Molecular identification and expression patterns of odorant binding protein and chemosensory protein genes in *Athetis lepigone* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)

Ya-Nan Zhang[1, 2*], Xiu-Yun Zhu[1], Ji-Fang Ma[3], Zhi-Ping Dong[3], Ji-Wei Xu[1], Ke Kang[4], Long-Wa Zhang[5*]

1. College of Life Sciences, Huaibei Normal University, Huaibei, China
2. Key Laboratory of Integrated Pest Management on Crops in East China, Ministry of Agriculture, Key Laboratory of Integrated Management of Crop Diseases and Pests, Ministry of Education, College of Plant Protection, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, China
3. Institute of Millet Crops, Hebei Academy of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences, Shijiazhuang, China
4. Anhui Vocational & Technical College of Forestry, Hefei, China
5. Anhui Provincial Key Laboratory of Microbial Control, School of Forestry & Landscape Architecture, Anhui Agricultural University, Hefei, China

Running head: OBP and CSP genes of *Athetis lepigone*

*Corresponding authors: ynzhang_insect@163.com (Ya-Nan Zhang); zhanglw@ahau.edu.cn (Long-Wa Zhang)

Abstract

The olfaction system of insects plays an important role in mediating various physiological behaviors, including locating hosts, avoiding predators, and recognizing mates and oviposition sites. Therefore, some key genes in the system present valuable opportunities as targets for developing novel green pesticides. *Athetis lepigone*, a noctuid moth can feed on more than 30 different host plants making it a serious polyphagous pest worldwide, and it has become one of the major maize pests in northern China since 2011. However, there are no reports on effective and environmentally friendly pesticides for the control of this pest. In this study, we identified 28 genes encoding putative odorant binding proteins (OBPs) and 20 chemosensory protein (CSPs) genes based on our previous *A. lepigone* transcriptomic data. A tissue expression investigation and phylogenetic analysis were conducted in an effort to postulate the functions of these genes. Our results show that nearly half (46.4%) of the *AlOBPs* exhibited antennae-biased expression while many of the *AlCSPs* were highly abundant in non-antennal tissues. These results will aid in exploring the chemosensory mechanisms of *A. lepigone* and developing environmentally friendly pesticides against this pest in the future.

INTRODUCTION

The olfaction system of insects mediates a host of physiological behaviors, such as host location, predator avoidance, and mate and oviposition site recognition (Leal 2013). Many studies show that the periphery process of insect olfaction requires a set of genes, including those that encode odorant binding proteins (OBPs), chemosensory proteins (CSPs), and chemosensory receptors (Elfekih et al. 2016; Glaser et al. 2015; Larter et al. 2016; Li et al. 2015; Paula et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2013). Generally, OBPs/CSPs located in the antennal sensillar lymph can recognize and bind external odorants that can then be transferred by OBPs/CSPs through the sensillar lymph to chemosensory receptors, odorant receptors (ORs) and ionotropic receptors (IRs). Therefore, OBPs and CSPs play key roles in helping insects recognize various odorants and regulate their behaviors (Dani et al. 2011; Zhou 2010). These functions also suggest that these protein families may present valuable opportunities as target genes for developing novel green pesticides.

Insect OBPs are a class of small, abundant, and water-soluble extracellular proteins of ~14 KDa. Most OBPs use six positionally conserved cysteines to form three interlocking disulphide bridges that stabilize the protein's three-dimensional structure (Lagarde et al. 2011; Leal et al. 1999; Pelosi & Maida 1995; Vogt & Riddiford 1981). Since the first OBP was identified in *Antheraea polyphemus* (Vogt & Riddiford 1981), many OBPs have been found in various insects based on genomic or transcriptomic methods in recent years. Based on the structural features and similarity in protein sequences, insect OBPs can be divided into three major subclasses (Li et al. 2013; Schultze et al. 2012; Spinelli et al. 2012; Zhou 2010): Classic OBPs, including pheromone binding proteins (PBPs), general odorant binding proteins (GOBPs), and two OBPs involved in the recognition of female sex pheromones and host volatiles; plus-C OBPs; and minus-C OBPs, which may also participate in the binding of host volatiles as suggested by an *in vitro* competitive binding assay.

Olfactory specific protein D (OS-D), the first insect CSP gene, was discovered in *Drosophila melanogaster* (McKenna et al. 1994). By using similar methods as for OBP identification, many CSPs have been discovered in distinct insects (Guo et al. 2011; Iovinella et al. 2013; Jacquin-

71 Joly et al. 2001; Liu et al. 2010; Missbach et al. 2015; Picimbon et al. 2001; Wanner et al. 2004).

72 Unlike OBPs, CSPs are smaller and more conserved in distinct insects, which only have four

73 conserved cysteines that form two interlocking disulphide bridges (Bohbot et al. 1998; Lartigue

74 et al. 2002; Maleszka & Stange 1997; Pelosi et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2014). Furthermore, OBPs

75 are usually specifically or predominately expressed in the antennae, whereas many CSPs are

76 expressed in the antennae and other tissues (Pelosi et al. 2005; Vogt 2005; Zhang et al. 2016a;

77 Zhang et al. 2013), suggesting insect CSPs have both chemosensation and non-chemosensation

78 functions as is illustrated by their association with chemosensation in moths (Jacquin-Joly et al.

79 2001; Sun et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2014), limb regeneration in *Periplaneta eparate* (Nomura et

80 al. 1992), embryo development in *Apis mellifera* (Maleszka et al. 2007), behavioral phase change

81 in *Locusta migratoria* (Guo et al. 2011), and female moth survival and reproduction in

82 *Spodoptera exigua* (Gong et al. 2012).

83   *Athetis lepigone* Möschler (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) is a serious polyphagous pest found

84 worldwide (Fu et al. 2014; Karsholt et al. 2013; Lindeborg 2008; Nikolaevitch &

85 Vjatcheslavovna 2003; Zhang et al. 2009) that can feed on more than 30 different host plants

86 species and has become one of the major maize pests in northern China since 2011 (Jiang et al.

87 2011; Ma et al. 2012; Shi et al. 2011). However, there are no reports on the chemosensory

88 mechanism mediated by OBPs/CSPs between the pests and host plants. In this study, we

89 identified 28 and 20 genes encoding putative AlOBPs (*A. lepigone* OBPs) and AlCSPs (*A.*

90 *lepigone* CSPs), respectively, based on our previous transcriptomic data of *A. lepigone* (Zhang et

91 al. 2016b). Tissue expression and phylogenetic analyses were conducted in an effort to postulate

92 the function of these genes. We found that most AlOBPs and AlCSPs had high identities with

93 those in other moths (Campanacci et al. 2001; Gu et al. 2013; Liu et al. 2015c; Zhang et al.

94 2015a; Zhang et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2015b); nearly half of the *AlOBPs* exhibited antennae-

95 biased expression, and many *AlCSP*s were found in various tissues and were highly expressed in

96 proboscises, legs, and wings, which will help us explore the chemosensory mechanism of *A.*

97 *lepigone* and develop environmentally friendly pesticides against this pest in the future.

98

MATERIALS & METHODS

Insect rearing and tissue collection

A. lepigone were fed an noctuid artificial diet (Huang et al. 2002) at a temperature of 26 ± 1 °C in a 14:10 h, light:dark photoperiod. Pupae were sexed, and males and females were placed into separate enclosures. Adult moths were given a 10% honey solution after emergence. We collected 25–30 female antennae (FA), 25–30 male antennae (MA), 50-60 proboscises (Pr, ♀:♂=1:1), 10-12 abdomen (Ab, ♀:♂=1:1), 28–30 legs (Le, ♀:♂=1:1), and 28–30 wings (Wi, ♀:♂=1:1) from three-day-old virgin adults. All samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until use.

RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA was extracted using the MiniBEST Universal RNA Extraction Kit (TaKaRa, Dalian, China) following the manufacturer's instructions, and the RNA quality was checked using a spectrophotometer (NanoDrop^{TM} 2000, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The single-stranded cDNA templates were synthesized from 1 μg total RNA from various tissue samples using the PrimeScript™ RT Master Mix (TaKaRa, Dalian, China).

Sequence analyses

The open reading frames (ORFs) of the putative chemosensory genes were predicted using ORF Finder (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gorf/gorf.html). The similarity searches were performed with NCBI-BLAST (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). Putative N-terminal signal peptides for AlOBPs and AlCSPs were predicted by SignalP 4.1 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/) (Petersen et al. 2011).

Phylogenetic analysis

Phylogenetic trees were reconstructed for the analysis of *AlOBP*s and *AlCSP*s, based on the gene

125   sequences of *A. lepigone* and those of other insects. The OBP data set contained 28 sequences

126   from *A. lepigone* (Table S1), and 100 from other insects including *Bombyx mori* (Gong et al.

127   2009), *Manduca sexta* (Grosse-Wilde et al. 2011), *Sesamia inferens* (Zhang et al. 2013), and

128   *Spodoptera littoralis* (Legeai et al. 2011). The CSP dataset contained 20 sequences from *A.*

129   *lepigone* (Table S1) and 68 from other insects including *B. mori* (Gong et al. 2007), *M. sexta*

130   (Grosse-Wilde et al. 2011), *S. inferens* (Zhang et al. 2013), and *S. littoralis* (Legeai et al. 2011).

131   Amino   acid   sequences   were   aligned   with   MAFFT   version   7

132   (http://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/), and phylogenetic trees were constructed using PhyML

133   (Guindon et al., 2010) based on the LG substitution model (Le and Gascuel, 2008) with Nearest

134   Neighbour Interchange (NNI), and branch support estimated by a Bayesian-like transformation

135   of the aLRT (aBayes) method. Dendrograms were created and colored in FigTree

136   (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

137

138   **Quantitative real time-PCR**

139   Expression profiling of *AlOBPs* and *AlCSPs* was performed using quantitative real time-PCR

140   (qRT-PCR) performed in a LightCycler® 96 (Roche, Switzerland) with a mixture of 5 μL 2X

141   SYBR® Premix Ex Taq (Tli RNaseH Plus) (TaKaRa, Dalian), 0.2 μL of each primer (10 μM),

142   2.5 ng of sample cDNA, and 3.6 μL of sterilized ultrapure H_2O. The reaction program was as

143   follows: 30 s at 95°C, 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 s, and 60°C for 20 s. The results were analyzed

144   using a LightCycler® 96 SW 1.1. The qRT-PCR primers (Table S2) were designed with Beacon

145   Designer 7.9 (PREMIER Biosoft International, CA, USA). This was followed by the

146   measurement of fluorescence over a 55 to 95 °C melting curve to detect a single gene-specific

147   peak and to check the absence of primer dimer peaks, and a single and discrete peak was

148   detected for all primers tested. Negative controls consisted of non-template reactions where the

149   cDNA was replaced with H_2O.

150   Expression levels of *AlOBPs* and *AlCSPs* were calculated relative to the reference genes

151   *AlGAPDH* (*A. lepigone* glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase) and *AlEF* (*A. lepigone*

152 elongation factor-1 alpha) using the Q-Gene method in the Microsoft Excel-based software

153 Visual Basic (Muller et al. 2002; Simon 2003). For each sample, three biological replicates were

154 performed with three technical replicates per biological replicate.

155

156 **Statistical analysis**

157 Data (mean ± SE) from various samples were subjected to one-way nested analysis of variance

158 (ANOVA) followed by a least significant difference test (LSD) for mean comparisons using the

159 SPSS Statistics 22.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

160

161 **RESULTS**

162 **Identification of putative OBP genes in *A. lepigone***

163 Based on our previous antennal transcriptomic data (NCBI-SRX number: 2543665) for *A.*

164 *lepigone* (Zhang et al. 2016b), we first identified 28 genes encoding putative OBPs including

165 three *PBP*s and two *GOBP*s (Table 1). Among the 28 *AlOBP*s, 24 sequences were predicted to

166 be full-length genes that encoded 133 to 246 amino acids; all 24 genes had a predicted signal

167 peptide at the N-terminus. According to the number and position of conserved cysteines, insect

168 OBPs can be divided into different subclasses: Classic OBPs, Plus-C OBPs, and Minus-C OBPs

169 (Zhou 2010). Here, AlOBP4 and AlOBP9 had no conserved cysteines at the C2 and C5 positions,

170 and, therefore, belonged to the Minus-C OBP subfamily; AlOBP2, AlOBP7, and AlOBP14 had

171 cysteines in addition to the six conserved cysteines; therefore, they belonged to the Plus-C OBP

172 subfamily; the other 19 full-length AlOBPs belonged to the Classic OBP subfamily, which had

173 six conserved cysteines at the corresponding positions (Fig. S1).

174

175 **Identification of putative CSP genes in *A. lepigone***

176 Twenty putative genes encoding CSPs were identified in *A. lepigone* via antennal transcriptome

177 analysis (Table 2). Eighteen of these had full length ORFs with 4 conserved cysteines in

178 corresponding positions (Fig. S2), and seventeen genes (except *AlCSP14*) had a predicted signal

179   peptide at the N-terminus. The results of a BLASTX match showed that 80% of these CSPs

180   (n=16) had >70% identity with other CSPs from different moths and that this was higher than the

181   sequence identities of the OBPs (75%) (Table 2).

182

183   Phylogenetic analyses of moth OBPs and CSPs

184   Two phylogenetic trees, one of moth OBPs and one of moth CSPs, were constructed using

185   protein sequences from *A. lepigone*, *B. mori*, *S. inferens*, *S. littoralis*, and *M. sexta*, (Fig. 1 and

186   Fig. 2). Similar to other studies (He et al. 2010; Pelosi et al. 2014b; Vogt et al. 1991; Xiu &

187   Dong 2007), the OBP tree showed that moth OBPs can be divided into PBP/GOBP, Minus-C

188   OBP, and Plus-C OBP subfamilies. AlPBP1-3 clustered into the PBP subfamily and the

189   AlGOBPs (1 and 2) clustered into the GOBP subfamily. Two AlOBPs (AlOBP4 and AlOBP9)

190   clustered into the moth Minus-C OBP subfamily, and four AlOBPs (AlOBP2, AlOBP7,

191   AlOBP10, and AlOBP14) clustered into the moth Plus-C OBP subfamily. The rest of the

192   AlOBPs clustered with at least one orthologous moth gene. In the constructed CSP tree, our

193   results indicated that all 20 AlCSPs were distributed along various branches and each clustered

194   with at least one other moth ortholog.

195

196   OBPs and CSPs expression patterns in *A. lepigone*

197   We used the qRT-PCR results to investigate the expression profiles of all *AlOBP*s and *AlCSP*s.

198   The results showed that all the OBPs and CSPs were expressed in the adult antennae of *A.*

199   *lepigone*. Among the 28 *AlOBP*s, 13 *AlOBP*s (including PBPs and GOBPs) were significantly

200   highly expressed in the antennae ($p < 0.05$, ANOVA, LSD), including 5 male-biased (*AlPBP1,*

201   *AlPBP2, AlOBP6, AlOBP17,* and *AlOBP20*) and 3 female-biased (*AlOBP1, AlOBP3,* and

202   *AlOBP19*) OBP genes. In all 28 *AlOBP*s, *AlGOBP1* and *AlPBP1* (male antennae) exhibited the

203   highest expression levels, and *AlOBP19* exhibited the lowest expression abundance (Fig. 3). In

204   addition, eight *AlOBP*s (*AlOBP4*, *8*, *11*, *14*, *16*, *21*, *22*, and *23*) exhibited proboscis-biased

205   expression, *AlOBP10* was expressed significantly more in the adult abdomen, and four *AlOBP*s

206   (*AlOBP2, 9, 13,* and *18*) displayed higher expression levels in adult wings than in other tissues

207 (Fig. 3).

208 Compared to *AlOBP*s, *AlCSP*s were highly expressed in adult antennae as well as in non-

209 antennae tissues. Of the 20 identified *AlCSP* genes, only *AlCSP2, AlCSP6,* and *AlCSP18* had

210 antennae-biased expression; *AlCSP2* was male-biased and *AlCSP18* was female-biased in their

211 expression. Six *AlCSP* genes (*AlCSP1*, *9*, *12*, *15*, *16* and *20*) were highly expressed in the

212 proboscises, and nine (*AlCSP3-5*, *7*, *8*, *10*, *13*, *14* and *19*) were highly expressed in the wings;

213 among the 20 total *AlCSPs*, *AlCSP14* and *AlCSP5* displayed the highest and lowest expression

214 levels in the antennae, respectively (Fig. 4).

215

216 **DISCUSSION**

217 In this study, we first identified 28 and 20 genes encoding putative AlOBPs and AlCSPs,

218 respectively, based on our previous *A. lepigone* transcriptomic data (Zhang et al. 2016b). The

219 number of *AlOBP* and AlCSP genes identified for this species are similar to some reported moths,

220 such as *C. suppressalis* (Cao et al. 2014), *H. armigera* (Liu et al. 2012), and *B. mori* (Gong et al.

221 2007), but there are certain different from *S. litura* (Gu et al. 2015), *S. inferens* (Zhang et al.

222 2013), *H. armigera* (Liu et al. 2012) and *B. mori* (Gong et al. 2009). The reasons for the

223 differences in gene number may be due to: 1) the different chemosensory behaviors of different

224 moths requiring distinct molecular mechanisms that have developed over evolutionary time; 2)

225 the genomic data will help us identify more genes from *A. lepigone* as well as from other moths

226 in the future.

227 Many studies have shown that insect OBPs are mainly expressed in the antennae of both sexes

228 and that they may play key roles in the process of host location, mating, and oviposition by

229 allowing the insect to accurately recognize environmental odorants (Larter et al. 2016; Leal 2013;

230 Qiao et al. 2009; Zhou et al. 2009). The phylogenetic tree of moth OBPs showed that AlOBPs

231 were divided into different subfamilies, including the PBP/GOBP, Minus-C OBP, and   Plus-C

232 OBP proteins suggesting that the structural diversity of AlOBPs may be involved in

233 chemosensation and/or in other physiological processes. Based on the qRT-PCR analyses, we

234 found that 46% of the 28 *AlOBP*s were highly expressed in the antennae indicating that these

235 AlOBP proteins have putative roles in the odorant reorganization of *A. lepigone*. Similar to our

236 previous work and to other studies (Gu et al. 2015; McKenzie et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2016a;

237 Zhang et al. 2013), we found that there were five *AlOBP* genes highly expressed in non-antennal

238 tissues (legs and wings), including one abdomen-biased AlOBP-encoding gene and four wing-

239 biased *AlOBP* genes, indicating that these OBPs may have other non-chemosensory functions.

240   Five AlPBP/GOBPs displayed higher expression in the adult antennae (especially *AlGOBP1*

241 and *AlPBP1*), which is consistent with that reported for PBP/GOBPs in other moths (Liu et al.

242 2013; Liu et al. 2015b; Zhang et al. 2013). According to recent functional studies of moth

243 PBP/GOBPs (Jin et al. 2014; Liu et al. 2015a; Liu et al. 2013; Sun et al. 2013; Zhu et al. 2016)

244 and *D. melanogaster* LUSH protein (OBP76a) (Ha & Smith 2006; Stowers & Logan 2008; Zhou

245 et al. 2004), we hypothesize that the AlPBP/GOBPs may also play important roles in recognizing

246 the sex pheromones of female moths and some host plant volatiles. Additionally, there are three

247 male-biased and three female-biased AlOBP genes, indicating that these sex-biased OBPs may

248 participate in the reorganization of female or male sex pheromones, plant volatiles from

249 oviposition sites, or other sex-related odorants, and need further analysis to explore their exact

250 roles such as through fluorescence competitive binding assays (Liu et al. 2015b), CRISPR/Cas9

251 mediated genome editing (Zhu et al. 2016), and gene mutations (Stowers & Logan 2008).

252   Studies on *CSP* genes in certain insects have shown that they are smaller and more conserved

253 than *OBP* genes and that they are widely expressed in different parts of the insect body (Calvello

254 et al. 2005; Gong et al. 2007; Pelosi et al. 2014a; Zhang et al. 2013). Our BLASTX results

255 showed that the AlCSPs had relatively high identities with other moth CSPs indicating high

256 conservation of CSPs among moths. Our results agreed with those from studies using ligand-

257 binding assays that found that some CSPs in other Lepidopterans have chemosensory roles

258 including in *Mamestra brassicae* (Jacquin-Joly et al. 2001), *B. mori* (Qiao et al. 2013), and *S.*

259 *inferens* (Zhang et al. 2014). Compared to the *AlOBP* genes highly expressed in the antennae,

260 only three *AlCSP*s had antennae-biased expression, indicating that these three genes may be

261   involved in the recognition and transmission of sex pheromones, host volatiles, and other

262   odorants. On the other hand, many insect CSPs are broadly expressed in non-chemosensory

263   tissues and have non-chemosensory functions, such as SexiCSP3, which has been shown to have

264   effects on the survival and reproduction of *S. exigua* (Gong et al. 2012), and AmelCSP5, which

265   is involved in embryonic integument formation in *A. mellifera* (Foret et al. 2007). In this study,

266   many *AlCSP*s were found in various tissues and were highly expressed in non-chemosensory

267   tissues suggesting that these AlCSPs (especially AlCSP14, which had the highest expression)

268   may be involved in other physiological functions apart from chemosensory ones.

269   Furthermore, we found that there were eight *AlOBPs* (28.5% of all AlOBPs) and six *AlCSPs*

270   (30.0% of all AlCSPs) that displayed proboscis-biased expression. OBP and CSP gene

271   expression in the proboscis has been observed in other insects including *Apolygus lucorum* (Hua

272   et al. 2012), *Lygus lineolaris* (Hull et al. 2014), *S. podoptera* (Liu et al. 2015c), and *A. dissimilis*

273   (Sun et al. 2016). Further functional studies have also confirmed the gustation function of some

274   genes: OBP49a in *D. melanogaster* is involved in the suppression of sweet taste by bitter

275   chemicals (Jeong et al. 2013); some OBPs in *D. melanogaster* can modulate sucrose intake in

276   response to a panel of nine bitter compounds by RNAi-mediated methods (Swarup et al. 2014);

277   and CSP4, which is exclusively presented in the proboscis of two sibling species — *H. armigera*

278   and *H. assulta* — an act as a wetting agent to reduce the surface tension of aqueous solutions

279   (Liu et al. 2014). Therefore, the 14 AlOBPs and AlCSPs with proboscis-biased expression may

280   play similar gustation functions in *A. lepigone*.

281   In the future, these AlOBPs and AlCSPs can help us develop environmentally friendly

282   pesticides against *A. lepigone* based on reverse chemical ecology (Dominguez et al. 2016; Zhou

283   2010). We can explore the functions of candidate OBPs/CSPs *in vitro* to screen compounds with

284   high binding affinities (e.g., host plant volatiles or sex pheromones) to target the OBPs/CSPs.

285   These compounds could then be investigated as potential pesticides or sexual attractants. Further,

286   with genetic modification by the CRISPR/Cas9 editing system (Hsu et al. 2014; Li et al. 2016;

287   Zhu et al. 2016), we can knock out the candidate OBPs and CSPs to construct various mutant

288   strains and then release the effective strains into the field to disrupt the chemical communication

289   behaviors of the pest.

290

291   **CONCLUSION**

292   In conclusion, we identified an extensive set of putative OBP- and CSP-encoding genes in *A.*

293   *lepigone* based on our previous antennal transcriptomic data. As the first step towards

294   understanding the functions of these genes, we conducted comprehensive and comparative

295   phylogenetic analyses and developed gene expression profiles for OBPs and CSPs and found that

296   most of the AlOBPs and AlCSPs had high identities with other moth odorant genes. Nearly half

297   of the *AlOBP*s displayed antennae-biased expression, but many *AlCSP*s were detected in all

298   tissues tested and were highly expressed in non-antennal tissues. Understanding the tissue and

299   sex-biased expression patterns will help identify the functions of AlOBPs and AlCSPs, which in

300   turn will aid in elucidating the chemosensory mechanism of *A. lepigone* and developing

301   environmentally friendly pesticides against this pest in future.

302

303   **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

304   We thank Master students Mei-Yan Zheng (Nanjing Agricultural University, China), Bachelor

305   students Cai-Yun Yin and Meng-Ya Li (Huaibei Normal University, China) for their help in

306   collecting insects, and Dr. Peng He (Guizhou University, China) for suggestions to improve the

307   manuscript.

308

309   **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS**

310   **Data Availability**

311   The following information was supplied regarding data availability: The sequences of AlOBPs

312   and AlCSPs have been supplied as Supplemental Information.

313   **Supplemental Information**

314   Supplemental information for this article can be found online.

315

316

References

318   Bohbot J, Sobrio F, Lucas P, and Nagnan-Le Meillour P. 1998. Functional characterization of a new class of odorant-
319   binding proteins in the moth *Mamestra brassicae*. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 253:489-494. S0006-
320   291X(98)99806-0 [pii]
321   10.1006/bbrc.1998.9806
322   Calvello M, Brandazza A, Navarrini A, Dani FR, Turillazzi S, Felicioli A, and Pelosi P. 2005. Expression of odorant-
323   binding proteins and chemosensory proteins in some Hymenoptera. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 35:297-307.
324   S0965-1748(05)00005-6 [pii]
325   10.1016/j.ibmb.2005.01.002
326   Campanacci V, Krieger J, Bette S, Sturgis JN, Lartigue A, Cambillau C, Breer H, and Tegoni M. 2001. Revisiting the
327   specificity of *Mamestra brassicae* and *Antheraea polyphemus* pheromone-binding proteins with a
328   fluorescence binding assay. *J Biol Chem* 276:20078-20084. 10.1074/jbc.M100713200
329   M100713200 [pii]
330   Cao D, Liu Y, Wei J, Liao X, Walker WB, Li J, and Wang G. 2014. Identification of candidate olfactory genes in *Chilo*
331   *suppressalis* by antennal transcriptome analysis. *Int J Biol Sci* 10:846-860. 10.7150/ijbs.9297
332   ijbsv10p0846 [pii]
333   Dani FR, Michelucci E, Francese S, Mastrobuoni G, Cappellozza S, La Marca G, Niccolini A, Felicioli A, Moneti G, and
334   Pelosi P. 2011. Odorant-binding proteins and chemosensory proteins in pheromone detection and release
335   in the silkmoth *Bombyx mori*. *Chem Senses* 36:335-344. bjq137 [pii]
336   10.1093/chemse/bjq137
337   Dominguez A, Puigmarti M, Bosch MP, Rosell G, Crehuet R, Ortiz A, Quero C, and Guerrero A. 2016. Synthesis,
338   functional assays, electrophysiological activity, and field tests of pheromone antagonists of the tomato
339   leafminer, *Tuta absoluta*. *J Agric Food Chem* 64:3523-3532. 10.1021/acs.jafc.6b00674
340   Elfekih S, Chen CY, Hsu JC, Belcaid M, and Haymer D. 2016. Identification and preliminary characterization of
341   chemosensory perception-associated proteins in the melon fly *Bactrocera cucurbitae* using RNA-seq. *Sci*
342   *Rep* 6:19112. 10.1038/srep19112
343   Foret S, Wanner KW, and Maleszka R. 2007. Chemosensory proteins in the honey bee: Insights from the annotated
344   genome, comparative analyses and expressional profiling. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 37:19-28. S0965-
345   1748(06)00189-5 [pii]
346   10.1016/j.ibmb.2006.09.009
347   Fu X, Liu Y, Li Y, Ali A, and Wu K. 2014. Does *Athetis lepigone* moth (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) take a long-distance
348   migration? *J Econ Entomol* 107:995-1002.
349   Glaser N, Gallot A, Legeai F, Harry M, Kaiser L, Le Ru B, Calatayud PA, and Jacquin-Joly E. 2015. Differential
350   expression of the chemosensory transcriptome in two populations of the stemborer *Sesamia nonagrioides*.
351   *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 65:28-34. 10.1016/j.ibmb.2015.07.008
352   Gong DP, Zhang HJ, Zhao P, Lin Y, Xia QY, and Xiang ZH. 2007. Identification and expression pattern of the

353   chemosensory protein gene family in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 37:266-277.

354   S0965-1748(06)00250-5 [pii]

355   10.1016/j.ibmb.2006.11.012

356   Gong DP, Zhang HJ, Zhao P, Xia QY, and Xiang ZH. 2009. The odorant binding protein gene family from the genome

357   of silkworm, *Bombyx mori*. *BMC Genomics* 10:332. 1471-2164-10-332 [pii]

358   10.1186/1471-2164-10-332

359   Gong L, Luo Q, Rizwan-Ul-Haq M, and Hu MY. 2012. Cloning and characterization of three chemosensory proteins

360   from *Spodoptera exigua* and effects of gene silencing on female survival and reproduction. *Bull Entomol*

361   *Res* 102:600-609. S0007485312000168 [pii]

362   10.1017/S0007485312000168

363   Grosse-Wilde E, Kuebler LS, Bucks S, Vogel H, Wicher D, and Hansson BS. 2011. Antennal transcriptome of

364   *Manduca sexta*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108:7449-7454. 1017963108 [pii]

365   10.1073/pnas.1017963108

366   Gu SH, Wu KM, Guo YY, Pickett JA, Field LM, Zhou JJ, and Zhang YJ. 2013. Identification of genes expressed in the

367   sex pheromone gland of the black cutworm *Agrotis ipsilon* with putative roles in sex pheromone

368   biosynthesis and transport. *BMC Genomics* 14:636. 1471-2164-14-636 [pii]

369   10.1186/1471-2164-14-636

370   Gu SH, Zhou JJ, Gao S, Wang DH, Li XC, Guo YY, and Zhang YJ. 2015. Identification and comparative expression

371   analysis of odorant binding protein genes in the tobacco cutworm *Spodoptera litura*. *Sci Rep* 5:13800.

372   10.1038/srep13800

373   Guo W, Wang X, Ma Z, Xue L, Han J, Yu D, and Kang L. 2011. CSP and takeout genes modulate the switch between

374   attraction and repulsion during behavioral phase change in the migratory locust. *PLoS Genet* 7:e1001291.

375   10.1371/journal.pgen.1001291

376   Ha TS, and Smith DP. 2006. A pheromone receptor mediates 11-cis-vaccenyl acetate-induced responses in

377   *Drosophila*. *J Neurosci* 26:8727-8733. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0876-06.2006

378   He X, Tzotzos G, Woodcock C, Pickett JA, Hooper T, Field LM, and Zhou JJ. 2010. Binding of the general odorant

379   binding protein of *Bombyx mori* BmorGOBP2 to the moth sex pheromone components. *J Chem Ecol*

380   36:1293-1305. 10.1007/s10886-010-9870-7

381   Hsu PD, Lander ES, and Zhang F. 2014. Development and applications of CRISPR-Cas9 for genome engineering. *Cell*

382   157:1262-1278. 10.1016/j.cell.2014.05.010

383   Hua JF, Zhang S, Cui JJ, Wang DJ, Wang CY, Luo JY, and Lv LM. 2012. Identification and binding characterization of

384   three odorant binding proteins and one chemosensory protein from *Apolygus lucorum* (Meyer-Dur). *J*

385   *Chem Ecol* 38:1163-1170. 10.1007/s10886-012-0178-7

386   Huang CX, Zhu LM, Ni JP, and Chao XY. 2002. A method of rearing the beet armyworm *Spodoptera exigua Entomol*

387   *Knowl* 39:229-231.

388   Hull JJ, Perera OP, and Snodgrass GL. 2014. Cloning and expression profiling of odorant-binding proteins in the

389   tarnished plant bug, *Lygus lineolaris*. *Insect Mol Biol* 23:78-97. 10.1111/imb.12064

390   Iovinella I, Bozza F, Caputo B, Della Torre A, and Pelosi P. 2013. Ligand-binding study of *Anopheles gambiae*

391   chemosensory proteins. *Chem Senses* 38:409-419. bjt012 [pii]

392   10.1093/chemse/bjt012

393   Jacquin-Joly E, Vogt RG, Francois MC, and Nagnan-Le Meillour P. 2001. Functional and expression pattern analysis

394   of chemosensory proteins expressed in antennae and pheromonal gland of *Mamestra brassicae*. *Chem*
395   *Senses* 26:833-844.

396 Jeong YT, Shim J, Oh SR, Yoon HI, Kim CH, Moon SJ, and Montell C. 2013. An odorant-binding protein required for
397   suppression of sweet taste by bitter chemicals. *Neuron* 79:725-737. 10.1016/j.neuron.2013.06.025
398 S0896-6273(13)00541-2 [pii]

399 Jiang XF, Luo LZ, Jiang YY, Zhang YJ, Zhang L, and Wang ZY. 2011. Damage characteristics and outbreak causes
400   of *Athetis lepigone* in China. *Plant Prot* 37:130-133.

401 Jin JY, Li ZQ, Zhang YN, Liu NY, and Dong SL. 2014. Different roles suggested by sex-biased expression and
402   pheromone binding affinity among three pheromone binding proteins in the pink rice borer, *Sesamia*
403   *inferens* (Walker) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *J Insect Physiol* 66:71-79. 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2014.05.013
404 S0022-1910(14)00088-2 [pii]

405 Karsholt O, van Nieukerken EJ, and de Jong YSDM. 2013. Lepidoptera, moths. Fauna Europaea version 2.6. *[WWW*
406   *document] URL: http://wwwfaunaeurorg.*

407 Lagarde A, Spinelli S, Tegoni M, He X, Field L, Zhou JJ, and Cambillau C. 2011. The crystal structure of odorant
408   binding protein 7 from *Anopheles gambiae* exhibits an outstanding adaptability of its binding site. *J Mol*
409   *Biol* 414:401-412. 10.1016/j.jmb.2011.10.005
410 S0022-2836(11)01129-6 [pii]

411 Larter NK, Sun JS, and Carlson JR. 2016. Organization and function of *Drosophila* odorant binding proteins. *Elife* 5.
412   10.7554/eLife.20242

413 Lartigue A, Campanacci V, Roussel A, Larsson AM, Jones TA, Tegoni M, and Cambillau C. 2002. X-ray structure and
414   ligand binding study of a moth chemosensory protein. *J Biol Chem* 277:32094-32098.
415   10.1074/jbc.M204371200
416 M204371200 [pii]

417 Leal WS. 2013. Odorant reception in insects: roles of receptors, binding proteins, and degrading enzymes. *Annu*
418   *Rev Entomol* 58:373-391. 10.1146/annurev-ento-120811-153635

419 Leal WS, Nikonova L, and Peng G. 1999. Disulfide structure of the pheromone binding protein from the silkworm
420   moth, Bombyx mori. *FEBS Lett* 464:85-90. S0014-5793(99)01683-X [pii]

421 Legeai F, Malpel S, Montagne N, Monsempes C, Cousserans F, Merlin C, Francois MC, Maibeche-Coisne M, Gavory
422   F, Poulain J, and Jacquin-Joly E. 2011. An expressed sequence tag collection from the male antennae of
423   the Noctuid moth *Spodoptera littoralis*: a resource for olfactory and pheromone detection research. *BMC*
424   *Genomics* 12:86. 1471-2164-12-86 [pii]
425 10.1186/1471-2164-12-86

426 Li XM, Zhu XY, Wang ZQ, Wang Y, He P, Chen G, Sun L, Deng DG, and Zhang YN. 2015. Candidate chemosensory
427   genes identified in *Colaphellus bowringi* by antennal transcriptome analysis. *BMC Genomics* 16:1028.
428   10.1186/s12864-015-2236-3

429 Li Y, Zhang J, Chen D, Yang P, Jiang F, Wang X, and Kang L. 2016. CRISPR/Cas9 in locusts: Successful establishment
430   of an olfactory deficiency line by targeting the mutagenesis of an odorant receptor co-receptor (Orco).
431   *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 79:27-35. 10.1016/j.ibmb.2016.10.003

432 Li ZQ, Zhang S, Luo JY, Cui JJ, Ma Y, and Dong SL. 2013. Two Minus-C odorant binding proteins from *Helicoverpa*
433   *armigera* display higher ligand binding affinity at acidic pH than neutral pH. *J Insect Physiol* 59:263-272.
434   10.1016/j.jinsphys.2012.12.004

435   S0022-1910(12)00301-0 [pii]

436   Lindeborg M. 2008. Remarkable records of Macrolepidoptera in Sweden. *Entomol Tidskr* 129:43-52.

437   Liu N, Yang K, Liu Y, Xu W, Anderson A, and Dong S. 2015a. Two general-odorant binding proteins in *Spodoptera*

438   *litura* are differentially tuned to sex pheromones and plant odorants. *Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr*

439   *Physiol* 180C:23-31. S1095-6433(14)00223-2 [pii]

440   10.1016/j.cbpa.2014.11.005

441   Liu NY, Liu CC, and Dong SL. 2013. Functional differentiation of pheromone-binding proteins in the common

442   cutworm *Spodoptera litura*. *Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol* 165:254-262. S1095-

443   6433(13)00074-3 [pii]

444   10.1016/j.cbpa.2013.03.016

445   Liu NY, Yang F, Yang K, He P, Niu XH, Xu W, Anderson A, and Dong SL. 2015b. Two subclasses of odorant-binding

446   proteins in *Spodoptera exigua* display structural conservation and functional divergence. *Insect Mol Biol*

447   24:167-182. 10.1111/imb.12143

448   Liu NY, Zhang T, Ye ZF, Li F, and Dong SL. 2015c. Identification and characterization of candidate chemosensory

449   gene families from *Spodoptera exigua* developmental transcriptomes. *Int J Biol Sci* 11:1036-1048.

450   10.7150/ijbs.12020

451   Liu X, Luo Q, Zhong G, Rizwan-Ul-Haq M, and Hu M. 2010. Molecular characterization and expression pattern of

452   four chemosensory proteins from diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). *J*

453   *Biochem* 148:189-200. mvq050 [pii]

454   10.1093/jb/mvq050

455   Liu Y, Gu S, Zhang Y, Guo Y, and Wang G. 2012. Candidate olfaction genes identified within the *Helicoverpa*

456   *armigera* antennal transcriptome. *PLoS One* 7:e48260. 10.1371/journal.pone.0048260

457   PONE-D-12-23655 [pii]

458   Liu YL, Guo H, Huang LQ, Pelosi P, and Wang CZ. 2014. Unique function of a chemosensory protein in the proboscis

459   of two *Helicoverpa species*. *J Exp Biol* 217:1821-1826. 10.1242/jeb.102020

460   Ma JF, Li LT, Gan YJ, and Dong ZP. 2012. The research on annual life history and natural enemy species of *Athetis*

461   *lepigone CHINA PLANT PROTECTION* 32:37-40.

462   Maleszka J, Foret S, Saint R, and Maleszka R. 2007. RNAi-induced phenotypes suggest a novel role for a

463   chemosensory protein CSP5 in the development of embryonic integument in the honeybee (*Apis*

464   *mellifera*). *Dev Genes Evol* 217:189-196. 10.1007/s00427-006-0127-y

465   Maleszka R, and Stange G. 1997. Molecular cloning, by a novel approach, of a cDNA encoding a putative olfactory

466   protein in the labial palps of the moth *Cactoblastis cactorum*. *Gene* 202:39-43. S0378-1119(97)00448-4

467   [pii]

468   McKenna MP, Hekmat-Scafe DS, Gaines P, and Carlson JR. 1994. Putative *Drosophila* pheromone-binding proteins

469   expressed in a subregion of the olfactory system. *J Biol Chem* 269:16340-16347.

470   McKenzie SK, Oxley PR, and Kronauer DJ. 2014. Comparative genomics and transcriptomics in ants provide new

471   insights into the evolution and function of odorant binding and chemosensory proteins. *BMC Genomics*

472   15:718. 10.1186/1471-2164-15-718

473   1471-2164-15-718 [pii]

474   Missbach C, Vogel H, Hansson BS, and Grobetae-Wilde E. 2015. Identification of odorant binding proteins and

475   chemosensory proteins in antennal transcriptomes of the jumping bristletail *Lepismachilis y-signata* and

476   the firebrat *Thermobia domestica*: evidence for an independent OBP-OR origin. *Chem Senses* 40:615-626.
477   10.1093/chemse/bjv050
478   Muller PY, Janovjak H, Miserez AR, and Dobbie Z. 2002. Processing of gene expression data generated by
479   quantitative real-time RT-PCR. *Biotechniques* 32:1372-1374, 1376, 1378-1379.
480   Nikolaevitch PA, and Vjatcheslavovna IE. 2003. The Noctuidae (Lepidoptera) of the Daghestan Republic (Russia) II.
481   *Phegea* 31:167-181.
482   Nomura A, Kawasaki K, Kubo T, and Natori S. 1992. Purification and localization of p10, a novel protein that
483   increases in nymphal regenerating legs of *Periplaneta americana* (American cockroach). *Int J Dev Biol*
484   36:391-398.
485   Paula DP, Togawa RC, Costa MM, Grynberg P, Martins NF, and Andow DA. 2016. Identification and expression
486   profile of odorant-binding proteins in *Halyomorpha halys* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae). *Insect Mol Biol*
487   25:580-594. 10.1111/imb.12243
488   Pelosi P, Calvello M, and Ban L. 2005. Diversity of odorant-binding proteins and chemosensory proteins in insects.
489   *Chem Senses* 30 Suppl 1:i291-292. 30/suppl_1/i291 [pii]
490   10.1093/chemse/bjh229
491   Pelosi P, Iovinella I, Felicioli A, and Dani FR. 2014a. Soluble proteins of chemical communication: an overview
492   across arthropods. *Front Physiol* 5:320. 10.3389/fphys.2014.00320
493   Pelosi P, and Maida R. 1995. Odorant-binding proteins in insects. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B,*
494   *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 111:503-514.
495   Pelosi P, Mastrogiacomo R, Iovinella I, Tuccori E, and Persaud KC. 2014b. Structure and biotechnological
496   applications of odorant-binding proteins. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 98:61-70. 10.1007/s00253-013-5383-y
497   Petersen TN, Brunak S, von Heijne G, and Nielsen H. 2011. SignalP 4.0: discriminating signal peptides from
498   transmembrane regions. *Nat Methods* 8:785-786. 10.1038/nmeth.1701
499   nmeth.1701 [pii]
500   Picimbon JF, Dietrich K, Krieger J, and Breer H. 2001. Identity and expression pattern of chemosensory proteins in
501   *Heliothis virescens* (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae). *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 31:1173-1181. S0965174801000637
502   [pii]
503   Qiao H, Tuccori E, He X, Gazzano A, Field L, Zhou JJ, and Pelosi P. 2009. Discrimination of alarm pheromone (E)-
504   beta-farnesene by aphid odorant-binding proteins. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 39:414-419.
505   10.1016/j.ibmb.2009.03.004
506   S0965-1748(09)00055-1 [pii]
507   Qiao HL, Deng PY, Li DD, Chen M, Jiao ZJ, Liu ZC, Zhang YZ, and Kan YC. 2013. Expression analysis and binding
508   experiments of chemosensory proteins indicate multiple roles in *Bombyx mori*. *J Insect Physiol* 59:667-675.
509   10.1016/j.jinsphys.2013.04.004
510   S0022-1910(13)00096-6 [pii]
511   Schultze A, Schymura D, Forstner M, and Krieger J. 2012. Expression pattern of a 'Plus-C' class odorant binding
512   protein in the antenna of the malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae*. *Insect Mol Biol* 21:187-195.
513   10.1111/j.1365-2583.2011.01125.x
514   Shi J, Wang ZY, Jiang YY, Shan XN, Zhang HJ, Wang J, and Ge X. 2011. Preliminary report on investigation of the
515   overwintering sites of *Athetis lepigone*. *Plant Prot* 37:138-140.
516   Simon P. 2003. Q-Gene: processing quantitative real-time RT-PCR data. *Bioinformatics* 19:1439-1440.

517   10.1093/bioinformatics/btg157

518   Spinelli S, Lagarde A, Iovinella I, Legrand P, Tegoni M, Pelosi P, and Cambillau C. 2012. Crystal structure of *Apis*
519   *mellifera* OBP14, a C-minus odorant-binding protein, and its complexes with odorant molecules. *Insect*
520   *Biochem Mol Biol* 42:41-50. 10.1016/j.ibmb.2011.10.005

521   S0965-1748(11)00192-5 [pii]

522   Stowers L, and Logan DW. 2008. LUSH shapes up for a starring role in olfaction. *Cell* 133:1137-1139.
523   10.1016/j.cell.2008.06.010

524   Sun H, Song Y, Du J, Wang X, and Cheng Z. 2016. Identification and tissue distribution of chemosensory protein and
525   odorant binding protein genes in *Athetis dissimilis* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Appl Entomol Zool* 51:409-
526   420.

527   Sun L, Zhou JJ, Gu SH, Xiao HJ, Guo YY, Liu ZW, and Zhang YJ. 2015. Chemosensillum immunolocalization and ligand
528   specificity of chemosensory proteins in the alfalfa plant bug *Adelphocoris lineolatus* (Goeze). *Sci Rep*
529   5:8073. 10.1038/srep08073

530   srep08073 [pii]

531   Sun M, Liu Y, and Wang G. 2013. Expression patterns and binding properties of three pheromone binding proteins
532   in the diamondback moth, *Plutella xyllotella*. *J Insect Physiol* 59:46-55. 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2012.10.020

533   Swarup S, Morozova TV, Sridhar S, Nokes M, and Anholt RR. 2014. Modulation of feeding behavior by odorant-
534   binding proteins in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Chem Senses* 39:125-132. 10.1093/chemse/bjt061

535   Vogt RG. 2005. Molecular Basis of Pheromone Detection in Insects. *In Comprehensive Insect Physiology,*
536   *Biochemistry, Pharmacology and Molecular BiologyVolume 3 Endocrinology (LI Gilbert, K Iatro, SS Gill*
537   *eds)*:pp. 753-804. Elsevier, London. ISBN: 044451516X.

538   Vogt RG, and Riddiford LM. 1981. Pheromone binding and inactivation by moth antennae. *Nature* 293:161-163.

539   Vogt RG, Rybczynski R, and Lerner MR. 1991. Molecular cloning and sequencing of general odorant-binding
540   proteins GOBP1 and GOBP2 from the tobacco hawk moth Manduca sexta: comparisons with other insect
541   OBPs and their signal peptides. *J Neurosci* 11:2972-2984.

542   Wanner KW, Willis LG, Theilmann DA, Isman MB, Feng Q, and Plettner E. 2004. Analysis of the insect os-d-like gene
543   family. *J Chem Ecol* 30:889-911.

544   Xiu WM, and Dong SL. 2007. Molecular characterization of two pheromone binding proteins and quantitative
545   analysis of their expression in the beet armyworm, *Spodoptera exigua* Hübner. *J Chem Ecol* 33:947-961.
546   10.1007/s10886-007-9277-2

547   Zhang J, Wang B, Dong S, Cao D, Dong J, Walker WB, Liu Y, and Wang G. 2015a. Antennal transcriptome analysis
548   and comparison of chemosensory gene families in two closely related noctuidae moths, *Helicoverpa*
549   *armigera* and *H. assulta*. *PLoS One* 10:e0117054. 10.1371/journal.pone.0117054

550   PONE-D-14-43348 [pii]

551   Zhang LW, Kang K, Jiang SC, Zhang YN, Wang TT, Zhang J, Sun L, Yang YQ, Huang CC, Jiang LY, and Ding DG. 2016a.
552   Analysis of the antennal transcriptome and insights into olfactory genes in *Hyphantria cunea* (Drury). *PLoS*
553   *One* 11:e0164729. 10.1371/journal.pone.0164729

554   Zhang YN, Jin JY, Jin R, Xia YH, Zhou JJ, Deng JY, and Dong SL. 2013. Differential expression patterns in
555   chemosensory and non-chemosensory tissues of putative chemosensory genes identified by
556   transcriptome analysis of insect pest the purple stem borer *Sesamia inferens* (Walker). *PLoS One* 8:e69715.
557   10.1371/journal.pone.0069715

558 Zhang YN, Ma JF, Sun L, Dong ZP, Li ZQ, Zhu XY, Wang Y, Wang L, Deng DG, and Li JB. 2016b. Molecular
559   identification and sex distribution of two chemosensory receptor families in *Athetis lepigone* by antennal
560   transcriptome analysis. *J Asia-Pac Entomol* 19:571-580.
561 Zhang YN, Ye ZF, Yang K, and Dong SL. 2014. Antenna-predominant and male-biased CSP19 of *Sesamia inferens* is
562   able to bind the female sex pheromones and host plant volatiles. *Gene* 536:279-286.
563   10.1016/j.gene.2013.12.011
564 S0378-1119(13)01672-7 [pii]
565 Zhang YN, Zhu XY, Fang LP, He P, Wang ZQ, Chen G, Sun L, Ye ZF, Deng DG, and Li JB. 2015b. Identification and
566   expression profiles of sex pheromone biosynthesis and transport related genes in *Spodoptera litura*. *PLoS*
567   *One* 10:e0140019. 10.1371/journal.pone.0140019
568 Zhang ZL, Zhao Y, and Ding XY. 2009. Shenyang insect illustrated handbook. *Shenyang: Liaoning National Publishing*
569   *House*:258.
570 Zhou JJ. 2010. Odorant-binding proteins in insects. *Vitam Horm* 83:241-272. S0083-6729(10)83010-9 [pii]
571 10.1016/S0083-6729(10)83010-9
572 Zhou JJ, Robertson G, He X, Dufour S, Hooper AM, Pickett JA, Keep NH, and Field LM. 2009. Characterisation of
573   *Bombyx mori* Odorant-binding proteins reveals that a general odorant-binding protein discriminates
574   between sex pheromone components. *J Mol Biol* 389:529-545. S0022-2836(09)00429-X [pii]
575 10.1016/j.jmb.2009.04.015
576 Zhou JJ, Zhang GA, Huang W, Birkett MA, Field LM, Pickett JA, and Pelosi P. 2004. Revisiting the odorant-binding
577   protein LUSH of *Drosophila melanogaster*: evidence for odour recognition and discrimination. *FEBS Lett*
578   558:23-26. 10.1016/S0014-5793(03)01521-7
579 Zhu GH, Xu J, Cui Z, Dong XT, Ye ZF, Niu DJ, Huang YP, and Dong SL. 2016. Functional characterization of SlitPBP3 in
580   *Spodoptera litura* by CRISPR/Cas9 mediated genome editing. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 75:1-9.
581   10.1016/j.ibmb.2016.05.006
582
583

584 **Tables Legends:**

585 **Table 1. The BLASTX match of OBP genes in *A. lepigone*.**

586

587 **Table 2. The BLASTX match of CSP genes in *A. lepigone*.**

588

589 **Figure Legends:**

590 **Fig. 1. Phylogenetic tree of moth OBPs.** The *A. lepigone* translated genes are shown in blue.
591 This tree was constructed using phyML based on alignment results of MAFFT. Al: *A. lepigone*,
592 Bm: *B. mori*, Si: *S. inferens*, Sl: *S. littorali*, Ms: *M. sexta*.

593

594 **Fig. 2. Phylogenetic tree of moth CSPs.** The *A. lepigone* translated genes are shown in blue.
595 This tree was constructed using phyML based on alignment results of MAFFT. Al: *A. lepigone*,
596 Bm: *B. mori*, Si: *S. inferens*, Sl: *S. littorali*, Ms: *M. sexta*.

597

598 **Fig. 3. Expression patterns of OBP genes in *A. lepigone*.** The relative expression level is
599 indicated as mean ± SE (N = 3). Different capital letters mean significant difference between
600 tissues ($p < 0.05$, ANOVA, LSD). FA, female antennae; MA, male antennae; Pr, proboscises; Ab,
601 abdomen; Le, legs; Wi, wings.

602

603 **Fig. 4. Expression patterns of CSP genes in *A. lepigone*.** The relative expression level is
604 indicated as mean ± SE (N = 3). Different capital letters mean significant difference between
605 tissues ($p < 0.05$, ANOVA, LSD). FA, female antennae; MA, male antennae; Pr, proboscises; Ab,
606 abdomen; Le, legs; Wi, wings.

607

608 **Supplemental Information**

609 **Table S1. Amino acid sequences of AlOBPs and AlCSPs obtained in the study.**

610

611 **Table S2. Primers used for qRT-PCR.**

612

613 **Fig. S1. Multiple alignment of AlOBPs.**

614

615 **Fig. S2. Multiple alignment of AlCSPs.**

Table 1. The BLASTX match of OBP genes in *A. lepigone*

1 **Table 1. The BLASTX match of OBP genes in *A. lepigone*.**

Gene Name	ORF (aa)	Signal Peptide	Complete ORF	Best Blastx Match				
				Name	Acc. No.	Species	E value	Identity (%)
GOBP1	163	1-18	Y	general odorant binding protein 1	ABI24160.1	*Agrotis ipsilon*	8.00E-83	95
GOBP2	162	1-21	Y	general odorant binding protein 2	AHC72380.1	*Sesamia inferens*	2.00E-92	91
PBP1	167	1-23	Y	pheromone binding protein 1 precursor	AAC05702.2	*Mamestra brassicae*	3.00E-88	90
PBP2	170	1-24	Y	pheromone binding protein 2 precursor	AAC05701.2	*Mamestra brassicae*	5.00E-58	90
PBP3	164	1-22	Y	pheromone-binding protein 3	AFM36758.1	*Agrotis ipsilon*	2.00E-85	90
OBP1	116	N	N	SexiOBP14	AGP03460.1	*Spodoptera exigua*	7.00E-54	88
OBP2	146	1-17	Y	odorant binding protein 6	AGR39569.1	*Agrotis ipsilon*	2.00E-84	88
OBP3	120	N	N	odorant binding protein 8	AKI87969.1	*Spodoptera litura*	5.00E-79	85
OBP4	138	1-16	Y	odorant-binding protein 18	AFI57167.1	*Helicoverpa armigera*	2.00E-52	85
OBP5	147	1-21	Y	pheromone binding protein 4	AAL66739.1	*Mamestra brassicae*	1.00E-81	84
OBP6	134	1-17	Y	ABPX	AGS36754.1	*Sesamia inferens*	2.00E-54	83
OBP7	203	1-20	Y	odorant-binding protein 19	AGC92793.1	*Helicoverpa assulta*	2.00E-69	83
OBP8	147	1-20	Y	oderant binding protein 6	AFM77984.1	*Spodoptera exigua*	4.00E-56	82
OBP9	133	1-16	Y	odorant binding protein 9	AGH70105.1	*Spodoptera exigua*	5.00E-84	80
OBP10	96	N	N	odorant binding protein 1	AGR39564.1	*Agrotis ipsilon*	2.00E-58	79
OBP5	147	1-21	Y	pheromone binding protein 4	AAL66739.1	*Mamestra brassicae*	1.00E-81	84
OBP11	152	1-21	Y	pheromone binding protein 4	AAL66739.1	*Mamestra brassicae*	1.00E-30	78
OBP12	141	1-26	Y	odorant binding protein 8	AGH70104.1	*Spodoptera exigua*	9.00E-78	77
OBP13	184	1-20	Y	odorant binding protein	AII00978.1	*Dendrolimus houi*	1.00E-106	75
OBP14	186	1-17	Y	odorant binding protein 1	AGR39564.1	*Agrotis ipsilon*	8.00E-97	75
OBP15	155	1-24	Y	SexiOBP11	AGP03457.1	*Spodoptera exigua*	2.00E-82	73
OBP16	148	1-21	Y	OBP7	AEB54591.1	*Helicoverpa armigera*	7.00E-54	70
OBP17	246	1-19	Y	odorant binding protein	AII00994.1	*Dendrolimus kikuchii*	2.00E-74	67
OBP18	149	1-22	Y	OBP5	AEB54581.1	*Helicoverpa armigera*	8.00E-58	65
OBP19	71	1-22	N	OBP6	AGS36748.1	*Sesamia inferens*	2.00E-25	65

OBP20	170	1-23	Y	odorant binding protein 4	AKI87965.1	*Spodoptera litura*	2.00E-76	61
OBP21	153	1-21	Y	SexiOBP9	AGP03455.1	*Spodoptera exigua*	2.00E-77	59
OBP22	146	1-25	Y	SexiOBP12	AGP03458.1	*Spodoptera exigua*	1.00E-72	58
OBP23	145	1-17	Y	odorant binding protein	ADY17886.1	*Spodoptera exigua*	1.00E-85	40

Table 2. The BLASTX match of CSP genes in *A. lepigone*

1 **Table 2. The BLASTX match of CSP genes in *A. lepigone*.**

Gene	ORF	Signal	Complete	Best Blastx Match				
Name	(aa)	Peptide	ORF	Name	Acc. No.	Species	E value	Identity (%)
CSP1	124	1-16	Y	chemosensory protein 15	AGH20053.1	*Helicoverpa armigera*	5.00E-62	83
CSP2	124	1-15	Y	chemosensory protein precursor	NP_001037066.1	*Bombyx mori*	2.00E-38	61
CSP3	122	1-18	Y	ejaculatory bulb-specific protein 3-like	XP_012549936.1	*Bombyx mori*	2.00E-45	74
CSP4	294	1-16	Y	chemosensory protein	AIW65104.1	*Helicoverpa armigera*	2.00E-130	78
CSP5	56	N	N	chemosensory protein	AII01011.1	*Dendrolimus houi*	3.00E-17	62
CSP6	150	1-19	Y	putative chemosensory protein	AGY49270.1	*Sesamia inferens*	6.00E-72	78
CSP7	114	1-19	Y	sensory appendage protein-like protein	AAK14793.1	*Mamestra brassicae*	1.00E-28	61
CSP8	127	1-18	Y	chemosensory protein 6	AGR39576.1	*Agrotis ipsilon*	5.00E-63	91
CSP9	127	1-16	Y	chemosensory protein	AAF71289.1	*Mamestra brassicae*	3.00E-59	83
CSP10	123	1-18	Y	chemosensory protein 8	AGR39578.1	*Agrotis ipsilon*	4.00E-68	85
CSP11	123	1-16	Y	chemosensory protein	AIW65100.1	*Helicoverpa armigera*	2.00E-65	76
CSP12	128	1-18	Y	chemosensory protein CSP2	ABM67689.1	*Spodoptera exigua*	4.00E-70	81
CSP13	123	1-19	Y	chemosensory protein	AIX97829.1	*Cnaphalocrocis medinalis*	1.00E-56	81
CSP14	46	N	N	putative chemosensory protein	AGY49260.1	*Sesamia inferens*	3.00E-25	100
CSP15	122	1-16	Y	chemosensory protein 10	AFR92094.1	*Helicoverpa armigera*	1.00E-73	89
CSP16	130	N	Y	chemosensory protein 15	NP_001091781.1	*Bombyx mori*	3.00E-42	59
CSP17	127	1-18	Y	putative chemosensory protein	AGY49267.1	*Sesamia inferens*	1.00E-70	81
CSP18	123	1-18	Y	chemosensory protein 8	AFR92092.1	*Helicoverpa armigera*	8.00E-43	74
CSP19	120	1-16	Y	chemosensory protein 4	AGR39574.1	*Agrotis ipsilon*	1.00E-60	79
CSP20	107	1-18	Y	chemosensory protein 5	AGR39575.1	*Agrotis ipsilon*	4.00E-53	97

Figure 1

Fig. 1. Phylogenetic tree of moth OBPs

The *A. lepigone* translated genes are shown in blue. This tree was constructed using phyML based on alignment results of MAFFT. Al: *A. lepigone*, Bm: *B. mori*, Si: *S. inferens*, Sl: *S. littorali*, Ms: *M. sexta*.

Figure 2

Fig. 2. Phylogenetic tree of moth CSPs

The *A. lepigone* translated genes are shown in blue. This tree was constructed using phyML based on alignment results of MAFFT. Al: *A. lepigone*, Bm: *B. mori*, Si: *S. inferens*, Sl: *S. littorali*, Ms: *M. sexta*.

Figure 3

Fig. 3. Expression patterns of OBP genes in *A. lepigone*

The relative expression level is indicated as mean ± SE (N = 3). Different capital letters mean significant difference between tissues)$p < 0.05$, ANOVA, LSD). FA, female antennae; MA, male antennae; Pr, proboscises; Ab, abdomen; Le, legs; Wi, wings.

Figure 4

Fig. 4. Expression patterns of CSP genes in *A. lepigone*

The relative expression level is indicated as mean ± SE (N = 3). Different capital letters mean significant difference between tissues)$p < 0.05$, ANOVA, LSD). FA, female antennae; MA, male antennae; Pr, proboscises; Ab, abdomen; Le, legs; Wi, wings.