The association between continual, year-round hunting and bellowing rate of bison bulls during the rut (#14256)

First submission

Please read the **Important notes** below, the **Review guidance** on page 2 and our **Standout reviewing tips** on page 3. When ready **submit online**. The manuscript starts on page 4.

Important notes		
Editor Donald Kramer		

Files 3 Table file(s)

1 Raw data file(s)

Please visit the overview page to **download and review** the files

not included in this review PDF.

Declarations Involves vertebrate animals.

Involves a field study on animals or plants.



Please read in full before you begin

How to review

When ready <u>submit your review online</u>. The review form is divided into 5 sections. Please consider these when composing your review:

- 1. BASIC REPORTING
- 2. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN
- 3. VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS
- 4. General comments
- 5. Confidential notes to the editor
- 1 You can also annotate this PDF and upload it as part of your review

To finish, enter your editorial recommendation (accept, revise or reject) and submit.

BASIC REPORTING

- Clear, unambiguous, professional English language used throughout.
- Intro & background to show context.
 Literature well referenced & relevant.
- Structure conforms to **PeerJ standards**, discipline norm, or improved for clarity.
- Figures are relevant, high quality, well labelled & described.
- Raw data supplied (see **PeerJ policy**).

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

- Original primary research within **Scope of** the journal.
- Research question well defined, relevant & meaningful. It is stated how the research fills an identified knowledge gap.
- Rigorous investigation performed to a high technical & ethical standard.
- Methods described with sufficient detail & information to replicate.

VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS

- Impact and novelty not assessed.
 Negative/inconclusive results accepted.
 Meaningful replication encouraged where rationale & benefit to literature is clearly stated.
- Data is robust, statistically sound, & controlled.
- Conclusions are well stated, linked to original research question & limited to supporting results.
- Speculation is welcome, but should be identified as such.

The above is the editorial criteria summary. To view in full visit https://peerj.com/about/editorial-criteria/

7 Standout reviewing tips



The best reviewers use these techniques

-	n
	N

Support criticisms with evidence from the text or from other sources

Give specific suggestions on how to improve the manuscript

Comment on language and grammar issues

Organize by importance of the issues, and number your points

Give specific suggestions on how to improve the manuscript

Please provide constructive criticism, and avoid personal opinions

Comment on strengths (as well as weaknesses) of the manuscript

Example

Smith et al (J of Methodology, 2005, V3, pp 123) have shown that the analysis you use in Lines 241-250 is not the most appropriate for this situation. Please explain why you used this method.

Your introduction needs more detail. I suggest that you improve the description at lines 57-86 to provide more justification for your study (specifically, you should expand upon the knowledge gap being filled).

The English language should be improved to ensure that your international audience can clearly understand your text. I suggest that you have a native English speaking colleague review your manuscript. Some examples where the language could be improved include lines 23, 77, 121, 128 - the current phrasing makes comprehension difficult.

- 1. Your most important issue
- 2. The next most important item
- 3. ...
- 4. The least important points

Line 56: Note that experimental data on sprawling animals needs to be updated. Line 66: Please consider exchanging "modern" with "cursorial".

I thank you for providing the raw data, however your supplemental files need more descriptive metadata identifiers to be useful to future readers. Although your results are compelling, the data analysis should be improved in the following ways: AA, BB, CC

I commend the authors for their extensive data set, compiled over many years of detailed fieldwork. In addition, the manuscript is clearly written in professional, unambiguous language. If there is a weakness, it is in the statistical analysis (as I have noted above) which should be improved upon before Acceptance.



The association between continual, year-round hunting and bellowing rate of bison bulls during the rut

Ronald J Sarno Corresp., 1, Melissa M Grigione 2, Alessandra Higa 3, Eddie Childers 4, Trudy Ecoffey 5

Corresponding Author: Ronald J Sarno Email address: ronald.sarno@hofstra.edu

The impact of hunting (selective harvest, trophy hunting) on the demography of mammals is well documented. However, despite continual year-round hunting of bison in some populations, little is known about how the behavior of survivors may be altered. Therefore, in this initial study, we used focal-animal observations in adjacent populations of continually hunted and non-hunted Plains bison (Bison bison bison) in western South Dakota, to examine the potential impact of hunting on bellowing rate- an important behavior that serves to intimidate rival bulls and potentially influences mate choice by females. In addition to hunting, we investigated how the number of attendant males, number of adult females, group size, and observation day influenced bellowing rate. Bulls bellowed > tenfold more often in the protected area than in hunted areas, whereas neither hunted population significantly differed in bellowing rate. Hunting was significantly and negatively associated with bellowing rate, while all other predictors were found to have positive impacts upon bellowing rate. Furthermore, the impact of hunting on bellowing rate became more pronounced (i.e., dampened bellowing rate more strongly) as the number of attendant males increased. Our data suggest the need for studies with broader-scale geographical and temporal replication to determine the extent that continual year-round hunting has on bellowing rate of bison during the rut. If the reduced bellowing phenomenon is pervasive, then wildlife managers may need to adjust hunting rate and duration, timing (season), and the time lag between hunting events in order to insure that bison are able to express their full repertoire of natural mating behaviors.

 $^{^{1}}$ Department of Biology, Hofstra University, Hempstead, New York, United States

Department of Biology, Pace University, Pleasantville, NY, United States

³ Math and Science Department, Oglala Lakota College, Kyle, SD, United States

⁴ Badlands National Park, National Park Serivce, Interior, South Dakota, United States

⁵ PO Box 146, Wounded Knee, SD, United States



- 1 The association between continual, year-round hunting and bellowing rate of
- 2 bison bulls during the rut.
- 3 RH: Sarno et al. Hunting and reduced bison bellows
- 4 Word Count: 4244
- 5 *RONALD J. SARNO, Department of Biology, Hofstra University, 130 Gittleson Hall,
- 6 Hempstead, NY 11549, USA
- 7 Email:ronald.sarno@hofstra.edu; Phone 516-463-4266; Fax 516-463-5122
- 8 MELISSA M. GRIGIONE, Department of Biology, Pace University, 861 Bedford Road,
- 9 Pleasantville, New York 10570TRUDY ECOFFEY, PO Box 146, Wounded Knee, SD 57794
- 10 ALESSANDRA HIGA, Math and Science Department, Oglala Lakota College (OLC), 490 Piya
- Wiconi Road, Kyle, SD 57752
- 12 EDDIE CHILDERS, Badlands National Park, 25216 Ben Reifel Road, PO Box 6, Interior,
- 13 SD 57750
- 14 TRUDY ECOFFEY, PO Box 146, Wounded Knee, SD 57794
- 15 * Corresponding Author



ABSTRACT The impact of hunting (selective harvest, trophy hunting) on the demography of 16 mammals is well documented. However, despite continual year-round hunting of bison in some 17 18 populations, little is known about how the behavior of survivors may be altered. Therefore, in this initial study, we used focal-animal observations in adjacent populations of continually hunted and 19 non-hunted Plains bison (Bison bison bison) in western South Dakota, to examine the potential 20 21 impact of hunting on bellowing rate—an important behavior that serves to intimidate rival bulls 22 and potentially influences mate choice by females. In addition to hunting, we investigated how the number of attendant males, number of adult females, group size, and observation day 23 influenced bellowing rate. Bulls bellowed tenfold more often in the protected area than in 24 25 hunted areas, whereas neither hunted population significantly differed in bellowing rate. Hunting 26 was significantly and negatively associated with bellowing rate, while all other predictors were 27 found to have positive impacts upon bellowing rate. Furthermore, the impact of hunting on bellowing rate became more pronounced (i.e., dampened bellowing rate more strongly) as the 28 number of attendant males increased. Our data suggest the need for studies with broader-scale 29 30 geographical and temporal replication to determine the extent that continual year-round hunting has on bellowing rate of bison during the rut. If the reduced bellowing phenomenon is pervasive, 31 then wildlife managers may need to adjust hunting rate and duration, timing (season), and the 32 time lag between hunting events in order to insure that bison are able to express their full 33 repertoire of natural mating behaviors. 34

35 **Key Words** Hunting, demography, management, bellows, vocalizations, sensitization

Introduction

36



- Humans have become a dominant, global evolutionary force (Palumbi 2001; Darimont et al. 37 2009). Our exploitation (hunting and fishing) of wild populations has induced rapid phenotypic 38 39 and life-history changes (Ciuti et al. 2012; Darimont et al. 2009) on decadal time scales (Darimont et al. 2009; Coltman et al. 2003; Carlson et al. 2007). For example, in just 30 years 40 Coltman et al. (2003) observed significant declines in ram weight and horn length of bighorn 41 sheep (Ovis canadensis) due to unrestricted trophy hunting, which resulted in the production of 42 smaller-horned, lighter rams, and ultimately fewer trophy individuals. Such rapid change can 43 have profound impacts on the evolution and conservation of exploited populations because of 44 45 their potential impact on population persistence (Yoshida et al. 2003; Fussman et al. 2007). While 46 much attention has focused on how human exploitation induces phenotypic and life-history changes (Darimont et al. 2009; Hendry et al. 2008), there is little known changes that how hunting 47 48 influences behaviors that are tied to reproduction of survivors in exploited populations. This takes on added importance because prescribed hunting is one of the primary mechanisms for managing 49 ungulates (Cromsigt et al. 2013). 50 Management of ungulates 51
- Many ecosystems are experiencing real (cervids in US, Europe, and Japan; (Côté et al. 52 2005), or locally perceived [(Asia, Tibetan Wild Ass (*Equus kiang*); South America, guanaco 53 (Lama guanicoe) and vicuña (Vicugna vicugna)], increases in ungulate densities, and many of 54 these systems require extensive management. Human hunting <u>either</u> prescribed or poaching<u>eis</u> 55 considered a form of predation risk that can divert time/energy from fitness-enhancing activities 56 such as feeding, parental care, or mating (Lima and Dill 1990; Lima 1998). In fact, ungulates can 57 58 show stronger behavioral responses to human hunting than to predation risk by large carnivores 59 (Proffitt et al. 2009; Ciuti et al 2012). Other potential fitness-decreasing activities associated with hunting include increased movement and frequency of changing groups in elk (*Cervus elaphus*; 60



83

84

Cleveland et al. 2012; Proffitt et al. 2009), increased vigilance and movement in red deer (Cervus 61 elaphus; Jayakody et al. 2008), and more frequent changes in habitat and space use in red deer, 62 roe deer (Cervus capreolus), and European bison (Bison bonasus; Theuerkauf et al. 2008). Flight 63 initiation distance has also been documented to significantly increase in several hunted 64 populations of artiodactyls (Stankowich 2008). 65 Despite the ubiquity of harvesting ungulates for food and trophies (Proaktor et al. 2007; 66 67 Ripple et al. 2015), an understanding of the population-wide effects of hunting on the behavior of survivors remains fragmentary. Events commonly associated with hunting such as firearm 68 69 discharge, observing downed conspecifics, pursuit by motor vehicles, or the presence of humans 70 in close proximity for extended periods of time may produce behavioral changes in survivors. 71 Associations with any of these traumatic events can lead to sensitization to human presence, 72 which in turn, may increase vigilance (e.g., increased heart rate, stress/cortisol levels), or 73 suppress functional behaviors such as grooming, feeding, or vocalizations (MacArthur et al. 74 1982). To our knowledge, the potential impact of hunting on behaviors explicitly tied to male 75 reproductive ecology (e.g., mate advertisement, threat displays to potential competitors, fighting) has not been investigated. 76 Ecology and management of bison 77 This gap in the literature is particularly important because population-level responses to 78 human activities will be crucial to successful restoration and long-term conservation of the iconic 79 Plains bison (Bison bison bison). Initial observations indicated that bulls in hunted populations 80 were less vocal than those in a protected population (Sarno, Personal Observation). This may 81

season (rut) when mature bulls join mixed-sex and age groups. Males exhibit a linear dominance hierarchy, with older, larger bulls dominant to smaller, younger bulls (Komers et al. 1994; Roden

directly impact mating dynamics because bison form large aggregations during the breeding



et al. 2005). Dominant males temporarily consort with cows prior to or during estrus and attemptto keep all other bulls away by engaging in vocalizations, threat displays, and fights.

The most conspicuous and frequent vocalizations made by bison bulls during the rut are bellows. Bellows function to intimidate rival males (Berger and Cunningham 1994), while bellow amplitude has been linked to male body condition (Wyman et al. 2008). Importantly, amplitude and bellow quality are directly tied to mating success (Wyman et al. 2008). Vocalizations by males of different species also provide information on female reproductive status (Semple and McComb 2000), influence female mate choice (McComb 1991; Reby et al. 2010), accelerate estrus (McComb 1987), and deter rival males (Clutton-Brock and Albon 1979; Bowyer and Kitchen 1987) and predators (Tilson and Norton 1981). Therefore, any changes in bellowing behavior due to perceived predation risk (Lima and Dill 1990; Lima 1998) could have profound consequences for bison reproductive ecology (Berger and Cunningham 1994; Wyman et al. 2008).

Given the importance of bellows during the mating period, and the use of hunting as a management tool, it is essential that we understand the degree to which hunting, or associated events, influence mating behavior of survivors. Therefore, our primary objective was to use focal-animal observations to compare bellowing frequency of male bison in three populations during the rut (1 protected and 2 hunted) in western South Dakota. We hypothesized that if continual, year-round hunting of bison alters bellowing rate, then bulls in hunted populations will bellow less frequently than bulls in non-hunted populations during the rut.

106 METHODS

107 Study Area

108 Badlands National Park (non-hunted population)



109	badiands National Park (15 N /13322, 4839009, 194.23 km) includes a population of
L10	bison not subject to annual hunting mortality, and is located approximately 113 km east of Rapid
l11	City, and 13 km south of Wall, South Dakota. We conducted behavioral observations in the Sage
l12	Creek Wilderness Area, located in the Northern Unit of the park. The study area varied from 800-
L13	1100 m in altitude and the landscape is characterized as relatively flat, open grassland with
L14	intermittent rolling hills, draws, mesas, and buttes (National Park Service 2003). The dominant
L15	grassland species was Western wheatgrass (Agropyron smithin). Wheatgrass/green needlegrass
116	(Nasella viridula) associations occurred on small hills, slopes, and buttes. Rocky mountain
L17	juniper forests (Juniperus scopulorum) were the most common forest type and dominated the
L18	drier slopes, butte edges, and upper draws of the study area (National Park Service 2003).
L19	Badlands National Park is characterized by hot, dry summers, and cold, dry winters.
L20	Weather is variable and temperature extremes range between -40°-47° C. Average yearly
l21	precipitation is nearly 40 cm and most occurs between April and September. Average daily
122	maximum temperature during the warmest month (July) was 33°C (BNP National Weather
L23	Service record of river and climatological observations).
L24	Pine Ridge Reservation (two hunted populations)
L25	Pine Ridge Reservation (413N, 699106, 4766549) bison pastures (hunted populations) are
126	located in the central and southwestern portion of the reservation in Bennett County, South
L27	Dakota. Pastures varied in elevation from 762–1219 m. Annual precipitation varied from 33–48
L28	cm and summer/winter temperatures mirrored those of Badlands National Park (Graham and
L29	Gingerich 2013). Bison on Pine Ridge Reservation are harvested throughout the year.
L30	Yellow Bear Pasture (14 N, 262726, 4796154; 39 km²) is the site of one of the hunted
L31	bison populations and is located approximately 30 km southeast of Kyle, South Dakota and 85
L32	km southeast of Badlands National Park. The landscape is open and undulating and was
L33	dominated by cheat grass (Bromus tectorum), western wheatgrass, buffalograss (Bouteloua



dactyloides), and little bluestem (Schizachyrium scoparium). Canyons also were present and canyon ridge-tops were dominated by ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*). Other common tree species included Rocky Mountain juniper, green ash (Fraxinus pennsylvanica), and Eastern cottonwood (*Populus deltoides*; Graham and Gingerich 2013). Slim Buttes Pasture (13 N, 682624, 4793169; 49.7 km²) contains the second hunted bison population on Pine Ridge and is located approximately 20 km northwest of Pine Ridge, South Dakota, and 155 km southwest of Badlands National Park. The same grasses that were found in Yellow Bear Pasture also were present in Slim Buttes. Eastern cottonwood and Rocky Mountain

juniper were the dominant tree species (Graham and Gingerich 2013).

Hunting events

Hunts were conducted year-round in Slim Buttes Pasture and Yellow Bear Pasture with 270 or .25-06 caliber centrefire rifles. In each bison pasture there was a minimum of 2-3 hunting events per month (T. Ecoffey, United States Department of Agriculture, personal communication). The number of animals taken and the duration of hunts varied. During ceremonial hunts, shooters usually approached bison in motor vehicles (2–3 vehicles with 4–5 people total) to within 50–100 m. Non-lactating cows and 2-3 year-old bulls were usually selected during hunts, though older bulls (> 5 years old) were also removed. During these short ceremonial hunts only one or two bison were taken, and most hunts lasted approximately two hours (Graham and Gingerich 2013).

During non-ceremonial, commercial hunts, up to 8 animals were removed in 6 hours. Here, a mobile abattoir was utilized, whereby animals were first driven into a holding pasture where two people approached bison to within 25–50 m before discharging their rifles. Carcasses



157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

were removed from the pasture and processed at which time shooters re-entered the pasture and repeated the procedure until eight bison were removed. Remaining animals were then re-released into the pasture (T. Ecoffey, United States Department of Agriculture, personal communication).

Data Collection

We defined bellowing rate as the total number of distinct bellows recorded by the observer during 15-minute observations. Bellows were quantified using a stopwatch and a manual hand counter. We conducted 245, 15-min focal observations (Altmann 1974) of mature bulls between dawn and dusk from 1 July to 13 August 2013 using Vortex binoculars and Leica spotting scopes. Group size was defined by the number of animals within 50 m of the focal bull. All mature bulls, whether bellowing or not, that were accompanying a cow were considered to be participating in the rut, and therefore could be included as focal animals. We minimized pseudoreplication by identifying animals based on tag numbers and natural markings. Where animals were not marked, we visited different groups for each observation. We observed bison as close as we could safely approach them throughout the day (50 m), while attempting to avoid oversampling during any particular time of day. Bison did not appear to be bothered by the presence of stationary vehicles as cows and calves routinely passed by parked vehicles of tourists within 3-5 m. Bulls also passed by parked vehicles within 3-5 m while bellowing and fighting other bulls as well as accompanying cows. Therefore, we do not believe that the data were influenced by the presence of observers in motor vehicles. In order to standardize the time period that we observed the rut among pastures, we limited our observations to three weeks after the date of initially observing bellows by bulls. Consequently, observation day (described below in the analysis) indicated the progress of the rut (i.e., the number of days that had elapsed after the day that the first bellow was observed).



180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

We also collected data on group composition and number of attendant males (mature bulls within 50 m of the focal bull). We characterized adult sex ratios and numbers of bison in each population utilizing data collected by Badlands National Park and Oglala Sioux Parks and Recreation Authority (OSPRA) personnel during fall roundups. Each of the three study sites are independent as all pastures were surrounded by fences.

Ethics Statement

Sensitization can be considered an important indirect effect of hunting; therefore, we observed animals only in areas where bison were subjected to regular human visitation. Data collection did not involve restricted habitat or interference with other species, and was in compliance with institutional (Hofstra University IACUC # 13/14-5) and national guidelines for ethical conduct in the care and use of nonhuman animals in research. We obtained permission to conduct fieldwork in Badlands National Park (Permit #: BADL-2013-SCI-0009).

Data analysis

Initially, a series of descriptive statistics of the data were generated. Due to high skew 192 (3.7) and kurtosis (22.2) of bellowing rate, a series of attempted transformations, including square 193 root, log, and numerous others along with the application of the Johnson family of 194 transformations were run, but failed to substantially improve the non-normality of the data. 195 Therefore, we utilized non-parametric bivariate statistics along with Poisson regression, to 196 appropriately model the distribution of bellowing rate. Additionally, scatterplots of bellowing rate 197 198 and each predictor variable (number of attendant males, number of adult females, group size, observation day (i.e., number of days elapsed since beginning behavioral observations and 199



hunting) were investigated in order to ensure linearity as well as the absence of outliers. Linearity was indicated in all cases, with a single extreme outlier found with respect to bellowing rate.

However, the removal of this outlier failed to substantially change the results of all analyses conducted; therefore, no cases were removed from the analyses.

Spearman's correlations were initially performed in order to determine the strength, significance, and direction of the relationship between bellowing rate and the predictor variables. An |r| > 0.75 was used as the threshold for indicating serious multicollinearity (Mun 2008). We used a Mann-Whitney U test to compare bellowing rate between the two hunted and one protected population, while a Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA with multiple comparisons was used to assess any differences in bellowing rate between the three populations. Finally, two Poisson regressions were conducted in order to determine the extent to which the number of attendant males, number of adult females, group size, observation day, and hunting (yes/no) impacted bellowing rate. These multivariate regressions served to determine the impact of each predictor on the outcome of bellowing rate, while holding all other predictors in the model constant. The initial model only included main effects. The second model included an interaction between the number of attendant males and hunting. All analyses were performed using Stata 13.1.

RESULTS

Mean bellowing rate was an order of magnitude higher in the non-hunted Badlands

National Park population ($\dot{x} = 53.3$, SD = 61.5) than in the hunted Pine Ridge populations ($\dot{x} = 5.0$, SD = 15.8). The median difference between the protected and hunted populations was significant (z = 9.0, p < .0001). Mean bellowing rate also differed between sites. Badlands

National Park was highest ($\dot{x} = 53.3$, $\dot{SD} = 61.5$) and was followed by Yellow Bear ($\dot{x} = 53.3$).



7.2, SD = 19.8), and Slim Buttes pastures, respectively ($\dot{x} = 2.2$, SD = 7.4) on Pine Ridge. 222 The Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA comparing the three associated medians was statistically significant 223 $(\chi^2(2) = 81.4, p < .001)$. While significant differences existed in bellow rate between Badlands 224 225 National Park, Yellow Bear Pasture, and Slim Buttes Pasture (p < .0001), bellow rate in Yellow Bear and Slim Buttes pastures was similar (p > .05). 226 All Spearman's correlations between bellowing rate and the predictor variables were 227 significant except those between bellowing rate and the number of attendant males and adult 228 females (Table 1). Correlations between the number of attendant males and the remaining 229 predictor variables were also significant. Negative, vet statistically significant correlations existed 230 between group size, observation day and hunting. There was also a strong, positive correlation 231 between hunting and observation day. 232 233 The results of the first Poisson regression (Table 2) indicate that all predictor variables significantly influenced bellowing rate. Number of attendant males, number of adult females, 234 group size, and observation day (i.e., progress of the rut) positively impacted bellowing rate, 235 236 while hunting suppressed bellowing rate. For example, the incidence rate ratios (IRR) indicate that average bellowing rate in the hunted populations was about 5% that of the protected 237 population. In contrast, average bellowing rate was predicted to increase by 5% with the addition 238 of each attendant male. The variance inflation factors, taken from an identically specified linear 239 regression model, indicated no substantial multicollinearity using the commonly-used cutoff of 5 240 (Oyana and Margai 2015). 241 The second Poisson regression analysis (Table 3) incorporated the interaction between 242 hunting and number of attendant males. All main effects were again significant as was the 243 244 interaction between hunting and the number of attendant males. Because the direction of the hunting* # attendant males interaction was positive, the impact of hunting on bellowing rate 245



becomes more pronounced (i.e., dampens bellowing rate more strongly) as the number of 246 attendant males increases. As a result of this significant interaction effect, the number of attendant 247 males was dichotomized on the basis of the mean # of attendant males/group. This 248 dichotomization revealed a substantial difference in mean bellowing rate when the number of 249 attendant males was \geq the mean number of attendant males ($\dot{x} = 4.3$) (Hunting: \dot{x} 250 SD = 19.9; No hunting: $\dot{x} = 121.5$, SD = 117.1); however, when the number of attendant 251 males was < the mean number of attendant males, the difference in mean bellow rate was > twice 252 as large (Hunting: $\dot{x} = 1.6$, SD = 9.3; No hunting: $\dot{x} = 46.0$, SD = 48.9). No substantial 253 multicollinearity was indicated, though this was reduced substantially by first standardizing the 254 main effects associated with the interaction effect and using these standardized measures in the 255 calculation of the interaction. Both Poisson regression models were statistically significant (p < 256 0.0001). The *R*-squared of both models was above 0.50. 257

Discussion

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

Bulls in the hunted populations on Pine Ridge Reservation bellowed an order of magnitude less frequently than bulls in the non-hunted Badlands National Park population; yet bellowing rates between the hunted populations on Pine Ridge were not statistically different. Hunting had a large negative effect on bellowing rate and was the only variable to do so. Bellows function to intimidate rival males (Berger and Cunningham 1994), while bellow amplitude and quality are directly tied to mating success (Wyman et al. 2008). Dominant bulls accompany cows in estrus (Lott 2002, Mooring et al. 2004, 2006a) during the rut, and dominance status increases the probability of producing offspring (Mooring and Penedo 2014). Since bellows are used to intimidate potential rivals, dominant bulls accompanying females in estrus are generally those



that bellow most frequently during the rut, and have the greatest probability of producing offspring.

We suspect that the consistent number (2-3) of hunting events/month on Pine Ridge, combined with pursuit by - and/or forced close proximity to - motor vehicles and humans for 6 to 18 hours/month, may be impacting bellowing behavior. Because bison are generally found in the open, perhaps the best strategy in a risky environment is to remain quiet even during a season when it is advantageous to vocally advertise for mates. Pregnancy rates of \geq 3.5 year-old females in Yellow Bear pasture is approximately 40% (OLC Bison Management Plan 2013), while that in Badlands National Park is 90% (E.Childers, Badlands National Park, pers. comm). While we do not know the reason for this disparity, it is a possible and disconcerting demographic impact of continual, year-round hunting.

Perceived predation risk (Frid and Dill 2002) and disturbance (Gutzwiller et al. 1994) diverts time and energy from fitness-enhancing activities, including mating displays in a number of species. Male Tungara frogs (*Engystomops pustulosus*) stifle mating calls and sometimes abandon mate advertisement in response to perceived predation (Ryan 1985). Male Great snipes (*Gallinago media*) abandon leks when disturbed or perceive risk to predation (Fiske and Kålås 1995). Among ungulates, overall reproductive success of mule deer (*Odocoileus hemionus*) and caribou (*Rangifer tarandus*) decreased when they were disturbed by ATV's and low-flying aircraft (Yarmoloy et al. 1988; Harrington and Veitch 1992).

All remaining explanatory variables predicted increased bellowing rate. The addition of each attendant male predicted an increase in bellowing rate by approximately 5%. Berger and Cunningham (1994) also reported a positive relationship between the number of attendant males and bellowing rate. Although bellowing rate increased as the number of attendant males increased, it did not occur with equal magnitude in the hunted (Pine Ridge) populations vs the non-hunted (Badlands National Park) population. When there were > 4.3 attendant males (mean



number of attendant males/group), bellowing rate by bulls in the hunted populations was 14 times less than that of bulls in the non-hunted population. When there were < 4.3 attendant males, bellowing rate by bulls in the hunted populations dropped to nearly 29 times less than that of bulls in the non-hunted population. Bulls in the two hunted populations clearly exhibit dampened bellowing rate, and the effect is more pronounced when there are few attendant males.

The passage of each observation day (indicative of the progress of the rut) was predicted to increase bellowing rate by 5.5% We observed all populations for three weeks following the date that we recorded the first bellow in each population. Since the estrus state of cows influences bellowing rates of bulls (Berger and Cunningham 1994), we infer that as the number of observation days accrued, more females entered into estrus. Group size and the number of adult females in groups also positively influenced bellowing rates, but to a much lesser extent and their impact can be considered negligible.

Implications for Conservation

Ungulates can show behavioral responses to human hunting, that are, at times, even stronger than responses to large carnivores (Proffitt et al. 2009; Ciuti et al 2012). Bison in Yellow Bear and Slim Buttes pastures on Pine Ridge may be sensitized to continual, year-round hunting. These findings should be of particular conservation relevance to bison because bellow amplitude and quality have been directly associated with mating success (Wyman, 2006), while also functioning to deter rival males during the mating season (Berger and Cunningham 1994). Because vocalizations impact mating success, any changes in behavior could alter critical population-genetic parameters like effective population size (Wright 1931) and the capacity for populations to exhibit adaptive evolution. The TUCN Bison Specialist Group emphasizes the importance that bison express the full complement of natural mating behaviors (Gates et al. 2010) and stresses consideration of possible genetic consequences of all management actions, especially those for smaller herds; bellowing behavior is one such component that should be considered.



Changes in mating behavior (e.g., propensity to advertise for mates, intimidate, and/or fight rival males) can alter breeding opportunities – or possibly even effectively remove certain individuals from the breeding pool. Population-wide responses to hunting, like fear, are probably transmitted more quickly in social species (Cromsigt et al. 2013) like bison, where many individuals (on occasion) can witness conspecifics being downed by humans at close range. Therefore, we suggest that researchers and managers consider the potential that continual, year-round human hunting has to induce behavioral changes in survivors of exploited populations, especially those behaviors that are linked to mating success via mate advertisement and acquisition. Future research could include a fully replicated examination of bellows in hunted and non-hunted areas, while examining potential differences in the rate and physical parameters (e.g., amplitude, rate, pitch, timbre) of bellows. If reduced bellowing is pervasive, then wildlife managers may need to adjust hunting rate and duration, timing (season), and the time lag between hunting events in order to insure that bison are able to express their full repertoire of natural mating behaviors.

Acknowledgements

We thank the administration at Oglala Lakota College (OLC), Oglala Sioux Parks and Recreation Association, OSPRA, and the Badlands National Park for permission to conduct this study (Permit #: BADL-2013-SCI-0009). M. Thompson provided invaluable assistance in the field and insights about bison on the Pine Ridge Reservation. This study was funded by NIFA-USDA grant number 2011-38424-30914. Travel funds were made available from a Faculty Development and Research Grant from Hofstra University.



Literature Cited

University Press, New York. Bowyer RT, Kitchen DW (1987) Sex and age-class differences in vocalizations of Roosevelt elk during rut. Amer Midl Nat 118:225-235. Carlson SM, Edeline E, Asbjørn VL, Haugen TO, Winfield IJ, Fletcher JM, Ben JJ, Stenseth NG (2007) Four decades of opposing natural and human-induced artificial selection acting of Windermere pike (<i>Esox lucius</i>). Ecol Lett 10:512–521. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01046.x Ciuti S, Muhly TB, Paton DG, McDevitt AD, Musiani M, Boyce MS (2012) Human selection of elk behavioral traits in a landscape of fear. Proc Roy Soc 279:4407-4416. Cleveland SM, Hebblewhite M, Thompson M Henderson R (2012) Linking elk movement and resource selection to hunting pressure in a heterogeneous landscape. Wild Soc Bull 36:658-668. Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD (1979) The roaring of red deer and the evolution of honest advertisement. Behav 69:145-170. Coltman D, O'Donoghue W, Jorgenson P, Hogg J. T., Strobeck C, Festa-Bianchet M (2003) Undesirable evolutionary consequences of trophy hunting. Nature 426:655-658.		
University Press, New York. Bowyer RT, Kitchen DW (1987) Sex and age-class differences in vocalizations of Roosevelt elk during rut. Amer Midl Nat 118:225-235. Carlson SM, Edeline E, Asbjørn VL, Haugen TO, Winfield IJ, Fletcher JM, Ben JJ, Stenseth NG (2007) Four decades of opposing natural and human-induced artificial selection acting of Windermere pike (<i>Esox lucius</i>). Ecol Lett 10:512–521. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01046.x Ciuti S, Muhly TB, Paton DG, McDevitt AD, Musiani M, Boyce MS (2012) Human selection of elk behavioral traits in a landscape of fear. Proc Roy Soc 279:4407-4416. Cleveland SM, Hebblewhite M, Thompson M Henderson R (2012) Linking elk movement and resource selection to hunting pressure in a heterogeneous landscape. Wild Soc Bull 36:658-668. Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD (1979) The roaring of red deer and the evolution of honest advertisement. Behav 69:145-170. Coltman D, O'Donoghue W, Jorgenson P, Hogg J. T., Strobeck C, Festa-Bianchet M (2003) Undesirable evolutionary consequences of trophy hunting. Nature 426:655-658. Côté SD (2005) Extirpation of a Large Black Bear Population by Introduced White-Tailed Dee	340	Altmann J (1974) Observational study of behavior: sampling methods. Behav 49:227-266.
Bowyer RT, Kitchen DW (1987) Sex and age-class differences in vocalizations of Roosevelt elk during rut. Amer Midl Nat 118:225-235. Carlson SM, Edeline E, Asbjørn VL, Haugen TO, Winfield IJ, Fletcher JM, Ben JJ, Stenseth NG (2007) Four decades of opposing natural and human-induced artificial selection acting of Windermere pike (<i>Esox lucius</i>). Ecol Lett 10:512–521. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01046.x Ciuti S, Muhly TB, Paton DG, McDevitt AD, Musiani M, Boyce MS (2012) Human selection of elk behavioral traits in a landscape of fear. Proc Roy Soc 279:4407-4416. Cleveland SM, Hebblewhite M, Thompson M Henderson R (2012) Linking elk movement and resource selection to hunting pressure in a heterogeneous landscape. Wild Soc Bull 36:658-668. Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD (1979) The roaring of red deer and the evolution of honest advertisement. Behav 69:145-170. Coltman D, O'Donoghue W, Jorgenson P, Hogg J. T., Strobeck C, Festa-Bianchet M (2003) Undesirable evolutionary consequences of trophy hunting. Nature 426:655-658. Côté SD (2005) Extirpation of a Large Black Bear Population by Introduced White-Tailed Dee	341	Berger J, Cunningham C (1994) Bison: mating and conservation in small populations. Columbia
during rut. Amer Midl Nat 118:225-235. Carlson SM, Edeline E, Asbjørn VL, Haugen TO, Winfield IJ, Fletcher JM, Ben JJ, Stenseth NG (2007) Four decades of opposing natural and human-induced artificial selection acting of Windermere pike (<i>Esox lucius</i>). Ecol Lett 10:512–521. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01046.x Ciuti S, Muhly TB, Paton DG, McDevitt AD, Musiani M, Boyce MS (2012) Human selection of elk behavioral traits in a landscape of fear. Proc Roy Soc 279:4407-4416. Cleveland SM, Hebblewhite M, Thompson M Henderson R (2012) Linking elk movement and resource selection to hunting pressure in a heterogeneous landscape. Wild Soc Bull 36:658-668. Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD (1979) The roaring of red deer and the evolution of honest advertisement. Behav 69:145-170. Coltman D, O'Donoghue W, Jorgenson P, Hogg J. T., Strobeck C, Festa-Bianchet M (2003) Undesirable evolutionary consequences of trophy hunting. Nature 426:655-658. Côté SD (2005) Extirpation of a Large Black Bear Population by Introduced White-Tailed Dee	342	University Press, New York.
Carlson SM, Edeline E, Asbjørn VL, Haugen TO, Winfield IJ, Fletcher JM, Ben JJ, Stenseth NG (2007) Four decades of opposing natural and human-induced artificial selection acting of Windermere pike (<i>Esox lucius</i>). Ecol Lett 10:512–521. doi:10.1111/j.1461- 0248.2007.01046.x Ciuti S, Muhly TB, Paton DG, McDevitt AD, Musiani M, Boyce MS (2012) Human selection of elk behavioral traits in a landscape of fear. Proc Roy Soc 279:4407-4416. Cleveland SM, Hebblewhite M, Thompson M Henderson R (2012) Linking elk movement and resource selection to hunting pressure in a heterogeneous landscape. Wild Soc Bull 36:658-668. Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD (1979) The roaring of red deer and the evolution of honest advertisement. Behav 69:145-170. Coltman D, O'Donoghue W, Jorgenson P, Hogg J. T., Strobeck C, Festa-Bianchet M (2003) Undesirable evolutionary consequences of trophy hunting. Nature 426:655-658. Côté SD (2005) Extirpation of a Large Black Bear Population by Introduced White-Tailed Dee	343	Bowyer RT, Kitchen DW (1987) Sex and age-class differences in vocalizations of Roosevelt elk
Windermere pike (<i>Esox lucius</i>). Ecol Lett 10:512–521. doi:10.1111/j.1461- 0248.2007.01046.x Ciuti S, Muhly TB, Paton DG, McDevitt AD, Musiani M, Boyce MS (2012) Human selection of elk behavioral traits in a landscape of fear. Proc Roy Soc 279:4407-4416. Cleveland SM, Hebblewhite M, Thompson M Henderson R (2012) Linking elk movement and resource selection to hunting pressure in a heterogeneous landscape. Wild Soc Bull 36:658-668. Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD (1979) The roaring of red deer and the evolution of honest advertisement. Behav 69:145-170. Coltman D, O'Donoghue W, Jorgenson P, Hogg J. T., Strobeck C, Festa-Bianchet M (2003) Undesirable evolutionary consequences of trophy hunting. Nature 426:655-658. Côté SD (2005) Extirpation of a Large Black Bear Population by Introduced White-Tailed Dee	344	during rut. Amer Midl Nat 118:225-235.
Windermere pike (<i>Esox lucius</i>). Ecol Lett 10:512–521. doi:10.1111/j.1461- 0248.2007.01046.x Ciuti S, Muhly TB, Paton DG, McDevitt AD, Musiani M, Boyce MS (2012) Human selection o elk behavioral traits in a landscape of fear. Proc Roy Soc 279:4407-4416. Cleveland SM, Hebblewhite M, Thompson M Henderson R (2012) Linking elk movement and resource selection to hunting pressure in a heterogeneous landscape. Wild Soc Bull 36:658-668. Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD (1979) The roaring of red deer and the evolution of honest advertisement. Behav 69:145-170. Coltman D, O'Donoghue W, Jorgenson P, Hogg J. T., Strobeck C, Festa-Bianchet M (2003) Undesirable evolutionary consequences of trophy hunting. Nature 426:655-658. Côté SD (2005) Extirpation of a Large Black Bear Population by Introduced White-Tailed Dee	345	Carlson SM, Edeline E, Asbjørn VL, Haugen TO, Winfield IJ, Fletcher JM, Ben JJ, Stenseth NC
Ciuti S, Muhly TB, Paton DG, McDevitt AD, Musiani M, Boyce MS (2012) Human selection of elk behavioral traits in a landscape of fear. Proc Roy Soc 279:4407-4416. Cleveland SM, Hebblewhite M, Thompson M Henderson R (2012) Linking elk movement and resource selection to hunting pressure in a heterogeneous landscape. Wild Soc Bull 36:658-668. Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD (1979) The roaring of red deer and the evolution of honest advertisement. Behav 69:145-170. Coltman D, O'Donoghue W, Jorgenson P, Hogg J. T., Strobeck C, Festa-Bianchet M (2003) Undesirable evolutionary consequences of trophy hunting. Nature 426:655-658. Côté SD (2005) Extirpation of a Large Black Bear Population by Introduced White-Tailed Dee	346	(2007) Four decades of opposing natural and human-induced artificial selection acting on
Ciuti S, Muhly TB, Paton DG, McDevitt AD, Musiani M, Boyce MS (2012) Human selection of elk behavioral traits in a landscape of fear. Proc Roy Soc 279:4407-4416. Cleveland SM, Hebblewhite M, Thompson M Henderson R (2012) Linking elk movement and resource selection to hunting pressure in a heterogeneous landscape. Wild Soc Bull 36:658-668. Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD (1979) The roaring of red deer and the evolution of honest advertisement. Behav 69:145-170. Coltman D, O'Donoghue W, Jorgenson P, Hogg J. T., Strobeck C, Festa-Bianchet M (2003) Undesirable evolutionary consequences of trophy hunting. Nature 426:655-658. Côté SD (2005) Extirpation of a Large Black Bear Population by Introduced White-Tailed Dee	347	Windermere pike (<i>Esox lucius</i>). Ecol Lett 10:512–521. doi:10.1111/j.1461-
cleveland SM, Hebblewhite M, Thompson M Henderson R (2012) Linking elk movement and resource selection to hunting pressure in a heterogeneous landscape. Wild Soc Bull 36:658-668. Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD (1979) The roaring of red deer and the evolution of honest advertisement. Behav 69:145-170. Coltman D, O'Donoghue W, Jorgenson P, Hogg J. T., Strobeck C, Festa-Bianchet M (2003) Undesirable evolutionary consequences of trophy hunting. Nature 426:655-658. Côté SD (2005) Extirpation of a Large Black Bear Population by Introduced White-Tailed Dee	348	0248.2007.01046.x
Cleveland SM, Hebblewhite M, Thompson M Henderson R (2012) Linking elk movement and resource selection to hunting pressure in a heterogeneous landscape. Wild Soc Bull 36:658-668. Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD (1979) The roaring of red deer and the evolution of honest advertisement. Behav 69:145-170. Coltman D, O'Donoghue W, Jorgenson P, Hogg J. T., Strobeck C, Festa-Bianchet M (2003) Undesirable evolutionary consequences of trophy hunting. Nature 426:655-658. Côté SD (2005) Extirpation of a Large Black Bear Population by Introduced White-Tailed Deer	349	Ciuti S, Muhly TB, Paton DG, McDevitt AD, Musiani M, Boyce MS (2012) Human selection of
resource selection to hunting pressure in a heterogeneous landscape. Wild Soc Bull 36:658-668. Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD (1979) The roaring of red deer and the evolution of honest advertisement. Behav 69:145-170. Coltman D, O'Donoghue W, Jorgenson P, Hogg J. T., Strobeck C, Festa-Bianchet M (2003) Undesirable evolutionary consequences of trophy hunting. Nature 426:655-658. Côté SD (2005) Extirpation of a Large Black Bear Population by Introduced White-Tailed Deer	350	elk behavioral traits in a landscape of fear. Proc Roy Soc 279:4407-4416.
36:658-668. Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD (1979) The roaring of red deer and the evolution of honest advertisement. Behav 69:145-170. Coltman D, O'Donoghue W, Jorgenson P, Hogg J. T., Strobeck C, Festa-Bianchet M (2003) Undesirable evolutionary consequences of trophy hunting. Nature 426:655-658. Côté SD (2005) Extirpation of a Large Black Bear Population by Introduced White-Tailed Deer	351	Cleveland SM, Hebblewhite M, Thompson M Henderson R (2012) Linking elk movement and
Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD (1979) The roaring of red deer and the evolution of honest advertisement. Behav 69:145-170. Coltman D, O'Donoghue W, Jorgenson P, Hogg J. T., Strobeck C, Festa-Bianchet M (2003) Undesirable evolutionary consequences of trophy hunting. Nature 426:655-658. Côté SD (2005) Extirpation of a Large Black Bear Population by Introduced White-Tailed Deer	352	resource selection to hunting pressure in a heterogeneous landscape. Wild Soc Bull
advertisement. Behav 69:145-170. Coltman D, O'Donoghue W, Jorgenson P, Hogg J. T., Strobeck C, Festa-Bianchet M (2003) Undesirable evolutionary consequences of trophy hunting. Nature 426:655-658. Côté SD (2005) Extirpation of a Large Black Bear Population by Introduced White-Tailed Deep	353	36:658-668.
Coltman D, O'Donoghue W, Jorgenson P, Hogg J. T., Strobeck C, Festa-Bianchet M (2003) Undesirable evolutionary consequences of trophy hunting. Nature 426:655-658. Côté SD (2005) Extirpation of a Large Black Bear Population by Introduced White-Tailed Deep	354	Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD (1979) The roaring of red deer and the evolution of honest
(2003) Undesirable evolutionary consequences of trophy hunting. Nature 426:655-658. Côté SD (2005) Extirpation of a Large Black Bear Population by Introduced White-Tailed Deer	355	advertisement. Behav 69:145-170.
Côté SD (2005) Extirpation of a Large Black Bear Population by Introduced White-Tailed Dee	356	Coltman D, O'Donoghue W, Jorgenson P, Hogg J. T., Strobeck C, Festa-Bianchet M
	357	(2003) Undesirable evolutionary consequences of trophy hunting. Nature 426:655-658.
Con Biol 19:1668-1671.	358	Côté SD (2005) Extirpation of a Large Black Bear Population by Introduced White-Tailed Deer.
	359	Con Biol 19:1668-1671.



360	Cromsigt JPGM, Kuijper DPJ, Adam M, Beschta RL, Churski M, Eycott A, Kerley GIH,
361	Mysterud A, Schmidt K, West K (2013) Hunting for fear: innovating management of
362	human-wildlife conflicts. Jour App Ecol 50:544-549 doi: 10.1111/1365-2664.12076.
363	Darimont CT, Carlson SM, Kinnison MT, Paquet PC, Reimchen TE, Wilmers CC (2009)
364	Nat Acad Sci 106:952-954.
365	Fiske P, Kålås JA (1995). Mate sampling and copulation behaviour of great snipe females.
366	Animal Behav 49:209-219.
367	Frid A, Dill LM (2002) Human-caused disturbance stimuli as a form of predaiton risk. Con Ecol
368	6:11. http://www.consecol.org/vol6/iss1/art11/
369	Fussman GF, Loreau M, Abrams PA (2007) Eco-evolutionary dynamics of communities and
370	ecosystems. Funct Ecol 21:465-477.
371	Gates, C.C., C. H. Freese, P. J. P. Gogan, and M. Kotzman. 2010. American Bison: Status Survey
372	and Conservation Guidelines 2010. International Union for Conservation of Nature and
373	Natural Resources, Gland, Switzerland.
374	Graham T, Gingerich J (2013) Oglala Sioux Parks and Recreation Authority: Buffalo Expansion
375	Feasibility Study, Bozeman, Montana.
376	Gutzwiller KJ, Wiedemann RT, Clements KL, Anderson SH (1994) Effects of human intrusion on
377	song occurrence and singing consistency in subalpine birds. Auk 111:28-37.
378	Hendry AP, Farrugia TJ, Kinnison MT (2008) Human influences on rates of phenotypic change in
379	wild animal populations. Mol Ecol 17:20–29. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03428.x
380	Harrington FH, Veitch AM (1992) Calving Success of Woodland Caribou Exposed to Low-Level
381	Jet Fighter Overflights. Arctic 45:213-218.



382	Jayakody S, Sibbald AM, Gordon IJ, Lambin X (2008) Red deer Cervus elephus vigilance
383	behaviour differs with habitat and type of human disturbance. Wildl Biol 14:81-91.
384	Komers, P, Messier F, and Gates, C. (1994). Plasticity of reproductive behaviour in wood bison
385	bulls: on risks and opportunities. Ethology Ecology & Evolution 6:481-495.
886	Lima SL (1998) Stress and decisoin making under the erisk of predation: recent developments
387	from behvioral reproductive, and ecological perspectives. Adv Study Behav 27:215-290.
888	Lima SL, and Dill LM (1990) Behavioural decisions made under the risk of predation. Canad
389	Jour Zool 27:619-640.
390	MacArthur RA., Geist V, Johnston RH (1982) Cardiac and behavioral responses of mountain
391	sheep to human disturbance. Jour Wildl Mgmt 46:351-358.
392	McComb KE (1991) Female choice for high roaring rates in red deer, Cervus elaphus. Anim
393	Behav 41:79-88.
394	McComb K (1987) Roaring by red deer stags advances the date of oestrus in hinds. Nature
395	330:648-649
396	McComb K, Reby D (2005) Vocal communication networks in large terrestrial mammals. Animal
397	communication networks. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
398	Mun, J. 2008. Advanced analytical models: Over 800 models and 300 applications from
399	the basel II accord to wall street and beyond. John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, New Jersey,
100	USA.
101	National Park Service (2003) Badlands National Park: Pennington, Jackson and Shannon
102	Counties, South Dakota. Integrated Weed Management Plan and Environmental
103	Assessment. National Park Service, Interior, South Dakota, USA.



404	Oglala Sioux Parks and Recreation Authority. 2013. Buffalo Management Plan.
405	Ranch Advisory Partners, Bozeman, Montana, USA.
406	Oyana, T. J., and F. Margai. 2015. Spatial analysis: Statistics, visualization, and computation.
407	CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, USA.
408	Palumbi SR (2001) Humans as the world's greatest evolutionary force. Science 293:1786-1790.
409	Proaktor G, Coulson T, Milner-Gulland E (2007) Evolutionary responses to harvesting in
410	ungulates. Jour Anim Ecol 76:669-678.
411	Proffitt KM, Grigg JL, Hamlin KL, Garrott RA (2009) Contrasting Effects of
412	Wolves and Human Hunters on Elk Behavioral Responses to Predation Risk.
413	Jour Wildl Mgmt 73:345-356.
414	Reby D, Charlton BD, Locatelli Y, McComb K (2010) Oestrous red deer hinds prefer male roars
415	with higher fundamental frequencies. Proc Roy Soc Lond B: Biological Sciences
416	277:2747-2753.
417	Ripple WJ, Newsome TM, Wolf C,Dirzo R, Everatt KT, Galetti M, Hayward MW,Kerley GIH,
418	Levi T, Lindsey PA, Macdonald DW, Malhi Y, Painter LE, Sandom CJ, Terborgh J, Van
419	Valkenburgh B. (2015). Collapse of the world's largest herbivores. Science Advances.
420	2015 May 1;1(4):e1400103.
421	Roden C, Vervaecke , Van Elsacker, L. (2005). Dominance, age and weight in American bison
422	males (Bison bison) during non-rut in semi-natural conditions. Applied Animal Behaviour
423	Science 92: 169-177.
424	Ryan MJ (1985) The tungara frog: a study in sexual selection and communication.
425	University of Chicago Press, Chicago





426	Semple S, McComb K (2000) Perception of female reproductive state from vocal cues in a
427	mammal species. Proc Roy Soc Lond B: Biological Sciences 267:707-712.
428	Stankowich T (2008) Ungulate flight responses to human disturbance: A review and
129	meta-analysis. Bio Cons 141:2159-2173.
430	Theuerkauf J, and Rouys S (2008) Habitat selection by ungulates in relation to predation risk
431	by wolves and humans in the Białowieza Forest, Poland. For Ecol Mgmt 256:1325-1332
432	Tilson RL, Norton PM (1981) Alarm duetting and pursuit deterrence in an African antelope. Am
433	Nat 118:455-462.
434	Wright S (1938) Size of population and breeding structure in relation to evolution. Science
435	87:430–431.
436	Wyman MT, Mooring MS, McCowan B, Penedo MCT, Hart LA (2008). Amplitude of bison
437	bellows reflects male quality, physical condition and motivation. Anim Behav 76:1625-
438	1639.
439	Yarmoloy C, Bayer M, Geist V (1988). Behavior responses and reproduction of mule
140	deer, Odocoileus hemionus, does following experimental harassment with an all-terrain
141	vehicle. Canad Field Nat 102:425-429.
142	Yoshida T, Jones LE, Ellner SP, Fussmann GF, and Hairston NG (2003) Rapid
143	Evolution drives ecological dynamics in a predator–prey system. Nature 424:303-306
144	doi:10.1038/nature01767.



Table 1(on next page)

Spearman's Rank Correlation

Table 1 Spearman's correlations between bellowing rate and possible predictor variables of Plains bison in South Dakota, 1 July – 13 August, 2013.



Variables	1	2	3	4	5
Bellows ¹					
# Attendant Males ²	078				
Group Size ³	.257***	.177**			
Day ⁴	235***	.573***	240***		
Hunting ⁵	576***	.475***	218***	.671***	
# Adult Females	043	.442***	.676***	.099	.210**

² Note. *p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001; df = 242 in all cases.



Table 2(on next page)

Poisson Regression

Table 2 Poisson regression analysis for bellowing rate of bison bulls from two hunted populations and one non-hunted population in South Dakota, from 1 July – 13 August 2013.



Variables	IRR	SE	Z	р	VIF
Constant	11.559	.667	42.44	<.001	
#Attendant Males	1.053	.003	17.20	<.001	1.35
Group Size	1.002	.000	11.88	<.001	2.34
Day	1.055	.003	19.36	<.001	2.41
Hunting	.053	.003	-58.43	<.001	2.28
#Adult Females	1.004	.000	9.39	<.001	1.95

Note N = 243, LR $\chi^2(5) = 7085.60$, p < .0001; Pseudo $R^2 = .52$ Data shown are incident rate ratio, andard errors, z-statistics, p-values, and Variance Inflation Factors (VIF) (derived from 1

²

an identically specified linear regression analysis). 3



Table 3(on next page)

Poisson Regression with Interaction

Table 3 Poisson regression analysis of the interaction between hunting and number of attendant males on bellowing rate of bison bulls from two hunted populations and one non-hunted population in South Dakota, from 1 July – 13 August 2013.



- 1 Table 3 Poisson regression analysis of the interaction between hunting and number of attendant
- 2 males on bellowing rate of bison bulls from two hunted populations and one non-hunted
- 3 population in South Dakota, from 1 July 13 August 2013.

Variables	IRR	SE	Z	р	VIF
Constant	1.153	.095	1.73	.083	
# Attendant Males (Std.)	1.614	.029	27.07	<.001	1.49
Group Size	1.002	.000	11.79	<.001	2.39
Day	1.060	.003	21.50	<.001	2.41
Hunting (Std.)	.236	.006	-57.91	<.001	2.30
# Adult Females	1.004	.000	9.68	<.001	1.95
Hunting *#Attendant males	1.227	.014	18.24	<.001	1.23

⁵ Note. N = 243, LR $\chi^2(6) = 7348.93$, p < .0001; Pseudo $R^2 = .5455$. Data shown are incident rate

8

⁶ ratios, standard errors, z-statistics, p-values, and Variance Inflation Factors (VIF) (derived from

⁷ an identically specified linear regression analysis).