- 1 No relationship between vertebral column shifts and limb
- 2 fluctuating asymmetry in human foetuses
- 3

Clara MA ten Broek ^{1,2}, Jessica Bots ², Marianna Bugiani ³, Frietson Galis ¹, Stefan
 Van Dongen ²

⁶ ¹ Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, the Netherlands

² Evolutionary Ecology Group, Department of Biology, Universiteit Antwerpen, Wilrijk,
 Belgium

⁹ ³ Department of Pathology, VU Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

10

11 Abstract

Disturbance from the normal developmental trajectory of a trait during growth - the so-called 12 13 developmental instability - can be observed morphologically through phenodeviants and subtle deviations from perfect symmetry (fluctuating asymmetry). This study investigates the relationship 14 between phenodeviance in the human vertebral column (as a result of axial patterning defects) and 15 limb fluctuating asymmetry. Since both types of markers of developmental instability have been 16 found associated with congenital abnormalities in humans, we anticipate a relationship between 17 them if the concept of developmental instability, measured through either phenodeviants or 18 asymmetry, would reflect an organism-wide process. Yet, we did not find any support for this 19 hypothesis. We argue that the vast differences in the developmental processes involved in both 20 systems renders these two markers of developmental instability unrelated, in spite of their 21 associations with other congenital abnormalities. Our results thus contribute to the growing 22 awareness that developmental instability is not an organism-wide property. 23

24 Introduction

Developmental disturbance experienced during early growth can have important consequences for 25 26 the morphology, behavior, and stress tolerance of an individual later in life (e.g. Roseboom et al. 2001; Fujioka et al. 2006; Weinstock 2008). One type of such developmental disturbances, 27 developmental instability (DI), reflects the inability of an organism to undergo stable development 28 under given environmental and genetic conditions. It is increased by (stress induced) developmental 29 perturbations and/or the lack of efficient mechanisms stabilizing development. Levels of 30 developmental instability (DI) can be assessed in two ways, namely the occurrence of abnormal 31 morphological deviations and subtle differences between left and right of on average bilateral traits, 32 which are on average symmetrical. The idea behind the use of directionally random asymmetry (i.e., 33 fluctuating asymmetry, FA) is that the two sides of an organism represent two replicates of the same 34 developmental process, and any deviation from symmetry is the outcome of random noise and the 35 36 inability to buffer development against it (e.g., Ludwig, 1932, Van Valen, 1962, Palmer & Strobeck, 1986). The occurrence or frequency of morphological abnormalities – the so-called phenodeviants, a 37

term first coined by Lerner (1954)- were proposed as a measure of DI by Rasmuson (1960). 38 Phenodeviants are less often used as measure of DI and associations with FA are not always present 39 40 (e.g. Bots et al. (2016)), suggesting that both measures of DI do not necessarily reflect similar aspects of DL. Although FA is commonly used in evolutionary studies to measure DL to date it remains an 41 unpredictable risk marker in the sense that it does not ubiquitously relate to either environmental or 42 genetic stress (e.g. Møller 1997; Lens et al. 2002; Van Dongen & Gangestad 2011). Since little is 43 known about the factors that influence the strength of stress-DI associations when measured 44 through phenodeviants or FA, it is important to gain insights in the associations between both 45 measures of DI. In addition, when the occurrence or frequency of phenodeviants are used as 46 indicators of DI, all morphological abnormalities are considered as being equally important or severe. 47 This should not be true in all cases. More specifically, changes in vertebral identity along the 48 vertebral column provide a good indicator for the length and extent of disturbed development. The 49 different types of phenodeviance along the vertebral column indicate different levels of experienced 50 stress or perturbations during development. The more boundaries between vertebral regions have 51 been shifted (so called homeotic transformations), the longer the disturbance of axial patterning has 52 lasted and the more congenital abnormalities are found associated in other parts of the body (ten 53 Broek et al. 2012). Moreover, the extent of vertebral column variation indicates the vulnerability of 54 developing mammals more generally (Varela-Lasheras et al. 2011; Reumer et al. 2014). Thus, 55 morphological abnormalities of the vertebral column reflect a gradient in severity of phenodeviance, 56 while a vertebral abnormality in itself poses no immediate functional limitations prenatally (see also 57 58 below), providing an interesting model system to study its relation with FA and gain better insights in 59 the link between the two markers of DI. Formation of the (mesenchymal) vertebral column starts in the fourth week of development with the 60 61 migration of sclerotome cells, and it is in this embryonic period (until the end of the fifth week) that the identity of the different segments of the vertebral column is being determined (e.g. Sadler 2011). 62 63 This determination happens as part of the early anterior-posterior patterning of the paraxial mesoderm, mediated by the well-known Hox-genes (e.g. Kessel & Gruss 1991; Kmita & Duboule 64 65 2003; Woltering & Durston 2008). At this stage of development, the foetus is extremely vulnerable to environmental and genetic insults, and disruption of development may not only result in vertebral 66 column anomalies, but also in other birth defects, because of low effective modularity (Sander 1983; 67 68 Raff 1994; Galis & Metz 2001; ten Broek et al. 2012), as patterning processes of the three body axes and simultaneously occurring morphogenetic processes interact strongly (Diez del Corral et al. 2003; 69 Cordes et al. 2004; Aulehla & Pourquie 2010; Durston et al. 2011). The study for the association 70 between vertebral column variation and limb FA is particularly interesting because limb formation 71 starts by the end of the fourth week (and thus in the same embryonic period) when limb buds 72 73 become visible as outpocketings from the ventrolateral body walls. However, it is not until the sixth 74 week of development that the hand- and footplates start to form (reviewed in Capdevila & Belmonte 75 2001; Moore & Persaud 2003; Sadler 2011). Limbs then continue to grow until the stage in which we took our measurements, and thus will likely also reflect an accumulated level of developmental 76 perturbations. More specifically, studying the association between vertebral variations and limb FA 77 78 will allow us to study to what extent limb FA can be seen as a general/omnibus measure of DI, similar 79 to the variations observed in the vertebral column, 80

Deleted: like
Deleted: are
Deleted: "

81 82

We investigate the relationship between axial patterning abnormalities (here considered as vertebral column variation, through homeotic transformations) and limb FA in human foetuses. We use a

column variation, through homeotic transformations) and limb FA in human foetuses. We use a
 hospital collection of human deceased foetuses of the VU University Medical Centre in Amsterdam.

We determined axial patterning abnormalities in the vertebral column which can be categorised
 according to their development (ten Broek et al. 2012 and below).

While we have shown earlier that FA and vertebral abnormalities relate to – at least some –
 congenital abnormalities in deceased human foetuses (Van Dongen et al. 2003; Bots et al. 2011; ten
 Broek et al. 2013), the link between FA and cervical ribs was less clear (Van Dongen et al. 2009).

Here we present a more detailed study on the relationship between FA and vertebral column 95 variation focussing on the severity of phenodeviance in a much larger sample. If both limb FA and 96 vertebral column variation reflect individual DI, we predict a higher limb FA in foetuses with vertebral 97 column abnormalities, or at least in some of the abnormalities which are most severe. This increase 98 in limb FA with severity could be either gradual/linear or could follow a threshold type of pattern, 99 both of which will be tested in our analyses. Given the differences in the underlying developmental 100 processes of the vertebrae and limbs, we study the link of DI in two different systems. If our 101 hypothesis is confirmed, this can be seen as evidence that DI can be considered to be an organism-102 wide concept. If our hypothesis is not supported, this does not refute the whole paradigm that DI 103 could reflect developmental stress and individual quality, but rather suggests that the link between 104 general developmental processes and the asymmetry of particular traits is not general, but restricted 105 to specific processes. 106

to specific processes.

107 Material & Methods

108 Subjects

90

94

Since 1980, all deceased infants and foetuses presented for autopsy at the VU University Medical 109 Centre have been routinely radiographed both ventrally and laterally (23mA, 70-90 kV, 4-12 sec, 110 Aqfa [Mortsel, Belgium] Gevaert D7DW Structurix films). This research was carried out on the 111 anterior-posterior projections of 1389 deceased foetuses and infants obtained between 1990 and 112 2009. Not all babygrams were suitable for analysis, therefore we used the same selection criteria to 113 114 include foetuses and infants in this research as described in detail in ten Broek et al. (2012) and Bots et al. (2014). In addition, some foetuses were excluded because of missing age information or our 115 inability to measure limb FA (see below). In total, we examined 528 male and 416 female foetuses 116 and infants (13.7 – 92.1 weeks, mean: 27.9 weeks; standard deviation = 9.9 weeks). The babygrams 117 were digitized using a Canon 30D digital camera in a fixed-distance set-up with a glass plate and a 118 flash underneath 119

120

121 Vertebral Variations

We examined the vertebral column of the foetuses for variation in both identity (i.e. cervical, 122 thoracic, lumbar and sacral) and number of vertebrae. When ribs were present on the seventh 123 vertebra, it was considered a transitional cervico-thoracic vertebra. Transverse processes of the 124 seventh cervical vertebra exceeding those of the first thoracic vertebra (also known as 125 apophysomegaly) were considered to be rudimentary cervical ribs fused with the transverse 126 processes (e.g. Pionnier & Depraz 1956; Bots et al. 2011). Ribs on the most caudal or most anterior 127 thoracic vertebra were considered to be rudimentary and the vertebra to be transitional when the 128 ribs were half the size of the preceding or subsequent rib, respectively (ten Broek et al. 2012). We 129 counted the number of vertebrae per vertebral region (i.e. cervical, thoracic, lumbar, and sacral) and 130 classified transitional vertebra as having half the identity of both neighbouring regions, e.g. a 131 transitional cervico-thoracic vertebra was scored as half cervical and half thoracic. Transitional 132 vertebrae on the lumbo-sacral boundary were more difficult to score, because in most individuals the 133

Deleted:)

Deleted: ;

sacral vertebrae were not yet fused with each other or with the ilium. However, shape and position of 136

the vertebrae in the caudal region often provided adequate information, but still the presence of 137

138 transitional lumbo-sacral vertebrae could have been underestimated

139

Changes of the vertebral formula were expressed on a severity scale from o-9 that reflected our 140

estimations of the seriousness of the vertebral anterio-posterior (A-P) patterning disturbances, 141

based on both the A-P position of the changes (i.e. the boundary) and the extent of the changes 142

143 along the A-P axis [i.e. the number of boundaries, see for a detailed description ten Broek et al.

(2012)], We have scored a regular (R) vertebral column with 7 cervical, 12 thoracic and 5 lumbar 144

vertebrae as o; a change of the number of lumbar vertebrae and, hence of the number of 24 presacral 145

vertebrae, without other changes (lumbosacral: LS) as 1, lumbar ribs and absent or rudimentary 146

twelfth ribs (thoracolumbar: TL) as 3, lumbar ribs and absent or rudimentary twelfth ribs with a 147 changed number of presacral vertebrae (TL_LS) as 4, a cervical rib or rudimentary or absent first rib 148

(cervicothoracal: CT) as 6, a cervical rib or rudimentary or absent first rib with a changed number of 149

presacral vertebrae (CT_LS) as 7, a cervical rib or rudimentary or absent first rib with in addition an 150

absent or rudimentary twelfth rib, or lumbar rib (CT_TL) as 8 and a cervical rib or rudimentary or 151

absent first rib with an absent or rudimentary twelfth rib, or lumbar rib and with a changed number 152 of presacral vertebrae (CT_TL_LS) as 9.(ten Broek et al.,2012).

153 154

Asymmetry Measurements and Measurement Error 155

We measured the length of the left and right femur, fibula, radius, ulna and tibia from the midpoint 156 of the proximal end of the bone to the midpoint of the distal end of the bone. We also measured the 157 158 left and right second and fourth digits by taking the length from the proximal end of the proximal phalanx to the distal end of the distal phalanx. We excluded all foetuses that had abnormally 159 developed limbs from analyses, because otherwise the possibly higher measured FA could have been 160 161 an artefact of the abnormalities directly. Four different investigators carried out all measurements 162 without prior knowledge of the autopsy reports. Measurements were made in Image J version 1.42q. 163 The images were spatially calibrated using a ruler that was present in the babygram. Thirty-one foetuses were re-measured independently by all examiners to ensure the accuracy of the 164 measurements. Spearman's correlation tests showed that the left-right differences were highly 165 166 comparable between the examiners (all r > 0.30 and all P < 0.001). In addition, the entire procedure of positioning and making the babygram was repeated for 147 individuals. A second independent digital 167 168 photograph was made for 49 individuals and for 30 individuals the digital image was measured twice. 169 These extra procedural steps allowed us to determine measurement error (ME) and directional asymmetry (DA) with a mixed model regression analysis using our measured bone lengths as 170 response variable, side as a continuous covariate and both individual and the side-by-individual 171 interaction as random effects (Van Dongen 1999). Measurement error was smaller for all traits than 172 the levels of fluctuating asymmetry and we found no directional asymmetry for all studied traits 173 using F-tests, except for the femur and ulna (see appendix 1 for values of measurement error, DA and 174 FA). We obtained individual and trait specific asymmetry values after correction for DA and ME using 175 the same mixed model regression analyses, as the best linear unbiased predictors (BLUPS) of the 176 random slopes (Van Dongen et al., 1999). The unsigned asymmetry (i.e., the absolute value of these 177 signed asymmetry values from the mixed regression models) correlated significantly with trait size 178 for all traits (all r > 0.20 and all P < 0.001). Therefore we corrected FA measurements by dividing them 179 180 by trait size, expressing trait-specific FA as a percentage. In a final step, we calculated the average 181 asymmetry of the limbs for each individual. To make sure that each trait contributed an equal 182 amount of information to this average FA, we first standardised each trait-specific asymmetry and Deleted: (Deleted:)

Deleted: Deleted:

Deleted:

- 188 then calculated the average across traits. In all analyses below, we used this mean standardised FA as
- 189 measure of individual developmental instability (ten Broek et al. 2013 and Bots et al. 2014).
- 190
- 191 Statistical Analyses

192 We used linear models to explore the relationships between variations of the vertebral column and

193 mean standardised FA, where standardised FA was used as dependent variable, and severity of

variation of the vertebral column as explanatory variable. We added age (log-transformed) to the

model as FA correlates negatively with age (Van Dongen et al. 2009; ten Broek et al. 2013). We controlled for possible effects on FA in cases with deficient amniotic fluid volume by adding the

presence or absence of sufficient amniotic fluid volume as factor in the model (ten Broek et al. 2013).

198 Severity of variation in the vertebral column was tested as continuous independent variable

(assuming linearity) and in a separate model as factor (non-linear effects) Average mean

standardised FA was plotted for each of the 8 categories. In addition, because foetuses with a regular

vertebral column can have other congenital abnormalities, we also provide the mean standardised

FA of foetuses without any congenital abnormality as a second reference group (but did not use this

in any of the linear models). All analyses were performed in R version 3.0.2 (R Core Team 2013).

204

217

205 Results

206 No linear increase of FA with severity of variation in the vertebral column was detected (slope:_-o.oo7

207 \pm 0.007, $F_{1,939}$ = 1.38, P = 0.24), after correction for age ($F_{1,939}$ = 2.1, P = 0.14), amniotic fluid volume

208 ($F_{1,939}$ = 6.9, P < 0.01), and the interaction between age and amniotic fluid volume ($F_{1,939}$ = 7.3, P <

0.01). In addition, limb FA did not differ between the 8 indices of severity of variation in the vertebral

column ($F_{7,933} = 1.03$, P = 0.41). Furthermore, exploring the average asymmetries, foetuses with a

regular vertebral column had relatively high FA compared to foetuses with cervical ribs (CT) and

additional abnormalities at other boundaries (TL and / or LS) though not statistically significant so

213 (Figure 1). This also suggests that it is unlikely that we have missed a significant higher FA especially

in these groups by chance. On average, FA was higher in the group of foetuses with a regular vertebral column even when considering only foetuses without developmental abnormalities (Figure

215 vertebrar colorini even sviteri considering only roecoses without developmental abiomanties (rigore216 1).



218 219

Figure 1. Average fluctuating asymmetry (mean standardised limb FA) in human foetuses and infants for the different

221 groups of vertebral variation with increasing indices on the severity scale. o: Regular vertebral pattern, 1: Changes in the

lumbosacral region, 3: Changes in the thoracolumbar and lumbosacral region, 6: Changes in the cervicothoracic region,

Comment [Office1]:

Comment [Office2R1]: This sentence is seems to be missing a word and punctuation.



224 7: Changes in the cervicothoracic and lumbosacral region, 8: Changes in the cervicothoracic and thoracolumbar region, 9:

Changes on all three boundaries of the vertebral column. For comparison, the horizontal line represents the control group (Regular). In addition, the last (grey) bar represents the average for all foetuses without vertebral abnormalities

and no other major abnormalities. There were no significant differences among the different groups (see text).

- 228
- 229
- 230

231 Discussion

232

We tested for an association between two markers of developmental instability (DI) in human 233 foetuses, by studying associations between phenodeviance of the vertebral column and limb 234 fluctuating asymmetry (FA). Unlike in other studies, we were able to score the severity of the 235 abnormalities of the vertebral column on the basis of their associations with other congenital 236 237 abnormalities (see ten Broek et al. 2012 for details). Therefore, if both phenodeviance and FA woul measure individual DI, we not only predicted higher limb FA in foetuses with abnormalities in th 238 vertebral column, but also an increase in FA with severity of these abnormalities. However, no suc 239 differences or association were detected, indicating that our two measures of DI - albeit both bein 240 related to other congenital abnormalities - reflect different aspects of developmental perturbations 241 We argue that both the differential timing of limb development and the patterning of the vertebra 242 243 column possibly are involved. Growth and differentiation during later development may well b 244 more relevant for FA than the early patterning processes. Continued growth during the pregnance and accumulation of effects of different sequential perturbations may blur relationships with specifi 245 disturbed developmental events earlier in life, in spite of the fact that the early perturbations hav 246 long lasting effects on other developmental processes like the vertebral variations do. Furthermore 247 the amount of buffering and possibilities for stabilising mechanisms to act may differ considerably 248 With respect to the vertebral axis, the identity of each element remains fixed after the anterior 249 250 posterior patterning of the paraxial mesoderm, while the continuous growth of limbs would allow t stabilise early asymmetric development at later stages. In sum, the development of the vertebr 251 column and that of the limbs differ in so many aspects, with respect to timing, tissues and gene 252 involved, that if developmental perturbations occur in either one of them, they do not seem to b 253 interconnected genetically or developmentally. Limb FA and vertebral variations in human foetuse 254 255 thus, likely reflect developmental disturbances and are both positively correlated with the presence of some congenital abnormalities, yet, do not signal individual wide DI. Our results thus contribute to 256 257 the growing knowledge based on both empirical and theoretical work (for example recently reviewed 258 in Klingenberg 2015) that developmental perturbations in different developmental systems, are not 259 generally connected, or at least not in this case.

260 Acknowledgements

261 We are grateful to Jaap van Veldhuisen and Ron Otsen of the photography division of the 262 Department of Pathology of the VU University Medical Centre (VUMC Amsterdam) for the high-

263 quality radiographs

264

d	 Deleted: –
e	
h	
g	
s.	
al	
e	
:y	
ic	
'e	
e,	
у.	 Deleted: ,
r-	
0	
al	
es	 Deleted: and
e	
s,	
e	 Deleted: both
~	

Appendix 1

Appendix

Digit 2 0.60 0.35 0.00 0.00 X ² =0.93 0.3361 Digit 4 1.52 1.41 0.00 0.03 X ² =0.74 0.3885 Femur 2.18 0.80 0.10 0.19 X ² =39.0 <0.001	Trait	FA	ME-Radio	ME-Digit.	ME-Meas.	test for DA	DA p-value
Digit 4 1.52 1.41 0.00 0.03 X ² =0.74 0.3885 Femur 2.18 0.80 0.10 0.19 X ² =39.0 <0.001	Digit 2	0.60	0.35	0.00	0.00	X ² =0.93	0.3361
Femur2.180.800.100.19 X^2 =39.0<0.001	Digit 4	1.52	1.41	0.00	0.03	X ² =0.74	0.3885
	Femur	2.18	0.80	0.10	0.19	X ² =39.0	< 0.001
Radius 1.17 0.51 0.29 0.14 X ² =2.97 0.09 Tibia 4.95 0.36 0.08 0.08 X ² =0.00 0.99 Ulna 1.43 0.71 0.00 0.24 X ² =4.03 0.04	Fibula	2.75	1.16	0.05	0.10	X ² =2.96	0.09
Tibia 4.95 0.36 0.08 0.08 X ² =0.00 0.99 Ulna 1.43 0.71 0.00 0.24 X ² =4.03 0.04	Radius	1.17	0.51	0.29	0.14	X ² =2.97	0.09
Ulna 1.43 0.71 0.00 0.24 X ² =4.03 0.04	Tibia	4.95	0.36	0.08	0.08	X ² =0.00	0.99
	Ulna	1.43	0.71	0.00	0.24	X ² =4.03	0.04

levels

of

measurement

error.

270 271

Measurement errors are the result of positioning the foetus, making the radiograph (ME-Radio), digitizing the radiograph (ME-Digitizing) and measuring on a single radiograph (ME-measurement)

of

and are relative to FA and levels of directional asymmetry (DA) in the different limb bones of the

275 fetuses. The variance components were multiplied by 1000.

1.

Overview

276

Aulehla A, and Pourquie O (2010) Signaling gradients during paraxial mesoderm development. Cold
 Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2:a000869.

- Bots J, Breno M, De Schaepdrijver L, and Van Dongen S (2016) Maternal Stress Affects Fetal Growth
 but Not Developmental Instability in Rabbits. Symmetry 8:101.
- 282 Bots J, ten Broek CMA, Belien JAM, Bugiani M, Galis F, and Van Dongen S (2014) Higher limb 283 asymmetry in deceased human fetuses and infants with aneuploidy. *Scientific reports* 4.

Bots J, Wijnaendts LC, Delen S, Van Dongen S, Heikinheimo K, and Galis F (2011) Analysis of cervical
 ribs in a series of human fetuses. J Anat 219:403-409.

286 Capdevila J, and Belmonte JCI (2001) Patterning mechanisms controlling vertebrate limb 287 development. Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology 17:87-132.

288 Cordes R, Schuster-Gossler K, Serth K, and Gossler A (2004) Specification of vertebral identity is
 289 coupled to Notch signalling and the segmentation clock. *Development* 131:1221-1233.

290Diez del Corral R, Olivera-Martinez I, Goriely A, Gale E, Maden M, and Storey K (2003) Opposing FGF291and retinoid pathways control ventral neural pattern, neuronal differentiation, and292segmentation during body axis extension. Neuron 40:65-79.

Durston AJ, Jansen HJ, In der Rieden P, and Hooiveld MH (2011) Hox collinearity - a new perspective.
 Int J Dev Biol 55:899-908.

Fujioka A, Fujioka T, Ishida Y, Maekawa T, and Nakamura S (2006) Differential effects of prenatal
 stress on the morphological maturation of hippocampal neurons. *Neuroscience* 141:907-915.
 Galis F, and Metz JAJ (2001) Testing the vulnerability of the phylotypic stage: On modularity and

evolutionary conservation. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 291:195-204.

Kessel M, and Gruss P (1991) Homeotic transformations of murine vertebrae and concomitant alteration of Hox codes induced by retinoic acid. *Cell* 67:89-104.

- Klingenberg CP (2015) Analyzing fluctuating asymmetry with geometric morphometrics: concepts,
 methods, and applications. Symmetry 7:843-934.
- Kmita M, and Duboule D (2003) Organizing axes in time and space; 25 years of colinear tinkering.
 Science 301:331-333.
- 305Lens L, Van Dongen S, Kark S, and Matthysen E (2002) Fluctuating asymmetry as an indicator of
fitness: can we bridge the gap between studies? *Biological Reviews* 77:27-38.
- 307 Lerner IM (1954) Genetic homeostasis. New York: Wiley.
- 308 Møller AP (1997) Developmental stability and fitness: a review. *The American Naturalist* 149:916-932.

- 309 Moore KL, and Persaud T (2003) The developing human: clinically oriented embryology: Saunders.
- Pionnier R, and Depraz A (1956) Congenital rib abnormalities; statistical study of 10,000 radiographs.
 Radiol Clin 25:170-186.
- R Core Team (2013) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.
- 313Raff R (1994) Developmental mechanisms in the evolution of animal form: origins and evolvability of314body plans. Early Life on Earth 84:489-500.
- Rasmuson M (1960) Frequency of morphological deviants as a criterion of developmental stability.
 Hereditas 46:511-535.
- Reumer JWF, ten Broek CMA, and Galis F (2014) Extraordinary incidence of cervical ribs indicates vulnerable condition in Late Pleistocene mammoths. *PeerJ*:e318.
- 319Roseboom TJ, Van Der Meulen JH, Ravelli AC, Osmond C, Barker DJ, and Bleker OP (2001) Effects of320prenatal exposure to the Dutch famine on adult disease in later life: an overview. Molecular321and Cellular Endocrinology 185:93-98.
- 322 Sadler TW (2011) Langman's medical embryology: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Sander K (1983) The evolution of patterning mechanisms: gleanings from insect embryogenesis and
 spermatogenesis. In: Goodwin BC, Holder N, and Wylie CC, eds. *Evolution and Development* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 137-159.
- ten Broek CMA, Bakker AJ, Varela-Lasheras I, Bugiani M, Van Dongen S, and Galis F (2012) Evo-Devo
 of the Human Vertebral Column: On Homeotic Transformations, Pathologies and Prenatal
 Selection. *Evolutionary biology* 39:456-471.
- 329
 ten Broek CMA, Bots J, Varela-Lasheras I, Bugiani M, Galis F, and Van Dongen S (2013) Amniotic fluid

 330
 deficiency and congenital abnormalities both influence fluctuating asymmetry in developing

 331
 limbs of human deceased fetuses. PloS ONE 8:e81824.
- Van Dongen S (1999) The statistical analysis of fluctuating asymmetry: REML estimation of a mixed
 regression model. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 12:94-102.
- Van Dongen S, and Gangestad SW (2011) Human fluctuating asymmetry in relation to health and quality: a meta-analysis. *Evolution and Human Behavior* 32:380-398.
- Van Dongen S, Wijnaendts LCD, ten Broek CMA, and Galis F (2009) Fluctuating asymmetry does not
 consistently reflect severe developmental disorders in human fetuses. *Evolution* 63:1832 1844.
- Varela-Lasheras I, Bakker A, van der Mije S, Metz J, van Alphen J, and Galis F (2011) Breaking
 evolutionary and pleiotropic constraints in mammals: on sloths, manatees and homeotic
 mutations. EvoDevo 2:11.
- Weinstock M (2008) The long-term behavioural consequences of prenatal stress. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* 32:1073-1086.
- Woltering JM, and Durston AJ (2008) MiR-10 represses HoxB1a and HoxB3a in zebrafish. *PloS ONE* 3:e1396.
- 346 347
- 348 349