Implementation of the Xpert MTB/RIF assay for tuberculosis in Mongolia: a qualitative exploration of barriers and enablers

Nicole L Rendell [Corresp., 1], Solongo Bekhbat [2], Gantungalag Ganbaatar [1], Munkhjargal Dorjravdan [1], Madhukar Pai [3], Claudia C Dobler [4, 5]

[1] National Tuberculosis Program Mongolia, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia

[2] Mongolian Anti-Tuberculosis Association, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia

[3] McGill International TB Centre, McGill University, Quebec, Canada

[4] Woolcock Institute of Medical Research, University of Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

[5] South Western Sydney Clinical School, University of New South Wales, New South Wales, Australia

Corresponding Author: Nicole L Rendell
Email address: nicole.rendell@gmail.com

Objective: The aim of our study was to identify barriers and enablers to implementation of the Xpert MTB/RIF test within Mongolia's National Tuberculosis Program.

Methods: Twenty-four semi-structured interviews were conducted between June and September 2015 with laboratory staff and tuberculosis physicians in Mongolia's capital Ulaanbaatar and regional towns where Xpert MTB/RIF testing had been implemented. Interviews were recorded, transcribed, translated and analysed thematically using NVIVO qualitative analysis software.

Results: Eight laboratory staff (five from the National Tuberculosis Reference Laboratory in Ulaanbaatar and three from provincial laboratories) and sixteen tuberculosis physicians (five from the Mongolian National Center for Communicable Diseases in Ulaanbaatar, four from district tuberculosis clinics in Ulaanbaatar and seven from provincial tuberculosis clinics) were interviewed. Major barriers to Xpert MTB/RIF implementation identified were: lack of awareness of program guidelines; inadequate staffing arrangements; problems with cartridge supply management; lack of local repair options for the Xpert machines; lack of regular formal training; paper based system; delayed treatment initiation due to consensus meeting and poor sample quality. Enablers to Xpert MTB/RIF implementation included availability of guidelines in the local language; provision of extra laboratory staff, shift working arrangements and additional modules; capacity for troubleshooting internally; access to experts; opportunities for peer learning; common understanding of diagnostic algorithms and decentralised testing.

Conclusion: Our study identified a number of barriers and enablers to implementation of Xpert MTB/RIF in the Mongolian National Tuberculosis Program. Lessons learned from this study can help to facilitate implementation of Xpert MTB/RIF in other Mongolian locations as well as other low-and middle-income countries.

1 **Implementation of the Xpert MTB/RIF assay for tuberculosis**

2 **in Mongolia: a qualitative exploration of barriers and enablers**

4 Nicole Rendell[1], Solongo Bekhbat[2], Gantungalag Ganbaatar[1], Munkhjargal Dorjravdan[1],

5 Madhukar Pai[3], Claudia C Dobler[4,5]

7 [1]National Tuberculosis Program Mongolia, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia

8 [2]Mongolian Anti-Tuberculosis Association, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia

9 [3] McGill International TB Centre, McGill University, Quebec, Canada

10 [4] Woolcock Institute of Medical Research, University of Sydney, New South Wales,

11 Australia

12 [5]South Western Sydney Clinical School, University of New South Wales, New South

13 Wales, Australia

15 **Corresponding Author:**   Nicole Rendell - nicole.rendell@gmail.com

19 **Abstract**

20 **Objective:** The aim of our study was to identify barriers and enablers to implementation of the

21 Xpert MTB/RIF test within Mongolia's National Tuberculosis Program.

22 **Methods:** Twenty-four semi-structured interviews were conducted between June and

23 September 2015 with laboratory staff and tuberculosis physicians in Mongolia's capital

24 Ulaanbaatar and regional towns where Xpert MTB/RIF testing had been implemented.

25 Interviews were recorded, transcribed, translated and analysed thematically using NVIVO

26 qualitative analysis software.

27 **Results:** Eight laboratory staff (five from the National Tuberculosis Reference Laboratory in

28 Ulaanbaatar and three from provincial laboratories) and sixteen tuberculosis physicians (five

29 from the Mongolian National Center for Communicable Diseases in Ulaanbaatar, four from

30 district tuberculosis clinics in Ulaanbaatar and seven from provincial tuberculosis clinics) were

31 interviewed. Major barriers to Xpert MTB/RIF implementation identified were: lack of

32 awareness of program guidelines; inadequate staffing arrangements; problems with cartridge

33 supply management; lack of local repair options for the Xpert machines; lack of regular formal

34 training; paper based system; delayed treatment initiation due to consensus meeting and poor

35 sample quality. Enablers to Xpert MTB/RIF implementation included availability of guidelines in

36 the local language; provision of extra laboratory staff, shift working arrangements and

37 additional modules; capacity for troubleshooting internally; access to experts; opportunities for

38 peer learning; common understanding of diagnostic algorithms and decentralised testing.

39 **Conclusion:** Our study identified a number of barriers and enablers to implementation of Xpert

40 MTB/RIF in the Mongolian National Tuberculosis Program. Lessons learned from this study can

41   help to facilitate implementation of Xpert MTB/RIF in other Mongolian locations as well as

42   other low-and middle-income countries.

Introduction

43

44 In December 2010, the World Health Organization (WHO) formally endorsed use of Xpert

45 MTB/RIF as a new diagnostic tool for active tuberculosis (TB) disease.[1] Since then, use of Xpert

46 MTB/RIF has increased dramatically. Between 2010 and 2015, under concessional pricing

47 arrangements, 4,672 GeneXpert machines had been procured in 122 of the 145 eligible

48 countries, including Mongolia.[2]

49

50 Mongolia, a country with a TB burden of 428 incident cases per 100,000 population in 2015

51 (95% confidence interval 220–703)[3], has been a relatively late adopter of the Xpert MTB/RIF

52 assay. In Mongolia, the rate of concomitant HIV and TB is low at 0.34 incident cases per 100,000

53 population (95% CI: 0.26-0.44), but the country has a relatively high burden of multi-drug

54 resistant (MDR) and rifampicin resistant (RR) TB cases representing 2.2% (95% CI: 1.1–3.3) of

55 new cases and 33% (95% CI: 29–38) of previously treated cases.[3] Resistance against all first-line

56 drugs tested is approximately 60% among sputum smear–positive patients in whom standard

57 first-line TB treatment failed, suggesting successful transmission (versus new mutation) of these

58 highly resistant strains in the community.[4] At the time of the first introduction of MTB/RIF in

59 Mongolia at the end of 2013, other countries had already analysed their experiences with

60 implementation of Xpert MTB/RIF.[5] It was, however, not until 2014 that the WHO published a

61 'How-To' Xpert MTB/RIF implementation manual.[6] It is therefore of interest to explore the

62 specific challenges with Xpert MTB/RIF implementation in Mongolia.

63

64   The National Tuberculosis Reference Laboratory (NTRL) in Ulaanbaatar was the first laboratory

65   in Mongolia to implement Xpert MTB/RIF testing in November 2013. By the end of 2014, there

66   were three GeneXpert machines being used in Mongolia – at the NTRL in Ulaanbaatar, the

67   Hospital of Darkhan-uul, in the northern Darkhan-uul province, near the Russian border, (since

68   June 2014) and the Regional Diagnostic and Treatment Center of Dornod, in Dornod province,

69   Mongolia's easternmost province, bordering Russia and China (since March 2014). The funding

70   for these machines was supplied by the Global Fund through a series of projects. In 2013, 310

71   patient samples were tested using Xpert MTB/RIF. This had increased to 3,289 in 2014, 3,802 in

72   2015 and 3,991 in 2016 (Table 1).

73

74   Diagnostic tools such as Xpert MTB/RIF must be considered in the context of their

75   organisational environment to understand the value of their technical benefits in real terms.

76   Such benefits include the relatively short time frame to determine a result (2 hours) and ease of

77   use compared to other diagnostic methods. Understanding the operational issues associated

78   with implementation of the Xpert MTB/RIF test ensures these technical benefits can be

79   maximised.

80

81   In recent years a number of studies have assessed the performance of the Xpert MTB/RIF assay,

82   specifically, the accuracy and cost effectiveness of Xpert MTB/RIF have been well

83   documented.[7-12] Only few research studies, however, have investigated implementation issues

84   from an organisational perspective,[5,13-17] two of which included qualitative tools designed

85   specifically for the study to gather information on user experiences and challenges related to

86   Xpert MTB/RIF implementation.[5,16] Some of the identified challenges associated with Xpert

87   MTB/RIF implementation in low-and middle income countries include lack of standardised

88   guidance, piecemeal implementation of training, quality assurance, planning processes and

89   equipment servicing and maintenance, issues with continuous power supply and difficulties

90   recording and reporting test results using new technology.[5,16,17]

91

92   The aim of our study was to identify and understand system and context specific factors within

93   Mongolia's National Tuberculosis Program (NTP) that are barriers or enablers to implementing

94   the Xpert MTB/RIF test from the perspective of NTP staff.

95

96   ## Methods

97   ### Study design, setting and participants

98   We conducted semi-structured interviews with laboratory staff and TB physicians using an

99   inductive-deductive approach. This approach was chosen because we had knowledge about

100   possible barriers and enablers to GeneXpert implementation from studies in other settings,[5,13-

101   15] while we knew little about contextual factors impacting on Xpert MTB/RIF implementation in

102   Mongolia. We developed semi-structured interview guides (see Appendix A) to collect a wide

103   range of information on participants' experiences and views related to Xpert MTB/RIF use and

104   implementation in Mongolia's NTP. The interview guide was designed based on a literature

105   review of barriers and enablers of Xpert MTB/RIF implementation. These implementation

106   factors were organised into major themes that formed the structure of the interview guide for

107   laboratory staff – guidelines and organisational structures; equipment; training; communication

108   systems; and diagnostic algorithms, case finding for Xpert MTB/RIF, clinical management. A

109   separate interview guide was designed specifically for TB physicians and focused on clinical

110   issues. Open questions were added to the interview guide to explore possible themes that had

111   not been identified from the literature. Two of the researchers (GG and MD) conducted the

112   interviews. Both interviewers were trained in the study protocol and interview technique.

113   Participants included laboratory staff (doctors, technicians and administrative officers) who

114   were using the Xpert MTB/RIF diagnostic test and TB physicians who were working either at the

115   Mongolian National Center for Communicable Diseases (NCCD) or in any of the district TB clinics

116   in Mongolia's capital Ulaanbaatar, or in the provinces of Darkhan-Uul or Dornod.

117

118   Potential participants were approached by one of the researchers (GG or MD) and interviewed

119   once informed consent was obtained. Because there were only few laboratory staff who were

120   using the Xpert MTB/RIF diagnostic test, all of them were invited to participate in the study.

121   Interviews with TB physicians were continued until saturation of data was apparent, that is until

122   no new themes emerged.[18] All interviews were conducted between July and September 2015.

123

124   Participants in Ulaanbaatar were interviewed in person where possible, or alternatively over

125   the phone, and participants based outside of Ulaanbaatar were interviewed over the phone.

126   The interviews were conducted and transcribed in Mongolian. The transcripts were then

127   translated into English by one of the researchers (SB). The English transcripts were verified by

128   another bi-lingual member of the research team (MD) to ensure the English version was clear

129   and the participants' views were adequately represented.

130

131   **Analysis**

132   In order to determine whether participants would interpret interview questions as intended

133   and whether the order of questions may influence responses, we conducted three pretesting

134   interviews (with two laboratory staff and one TB physician). Pretesting highlighted questions

135   that were poorly understood by respondents. It also showed that some questions were

136   perceived by respondents to be duplicate questions. We edited the interview guides

137   accordingly by reordering, rewording or deleting questions. In addition, pretesting showed that

138   explanatory information provided by the interviewer varied considerably between interviews.

139   In response to this observation, a written introduction explaining the purpose and benefit of

140   the study was added to the interview instrument for the interviewers to read aloud before the

141   interview commenced. This ensured consistent explanatory information was given to all

142   participants prior to the commencement of the interview. The revised interview guides were

143   used for all future interviews. The pretest interviews were not included in the final analysis.

144

145   The first (NR) and last author (CCD) independently reviewed transcripts from the first five

146   interviews to identify key themes and subthemes (ie, patterns within the narrative data), then

147   developed a coding scheme through discussion and consensus. This framework was

148   systematically applied to code themes in subsequent interview transcripts. We reviewed the

149   framework after an additional ten interviews, updating it to reflect newly revealed themes and

150 subthemes that were not apparent in earlier interviews. Coding was completed using the

151 software package QSR NVivo version 10 (QSR International Pty Ltd, Doncaster, Victoria,

152 Australia). The English version of each interview transcript was imported into NVivo and then

153 systematically reviewed and coded for common themes and subthemes.

154 The study results are reported in accordance with the Consolidated Criteria for Reporting

155 Qualitative Research (COREQ) checklist.[19]

156

157 **Ethics**

158 The study was approved by the Scientific Council of the Mongolian NCCD.

159

160 # Results

161 We contacted 24 NTP staff (8 laboratory staff and 16 TB physicians), all of whom agreed to be

162 interviewed (ie, a 100% response rate). Five laboratory staff members were located at the NTRL

163 in Ulaanbaatar and 3 in provincial laboratories. Of the 16 TB physicians, 5 worked at the NCCD,

164 4 worked in any of the district TB clinics in Ulaanbaatar and 7 worked in the provinces of

165 Darkhan-Uul or Dornod.

166

167 Participants identified a range of barriers and enablers associated with implementation of Xpert

168 MTB/RIF testing in Mongolia based on their experiences. These are summarised in Table 2, and

169 described below according to the following themes: 1) guidelines and organisational structures,

170   2) equipment, 3) training, 4) communication systems, 5) diagnostic algorithms, case finding for

171   Xpert MTB/RIF and clinical management. Laboratory staff participants were interviewed using

172   guiding questions for each theme. For TB physicians, the guiding questions focussed on the last

173   theme, diagnostic algorithms, case finding for Xpert MTB/RIF and clinical management.

174

175   **Guidelines and organisational structures**

176   ***Barriers***

177   ***Poor awareness of program guidelines:*** Around half of all participants stated that they were

178   not aware of any written guidelines to support the use of Xpert MTB/RIF *(authors' comment:*

179   *the Mongolian NTP issued guidelines for all NTP staff, which included information on the use of*

180   *Xpert MTB/RIF in December 2014).* Instead, many participants referred to training

181   arrangements when asked about NTP guidelines. One participant thought that the English

182   manual for the operation of the Xpert machine was equivalent to the NTP guidelines available

183   to staff. The guidelines did not appear to be clear enough about which samples (type of body

184   fluid/tissue) could be used for testing with Xpert MTB/RIF, in particular if testing of samples

185   other than sputum, e.g. pleural and cerebrospinal fluid, was allowed. This resulted in

186   inconsistent practices regarding Xpert MTB/RIF testing of non-sputum samples

187   (*authors'comment: According to the Mongolian NTP guidelines 2014 the following specimens*

188   *can be used for Xpert MTB/RIF testing: sputum, urine, stool, pleural fluid, ascites, gastric lavage,*

189   *and surgical tissue samples, although the protocol for collecting and transporting specimens*

190   *collected for testing was not included in the guidelines at the time of the study*). One laboratory

191   participant suggested that patients are being inappropriately referred for Xpert MTB/RIF testing

192 (inconsistent with guideline recommendations, summarised in Table 3), which was potentially

193 driving excess demand.

194 *Inadequate staffing arrangements:* Participants identified that their workload had increased in

195 response to the introduction of the Xpert MTB/RIF testing into their laboratories, and

196 inadequate staffing was mentioned as a problem by staff of the NTRL in Ulaanbaatar.

197   *Enablers*

198 *Clear guidelines in local language:* Participants, who were aware of the NTP guidelines, found

199 the guidelines useful for working with Xpert MTB/RIF and they understood how to apply the

200 guidelines in practice.

201 *Extra staff, shift working arrangements, increase of Xpert MTB/RIF modules:* Staff of the

202 regional laboratories felt that while there was an initial increase in their workload, their staffing

203 arrangements and laboratories were able to accommodate the change. Staff of the NTRL in

204 Ulaanbaatar suggested a range of options to improve their ability to meet the demand. These

205 included additional staff, a staff member allocated only to Xpert MTB/RIF, shift arrangements,

206 installing more GeneXpert machines or a new GeneXpert machine with a greater number of

207 modules (in order to perform more tests at the same time).

208

209 **Equipment**

210   *Barriers*

211 *Poor supply chain management of cartridges (stock-outs):* Since implementation of Xpert

212 MTB/RIF testing, the only time the test had been unavailable for about a month was due to a

213 cartridge supply issue that affected all the laboratories that offered Xpert MTB/RIF testing.

214 Other than this occasion, cartridge supply appeared to be well managed (sufficient number of

215 cartridges available to meet demand without cartridge expiration issues due to oversupply).

216 ***Absence of local repair options:*** Mongolia's harsh climate and low population density generally

217 did not appear to have affected transportation of cartridge supplies or equipment. Although,

218 one of the modules in one of the regional machines had broken on arrival and there were

219 difficulties arranging its repair. The lack of expertise to repair GeneXpert machines in the

220 provinces was identified as a problem. This was in contrast to other laboratory equipment,

221 which could be repaired by the available engineers.

222  ***Enabler***

223 ***Capacity for troubleshooting internally:*** Other temporary problems with the GeneXpert

224 machine have occurred without major interruption to the workflow because local staff were

225 able to remedy them easily. For example, one laboratory participant mentioned that the

226 laboratory had bought an uninterruptable power supply to manage issues with the power

227 supply. Another laboratory participant mentioned the need for more basic office equipment to

228 cater for the introduction of the new equipment.

229

230 **Training**

231  ***Barrier***

232 ***Inconsistent formal training options:*** The training that laboratory staff had received on the

233 operation of Xpert MTB/RIF varied widely. Some laboratory staff participated in formal training

234 courses, others learned on the job through instruction by trained colleagues or superiors. Two

235 members of laboratory staff based in Ulaanbaatar participated in an online training course

236   organised by the supplier of the GeneXpert machines. Components of different trainings

237   included operation and maintenance of GeneXpert, but also information on indications for

238   GeneXpert use in Mongolia.

239   When asked about the value of the training received, most laboratory staff felt that it was

240   sufficient to meet their job requirements. While staff in Ulaanbaatar were satisfied that the

241   training they had received equipped them to use Xpert MTB/RIF testing, regional laboratory

242   staff were keen to receive further training.

243   There were also differences in the training opportunities provided for other TB diagnostic

244   methods, primarily smear testing. Laboratory staff were aware of scheduled annual trainings

245   for smear testing, but were unsure about any future and/or regular ongoing training

246   opportunities for Xpert MTB/RIF testing.

247   ***Enablers***

248   ***Access to experts:*** Access to external technical experts to support implementation of Xpert

249   MTB/RIF differed for staff based in regional clinics and those based in Ulaanbaatar. Regional

250   laboratory staff received guidance from NTRL staff, who received guidance directly from

251   international experts on operations and troubleshooting of the machines.

252   ***Peer learning:*** All staff recognised the value of learning from their peers.

253

254   **Communication systems**

255   ***Barrier***

256   ***Paper based system:*** Results of the Xpert MTB/RIF test were communicated to TB clinics using

257   paper forms that were delivered using one or more of the following options: 1) patients

258   collected the paper form directly from the laboratory and took it to the doctor at the TB clinic,

259   2) doctors were contacted over the phone and informed about the results ahead of receiving

260   the paper form, and 3) doctors and/or nurses collected the results on paper directly from the

261   laboratory. Paper reports were associated with delays of 2 days on average to receive the

262   results following a request being sent to the laboratory. A few TB physicians suggested the

263   delay was longer, up to a week, and one TB physician even suggested that the delay between

264   requesting Xpert MTB/RIF testing to receiving the result was up to 2 weeks. Urgent requests

265   were prioritised.

266   Administrative reports were generated weekly on a computer, based on the information on the

267   paper forms that was manually input into a computer file. Despite having regular administrative

268   reporting of the results to facilitate a quality control mechanism, participants did not value the

269   reporting system in this way. It is unclear based on the interview data how the information

270   contained in the reports could be used to prompt action to improve processes.

271

272   **Diagnostic algorithms, case finding for Xpert MTB/RIF and clinical management**

273   ***Barriers***

274   ***Treatment initiation in MDR-TB delayed until after consensus meeting***: Treatment for drug

275   sensitive cases (who tested positive for TB on GeneXpert, but negative for rifampicin resistance)

276   was usually started immediately after physicians received the results. TB physicians described

277   that the delay to initiating treatment for presumptive MDR-TB (following a positive result for

278   rifampicin resistance), as well as the specifics of the MDR-TB treatment regimen depended on

279   the timing of the weekly MDR-TB meeting (held at the central NTP office in Ulaanbaatar, to

280   discuss each case of MDR-TB in Mongolia and decide on the best approach for the patient's

281   treatment). Some participants reported that there was no delay in commencing treatment

282   following a positive test for rifampicin resistance. Others reported that it took 1 to 2 weeks to

283   initiate treatment because the treatment could not commence until the treatment plan had

284   been agreed upon at the MDR-TB meeting.

285   ***Poor sample quality:*** 'Error results' from Xpert MTB/RIF testing (i.e., notification of an error is

286   displayed in the Check Status screen of the GeneXpert machine) were reported to occur

287   occasionally. In these instances, the test was always redone and some participants reported

288   that error results triggered machine maintenance as well. The quality of the sample (e.g. when

289   patients did not collect sputum correctly) was reported as the most common reason for error

290   results experienced by participants when using Xpert MTB/RIF testing. Some laboratory staff

291   participants also reported seeking additional training and/or assistance to minimise error

292   results.

293   ***Enablers***

294   ***Common understanding of indications for Xpert MTB/RIF testing:*** Both laboratory staff and TB

295   physicians were aware of the approved indications for Xpert MTB/RIF use in Mongolia (Table 3),

296   including testing in patients with possible MDR-TB (patients with TB relapse, positive sputum

297   smear result at the 3rd and 5th month of treatment and screening of MDR-TB close contacts), as

298   well as testing for confirmation of TB (smear negative cases with abnormal chest x-ray and very

299   ill patients with uncertain diagnosis).

300   There was variation in the details of each participant's response regarding indications for

301   GeneXpert use, but broadly the indications as outlined above were consistent among

302   participants and consistent with Mongolian guidelines (see table 3).

303   ***Testing availability in provincial centres (decentralised):*** Participants from the provinces

304   pointed out that while Xpert MTB/RIF testing is available in regional areas of Mongolia, samples

305   need to be sent to the central reference laboratory in Ulaanbaatar for further drug

306   susceptibility testing from culture. They did, however, still value access to Xpert MTB/RIF

307   testing, because it meant that a rapid diagnosis of drug resistant TB was possible in the regional

308   areas when previously, it could only be done in Ulaanbaatar.

309   Most physicians had not experienced difficulties starting their patients on MDR-TB treatment

310   following a diagnosis of rifampicin resistance. However, those that did reported that the reason

311   they could not commence treatment was because of patient related factors rather than

312   program related issues.

313

314   **Suggestions for future Xpert MTB/RIF implementation and scale up**

315   All study participants viewed the new diagnostic technology positively and appreciated the time

316   saving benefits unique to the Xpert MTB/RIF test. When asked about what future changes they

317   would like to see to implementation of Xpert MTB/RIF testing in Mongolia, participants

318   highlighted the need for change in the following three areas:

319   • Increase in the number of available sites for Xpert MTB/RIF testing (upscaling to more

320   districts of Ulaanbaatar and more provinces in Mongolia)

321   •   Use of Xpert MTB/RIF to test for drug resistance on all smear positive patients before

322   starting treatment

323   •   Increase in the number of machines at existing sites.

324

Discussion

326   This study highlighted a range of potential factors within the Mongolian NTP that served as a

327   barrier or enabler to the implementation of Xpert MTB/RIF testing. Since the implementation of

328   Xpert MTB/RIF testing in Mongolia, according to NTP data, the number of notified cases

329   detected in Ulaanbaatar, Darkhan and Dornod has increased from 2,783 cases in 2012 to 3,029

330   cases in 2015. While all study participants appreciated the benefits of the new diagnostic

331   technology and were supportive of its implementation, all participants were able to identify

332   areas where integration into the existing program could be improved. Potential barriers

333   included lack of awareness of program guidelines; inadequate staffing arrangements; problems

334   with cartridge supply management; lack of local repair options for the Xpert machines; lack of

335   regular formal training; paper based system; delayed treatment initiation due to consensus

336   meeting and poor sample quality. Enablers included availability of guidelines in the local

337   language; provision of extra laboratory staff, shift working arrangements and additional

338   modules; capacity for troubleshooting internally; access to experts; opportunities for peer

339   learning; common understanding of diagnostic algorithms and decentralised testing. Lessons

340   learned from this study can inform the implementation and upscaling of GeneXpert in other

341   settings in Mongolia and in other low-and middle income countries.

342

343   Policies and guidelines as well as training opportunities provided by an organisation facilitate

344   the uptake of any new technology.[6] In our study, those that knew about the NTP's guidelines for

345   the use of GeneXpert found them to be invaluable. However, only around half of study

346   participants were aware of any guidelines relating to GeneXpert use and relied on random

347   opportunities for training and/or visits from national and international experts to advise them

348   on the use of Xpert MTB/RIF. Adherence to program guidelines, which should incorporate Xpert

349   MTB/RIF testing into the national diagnostic strategy and algorithms,[6] is important for

350   sustainable implementation of Xpert MTB/RIF in a local context. Diagnostic algorithms and

351   other policies outlined in program guidelines are essential to realise and maximise the potential

352   of new technologies in practice.[20-22]

353

354   Only staff from NTRL, but not from the regional laboratories, had concerns about their capacity

355   to meet the demand for Xpert MTB/RIF testing. This is most likely because the regular requests

356   for the population that the NTRL laboratory covered went above their pre-existing surge

357   capacity. In contrast, the regional laboratories only felt under pressure initially, while they were

358   still learning how to use the technology. A feasibility study conducted in India also found that in

359   a decentralised setting, there were minimal infrastructure modifications and human resource

360   concerns following the implementation of Xpert MTB/RIF testing.[15] The lower population

361   density in settings covered by existing laboratories in the decentralised and regional areas,

362   potentially allowed the introduction of new diagnostic technology using the pre-existing surge

363   capacity of the laboratory workforce.

364

365   Issues with the infrastructure supporting Xpert MTB/RIF testing were observed by many

366   laboratory staff and focused on the one month where cartridge supply was an issue, and to a

367   lesser extent, access to repairs. In our study the demand for cartridges was met without

368   expiration issues due to high turnover of cartridges, except for one month when the cartridge

369   supply was exhausted. No Xpert MTB/RIF testing could be undertaken during this month.

370   Previous studies investigating Xpert MTB/RIF implementation have highlighted the importance

371   of program levers to assist in the management of cartridge supply.[5,14] They have suggested

372   measures such as staggered cartridge shipments and design of diagnostic algorithms that

373   combine Xpert with other methods to increase yield.[5,14] A Brazilian study assessing the pilot

374   implementation of Xpert MTB/RIF calculated that 10% of Xpert MTB/RIF equipment needed

375   replacement during the eight month pilot study, yet spare parts were not immediately

376   available.[14] Although our study did not quantify faulty equipment, a similar experience of

377   limited access to repairs was observed by laboratory staff. The authors of the Brazilian study

378   suggested that both cartridge supply and maintenance should be negotiated with

379   manufacturers.[14]

380

381   Communication of Xpert MTB/RIF results occurred through different methods that were paper

382   based and reliant on the accuracy of the contact information written on the Xpert MTB/RIF

383   request form. Interestingly, no participant would have preferred computer based record

384   keeping or an online system, even though administrative reports were generated weekly on a

385   computer, based on the information in the paper forms, manually input into the computer files.

386   Regular reporting in this way is inefficient and did not appear to facilitate a quality control

387   mechanism that would be of value to the participants. A study undertaken in two Brazilian

388   cities found that the implementation of concomitant IT technology to support Xpert MTB/RIF

389   integration into organisational workflows, led to delays in physicians receiving laboratory

390   results.[16] In that study, difficulties with the online system were thought to be a result of poor

391   knowledge on how to use the equipment and networks effectively, low availability of online

392   systems and available systems not interacting with each other leading to repeated input of

393   data.[16] Given the limited availability and uptake of electronic databases and online systems

394   within Mongolia's NTP, it is reasonable to assume that Mongolian NTP staff may have concerns

395   about upscaling IT technology that echo the experience outlined in the Brazilian study.[16]

396   However, IT solutions enable more efficient record keeping internally and capacity for

397   connectivity externally to pool surveillance data that can benefit TB control efforts within the

398   region.[23]

399

400   Several studies have highlighted issues with sample quantity and quality for GeneXpert

401   testing.[5,14,15] Our findings are consistent with these studies – participants suggested the most

402   common reason for experiencing an error message was related to the sample's quantity and/or

403   quality. Specimen transportation systems are in place at the three levels of the health system –

404   community, district/provincial and central. All health care workers with responsibility for

405   collecting specimens receive training on the collection and effective transportation of

406   specimens. When collecting specimens, patients are provided with verbal and written

407   instructions. Participants also reported conflicting information on the type of specimen that

408   could be used for Xpert MTB/RIF testing, indicating the need for better education and training

409   in this area.

410

411   The rapid testing feature of Xpert MTB/RIF (results available in 2 hours) means that the

412   technology is poised for point of care (POC) testing. Our study supports the findings of studies

413   on POC testing that found that simply having the rapid test technology available does not

414   guarantee a natural fit into the program environment to enable POC testing.[13, 24-26] Detailed

415   recommendations on how to facilitate integration of GeneXpert with clinical care have been

416   made.[27] Two of these recommendations, standardised training and establishment of automated

417   processes for prescriptions,[27] would address important barriers identified in our study.

418

419   In our study, processes that followed a diagnosis of MDR-TB contributed to time delays until

420   treatment initiation despite the rapid availability of Xpert MTB/RIF results. An Indian study on a

421   POC testing program found that diagnostic delays, such as those observed in our study,

422   undermined the full potential of rapid tests.[28] However, two trials suggest that the benefit of

423   prompt treatment initiation gained using Xpert MTB/RIF may not translate into improved

424   patient outcomes. One randomised controlled trial found that nurses in African primary care

425   clinics had the capacity to accurately administer Xpert MTB/RIF at the clinic, which resulted in

426   more patients starting same-day treatment, more culture-positive patients starting therapy and

427   a shorter time to treatment initiation compared to the use of sputum smear microscopy.[29]

428   However, the trial also found that these benefits did not translate into lower tuberculosis

429   related morbidity.[29] The other trial, a South African cluster-randomised trial, found that there

430 was no reduction in mortality at six months when using Xpert MTB/RIF compared to smear

431 microscopy.[30] In Mongolia, Xpert MTB/RIF is currently not implemented as a POC test, as the

432 laboratories performing the testing are not directly integrated with the TB clinics. Improving

433 integration of GeneXpert with clinical care by addressing some of the issues outlined above

434 could potentially increase the value of GeneXpert testing.

435

436 More broadly, funding arrangements and health system functioning have also been identified in

437 the literature to have an important role in the implementation of new diagnostic

438 technologies.[31] However, these concepts were outside the scope of this study because we

439 focused on the experiences of operational staff.

440

441 A limitation of our study was that interview questions and answers had to be translated from

442 English into Mongolian and from Mongolian into English respectively, possibly resulting in loss

443 or misinterpretation of information. To reduce these risks, we pretested the interview guides

444 and adjusted them based on participant feedback, and the English interview transcripts were

445 verified by a second bi-lingual member of the research team to ensure the English version was

446 clear and the participants' views were adequately represented. The interviews were semi-

447 structured with open-ended questions, which allowed respondents to explore the mentioned

448 topics as they saw fit. Adding more structured interview questions to explore some issues in

449 more detail (e.g. sample collection, distance and subsequent travel time of samples, transport

450 conditions particularly during winter and waste disposal system for cartridges) might have been

451 useful.

452   In summary, this study identified a number of barriers and enablers of Xpert MTB/RIF

453   implementation in Mongolia that extended beyond purchasing the equipment and installing it

454   locally. The program environment is important for the successful implementation of Xpert

455   MTB/RIF. Our study found that factors affecting implementation centred around awareness of

456   program guidelines, cartridge supply management, local repair options for GeneXpert

457   technology, regular formal training, communication systems and processes for sample

458   collection. Upscaling of Xpert MTB/RIF testing facilities in Mongolia and other low-and middle

459   income countries will lead to implementation of Xpert MTB/RIF in new settings in future, and

460   we believe that the lessons learned from our study can help to facilitate this process.

461

462   Acknowledgements

463   This work was supported (in-kind) by the NTP of Mongolia. We thank Dr Buyankhishig

464   Burneebaatar for her assistance during the ethics approval process and sourcing background

465   information.

References

1. World Health Organization. WHO endorses new rapid tuberculosis test [Internet]. Geneva (Switzerland): World Health Organization; media release 8 December 2010 [cited 17 November 2016]. Available from http://www.who.int/mediacentre/news/releases/2010/tb_test_20101208/en/

2. World Health Organization. Global Tuberculosis Report 2016 [Internet]. Geneva (Switzerland): World Health Organization; 2016 [cited 17 November 2016]. Available from http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/250441/1/9789241565394-eng.pdf?ua=1

3. World Health Organization. Mongolia – Tuberculosis profile [Internet]. Geneva (Switzerland): World Health Organization [cited 3 January 2017]. Available https://extranet.who.int/sree/Reports?op=Replet&name=/WHO_HQ_Reports/G2/PROD/EXT/TBCountryProfile&ISO2=mn&outtype=pdf

4. Dobler CC, Korver S, Batbayar O, Nyamdulam B, Oyuntsetseg S, Tsolmon B, Surmaajav B, Bayarjargal B, Marais BJ. Multidrug-Resistant Tuberculosis in Patients for Whom First-Line Treatment Failed, Mongolia, 2010-2011. Emerg Infect Dis. 2015; 21(8): 1451-1454 [cited 21 May 2017]. Available from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26196504

5. Creswell J, Codlin AJ, Andre E, Micek MA, Bedru A, Carter EJ, Yadav R, Mosneaga A, Rai R, Banu S, Brouwer M, Blok L, Sahu S and Ditiu L. Results from early

487   programmatic implementation of Xpert MTB/RIF testing in nine countries. BMC

488   Infect Dis. 2014; 14(2): p. 2. doi 10.1186/1471-2334-14-2

489   6.   World Health Organization. Xpert MTB/RIF Implementation Manual: Technical and

490   Operational 'How-To'; Practical Considerations [Internet]. Geneva (Switzerland):

491   World Health Organization; 2014 [cited 5 December 2016]. Available from:

492   https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK254329/

493   7.   World Health Organization. Xpert MTB/RIF assay for the diagnosis of pulmonary and

494   extrapulmonary TB in adults and children – Policy Update [Internet]. Geneva

495   (Switzerland): World Health Organization; 2013 [cited 17 November 2016]. Available

496   from http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/112472/1/9789241506335_eng.pdf

497   8.   Armand S, Vanhuls P, Delcroix G, Courcol R, Lemaître N. Comparison of the Xpert

498   MTB/RIF test with an IS6110-TaqMan real-time PCR assay for direct detection of

499   Mycobacterium tuberculosis in respiratory and nonrespiratory specimens. J Clin

500   Microbiol. 2011; 49(5): 1772-6. doi 10.1128/JCM.02157-10

501   9.   Nhu NT, Ha DTM, Anh ND, Thu DD, Duong TN, Quang ND, Lan NT, Quyet TV, Tuyen

502   NT, Ha VT, Giang DC, Dung NH, Wolbers M, Farrar J, Caws M. Evaluation of Xpert

503   MTB/RIF and MODS assay for the diagnosis of pediatric tuberculosis. BMC Infect Dis.

504   2013; 13: 31. doi 10.1186/1471-2334-13-31

505   10.   Huh HJ, Jeong B, Jeon K, Koh WJ, Ki CS, Lee NY. Performance evaluation of the Xpert

506   MTB/RIF assay according to its clinical application. BMC Infect Dis. 2014; 14: 589. doi

507   10.1186/s12879-014-0589-x

508   11.   Menzies NA, Cohen T, Lin H, Murray M, Salomon JA. Population health impact and

509   cost-effectiveness of tuberculosis diagnosis with Xpert MTB/RIF: a dynamic

510   simulation and economic evaluation. PLoS Med. 2012; 9(11): e1001347. doi

511   10.1371/journal.pmed.1001347

512   12.   Vassall A, van Kampen S, Sohn H, Michael JS, John KR, den Boon S, Davis JL,

513   Whitelaw A, Nicol MP, Gler MT, Khaliqov A, Zamudio C, Perkins MD, Boehme CC,

514   Cobelens F. Rapid diagnosis of tuberculosis with the Xpert MTB/RIF assay in high

515   burden countries: a cost-effectiveness analysis. PLoS Med. 2011; 8(11): e1001120.

516   doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001120

517   13.   Engel N, Davids M, Blankvoort N, Pai NP, Dheda K, Pai M. Compounding diagnostic

518   delays: a qualitative study of point-of-care testing in South Africa. Trop Med Int

519   Health. 2015; 20(4): 493-500. doi 10.1111/tmi.12450

520   14.   Durovni B, Saraceni V, Cordeiro-Santos M, Cavalcante S, Soares E, Lourenço C,

521   Menezes A, van den Hof S, Cobelens F, Trajman A. Operational lessons drawn from

522   pilot implementation of Xpert MTB/Rif in Brazil. Bull World Health Organ. 2014;

523   92(8): 613-7. doi http://dx.doi.org/10.2471/BLT.13.131409

524   15.   Raizada N, Sachdeva KS, Sreenivas A, Vadera B, Gupta RS, Parmar M, Kulsange S,

525   Babre A, Thakur R, Gray C, Ramachandran R, Alavadi U, Ghedia M, Vollepore B,

526   Dewan P, Boehme C, Paramsivan CN. Feasibility of decentralised deployment of

527   Xpert MTB/RIF test at lower level of health system in India. PLoS One. 2014; 9(2):

528   e89301. doi 10.1371/journal.pone.0089301

529   16.   de Camargo Jr KR, Guedes CR, Caetano R, Menezes A, Trajman A. The adoption of a

530   new diagnostic technology for tuberculosis in two Brazilian cities from the

531   perspective of patients and healthcare workers: a qualitative study. BMC Health Serv

532   Res. 2015; 15: 275. doi 10.1186/s12913-015-0941-x

533   17.   Albert H, Nathavitharana RR, Isaacs C, Pai M, Denkinger CM, Boehme CC.

534   Development, roll-out and impact of Xpert MTB/RIF for tuberculosis: what lessons

535   have we learnt and how can we do better? Eur Respir J. 2016; 48: 516–525. doi

536   10.1183/13993003.00543-2016

537   18.   Patton MQ. Qualitative evaluation and research methods. Newbury Park (CA): Sage

538   Publications Inc; 1990.

539   19.   Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research

540   (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. Int J Qual Health Care.

541   2007; 19(6):349-57 [cited 21 May 2017]. Available from

542   https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17872937

543   20.   McNerney R, Cunningham J, Hepple P, Zumla A. New tuberculosis diagnostics and

544   rollout. Int J Infect Dis. 2015; 32: 81–86. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2015.01.012

545   21.   Kirwan DE, Cárdenas MK, Gilman RH. Implementation of New TB Diagnostic Tests: Is

546   It Too Soon for a Global Roll-Out of Xpert MTB/RIF? Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2012; 87(2):

547   197-201. doi:l0.4269/ajlmh.2012.l2-OI07

548   22.   Giang DC, Duong TN, Ha DTM, Nhan HT, Wolbers M, Nhu NT, Heemskerk D, Quang

549   ND, Phuong DT, Hang PT, Loc TH, Lan NT, Dung NH, Farrar J, Caws M. Prospective

550 evaluation of GeneXpert for the diagnosis of HIV- negative pediatric TB cases. BMC

551 Infect Dis. 2015; 15:70. doi 10.1186/s12879-015-0814-2

552 23. Andre E, Isaacs C, Affolabi D, Alagna R, Brockmann D, de Jong BC, Cambau E,

553 Churchyard G, Cohen T, Delmee M, Delvenne JC, Farhat M, Habib A, Holme P,

554 Keshavjee S, Khan A, Lightfoot P, Moore D, Moreno Y, Mundade Y, Pai M, Patel S,

555 Nyaruhirira AU, Rocha LE, Takle J, Trébucq A, Creswell J, Boehme C. Connectivity of

556 diagnostic technologies: improving surveillance and accelerating tuberculosis

557 elimination. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2016; 20(8): 999–1003. doi 10.5588/ijtld.16.0015

558 24. Cowan J, Michel C, Manhiça I, Monivo C, Saize D, Creswell J, Gloyd S, Micek M.

559 Implementing rapid testing for tuberculosis in Mozambique. Bull World Health

560 Organ. 2015; 93: 125–130. doi 10.2471/BLT.14.138560

561 25. Pai NP, Vadnais C, Denkinger C, Engel N, Pai M. Point-of-Care Testing for Infectious

562 Diseases: Diversity, Complexity, and Barriers in Low- And Middle-Income Countries.

563 PLoS Med. 2012; 9(9): e1001306. Doi 10.1371/journal.pmed.1001306

564 26. Clouse K, Page-Shipp L, Dansey H, Moatlhodi B, Scott L, Bassett J, Stevens W, Sanne

565 I, Van Rie A. Implementation of Xpert MTB/RIF for routine point-of-care diagnosis of

566 tuberculosis at the primary care level. S Afr Med J. 2012; 102(10): 805. doi

567 10.7196/SAMJ.5851

568 27. Dominique JK, Ortiz-Osorno AA, Fitzgibbon J, Gnanashanmugam D, Gilpin C, Tucker

569 T, Peel S, Peter T, Kim P, Smith S. Implementation of HIV and Tuberculosis

570 Diagnostics: The Importance of Context. Clin Infect Dis. 2015; 61(S3): S119–25. doi

571 10.1093/cid/civ552

572   28.   Engel N, Ganesh G, Patil M, Yellappa V, Vadnais C, Pai NP, Pai M. Point-of-care

573   testing in India: missed opportunities to realize the true potential of point-of-care

574   testing programs. BMC Health Serv Res. 2015; 15: 550. doi 10.1186/s12913-015-

575   1223-3

576   29.   Theron G, Zijenah L, Chanda D, Clowes P, Rachow A, Lesosky M, Bara W, Mungofa S,

577   Pai M, Hoelscher M, Dowdy D, Pym A, Mwaba P, Mason P, Peter J, Dheda K; TB-

578   NEAT team. Feasibility, accuracy, and clinical effect of point-of-care Xpert MTB/RIF

579   testing for tuberculosis in primary-care settings in Africa: a multicentre, randomised,

580   controlled trial. Lancet. 2014; 383: 424–35. doi 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)62073-5

581   30.   Churchyard GJ, Stevens WS, Mametja LD, McCarthy KM, Chihota V, Nicol MP,

582   Erasmus LK, Ndjeka NO, Mvusi L, Vassall A, Sinanovic E, Cox HS, Dye C, Grant AD,

583   Fielding KL. Xpert MTB/RIF versus sputum microscopy as the initial diagnostic test

584   for tuberculosis: a cluster-randomised trial embedded in South African roll-out of

585   Xpert MTB/RIF. Lancet Glob Health. 2015; 3: e450–57. doi 10.1016/S2214-

586   109X(15)00100-X

587   31.   ngel N, Wachter K, Pai M, Gallarda J, Boehme C, Celentano I, Weintraub R.

588   Addressing the challenges of diagnostics demand and supply: insights from an online

589   global health discussion platform. BMJ Global Health. 2016; 1: e000132.

590   doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2016-000132

591   32.   Ministry of Health Mongolia. 1st Annex of the Ministerial order of the Ministry of Health

592   (N319) - Manual on the Management of Tuberculosis care and services. 2014; Ulaanbaatar:

593   Ministry of Health Mongolia.

594

Supporting Information

596 **S1 File. Appendix A – Interview Guides**

597   **Table 1. Number of patient specimens tested using Xpert MTB/RIF, by laboratory, in**

598   **Mongolia, 2013-2014**

Laboratory location	Commencement	Number of patient specimens[1] tested			
		2013	**2014**	**2015**	**2016**
Ulaanbaatar	November 2013	310	3,022	3,493	3,588
Dornod	March 2014	—	130	114	162
Darkhan-uul	June 2014	—	137	195	241

599

600   [1] Specimens include all pulmonary and extra-pulmonary specimens

601 **Table 2. Barriers and enablers of Xpert MTB/RIF implementation, 2015**

Barriers	Enablers
Guidelines and organisational structures	
- Poor awareness of program guidelines – staff members were not always aware that guidelines existed and how they could be accessed - Inadequate staffing arrangements – laboratory staff participants indicated an increase in their workload without a change in staffing arrangements to accommodate the increased workload.	- Clear guidelines in local language – in situations where participants were aware of guidelines in Mongolian, they were considered valuable guidance for working with Xpert MTB/RIF - Extra staff in NTRL, shift working arrangements and/or an increase in the number of modules - these arrangements would have assisted staff in meeting the increased demands that resulted from the introduction of Xpert MTB/RIF.
Equipment	
- Poor supply chain management of cartridges (stock-outs) – this happened on one occasion and meant Xpert MTB/RIF testing ceased. - Absence of local repair options – difficulties were reported for arranging repairs when required because of limited availability of trained mechanics to repair Genexpert machines in Mongolia.	Capacity for troubleshooting internally – there where some situations where the participants were able to determine the cause of machine faults and resolve them using a locally sourced solution. This meant little interruption to the work flow.

603

Barriers	Enablers
Training	
• Inconsistent formal training options – some laboratory staff participated in formal training courses, others learned on the job through instruction by trained colleagues or superiors.	• Access to experts – some participants had direct access to visiting international technical experts • Peer learning - all staff recognised the value of learning from their colleagues.
Communication Systems	
• Paper based system – storing patient information on paper forms through the laboratory workflow meant results were communicated inefficiently through a paper based system and administrative reporting required a manual input of information.	
Diagnostic algorithms, case finding for Xpert MTB/RIF and clinical management	
• Treatment initiation in MDR-TB delayed until after consensus meeting – some participants reported a delay in initiating MDR-TB treatment because of the procedural requirement to determine the MDR-TB treatment plan at a weekly meeting in Ulaanbaatar. • Poor sample quality - this was the most commonly reported error experienced by study participants.	• Common understanding of indications for Xpert MTB/RIF testing (diagnostic algorithms) - all participants reported an awareness of the indications for Xpert MTB/RIF use in Mongolia • Testing availability in provincial centres (decentralised) – participants in provincial clinics value the accessibility of a tool to diagnose MDR-TB locally.

605 **Table 3. Summary of indications for clinicians to prescribe the Xpert MTB/RIF test, Mongolian**

606 **National Tuberculosis Program Guidelines, December 2014**

Indication	Additional detail
All smear negative pulmonary TB cases	
Patient with presumed pulmonary TB diagnosed with HIV/AIDS	
Patients with presumed MDR-TB	• Smear positive at the 2nd (3rd) and 5th month of TB treatment with category I and II • Smear positive after interruption of TB treatment category I and II • Relapse (after TB treatment category I and II) • Indefinite previous treatment regimen or smear negative • Smear positive after being smear negative during treatment initiation • Identification of TB case from contact investigation of a DR-TB case.
Patients with presumed XDR-TB	• Used category II drugs for 2 or more months • Culture positive at the 3rd month of MDR-TB treatment • Not converted to negative at the end of intensive treatment phase of MDR-TB • Smear positive again by bacteriological analysis after conversion to negative during continuous treatment phase of MDR-TB • MDR-TB case defined to be resistance to fluoroquinolone group or second line injectable TB drugs • Close contacts of XDR-TB case.
All smear positive new cases aged 15-34 years old (this guideline is yet to be implemented)	

607 Note: These indications are a summary adapted from treatment algorithms and other guidance outlined within the

608 Mongolian National Tuberculosis Program Guidelines[32]