

The manuscript “Exergames improve balance and reduce fear of falling in women with fibromyalgia: Randomised controlled trial” has been significantly improved in this second version.

The manuscript reads much clearer as many sections in which concepts and ideas were not clear or explicated were corrected.

However I still have a concern in regards to using TUG as a measure of balance. I really believe this is not fully correct (please see below). All other comments and suggestion are minor.

- **Line 34-38: I think this sentences need to be further elaborated in order to make the explicit case of “why” you are interested I women and not men.**
- **Line 50: “However, the effect size ranges from small to large depending on the type of exercise and the measure used.”**

I think you are missing “outcome” before “measure”.

- **Line 58: last sentence needs ref. as it is not a logical consequence from previous**
- **Line 71: I would replace “This kind of therapy” for “this therapeutic modality”**
- **Response primary outcome response:**

You cited ““As a descriptive tool, it gives information about the patient's balance, gait speed, and functional ability”. I understand your justification; however, I still believe this is an overall measure of “balance, gait speed, and functional capacity”, all at once and not only balance. I think it would be more appropriate using the TUG as a test for “mobility skills”.

- **In regards to “Line 225: Can authors prove that exercises were “enjoyable”?”**

I didn’t mean it was wrong and replacing that with “challenging” makes it quite different. Perhaps adding something like “most patients reported enjoying”