Psychophysical measurements in children: challenges, pitfalls, and considerations.

Caroline Witton*, Joel B. Talcott & G. Bruce Henning

Aston Brain Centre, Aston University, Birmingham, United Kingdom.

Running Head: Considerations for use of adaptive procedures with children

*Corresponding Author:

c.witton@aston.ac.uk

Dr Caroline Witton, Aston Brain Centre, Aston University, Birmingham, B4 7ET.

+44 (0) 121 2044087

ABSTRACT

Measuring sensory sensitivity is important in studying development and developmental disorders. However, with children, there is a need to balance reliable but lengthy sensory tasks with the child's ability to maintain motivation and vigilance. We used simulations to explore the problems associated with shortening adaptive psychophysical procedures, and suggest how these problems might be addressed. We quantify how adaptive procedures with too few reversals can over-estimate thresholds, introduce substantial measurement error, and make estimates of individual thresholds less reliable. The associated measurement error also obscures group-differences. Adaptive procedures with children should therefore use as many reversals as possible to reduce the effects of both Type 1 and Type 2 errors. Differences in response consistency, resulting from lapses in attention, further increase the over-estimation of threshold. Comparisons between data from individuals who may differ in lapse-rate are therefore problematic, but measures to estimate and account for lapse-rates in analyses may mitigate this problem.

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 9:38 AM
Deleted: ,

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 9:38 AM
Deleted: -

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 9:38 AM
Deleted: -

INTRODUCTION

Sensory processing in hearing and vision underlies the development of many social and cognitive functions. Consequently, accurate measurement of sensory function has become an important component of research into human development — particularly atypical development. Subtle differences in sensory sensitivity, especially for hearing, have been associated with a range of disorders diagnosed in childhood, e.g., dyslexia (Benassi et al., 2010; Habib, 2000; Hämäläinen, Salminen, & Leppänen, 2013), specific language impairment (SLI) (Webster & Shevell, 2004), and autism (O'Connor, 2012; Simmons et al., 2009). The potential significance of sensory impairments, either as causal or as associated factors in such disorders, provides strong motivation for measuring sensory processing during development.

However, the psychophysical methods often used to estimate sensitivity present some key challenges in working with children (Wightman & Allen, 1992) because the most reliable methods require both good attention and short-term memory skills. Such cognitive demands are particularly acute where stimuli are presented sequentially, as in most auditory experiments. Several authors have noted that children often respond erratically in these tasks. For example, 41% of children with dyslexia or SLI who completed up to 140 runs of an auditory frequency-discrimination task responded inconsistently with no improvement across runs (McArthur & Hogben 2012). Other studies have confirmed this observation, i.e., that nearly 50% of children may be unable to produce response-patterns with adult-like consistency even after training (Halliday et al., 2008). Inconsistent responding produces widely varying scores on psychophysical tasks (Roach, Edwards, & Hogben, 2004) and reported scores can be seriously misleading. Figure 2 of the paper by McArthur and Hogben (2012) clearly illustrates the widely differing kinds of performance observed in the staircase tasks used with children in their study. Extreme instability of response patterns was

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 9:39 AM
Deleted: (or untrained/clinical populations)

independent of the overall sensitivity level of individual children, and occurred both in children with apparently average sensitivity and those with lower sensitivity.

Moreover, even typically developing children often have difficulty concentrating on simple psychophysical tasks. In our study of frequency discrimination in 350 school children aged from 7- 12 years, we found that children incorrectly identified 19% (+/- 1 SD of 15%) easy 'catch trials' (Talcott et al., 2002). This percentage is much higher than the 5% 'lapse rates' that are typically found in trained-adult psychophysical observers (Wichmann & Hill, 2001a; 2001b). Children's reduced performance compared to adults may stem from several factors, such as personal motivation, ability to consistently operationalise stimulus dimensions like pitch or duration, the ability to direct attention to a single stimulus dimension, and the ability to maintain vigilance. The integrity of these factors can be particularly impaired in children with developmental disorders (e.g., Cornish and Wilding, 2010; Welsh et al., 1991; Karmiloff-Smith, 1998; Thomas et al., 2009). Further, in studies where data are collected outside of the laboratory, the testing environment may be a classroom or even a corridor – so distractions are difficult to control and will interact differentially with these intrinsic developmental factors, possibly resulting in quite unstable performance.

The aim of this study was to use simulations to explore some of the factors that constrain the interpretation of sensory data acquired using adaptive psychophysical procedures in neurodevelopmental research. Some of the problems we discuss are relevant to many types of psychophysical experiment, but they are particularly acute in the interpretation of the results obtained from staircase procedures where a single measure, the "threshold", is used to represent an individual's sensitivity or performance. In work with (1) trained responders whose response patterns are highly stable, and (2) tasks with well understood underlying psychophysical properties, adaptive procedures can offer a quick and reliable

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 9:39 AM
Deleted: : in

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 9:40 AM
Deleted:

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 9:41 AM
Deleted: introduced occasional very easy

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 9:41 AM
Deleted: but found that on average 19% (+/- 1 SD of 15%) of these were incorrectly identified

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 9:42 AM
Deleted: compares with

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 9:42 AM
Deleted: that are usually much less than 5%

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 9:42 AM
Deleted: apparently

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 9:42 AM
Deleted: stability

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 9:43 AM
Deleted: most

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 9:43 AM
Deleted: has several possible causes, including differences in the following: behavioural compliance, i.e. the child's

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 9:43 AM
Deleted: to perform the task to the best of their ability; specific

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 9:43 AM
Deleted: cognitive factors, such as their

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 9:43 AM
Deleted: the key

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 9:44 AM
Deleted: , or other sensory qualia; and

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 9:44 AM
Deleted: general cognitive factors, such as the

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 9:44 AM
Deleted: or

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 9:45 AM
Deleted: Each of these factors has a normal developmental trajectory, but that trajectory can be significantly disrupted by the presence of a

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 4:36 PM
Comment [1]: Incorrect order

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 9:48 AM
Deleted: usually employed to summarize

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 9:49 AM
Deleted: s

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 9:50 AM
Deleted: where the detailed

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 9:50 AM
Deleted: of the task are understood

estimate of threshold. However staircases depend on the assumption that the stability, shape, and slope of the underlying psychometric function are equivalent across participants, which can be problematic in the context of developmental research. For example, there is evidence that the slope of the psychometric function relating performance to the variable under study can change with developmental age (e.g., Buss, Hall, & Grose, 2009). In many developmental studies, new tasks are used before the details of the underlying function have been determined in trained adults, and little is known about behaviour in an untrained or paediatric population.

Psychophysical methods

Sensory sensitivity is best measured using psychophysical tasks based on the principles of signal detection theory (Green & Swets, 1966). Table 1 provides definitions for some key terms used below. The most commonly used design is a 2-alternative, forced-choice (2-AFC) paradigm, in which, in studies of hearing, participants are asked to listen to a number of trials in which pairs of stimuli are presented in two separate 'observation intervals'. Participants are required to report which interval contained one of the stimuli (the target stimulus). For example, the task might be auditory frequency discrimination in which case the intervals might contain tones that differ only in frequency. The participant would be required to select the interval with the higher-frequency tone – the target. The size of the frequency difference would be manipulated by the experimenter. Or, in a gap-detection experiment, each interval might contain a burst of noise, only one of which, the target, contains a short interval of silence. The participant would be required to pick the interval containing the silent gap, with the duration of the gap manipulated by the experimenter. In all cases, the target is as likely to be in the first as in the second interval.

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 9:50 AM
Deleted: – for

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 9:51 AM
Deleted: still less

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 9:51 AM
Deleted: Here, we restrict ourselves to analysing one of the most commonly used staircase procedures in the study of neurodevelopment, in order to illustrate some of the difficulties that arise when using the results of staircase procedures to compare results across groups of untrained participants.

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 9:51 AM
Deleted:

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 9:51 AM
Deleted: then

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 9:52 AM
Deleted: Visual analogues (in which the intervals may be separated spatially or temporally) will readily occur to the reader.

By presenting an extensive series of trials, the experimenter seeks to determine the relation between the proportion of correct responses and values of the manipulated parameter; we will call those values the 'stimulus level'.

[Insert Figure 1 here]

Figure 1a shows hypothetical data illustrating a typical 2-AFC experiment. The percentage of correct responses is plotted as a function of stimulus level. The relation between stimulus level and the probability of correctly identifying the target interval is estimated by making a number of observations at different stimulus levels. Here, the circles represent idealised results at six stimulus levels. Underlying the data is a (usually unknown) 'psychometric function' that is the true relation between stimulus level and the probability of a correct response. (With highly trained observers, it is often the case that extensive measurements give the experimenter some idea of the location and slope of the psychometric function. But with unusual tasks and untrained participants the slope and location are largely unknown.) Although other shapes including nonmonotonicity are not unknown, with trained observers the data typically follow a sigmoidal shape and are often fitted with a smooth curve, such as the Weibull function shown in Fig.1a, (Macmillan & Creelman, 2004) to enable interpolation between the stimulus levels used and to determine "thresholds" that correspond to some performance level—in Figure 1a, for example, a stimulus level of 1.6 corresponding to 75% correct as indicated by the dashed lines. Ideally, the only source of variability is the binomial variability in the number of correct responses at each stimulus level, though children and even trained adults rarely respond as consistently as this (Talcott et al. 2002).

In studies of sensory sensitivity, a key parameter of interest is the 'threshold', often defined as the stimulus level at which the subject correctly identifies the target interval at some level of performance. However, it is desirable to measure the entire psychometric function for the additional information in the slope of the function. For example, several

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 9:52 AM
Formatted: Centered

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 9:52 AM
Deleted:

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 9:55 AM
Comment [2]: It is odd to have an entire sentence in parentheses. If it is important, integrate into text somewhere where it fits well. If it isn't essential, delete.

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 9:55 AM
Comment [3]: This is an extremely long sentence. Break up and rephrase to help the reader's understanding.

points on the function might well be needed in comparisons across conditions if the underlying functions are not parallel. An accurately-measured psychometric function is about the best that can be done in quantifying any sensory capability.

Unfortunately, a large number of trials are needed to estimate a full psychometric function accurately: perhaps more than 100 trials at each of at least 5 appropriately placed stimulus values (Wichmann & Hill, 2001). When the listener is a young child, or an untrained or poorly motivated adult, it can be difficult to ensure their engagement with any task for so many trials. Researchers therefore frequently use an adaptive procedure (or 'staircase') to reduce the number of trials. Adaptive methods typically attempt to estimate just one point on the psychometric function — the "threshold". Stimulus-values are adjusted from trial-to-trial so that the stimulus level, it is hoped, eventually settles near the desired point on the underlying psychometric function. The change in stimulus level from trial to trial (the 'step size', which can be fixed or variable) depends on the subject's responses in preceding trials via an 'adjustment rule'. For example, in a two-down, one-up staircase with fixed 1-dB steps (Levitt, 1971), the stimulus level (for e.g. gap duration) would be divided by a factor of 1.122 (a reduction of 0.05 log units or 1 dB) following two successive correct answers, and increased by the same factor after each incorrect response.

A change in the direction of the progression of the stimulus level is called a 'reversal'. To illustrate, Figure 1b shows a simulated adaptive procedure with a 1dB step size and the same underlying psychometric function as in Figure 1a. In Figure 1b, the stimulus level is shown as a function of the trial number and two reversals (between trials 40 and 50) are circled. The procedure ends when it hits the 'stopping rule', which might be defined by a certain number of reversals (e.g., 10 reversals) or by a certain step size (e.g., 5 Hz). An individual's threshold is then calculated as the average stimulus level across an even number

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 9:56 AM
Deleted: ;

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 9:56 AM
Deleted: some 500 judgments

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 9:56 AM
Deleted: (or relatively

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 9:56 AM
Deleted: un-

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 9:56 AM
Deleted:)

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 9:57 AM
Comment [4]: Throughout the manuscript, sometimes ' are used and sometimes " are used. From memory, when "defining" a term, it is appropriate to use " the first time of mention, and then drop the ". I think ' are used for another purpose. But this may vary between journals.

Department of Co…, 15/3/2017 10:07 AM
Comment [5]: QED

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 1:03 PM
Deleted: When t

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 1:04 PM
Deleted: depends on the

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 1:04 PM
Deleted: ; in many cases the staircase stops after there have been a specified

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 1:11 PM
Deleted: .

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 1:06 PM
Deleted: The average

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 1:07 PM
Deleted: over

of reversal points at the end of the procedure (e.g., the last six reversal). Alternatively, the stopping rule might be met when a criterion (small) step size has been reached.

In an adaptive procedure, the exact point on the psychometric function towards which a staircase asymptotically converges depends on the adjustment rule: in the 2-up 1-down staircase example in Fig 1b, the asymptotic performance level is 70.7% correct (Levitt, 1971). The asymptotic performance level, the rate at which the staircases converges, and the accuracy of the estimated threshold all depend on the stopping rule, the step size and its adjustments, the response consistency of the subject, and the unknown slope of the psychometric function underlying the subject's performance.

Limitations of adaptive procedures in paediatric and clinical settings

Adaptive procedures have the advantage of reducing the number of trials needed to estimate the threshold. However these procedures typically assume that the underlying psychometric function is stable both in slope and in threshold over successive trials (Leek, Hanna, & Marshall, 1991, 1992; Leek, 2001). Indeed, the majority of adaptive procedures were developed for use in laboratory settings, where the psychophysical properties of the stimulus under investigation are well-understood, and the subjects are both highly trained and highly motivated. Under these conditions, the asymptotic behaviour of adaptive procedures with large numbers of reversals, and consistent response-patterns, are well-understood. Further, with a known underlying function, step sizes can be optimized to produce rapid convergence to the stimulus level that corresponds to the desired level of performance. However, these conditions are rarely met in studies with children or untrained subjects where, because of time-constraints, staircases are often stopped after a relatively small numbers of reversals and the underlying psychometric function is poorly described. Comparatively little is known about the performance of adaptive procedures under these conditions.

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 1:06 PM
Deleted: is then defined as the threshold

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 1:11 PM
Deleted: In other cases, the step-size is adjusted depending on the sequence of correct and incorrect responses and the process ends if and when a criterion (small) step size has been reached.

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 1:11 PM
Deleted: above all on

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 1:12 PM
Deleted:

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 4:29 PM
Comment [6]: Not in correct order

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 1:15 PM
Deleted: high rates of

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 1:14 PM
Deleted:

In this study, we aimed to characterise some of the properties of adaptive procedures that are particularly relevant for studies with young subjects. It was not our intention to explore the intricacies of the many adaptive procedures in use: their asymptotic behaviour has been carefully studied and the effects of different stopping rules and step sizes thoroughly explored (see Leek, 2001, for review). Instead, our aim was to use simulations to determine how a commonly adopted adaptive procedure performs under realistic paediatric experimental conditions; how efficiency changes when used with small numbers of reversals; and how the (usually unknown) underlying psychometric function affects threshold estimation. We also explored the reliability of threshold estimates with inconsistent responses, and considered the likely effects of all these factors on the statistical analysis of threshold data obtained from the staircases we explored.

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 1:15 PM
Deleted: Here

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 1:15 PM
Deleted: the

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 1:15 PM
Deleted: s

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 1:15 PM
Deleted: ed

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 1:15 PM
Deleted: their

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 1:15 PM
Deleted: ,

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 2:13 PM
Comment [7]: I think it would help readability if there was a closer match between the aims of the study expressed here, and the aims addressed in the methods and results and discussion. In the Results, you start by discussing thresholds for individuals – then go onto groups – and then go onto consistency (it isn't clear if the consistency is related to individuals or groups or both). I suggest that you outline your aims here in line with those different methodological approaches.

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 1:12 PM
Deleted: .

METHODS

Adaptive procedures (staircases) were simulated using model participants with a known (veridical) underlying psychometric function described by a cumulative Weibull function (the smooth curve in Fig. 1 and Eq. 1), using Matlab software (The Mathworks Inc., Natick, MA, USA). A formulation of the Weibull function giving the probability, *p(x)*, of correctly indicating the signal interval at any given stimulus level is:

$$p(x) = 1 - (1 - g)\exp\left(-\left(k\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\beta}\right), \quad (1)$$

where x is the stimulus level, t is the threshold (i.e., the stimulus level at the theoretical convergence point of the adaptive procedure; e.g. $t = 10$ for performance converging asymptotically at 70.7% correct in Figure 2a)[1], β determines the slope of the psychometric

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 2:14 PM
Deleted: (

[1] Theoretical convergence points determined by the adjustment rule of a staircase are based on the assumption of a cumulative normal psychometric function. When simulated using a Weibull function, the procedures converge at a very slightly lower value; the 2-down, 1-up staircase converges at 70.2% correct rather than 70.7% after 1000 reversals. These small differences are negligible in the context of the effects described here.

function, g is the probability of being correct at chance performance (0.5 for a 2-AFC task), and k is given by:

$$k = \left(-\log\left(\frac{1-c}{1-g}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}. \quad (2)$$

The parameter c is determined by the tracking rule of the staircase – it corresponds with the point at which the procedure will theoretically converge, for example on 70.7% for our 2-down, 1-up staircase. The slope parameter, β, is usually unknown but it is fixed in each of our simulations..

Each simulation commenced with a stimulus level set to 3 times the model subject's (known) threshold. The stimulus value was adjusted trial-by-trial according to the model's responses and the adjustment rule and step size of the staircase. For example, for a stimulus level corresponding to 80% correct on the underlying veridical psychometric function, the model subject would have an 80% probability of responding correctly on every trial in which that stimulus level was used in the simulation. Distributions of threshold estimates were produced using 1000 simulations of the given adaptive procedure with the same step size, stopping rule, and mode of estimating the threshold. We used 1000 simulations because pilot testing showed that this number produced stable results. Analyses of the effects of the number of reversals used to estimate the threshold, the adjustment rule, and response consistency were then undertaken.

For the simulations of threshold estimation under normal conditions (i.e. stable responding), the model participant always had a threshold t = 10, and unless otherwise specified, slope β = 1. For the majority of simulations, a 2-down, 1-up staircase with 1-dB steps was used (Levitt 1971). The effects of the following factors were explored: the stopping rule (i.e., the number of reversal to finish: 10, 20, or 100); slope of the veridical

psychometric function(β = 0.5, 1, or 3); step-size (2 dB or 1 dB); and adjustment rule (3-down, 1-up or. 2-down, 1-up).

To examine the effects of the number of reversals with varying thresholds in individual participants, the model participant had a slope β = 1, but the threshold for different participants varied between 1 and 20. Thresholds were then estimated using the 2-down, 1-up staircase with 1 dB steps. Mean estimated thresholds produced by the staircase were compared with the veridical thresholds of the model participants.

The effects of number of reversals on group comparisons were explored using groups of 1000 model participants (all slope β = 1) with thresholds drawn from a known Gaussian distribution, centred on an integer value between 5 and 12. We chose a standard deviation that was 20% of the mean, since Weber's Law stipulates a standard deviation that is a constant fraction of the mean. Thresholds were estimated using both the 2-down, 1-up staircase procedure with 1 dB steps, and a 3-down, 1-up procedure with 2 dB steps. Effect-sizes were calculated for comparisons between the first group (centred on 5) and pairwise between each of the successive groups (i.e., the mean of one group was subtracted from the mean of the other group, and the result divided by their pooled standard deviation), for both the veridical and estimated thresholds.

To explore the effects of response consistency, we modelled 'lapses' as trials where the model participant responded correctly with a probability of 0.5 (i.e., guessed) irrespective of the stimulus level (Wichmann & Hill, 2001a, b). For the initial simulations of the effects of lapse-rate on measured threshold, the model subject had a veridical threshold of t =10 and slope β = 1. Thresholds were estimated with a 2-down, 1-up staircase with 1 dB steps. Lapse-rate was set at 0%, 5%, or 10%. The simulations exploring effects of lapse-rate on group comparisons set of starting distributions of model participants as was used for the group analysis described above. Lapse rates were 0%, 5% and 10% and thresholds were

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 2:14 PM
Deleted: vs.

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 2:14 PM
Deleted: vs

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 2:16 PM
Deleted: and having

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 2:16 PM
Deleted: . (S

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 2:17 PM
Deleted: s that are

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 2:18 PM
Deleted: reflect Weber's Law and were chosen with that in mind.)

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 2:18 PM
Deleted: then

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 2:20 PM
Comment [8]: Please rephrase. Not clear.

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 2:52 PM
Deleted: and

estimated with a 2-down, 1-up procedure using 1 dB steps. Effect-sizes for group comparisons were computed in the same way as described above.

RESULTS

How accurately do staircases estimate threshold?

The first simulations explored the estimation error surrounding thresholds estimated from the staircase with the model subject having a known and fixed threshold of 10 and a known and fixed β of 1. Histograms for 1000 threshold estimates were produced for each condition studied.

[Insert Figure 2 here]

Figures 2a-2c used a 2-down, 1-up staircase which theoretically converges at 70.7% correct (Levitt, 1971), expected to be at the threshold of 10 for this model subject. The three histograms of Figure 2a show the effects of stopping after different numbers of reversals: 10 reversals in the top panel, 20 in the middle panel, and 100 in the bottom panel. These numbers were chosen because 10 or 20 reversals are commonly used in the literature on sensory processing in developmental disorders such as dyslexia, for example. One hundred reversals exceeds the number typically used even in detailed psychophysical studies of trained adults. The shape and central tendency of the distributions of estimated thresholds change as a function of the number of reversals: procedures with fewer reversals produce much broader, more kurtotic distributions. The central tendency with fewer than 100 reversals lies above the true threshold, even when estimates were based on 20 reversals. (With 10 reversals the mean threshold estimate is more than 50% above the true threshold.) The mean approaches the true threshold with 100 reversals, and although the distribution narrows with more reversals as the central-limit theorem would predict, the two-standard deviation range even with 100 reversals remains at ± 20% of the true threshold. Table 2

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 2:53 PM
Comment [9]: New heading?: Thresholds in individuals? (or something to that effect)

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 2:54 PM
Comment [10]: It is odd to have this kind of information in the Results. If it is important (and it seems to be), incorporate it into the appropriate part of the Methods

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 2:55 PM
Comment [11]: The use of present tense seems a bit odd. I would suggest writing in past tense when discussing what you found when you looked at the results.

shows the mean and standard deviation of each distribution, and indicates by how many standard deviations the veridical threshold falls below the estimated mean threshold (i.e. as a z-score relative to the distribution of threshold estimates).

[Insert Table 2 about here]

Figure 2b and Table 2 show the results when the slope parameter, β, of the underlying psychometric function was varied. The histograms are for the same procedure as in Fig. 2a with 20 reversals, and a threshold of 10. The slope was shallow (β =0.5) in the top panel, β =1.0 in the middle panel (as in the middle panel of 2a), or steep (β =3.0) in the bottom panel. In trained adult subjects, 2-AFC frequency discrimination tasks have a slope of approximately 1 (Dai & Micheyl, 2011) whereas gap detection has a steeper slope (Green & Forrest, 1989). (See Strasburger (2001) for conversions between measures of slope.) The tendency to overestimate threshold, and the variability of the estimates, are both greatest with shallower slopes; and this also depends on the staircase's step size (Levitt, 1971; see also below). Therefore, knowing the slope of the underlying psychometric function would be helpful when choosing an adaptive procedure but the slope is almost never known in investigations of juvenile and/or clinical populations. A complicating factor is that in children, slope may change with age (e.g., Buss, Hall, & Grose, 2009) and indeed across different patient groups.

Step-size can also influence how quickly and well an adaptive procedure converges. In Figure 2c, the step-size is increased from 1dB to 2dB for the same adaptive procedure and underlying veridical psychometric function as in Fig 2a. The three panels again show histograms for different numbers of reversals: 10 in the top panel, 20 in the middle panel, and 100 in the bottom panel. The mean threshold estimate is closer to the real threshold with 2 dB than with 1 dB steps in all three histograms, but the variance of the distribution increases

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 2:56 PM
Comment [12]: This seems to be a late add-on. Perhaps move this further up so it falls immediately after your mention of Figure 2A.

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 2:57 PM
Deleted: what happens

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 2:57 PM
Deleted: is

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 2:57 PM
Deleted: different

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 2:57 PM
Deleted: For context, but with

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 2:59 PM
Comment [13]: Again, this information is oddly placed in the results. Integrate into the Methods .

slightly with increased step size (see also Table 2 for details). Although the step size can be chosen by the experimenter, its effect on threshold estimates depends on the (unknown) slope of the psychometric function underlying the task. (The implications of increased standard-deviation are discussed below in relation to Figure 3.)

Procedures with different adjustment rules converge at different points on the psychometric function. Figure 2d shows histograms for a 3-down, 1-up procedure which converges at 79.4% correct (Levitt, 1971). The model subject's veridical threshold (at 79.4%) was 10, the step size was 1dB, and β again was 1. The histograms of estimated threshold obtained from this simulation are slightly narrower than with the 2-down, 1-up procedure, and the central tendency of the histograms approaches the true threshold with as few as 20 reversals (See also Table 2). This improvement comes at the cost of significantly increased numbers of trials: the 2-down, 1-up staircase completed 20 reversals in an average of 67 trials (±1 standard-deviation of 8 trials) whereas the 3-down, 1-up staircase required an average of 146 (±11) trials, because it requires a longer sequence of correct responses for each downward step. Buss et al. (2001) explored the accuracy of adaptive procedures using a 3-down, 1-up staircase with 2dB steps in normal 6-11 year old children and obtained auditory detection thresholds that they accepted as stable based on a small number of reversals.

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 3:26 PM
Comment [14]: Again, this feels like it should be in the methods. If appropriate.

The data in Figures 2a-d are for a model subject with a fixed threshold, but it is important to consider what happens when subjects have different underlying thresholds as when comparing groups. Figure 2e addresses this question using the same 2-down, 1-up procedure as in Figure 2a, but with thresholds ranging from 1 to 20. One-thousand threshold estimates were made for each underlying (true) threshold and the mean is plotted as a function of underlying threshold. The lines are for stopping at 10 (circles), 20 (triangles) or 100 (squares) reversals. Error bars indicate ± one standard deviation and the dashed line lies on the locus of veridical estimation. The over-estimation of threshold with this procedure

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 3:27 PM
Comment [15]: Would it be possible to rephrase this? I don't understand the exact point you are trying to make.

increases with the true threshold, and the over-estimation is greatest (and with the largest standard-deviation) for the procedure with fewest reversals. Thus comparisons of groups with different thresholds within a group are complicated by threshold-dependent over-estimation which will increase the probability of Type-1 error. The lines in Figure 2e become parallel on semi-log axes and, along with the bias seen in Figure 2a, the simulations suggest that datasets obtained with adaptive procedures using logarithmic step-sizes may frequently be logarithmically skewed, thus requiring log-transformation prior to analysis.

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:27 PM
Deleted:

Thresholds for groups

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:28 PM
Comment [16]: Just a suggestion. Obviously this subheading will need to be in line with the first subheading of the Results.

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:27 PM
Formatted: Indent: First line: 0 cm

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:27 PM
Deleted:

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:28 PM
Deleted: We now explore how the factors we have considered can affect group comparisons.

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:28 PM
Deleted:

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:28 PM
Deleted: We

For the group simulations, we created groups of 1000 model subjects with thresholds drawn from a known normal distribution and each having underlying psychometric functions with $\beta = 1$. This approximates the scenario with samples drawn from a large inhomogeneous population, but with many more subjects than is typical.

We investigated the extent to which the number of reversals influenced the likelihood of obtaining statistically significant between-group differences. This analysis was designed to simulate a hypothetical situation where two groups of participants may differ in their average sensitivity to a stimulus. Table 3 shows the means and standard deviations of the starting distributions of veridical thresholds . The dashed line in Figures 3a and 3b show the pairwise effect-sizes for comparisons of veridical thresholds, and the remaining lines show effect-sizes for the comparisons obtained from adaptive procedures with 10 (circles), 20 (triangles), and 100 (squares) reversals, with a 2-down, 1-up procedure with a 1 dB step size (Figure 3a), and the 3-down, 1-up procedure with 2 dB steps (Figure 3b). In both cases, the effect-size of the comparison for estimated thresholds is smaller than it would be for the real thresholds, and is smallest when fewest reversals are used. This has implications for researchers comparing groups of children; the smaller the effect-size, the less the likelihood of detecting a real difference between the groups with standard statistical tests. It follows that

if fewer reversals are used, larger groups of participants are needed to detect group differences. Table 3 shows the means and standard deviations for the estimated thresholds and also the number of participants that would be required to find a statistically significant difference between the first group and each of the successive groups in a 2-sample t-test (see legend for details). Even with 100 reversals, nearly twice as many participants are needed to detect a difference between the first and second groups as for the veridical thresholds. For 10 reversals, four times as many are required.

[Insert Table 3 and Figure 3 here}

Effects of response consistency in indviduals? Groups?

Our simulations so far have assumed that subjects perform consistently; i.e., the probability of making a correct response is determined entirely by their underlying psychometric function. However such consistency is unlikely for real participants—even if they are highly trained and highly motivated. Children may have lower ability to satisfy the requirements of repetitive tasks, even with the short times needed for completing adaptive procedures (Talcott et al., 2002). Children with limited attentional control, such as those with attention-deficit disorder (ADD), may be particularly likely to lose vigilance. Even motivated observers occasionally lose concentration, make impulsive motor responses, or fail to respond. Such 'lapses' (other than failures to respond), have been modelled as trials where the response in the 2AFC paradigm is correct with probability of 0.5 irrespective of the stimulus level (Wichmann & Hill, 2001a,b). Their lapse rates for trained adults rarely approach 5%, but simulations at rates which are realistic for children [19% + (Talcott et al. 2002)] can be used to investigate their effect on threshold estimates obtained from staircases.

[Insert Figure 4 here]

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 3:29 PM
Comment [17]: Yet again, this is background information that should be included in Methods if necessary.

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 3:30 PM
Deleted: Figure 4 illustrates some effects of lapse-rate (i.e., the probability of a lapse on any given trial).

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 3:30 PM
Deleted:

Figure 4a shows histograms of 2-up 1-down staircases for three different lapse-rates, with threshold estimation based on 20 reversals for an underlying psychometric function with a threshold of 10 and β=1. The top panel is the same as the middle panel of Fig. 2a and shows results when there are no lapses. Data from Hulslander et al. (2004) from children with dyslexia suggest a catch-trial failure rate of 5-10%. As the lapse-rate increases from 5% (middle panel) to 10% (bottom panel) the central tendency of the histogram shifts farther from the true threshold, but the relative spread of the distribution remains roughly constant at 1.8 times the mean. (See also Table 2; Note that a 10% lapse rate is only half that found on average in children by Talcott el al. (2002).) Because the standard deviation of estimated thresholds with changing lapse-rate is proportional to the mean estimate, the effect-size of between-group comparison does not depend on lapse-rate. This is shown in Figure 4b, which uses the same sample-distributions as in Figure 3. The effect-sizes of the group-comparisons derived from estimated thresholds are lower than those obtained for the real thresholds, but the magnitude of this reduction does not depend systematically on lapse-rates. Importantly, this result depends on lapse-rates being the same in all groups. Significant problems in the form of increased risk of Type-1 error rate will emerge if lapse-rates differ between groups, as may be the case when comparing normal and clinical groups of children (see Hulslander et al., 2004, for example data). In other words, given two observers with equal real thresholds but different lapse-rates, the estimated threshold for the observer with the higher lapse-rate will be drawn from a probability distribution with a higher mean value. Thus groups of observers with higher lapse-rates will exhibit higher thresholds than a group with lower lapse-rates even if their veridical thresholds are similar, an effect which artificially increases the effect-size for the between-group differences. To illustrate this problem, we used the same approach as in Table 3 to compute the number of participants needed for a significant group difference in a t-test (with an alpha of 0.5 and 80% power), using the data in Figure 4a,

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 3:31 PM
Formatted: Indent: First line: 1.27 cm, Space After: 0 pt, Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 3:31 PM
Comment [18]: Throughout manuscript, refer to Type 1 or Type 2 (or Type I or Type II) without hyphen.

where all groups which have the *same veridical threshold* of 10 but different lapse rates. Compared to the group making 0% lapses, the group making 5% lapses would show an artificial, statistically significant, group difference if they contained 45 individuals (Figure 4c). A significant (and false) group difference would emerge with only 15 individuals if the second group were making lapses on 10% of trials (2-sample t-test, 80% power, $p < 0.05$). The implications of this are clear for researchers comparing groups which may differ in lapse rate.

DISCUSSION

Adaptive psychophysical procedures were designed for use in trained observers where the psychophysical properties of a task are well-defined, but they are also widely used to measure sensory thresholds in studies of untrained adults, children, and clinical populations. Measurements are often based on relatively small amounts of data in order to minimise number of trials, and hence reduce the risk of poor motivation or unreliable performance. Consistent responding is a particular challenge when working with children because of developmental factors. The simulations presented here illustrate the problems of reducing the number of trials, and hence increasing the effects of inconsistent responding on thresholds estimated from adaptive procedures. They draw on one commonly-used staircase method to illustrate the problems that can arise when comparing thresholds between tasks or across groups of individuals. The results show that adaptive procedures can over-estimate thresholds, and that this tendency is greater when fewer reversals are used. This introduces experimental error into threshold estimation, making it harder to detect group differences, and hence increasing in the likelihood of Type-2 errors.

The results also showed upward bias for estimation of higher thresholds. This increases the possibility that data-sets arising from multiple adaptive procedure measurements will not be normally distributed, although this trend may not be detected with

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:31 PM
Deleted: ing

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:31 PM
Deleted: .

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:32 PM
Deleted: research participants

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:32 PM
Deleted: , because of the need to reduce

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:32 PM
Deleted: the

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:32 PM
Deleted: or

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:33 PM
Deleted: participants losing

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:33 PM
Deleted: or the ability to respond consistently

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:37 PM
Comment [19]: Provide some examples h ... [1]

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:38 PM
Deleted: provide new, detailed evidence about

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:39 PM
Comment [20]: You haven't addressed th ... [2]

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:38 PM
Deleted: especially

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:39 PM
Deleted: : these problems will apply to a gr ... [3]

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:40 PM
Deleted: Yet the

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:40 PM
Deleted: introduced

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:40 PM
Deleted: especially with small numbers of ... [4]

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:40 PM
Deleted: es

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:46 PM
Comment [21]: Explain what Type 2 erro ... [5]

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:40 PM
Deleted: resulting in an overall

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:40 PM
Deleted: e

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:48 PM
Comment [22]: This is a bit ambiguous. C ... [8]

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:58 PM
Formatted ... [6]

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:47 PM
Deleted: The potential for asymmetry of ... [7]

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:47 PM
Deleted: s

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:47 PM
Deleted: is greatest

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:47 PM
Deleted:) also leads to the probability

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:56 PM
Deleted: -

small numbers of participants. Finally, observers' lapse-rates also influence measured thresholds by shifting the estimated thresholds further from the true threshold as the probability of lapses increases. Differences in lapse-rates between the groups significantly influence the effect-size. This could lead to apparent group differences when there are no differences in underlying thresholds (i.e. Type 1 errors). While our analysis has focussed on one widely used group of adaptive procedures, a wide choice of different routines is available (see, for example, the Palamedes toolbox which implements many of them, http://www.palamedestoolbox.org/). Choice of the best adaptive procedure for a given stimulus, task (each with specific psychophysical properties), and participant group, should be made carefully and on the basis of the literature, or prior testing with trained adults.

How many reversals?

In this study, the measurement error associated with a psychophysical threshold (*i.e.* the standard deviation of threshold estimates in our simulations) depended strongly on the number of reversals (Figures 2 and 3). Specifically, the variability of threshold estimates was larger when fewer reversals were used. When working with children or untrained participants, researchers can typically only draw one (or maybe a handful) of estimates from this probability distribution for each individual, making it difficult to know whether the measured value is from a point close to the mean or in one of the tails. So, with small numbers of reversals, care must be taken when comparing individual thresholds. The other important implication of using fewer reversals is that group comparisons have reduced statistical power (Figure 3) for any given group-size.

Unfortunately, it is impossible to recommend an ideal number of reversals to achieve an acceptable level of accuracy or statistical power because the distributions of thresholds are a product of interactions between the (often unknown) slope of the psychometric function underlying any given task, the adjustment rule, and the step-size of the adaptive procedure all

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:56 PM
Deleted: being

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:56 PM
Deleted: compared

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:57 PM
Comment [23]: The effect size of what?

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:56 PM
Comment [24]: The effect size of what?

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:57 PM
Deleted: and there is a risk that

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:57 PM
Deleted: t

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:57 PM
Deleted: -

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:58 PM
Comment [25]: This feels like an addition that is not directly relevant to the discussion. If important, would it be possible to move somewhere else? Otherwise, delete.

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:58 PM
Deleted: . ... [9]

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:58 PM
Deleted: The

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:58 PM
Deleted: ,

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:58 PM
Deleted: ,

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:58 PM
Deleted: s

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:59 PM
Deleted: :

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:59 PM
Deleted: is

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:59 PM
Deleted: are

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 3:59 PM
Deleted: Unlike in the simulations, researchers

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 4:01 PM
Deleted: , and are

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 4:01 PM
Deleted: unable to

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 4:02 PM
Deleted: However

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 4:02 PM
Deleted: not

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 4:02 PM
Deleted: a fixed

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 4:02 PM
Deleted: which offers

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 4:03 PM
Comment [26]: Accuracy and power for what?

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 4:02 PM
Deleted: ,

Department of Cog..., 15/3/2017 4:03 PM
Deleted:

affect the distributions of thresholds obtained. Researchers might consider using information from the literature, or, better, from detailed pilot measurements in a small sample of their own subjects, to determine which adaptive procedure might be most efficient for a given task. Ultimately, maximising the number of reversals as far as possible is key to obtaining more accurate estimates, and the use of a procedure which converges at a higher point on the psychometric function, such as the 3-down, 1-up procedure, is also likely to be helpful. The challenge for researchers is the risk that running a longer adaptive procedure could result in a higher lapse-rate, which brings the additional problems discussed in detail below. Finally, we note the critical importance of using the same number of reversals for the measurement with each participant in a study.

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 4:06 PM
Comment [27]: As far as I can tell, this is the first time this has been mentioned. Perhaps this is not a new concept and the sentence just need rephrasing and justifying to make the meaning clear. However, if it is a critical new concept, it needs to be introduced and explained earlier, and in more detail, than this late and brief statement.

Lapses and how to handle them

The most significant problem associated with lapses on a psychophysical task is that they are impossible to measure – in practice, incorrect responses that result because the participant was not attending to the stimulus are not possible to detect from the data alone. Nevertheless, the psychometric function might hold some information about the lapse-rate: always assuming that lapse-rate is approximately independent of stimulus level, its upper asymptote will be reduced from 100% correct by half the lapse-rate. For example, at a lapse-rate of 5%, the psychometric function will asymptote at 97.5%. Wichmann and Hill (2001a, b) included lapse-rate as a free parameter in their fitting procedure for psychometric functions (though not as a parameter of the function itself), to preclude estimates of threshold and slope from being severely affected by trained observers failing to reach 100% correct responses. Thus asymptotic performance can be used to estimate the lapse-rate to obtain better estimates of the true thresholds. Adaptive procedures, however, do not typically contain information about the upper asymptote of the psychometric function, and while lapse rate and slopes can be estimated from certain adaptive procedures, they interact (Wichmann & Hill, 2001).

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 4:32 PM
Comment [28]: I am not sure if this is the correct format for PeerJ. You may need to double check.

An alternative strategy for estimating lapse-rate is to use 'catch-trials'; a fixed proportion of trials, not contributing to stimulus level adjustments, but where the stimulus level is set at a value sufficiently high to lie on the upper asymptote of the underlying psychometric function. Assuming that lapses are independent of the stimulus level, the performance on these catch-trials provides some estimate of the lapse-rate. Catch-trial performance has been used successfully as a covariate in multivariate studies of reading disorder and auditory processing (for e.g., Talcott et al., 2002; Hulslander et al., 2004).

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 4:31 PM
Comment [29]: Not in correct order

There are two potential problems with catch-trials. First, when appearing unexpectedly in a sequence of near-threshold trials, they may appear unusual, attract the attention of the subject, elicit a different response for that trial, and not really reflect true lapse-rate. Second, the interpretation of catch trials depends on the assumption that lapses are independent both of stimulus level and position in the measurement run. Leek et al. (1991) successfully found a way to estimate lapse-rates, without the assumption that they have constant probability, based on pre-computed confidence intervals for a pair of simultaneously-operating staircases.

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 4:30 PM
Deleted: ,

Another possibility, which has been used in the literature, is to run two threshold measurements and check for consistency between their results using correlational methods. The potential problem with this approach is that one longer staircase is generally better than the average of two shorter ones. Although the total number of reversals may be the same, the bias (and hence risk of Type-1 error) and the measurement error (associated with risk of Type-2 error) are both lower when the longer staircase is used. Running another simulation of the subject from Figure 4a, a single adaptive procedure with more reversals yielded a lower threshold than an average of two shorter ones, even when lapses were being made. The average of two simulated procedures with 10 reversals each was 17.1, 18.1, and 19.2 for lapse-rates of 0, 5% and 10%, respectively; whereas the procedures with 20 reversals yielded mean thresholds of 14.3, 15.4, and 16.3. The bivariate correlations between individuals'

thresholds from consecutive runs for groups of observers also fail to yield sufficient information about lapse-rate. For example, in a distribution of 1000 simulated observers with a mean threshold of 10 and standard deviation of 2.5, correlations between pairs of thresholds obtained with 100 reversals each were relatively stable at 0.67, 0.7, and 0.65 for our 3 lapse-rate conditions. This stability in correlations across differing lapse-rates happens because lapses alter the mean of the probability distribution of thresholds, but not its relative standard deviation.

Checking for consistency of reversal points within a staircase run is another intuitive potential approach to identifying data with lapses. However in the same simulation for 20 reversals, the standard deviation of reversal points was 5.2, 5.4 and 5.4 respectively, providing no information about the presence of the lapses. This probably happens because the range of reversal points is not extended by these lapses but is simply shifted (0% lapses, mean range 9.9-27.2; 5% lapses, 9.9-28.4; 10% lapses, 10.6-29.4). It is worth noting that the lapse rates tested here are purposefully conservative and probably don't represent the poorest performance that is observed in some studies with children (see for example the plots in McArthur & Hogben, 2012). If a participant lapses consistently over a long period during a run of trials, for example, then the effects of this may be visible in the measures tested above. However these measures clearly do not identify participants who lapse randomly at low rates, despite the impact that these lapses have on the measured threshold estimate.

The problem of lapses in psychophysical data is therefore difficult to solve in a satisfying way. An alternative approach to measuring sensory sensitivity, which bypasses the need for obtaining behavioural response from participants, is to use neurophysiological measures. Mismatched negativity (MMN) is an evoked response elicited by a change in a stimulus parameter embedded in a sequence, and which has been used to index sensory sensitivity in a range of developmental settings (Näätänen, et al., 2007). The MMN response is modifiable

by contributions from sources in the frontal lobes, and is sensitive to the cognitive symptoms of disorders such as schizophrenia, so although considered pre-attentive in origin it is not entirely free of cognitive influence. Bishop (2007) has provided a critical review of the use of this method in research of developmental disorders. It is also possible to construct 'cortical psychometric functions' from auditory evoked responses measured with neurophysiological data, a method which shows promise for bias-free estimates of threshold (Witton et al., 2012). Yet there are challenges associated with using neuroimaging techniques with children (Witton, Furlong, & Seri, 2013) and for the majority of studies, psychophysics will remain the method of choice. Developing strategies to reduce the likelihood of lapses during adaptive procedures, especially through improving task engagement by children (e.g. Abramov et al., 1984), is therefore critical – as is the use of statistical methods which are sensitive to the limitations of these procedures.

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 4:29 PM
Comment [30]: Not in references

Future behavioural studies taking an individual-differences approach (e.g. Talcott, Witton & Stein 2013) can potentially help improve our understanding of the link between cognitive factors, such as attention and memory, and psychophysical performance, especially if these studies make detailed estimates of psychometric functions and lapse rates. Convergent measures, especially physiological measures such as eye-movement recordings which can monitor a child's physical engagement with a stimulus, would also improve the extent to which researchers can determine the validity of individual trials. Finally, the application of neuroimaging techniques, especially those with high temporal resolution i.e., MEG and EEG could provide useful evidence to help unpick the cognitive processes that underpin variable task performance.

CONCLUSIONS

Overall, the findings from the simulations presented here suggest that the accuracy and efficiency of studies using adaptive procedures in untrained populations are best achieved by very careful choice of adaptive procedure, taking into account the psychophysical properties of the task and stimulus; and by careful statistical analysis especially when comparing groups. Investing in innovations able to improve quality time-on-task, particularly for children, in relevant studies will greatly improve data quality, if trial-numbers can be increased. Attempting to index individuals' lapse-rates, and incorporating this information into statistical analyses, would also enable researchers to account for the impact of such differences on experimental findings.

REFERENCES

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 4:33 PM
Comment [31]: There are a lot of formatting errors in the reference section. Careful review and adjustment is required, particularly refs marked with **

**Abramov, I., Hainline, L., Turkel, J., Lemerise, E., Smith, H., Gordon, J., Petry, S.., (1984). Rocket-ship psychophysics. Assessing visual functioning in young children. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, *25*(11), 1307–15.

Benassi, M., Simonelli, L., Giovagnoli, S., & Bolzani, R. (2010). Coherence motion perception in developmental dyslexia: a meta-analysis of behavioral studies. *Dyslexia*, *16*(4), 341–57.

Bishop, D. V. M. (2007). Using mismatch negativity to study central auditory processing in developmental language and literacy impairments: where are we, and where should we be going? *Psychological Bulletin*, *133*(4), 651–72.

Buss, E., Hall, J.W., & Grose, J.H. (2009). Psychometric functions for pure tone intensity discrimination: slope differences in school-aged children and adults. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, *125*(2), 1050–8. doi:10.1121/1.3050273

Buss, E., Hall, J. W., Grose, J.H., & Dev, M.B. (2001). A comparison of threshold estimation methods in children 6-11 years of age. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, *109*(2), 727–31.

**Cornish, K., Wilding, J. Attention, genes and developmental disorders. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2010.

Dai, H., & Micheyl, C. (2011). Psychometric functions for pure-tone frequency discrimination. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, *130*(1), 263–72. doi:10.1121/1.3598448

Green, D.M., & Forrest, T.G. (1989). Temporal gaps in noise and sinusoids. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, *86*(3), 961–70.

Green, D.M., & Swets, J.A. (1966). *Signal Detection Theory and Psychophysics*. New York: John Wiley and Sons.

Habib, M. (2000). The neurological basis of developmental dyslexia: An overview and working hypothesis. *Brain*, *123*(12), 2373–2399.

Halliday, L.F., Taylor, J.L., Edmondson-Jones, A. M., & Moore, D. R. (2008). Frequency discrimination learning in children. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, *123*(6), 4393–402.

Hämäläinen, J., Salminen, H., & Leppänen, P.T. (2013). Basic auditory processing deficits in dyslexia: systematic review of the behavioral and event-related potential/ field evidence. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, *46*(5), 413–27.

**Hulslander, J., Talcott, J., Witton, C., DeFries, J., Pennington, B,. Wadsworth, S., Willcutt, E,. Olson, R.., (2004). Sensory processing, reading, IQ, and attention. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, *88*(3), 274–95.

**Karmiloff-Smith, A. (1998) Development itself is the key to understanding developmental disorders. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 2, 389-398

Leek, M. (2001). Adaptive procedures in psychophysical research. *Perception & Psychophysics*, *63*(8), 1279–92.

Leek, M., Hanna, T., & Marshall, L. (1991). An interleaved tracking procedure to monitor unstable psychometric functions. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, *90*(3), 1385.

Leek, M., Hanna, T., & Marshall, L. (1992). Estimation of psychometric functions from adaptive tracking procedures. *Perception & Psychophysics*, *51*(3), 247–256.

Levitt, H. (1971). Transformed up-down methods in psychoacoustics. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, *49*(2), 467–477.

Macmillan, N., & Creelman, C. (2004). *Detection Theory: A User's Guide* (p. 512). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Inc.

McArthur, G.M., & Hogben, J.H. (2012). Poor Auditory Task Scores in Children With Specific Reading and Language Difficulties: Some Poor Scores Are More Equal Than Others. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, *16*(1), 63–89.

Näätänen, R., Paavilainen, P., Rinne, T., & Alho, K. (2007). The mismatch negativity (MMN) in basic research of central auditory processing: a review. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, *118*(12), 2544–90.

O'Connor, K. (2012). Auditory processing in autism spectrum disorder: a review. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, *36*(2), 836–54.

Roach, N.W., Edwards, V.T., & Hogben, J.H. (2004). The tale is in the tail: an alternative hypothesis for psychophysical performance variability in dyslexia. *Perception*, *33*(7), 817–30.

Simmons, D.R., Robertson, A.E., McKay, L.S., et al., (2009). Vision in autism spectrum disorders. *Vision Research*, *49*(22), 2705–39.

Strasburger, H. (2001). Converting between measures of slope of the psychometric function. *Perception & Psychophysics*, *63*(8), 1348–1355.

Talcott, J.B., Witton, C., Hebb, G.S., Stoodley, C.J., Westwood, E.A., France, S.J., Hansen, P.C. & Stein, J.F. (2002) On the relationship between dynamic visual and auditory processing and literacy skills; results from a large primary-school study. Dyslexia, 8(4), 204-25.

**Talcott J.B., Witton C., & Stein J.F. (2013). Probing the neurocognitive trajectories of children's reading skills. Neuropsychologia. 51(3), 472-81.

**Thomas, M.S.C., Annaz, D., Ansari, D., Scerif, G., Jarrold, C., & Karmiloff-Smith A. (2009). Using developmental trajectories to understand developmental disorders. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 52, 336–358.

Webster, R.I., & Shevell, M.I. (2004). Neurobiology of specific language impairment. *Journal of Child Neurology*, *19*(7), 471–81.

**Welsh, M.C., Pennington, B.F., & Groisser, D.B. A normative developmental-study of executive function — A window on prefrontal function in children. Developmental Neuropsychology. 1991;7:131–149.

Wichmann, F.A., & Hill, N.J. (2001a). The psychometric function: I. Fitting, sampling, and goodness of fit. *Perception & Psychophysics*, *63*(8), 1293–313.

Wichmann, F.A., & Hill, N.J. (2001b). The psychometric function: II. Bootstrap-based confidence intervals and sampling. *Perception & Psychophysics*, *63*(8), 1314-29

**Wightman, F.L., & Allen, P. (1992). Individual Differences in Auditory Capability Among Preschool Children. In L. Werner & E. Rubel (Eds.), *Developmental Psychoacoustics* (p. 363). APA.

Witton, C., Patel, T., Furlong, P.L., Henning, G.B., Worthen, S.F., & Talcott, J.B. (2012). Sensory thresholds obtained from MEG data: cortical psychometric functions. *NeuroImage*, *63*(3), 1249–56.

Department of Cog…, 15/3/2017 4:32 PM
Comment [32]:

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Data from a hypothetical psychometric function (1a) and an adaptive procedure-track (1b). In Figure 1a, the data showing percentage of correct responses for six stimulus values have been fit with a Weibull function; dashed lines show the intersection of threshold and the 75%-correct point on this function. In Figure 1b, the procedure terminates after 20 reversals, indicated by circles.

Figure 2. The effects of reversal count (2a), slope (2b) and step-size (2c) on the mean and variability of thresholds measured with a 2-down, 1-up procedure. In all plots, the model subject had a known and fixed threshold of 10, indicated by the dashed line; the dotted line indicates the mean of the estimated thresholds. In Figure 2a, data are shown for 10, 20 and 30 reversals when the model subject had a fixed slope (β) of 1, for a 2-down, 1-up (1dB) adaptive procedure. In Figure 2b, data are for 20 reversals with the same 2-down, 1-up procedure but the value of β is either 0.5, 1, or 3. In 2c, all parameters are the same as in Figure 2a but the step-size of the adaptive procedure is 2 dB instead of 1dB. Figure 2d illustrates the different relationship with reversal-count when the adjustment rule is changed, in this case to a 3-down, 1-up (1dB) procedure. Fig. 2e shows mean thresholds, estimated by the 2-down, 1-up (1dB) adaptive procedure, for a set of model subjects with a range of thresholds between 1 and 20 (β =1). Their real thresholds are plotted against mean estimated thresholds based on 10, 20 and 100 reversals. The error bars indicate ±1 standard deviation in the estimated threshold. Points are artificially offset from each other to facilitate interpretation of the error bars.

Figure 3. Effect-sizes for group comparisons for estimated thresholds in a group of model observers, plotted as a function of the effect size for the same comparisons using their real thresholds, for a 2-down, 1-up procedure (3a) and a 3-down, 1-up procedure (3b). Error bars show standard deviation.

Figure 4. The effects of lapse-rate on estimated threshold. Fig. 4a shows histograms of estimated thresholds, taken from 20 reversals, for a single model observer with a real threshold of 10 (β =1), with different lapse-rates. The data in the top panel of 4a are the same data as in the middle panel of Figure 2a. Figure 4b shows the effect of lapse-rate on mean estimated threshold across the same groups of model observers as in the reversal-count analysis from Figure 3. Figure 4c illustrates the group-sizes that would generate an *artificial* group difference for groups with lapse-rates of 5% or 10%, even when veridical thresholds in both groups were identical, using the data in Figure 4a.