Infrared thermography applied to lower limb muscles in elite soccer players with functional ankle equinus and non-equinus condition

David Rodriguez Sanz Corresp., [1] , **Marta Elena Losa Iglesias** Corresp., [2] , **Daniel Lopez Lopez** Corresp., [3] , **Cesar Calvo Lobo** Corresp., [4] , **Patricia Palomo Lopez** Corresp., [5] , **Ricardo Becerro de Bengoa Vallejo** Corresp. [6]

[1] Physical Therapy & Health Sciences Research Group; Physiotherapy Department, Faculty of Health, Exercise and Sport, European University, Madrid, Spain
[2] Faculty of Health Sciences, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Madrid, Spain
[3] Research, Health and Podiatry Unit, Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Nursing and Podiatry, Universidade da Coruña, Ferrol, Spain
[4] Centro Superior La Salle. Physical Therapy Department. Motion in Brains Research Group., Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Spain
[5] University Center of Plasencia, Universidad de Extremadura, Plasencia, Spain, Spain
[6] School of Nursing, Physiotherapy and Podiatry, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

Corresponding Authors: David Rodriguez Sanz, Marta Elena Losa Iglesias, Daniel Lopez Lopez, Cesar Calvo Lobo, Patricia Palomo Lopez, Ricardo Becerro de Bengoa Vallejo
Email address: davidrodriguezsanz@gmail.com, marta.losa@urjc.es, daniellopez@udc.gal, cecalvo19@hotmail.com, patibiom@unex.es, ribebeva@ucm.es

Gastrocnemius-soleus equinus (GSE) is a foot-ankle complaint in which the extensibility of the gastrocnemius (G) and soleus muscles (triceps surae) and ankle are limited to a dorsiflexion beyond a neutral ankle position. The asymmetric forces of leg muscles and the associated asymmetric loading forces might promote major activation of the triceps surae, tibialis anterior, transverses abdominal and multifidus muscles. Here, we made infrared recordings of 21 sportsmen (elite professional soccer players) before activity and after 30 min of running. These recordings were used to assess temperature modifications on the gastrocnemius, tibialis anterior, and Achilles tendon in GSE and non-GSE participants. We identified significant temperature modifications among GSE and non-GSE participants for the tibialis anterior muscle (mean, minimum, and maximum temperature values). The cutaneous temperature increased as a direct consequence of muscle activity in GSE participants. IR imaging capture was reliable to muscle pattern activation for lower limb. Based on our findings, we propose that non-invasive IR evaluation is suitable for clinical evaluation of the status of these muscles.

Commented [CRG1]: Change to:, limit dorsiflexion

Commented [CRG2]: Change to: transversus abdominus (English)

Commented [CRG3]: Here you say in GSE temperature increased, previous paragraph says both temperatures changed – do you mean GSE increased more than non-GSE?

Infrared Thermography applied to lower limb muscles in elite soccer players with functional ankle equinus and non-equinus condition.

Authors

David Rodriguez Sanz[1], Marta Elena Losa Iglesias[2],Daniel Lopez Lopez[3] , Cesar Calvo Lobo[4], Patricia Palomo Lopez[5] , Ricardo Becerro de Bengoa Vallejo[6]

1.- Faculty of Health, Exercise and Sport, Department of Physical Therapy and Podiatry, Universidad Europea de Madrid, Villaviciosa de Odón, Madrid, Spain. Physical Therapy & Health Sciences Research Group

2.-Faculty of Health Sciences, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Madrid, Spain

3.- Research, Health and Podiatry Unit, Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Nursing and Podiatry, Universidade da Coruña, Ferrol, Spain

4.- Departamento de Fisioterapia, Centro Superior de Estudios Universitarios La Salle, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain. Motion in Brains Research Group, Instituto de Neurociencias y Ciencias del Movimiento, Centro Superior de Estudios Universitarios La Salle, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain.

5.- University Center of Plasencia, Universidad de Extremadura, Plasencia, Spain

6.- School of Nursing, Physiotherapy and Podiatry, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

Corresponding author:

- David Rodriguez Sanz. Faculty of Health, Exercise and Sport, Department of Physical Therapy and Podiatry, Universidad Europea de Madrid, Villaviciosa de Odón, Madrid, Spain. Physical Therapy & Health Sciences Research Group . (e-mail : davidrodriguezsanz@gmail.com)
- Marta Elena Losa Iglesias : Faculty of Health Sciences, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Madrid, Spain. (e-mail : marta.losa@urjc.es)
- Patricia Palomo Lopez : University Center of Plasencia, Universidad de Extremadura, Plasencia, Spain. (e-mail: patibiom@unex.es)
- Daniel Lopez Lopez : Research, Health and Podiatry Unit, Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Nursing and Podiatry, Universidade da Coruña, Ferrol, Spain. (e-mail: daniellopez@udc.gal)

- César Calvo Lobo. Physiotherapy Department, Instituto de Neurociencias y Ciencias del Movimiento, Centro Superior de Estudios Universitarios La Salle, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, CSEULS-UAM, C/ La Salle, 10, 28023, Madrid, Spain. (e-mail: cecalvo19@hotmail.com).
- Ricardo Becerro de Bengoa Vallejo : School of Nursing, Physiotherapy and Podiatry, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Spain. (e-mail : ribebeva@ucm.es)

ABSTRACT

Gastrocnemius-soleus equinus (GSE) is a foot-ankle complaint in which the extensibility of the gastrocnemius (G) and soleus muscles (triceps surae) and ankle are limited to a dorsiflexion beyond a neutral ankle position [1,2]. The asymmetric forces of leg muscles and the associated asymmetric loading forces might promote major activation of the triceps surae, tibialis anterior, transverses abdominal and multifidus muscles. Here, we made infrared recordings of 21 sportsmen (elite professional soccer players) before activity and after 30 min of running. These recordings were used to assess temperature modifications on the gastrocnemius, tibialis anterior, and Achilles tendon in GSE and non-GSE participants. We identified significant temperature modifications among GSE and non-GSE participants for the tibialis anterior muscle (mean, minimum, and maximum temperature values). The cutaneous temperature increased as a direct consequence of muscle activity in GSE participants. IR imaging capture was reliable to muscle pattern activation for lower limb. Based on our findings, we propose that non-invasive IR evaluation is suitable for clinical evaluation of the status of these muscles.

Commented [CRG4]: Change to:, limit dorsiflexion

Commented [CRG5]: Add: and hence modify temperature

Commented [CRG6]: Delete and insert: reliably captured temperature associated with

KEYWORDS: Ankle; foot; sport; thermography.

1. INTRODUCTION

Gastrocnemius-soleus equinus (GSE) is a functional limitation of the ankle. GSE is defined as the inability of the ankle to dorsiflex beyond a neutral position with the knee extended (it remains <0°) or with the knee flexed (it remains <0°) [1,2]. Although GSE is clinically asymptomatic, it may cause an alteration in the triceps surae muscles, tibialis anterior muscle (TA), and Achilles tendon (AT). GSE is closely related to asymmetric loading patterns and muscular alteration for contraction [3-6].

Commented [CRG7]: Add: after excluding osseus restriction

Asymmetric body loading is associated with GSE and may cause a higher activation of low-back and pelvic muscles (e.g., the quadratus lumborum) and lower limb muscles (e.g., the TA). GSE has been much studied using the mean of force pressure platform, Romberg-test analysis, and sway-area development approaches [7,8,9,10]. The relationships between muscle contraction condition and posture have been investigated by electromyography [11,12,13]. Also, several studies have investigated whether activation of skeletal muscles promotes heat transfer and thus increases cutaneous temperature [14,15].

Orthostatic posture is typically assessed by the complex activation of anti-gravitational muscles (e.g., the triceps surae muscles). Modifications in cutaneous temperature are associated with gait and posture alterations, asymmetric loading forces, and an altered range of ankle or knee movement. High thermal infrared (IR) imaging can non-invasively identify cutaneous temperature variations [14].

Here we aimed to assess whether high-resolution thermal IR can detect cutaneous temperature variations in GSE and Non-GSE individuals and thus detect association between the extensibility to triceps-surae (with GSE and Non-GSE) and the role of TA in professional sportsmen.

2. METHODS

In this case-control study, 21 healthy male participants (professional soccer players) (age 23 ± 2.9 years, body mass index 20.2 ± 1.2) were included. Eighteen subjects were right-footed, and three were left-footed, as defined by the self-report on the lower limb predominant used in sports activities. All participants successfully completed the study. The exclusion criteria were the presence of musculoskeletal injuries, low back and pelvic pain, sprains, tendon injuries in lower limbs, use of drugs in the week preceding the test, and scoliosis.

Commented [CRG8]: STROBE: why were these particular participants chosen? Was there a randomizing?
In the accompanying Exel sheet participants are identified as individuals, not randomized or given a participant number to reduce bias.

The principal study variable was temperature. An IR Termocan camera was used to register the maximum, minimum and mean temperature values of the TA, AT, and G regions.

Commented [CRG9]: Is it not ThermaCam?

Ethical considerations – The Research and Ethics Committee of the University of A Coruña (A Coruña, Spain; record number: CE 06/2014) approved the study. All subjects provided informed consent before the beginning of the study. The ethical standards for human experimentation of the Declaration of Helsinki were respected. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines were applied.

Commented [CRG10]: STROBE: How was the study size derived

IR imaging – The subjects were given a series of standardization rules before attending the experimental measurements [14]. Specifically, during the week prior to the trial, the participants were asked not to use drugs. Also, on the test day, vasomotor substances (e.g., caffeine) and heavy meals were not allowed.

To improve the accuracy of the imaging paper signals were attached to the lower limb with anatomical references (TA, G, and AT). All measurements were acquired in a laboratory with a temperature of 24.1 ± 1 Cº, humidity of 45% ± 10% and no direct ventilation-flow towards participants or raters.

Clinical exploration and capture of IR-imaging **–** First, participants lay in a supine position on a gurney, and their GSE status was assessed with the knee extended and flexed. The range of ankle dorsiflexion movement was checked using a goniometer to evaluate the angle between the plantar line of the foot and the tibia axis. The rater maintained the subtalar joint in a neutral position and the angle in a dorsiflexion position [rather than midfoot-dorsiflexion (rocker bottom) or midfoot-equinus (pseudoequinus)]. The Silverskiold-test was used to check GSE from other types of equines [3,4]. A 20° movement with the knee flexed and 10° movement with the knee extended was considered a normal range of ankle dorsiflexion [3,5,6]. Equinus analysis was carried out by the same Podiatry Doctor (R.B.B.V) to improve the reliability of measurements.

The IR-imaging process (high resolutions thermograms) started with the participant standing up in a relaxed position. We captured the TA, G, and AT regions in that order. Five IR-images were taken for every muscle. Participants then ran for 30 min on a treadmill at a speed of 9 km/h and the IR-imaging repeated.

IR-imaging was performed using an FLIR/SC3000/QWIP infrared thermal device with a 8–9 μm spectral range and 0.02-K temperature sensitivity (NETD at 30◦C). The 320 ×240/FPA device presents a 20◦-lens. The images were captured with a 1.1 mrad spatial-resolution. IR-imaging acquisition was carried out by the same clinician (DRS) using a tripod.

Commented [CRG11]: ThermaCam

DATA ANALYSIS

IR-images and data were analyzed using rater (DRS) with the FLIR® software Termocan Researcher Professional V.2.9.This software provides to rater the minimum, maximum and mean thermal values for the selected muscles. This body selections-regions were bilaterally selected with landmark position and selected by the blinded clinical operator who ignored hypothesis of study and the experimental conditions. An IR-Imaging example is shown in Figure 1. [Place Figure 1 Here]



Figure 1. Tibialis anterior IR-Imaging and data temperature values.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS (version 22.0 for Windows, IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Armonk, NY, IBM Corp) with an α error of 0.05 (95% confidence interval [CI]), with the desired power of 80% (β error of 0.2).

Shapiro-Wilks test was used to assess data normality. All data were normally distributed, and parametric statistical tests were selected. The mean and standard deviation of the temperature data were obtained for the selected lower limb muscles (AT, TA,G).

Student's t-tests were performed to test for statistically significant differences in height, weight, body mass index, and age between the two groups. Paired Student's t-tests were performed to determine differences between the groups (equinus vs. non-equinus), as well as between imaging sessions (before vs. after running).

RESULTS

We found no statistically significant differences between the equinus vs. non-equinus groups for participant height, weight, age or body mass index (Table 1).

Table 1. Participant characteristics (n = 10 non-equinus and 11 equinus participants)

	Equinus Group	Non- Equinus Group
Age (years)*	23.56 ± 2.61 (21–28)	20 ± 2.16 (19–27)
Height (cm)*	175.5 ± 7.9	173.1 ± 5.2
Weight (kg)*	75.2 ± 3.9	74.5 ± 3.1
Body mass index*	20.2 ± 1.3	20.1 ± 1.5

* No statistically significant difference between groups ($p \geq 0.05$).

We found no significant differences in TA, G or AT temperatures between the GSE and non-GSE participates before running (Table 2). [Place Table 2 here]

Table 2. Temperature values for tibialis anterior, gastrocnemius-soleus and Achilles tendon for gastrosoleus equinus (GSE) and non-gastrosoleus equinus (Non-GSE) participants before exercise.

Commented [CRG12]: Really need units of temperature

Variable	N	Mean	SD	Sig. Bilat	CI
Tibialis right anterior minimum temperature before exercise					
GSE	11	31.01	± 1.3	< ,342*	95%
Non GSE	10	30.86	± 0.56	< ,331*	95%
Tibialis anterior right maximum temperature before exercise					
GSE	11	30.85	± 1.32	< ,397*	95%
Non GSE	10	31.07	± 0,81	< ,401*	95%
Tibialis anterior right mean temperature before exercise					
GSE	11	31.3	± 1.3	< ,430*	95%
Non GSE	10	31.09	± 0.56	< ,435*	95%
Tibialis anterior left minimum temperature before exercise					
GSE	11	28.82	± 2.47	< 1,14*	95%
Non GSE	10	29.74	± 0.82	< 1,15*	95%
Tibialis anterior left maximum temperature before exercise					
GSE	11	31.87	± 1.35	< ,486*	95%
Non GSE	10	31.65	± 0,53	< ,504*	95%
Tibialis anterior left mean temperature before exercise					
GSE	11	31.01	± 1.3	< ,354*	95%
Non GSE	10	31.86	± 0.56	< ,366*	95%
Gastrocnemius left minimum temperature before exercise					
GSE	11	28.41	± 1.57	< ,449*	95%
Non GSE	10	28.71	± 1.39	< ,441*	95%
Gastrocnemius left maximum temperature before exercise					
GSE	11	30.85	± 1.32	< ,442*	95%

Non GSE	10	31.07	± 0,81	< ,452*	95%
Gastrocnemius left mean temperature before exercise					
GSE	11	29.92	± 1.34	< ,687*	95%
Non GSE	10	30.12	± 0.84	< ,681*	95%
Gastrocnemius right minimum temperature before exercise					
GSE	11	28.35	± 2.0	< 1,065*	95%
Non GSE	10	29.1	± 0.94	< 1,1*	95%
Gastrocnemius right maximum temperature before exercise					
GSE	11	31.1	± 1.14	< ,357*	95%
Non GSE	10	31.91	± 0,86	< ,362*	95%
Gastrocnemius right mean temperature before exercise					
GSE	11	29.89	± 1.14	< ,608*	95%
Non GSE	10	30.17	± 0.93	< ,614*	95%
Achilles tendon left minimum temperature before exercise					
GSE	11	22.52	± 3.59	< ,581*	95%
Non GSE	10	23.22	± 1.16	< ,605*	95%
Achilles tendon Left maximum temperature before exercise					
GSE	11	29.52	± 1.58	< ,819*	95%
Non GSE	10	28.93	± 1.75	< ,815*	95%
Achilles tendon left mean temperature before exercise					
GSE	11	26.57	± 1.92	< ,393*	95%
Non GSE	10	26.87	± 1.47	< ,399*	95%
Achilles tendon right minimum temperature before exercise					
GSE	11	22.74	± 2.34	< ,364*	95%
Non equinus feet	10	23.05	± 1.26	< ,367*	95%
Achilles tendon right maximum temperature before exercise					

GSE	11	29.57	± 1.88	< ,062*	95%
Non GSE	10	29.51	± 1.13	< ,064*	95%
Achilles tendon right mean temperature before exercise					
GSE	11	26.29	± 1.68	< 1,48*	95%
Non GSE	10	27.46	± 1.93	< 1,47*	95%

* No statistically significant difference between groups (*p* ≥ 0.05). † Statistically significant difference between groups (P<0.05).

However, after running, the TA temperature (minimum, maximum, and mean) was significantly warmer in the GSE than the Non GSE participants (P <.05). Also, the minimum G temperatures (both right and left) and left AT mean temperature were significantly warmer in the GSE than the Non-GSEparticipants (Table 3). [Place table 3 here]

Commented [CRG13]: Does table really show this?
I think it shows opposite
All GSE temperatures are lower than non-GSE contradicting the reporting
Has the data been inverted?

Table 3. Temperature values for tibialis anterior, gastrocnemius-soleus and Achilles tendon for gastrosoleus equinus (GSE) and non-gastrosoleus equinus (Non-GSE) participants after exercise.

Variable	N	Mean	SD	Sig Bilat	CI
Tibialis anterior right minimum temperature after exercise					
GSE	11	27.1	± 1.9	< ,007†	95%
Non GSE	10	29.04	± 0.76	< ,008†	95%
Tibialis anterior right maximum temperature after exercise					
GSE	11	30.28	± 1.53	< ,006†	95%
Non GSE	10	31.95	± 0,8	< ,006†	95%
Tibialis anterior right mean temperature after exercise					
GSE	11	29.14	± 1.88	< ,035†	95%
Non GSE	10	30.63	± 0.89	< ,034†	95%
Tibialis anterior left minimum temperature after exercise					
GSE	11	27.12	± 1.63	< ,001†	95%
Non GSE	10	29.42	± 0.65	< ,001†	95%

Tibialis anterior left maximum temperature after exercise					
GSE	11	30.52	± 1.19	< ,038†	95%
Non GSE	10	31.72	± 1.25	< ,038†	95%
Tibialis anterior left mean temperature after exercise					
GSE	11	29.36	± 1.62	< ,029†	95%
Non GSE	10	30.77	± 1.04	< ,027†	95%
Gastrocnemius left minimum temperature after exercise					
GSE	11	27.92	± 1.65	< ,033†	95%
Non GSE	10	29.38	± 1.16	< ,031†	95%
Gastrocnemius left maximum temperature after exercise					
GSE	11	30.31	± 1.39	< ,036†	95%
Non GSE	10	31.32	± 1.22	< ,035†	95%
Gastrocnemius left mean temperature after exercise					
GSE	11	29.27	± 1.53	< ,063*	95%
Non GSE	10	30.44	± 1.12	< ,06*	95%
Gastrocnemius right minimum temperature after exercise					
GSE	11	27.81	± 1.67	< ,025†	95%
Non GSE	10	29.29	± 0.97	< ,024†	95%
Gastrocnemius right maximum temperature after exercise					
GSE	11	30.5	± 1.32	< ,140*	95%
Non GSE	10	31.3	± 0,98	< ,135*	95%
Gastrocnemius right mean temperature after exercise					
GSE	11	29.20	± 1.48	< ,025†	95%
Non GSE	10	30.55	± 0.93	< ,023†	95%
Achilles tendon left minimum temperature after exercise					
GSE	11	24.04	± 3.34	< ,146*	95%

Non GSE	10	25.75	± 1.24	< ,139*	95%
Achilles tendon Left maximum temperature after exercise					
GSE	11	30.44	± 2.02	< ,278*	95%
Non GSE	10	31.23	± 0.93	< ,267*	95%
Achilles tendon left mean temperature after exercise					
GSE	11	27.88	± 2.13	< ,020†	95%
Non GSE	10	29.87	± 1.3	< ,019†	95%
Achilles tendon right minimum temperature after exercise					
GSE	11	24.41	± 3.15	< ,216*	95%
Non GSE	10	25.85	± 1.65	< ,207*	95%
Achilles tendon right maximum temperature after exercise					
GSE	11	30.27	± 1.79	< ,073*	95%
Non GSE	10	31.5	± 1.02	< ,07*	95%
Achilles tendon right mean temperature after exercise					
GSE	11	27.91	± 1.67	< ,006*	95%
Non GSE	10	29.85	± 1.2	< ,005*	95%

* No statistically significant difference between groups ($p \geq 0.05$). † Statistically significant difference between groups ($P<0.05$).

DISCUSSION

Here, we identified an increase in TA temperature after running in professional soccer professionals with GSE condition compared to those without GSE. The minimum G temperatures (right and left lower limb) and mean AT (left lower limb) temperature were also higher in GSE than non-GSE participants.

Ankle torque was higher in GSE participants than non-GSE participants. The TA requires stronger contraction in GSE condition and, therefore, might be affected early by fatigue, thus explaining our observed increase in TA temperature in GSE participants compared to non-GSE participants.

Commented [CRG14]: References required, how do you know torque is higher or is it an assumption?

Researchers have addressed the necessary degrees of ankle dorsiflexion and basal values.[1,6] Biomechanically, the maximum ankle dorsiflexion during the stance-phase of a normal gait occurs before heel lift with the knee extended.[1] The minimum ankle range of motion for normal gait is 10° dorsiflexion and 20° plantarflexion.[1,2,6] The most deeply known range of movement values for ankle dorsiflexion in the reviewed literature for static evaluation that the minimum dorsiflexion movement for the ankle for normal gait is 10° of motion.[3], [16-21] GSE produces a higher loading force to the foot and can lead to foot-ankle biomechanical pathologic (e.g., plantar fasciitis, pes planus, hallux abductus valgus, Achilles tendinosis, Charcot's midfoot collapse, and diabetic ulcerations).[3] DiGiovanni et al. found GSE in patients with foot and ankle pain.[1] However, GSE is also found in asymptomatic patients.[22]

Further studies will be needed to improve our knowledge of muscle condition and to establish the clinical relevance of the association between temperature and cutaneous muscle projection [23]. Based on our findings, we propose that IR-imaging can be a reliable tool for clinical therapeutic assessment.

CONCLUSIONS

GSE participants had a higher TA muscle temperature after exercise that non-GSE participants. Thus, GSE may be a predisposing factor for increased TA muscle temperature and could serve as a screening tool for preventive or therapeutic actions. Further research is needed to identify other factors associated with GSE condition, as well as to better understand the factors that contribute to abnormal temperature distribution in the lower limbs.

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE. None reported

CONFLICT OF INTEREST. None reported

Commented [CRG15]: For Strobe funding source needs to be identified

REFERENCES

1.- DIGIOVANNI CW, KUO R, TEJWANI N, ET AL: Isolated gastrocnemius tightness. J Bone Joint Surg Am 84: 962, 2002

2.- DOWNEY MS, BANKS AS: Gastrocnemius recession in the treatment of nonspastic ankle equinus: a retrospective study. J Am Podiatr Med Assoc 79: 159, 1989.

3.-LAMM BM, PALEY D, HERZENBERG JE: Gastrocnemius soleus recession: a simpler, more limited approach. JAPMA 95: 18, 2005.

4. -SILFVERSKIO¨LD N: Reduction of the uncrossed two-jointmuscles of the leg to one-joint muscles in spastic conditions. Acta Chir Scand 56: 315, 1924.

5.- DOWNEY MS: ‘‘Ankle Equinus,’’ in Comprehensive Textbookof Foot Surgery, 2nd Ed, Vol 1, ed by EDMcGlamry, AS Banks, MS Downey, p 687, Williams &Wilkins, Baltimore, 1992.

6.- ROOT ML, ORIEN WP, WEED JH: Normal and Abnormal Function of the Foot, Spanish edition, Clinical Biomechanics Corp, Los Angeles, 1977.

7. -BLUSTEIN S M, D'AMICO J C : Limb length discrepancy. Identification, clinical significance, and management *J. Am. Podiatr. Med. Assoc.* 75 200–6, 1985

8.- MAHAR R K, KIRBY R L , McLEOD D A : Simulated leg-length discrepancy: its effect on mean center of pressure position and postural sway *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 66 822–4, 1985

9.- BHAVE A, PALEY D, HERZEMBERG J E : Improvement in gait parameters after lengthening for the treatment of limb-length discrepancy *J. Bone Joint Surg. Am.* 81 529–34, 1999

10.-BLAKE R L, FERGUSON H : Limb length discrepancies *J. Am. Podiatr. Med. Assoc.* 82 33–8, 1992

11.-VINK P, HUSON A : Lumbar back muscle activity during walking with a leg inequality *Acta Morphol. Neerl.Scand.* 25 261–71, 1987

12.-Gurney B, MERMIER C, ROBERGS R, GIBSON A and RIVERO D : Effects of limb-length discrepancy on gait economy and lower-extremity muscle activity in older adults *J Bone Joint Surg. Am.* 83-A 907–15, 2001

13.-BALESTRA G, FRASINELLI S, KNAFLITZ M, MOLINARI F : Time–frequency analysis of surface myoelectric signals during athletic movement *IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Mag.* 20 106–15, 2001

14.-MERLA A, IODICE P, TAMGHERLINI A, DE MICHELE G, DI ROMUALDO S, SAGGINI R and ROMANI G : Monitoring skin temperature in trained and untrained subjects throughout thermal video *Conf. Proc. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Soc.*2 1684–6, 2005

15.-ZONTAK A, SIDEMAN S, VERBITSKY O ,BEYAR R : Dynamic thermography: analysis of hand temperature during exercise *Ann. Biomed. Eng.* 26 988–93 , 1998

16.- MCGLAMRY ED, KITTING RW: Equinus foot: an analysis of the etiology, pathology and treatment techniques. JAPMA 63: 165,1973.

17.- KNUTZEN KM, PRICE A: Lower extremity static and dynamic relationships with rearfoot motion in gait. JAPMA 84: 171, 1994.

18. -NUBER GW: Biomechanics of the foot and ankle during gait. Clin Sports Med 7: 1, 1988.

19.- LAVERY LA, ARMSTRONG DA, BOULTON AJM: Ankle equines deformity and its relationship to high plantar pressure in a large population with diabetes mellitus. JAPMA 92:479, 2002.

20.- WROBEL JS, CONNOLLY JE, BEACH ML: Associations between static and functional measures of joint function in the foot and ankle. JAPMA 94: 535, 2004.

21. WINTER DA: Kinematic and kinetic patterns in human gait: variability and compensating effects. Human Mov Sci 3: 51, 1984.

22.- BRODERSEN A, PEDERSEN B, REIMERS J: Foot deformities and relation to the length of leg muscles in Danish children aged 3–17 years. Ugeskr Laeger 155:3914,1993.

23.- ABATE M, DI CARLO L, DI ROMUALDO S, IONTA S, FERRETI A, ROMANI G , MERLA A :Postural adjustment in experimental leg length difference evaluated by means of thermal infrared imaging. Physiol. Meas. 31 (2010) 35–43