems in children from birth to age 18. *Clinical Psychology Review,*
952   50:138-158. DOI: 10.1016/j.cpr.2016.10.003

953   Yap MBH, Jorm A, Bazley R, Kelly C, Ryan S, & Lubman D. 2011. Web-based parenting
954   program to prevent adolescent alcohol misuse: Rationale and development. *Australasian*
955   *Psychiatry,* 19:339-344. DOI: 10.3109/10398562.2011.603334

956   Yap MBH & Jorm AF. 2012. Parents' beliefs about actions they can take to prevent depressive
957   disorders in young people: Results from an Australian national survey. *Epidemiology and*
958   *Psychiatric Sciences,* 21:117-123. DOI: 10.1017/S2045796011000667

959   Yap MBH & Jorm AF. 2015. Parental factors associated with childhood anxiety, depression, and
960   internalizing problems: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Affective*
961   *Disorders,* 175:424-440. DOI: 10.1016/j.jad.2015.01.050

962   Yap MBH, Jorm AF, & Lubman DI. 2015. What are parents doing to reduce adolescent alcohol
963   misuse? Evaluating concordance with parenting guidelines for adolescent alcohol use.
964   *BMC Public Health,* 15:114. DOI: 10.1186/s12889-015-1452-8

965   Yap MBH, Pilkington PD, Ryan SM, & Jorm AF. 2014a. Parental factors associated with
966   depression and anxiety in young people: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal*
967   *of Affective Disorders,* 156:8-23. DOI: 10.1016/j.jad.2013.11.007

968   Yap MBH, Pilkington PD, Ryan SM, Kelly CM, & Jorm AF. 2014b. Parenting strategies for
969   reducing the risk of adolescent depression and anxiety disorders: A Delphi consensus
970   study. *Journal of Affective Disorders,* 156:67-75. DOI: 10.1016/j.jad.2013.11.017