Neogene amphibians and reptiles (Caudata, Anura, Gekkota, Lacertilia, Testudines) from south of Western Siberia, Russia and Northeastern Kazakhstan (#12606)

Third revision

Important notes

Editor

Graciela Piñeiro

Files	1 Tracked changes manuscript(s) 1 Rebuttal letter(s) 10 Figure file(s) 2 Table file(s) 5 Raw data file(s) Please visit the overview page to **download and review** the files not included in this review PDF.
Declarations	No notable declarations are present

Review guidelines

Please read in full before you begin

How to review

When ready **submit your review online**. The review form is divided into 5 sections. Please consider these when composing your review:

1. **BASIC REPORTING**
2. **EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN**
3. **VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS**
4. General comments
5. Confidential notes to the editor

🗋 You can also annotate this PDF and upload it as part of your review

To finish, enter your editorial recommendation (accept, revise or reject) and submit.

BASIC REPORTING

- ✓ Clear, unambiguous, professional English language used throughout.
- ✓ Intro & background to show context. Literature well referenced & relevant.
- ✓ Structure conforms to **PeerJ standards**, discipline norm, or improved for clarity.
- ✓ Figures are relevant, high quality, well labelled & described.
- ✓ Raw data supplied (see **PeerJ policy**).

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

- ✓ Original primary research within **Scope of the journal**.
- ✓ Research question well defined, relevant & meaningful. It is stated how the research fills an identified knowledge gap.
- ✓ Rigorous investigation performed to a high technical & ethical standard.
- ✓ Methods described with sufficient detail & information to replicate.

VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS

- ℹ Impact and novelty not assessed. Negative/inconclusive results accepted. *Meaningful* replication encouraged where rationale & benefit to literature is clearly stated.
- ✓ Data is robust, statistically sound, & controlled.
- ✓ Conclusions are well stated, linked to original research question & limited to supporting results.
- ✓ Speculation is welcome, but should be identified as such.

The above is the editorial criteria summary. To view in full visit **https://peerj.com/about/editorial-criteria/**

7 Standout reviewing tips

The best reviewers use these techniques

Tip	*Example*
Support criticisms with evidence from the text or from other sources	*Smith et al (J of Methodology, 2005, V3, pp 123) have shown that the analysis you use in Lines 241-250 is not the most appropriate for this situation. Please explain why you used this method.*
Give specific suggestions on how to improve the manuscript	*Your introduction needs more detail. I suggest that you improve the description at lines 57- 86 to provide more justification for your study (specifically, you should expand upon the knowledge gap being filled).*
Comment on language and grammar issues	*The English language should be improved to ensure that your international audience can clearly understand your text. I suggest that you have a native English speaking colleague review your manuscript. Some examples where the language could be improved include lines 23, 77, 121, 128 – the current phrasing makes comprehension difficult.*
Organize by importance of the issues, and number your points	*1. Your most important issue* *2. The next most important item* *3. …* *4. The least important points*
Give specific suggestions on how to improve the manuscript	*Line 56: Note that experimental data on sprawling animals needs to be updated. Line 66: Please consider exchanging "modern" with "cursorial".*
Please provide constructive criticism, and avoid personal opinions	*I thank you for providing the raw data, however your supplemental files need more descriptive metadata identifiers to be useful to future readers. Although your results are compelling, the data analysis should be improved in the following ways: AA, BB, CC*
Comment on strengths (as well as weaknesses) of the manuscript	*I commend the authors for their extensive data set, compiled over many years of detailed fieldwork. In addition, the manuscript is clearly written in professional, unambiguous language. If there is a weakness, it is in the statistical analysis (as I have noted above) which should be improved upon before Acceptance.*

Neogene amphibians and reptiles (Caudata, Anura, Gekkota, Lacertilia, Testudines) from south of Western Siberia, Russia and Northeastern Kazakhstan

Davit Vasilyan Corresp., [1, 2, 3] , **Vladimir S. Zazhigin** [4] , **Madelaine Böhme** [1, 5]

[1] Department of Geosciences, Eberhard-Karls-University Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany
[2] JURASSICA Museum, Porrentruy, Switzerland
[3] Department of Geosciences, University of Fribourg, Fribourg, Switzerland
[4] Institute of Geology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia
[5] Senckenberg Center for Human Evolution and Palaeoecology, Tübingen, Germany

Corresponding Author: Davit Vasilyan
Email address: davit.vasilyan@jurassica.ch

Background. The present-day amphibian and reptile fauna of Western Siberia is the least diverse of the Palaearctic Realm, as a consequence of the unfavourable climatic conditions that predominate in this region. The origin and emergence of these herpetofaunal groups are poorly understood. Aside from the better-explored European Neogene localities yielding amphibian and reptile fossil remains, the Neogene herpetofauna of Western Asia is understudied. The few available data need critical reviews and new interpretations, taking into account the more recent records of the European herpetofauna. The comparison of this previous data with that of the European fossil record would provide data on palaeobiogeographic affiliations of the region as well as on the origin and emergence of the present-day fauna of Western Siberia. An overview of the earliest occurrences of certain amphibian lineages is still needed. In addition, studies that address such knowledge gaps can be useful for molecular biologists in their calibration of molecular clocks.

Methods and Results. In this study, we considered an critically review available data from amphibian and reptile fauna from over 40 Western Siberian, Russian and Northeastern Kazakhstan localities, ranging from the Middle Miocene to Early Pleistocene. Herein, we provided new interpretations that arose from our assessment of the previously published and new data. More than 50 amphibian and reptile taxa were identified belonging to families Hynobiidae, Cryptobranchidae, Salamandridae, Palaeobatrachidae, Bombinatoridae, Pelobatidae, Hylidae, Bufonidae, Ranidae, Gekkonidae, Lacertidae and Emydidae. Palaeobiogeographic analyses were performed for these groups and palaeoprecipitation values were estimated for 12 localities, using the bioclimatic analysis of herpetofaunal assemblages.

Conclusions. The Neogene assemblage of Western Siberia was found to be dominated by groups of European affinities, such as Palaeobatrachidae, *Bombina, Hyla*, *Bufo bufo*, and a small part of this assemblage included Eastern Palaearctic taxa (e.g. *Salamandrella*, *Tylototriton*, *Bufotes viridis*). For several taxa (e.g. *Mioproteus, Hyla, Bombina, Rana temporaria*), the Western Siberian occurrences represented their most eastern Eurasian records. The most diverse collection of fossil remains was found in the Middle Miocene. Less diversity has been registered towards the Early Pleistocene, potentially due to the progressive cooling of the climate in the Northern Hemisphere. The results of our study showed higher-amplitude changes of precipitation development in Western Siberia from the Early Miocene to the Pliocene, than previously assumed.

Neogene amphibians and reptiles (Caudata, Anura, Gekkota, Lacertilia, Testudines) from south of Western Siberia, Russia and Northeastern Kazakhstan

Davit Vasilyan[1, 2, 3], Vladimir S. Zazhigin[4], and Madelaine Böhme[1,5]

[1] Department of Geosciences, Eberhard-Karls-University Tübingen, Sigwartstraße 10, 72076 Tübingen, Germany.

[2] JURASSICA Museum, Route de Fontenais 21, 2900 Porrentruy, Switzerland, davit.vasilyan@jurassica.ch.

[3] Department of Geosciences, University of Fribourg, Chemin du musée 6, 1700 Fribourg, Switzerland.

[4] Institute of Geology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Pyzhevsky per. 7, 119017 Moscow, Russia, zazhvol@gmail.com.

[5] Senckenberg Center for Human Evolution and Palaeoecology, Sigwartstraße 10, 72076 Tübingen, Germany, m.boehme@uni-tuebingen.de.

Corresponding author:

Davit Vasilyan

Current address: JURASSICA Museum, Route de Fontenais 21, 2900 Porrentruy, Switzerland

Email address: davit.vasilyan@jurassica.ch

Abstract

Background. The present-day amphibian and reptile fauna of Western Siberia is the least diverse of the Palaearctic Realm, as a consequence of the unfavourable climatic conditions that predominate in this region. The origin and emergence of these herpetofaunal groups are poorly understood. Aside from the better-explored European Neogene localities yielding amphibian and reptile fossil remains, the Neogene herpetofauna of Western Asia is understudied. The few available data need critical reviews and new interpretations, taking into account the more recent records of the European herpetofauna. The comparison of this previous data with that of the European fossil record would provide data on palaeobiogeographic affiliations of the region as well as on the origin and emergence of the present-day fauna of Western Siberia. An overview of the earliest occurrences of certain amphibian lineages is still needed. In addition, studies that address such knowledge gaps can be useful for molecular biologists in their calibration of molecular clocks.

Methods and Results. In this study, we considered an critically review available data from amphibian and reptile fauna from over 40 Western Siberian, Russian and Northeastern Kazakhstan localities, ranging from the Middle Miocene to Early Pleistocene. Herein, we provided new interpretations that arose from our assessment of the previously published and new data. More than 50 amphibian and reptile taxa were identified belonging to families Hynobiidae, Cryptobranchidae, Salamandridae, Palaeobatrachidae, Bombinatoridae, Pelobatidae, Hylidae, Bufonidae, Ranidae, Gekkonidae, Lacertidae and Emydidae. Palaeobiogeographic analyses were performed for these groups and palaeoprecipitation values were estimated for 12 localities, using the bioclimatic analysis of herpetofaunal assemblages.

Conclusions. The Neogene assemblage of Western Siberia was found to be dominated by groups of European affinities, such as Palaeobatrachidae, *Bombina, Hyla*, *Bufo bufo*, and a small part of this assemblage included Eastern Palaearctic taxa (e.g. *Salamandrella*, *Tylototriton*, *Bufotes viridis*). For several taxa (e.g. *Mioproteus, Hyla, Bombina, Rana temporaria*), the Western Siberian occurrences represented their most eastern Eurasian records. The most diverse collection of fossil remains was found in the Middle Miocene. Less diversity has been registered towards the Early Pleistocene, potentially due to the progressive cooling of the climate in the Northern Hemisphere. The results of our study showed higher-amplitude changes of precipitation development in Western Siberia from the Early Miocene to the Pliocene, than previously assumed.

Introduction

Western Siberia is a geographic region restricted to the territories of Russia and parts of northern Kazakhstan. It includes the region between the Ural Mountains in the west, Central Siberian Plateau in the east, and the Kazakh Plain and Altay Mountains, including the Zaisan Lake in the south (Fig. 1). Western Siberia region incorporates the drainage basin of the major Siberian rivers such as the Irtysh and Ob rivers, both flowing into the Kara Sea of the Arctic Ocean. The region is characterised by a highly continental climate, under the influence of the Westerlies (winds). The mean annual precipitation (MAP) is relatively uniform and varies from 400 mm in the north (415 mm at Omsk) to 200 mm in the south (255 mm at Pavlodar). The region has a high relative humidity in summer due to labile convective heating and frequent torrential rainfalls. The mean annual range of temperature reaches 4 °C and more (Omsk: cold month temperature – CMT -19 °C, warm month temperature – WMT 20 °C, mean annual temperature – MAT 0.4 °C; Semipalatinsk: CMT -16 °C, WMT 22 °C, MAT 3.1 °C; Lake Zaisan: CMT up to -27 °C, WMT 23 °C; after *Müller & Hennings* (2000). The area is covered by diverse biomes, namely the tundra (‛cold steppe’) and taiga (coniferous forests) biomes, which are replaced by open landscapes in the north (tundra) and in the south (steppe). The region that contains the studied Neogene outcrops is located in the transitional zone between the dry and the more humid temperate biomes, where taiga, forest-steppe and steppe biomes are distributed (*Ravkin* et al., 2008).

Due to the strong continental climate, the present-day herpetofauna in the territory of Western Siberia is comparatively far less diverse,represented only by six to ten amphibian species and seven reptile species (Table 1). It is assumed that the present distribution of amphibians and reptiles in Western Siberia was strongly influenced by Quaternary climatic fluctuation (*Ravkin, Bogomolova & Chesnokova*, 2010). According to Borkin (*Borkin*, 1999), the present-day amphibian fauna of Western Siberia belongs to the Siberian region of amphibian distribution in the Palaearctic Realm. According to different authors (e.g. *Kuzmin*, 1995; *Amphibiaweb*, 2016), the region is inhabited by few amphibians, namely two species of salamanders and four to eight species of anurans, belonging to five genera and five families (Table 1). This is the poorest regional diversity of fauna in the Palaearctic Realm, without any endemic species. Only *Salamandrella keyserlingii* and *Rana amurensis* are characteristic of the territory, but they are widely distributed and are also found in smaller areas in the neighbouring regions (*Borkin*, 1999). The Western Siberian reptile fauna listing includes few species: *Natrix natrix*, *Elaphe* *dione*, *Vipera berus*, *Vipera renardi*, *Gloydius halys*, *Zootoca vivipara*, *Lacerta agilis* and *Eremias arguta* (*Ananjeva* et al., 2006; *Ravkin, Bogomolova & Chesnokova*, 2010).

Geology and stratigraphy

The Neogene sediments in Western Siberia have a wide distribution. Over many decades, through systematic palaeontological studies and research in the Neogene and Quaternary sediments of this area, rich fossil deposits of molluscan and small and large mammalian faunas have been discovered (e.g. *Zykin*, 1979; *Zykin & Zazhigin*, 2008; *Zykin*, 2012). Based on the studies of the small fossil mammals, the Neogene stratigraphy of the area is complemented with biochronologic data. Continental sedimentation in the western part of the Siberian Plain began in the Oligocene, after regression of the Turgai Strait in the Late Eocene, and continued until the Quaternary period (e.g. *Chkhikvadze*, 1984, 1989; *Tleuberdina* et al., 1993; *Malakhov*, 2005). The sedimentary basin is surrounded by the Ural Mountains in the west, the Central Kazakh Steppe and Altai-Sayan Mountains in the south, and the western margin of the Siberian Plateau in the east. The surrounding regions deliver clastic material to the basin. Some researchers include the Zaisan Basin, located to the west of the Altai-Sayan Mountains in this territory (*Borisov*, 1963). The Neogene sediments are represented by lacustrine, fluvial, alluvial and other continental depositions, overlying marine Eocene sediments. The thickest section (300 m) of the Neogene and early Quaternary sediments occurs in the Omsk Basin. Neogene strata outcrops are mainly found in the interfluves of the Irtysh and Ishim rivers (*Gnibitenko*, 2006; *Zykin*, 2012). All these sediments are terrestrial (fluvial and alluvial facies) and have produced rich fossil layers of vertebrate fauna (*Zykin*, 2012). The vertebrate-bearing Neogene sediments are found in several areas along the Irtysh River and its tributaries – Petropavlovsk-Ishim (e.g. Petropavlovsk 1, Biteke 1A), Omsk (e.g. Novaya Stanitsa 1, Cherlak), Pavlodar (e.g. Pavlodar, Baikadam) and the Novosibirsk areas (e.g. Kamen-na-Obi) (Fig. 1). Detailed geological descriptions of the stratigraphic sections and fossil localities are summarised in *Zykin* (1979); *Zykin* & *Zazhigin* (2004); *Gnibitenko* (2006); *Zykin* (2012).

The stratigraphic subdivision is based mainly on the Russian concept of svitas. A svita has lithologic, biochronologic and genetic (sedimentologic) significance and has no precise equivalent in Western stratigraphic theory and terminology (*Lucas* et al., 2012). The stratigraphy of Neogene sediments in Western Siberia is supported by magnetostratigraphic investigations (e.g. *Gnibitenko*, 2006; *Gnibidenko* et al., 2011), in which the recovered polarity signals are combined with biochronologic data and correlated to the geomagnetic polarity time scale (GPTS) (*Fejfar* et al., 1997; *Vangengeim, Pevzner & Tesakov*, 2005; *Zykin, Zykina & Zazhigin*, 2007). The biozonation is based on fast-evolving lineages of small mammals, mainly jerboas (Dipodidae), hamsters (Cricetidae) and voles (Arvicolidae). Owing to these bio-magnetostratigraphic data the mean temporal resolution of the late Neogene faunal record from the Ob-Irtysh Interfluve is estimated to be approximately 200 kyr (Fig. 2, Table S1, Data S2). The main sections of these vertebrate fossil localities are referred to certain svitas (e.g. Kalkaman, Pavlodar, Irtysh Svitas), however, the stratigraphic assignment of three localities Olkhovka 1A, 1B, 1C to svitas is not available (Fig. 2, Table S1). No fossils are available in the initial deposits of the early Late Miocene.

State-of-art in palaeoherpetological studies in Western Siberia

The fossil record of amphibians and reptiles in Western Siberia, including the Zaisan Basin record, remain largely unknown. There are very few works devoted to the studies of the Western Siberian late Paleogene and Neogene herpetofaunal assemblages (e.g. *Chkhikvadze*, 1984, 1989; *Tleuberdina* et al., 1993; *Malakhov*, 2005). The vast majority of data on fossil amphibians and reptiles are represented as short notes or are mentioned in faunal lists (e.g. *Bendukidze & Chkhikvadze*, 1976; *Chkhikvadze*, 1985; *Malakhov*, 2005). In this present contribution we analysed the available data from specimens described below and from new generated data as well.

The earliest report on Neogene fossil amphibians was compiled by *Iskakova* (1969), wherein she described amphibian faunas from two Priirtyshian localities, Gusiniy Perelet and Karashigar. Gusiniy Perelet is a well-renovated Late Miocene vertebrate fossil locality, situated on the riverbank of the Irtysh River, within the town of Pavlodar. The sedimentary sequence in this locality contains layers of different ages from the late Late Miocene until the late Early Pliocene. Three localities (also ‘horizons’) within the town of Pavlodar (Pavlodar 1A, 1B, 3B) are grouped into several svitas and can be distinguished from the Gusiniy Perelet vertebrate locality. The fossil content of the Gusiniy Perelet locality comes from the lower horizon – Pavlodar 1A. *Iskakova* (1969) described an amphibian fauna from this layer.

The age of the Karashigar locality is unclear. In a study by *Tleuberdina et al.* (1989), this locality has been estimated to date back to the Late Oligocene; however, *Lychev* (1990) placed it in the Middle Miocene, Kalkaman Svita (the list of the small mammal fauna; see Data S2). The amphibian taxa described by Iskakova (1969) in the Priirtyshian localities (*Bombina* cf. *bombina*, *Pelobates* cf. *fuscus*, *Bufo* cf. *viridis*, *Bufo* cf. *bufo*, *Rana* cf. *ridibunda*, *Rana* cf.

temporaria) were identified based mainly on the vertebrae (cervical, dorsal and sacral) morphology, which is not diagnostic in frogs at that taxonomic level. *Chkhikvadze* (1984) restudied the material from the Pavlodar 1A (= Gusiniy Perelet) locality and identified *Bufo* cf. *raddei*, *Bufo* sp., *Pelophylax* cf. *ridibundus*, *Eremias* sp., and *Coluber* sp. In this study, we did not, however; assess the material from the above-mentioned works in order to verify Chkhikvadze (1984) taxonomic identifications. Our sample from this locality (Pavlodar 1A) (Table S1), did not reveal any element listed in these earlier studies (*Chkhikvadze*, 1984).

Chkhikvadze (1984) summarised all known fossil amphibians and reptiles from the former Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR), including those from Western Siberia. Accurate descriptions are not yet available for many of these species. The Middle Miocene Kalkaman locality (*Tleuberdina*, 1993), presently known as Malyi Kalkaman 1 (*Zykin*, 2012), has provided a diverse record of fossil herpetofauna. The fossil record of this locality was partially restudied and amended by us, which included the collection of new material.

Over the last decade, fresh attempts has been made to study the herpetofauna from the Western Siberian localities (*Malakhov*, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2009). In the resultant works, undescribed material from several Neogene localities of Kazakhstan were summarised, revised, and studied, thereby providing critical overviews. In spite of the advances of the recent years, however, the Neogene herpetofauna from Western Asia remains largely unknown, with available fossil material continuing to be insufficiently studied. The main goals of the present study were, therefore, to assess the descriptions and taxonomic classifications of the new amphibian and reptile fossil material collected by Vladimir Zazhigin (co-author), as well as already published data so as to provide a comprehensive faunistic analysis and palaeobiogeographic and environmental interpretations. To avoid confusion around the names used by different authors in the Russian literature to describe the localities, we have provided all known names for these studied fossil localities.

Materials & Methods

The new materials used in the present study were collected by V. Zazhigin (co-author) using the screen-washing technique during his long-term excavations in different Western Siberian localities from the 1960’s to 2008. These localities outcrop along the riverbanks of the Irtysh, Ishim and Ob rivers. This fossil material is stored in the Institute of Geology, Russian Academy of Sciences under the collection numbers:

GIN 950/2001 (Baikadam), GIN 1107/1001 (Malyi Kalkaman 1), GIN 1107/2001 (Malyi Kalkaman 2), GIN 1106/1001 (Shet Irgyz 1) GIN 952/1001 (Petropavlovsk 1), GIN 1109/1001 (Znamenka), GIN 640/5001 (Pavlodar 1A), GIN 951/1001 (Selety 1A), GIN 951/2001 (Kedey), GIN 948/2001 (Novaya Stanitsa 1A), GIN 1115/1001 (Borki 1A), GIN 1110/2001 (Cherlak), GIN 945/2001 (Beteke 1A), GIN 640/6001 (Pavlodar 1B), GIN 1130/1001 (Lezhanka 2A), GIN 1130/2001 (Lezhanka 2B), GIN 1111/1001 (Olkhovka 1A), GIN 1111/2001 (Olkhovka 1B), GIN 1111/3001 (Olkhovka 1C), GIN 1118/3001 (Peshniovo 3), GIN 1131/2001 (Isakovka 2), GIN 1131/1001 (Isakovka 1A), GIN 1131/3001 (Isakovka 1B), GIN 1117/1001 (Kamyshlovo), GIN 945/2001 (Beteke 1B), GIN 945/3001 (Beteke 1C), GIN 1112/1001 (Andreievka – Speranskoe), GIN 1108/2001 (Pavlodar 2B), GIN 1112/2001 (Andreievka 1), GIN 1129/2001 (Livenka), GIN 1129/1001 (Lezhanka 1), GIN 1108/3001 (Pavlodar 3A), GIN 950/3001 (Lebiazhie 1A), GIN

950/4001 (Lebiazhie 1B), GIN 950/5001 (Podpusk 1), GIN 945/60001 (Beteke 2), GIN 946/2001 (Kamen-na-Obi), GIN 945/8001 (Beteke 4), GIN 664/2001 (Razdole).

Various groups of amphibians and reptiles are represented in the available material. A report of part of this material, i.e. of the snakes and anguine lizards, has been published in a separate paper (e.g. *Vasilyan, Böhme & Klembara*, 2016). The present study included an assessment of the materials collected from four fossil sites in Kazakhstan: Akyspe (also known as Agyspe), Aral Horizon, leg. by Bendukidze in 1977; Kentyubek, Turgai Basin; Ryzhaya II (Ryzhaya Sopka), Zaisan Svita, Zaisan Basin, leg. in 1970; Ayakoz (known also as Ayaguz), Zaisan Basin, leg. in 1970-1971; Petropavlovsk 1/2[1], leg. 1972 (Table S1). In addition, the few available data from the literature were included in this study (after critical revision) to amend the record of herpetofaunal assemblages of some localities as well as to reassign and revise the stratigraphic position of these localities using biochronologic information of small and large mammalian fauna (see full list in the Datas S2, S3).

The photographs of the fossil material were taken using a digital microscope Leica DVM5000 (Tübingen) and inspected with a scanning electron microscope, FEI Inspect S (Madrid). The figures and tables were produced using Adobe Photoshop and Illustrator programs. The osteological nomenclature of this study followed that of *Vasilyan* et al. (2013) for the salamander remains, that of *Sanchíz* (1998a) for frogs, that of *Daza, Aurich & Bauer* (2011) and *Daza & Bauer* (2010) was used for Gekkota, and the lepidosaurian terminology of *Evans* (2008).

---

[1] In the town of Petropavlovsk two fossil sites (Petropavlovsk 1 (MN12) and Petropavlovsk 2 (MN14)) having different ages are known, see *Zykin* (2012). Since the enclosed collection label to the material indicates only ‘locality Petropavlovsk, leg. 1972’ any stratigraphic allocation of the fossils to one of those layers is impossible.

Based on the herpetofaunal assemblages, the palaeoprecipitation values for the fossil localities were estimated using the method of bioclimatic analysis of the ecophysiologic groups of amphibian and reptile taxa (*Böhme* et al., 2006). For the localities considered to be 'poor' in amphibian and reptile taxa, the range-through approach (*Barry* et al., 2002) was used, in which the faunas of two or more localities with age differences less than 100 kyr and/or belonging to a single stratigraphic unit – svita, were considered as one. The taxa, that were added to the herpetofaunal assemblage using the range-through approach, are indicated in grey in Table S4.

Institutional/collection abbreviations.

GPIT: Paläontologische Sammlung der Universität Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany; **HC**: collection of Marcela Hodrova (Prague University), now stored in GPIT; **MNCN:** Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, Madrid, Spain; **NMNHK:** National Museum of Natural History, Kiev, Ukraine; **PIN:** Palaeontological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia; **GNM**: National Museum of Georgia, Tbilisi, Georgia; **GIN:** Geologic Institute, National Academy of Russia, Moscow, Russia.

Anatomical abbreviations.

ao: antrum olfactorium; **alo**: antrum pro lobo olfactorio; **dl**: dental lamina; **ds**: dental shelf; **hl**: horizontal lamella; **is**: incisura semielliptical; **ff**: frontoparietal facet; **fcpr**: facial process of maxilla; **fMx5**: foramina for mandibular division of the fifth cranial (trigeminal) nerve; **hfr**: haemal foramen; **hl**: horizontal lamella; **lf**: lacrimal facet; **lg**: longitudinal groove; **lh**: lamina horizontalis; **lp**: lateral processes; **ls**: lamina supraorbitalis; **mc**: Meckelian canal; **na**: neural arch; **nc**: neural canal; **nf**: nasal facet; **onf**: orbitonasal foramina; **olf**: olfactory foramina; **pf**: parasphenoid facet; **pfc**: palatine facet; **ph**: paries horizontalis; **prz**: prezygapophysis; **psz**: postzygapophysis; **pv**: paries verticalis; **pxp**: premaxillary process; **pyp**: pterygapophysis; **sac**: opening of superior alveolar canal; **sg**: symphyseal groove; **sf**: splenial facet; **tpr**: transverse process.

RESULTS

Systematic palaeontology

Class **Amphibia** *Gray*, 1825

Order **Caudata** *Scopoli*, 1777

Family **Hynobiidae** *Cope*, 1859

Genus ***Salamandrella*** *Dybowski*, 1870

Salamandrella sp.

(Fig. 3D-3G)

Localities and material examined. Malyi Kalkamana 1, GIN 1107/1001-AM12, 1 right femur; Selety 1A, GIN 951/1001-AM01 – -AM03, 3 trunk and GIN 951/1001-AM04, 1 caudal vertebra; GIN 951/1001-AM05, 1 distal end of bone (humerus?); Novaya Stanitsa 1A, GIN 948/2001-AM01 – -AM11, 11 trunk vertebrae; Lezhanka 2A, GIN 1130/1001-AM01 – -AM26, 26 trunk and GIN 1130/1001-AM27 – -AM28, 2 caudal vertebrae; Cherlak, GIN 1110/2001-AM01 – -AM12, 12 trunk vertebrae; Lezhanka 2B, GIN 1130/2001-AM01, 1 trunk vertebra, GIN 1130/2001-AM02, 1 extremity bone; Olkhovka 1B, GIN 1111/2001-AM01, 1 trunk vertebra; Iskakovka 2A, GIN

1131/2001-AM01, 1 trunk vertebra; Andreievka – Speransko, GIN 1112/1001-AM01, 1 trunk vertebra; Lezhanka 1, GIN 1129/1001-AM01 – -AM02, 2 trunk and GIN 1129/1001-AM03, 1 caudal vertebrae; Beteke 1C, GIN 945/3001-AM01 – -AM02, 2 trunk vertebrae.

Description and comments. The vertebrae have an elongated to nearly slender form. The vertebral centrum is amphicoelous. The basapophyses at the vertebral centrum are either absent or are present in the form of a small protuberance at the laterodorsal corners of the anterior portion of the vertebral centrum (Fig. 3G). A pair of subcentral foramina is situated at the basis of the transverse processes. The neural arch is tall in lateral view (Fig. 3F) and relatively broad in dorsal view (Fig. 3D). The posterior edge of the pterygapophysis is bifurcated. Sometimes the neural spine is present but in general the dorsal surface of the neural arch is flat. The pre- and postzygapophyses have an elongated oval shape. In anterior view, the neural canal has an outline of a regular pentagon. The transverse process is unicapitate (Fig. 3D, 3G). The anterior and posterior alar processes are absent. The vertebrae can be assigned to the family Hynobiidae based on: (1) the small size and their amphicoelous centrum with circular articular surfaces; (2) the lack of or being weakly pronounced basapophyses; (3) the lack of neural spine; (4) the notch on the posterior margin of neural arch; (5) the fused rib-bearers; and (6) the intervertebrally exiting spinal nerve in both trunk and caudal vertebrae (e.g. *Edwards*, 1976; *Venczel*, 1999a, 1999b). Further, characteristic features can be observed on the vertebrae of representatives of the genus *Salamandrella*, namely the absence of the subcentral foramen and the concave anterior margin of the neural arch that reaches the middle part of the prezygapophyseal articular facets (*Venczel*, 1999b; *Ratnikov & Litvinchuk*, 2009; *Syromyatnikova*, 2014) (Fig. 3D-3G). The detailed description of hynobiid material from the Western Siberian localities and comparison with recent and fossil hynobiids is provided in a forthcoming paper.

Family **Cryptobranchidae** *Fitzinger*, 1826

Cryptobranchidae indet.

(Fig. 3A-3C)

Localities and material examined. Pavlodar 1A (=Gusiniy Perelet), 1 fragmentary right dentary and 2 fragments of jaw bones, for details about the stratigraphic allocation see the section 'Cryptobranchidae', unnr. PIN specimen.

Description and comments. Among the fragments, a posterodorsal portion of a large right dentary, 27 mm in length, is present. In lingual view, the pars dentalis is composed entirely of dental lamina and the subdental lamina is present, but reduced. The pars dentalis possesses 30 pedicels of pleurodont teeth. The subdental shelf inclines slightly ventrally. The lamina horizontalis is prominent. The corpus dentalis above the Meckelian groove has a concave surface. Ventrally, this surface possesses a ridge running parallel to the lamina horzontalis. The cross section of the dentary shows a relatively low portion of cancellous bone and a dominance of compact bone. The size of the bones, the form and structure of the pars dentalis and the cross section of the bone are characteristic of giant salamanders (*Vasilyan* et al., 2013).

Family **Proteidae** *Gray*, 1825

Genus ***Mioproteus*** *Estes & Darevsky*, 1977

Mioproteus sp.

(Fig. 3H-3S)

Localities and material examined. Ryzhaya II (known also Ryzhaya Sopka), GNM unnr. specimen, 2 trunk vertebrae; Malyi Kalkaman 2, GIN 1107/2001-AM01, 1 right premaxilla; Borki 1A, GIN 1115/1001-AM01, 1 trunk vertebra; Ayakoz, GNM unnr. specimen, 1 trunk vertebra; Akespe, unnr. HC specimens, 3 vertebrae; Petropavlovsk 1/2, GNM unnr. specimen, 22 vertebrae.

Description. The preserved left premaxilla is fragmentary (Fig. 3P-3Q) and the posterior process is broken off. In ventral view, the bone has a rough surface. The pars dentalis of the premaxilla is located on the anterior side of the bone. The crowns of pleurodont teeth are missing and only their pedicellar portions are preserved. The bone surface is slightly rough in dorsal view. The lamelliform anterolateral ridge of the posterior process is high at the middle part of the bone. The amphicoelous vertebrae are flat and wide. The centrum is dumb-bell in shape and narrows to the middle region. The basapophyses, if present, are small and weakly developed. Two subcentral foramina are present at the central part of the vertebral centrum. In lateral view, the vertebra is low; the anterior and posterior zygapophyseal crests are pointed, forming the dorsal border of the deep depressions anteriorly and posteriorly to the transverse process. The middle part of the neural arch is lower than its cranial and caudal margins. The posterior edge of the neural arch is forked (Fig. 3H) (not visible at Fig. 3M). The neural spine extends as far as the preserved anterior margins of the neural arch, whereas posteriorly, it terminates before the posterior margin of the neural arch. The preserved right pre- and postzygapophyseal articular facets are ellipsoid.

Comparison and comments. A direct comparison with *Mioproteus* specimens from previous reports was not possible due to the extremely scarce description of the skull elements attributed to this taxon (e.g. *Estes & Darevsky*, 1977; *Miklas*, 2002). We therefore used the material of *Mioproteus* sp. from the Grytsiv locality (Ukraine, earliest Late Miocene) (Fig. 3R-3S) for the taxonomic identification of the fossil premaxilla from Malyi Kalkaman 2 (Fig. 3P-3Q). Our comparison founds no differences in the premaxilla morphology between the Kazakhstan and Ukrainian *Mioproteus* sp. The vertebrae from the Borki 1A and Ayakoz localities can be easily assigned to the genus *Mioproteus* based on following characters: (1) robust vertebra with an amphicoelous centrum; (2) a tall cranial margin of the neural arch; (3) the presence of the basapophyses; (4) a distinct wide depression at the anterior base of the transverse process; (5) intervertebrally exiting spinal nerves; and (6) a forked neural spine (*Edwards*, 1976; *Estes & Darevsky*, 1977; *Ivanov*, 2008).

Family **Salamandridae** *Goldfuss*, 1820

Subfamily **Pleurodelinae** *Tschudi*, 1838

Genus ***Chelotriton*** *Pomel*, 1853

Chelotriton sp.

(Fig. 3T-3Y)

Localities and material examined. Malyi Kalkaman 1, GNM unnr. specimen, 1 trunk vertebra; Ayakoz, GNM unnr. specimen, 1 trunk vertebra.

Description. The single fragmentary trunk vertebra of *Chelotriton* from the Malyi Kalkaman 1 locality has been scantily described (*Tleuberdina* et al., 1993, pp. 133-134). The centrum of the vertebra is ophistocoelous and dorsally curved. Both the posterior one-third of the vertebra and cotyle are broken. The condyle is dorsoventrally slightly compressed and oval in shape. The middle part of the ventral surface of the centrum bears a pair of the foramina subcentrale. The ventral bases of both transverse processes are pierced by a foramen (potentially the ventral foramen for the spinal nerve).

The neural spine is tall, long, and almost equal in length to the vertebral centrum. The dorsal surface of the neural spine has the form of an elongated isosceles triangle and it is covered by a distinct pustular sculpture. The anterior margin of the neural spine is concave in outline. The posterior half of the spine is wider than the anterior one (Fig. 3Y). In anterior view, the neural arch and the neural canal have a triangular form. The roof of the neural canal is flat, on both sides of the neural spine.

The pre- and postzygapophyses are damaged. The anterior portion of the left postzygapophysis is present and it shows a horizontal surface. The anterior bases of both prezygapophyses at the contact with the centrum possess small subprezygapophyseal foramina. Behind the left prezygapophysis, the accessory alar process exhibits a marked step (Fig. 3Y), projects posteroventrally and connects caudally with the anterior alar process. The contact point of the accessory and anterior alar processes probably corresponds to the base of the parapophysis. Both transverse processes are broken, but the bases are preserved. Apparently, two rounded upper and lower prominences, seen in left lateral view, correspond to the dia- and parapophysis. The parapophysis is located anteriorly and dorsally to the level of the diapophysis; thus, the transverse process becomes a bent projection. The arterial canal runs behind the base of the transverse process. Anteriorly, its dorsal and ventral walls are built by the accessory and anterior alar processes.

The vertebra from the Ayakoz locality (Fig. 3T-3X) is fragmentary, its neural arch and left transverse process are lost, the centrum is compact, short and wide, and it possesses an elliptical central foramen. The diapophysis of the preserved right transverse process is broken, but it can be assumed that the dia- and parapophysis were separated from each other. The accessory alar process runs from the prezygapophysis to the dorsal edge of the diapophysis. The posterior and anterior alar processes run from the cotyle and condyle straight along the transverse process to the parapophysis. This morphology is characteristic of the first trunk vertebrae.

Comparison and comments. This vertebra was previously described by *Tleuberdina et al.* (1993). Here we have assigned this specimen to the genus *Chelotriton* owing to the presence of a triangular and well-sculptured plate on the top of the neurapophysis. This character, however, is not a unique feature of *Chelotriton* and is also seen in other salamanders, e.g. Recent species of *Tylototriton* and *Echinotriton*, and in *Cynops pyrrhogaster*, *Lissotriton boscai* (unnr. GPIT specimen), *Paramesotriton* (MNCN 23557, 13645), as well as the fossil taxa *Archaeotriton* (*Böhme*, 1998), aff. *Tylototriton* sp. (Baikadam locality, this paper), *Carpathotriton* (*Venczel*, 2008). The vertebra from the Malyi Kalkaman 1 resembles the species of *Chelotriton*, *Paramesotriton*, *Tylototriton*, *Echinotriton, Cynops pyrrhogaster*, and

Carpathotriton in their mutual presence of a subprezygapophyseal foramen. The vertebra can, however, be justified as *Chelotriton* sp. and distinguished from other salamanders by: (1) its longer length (vs. *Echinotriton*, *Cynops* and *Carpathotriton*); (2) a longer neural spine with a rugose sculptured and triangular dorsal surface (vs. aff. *Tylototriton* sp., Baikadam locality, this paper); and (3) a well-pronounced accessory alar process (vs. *Tylototriton*).

The fragmentary vertebra from the Ayakoz locality can be assigned also to this group because of the presence of massive rib-bearers and large dimensions (*Ivanov*, 2008). Its vertebra is identical to that of vertebra of *Chelotriton* sp. type II described from the Mokrá-Western Quarry, 2/2003 Reptile Joint (Early Miocene, Czech Republic) (*Ivanov*, 2008).

The abundant European Cenozoic record of the genus *Chelotriton*, however, showed that vertebral morphology is insufficient for taxonomic identification as *Chelotriton* (*Böhme*, 2008). This genus has an unknown higher diversity, which can be uncovered by the study of complete skeletons of those species. We hence classified the vertebrae from studied localities as aff. *Chelotriton* sp.

Genus ***Tylototriton*** Anderson, 1871 (*Anderson*, 1871)

aff. ***Tylototriton*** sp.

(Fig. 4A-4K)

Locality and material examined. Baikadam, GIN 950/2001-AM01, -A14–-A17 5 trunk vertebrae; Ayakoz, GNM unnr. specimen, 2 trunk vertebrae.

Description. All preserved vertebrae are opisthocoelous. The condyle and cotyle are dorsoventrally compressed. The vertebrae are slender, slightly narrow, and high. The neural canal is round, but in anterior view, the ventral margin of the neural canal is flat. The same occurs with the dorsal wall of the vertebral centrum. The centrum is dorsally curved in lateral view (Fig. 4A, 4F, 4G). The neural spine was most probably high but does not reach the level of the pustular region. The neural spine begins behind the cranial margin of the neural arch. The neural arch is tilted dorsally and does not extend beyond the posterior edge of the postzygapophysis. The dorsal plate of the neural spine is short, poorly developed, and covered with rugosities. It has the form of an isosceles triangle. Due to the concave shape of the posterior margin of the caudal border, we suggest that the neural spine was probably bifurcated. The length of the neural spine, without the sculptured structure, is the same in all preserved vertebrae and corresponds nearly to almost half of the entire vertebral length (Fig. 4A, 4F, 4G).

The pre- and postzygapophyses are horizontal and almost at the same level (e.g. Fig. 4A). The pre- and postzygapophyseal articular facets are oval in shape. Small subprezygapophyseal foramina are present at the level of the connection between the anterior bases of both prezygapophyses with the vertebral centrum. The posterolaterally directed transverse process is horizontally flattened and displays a bicapitate articulation surface with the rip. The diapophysis and parapophysis are separated, with the former being smaller than the latter. A low and moderately deep notch is developed at the posterior edge of the neural arch. The transverse process has an anterior (accessory alar process) and posterior laminar edges (i.e. the posterior alar process and dorsal lamina). The straight, posteroventrally directed accessory alar process connects the prezygapophysis caudally with the base of the parapophysis (e.g. 4F). The dorsal lamina starts from the diapophysis and extends to the postzygapophyses, whereas the lamelliform posterior alar process starts at the parapophysis and terminates directly before the cotyle. Subparallel to the accessory alar process, a thin anterior alar process runs along the cranial half of the centrum. Behind and in front of the transverse process two ‘cavities’ (a shallow anterior and a deep posterior) are present. These ‘cavities’ are connected by a canal (possibly an arterial canal), that runs through the transverse process. In ventral view, the vertebral centrum does not possess a ventral keel. The centrum is flattened and nearly plane in the middle portion. Its surface is rough and pierced by numerous foramina. Two large subcentral foramina are located at the posterior corner between the centrum and transverse process (Fig. 4C, 4L).

Comparison and comments. The vertebrae resemble the morphology of pleurodeline salamanders *Echinotriton*, *Tylototriton*, *Cynops*, *Chelotriton, Paramesotriton* and *Tylototriton* and *Carpathotriton* in characteristics such as: (1) the presence of rugosities on the neural arch; (2) the connection of the prezygapophysis and parapophysis with the accessory alar process, except in *Carpathotriton*, *Cynops* and cf. *Tylototriton* sp. from Möhren 13 (*Böhme*, 2010: p. 11, fig. 6f), where this process connects prezygapophysis with diapophysis; (3) a moderately developed posterior ‘cavity’ behind the transverse process; and (4) the presence of subprezygapophyseal foramen (for collection references see subsection ‘Comparison’ of *Chelotriton* sp. in this report). In terms of the general morphology, the vertebrae mainly resemble the genus *Tylototriton* and differ from the compared genera in having: (1) a low, elongate, narrow and lesser flattened vertebrae; (2) a weakly developed pustular structure of the neural arch (similar character as seen in *Paramesotriton*); (3) a low and long neural spine without the sculptured structure; (4) a dorsoventrally compressed cotyle and condyle; (5) a deep posterior ‘cavity’ behind the transverse process, and an extended dorsal lamina and posterior alar process; (6) a low and shallow posterior notch of the neural arch; and (7) in having an accessory alar process that reaches the parapophysis, which differs from specimens of the genus *Cynops* wherein it reaches the diapophysis. The Siberian *Tylototriton* differs from the European Oligocene cf. *Tylototriton* (see *Böhme*, 2010: p. 11, fig. 6f) by having: (1) a ventrally deflected accessory alar process that terminates ventrally to the parapophysis; (2) a shorter and lower neural spine; and (3) a shorter dorsal plate of the neural spine.

Taking into account the above-mentioned differences, we suggest that the described vertebrae should be assigned to a new pleurodeline salamander genus that shows affinities with the genus *Tylototriton*. However, we do not consider it reasonable to describe a new form unless cranial material of this salamander is available.

Order **Anura** *Fischer von Waldheim*, 1813

Family **Palaeobatrachidae** (*Cope*, 1865)

Palaeobatrachidae sp. indet.

(Fig. 5A-5D)

Locality and material examined. Novaya Stanitsa 1A, GIN 948/2001-AM12, 1 sphenethmoid.

Description. This specimen is represented by a very robust sphenethmoid that lacks the posterior region. The two anterior cavities corresponding to the antrum olfactorium are anteroposteriorly shallow. The posterior cavity, antrum pro lobo olfactorio, is deep and narrow (Fig. 5A, 5B). The olfactory foramen is larger than the orbitonasal foramen (Fig. 5C). The processus rostralis is elongated and projects anteriorly. Anteriorly, dorsal face of the bone, two sharply marked crescentic depressions (nasal facets) correspond to the contacts with the nasal bones (Fig. 5A). In dorsal view, the frontoparietal facet (contacting with the frontoparietal cranial bones) shows a slightly striated surface. The lateral processes protrude laterally. The lamina supraorbitalis is well developed. The most anterior part of the incisura semielliptical is preserved on the specimen. The remaining part of this structure demonstrates that it approaches cranially to the anterior border of the bone. The ventral face of the sphenethmoid possesses a narrow and long depression corresponding to the contact area with the cultriform process of the parasphenoid (the parasphenoid facet) (Fig. 5B).

Comparison and comments. The bone has strong similarities to that of palaeobatrachids in having: (1) a long sphenethmoid with a frontoparietal fenestra corresponding to more than half of the bone length; (2) the articulation area of the parasphenoid delimited by two parallel ridges, in ventral view; (3) a very short septum nasi and lateral process (*Vergnaud-Grazzini & Młynarski*, 1969; *Sanchíz & Młynarski*, 1979). The palaeobatrachid from the Novaya Stanitsa 1A locality exhibits all these characters aside from the short septum nasi, which is longer in the fossil bone. We presume that the frontoparietal fenestra was longer more than half of the sphenethmoid length because the overall length of the frontoparietal and nasal facets has similar proportions as these seen in other palaeobatrachids. Furthermore, according to *Venczel, Codrea & Fărcaş* (2012), the sphenethmoidal ossification forms the anterior margin of frontoparietal fontanelle in palaeobatrachid frogs (*Palaeobatrachus* + *Albionbatrachus*), which can also be observed in the studied specimen.

Family **Bombinatoridae** *Gray*, 1825

Genus ***Bombina*** *Oken*, 1816

Bombina sp. / ***Bombina*** cf. ***bombina*** (*Linnaeus*, 1761)

(Fig. 6A-6F)

Localities and material examined. Malyi Kalkaman 2, GIN 1107/2001-AM02, 1 ilium; Selety 1A, GIN 1107/2001-AM06, 1 ilium; Cherlak, GIN 1107/2001-AM06, 1 ilium.

Description. The bone description is based on the ilium from the Selety 1A locality, since the specimens from the Malyi Kalkaman 2 and Cherkal localities are greatly damaged. In lateral view, the iliac shaft is almost straight and lacks the dorsal crest. The tuber superior is a weakly pronounced tubercle. In dorsal view, a spiral groove is observable and continues on the medial surface of the shaft. The acetabulum is round and strongly extended (Fig. 6A). The junction between the iliac shaft and corpus ossi is slightly constricted and the ventral base of the corpus ossi possesses a preacetabular fossa. The ventral ridge of the acetabulum is high. In lateral and posterior views, the pars descendens is reduced and wide, whereas the pars ascendens is high but narrow (Fig. 6A, 6B). In ventral view, the pars descendens is broad and nearly flat. In medial view, the acetabular area is bordered by shallow ridges, between which there is, a triangular and medially prominent interiliac tubercle (Fig. 6B, 6C).

Comparison and comments. The lack of the vexillum and a poorly developed tuber superior is characteristic of the genus *Bombina* (*Böhme*, 1977). The ilium differs from *Bombina orientalis* by a poorly developed tuber superior. The ilium from the Selety 1A locality is distinguishable from *Bombina variegata* and resembles *Bombina bombina* in having: (1) a developed pars descendens; (2) a posteroventral ridge of the pars descendens projecting ventrally rather than posteriorly (*Böhme*, 1977); and (3) a developed preacetabular fossa (*Sanchíz & Młynarski*, 1979). We, therefore, tentatively assign the bone to *B. bombina* due to the absence of well-preserved material of the fire-bellied toads from the Selety 1A locality. The specific assignment of the ilia from the Malyi Kalkaman 2 locality is impossible due to their fragmentary preservation; therefore we describe them as *Bombina* sp.

The specimen from the Cherlak locality (Fig. 6D-6F) is greatly damaged with only a few observable characters remaining that allow for its identification within Bombinatoridae. The identifying characters are: (1) a large pars descendens at its anterior section, but dorsally reduced; (2) a present but larger tuber superior than that of the Maly Kalkaman 2 and Selety 1A specimens (within the family, larger tuber superior are present in the *Barbatula* (*Folie* et al., 2013)); and (3) although the ventral wall of the acetabulum is not preserved, the remaining part of its base allows for the assumption that it was markedly pronounced. Due to the incomplete preservation, the important characters needed for taxonomic identification, e.g. interiliac tubercle and junctura ilioischiadica, cannot be observed. The ilium from the Cherlak locality can, therefore, be tentatively referred to the family Bombinatoridae.

Family **Pelobatidae** *Bonaparte*, 1850

Genus ***Pelobates*** *Wagler*, 1830

Pelobates sp.

(Fig. 6G-6I)

Localities and material examined. Selety 1A, GIN 1110/2001-AM13, 1 right ilium.

Description. The corpus ossi and distal portion of the iliac shaft are present. The tips of the pars descendes and pars ascendes are broken. The bone surface is smooth and there is no tuber superior. An oblique posterolaterally-anteromedially directed spiral groove extends on the dorsal surface. Laterally, the high and long pars ascendens possesses a supraacetabular fossa (Fig. 6I). The junction between the iliac shaft and corpus ossi is not constricted. The subacetabular groove is shallow and broad. The acetabulum has a nearly triangular form, with a well-marked rim. In medial view, the corpus ilii possesses an interiliac facet with a rugose surface. The interiliac facet is composed of a large lower and a small upper portions. A well-developed interiliac tubercle is visible between these portions (Fig. 6G). The lower portion is ventroposteriorly oblique, whereas the upper one is flat, less rugose and has a concave surface. The rugose surface of the facet indicates an extensive contact between two ilia (Fig. 6G, 6H). The acetabular dorsal tuber is higher than the ventral one.

Comparison and comments. The ilium can be assigned to the family Pelobatidae based on the absence of a dorsal crest, the absence of a dorsal tubercle and the presence of an oblique spiral groove on the dorsal surface (*Roček* et al., 2014). The bone has the same characters of the genus *Pelobates*: (1) a high and long pars ascendes; (2) a well-developed spiral groove (*Böhme*, 2010); (3) the lack of a dorsal crest of the iliac shaft (*Folie* et al., 2013); and (4) a rugose surface of the interiliac facet (*Rage & Hossini*, 2000). However, further identification of the ilium is impossible, as it does not show relevant differences at the specific level.

Family **Hylidae** *Rafinesque*, 1815

Genus ***Hyla*** *Laurenti*, 1768

Hyla savignyi *Audouin*, 1827

Hyla gr. ***H. savignyi***

(Fig. 6J-6O)

Localities and material examined. Shet-Irgyz 1, GIN 1106/1001-AM01, 1 left ilium; Novaya Stanitsa 1A, GIN 948/2001-AM20, 1 maxilla, GIN 948/2001-AM13, 1 scapula and GIN 948/2001-AM14, 1 sacral vertebra; Lezhanka 2A, GIN 1130/1001-AM29 – -AM32, 4 ilia, GIN 1130/1001-AM33 – -AM36, 4 scapulae and GIN 1130/1001-AM41, 1 trunk vertebra; Cherlak, GIN 1130/1001-AM14 – -AM15, 2 ilia; Olkhovka 1B, GIN 1111/2001-AM02, 1 fragmentary ilium; Pavlodar 2B, GIN 1108/2001-AM01 – -AM03, 3 ilia.

Description. The ilia from all localities resemble the same morphology, i.e. the tuber superior is dorsally prominent and slightly laterally. The tuber superior is located at the anterior corner of the acetabulum. The preserved iliac shaft is nearly cylindrical, slightly mediolaterally compressed and is devoid of crista dorsalis. The supraacetabular part of the ilium is smaller than the preacetabular. The ventroposterior margin of the iliac shaft is connected with the pars descendes by an expanded preacetabular zone, building a broad and thin lamina. The acetabulum has a nearly triangular form. The acetabular rim is prominent at its high ventroanterior edge. The posterodorsal corner of the acetabulum ascends and builds a small and prominent acetabular tuber (Fig. 6L). In medial view, the bone surface is smooth, sometimes with a shallow depression in the middle part of the corpus ossi. In distal view, the junctura ilioischiadica is slender, the acetabulum is high and the interiliac facet displays a well-pronounced ventromedial expansion. The acetabular dorsal tuber is higher than the ventral one (Fig. 6K).

The scapula, a triradiate element of the pectoral girdle, is comparatively long (Fig. 6M-6O). The bone surface is relatively smooth and is pierced by several foramina. The corpus scapulae, the middle part of the bone, is slender and long. The pars suprascapularis is preserved in a fragmentary state and most probably was not high. In dorsal view, the elongate pars acromialis is narrow and almost equal in length (Fig. 6M). The shorter and flattened processus glenoidalis is slightly broad. The processus glenoidalis and pars acromialis are separated by relatively deep sinus interglenoidalis (Fig. 6N). The margo posterior, at the corner of the processus gleinoidalis and corpus scapula, possesses an oval to elongated-oval angular fossa (Fig. 6N, 6O). The tear-shaped glenoid fossa reaches the posterior corner of the processus glenoidalis. The crista supraglenoidalis is slightly pronounced.

Comparison and comments. The Siberian fossil tree frog differs from already described fossils and some recent species of the genus *Hyla*. The following recent material is available for comparison: *Hyla savignyi*, Armenia (four individuals, unnr. GPIT specimen), *Hyla orientalis*, Armenia (two individuals, unnr. GPIT specimen) and *Hyla arborea*, Germany ? (one individual, unnr. GPIT specimen). The Siberian forms can be distinguished from *Hyla* sp. (Rudabánya locality in Hungary, middle Late Miocene (*Roček*, 2005); Bois Roche Cave in France, early Late

Pleistocene (*Blain & Villa*, 2006)), *Hyla arborae* (TD8 locality in Spain, early Middle Pleistocene (*Blain*, 2009)), *Hyla* cf. *arborea* (Mátraszőlős 2 locality in Hungary, middle Middle Miocene (*Venczel*, 2004)), *Hyla* gr. *H. arborea* (Capo Mannu D1 Local Fauna in Italy, Late Pliocene (*Delfino, Bailon & Pitruzzella*, 2011)), *Hyla* aff. *japonica* (Tologoy 38x, Baikal Lake, Russia, late Late Pleistocene (*Ratnikov*, 1997)) and Recent *Hyla japonica* (*Nokariya*, 1983) in having: (a) a fossa supragleinoidalis; (b) a slenderer and lower corpus scapula and pars suprascapularis; and (c) a shorter and broader processus glenoidalis. Apart from these differences, the Siberian fossil tree frogs resemble *Hyla* sp. from the Bois Roche Cave, France (*Blain & Villa*, 2006), and *H. arborea* (one individual, unnr. GPIT specimen) in possessing a low and broad processus glenoidalis. The Recent *H. savignyi* is the only tree frog showing a fossa supragleinoidalis like the one present in the studied remains. The Recent *H. savignyi* also possesses other similarities to the fossil tree frog, namely: (1) a slender junctura ilioischiadica; (2) the same position of the tuber superior; (3) comparable acetabular tubers; and (4) a similar slightly curved pars ascendens. There are, however, also differences between the Recent *H. savignyi* and the fossil tree frog. The fossil tree frog has: (1) a dorsally and slightly laterally prominent tuber superior; (2) a deeper and larger fossa supragleinoidalis; and (3) a ventromedial expansion of the interiliac facet; whereas *H. savigyni* has: (1) a dorsally and laterally significantly prominent tuber superior; (2) a shallow and small angular fossa; and (3) the interiliac facet devoid of ventromedial expansion. Among other fossil tree frogs, the Western Siberian *Hyla* sp. has the lowest and broadest processus glenoidalis. Another fossil tree frog *Hyla* sp. reported from the Russian Platform in the Kuznetsovka locality (0.5-0.65 Ma) (*Ratnikov*, 2002: fig. 2), displays a similar morphology of the ilium as in the Siberian fossil, i.e. the orientation of the tuber superior and the form of the junctura ilioischiadica. Because of the observed differences in both the Recent and fossil forms, as well as the similarities to *H. savignyi*, we assume that the fossil tree frogs from Western Siberian and the Russian Platform, probably represent a new form related to the group of *Hyla savignyi*.

Family **Bufonidae** *Gray*, 1825

Genus ***Bufo*** *Laurenti*, 1768

Bufo bufo (*Linnaeus*, 1758)

(Fig. 6P-6W)

Localities and material examined. Novaya Stanitsa 1A, GIN 948/2001-AM15, 1 left and GIN 948/2001-AM16 – -17, 2 right ilia, GIN 948/2001-AM18 – -19, 2 trunk vertebrae; Borki 1A, GIN 1115/1001-AM02, 1 sacral vertebra, GIN 1115/1001-AM03, 1 left ilium; Olkhovka 1B, GIN 1111/2001-AM04, 1 left, GIN 1111/2001-AM03, 2 right ilia and GIN 1111/2001-AM05, 1 trunk vertebra; Olkhovka 1C, GIN 1111/3001-AM01, 1 left scapula, GIN 1111/3001-AM02, 1 trunk vertebra and GIN 1111/3001-AM03, 1 urostyle; Lezhanka 2A, GIN 1130/1001-AM37, 1 left ilia, GIN 1130/1001-AM38, 1 left scapula, GIN 1130/1001-AM39, 1 sacral and GIN 1130/1001-AM40, 1 trunk vertebrae; Isakovka 1B, GIN 1131/3001-AM01, 1 left ilium; Isakovka 1A: GIN 1131/1001-AM01, -AM05, 2 right ilia; Peshniovo 3, GIN 1118/3001-AN01, 1 sacral vertebra; Lezhanka 1, GIN 1129/1001-AM04, 1 trunk vertebra; Andreievka 1, GIN 1112/2001-AM01 1 right scapula.

Description and comments. The ilia are large and have a robust corpus ossi. The spiral groove is broad and very shallow. The tuber superior is broad, low, covered with irregular tubercles, and it is situated above the acetabulum (Fig. 6P). The smooth and concave pars descendens is more developed than the pars ascendens. The ventral edge of the pars descendens is thin and lamelliform. The preacetabular fossa is absent (Fig. 6P). In posterior view, the anterolateral edge of the acetabular is strongly curved. The junctura ilioischiadica shows a higher acetabular ventral tuber than the dorsal tuber, and the ventral half of the corpus ossi projects ventromedially (Fig. 6P).

The scapula is a robust bone and is longer than it is high. The material is represented by all ontogenetic series. The angular fossa is absent; a shallow groove on the ventral side of the pars acromialis is present and well pronounced in larger individuals. The pars acromialis and corpus scapula have nearly the same height. The pars suprascapularis laterally increases in height. The pars suprascapularis and corpus scapulae (anterior) have smooth surfaces. The base of the lateral edge of the fossa glenoidalis is elevated but does not project laterally. The crista supraglenoidalis is well developed in larger individuals. The anterior margin is concave. The base of the pars acromalis is high and thin (Fig. 6R).There is a shallow and expanded depression in ventral view. The anteromadial margin of the pars acromalis possesses a low tubercle. The transition from the corpus scapula to the pars acromialis is nearly straight and the wall is thin (Fig 6S, 6T).

In several localities, the large-sized frog vertebrae and urostyle (Fig. 6U-6W) are present in association with diagnostic elements (ilia and scapula) (e.g. Olkhovka 1C locality) or are isolated (e.g. Pehsniovo 3 locality). These individuals of the same size can be assigned to the large *Bufo bufo*. The morphological traits described above (e.g. lack of angular fossa on the scapula and preacetabular fossa on ilium, general outline, form, and size of the scapula and ilium) as well as the bone dimensions are the same as those found in the common toad *Bufo bufo* (*Blain, Gibert & Ferràndez-Cañadell*, 2010).

Genus ***Bufotes*** *Rafinesque*, 1815

Bufotes viridis *Laurenti*, 1768

(Fig. 6X-6Z)

Localities and material examined. Baikadam, GIN 950/2001-AM02 – -AM04, 3 left and GIN 950/2001-AM05 – -AM09, 5 right ilia; Malyi Kalkaman 1, GIN 1107/1001-AM02 and -AM03, 1 left and 1 right scapulae; Malyi Kalkaman 2, GIN 1107/2001-AM03, 1 right scapula; Znamenka, GIN 1109/1001-AM01 and -AM02, 1 left and 1 right scapulae, GIN 1109/1001-AM03 – -AM07, 5 left and GIN 1109/1001-AM08 – -AM11, 4 right ilia; Pavlodar 1A, GIN 640/5001-AM01 – -AM24, 240 left and GIN 640/5001-AM25 – -AM58, 34 right ilia, GIN 640/5001-AM63 – -AM77, 15 left and GIN 640/5001-AM78 – -AM88, 11 right scapulae; Cherlak, GIN 1110/2001-AM16, 1 right ilium; Selety 1A, GIN 951/1001-AM08 – -AM10, 3 left and GIN 951/1001-AM11 – -AM14, 4 right ilia; Isakovka 1A, GIN 1131/1001-AM02 – -AM04, 3 left ilia; Kedey, GIN 951/2001-AM01 and – -AM02, 1 left and 1 right ilia; Lebiazhie 1A, GIN 950/3001-AM01, 1 left scapula, GIN 950/3001-AM01 2 left ilia; Lebiazhie 1B, GIN 950/4001-AM01, -AM02, 2 right ilia.

Description and comments. The iliac shaft is slightly lateromedially compressed and bears a weakly pronounced depression along the middle section. The spiral groove between the corpus ossi and iliac shaft is weakly developed. The tuber superior is low and possesses a uni- or bilabiate protuberance in its central part. The angular fossa is well pronounced. The anteroventral edge of the acetabular rim is straight. The pars descendens projects sharply ventrally. There is no observable 'calamita' ridge (Fig. 6X). The remains show typical features for *Bufotes viridis*: i.e. the form and shape of the tuber superior and acetabulum (*Böhme*, 1977; *Blain, Gibert & Ferràndez-Cañadell*, 2010). Due to the absence of well-preserved material, we prefer to tentatively assign the remains to the *Bufotes viridis* group.

Bufo sp.

Localities and material examined. Cherlak, GIN 1110/2001-AM17, 1 left scapula; Olkhovka 1A, GIN 1111/1001-AM01, -AM02, 2 left ilia; Pavlodar 2B, GIN 1108/2001-AM04 – -AM06, 3 left ilia.

Description and comments. The greatly damaged ilia exhibits the typical morphology of the genus *Bufo*, i.e. the iliac shaft without the dorsal crest and a spiral groove between the shaft and corpus ilii (*Böhme*, 1977). There is a preacetabular fossa in the caudoventral corner of the acetabulum. The tuber superior is eroded. In medial view, the pars descendens is ventromedially directed.

Family **Ranidae** *Batsch, A. J. G. K.*, 1796

Genus ***Pelophylax*** *Fitzinger*, 1843

Pelophylax sp.

(Fig. 6AA-6AD)

Localities and material examined. Malyi Kalkaman 1, GIN 1107/1001-AM04, 1 left ilium, GIN 1107/1001-AM13, 1 left articular; Malyi Kalkaman 2, GIN 1107/2001-AM04, -AM05, 2 right ilia , and GIN 1107/2001-AM06, 1 left ilium; Petropavlovsk 1, GIN 952/1001-AM01, 1 left ilium; Olkhovka 1C, GIN 1111/3001-AM04, 1 right ilium; Kamyshovo, GIN 1107/1001-AM01, 1 right scapula; Lezhanka 1, GIN 1129/1001-AM05, 1 left and GIN 1129/1001-AM06, 1 right ilia, GIN 1129/1001-AM07, 1 left scapula; Andreevka 1, GIN 1112/2001-AM02, 1 right and GIN 1112/2001-AM03, 1 left ilia; Livenka, GIN 1129/2001-AM01, 1 right ilium.

Description and comments. The ilia have a strong, oval, nearly vertically oriented and ventrally well-defined high tuber superior. The dorsal crest is high; anteriorly it is often broken. The tuber superior is high and slightly more S-shaped than the crest; a well-developed supraacetabular fossa is present. Posterior to the tuber, the dorsal margin of the bone is bent ventrally towards the acetabulum. In posterior view, the tuber superior is curved ventromedially (Fig. 6AA). The junctura ilioschiadica is damaged but based on the preserved structures we speculate that it was tall (Fig. 6AB).

The scapula is an elongated and short bone. In ventral view, a weakly-developed crista supraglenoidalis is observable. It runs subparallel to the margo posterior and reaches the middle part of the pars suprascapulars (Fig. 6AC, 6AD).

The characters listed above, i.e. like the form and orientation of bones, tuber superior and crista supraglenoidalis, allow for the attribution of the fossils to the genus of the green (water) frogs *Pelophylax* (*Böhme*, 1977; *Sanchíz, Schleich & Esteban*, 1993; *Bailon*, 1999; *Blain, Bailon & Agustí*, 2007). Any further identification is impossible due to the fragmentary preservation of the material.

Genus ***Rana*** Linnaeus, 1758 (*Linnaeus*, 1758)

Rana sp. / ***Rana temporaria*** Nilsson, 1842 (*Nilsson*, 1842)

(Fig. 6AE-6AH)

Localities and material examined. Ayakoz, unnr. HC specimens, numerous ilia, Baikadam, GIN 950/2001-AM10, 1 left, GIN 950/2001-AM11 – -AM13, and 3 right ilia; Malyi Kalkaman 1, GIN 1107/1001-AM05 – -AM09, 5 left ilia, GIN 1107/1001-AM10, 1 right ilia, GIN 1107/1001-AM01, -AM11, 2 right scapula; Malyi Kalkaman 2, GIN 1107/2001-AM07, 1 right ilium, GIN 1107/2001-AM08 – -AM13, 6 left ilia; Olkhovka 1C, GIN 1111/3001-AM05, 1 right ilium; Lezhanka 1, GIN 1129/1001-AM08, 1 left ilium; Kentyubek, unnr. HC specimens, 2 left ilia.

Description. The ilia have a reduced, compact, anteriorly directed and low tuber superior. The lateral surface is rough. The dorsal crest is low. The pars descendens is more developed than the pars ascendens (Fig.6AE). In posterior view, the junctura ilioschiadica Is low (Fig. 6AF) in comparison to the ilium of *Pelophylax* sp. (Fig. 6AA). The tuber superior projects dorsolaterally. The pars descendens projects medially (Fig. 6AE).

The middle portions of both scapulae are preserved without the proximal parts of the pars acromialis and suprascapularis. In dorsal view, a crista supraglenoidalis is observable at the processus glenoidalis, which continues until the pars suprascapularis along the longitudinal axis of the bone. It is very prominent and forms a lamelliform convex ridge. The base of the processus glenoidalis is high and straight (Fig. 6AG, 6AH).

Comments. The ilia and scapulae morphology strongly resembles that of brown frogs genus, *Rana* (*Böhme*, 1977). Due to the fragmentary preservation of the bone material, any precise taxonomic identification of the frogs from nearly all localities was impossible. The comparison with Recent species (e.g. *Rana temporaria* (unnr. GPIT specimen), *Rana dalamtina* (unnr. GPIT specimen; *Bailon*, 1999), *Rana graeca* (unnr. GPIT specimen), *Rana arvalis* (unnr. GPIT specimen), *Rana dybowskii* (MNCN 40459), *Rana amurensis* (unnr. GPIT specimen) etc.) revealed more similarities with the European and Western Asiatic species rather than to Eastern Asiatic brown frogs.

Only the Malyi Kalkaman 1 locality provided adequate material for specific identification. The ilia and scapulae from this locality's material resembled the Recent species, *Rana temporaria*, which has the widest distribution among the brown frogs in Eurasia. The fossil bones of brown frogs from other Western Siberian localities are described here as *Rana* sp. Due to the poor preservation of the ilia from the Kentyubek locality, it can be only identified at the family level.

Class **Reptilia** *Laurenti*, 1768

Order **Squamata** *Oppel*, 1811

Suborder **Gekkota** *Cuvier*, 1817

Family **Gekkonidae** *Gray*, 1825

Genus ***Alsophylax*** *Fitzinger*, 1843

Alsophylax sp.

(Fig. 7)

Locality and material examined. Cherlak, GIN 1110/2001-RE01 – -RE10, 10 right dentaries, GIN 1110/2001-RE11 – -RE24, 14 left dentaries, GIN 1110/2001-RE26 – -RE38, 13 left maxillae, GIN 1110/2001-RE39 – -RE43, 5 right maxillae, GIN 1110/2001-RE44, 1 cervical trunk vertebra; Mynsualmas-MSA 3: 1 right maxilla, unnr. GPIT specimen.

Description.

Tooth morphology. The teeth are slender, unicuspid, and not narrowly arranged. All maxillaries and dentary teeth are straight, except the most anterior ones on the dentary, which are anteriorly lightly oblique. The central teeth on dental lamina of both the maxilla and dentary are larger than the anterior and posterior ones (Fig. 7C, 7G). The cusps of maxilla teeth are rarely posteriorly oriented. The most complete dentary bone contains at least 17 (in total, probably 20) teeth, counted by both teeth and their alveoles (Fig. 7B-7D).

Dentaries. The dentary is a slender and elongated. In the symphyseal region, the bone is slightly medially curved. The pars ventralis is assumed to be enlarged, due to the bone posteriorly increasing in height. The dentary is characterised by a completely closed Meckelian canal, which runs along approximately two-thirds of the bone length (Fig. 7B). The symphyseal articulation surface is reduced. It does not build a pronounced articulation surface. The ventral surface of the symphysis bears a longitudinal, posteriorly deepening symphyseal groove, visible in both the lingual and ventral views (Fig. 7B-7D). The Meckelian canal is open posteriorly at about the 15th-16th tooth position. The splenial facet on the dentary, the anterior margin of Meckelian opening, shows a light concave and elongated surface (Fig. 7B-7E). In lateral view, the bone is smooth, and the only complete dentary possesses five foramina that are arranged in a longitudinal row (Fig. 7A). The size of the foramina increases slightly in the anteroposterior direction, also changing in form from a more rounded outline to an oval appearance. The position of the last mental foramen is arranged lingually in front of the posterior opening of the Meckelian canal. The cavity of the Meckelian canal is divided in two, i.e. the upper and lower subcanals, by a distinct horizontal lamella (Fig. 7E). The horizontal lamella runs parallel to the lamina horizontalis and can be observed posteriorly behind the opening of the Meckelian canal. The upper subcanal opens to the labial surface of the bone near to the mental foramina. The symphyseal groove corresponds to the anterior opening of the lower subcanal. In lingual view, the lamina horizontalis is situated in a low position. Its margin is rounded but not prominent. A shallow and anteriorly extending dental shelf divides the lamina horizontalis from the dental lamina (Fig. 7C). Posteriorly, the bone is nearly L-shaped in the transverse section. In all observed specimens, the pars horizontalis is destroyed in the preserved bone. The caudal portion of the paries verticalis shows bifurcation (Fig. 7E), which corresponds to the coronoid insertion.

Maxilla. The preserved posterior part of the maxillary possesses a relatively low lacrimal facet of the facial process of the maxilla (pars nasalis sensu *Estes* (1969)), while the latter is always not preserved. The internal wall of the maxilla posteriorly bears a small distinct longitudinal groove, running parallel to the lamina horizontalis (Fig. 7F-7H). The groove begins at the posterior basis of the lacrimal facet and continuous until the preserved posterior tip of the bone. The groove narrows at the middle section of the bone (at the position of the 3rd-4th last tooth), where the lacrimal facet terminates. The lamina horizontalis is clearly visible, expands laterally just under the tip of the lacrimal facet and builds a palatine facet (Fig. 7F-7H). The lamina horizontalis becomes distinctly and posteriorly narrower but does not diminish fully at the posterior end of the bone. The jugal process of the maxilla is bifurcated at its distal end (Fig. 7H). The maxillary lappet is damaged, but its base is preserved. The internal wall surface of the maxilla contains few rugosities. Here an anteroposteriorly directed, fairly well-pronounced, median ridge, is observed. In labial view, several foramina occur above the dental row. Some of these foramina are arranged in a longitudinal line that corresponds to the foramina for the mandibular division of the fifth cranial (trigeminal) nerve. This line runs parallel to the lamina horizontalis. The last foramen in the row pierces the maxilla at the base of the lacrimal facet under its tip. The bases of the facial process and maxillary lappet lay a relatively large superior alveolar canal (sac, Fig. 7J, 7L) for the maxillary nerve and its accompanying blood vessel. The remaining foramina at the maxilla are dispersed irregularly on the bone surface. The premaxillary process is present, but it is highly damaged. The anterior basis of the lacrimal facet is pierced by a foramen.

Vertebra. A single cervical vertebra of a gecko specimen shows an elongate amphicoelous centrum (Fig. 7N-7P). The cotyles are approximately circular. In anterior view, the vertebra has a semi-circular outline. In lateral view, the vertebra is anteroposteriorly compressed. The neural arch is concave on both sides. The transverse processes are high, extremely short, and vertically aligned. The distal end of the process is round. The haemal foramina are present at the lower base of the transverse processes. The prezygapophyses are small and slightly prominent. The neural arch is plane and triangular in outline. It possesses a slender and low neural crest. The postzygapophyses are small, nearly invisible and are situated on the ventrolateral edges of the pterygapophysis.

Comparison and comments. Numerous characters allow for the identification of the material as a member of the family Gekkonidae. These characters are namely: (1) the amphicoelous condition of the vertebra; (2) the maxillae and dentaries bearing numerous pleurodont, isodont, densely packed, cylindrical, and slender monocuspid teeth; (3) the presence of a medially extended dental shelf of the maxilla; and (4) the lingually closed Meckelian canal (*Hoffstetter & Gasc*, 1969; *Daza, Alifanov & Bauer*, 2012). The gekkonid remains from the Cherlak locality display a low number of teeth on the dentary (up to 20) and a rounded tooth apex (making the teeth digitiform), which are diagnostic characters for the genus *Alsophylax* (*Nikitina & Ananjeva*, 2009). Within the gekkonids, a low number of teeth (up to 20) is also characteristic of *Mediodactylus russowi*, *Phelsuma laticauda*, and *Ph. serraticauda* (*Nikitina*, 2009). The Siberian fossil geckos can be distinguished from *Mediodactylus* by peculiarities of the maxilla (i.e. the presence of a lingual longitudinal groove and a reduced row of foramina of the trigeminal nerve), the dentary with a distinct and longer horizontal lamella, plus a reduced symphyseal groove. The Recent genus *Phelsuma* can be excluded from consideration since these geckos are restricted to the islands of the southwest part of the Indian Ocean and belong to another zoogeographic zone. The fossil geckos resemble the Recent species *Alsophylax pipiens* (see in *Estes* (1969); tab. 2C) in the presence of the prefrontal process and their short row of foramina of the trigeminal nerve, which terminates below the prefrontal process. Further comparison with the Recent genus *Alsophylax* is, however, impossible due to the lack of available comparative osteological material of the Recent *Alsophylax* species.

Fossil geckos were present in the Early Miocene of Kazakhstan, as is evident from the Mynsualmas-MSA 3 locality (unnr. GPIT specimen) (*Böhme & Ilg*, 2003). The re-studying of the material revealed that the posterior fragment of a right maxilla shows morphology similar to *Alsophylax* sp. from the Cherlak locality in the presence of a lingual longitudinal groove, the absence of foramina at the posterior portion of the bone and a round tooth apex. The fossil material, however, differs in its larger size (Fig. 7Q). Taking this difference as well as the similarities into account, we tentatively consider the Mynsualmas record as cf. *Alsophylax* sp. This fossil probably represents a larger *Alsophylax* species than those registered in the Western Siberia.

Suborder **Lacertilia** Owen, 1842 sensu *Estes, Queiroz & Gauthier*, 1988

Family **Lacertidae** *Fitzinger*, 1826

Genus ***Lacerta*** *Linnaeus*, 1758

Remarks. The generic assignment of fossil lacertid remains is extremely difficult. This group is anatomically generalised (*Lacera* sensu lato) and shows very few characteristic features (e.g. bone and teeth morphology) for detailed taxonomic assignments (*Böhme*, 2010; *Böhme & Vasilyan*, 2014).

Lacerta s.l. sp. 1.

(Fig. 8A)

Material. Baikadam, GIN 650/2001-RE07 – -RE09, 2 (3?) left dentaries, GIN 650/2001-RE10, 1 postsacral vertebra; Pavlodar 1A, GIN 640/5001-RE01 – -RE15, -RE41 – -RE4217 left dentaries, GIN 640/5001-RE16 – -RE25, 10 right dentaries.

Description. The bones bear pleurodont bicuspid teeth. The most completely preserved dentary possesses at least 20 teeth. The pars dentalis is tall , with its height corresponding to two-thirds of the tooth length. The Meckelian groove is open ventrolingually. It starts from the ventral side of the symphysis and posteriorly increases in height. The lamina horizontalis is slightly curved. The anterior portion of the lamina horizontalis is high and broad, reaching its maximal height in its middle section which corresponds to the tenth tooth position. Behind this point, the lamina horizontalis articulates ventrally with the dorsal margin of the splenial and gradually narrows posteriorly. The articulation surface is lingually exposed. The crista dentalis, sensu *Roček* (1984), is not higher but is longer than the ventral margin of the lamina horizontalis. The ventral margin of the crista dentalis, in its posterior half, bears an articulation surface with the ventral margin of the coronoid. A lingually exposed articulation surface of the splenial is located at the posterior portion of the ventral surface of the lamina horizontalis. Up to eight small foramina are present in labial view (Fig. 8A).

Comments. See in *Lacerta* s.l. sp. 2.

Lacerta s.l. sp. 2.

(Fig. 8B)

Material. Pavlodar 1A, GIN 640/5001-RE27 – -RE33, 7 left dentaries, GIN 640/5001-RE34 – -RE39, 6 right dentaries; Cherlak, GIN 1110/2001-RE51, 1 right dentary.

Description. The dentaries possess 19 bicuspid teeth. The pars dentalis is high with its height corresponding to two-thirds of the teeth length. The lamina horizontalis is curved and maintains almost the same height along its entire length. The lamina horizontalis decreases slightly in height only at the 9th-10th tooth positions, where the splenial articulates with the lamina horizontalis. The articulation facet is lingually exposed only in its most posterior portion. The crista dentalis is short but is longer than the ventral margin of the lamina horizontalis. The Meckelian groove is low and ventrolingually open. Up to seven small foramina are present in labial view (Fig. 8B).

Comments. *Lacerta* s.l. sp. 2 differs from *Lacerta* s.l. sp. 1 in having: (1) a more curved lamina horizontalis that maintains nearly the same height along its length; (2) a higher and broader anterior portion of the lamina horizontalis; (3) a shorter cirsta dentalis; and (4) a lower Meckelian groove.

Lacerta s.l. sp. / Lacertidae indet.

Material. Malyi Kalkaman 2, GIN 1107/2001-RE01, 1 vertebra; Olkhovka 1A, GIN 1111/1001-RE01 and –RE02, 1 anterior and 1 posterior trunk vertebrae; Cherlak, GIN 1110/2001-RE06, -RE52 – -RE57, 7 trunk vertebrae, GIN 1110/2001-RE47, -RE48, 2 left maxillae, GIN 1110/2001-RE49, 1 right maxilla, GIN 1110/2001-RE50, 1 left dentary; Pavlodar 1A, GIN 640/5001-RE40, 1 premaxilla, GIN 640/5001-RE26, numerous fragments of dentaries and maxillae, GIN 640/5001-RE43, 77 vertebrae; Pavlodar 1B, GIN 640/6001-RE01, -RE02, 2 left dentaries, GIN 640/6001-

RE03, -RE04, 2 right dentaries; Olkhovka 1B, GIN 1111/2001-RE01, 1 right dentary; Pavlodar 3A, GIN 1108/3001-RE01, 1 right maxilla; Beteke 2, GIN 945/6001-RE01, 1 left dentary; Beteke 4, GIN 945/8001-RE01, 1 left dentary.

Description and comments. The preserved maxillaries and dentaries possess pleurodont bicuspid teeth. The Meckelian groove is lingually open. The labial surfaces of the maxillaries show no ornamentation. In labial view, the foramina for mandibular division of the fifth cranial (trigeminal) nerve are observable. They are situated along a longitudinal line, parallel to the ventral margin of the bone. The opening of the superior alveolar canal is large. In lingual view, a shallow but broad groove is present at the anterior portion of the frontal process. The large foramen of the fifth cranial (trigeminal) nerve opens at the ventral surface of the lamina horizontalis. A single premaxilla from Pavlodar 1A, GIN 640/5001-RE40 has a tapering nasal process with a row of seven pleurodont and monocuspid teeth.

The bone material is extremely fragmentary, and any comparison between different localities was impossible. The fossil remains (maxillae and premaxilla) from Pavlodar 1A do not show any taxonomical differences, so we were not able to group them neither to *Lacerta* s.l. sp. 1 nor *Lacerta* s.l. sp. 2. Besides the jaw material, vertebrae from the trunk region are available in the Maly Kalkaman 2, Olkhovka 1A and Cherlak localities. It was not possible to identify all of remains below the family level.

Genus ***Eremias*** *Fitzinger*, 1843

Eremias sp.

(Fig. 8C-8D)

Material. Pavlodar 2B, GIN 1108/2001-RE01, -RE02, 1 frontal and 1 trunk vertebra.

Description. The preserved frontal has a sandglass shape and the most anterior and posterior portions are broken. The bone is slightly curved in lateral view. The posterior portion of the dorsal surface is rough. The crista cranii are round and slightly elevated at the narrowest portion of the bone. Anteriorly, these crista cranii increase in height and build the lateral walls of the cranial vault. The anteroventral surface of the bone has two drop-shaped grooves. The posteroventral surface is plain and slightly lower than the anterocentral surface. The prefrontal facets are developed but do not show any lateral extension. The bone margin that connects both facets is concave. In dorsal view, the nasal facets that are situated at the anterolateral corners, are narrow, deep, and elongated (Fig. 8A, 8D).

In lateral view, a single preserved trunk vertebra has a rectangular shape. The neural arch is moderately convex. A narrow and deep groove is present at the transition of the neural arch and prezygapophysis. The neural spine is reduced and posteriorly builds a rounded process, projecting over the posterior margin of the arch. The centrum is compressed anteroposteriorly and possesses two shallow subcentral grooves, with a subcentral foramina in each one. The condyle is small, round and is situated in the middle part of the posterior margin of the centrum.

Comments. Among the Eurasian lacertids, fused dorsally sculptured frontals are known in *Acanthodactylus*, *Eremias*, *Ophisops* (*Evans*, 2008). Our own observations of Recent species of these genera (*Eremias strauchi*, *Eremias pleskei*, *Eremias arguta*, *Eremias multicellata*, *Ophisops elegans*, *Acanthodactylus erythrurus*) allowed for the assignment of the frontals to the genus

Eremias and to separate them from: (1) *Ophisops* by a robust frontal, more pronounced grooves at the anteroventral bone surface and a lack of the lateral extension of the prefrontal facet; and (2) *Acanthodactylus* by a flat posteroventral surface of the bone and a less curved outline in lateral view. The preserved single vertebra strongly resembles the morphology that is found in *Eremias* (*Rage*, 1976).

Order **Testudines** *Linnaeus*, 1758

Suborder **Cryptodira** *Cope*, 1868

Family **Emydidae** (*Rafinesque*, 1815)

Genus ***Eymdoidea*** *Gray*, 1870[2]

Emydoidea sp.

(Fig. 8E-8G)

Material. Novaya Stanitsa 1A, GIN 948/2001-RE01, 1 posteriorly incomplete right hypoplastron, GIN 948/2001-RE02, 1 left femur.

Description and comments. The caudal part of the left hypoplastron, which has a width of 54.3 mm , is preserved (Fig. 8E) and probably belongs to a middle-sized individual with a total length of the carapace, approximately 300 mm. In ventral view, the femoral/abdominal sulcus is nearly straight and curves anteriorly only near the lateral edge of the bone, terminating at the base of the inguinal buttress. The bone is comparatively thin, medially from the bridge (4 mm) to

---

[2] We follow taxonomy suggested by *Fritz, Schmidt & Ernst*, 2011 recognizing *Emydoidea* as a distinct genus from *Emys*.

behind the bridge (7.2 mm). The lateral edge of the bone projects slightly posterolaterally. The outline of the femoral/abdominal sulcus and the profile of the lateral edge are similar to those of the emydid genus *Emydoidea* (both fossil and Recent specimens) ((*Chkhikvadze*, 1983), figs. 26 and 27, p. 138; (*Holman*, 1995)).

An almost complete left femur is available from the same locality where the hypoplastron fragment was found. The bone is slender and bent (Fig. 8F-8G), and is 50.6 mm in length. This bone could have belonged to an individual of about 300 mm of the carapace length. The femur lacks its proximal portion (i.e. femoral head, major and minor trochanters). In ventral view, the fossa is delimited by the trochanters and is observable below the femoral head. The dimension of the bone is characteristic of aquatic testudinoids. Taking this latter character into account, as well as the comparable reconstructed total body-sizes of both elements (hypoplastron and femur) (ca. 300 mm), we consider the remains to belong to the genus *Emydoidea*.

Testudines indet.

Material. Malyi Kalkaman2, GIN 1107/2001-RE02, shell fragment; Shet-Irgyz 1, GIN 1106/1001-RE01, 1 neuralia; Petropavlovsk 1, GIN 952/1001-RE01, several fragments of carapax; Borki 1B, GIN 1115/2001-RE01, 1 fragment of carapax.

Comments. The preserved remains were not sufficently informative for any other taxonomic interpretation.

DISCUSSION

Neogene evolution of amphibian and reptile assemblages in Western Siberia

In general, and in contrast with the well-studied European fossil record, very is known about the Neogene herpetofauna from Asia. This record bias is owing to: (1) the less explored and less extensively studied Neogene deposits on the Asian continent; and (2) the entirely lack of study on Recent amphibians and reptiles, in spite of the intense investigations around small mammals by many scholars. The Western Siberian localities provide an exceptional opportunity to fill these gaps in information and to explore both the unknown diversity of the Asian herpetofaunal assemblages and the palaeobiogeographic affinities of the Western Siberian Neogene herpetofauna with the European faunas. Unfortunately, the yielded fossil material from this study and from previous investigations has thus far not been rich in amphibian and reptile remains. On average, only four taxa are available from each studied locality. Our faunistic, palaeogeographic and palaeoclimatic interpretations are, hence, very tentative and should be taken within this context. The unbiased comparison and analysis of our data are also hindered by the scarce record of the Asian Neogene fossil fauna. For the comparison with the European record, we used already published data on amphibian and reptile groups (families, genus, species, etc.) which have been summarised in the fosFARbase database (*Böhme & Ilg*, 2003). These data are given in the Table S5. In the 'Europe' record, we consider all known fossil records from Western, Central, and Eastern Europe as well as from Anatolia (Fig. 9). By analysing the Neogene amphibian and reptile records from Europe and Asia, we were able to provide useful data that are applicable for fossil calibration of molecular clocks in the phylogenetic trees.

Hynobiidae

The Asiatic salamanders (*Salamandrella* sp.) have the most abundant and frequent record among the studied Western Siberian localities. These organisms appeared in these areas in the middle Late Miocene (in the Selety 1A locality) and are present until the early Early Pleistocene. Although the herpetofaunal assemblages of the older localities are rich and represented by numerous taxa, they do not contain any hynobiid remains, demonstrating that there is no sampling bias in their record and that such specimen are not present in earlier localities. Recently, the oldest record of the genus, *Salamandrella* sp. has been described from the late (?) Early Miocene of Eastern Siberia (Lake Baikal) (*Syromyatnikova*, 2014), and a new species of *Salamandrella* is indicated to be present in the Late Miocene locality Ertemte 2, China (*Vasilyan* et al., 2013). Furthermore, the fossil Asiatic salamander, *Ranodon* cf. *sibiricus* was recovered from the Early Pleistocene of Southern Kazakhstan (*Averianov & Tjutkova*, 1995), and a *Salamandrella* sp. was reported from a few Middle Pleistocene age localities in European Russia (*Ratnikov*, 2010).

In Central Europe, hynobiids (genus *Parahynobius*) appeared at the earliest Late Miocene and is present in the record until the Middle Pleistocene (*Venczel*, 1999a, 1999b; *Venczel & Hír*, 2013). According to our unpublished data, the hynobiids are also present in three Ukrainian localities – Grytsiv (11.1 Ma) (*Kirscher* et al., 2016), earliest Late Miocene; Cherevichnoe lower level, middle Late Miocene; and Kotlovina lower level, late Pliocene. The Ukrainian occurrences coincide with both the Central European and Western Siberian records of hynobiids, which at that time most probably characterised by favourable conditions for hynobiid distribution.

Considering their oldest records, the origin of Hynobiidae was most probably in Eastern Asia in the early Miocene. We will present a detailed study on the Cenozoic record of fossil Hynobiidae including the Western Siberian material in a forthcoming paper.

Cryptobranchidae

The cryptobranchid remains are known from two localities in the town of Pavlodar and from three localities in the Zaisan Basin. The stratigraphic positions of the Pavlodar localities are not clear. The only record of giant salamander that we were able to study is stored at the Palaeontological Institute of Moscow, Russia. The collection label provides the following information: ‘collected by Gaiduchenko, in 1970, from the Gusiniy Perelet locality, at the contact of the Aral clays with overlying sands, about 200-300 m south far from the ‘Gusiniy Perelet’ [=Pavlodar 1A] locality ’. The only explanation of the stratigraphic allocation of the giant salamander remains is that they originated from the basal horizon of the Pavlodar Svita, overlaying the ‘Aral clays’ (or= limnic clays of the Kalkaman Svita). *Gaiduchenko* (1984) and *Gaiduchenko & Chkhikvadze* (1985) mention a giant salamander (Cryptobranchidae indet.) from a locality named Detskaya Zheleznaja Doroga (engl. Children Railway) (Fig. 2, Table S1, Data S3), a sand pit located 10 km south-east from the ‘Gusiniy Perelet’ [=Pavlodar 1A] locality. The age of this fossiliferous horizon may fall near the Miocene-Pliocene boundary, an assumption that is mostly based on geology, age and accompanying fauna (see Data S2). This record from the Detskaya Zheleznaja Doroga presents the most northern (52.3° N) occurrence of the giant salamanders in the Northern Hemisphere known so far. Unfortunately, this material was not available for our study.

Giant salamander remains have also been reported from three Burdigalian localities – Tri Bogatyrya, Vympel, Poltinik of the Zaisan Basin (Fig. 1, Table S1) (*Chkhikvadze*, 1984; *Böhme, Vasilyan & Winklhofer*, 2012). The remains were assigned to the species *Andrias karelcapeki* by *Chkhikvadze* (1984). The taxonomic validity of the species still requires revision, which is necessary for any further interpretations.

Proteidae

The oldest record of the genus is described as being from the Late Oligocene and was found in the Aral Formation in the Akespe locality, on the north coast of the Aral Sea, Kazakhstan (cf. *Mioproteus*,) (*Malakhov*, 2003; *Bendukidze, Bruijn & Van den Hoek Ostende, Lars W.*, 2009). Here we add to the record a new, more recent Miocene (Aquitanian) Asian occurrence from the Ayakoz locality, Kazakhstan (Fig. 3D, Table S1). In the Middle Miocene, representatives of this genus occur in several localities in southern Russia and northern Kazakhstan (Table S1). According to our assessment, proteids survived until latest Miocene/earliest Pliocene (locality Petropavlovsk 1/2). The oldest stratigraphic record of *Mioproteus* (*Mioproteus caucasicus*) in Europe is described from the mid Aquitanian (early Early Miocene about 20.5-22 Ma) at two localities Ulm-Uniklinik and Ulm Westtangente of the North Alpine Foreland Basin (*Heizmann* et al., 1989). The fossil proteids are known in Europe until the Pleistocene Epoch (*Böhme & Ilg*, 2003).Due to the lack of complete fossil skeletons and unclear taxonomic assignments of the fossil records, *Malakhov* (2003) preferred to refer all known specimens of *Mioproteus* to the '*Mioproteus caucasicus* complex', including *Mioproteus* from Ashut, Kazakhstan, *Mioproteuscaucasicus* from type locality, as well as from the Late Miocene of Czech Republic, *Mioproteus wezei* from the Pliocene of Poland and from the Early Pleistocene of Moldavia (*Malakhov*, 2003). Later, *Roček* (2005) considered *M*. *wezei* as a junior synonym of *M*. *caucasicus*, although as already mentioned by *Malakhov* (2003), an adequate amount of material including cranial and postcranial elements is necessary to solve the taxonomic problems of the genus. *Malakhov* (2003) also suggested an Asiatic origin for the '*Mioproteus caucasicus* complex' and their later distribution into Europe. In summary, the oldest Late Oligocene record of *Mioproteus* (*Mioproteus* sp.) from Akespe, Kazakhstan and other localities of younger ages suggest: (1) a probable Asian origin of the genus; (2) the genus was continuously present in Central Asia/Western Siberia until the early Pliocene; and (3) *Mioproteus* migrated into Europe in the Early Miocene.

Salamandridae

As has already been established, *Chelotriton* is a basket taxon (*Böhme*, 2008) that needs further taxonomic study. It is one of the fossil amphibians that has an abundant and wide distribution in the late Paleogene and Neogene localities of Europe. In Asia, the genus was previously known only from the late Middle Miocene locality Malyi Kalkaman 1 (*Tleuberdina*, 1993). Our study showed that this genus was present at least since the Aquitanian age (the Aykoz locality in Kazakhstan, Early Miocene) (Table S1), making their Asiatic record older than previously assumed.

Two localities (Ayakoz and Baikadam) from Western Siberia revealed aff. *Tylototriton*. The vertebrae showed significant similarities with the Recent East Asiatic genus *Tylototriton*. In *Böhme & Ilg* (2003) and *Böhme* (2010), the genus *Tylototriton* (cf. *Tylototriton* sp. and

Tylototriton sp. nov.) has been reported from several Early Oligocene localities in southern Germany. Two Siberian records represent the first fossil occurrence of the genus in Asia, which appeared more recently in the fossil record than in the European occurrence. These Western Siberian specimens and the European specimens can be clearly separated from each other by the morphology of the trunk vertebrae. The Siberian salamanders probably represent new forms, strongly related to the East Asian terrestrial salamander, *Tylototriton*. The sympatric occurrence of two fossil terrestrial salamander genera *Chelotriton* and *Tylototriton* was documented for the first time from the Aquitanian age locality Ayakoz.

Palaeobatrachidae

The palaeobratrachids are considered a European family, with probable occurrence in North America at the terminal Cretaceous (*Wuttke* et al., 2012). Records of the palaeobratrachids are known from the Paleogene Western and Central Europe. It should be taken into account, however, that records from the Paleogene of Turkey, as well as from the Paleogene and Early to Middle Miocene of Eastern Europe, are very scarcely known. In the Miocene, palaeobatrachids appear to have expanded their distribution to Eastern Europe and also reached Anatolia, where they existed from the latest Oligocene and remained during the entire Early Miocene. During the Middle Miocene, palaeobatrachids were present in Europe, from Germany to Ukraine (*Wuttke* et al., 2012). The palaeobatrachid record in Europe is characterised by a four-million-year-long (ca. 5.6-9.78 Ma) gap in the Late Miocene (Fig. 9). During this gap, no palaeobatrachid is known from Western to Eastern Europe even in localities rich in diverse herpteofaunal assemblages (e.g. Staniantsi, Bulgaria; Morskaya 2, Russia, *Böhme & Ilg*, 2003) and where characterised by favourable environmental conditions for their distribution. After this gap, palaeobatrachids occur near the Mio-Pliocene transition in studied localities from Italy (Ciabot Cagna), (*Cavallo* et al., 1993) and Hungary (Osztramos 1C) (*Venczel*, 2001)). They seems to have disappeared from Western (Tegelen locality in Holland, *Villa* et al., 2016) and Central Europe (Betfia IX/B locality in Romania, *Venczel*, 2000) after the Early Pleistocene and remained exclusively in Eastern Europe until the middle Pleistocene (Poland – European Russia) (*Wuttke* et al., 2012). The palaeobatrachids appear to have never reached the east of the Ural Mountains. Their most eastern distribution is recorded in the Late Pleistocene locality of Apastovo, in Russia, which is about 600 km west from the Ural Mountains (*Wuttke* et al., 2012). The Western Siberian record does not only represent the first and only out-of-Europe occurrence of the family, but, surprisingly, falls within the Late Miocene palaeobatrachid gap of the European record. It is possible that palaeobatrachids occupied Western Eurasia again at the Mio-Pliocene boundary, from the east.

Bombinatoridae

The primitive family of aquatic toads Bombinatoridae includes two recent genera: *Bombina* and *Barbourula*. The family is known since the Maastrichtian, Late Cretaceous in Romania, genus *Hatzegobatrachus* (*Venczel* et al., 2016) and the Early Eocene in India, genus *Eobarbourula* (*Folie* et al., 2013). The Recent distribution of *Bombina* is confined to continental Europe and East Asia, representing the western and eastern genetic clades of the genus respectively. In Europe, two species *Bombina bombina* and *Bombina variegata* are known. *B. bombina* has the widest distribution and is found in Central to Eastern Europe, whereas *B. variegata* occurs in

Central Europe and in the south-eastern and western parts of Eastern Europe (*Pabijan* et al., 2013). The fossil record of the fire-bellied toad *Bombina* is patchy and limited to the Neogene of continental Europe. According to *Sanchíz & Schleich* (1986), the oldest fossil occurrences of the genus (*Bombina* sp.) are known from two localities in Germany: Weißenburg 6 (early Aquitanian) and Stubersheim 3 (early Burdigalian) (*Sanchiz & Schleich*, 1986; *Böhme & Ilg*, 2003). The personal observations of one of the co-authors of this study (MB) did not confirm the Weißenburg 6 record of *Bombina*. Therefore, in the present study, we consider Stubersheim 3 to be the earliest occurrence of the genus.

Bombinatorids later appeared in Central Europe in the mid Middle Miocene (*Bombina* sp., Opole 2, Poland) (*Młynarski* et al., 1982). Later, fire-bellied toads are present in three localities, representing the middle Tortonian age, including also the first fossil occurrences of the Recent European species – *Bombina* sp. from Rudabánya in Hungary (9.9-10.30 Ma) (*Roček*, 2005), *B.* cf. *bombina* from Kohfidisch in Austria (8.55-8.95 Ma) (*Tempfer*, 2005), and *B.* cf. *variegata* from Suchomasty in Czech Republic (8.8-9.2 Ma) (*Hodrová*, 1987). During the Pliocene, bombinatorids are represented mainly by the species *B. bombina* in six localities within Central Europe (*Böhme & Ilg*, 2003). The Pleistocene record is the richest in bombinatorid specimens with record from over 15 localities ranging from Central to Eastern Europe, and in which both Recent European species, *B. variegata* and *B. bombina*, are documented (*Böhme & Ilg*, 2003) (Fig. 9, Table S5).

In Western Siberia, bombinatorids are known from three localities: Malyi Kalkaman 2, Selety 1A, and Cherlak. The oldest known record dated back to the late Serravalian (Middle Miocene). The oldest Messinian Selety 1A locality provided the fossil form of the Recent *B. bombina* (*B.* cf.

bombina) (Fig. 9). The last record of the genus dates back to the early Messinian (Late Miocene). It is interesting to note that the Western Siberian record of the genus does not coincide with their European occurrences, i.e. they are present during those periods when *Bombina* is absent in Europe. According to our analysis, it is clear that the ancestor of the '*B*. *bombina* – *B*. *variegata*' clade was present in Europe from, at least, the later part of the Early Miocene. Later in the Middle Miocene they expanded into Western Asia, reaching the east from the Ural Mountains. The Western Siberian fossil *Bombina* can be clearly osteologically separated from *Bombina orientalis*, a member of the East Asian clade of the genus. Taking their recent distribution as well as the fossil record into account, a split of the European and Asian *Bombina* clades seems most probable in Asia during the Paleogene.

Pelobatidae

The family of European spadefoot toads Pelobatidae includes only one extant genus with four species distributed in north-western Africa, Europe, in small areas that are east of the Ural Mountains in Russia and in the north of Kazakhstan (*Kuzmin*, 1995). The family has Laurasian affinities and records are known from the Late Cretaceous in North America. The presence of pelobatids in Europe dates back to the Early Eocene, as indicated by the fossil genus *Eopelobates* (Middle Eocene – late Pliocene), as well as by the fossil forms of the Recent genus *Pelobates* (Middle Oligocene – Recent) (*Roček* et al., 2014). The Asian record of Pelobatidae is very limited and includes forms from the Eo-Oligocene of Kazakhstan (*Chkhikvadze*, 1985) and Eocene of India (*Folie* et al., 2013). Recently, *Roček* et al. (2014) excluded the genus *Uldzinia* (Oligocene, Mongolia) (*Gubin*, 1995) from the family Pelobatidae. The Kazakhstan fossil record of the family (*Chkhikvadze*, 1985, 1998) includes numerous remains of Pelobatidae indet. from: (1) the localities of the Zaisan Basin from the Upper Aksyr Svita[3], early Priabonian; rare finds in the Kusto Svita and basal horizon of Buran Svita[4], late Priabonian and earliest Rupelian; abundant occurrences in the Buran Svita[5], early Rupelian and (2) large-sized spadefoot toads from the Kyzyl-Kak locality of the Turgay Basin, Central Kazakhstan, Late Oligocene (*Chkhikvadze*, 1998). Revision of this rich pelobatid record from the Zaisan Basin was not possible due to the lack of descriptions and illustrations of the material as well as the difficulty in accessing the specimens. Nevertheless, taking the Paleogene fossil records into account, we inferred that the spadefoot toads may have dispersed from Europe to Western Asia during the Late Eocene to Early Oligocene. It cannot be ascertained if the *Pelobates* sp. from the Selety 1A (early Messinian, Miocene) is a European or Asian migrant.

Hylidae

The family of tree frogs, Hylidae, has a wide distribution in Eurasia and is represented by the monophyletic genus *Hyla*. The most recent phylogenetic study of the genus *Hyla* by *Li* et al. (2015) recognised two closely related clades in Eurasia, namely the West Palaearctic *arborea*-group and East Palaearctic *chinensis*-group, as well as a small East Palaearctic *japonica*-group that is related to the North American clade of *Hyla*. The revision of the Western Eurasian *Hyla*

---

[3] localities: Zertsalo [Sunduk Section], lager Biryukova [Kiin-Kerish Section], lower faunistic level of Plesh [Kusto-Kyzylkain Section], probably also Tabtym [Sarykamysh Section]

[4] localities: main level of Plesh, Tuzkabak, Cherepakhovoe Pole [Tayzhuzgen Section], Raskop [Aksyr Section], Tyubiteika, sopki ‘Rybnaya’ and Kontrolnaya [Juvan-Kara Section]

[5] localities: Maylibay, Tologay [Tayzhuzgen Section], Podorozhnik [Jaman-Kara Section]

phylogeny, based on molecular genetic studies, revealed a high diversity in the area containing about eight (?nine) (*Li* et al., 2015) or ten (*Gvoždík* et al., 2010) species. Among them are two clades: (1) *H. savignyi* in the east (Levant and the area of Turkey, Iran, Armenia, Georgia) and (2) *H. arborea* (Western, Central Europe and Balkan) + *H. orientalis* (South-eastern Europe, Georgia, Armenia, Iran), which have wide distributions in the east and west respectively (*Stöck* et al., 2008a; *Gvoždík* et al., 2010).

The oldest European record of the genus is known from the Oberdorf O4 locality, late Early Miocene, Austria (*Sanchíz*, 1998b). After an interruption/gap of approximately three million years, records of the genus continued in the late Langhian with the first fossil appearance of the Recent species *H. arborea* (*H*. cf. *arborea*, Mátraszőlős 2, Hungary) (*Venczel*, 2004). The record is almost consistent in the entire Neogene and Quaternary periods of Europe (Fig. 9). There is quite an abundant record of the genus with the oldest and first occurrences of *H*. *savignyi* (*H*. cf. *savignyi*) derived from five localities in Western Siberia, dating back to the late Late Miocene and early Early Pliocene. Apart from the distribution in Siberia, *H*. *savignyi* also may occur in southern Russia, in the Middle Pleistocene (*Ratnikov*, 2002)(see 'Comparison and Discussion' in *Hyla* gr. *H*. *savignyi*), representing the youngest fossil record of the species.

Based on the fossil record of the tree frogs, we concluded that two large Western Eurasian clades split in Europe during the Middle Miocene. Our data indicated older ages for the first fossil occurrences of these clades than has been previously estimated from molecular data in two recent studies (*Gvoždík* et al., 2010; *Li* et al., 2015). *Gvoždík* et al. (2010)[6] suggested that

---

[6] The divergence dates of split events were estimated by a relaxed molecular clock approach, based on the mitochondrial data set, where the calibration with fossil record is missing.

the split of *H*. *orientalis/arborea* and *H*. *savignyi* occurred 11.1 Ma (early Late Miocene, early Tortonian), which is approximately three million years younger than the first fossil occurrence of *H*. cf. *arborea* (Table S5). Whereas, without calibrating the molecular clock using the oldest European fossil *Hyla* (*Hyla* sp., Oberdorf O4 locality in Austria), *Li* et al. (2015) estimated this split to have occurred at 12-20 Ma, during a time interval in which the oldest fossil tree frogs related to the Recent *H*. *arborea* occurred. In both of the cases, the interpretation of the molecular phylogeny of the group can be improved by calibrating the phylogenetic tree with the fossil record introduced in this study.

Considering our data and the results presented by *Li* et al. (2015), we suggest the following distribution pattern for the West Palaearctic *H. arborea*-group: (1) the group entered Eurasia from the east via Beringia from North America, during the Paleogene; and (2) the ancestors of the group reached Europe during the early Miocene via the Tugai Strait between Europe and Asia (the Turgai Strait) and diversified, apparently, in Western Siberian. The Late Miocene and Early Pliocene records represent the most eastern expansion of the European genera, when the climatic conditions were still favourable for their distribution; it is conceivable for us that the *H*. *savignyi* may have potentially so far not found fossil occurrences in the Miocene of Eastern Europe and/or from the Caucasus in the south.

Bufonidae

Two groups of toads were found in the studied localities in Western Siberian; namely the common (*Bufo bufo*) and the green toads (*Bufotes* cf. *viridis*) (Fig. 7F-7K, Table S1). The toads of both groups are, with their occurrences are the most abundant among frogs remains found at the fossil localities.

Common toads. *Bufo bufo* is the Recent species with the widest distribution (i.e. Central, Southern, Eastern Europe and Western and Eastern Asia) of all members of the common toads *Bufo bufo* species group. This group includes three other species with limited distribution, namely: *Bufo spinosus* (northern Africa, Western Europe)*, Bufo eichwaldi* (south coast of the Caspian Sea), and *Bufo verrucosissimus* (east of the Black Sea) (*Arntzen* et al., 2013). These species are known also as the western group of the genus. Their nearby Eastern Asian relatives - the eastern group, include the *Bufo gargarizans* species group. The Western Siberian fossil record of the *Bufo bufo* species group is restricted to the late Late Miocene to the early Early Pliocene, which in comparison to the European record, is very poorly represented. The oldest toad remains that are assigned to the *Bufo bufo* species group are from the Middle Miocene of Slovakia: *B. bufo* from the Devinská Nová Ves – Zapfe's fissure locality, 13.7-14 Ma (*Hodrova*, 1980; *Böhme*, 2003) and *B.* cf. *bufo* from the Devinská Nová Ves – Bonanza locality, 13.5-13.7 Ma (*Hodrová*, 1988). Then, since 9.2 Ma during the Late Miocene (Suchomasty locality in the Czech Republic) (*Hodrová*, 1987), *B. bufo* representatives are present in Central Europe and extend their distribution across Europe. At ca. 4.7 Ma, remains of the common toad, exhibiting characters of the Recent *B*. *spinosus*, appeared in Spain, in the Celadas 6 locality (*Böhme & Ilg*, 2003). The oldest fossil remains referred to *B. verrucosissimus* were recovered from the Late Pliocene (3.0-3.8 Ma) in the Apastovo locality in Russia (*Ratnikov*, 2001). The Western Siberian record suggests at least a late Miocene dispersal of *B. bufo* to the east, reaching the present distribution area of the species. Considering the genomic data of *Recuero* et al. (2012), these ‘migrants’ should represent the common ancestor of the *B*. *bufo* + *B*. *verrucosissimus* clade, expanding to the east into Asia and to the south into Eastern Europe. This bufonids most probably remained, permanently, in these areas, until present times. The lack of their representation in the fossil record in the Late Pliocene and Quaternary sites can be explained by sampling bias. Although *B. bufo* and *B. verrucosissimus* do not occur sympatrically nowadays, specimens of both these species have been found together in two Middle Pleistocene localities (Koziy Ovrag and Yablonovets from Russia; see more in Table S5).

Two recent molecular studies (*Garcia-Porta* et al., 2012; *Recuero* et al., 2012; pp. 71-86) suggested models of palaeobiogeographic history and timing of major cladogenetic events in the *B. bufo* species group; e.g. the origin in South-western Asia and subsequent migration into Europe via Anatolia. These studies, however, did not consider the entire fossil record, including the oldest record of the groups from the Middle Miocene of Slovakia (*Hodrova*, 1980) nor those of the species group in both their calibration of the molecular clock and palaeogeographic considerations. The updating and improvement of the distribution models are , therefore, necessary. Moreover, further finds of the fossil forms of south-eastern species *B. eichwaldi* will help to reveal the place of origin and distribution routes of the ancestors of the group. Although only the molecular clock, and not the entire fossil record of the group has been used for the calibration, results from mtDNA sequencing seem to provide reliable data on diversification rates within the *B. bufo* species group, which can be confirmed by first appearances of the fossils related to each Recent species.

Green toads. The range of the widely distributed *Bufotes viridis* species group (or *Bufotes viridis* sensu lato) extends across Central Europe to Central Asia, as well as the entire northern Africa and Mediterranean area, including numerous islands. The species complex is highly diverse and includes over ten recognised species, e.g. *Bufotes balearicus* (southern Mediterranean and Apennine Peninsula, Corsica, Sardinia, Balearic Islands), *Bufotes boulengeri* (northern Africa), *Bufotes siculus* (Sicily), *B. viridis* (Central and Eastern Europe), *Bufotes variabilis* (Balkans, Anatolia, Caucasus) etc., found in a diverse range of environments (*Stöck* et al., 2006; *Stöck* et al., 2008b). Among them, however, no valuable osteological characters has been established for taxonomic identification (*Blain, Gibert & Ferràndez-Cañadell*, 2010). Hence, no precise specific assignment of any fossil material is possible. *Blain, Gibert & Ferràndez-Cañadell* (2010) recently showedthat the green toads were also present in the Iberian Peninsula in the Early Pleistocene, 1.1-1.3 Ma, and suggested that they became extinct due to climatic changes and/or competition.

In the studied Western Siberian localities, fossil remains that are related to *B. viridis* are the most frequently occurring element in the Western Siberian herpetofauna. This species is almost permanently present from the Middle Miocene to Early Pleistocene. Specimens are found in the late Middle Miocene localities, and although there are gaps in the record, remains are present in the late Late Miocene to Early Pleistocene localities (Table S1). In the youngest localities (Olkhovka 1A, Lebiazhie 1A, Lebiazhie 1B), they are found as a sole taxon. Further fossils assigned to the family Bufonidae (Bufonidae indet.) were already reported from the Kentyubek locality in the Turgay Basin, from the Middle Miocene (*Bendukidze & Chkhikvadze*, 1976), and from two localities in the Zaisan Basin: the Zmei Gorynych locality in Akzhar Svita, from the Early Miocene (*Chkhikvadze*, 1985) and from the early Rupelian age fossil sites (see section ‘Pelobatidae’) of the Buran Svita (*Chkhikvadze*, 1998). *Malakhov* (2005) described the stratigraphically oldest green toad fossil, *B.* aff. *viridis*, from the early Early Miocene (20.4-22.5 Ma, Aquitanian) locality of Ayakoz in North-eastern Kazakhstan (Fig. 1, Table S1). *B.* aff. *viridis* from the Ayakoz locality is older than the *B.* aff. *viridis* from the Early Miocene Kesekӧy locality (18-20 Ma) in north-western Turkey (*Claessens, Leon P. A. M.*, 1997). All the occurrences of the oldest European fossils of green toads are from the Early Miocene: Vieux-Collonges locality in France (14-17 Ma), (*Bailon & Hossini*, 1990); Petersbuch 2 and 7 (17.5-18 Ma) localities in Germany (*Böhme & Ilg*, 2003); and probably the Córcoles locality (17-18 Ma) in Spain (*Sanchíz*, 1998a). Once the green toads entered Europe, they became a regular element of the European Neogene and Quaternary herpetofaunal assemblages (Fig. 9). Besides *B.* aff. *viridis,* the European record of green toads includes another species, *Bufotes priscus*, from four localities of the latest Early Miocene to the earliest Late Miocene age (see Table S5). Taking into account the *B. viridis* Neogene records and the bufonid records from the Eurasian Paleogene, we suggest that the group arrived in the Old World in the Paleocene (*Rage*, 2003), entered Central Asia in the Early Oligocene and diversified there. Although we were not able to study the Paleogene bufonid record from Kazakhstan, taking into consideration the palaeogeography of common and green frogs, the assignment of the Early Oligocene Kazakhstan record to the green toad seems most probable. Apparently, the Early Oligocene forms were ancestral to the *B. viridis* lineage, which evolved in Central Asia in the Early Miocene. This assumption is also supported by molecular data suggesting that: (1) the green toad clade underwent diversification in Asia during the Oligocene/early Miocene; and (2) a high genomic and specific diversity is found within the Central Asian green toads (*Stöck* et al., 2006). Present in the Central Asian fossil record from the Early Miocene; they consequently dispersed via Anatolia in the early Burdigalian into Europe during the middle Burdigalian. Apparently, the European Neogene record should not necessarily represent one ‘lineage’ or one dispersal event of the *B. viridis* group from Asia. Several migration events most probably took place during the Miocene. The descendants of these events were replaced later by the ancestors of the Recent species *B. viridis*, *B. variabilis*, etc. as indicated by the genetic data at the Mio-Pliocene transition (*Stöck* et al., 2006). Prospective further studies could include: (1) the verification of dispersal events in the European fossil record, with help of an abundant and species-rich fossil material from stratigraphically well-dated localities; (2) the exploration the Miocene record of Anatolian and South-eastern Europe, as well as the Paleogene record of Asia; and (3) a challenging project of establishing the osteological characters that are important for the systematic identification of the members of the *B. viridis* species group.

Ranidae

The family of true frogs, Ranidae, are present in the Western Siberian record by both green (*Pelophylax* sp.) and brown (*Rana* sp.) frogs. The green frogs appear more frequently in the record than the brown frogs. Both frog genera are common amphibians in the Recent herpetofauna of the area. Besides this record, further true frog finds (e.g. Ranidae indet.) are reported from the early Rupelian age fossil sites (see the list of the locality section ‘Pelobatidae’) of the Buran Svita, Zaisan Basin. We were not able to revise their taxonomic validity due to lack of figured fossils and the inaccessibility of the material.

Green frogs. The genus *Rana* includes 21 Recent species of aquatic frogs having a wide distribution ranging from northern Africa, Europe to Eastern Asia. Two genetically distinct clades, i.e. Western Palaearctic and the Far East, are recognised within the green frogs genus *Pelophylax* (*Lymberakis* et al., 2007). The oldest green frog record from the Western Siberian (*Pelophylax* sp.) is dated back to the late Middle Miocene, coinciding stratigraphically with the Eastern Siberian record of the group (Middle Miocene, ca. 13 Ma, Tagay Section, Baikal Lake, Russia) (*Daxner-Höck* et al., 2013). Records of this group are present in the studied localities until the late Early Pliocene with long (during the Late Miocene) and short (during the Early Pliocene) gaps in the fossil record. Due to the fragmentary preservation of the studied bones as well as the lack of other informative elements of the skeleton (e.g. frontoparietals), any assignment to the Recent green frog species was impossible. Considering the present distribution of the two green frog clades, an affiliation of the Western Siberian fossil record to the Western Palaearctic clade is most probable.

Despite the being only a few green frog records described in this study, these records still significantly expand the previously scarce and poorly known fossil history of the genus. Moreover, both of the Middle Miocene records from Western and Eastern Siberia represent the oldest records of the green frogs in the Asian continent. Although an Asiatic origin of the green frogs has been already assumed by several authors e.g. *Sanchíz, Schleich & Esteban* (1993), *Lymberakis* et al. (2007), the earliest frog remains have been assigned to the *Pelophylax ridibundus* species group, which occurred in Europe in the early Oligocene (Möhren 13 locality, Germany) (*Sanchíz, Schleich & Esteban*, 1993). Its affiliation to a living species is impossible. In Europe, the fossil record of *Pelophylax* is continuous and is maintained through the Oligocene and entire Neogene (Table S5). Nevertheless, a well-documented Paleogene record of the group is not available from Asia and, therefore, any interpretations would not be confident. The only possible scenario, taking into account both the fossil record and genomic data, is that the Western Palaearctic green frogs split from their Far East sister clade during the Eocene; they diversified in the territory of Europe and/or Western Asia during the Oligocene; they dispersed back to the East in the middle Miocene; and eventually reached the territory of the Western Siberia.

Brown frogs. The genus *Rana* (subgenus Rana sensu *Veith, Kosuch & Vences*, (2003) is comprised of more than 15 species that are distributed throughout Eurasia. Similar to green frogs, there are two known lineages from the brown frog species, namely: the Western and the Eastern Palaearctic lineages (*Veith, Kosuch & Vences*, 2003). Based on the osteological characters, the studied Western Siberian brown frog remains show a relation to the Western Asiatic lineage of the genus *Rana*, more precisely to the *Rana temporaria* species group (sensu *Veith, Kosuch & Vences*, 2003). Among the late Paleogene and Early Miocene fossil frogs (*Böhme & Ilg*, 2003), in which the generic identification is unclear (*Rana* vel *Pelophylax*), only the frog remains from the Early Miocene in Dietrichsberg, Germany (*Böhme*, 2001) have definitely been assigned to the brown frog *R.* cf. *temporaria*, representing the oldest known record of the group so far. As already suggested by *Böhme* (2001), brown frogs migrated from their possible centre of origin in Western or Central Asia to Europe during the second half of the Early Miocene. This hypothesis is confirmed by the brown frog fossils from the Ayakoz locality in Kazakhstan, which dates back to the Aquitanian age and are stratigraphically older than the Dietrichsberg fossil frogs. The present-day biogeography and diversity of brown frogs, the presence of a distinct Eastern Palaearctic lineage in Eastern Asia as well as the Asian distribution of many European species provide further support for an Asiatic origin. Most likely, the dispersal route of the brown frogs was similar to that of the green toad (*Bufotes* cf. *viridis*) whereby dispersal into Europe occured via Anatolia, during the Early Miocene.

It is interesting to note that the earliest brown frog from the studied Western Siberian localities (Malyi Kalkaman 2) shows osteological similarities with the Recent species *R. temporaria*, representing herewith the oldest fossil record of the species in the east.

Previous molecular studies (*Veith, Kosuch & Vences*, 2003; *Lymberakis* et al., 2007), on both green and brown frogs, aimed to reconstruct their phylogenetic relationships, suggest models of biogeographic history as well as suggest when the splits between different genera, clades, species, etc. occurred. Such studies have provided contradictory results also for this group, e.g. the split of *Rana* and *Pelophylax* was at 9.32 Ma (*Veith, Kosuch & Vences*, 2003), whereas *Lymberakis* et al. (2007) estimated the split of the Western Palaearctic and Far East lineages of *Pelophylax* to have occurred significantly earlier, i.e. 15 Ma before. Here neither geologic events nor the fossil records have been used consistently for the calibration of the molecular clock. Thus, the recalibrating of the timing for the splits with the new fossil finds provides a more reliable basis for phylogenetic reconstructions.

For the better understanding of relationships between these groups, as well as to reveal more around the origin and palaeobiogeographic history of them, it would be interesting to review the specimens of the Paleocene frogs (Ranidae indet.) from the early Rupelian fossil sites (see section ‘Pelobatidae’) of the Buran Svita in the Zaisan Basin (*Chkhikvadze*, 1998). The incorporation of such a review, however, was not possible in the present study, due to the lack of figures of the fossils and the inaccessibility of the material.

Gekkonidae

The family Gekkonidae is represented in the Western Siberian fossil record by the straight-fingered or even-fingered geckos, genus *Alsophylax*. They occur only in the Cherlak locality, dated back to the terminal Miocene, ca. 5.9 Ma. *Alsophylax* sp. is the most abundant element in the herpetofaunal assemblage of the Cherlak locality, with approximately 70% of the identifiable bone material belonging to this taxon. The genus *Alsophylax* is mainly distributed in Central Asia, partly occurring also in Mongolia and China. These geckos prefer habitats in arid and warm landscapes (*Ananjeva* et al., 2006). The appearance of these dry and warm adapted geckos in Western Siberia, which is four degrees north of their present occurrence, suggests a shift of the arid environment from the south to the north at the end of the Late Miocene (see below). It is interesting to note that out of the seven gecko genera, e.g. *Eublephareus*, *Mediadactylus*, *Terratoscincus* (*Ananjeva* et al., 2006) inhabiting Central Asia, only *Alsophylax*, which has the most northern distribution, occurs in the fossil record. Apparently, this genus is ecologically more adaptable in comparison to other genera, not only in the present, but probably also in the past.

Lacertidae

Lacertid remains are the most frequent fossil bones among those of lizards occurring in Western Siberian localities. They are very rare in the Middle Miocene faunas, but occur more frequently in the Late Miocene, Pliocene, and Pleistocene localities. In the middle Late Miocene locality Pavlodar 1A (ca. 7.25 Ma), two taxa (*Lacerta* s.l. sp. 1 and sp. 2) occur sympatrically. *Eremias* sp. appears in the Western Siberian record in the Pliocene. This genus is widely distributed in the Central Asian steppes, inhabiting dry and warm habitats (*Ananjeva* et al., 2006).

Emydidae

Emydoidea sp. is the only turtle identified from the studied fossil sites. The present-day distribution of the monotypic genus *Emydoidea* is restricted to the water bodies of the north-eastern territory of the USA. In Eurasia, fossil forms of this aquatic genus appear in the fossil record in Central Kazakhstan since the Middle Miocene (*Emydoidea tasbaka*, the Kentyubek locality in the Turgay Basin) (*Chkhikvadze*, 1989). Fossil forms have also been reported in Eastern Europe from the Late Miocene (*Emydoidea tarashchuki,* Krivoy Rog locality in Ukraine and Pantishara (8.7-9.2 Ma) in Georgia) (*Chkhikvadze*, 1980);(*Chkhikvadze*, 2003). The Siberian record indicates their occurrence in Asia also during the Late Miocene, which, interestingly, is located much further north than their Middle Miocene record from Kazakhstan. According to *Chkhikvadze* (2003), representatives may have also been present in Eastern Europe during the Pliocene. We avoid interpreting palaeobiogeography, stratigraphic distribution, etc. of this genus, since the available published material (e.g. *Chkhikvadze*, 1983, 1989), together with other extinct testudinoid taxa from Kazakhstan and Eastern Europe, is insufficiently described and poorly illustrated, requiring thorough revision. Nevertheless, we used the available published data on both freshwater turtles and terrestrial tortoises to attempt to interpret the record at the family level (Table 2). The turtle records from three well-explored regions in the studying area, i.e. Zaisan Basin, Turgay Basins and Western Siberia, are summarised in the Table 2 . Throughout the entire Early Miocene in the Zaisan Basin, the turtle fauna is dominated by aquatic forms, i.e. out of eight taxa only two are tortoises (*Protestudo* spp.). The aquatic forms remained dominant in the Zaisan Basin during the Middle Miocene, the terrestrial family Testudinidae completely replaced the aquatic turtles (Emydidae, Triochynidae) in the end of the Middle Miocene and became the only family present in the younger deposits of the Late Miocene. Similar to the Zaisan Basin, the aquatic forms represent the Middle Miocene turtle fauna in two adjacent regions, the Turgay Basin in the west and Western Siberia in the north. Subsequently, in the beginning of the early Late Miocene, a testudinid appears in Western Siberia and is replaced by an emydid towards the end of the late Late Miocene and a chelydrid at the Mio-Pliocene transition. The absence of tortoises since the end of the Late Miocene in Western Siberia and the Plio-Pleistocene in the Zaisan Basin can be explained by a less favourable, probably colder (MAT <15˚C, cold month temperature CMT <8˚C) climate. Since the late Late Miocene, the emydid and chelydrid aquatic turtles are the only chelonids in Western Siberia. The presence of these chelonids not only indicate a humid environment with standing water-bodies but most probably also a cooler climate (for emydids: MAT>8˚C, CM>-1.4 ˚C), since, in general, aquatic turtles can tolerate much colder conditions than tortoises, in that an aquatic environment acts as thermal buffer, consequently enabling aquatic turtles to populate higher poleward latitudes.

Palaeobiogeographic considerations

By comparing the spatial and temporal patterns between European and Asian fossil records, including the first and last fossil occurrences, combined with an analysis of the available genomic data of the recent relatives of the fossil groups present in the studied material, certain palaeogeographic distribution patterns can be revealed along with new interpretations.

Our analysis suggests a Western Asiatic origin for Hynobiidae, Proteidae, aff. *Tylototriton*, *Bufotes viridis* species group and brown frogs, *Rana.* The green toads and brown frogs dispersed coincidentally in the earliest Miocene wherein, and at least for the *Bufotes viridis* group, Anatolia was involved. Anatolia also played an important role in the distribution of the *Bufo bufo* species group; however, any age estimation of the event is not available. A salamander, showing affinities to the clade of the Recent East Asian genera *Tylototriton + Echinotriton*, is present in Western Siberia, most probably representing the forms similar to that of the early Oligocene (aff. *Tylototriton*) in Europe, a sister group of the recent clade. In order to resolve the affiliations of these fossils, further Paleogene material from both the Asia and European continents are necessary.

An eastward dispersal from Europe into Western Asia can be observed over a period ranging from the Middle to Late Miocene, based on the current data available from both European and Asiatic records, for at least seven amphibian groups (family Palaeobatrachidae, genera *Chelotriton*, *Pelobates*, *Bombina* (i.e. *Bombina* (cf.) *bombina*), *Hyla* (i.e. *Hyla* cf. *savignyi*), *Pelophylax* ?, *Bufo bufo* species group). Besides the amphibians, some Western Siberian reptiles, such as the glass lizards and snakes from the Middle Miocene, show European affinities, resembling the Central European faunas (*Vasilyan, Böhme & Klembara*, 2016).

The amphibian genera *Bombina*, *Hyla*, *Bufo*, *Rana,* and *Pelophylax* resemble a comparable palaeobiogeographic pattern: the molecular genetic data showed the presence of two clearly separable western and eastern clades (species groups) in each of these genera. In all cases, it was possible to morphologically attribute the Western Siberian fossil amphibians to the western clades or species of the clades. It is interesting to note that even though the first fossil occurrences of these genera have different stratigraphic ages, they are found exclusively in Europe (see Fig. 9, Table S5). To explain this common pattern, we hypothesise that the western and eastern clades had already split in the Paleogene, most probably in the western or central parts of Asia, and subsequently dispersed into Europe.

The Western Siberian fossil *Mioproteus*, *Chelotriton*, *Bombina*, Paleobatidae, *Hyla*, *Bufo bufo* and *Rana temporaria* represent the most eastern records of those groups found in the Eurasian fossil record. In comparison to their present-day geography, the Western Eurasian species of the genera *Bombina* and *Hyla*, respectively, show wider distribution ranges during the Middle to Late Miocene, and Late Miocene to Early Pliocene. The palaeogeographic affinity of the earliest Messinian pelobatid (locality Selety 1A) is still unclear. Considering the geographic location of the fossil site, its relation to the Recent genus *Pelobates* seems most possible.

In *Chkhikvadze* (1985), two lizards *Varanus* sp. and Agamidae indet. have been reported from three Miocene localities of the Zaisan Basin. Although the taxonomic assignment of the remains could not be verified in this study, we adopt the identifications for biogeographic and palaeoenvironmental interpretations. These lizards are currently widely distributed in Central Asia. *Varanus*, being a thermophilous reptile species, is restricted to the southern part of the region. Its presence in the early Late Miocene of the Zaisan Basin aids in characterisation of the climate of the Sarybulak Svita, in the beginning of the late Miocene, i.e. a probable mean annual temperature of not less than 14.8 °C (*Böhme*, 2003).

In summary, Western Siberia (Central Asia) can be hypothesised as a centre of evolution and dispersal for several temperate Neogene herpetofaunal taxa, e.g. the genera *Salamandrella* and *Mioproteus*, the green toad *Bufotes viridis* species group and brown frog *Rana*. The Neogene herpetofauna of Western Siberia and the adjacent areas has significant similarities with the European amphibian and reptile assemblages. The Western Palaearctic herpetofauna gradually entered the Siberian territory from Europe, between the Middle Miocene to Early Pliocene, strongly shaping the herpetofauna of Western Siberia and partially retaining the faunal elements of an Asiatic origin (e.g. Hynobiidae, Proteidae, and *Alsophylax*). The faunal diversity of the fossil record collapses significantly after the Early Pliocene. Only a few amphibians and reptiles, e.g. *Salamandrella*, *Bufotes*, *Lacerta*, and *Vipera* are present in the Pliocene fossil record, being able to survive in the increasingly less favourable environments to form the main part of the present-day Western Siberian herpetofauna.

The palaeobiogeographic analysis of the recent amphibian faunas of Western Asia (*Savage; Garcia-Porta* et al., 2012) hypothesised a progressive aridification of Central Asia linked with the global cooling trends during the Miocene, forcing amphibians to shift their distribution to the south.

Palaeoclimatic implications

The Neogene climate evolution of Western Siberia has been previously reconstructed based on palynofloras, showing a progressive change in environmental conditions, i.e. in the climate and vegetation, during the Miocene (*Arkhipov* et al., 2005). Between the Early to Late Miocene, a warm and humid climate was replaced by a warm temperate climate in the Middle Miocene and a boreal-warm temperate climate in the Late Miocene. Towards the end of the Miocene, a drastic climatic shift took place resulting in semiarid and arid conditions. The Pliocene climate is predominated by frequent changes between semiarid forest-steppe/steppe and arid desert environments, however, from the Late Pliocene the environment changes into subarctic (*Arkhipov* et al., 2005; fig. 46, p. 76).

At a lower temporal resolution, the testudinoid fossil records from the Zaisan Basin, the Turgay Basin, and Western Siberia confirm a general trend towards aridity in the Neogene (Data S4). Based on the environmental requirement (aquatic or terrestrial) of the testudinoids from the Zaisan Basin, we infer that the climate changed from humid to dry. We further infer that the Early and Middle Miocene was mostly humid (dominance of aquatic families), whereas the presence of exclusively terrestrial forms (tortoises) from the latest Middle Miocene to Late Miocene indicates dry and open habitats in the Zaisan Basin. Unfortunately, it is impossible to make any quantification of the palaeoprecipitation values based on these limited taxa and well-documented herpetofaunal assemblages are necessary from these deposits for further environmental reconstructions.

To establish a better palaeoclimatic understanding, we estimated palaeoprecipitation values for 12 data points (Table S4). These localities provided six and more amphibian and reptile taxa, applicable for the bioclimatic analysis (*Böhme* et al., 2006). Even so, our data do not be enable accurate reconstruction of the climate development over the Middle Miocene to earliest Pleistocene in Western Siberia. The climate development can, therefore, only be reconstructed and discussed for several short intervals. Nevertheless, our estimations rather show a dynamic climate development in the Neogene of Western Siberia, with larger precipitation amplitudes, ranging from 158 mm to over 1500 mm per year (Table S1, Fig. 10), than previously estimated using palynological data (*Arkhipov* et al., 2005). Apart from the fluctuating humidity factor, in general, the MAP was significantly above the present day values (reaching 550% of the present-day values) (Fig. 10). Only two localities are characterised by drier climates, the late Serravallian (ca. 12.1 Ma) and the late Messinian (5.9 Ma), exhibiting either present-day or below present-day levels.

Reliability of precipitation estimates

The accuracy of precipitation estimates, based on bioclimatic analysis of herpetofauna, depends primarily on the taxon counts and the assumption of low (stochastic) taphonomic bias (*Böhme* et al., 2006). In Western Siberia, some of the documented localities were rich in aquatic herpetofauna, e.g. composed by freshwater turtles, giant salamanders, proteids, etc. , but small terrestrial forms (e.g. lizards and anguids) were absent, indicating a possible non-stochastic taphonomic bias (i.e. exclusion of elements of certain habitats). These localities will result in a bias in humidity estimates toward the wet end. Examples of such localities include Kentyubek and Novaya Stanitsa 1A, where the numeric results well exceed the MAP of 1600 mm, the upper limit to which the eco-physiologic index – humidity relation is calibrated (see details in *Böhme* et al., 2006). In these cases, we restrict our estimates to a limit of 1500 mm.

Aquitanian

For the Aquitanian age Ayakoz locality, we estimated a MAP value of 945 mm, representing more than three times higher rainfall in comparison to the recent times. Using the palynologic data, *Arkhipov* et al. (2005) estimated a humid climate with MAP 800 mm for the Abrosimov

Svita (Aquitanian age) in Western Siberia. Besides this study and based on the data of fossil macroflora, *Bruch & Zhilin* (2007) estimated similar values of precipitation (935 to 1232 mm) for about 30 Aquitanian age localities, distributed from Western to Eastern Kazakhstan. Our reconstruction, therefore, appears to fit well within the historical precipitation estimates of the region.

Akzhar Svita

Towards the end of the late Early Miocene (Burdigalian), an elevated humidity in Western Siberia can be suggested based on the presence of the giant salamander in three localities of the Zaisan Basin (Tri Bogatyrya, Vympel, and Poltinik). As already suggested, their occurrence indicates a high rainfall for those time periods (MAP > 900 mm), as well as an increased basinal relief enabling the distribution and reproduction of this group in the lowland settings (*Böhme, Vasilyan & Winklhofer*, 2012). This period of the Akzhar Svita also corresponds to the folding and uplift of the Altai Mountains (*Zykin*, 2012; p. 394), from which the establishment of the higher basinal relief was possible.

Late Serravallian

In contrast to the already known climate development suggested by Arkhipov *et al.* (2005), our data suggest that there were strong humidity fluctuations during the late Middle Miocene (late Serravallian), with MAP values ranging between 282, 884 and 1108 mm (Fig. 10). The only botanical data of this time (Bescheul macroflora) point to a warm-temperate and humid (MAP ~700 mm) climate (*Arkhipov* et al., 2005), which best compares to our Malyi Kalkaman 2 results (MAP 884 mm).

Novastanitsa Svita

Although the herpetofaunal assemblage for the early Messinian locality Novaya Stanitsa 1A is incomplete, a very high MAP value of at least 1500 mm can be estimated. The value indicates a significantly higher humidity than of Tortonian-Messinian boundary and late Messinian (see below). Our data are contrary to the palynologic results, which gave lower estimates (400-450 mm; Arkhipov *et al.* 2005).

Rytov Svita

The Cherlak locality (5.9 Ma, Rytov Suite) is characterised by a rather dry climate (MAP 255 mm), with a similar humidity level to that of the present-day (Fig. 10). Our data for a warm and dry climate are confirmed by the presence of: (1) gekkonid *Alsophylax;* (2) mollusc fauna containing thermophilous species; (3) the small mammal fauna, represented mainly by pikas, hamsters and jerboas, characteristic for open and dry habitats (*Zykin*, 2012); and (4) ostriches (*Struthiolithus* sp.) and camels (*Paracamelus* sp.) in this svita (*Shpanskiy*, 2008). *Arkhipov* et al. (2005) summarised the available palynological and vegetation data of the svita and reported the presence of a poor (due to the oxidation) spectra containing xerophyte plants (Asteraceae, Chenopodiacea), characterising desert and steppe environments. Interestingly, his results proposed a northward shift of dry steppe and desert environments by 4° (to the latitude of 56°), which concurs with our data, as is indicated by the presence of the steppe-dwelling gekkonid *Alsophylax* sp. (see the section ‘Gekkonidae’).

Miocene-Pliocene transition (Detskaya Zhelznaja Daroga)

Even though the precise taxonomic identification of the Western Siberian and Zaisan cryptobranchids, is unclear at the generic or species level, their occurrence indicates a high rainfall > 900 mm MAP (*Böhme, Vasilyan & Winklhofer*, 2012) during the Burdigalian age in the

Zaisan Basin and the Miocene-Pliocene transition in Western Siberia. Besides the presence of Cryptobranchidae indet. from the locality Detskaya Zheleznaja Doroga, the co-occurrence of the aquatic chelonids *Chelydropsis kuznetsovi* and probable *Sakya* sp. (*Gaiduchenko*, 1984; *Gaiduchenko & Chkhikvadze*, 1985) confirms the presence of a high degree of precipitation at the Miocene-Pliocene boundary in Western Siberia.

Earliest Pliocene (Olkhovka 1A-1C)

Our earliest Pliocene humidity data are estimated based on the fauna from the localities Olkhovka 1A, 1B and 1C, for which no correlation data is available for regional svitas (see 'Geology and Stratigraphy section'). Nevertheless, the results still indicate significant precipitation (MAP 575 mm), well above the present-day values for this region. These findings correspond well with the similar aged Speranovskaya palynoflora (*Volkova*, 1984), which indicates the presence of warm forests and forest-steppes with MAP estimates between 500-550 mm (*Arkhipov* et al., 2005).

Conclusions

In summary, over 50 salamander, frog, lizard, snake and turtle taxa have been assigned to specimens from more than 40 Western Siberian localities that range in age from the Middle Miocene to the Pleistocene (Table S1). The late Middle Miocene localities have the most diverse faunas including all the main groups of the herpetofauna. According to our analysis, the fossil fauna contains taxa showing an Asian (Eastern Palaearctic) origin, such as Hynobiidae, Proteidae, *Bufotes viridis* species group and *Rana*, *Varanus*, and Agamidae. The main part of the herpetofaunal assemblage, including Palaeobatrachidae, Paleobatidae, the genera *Chelotriton*, *Bombina* (i.e. *Bombina* (cf.) *bombina*), *Hyla* (i.e. *Hyla* (cf.) *savignyi*), *Pelophylax* ?, *Bufo bufo*, *Ophisaurus* sp. (*Vasilyan, Böhme & Klembara*, 2016), has European (Western Palaearctic) affinities. The Western Siberian records of *Mioproteus*, *Chelotriton*, *Bombina*, Paleobatidae, *Hyla*, *Bufo bufo*, and *Rana temporaria* represent the most eastern occurrences of these groups in Eurasia. The earliest Miocene dispersal of the green toad, *Bufotes viridis* species group into Europe from Asia via Anatolia, can be inferred. We suggest the same distribution pattern for brown frogs, *Rana*, too. In this scope, it will be important to perform future detailed studies on the Neogene record of the amphibian and reptile faunas in Anatolia and analyse them in a palaeobiogeographic context.

According to our study, the precipitation development in Western Siberia shows high-amplitude changes during the studied intervals. Aside from the certain time periods, i.e. late Seravalian and late Messinian, the palaeorainfall in Western Siberia was estimated to be significantly higher than the present-day values. The best results on precipitation estimates that we were able to reconstruct, with reliable age constrain, were for the period from 6.6 to ~4.5 Ma. These results indicate a humid climate during the early Messinian; a dry climate during the late Messinian; a very humid climate during the Miocene-Pliocene transition and a humid climate during the earliest Pliocene (Data S4, Fig. 10). The decreasing tendency of the herpetofaunal diversity towards the end of the Neogene and Quaternary could be attributed to the progressive global cooling and forced ice-sheet development in the Northern Hemisphere.

Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank B. Sanchiz (Madrid), Z. Roček (Prague), J. Prieto (Munich), M. Rabi (Tübingen) M. Delfino (Turin), and V. Ratnikov (Voronezh) for their constructive discussions and comments. We are grateful to V. Chkhikvadze (Tbilisi) for providing material from the localities: Pavlodar 1A, Ayakoz, Petropavlovsk 1/2, Malyi Kalkaman 1; to Dr. L. Maul (Weimar) for providing details of the ages of the Quaternary localities where palaeobatrachid frogs occur; to A. Fatz (Tübingen) for making figure and table images; to I. Stepanyan (Yerevan) for literature help; and A. Ilg (Düsseldorf) for providing support with the database 'fosFARbase'. Finally, we would like to express our gratitude to G. Piñeiro (Montevideo) for editorial comments and guiding, and both reviewers M. Venczel (Oradea) and Sh. Meiri (Tel Aviv) for their criticism and constructive reviews.

REFERENCES

Amphibiaweb. 2016. <http://amphibiaweb.org>: Information on amphibian biology and conservation (accessed 1 July 2016).

Ananjeva NB, Orlov NL, Khalikov RG, Darevsky I, Ryaboc SA, Barabanov AV. 2006. *The Reptiles of Northern Eurasia: Taxonomic Diversity, Distribution, Conservation Status*. Sofia: Pensoft.

Anderson J. 1871. Description of a new genus of newts from western Yunan. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* **1871**:423–425.

Arkhipov SA, Volkova VS, Zolnikov ID, Zykina VS, Krukover AA, Kul'kova LA. 2005. West Siberia. *Geological Society of America Special Papers* **382**:67–88.

Arntzen JW, Recuero E, Canestrelli D, Martínez-Solano I. 2013. How complex is the *Bufo bufo* species group? *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **69 (3)**:1203–1208.

Audouin JV. 1827. Explication sommaire des planches de Reptiles (supplément) ... offrant un exposé des caracteres naturelles des genres, avec la distinction des especes: Description de l'Égypte, ou Recueil des Observations et des Recherches qui ont été faites en Égypte pendant l'Expedition de l'Armée Française, publie par les Ordres de sa Majesté l'Empereur Napoléon le Grand. *Histoire Naturelle* **1 (4)**:161–184.

Averianov AO, Tjutkova L. 1995. *Ranodon* cf. *sibiricus* (Amphibia, Caudata) from the Upper Pliocene of Southern Kazakhstan: The first fossil record of the family Hynobiidae. *Paläontologische Zeitschrift* **69 (1)**:257–264.

Bailon S. 1999. *Différenciation ostéologique des Anoures (Amphibia, Anura) de France*. Antibes: Centre de Recherches Archéologiques du CNRS.

Bailon S, Hossini S. 1990. Les plus anciens Bufonidae (Amphibia, Anura) d'Europe: les espèces du Miocène français. *Annales de Paléontologie* **76**:121–132.

Barry JC, Morgan ME, Flynn LJ, Pilbeam D, Behrensmeyer AK, Raza SM, Khan IA, Badgley C, Hicks J, Kelley J. 2002. Faunal and environmental change in the late Miocene Siwaliks of northern Pakistan. *Paleobiology* **28 (sp3)**:1–71.

Batsch, A. J. G. K. 1796. *Umriß der gesammten Naturgeschichte: ein Auszug aus den frühern Handbüchern des Verfassers für seine Vorfesungen*. Jena, Leipzig: Christian Ernst Gabler.

Bendukidze OG, Bruijn H de, **Van den Hoek Ostende, Lars W. 2009.** A revision of Late Oligocene associations of small mammals from the Aral Formation (Kazakhstan) in the National Museum of Georgia, Tbilissi. *Palaeodiversity* **2**:343–377.

Bendukidze OG, Chkhikvadze VM. 1976. Preliminary results of study on fossil amphibians, reptiles and birds from Turgay and Ustyurt. *Bulletin of the Moscow Society of Naturalists, Geological Series* **51 (5)**:156.

Blain H-A. 2009. Contribution de la paléoherpétofaune (Amphibia & Squamata) à la connaissance de l'évolution du climat et du paysage du Pliocène supèrieur au Pléistocène moyen d'Espagne. *Treballs del Museu de Geologia de Barcelona* **16**:39–170.

Blain H-A, Bailon S, Agustí J. 2007. Anurans and squamate reptiles from the latest early Pleistocene of Almenara-Casablanca-3 (Castellón, East of Spain). Systematic, climatic and environmental considerations. *Geodiversitas* **29 (2)**:269–295.

Blain H-A, Gibert L, Ferràndez-Cañadell C. 2010. First report of a green toad (*Bufo viridis* sensu lato) in the Early Pleistocene of Spain: Palaeobiogeographical and palaeoecological implications. *Comptes Rendus Palevol* **9 (8)**:487–497.

Blain H-A, Villa P. 2006. Amphibians and squamate reptiles from the early Upper Pleistocene of Bois Roche Cave (Charente, southwestern France). *Acta zoologica cracoviensia* **49A (1-2)**:1–32.

Böhme G. 1977. Zur Bestimmung quartärer Anuren Europas an Hand von Skelettelementen. *Wissenschaftliche Zeitschrift der Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Reihe* **26 (3)**:283–299.

Böhme M. 1998. *Archeotriton basalticus* (v. Mayer, 1859) (Urodela, Salamandridae) aus dem Unteroligozän von Hammerunterwiesenthal (Freistaat Sachsen). *Abhandlungen des staatlichen Museums für Mineralogie und Geologie zu Dresden* **43/44**:265–280.

Böhme M. 2001. The oldest representative of a brown frog (Ranidae) from the Early Miocene of Germany. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica* **46 (1)**:119–124.

Böhme M. 2003. The Miocene Climatic Optimum: evidence from ectothermic vertebrates of Central Europe. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **195 (3–4)**:389–401.

Böhme M. 2008. Ectothermic vertebrates (Teleostei, Allocaudata, Urodela, Anura, Testudines, Choristodera, Crocodylia, Squamata) from the Upper Oligocene of Oberleichtersbach (Northern Bavaria, Germany). *Courier Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg* **260**:161–183.

Böhme M. 2010. Ectothermic vertebrates (Actinopterygii, Allocaudata, Urodela, Anura, Crocodylia, Squamata) from the Miocene of Sandelzhausen (Germany, Bavaria) and their implications for environment reconstruction and palaeoclimate. *Paläontologische Zeitschrift* **84 (1)**:3–41.

Böhme M, Ilg A. 2003. fosFARbase. Available at www.wahre-staerke.com (accessed 1 December 2015).

Böhme M, Ilg A, Ossig A, Küchenhoff H. 2006. New method to estimate paleoprecipitation using fossil amphibians and reptiles and the middle and late Miocene precipitation gradients in Europe. *Geology* **34 (6)**:425–428.

Böhme M, Vasilyan D. 2014. Ectothermic vertebrates from the late Middle Miocene of Gratkorn (Austria, Styria). *Palaeobiodiversity and Palaeoenvironments* **94 (1)**:21–40.

Böhme M, Vasilyan D, Winklhofer M. 2012. Habitat tracking, range dynamics and palaeoclimatic significance of Eurasian giant salamanders (Cryptobranchidae) — indications for elevated Central Asian humidity during Cenozoic global warm periods. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **342–343**:64–72.

Bonaparte CL. 1850. *Conspectus systematum. Mastozoölogiae. Ornithologiae. Herpetologiae et Amphibiologiae. Ichthyologiae*. Lugduni Batavorum: E. J. Brill.

Borisov BA. 1963. Stratigraphy of upper Cretaceus and Paleogene-Neogene of Zaisan basin. *Transactions of Pansoviet scientific-research geological institute* **New Series, 94**:11–75.

Borkin LJ. 1999. Distribution of Amphibians in North Africa, Europe, Western Asia, and the Former Soviet Union. In: Duellman WE, ed. *Patterns of Distribution of Amphibians.* Baltimore and London: The Johns Hopkins University Press, 329–420.

Bruch AA, Zhilin SG. 2007. Early miocene climate of Central Eurasia — Evidence from Aquitanian floras of Kazakhstan. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **248 (1-2)**:32–48.

Chkhikvadze VM. 1980. Systematic position of the Neogene freshwater turtle of Moldavia, Ukraine and some Central European Countries. *Bulletin of the Academy of Sciences of Georgian SSR* **99 (3)**:721–724.

Chkhikvadze VM. 1983. *The fossil turtles of Caucasus and Northern Black Sea region*. Tbilisi: Metsniereba.

Chkhikvadze VM. 1984. Survey of the fossil urodelan and anuran amphibians from the USSR. *Izvestia Akademii Nauk Gruzinska SSR, Seria Biologitcheskaya* **10 (1)**:5–13.

Chkhikvadze VM. 1985. Preliminary results of studies on tertiary amphibians and squamate reptiles of the Zaisan Basin. In: Darevsky I, ed.: Nauka, 234–235.

Chkhikvadze VM. 1989. *Neogene turtles of USSR*. Tbilisi: Metsniereba.

Chkhikvadze VM. 1998. Eocene - Oligocene herpetofauna of USSR. In: Krasheninnikov VA, Akhmetiev MA, eds. *Late Eocene - Early Oligocene geological and biotic events. On the territory of the former Soviet Union*, II. Moscow: Geos, 61–66.

Chkhikvadze VM. 2003. Perspectives of paleontological studies of Late Neogene vertebrate localities in the valley of River Iori, Eastern Georgia and Western Azerbaijan. In: Abdaladze O, ed. *Transactions of scientific works: Conservation arid and semiarid ecosystems in Transcaucasia.* Tbilisi: NAGRES, 63–67.

Claessens, Leon P. A. M. 1997. On the herpteofauna of some Neogene Eastern Mediterranean localities and the occurence of *Palaeobatrachus* and *Bufo* (Amphibia, Anura) in the Lower Miocene of Turkey. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **17 (Suppl. 3)**:39.

Cope ED. 1859. On the primary division of the Salamandirdae, with description of two new species. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia* **11**:122–128.

Cope ED. 1865. Sketch of the primary groups of Batrachia Salientia. *Natural history review* **5**:97–120.

Cope ED. 1868. On the origin of genera. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia* **20**:242–300.

Cuvier G. 1817. Le Règne Animal distribué d'après son organisation pour servir de base à l'histoire naturelle des animaux et d'introduction à l'anatomie comparée. Les reptiles, les poissons, les mollusques et les annélides **2**:1–532.

Danilov IG, Cherepanov GO, Vitek NS. 2013. Chelonological studies of l. I. Khosatzky with his annotated bibliography on turtles. *Proceedings of the Zoological Institute RAS* **317 (4)**:382–425.

Daxner-Höck G, Badamgarav D, Erbaeva MA, Göhlich UB. 2013. Miocene Mammal Biostratigraphiy of Central Mongolia (Valley of Lakes): New Results. In: Wang X, J FL, Fortelius M, eds. *Fossil mammals of Asia: Neogene biostratigraphy and chronology.* New York: Columbia University Press, 477–507.

Daza JD, Alifanov VR, Bauer AM. 2012. A redescription and phylogenetic reinterpretation of the fossil lizard *Hoburogekko suchanovi* Alifanov, 1989 (Squamata, Gekkota), from the Early Cretaceous of Mongolia. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **32 (6)**:1303–1312.

Daza JD, Aurich J, Bauer AM. 2011. Anatomy of an enigma: an osteological investigation of the Namibian festive gecko (*Narudasia festiva*: Gekkonidae: Gekkota). *Acta Zoologica* **93 (4)**:465–486.

Daza JD, Bauer AM. 2010. The Circumorbital Bones of the Gekkota (Reptilia: Squamata). *The Anatomical Record: Advances in Integrative Anatomy and Evolutionary Biology* **293 (3)**:402–413.

Delfino M, Bailon S, Pitruzzella G. 2011. The Late Pliocene amphibians and reptiles from "Capo Mannu D1 Local Fauna" (Mandriola, Sardinia, Italy). *Geodiversitas* **33 (2)**:357–382.

Dybowski B. 1870. Beitrag zur Kenntniss der Wassermolche Sibiriens. *Verhandlungen des Zoologisch-Botanischen Vereins in Wien* **20**:237–242.

Edwards JL. 1976. Spinal nerves and their bearing on salamander phylogeny. *Journal of Morphology* **148 (3)**:305–327.

Estes R. 1969. Die Fauna der miozänen Spaltenfüllung von Neudorf an der March (ČSSR) Reptilia (Lacertilia). *Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Klasse Abteilung I, Sitzungsberichte* **178**:77–82.

Estes R, Darevsky I. 1977. Fossil amphibians from the Miocene of North Caucasus, USSR. *Journal of Palaeontological Society of India* **20**:164–169.

Estes R, Queiroz K de, **Gauthier J. 1988.** Phylogenetic relationships within Squamata. In: Estes R, Pregill G, eds. *Phylogenetic relationships of the lizard families.* Stanford, California: Stanford University Press, 119–281.

Evans SE. 2008. The skull of lizards and tuatara. In: Gans C, ed. *The skull of Lepidosauria*, Volume 20, Morphology H. Ithaca: Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles, 1–347.

Fejfar O, Heinrich W-D, Pevzner MA, Vangengeim EA. 1997. Late Cenozoic sequences of mammalian sites in Eurasia: an updated correlation. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **133 (3–4)**:259–288.

Fischer von Waldheim G. 1813. *Zoognosia. Tabulis Synopticis Illustrata, in Usum Prælectionum Academiæ Imperialis Medico-Chirurgicæ Mosquensis Edita*. Moscow: Typis Nicolai Sergeidis Vsevolozsky.

Fitzinger L. 1826. *Neue Classification der Reptilien nach ihrer natürlichen Verwandtschaft*. Wien: J. G. Heubner.

Fitzinger L. 1843. *Systema Reptilium. Fasciculus Primus*. Wien: Braumüller et Seidel.

Folie A, Rana RS, Rose KD, Sahni A, Kumar K, Singh L, Smith T. 2013. Early Eocene frogs from Vastan Lignite Mine, Gujarat, India. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica* **58 (3)**:511–524.

Fritz U, Schmidt C, Ernst CH. 2011. Competing generic concepts for Blanding's, Pacific and European pond turtles (*Emydoidea*, *Actinemys* and *Emys*)—Which is best. *Zootaxa* **2971**:41–53.

Gaiduchenko LL. 1984. On stratigraphy of Neogene sediments of the southmost Westsiberian Plain. In: Volkova VS, Kul'kova LA, eds. *Environment and ligfe at the boundaries of Cenozoic epochs in SIberia and Far East.* Novosibirsk: Nauka, 172–184.

Gaiduchenko LL, Chkhikvadze VM. 1985. First record of chelydrid turtle from the Neogene sediments of Pavlodarian Priirtyshya. *Geologiya i geofizika* **(1)**:116–118.

Garcia-Porta J, Litvinchuk SN, Crochet PA, Romano A, Geniez PH, Lo-Valvo M, Lymberakis P, Carranza S. 2012. Molecular phylogenetics and historical biogeography of the west-palearctic common toads (*Bufo bufo* species complex). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **63 (1)**:113–130.

Gnibidenko ZN, Volkova VS, Kuz'mina OB, Dolya ZA, Khazina IV, Levicheva AV. 2011. Stratigraphic, paleomagnetic, and palynological data on the Paleogene–Neogene continental sediments of southwestern West Siberia. *Russian Geology and Geophysics* **52 (4)**:466–473.

Gnibitenko ZN. 2006. *Cenozoic paleomagnetism of the West Siberian Plate*. Novosibirsk: Geo.

Goldfuss GA. 1820. *Handbuch der Zoologie*. Nürnberg: J. L. Schrag.

Gray JE. 1825. A synopsis of the genera of reptiles and Amphibia, with a description of some new species. *Annals of Philosophy, London* **10**:193–217.

Gray JE. 1870. *Supplement to the Catalogue of shield reptiles in the collection of the British Museum. Part 1. Testudinata (Tortoises).* London: Taylor & Francis.

Gubin YM. 1995. The First Find of Pelobatids (Anura) in the Paleogene of Mongolia. *Paleontologicheskiy zhurnal* **(4)**:73–76.

Gvoždík V, Moravec J, Klütsch C, Kotlík P. 2010. Phylogeography of the Middle Eastern tree frogs (*Hyla*, Hylidae, Amphibia) as inferred from nuclear and mitochondrial DNA variation, with a description of a new species. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **55 (3)**:1146–1166.

Heizmann EPJ, Bloos G, Böttcher R, Werner J, Ziegler R. 1989. Ulm-Westtangente und Ulm-Uniklinik: Zwei neue Wirbeltier-Faunen aus der Unteren Süßwasser-Molasse (Untermiozän) von Ulm (Baden-Württemberg). *Stuttgarter Beiträge Naturkunde Serie B* **153**:1–14.

Hodrova M. 1980. A toad from the Middle Miocene at Devínska Nová Ves near Bratislava. *Věstník Ústředního ústavu geologichého* **55 (5)**:311–316.

Hodrová M. 1987. Amphibians from the Miocene sediments of the Bohemian Karst. *Časopis pro mineralogii a geologii* **32 (4)**:345–356.

Hodrová M. 1988. Miocene frog fauna from the locality Devínska Nová Ves - Bonanza. *Věstník Ústředního ústavu geologichého* **63 (5)**:305–310.

Hoffstetter R, Gasc J-P. 1969. Vertebrae and rips of modern reptiles. In: Gans C, ed. *Morphology A, Biology of the Reptilia.* London, New York: Academic Press, 201–310.

Holman AJ. 1995. A New Species of *Emydoidea* (Reptilia: Testudines) from the Late Barstovian (Medial Miocene) of Cherry County, Nebraska. *Journal of Herpetology* **29 (4)**:548–553.

Iskakova K. 1969. Fossil amphibians from Priirtyshie. *Proceedings of Academy of Sciences of Kazakhian SSR, Series Biological* **(1)**:48–53.

Ivanov M. 2008. Early Miocene Amphibians (Caudata, Salientia) from the Mokrá-Western Quarry (Czech Republic) with comments on the evolution of Early Miocene amphibian assemblages in Central Europe. *Geobios* **41 (4)**:465–492.

Kirscher U, Prieto J, Bachtadse V, Abdul Aziz H, Doppler G, Hagmaier M, Böhme M. 2016. A biochronologic tie-point for the base of the Tortonian stage in European terrestrial settings: Magnetostratigraphy of the topmost Upper Freshwater Molasse sediments of the North Alpine Foreland Basin in Bavaria (Germany). *Newsletters on Stratigraphy* **49 (3)**:445–467.

Kordikova EG. 1994. Review of fossil Trionychid localities in the Soviet Union. *Courier Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg* **173**:341–358.

Kuzmin SL. 1995. *Die Amphibien Russlands und angrenzender Gebiete*. Magdeburg: Westarp Wissenschaften.

Laurenti JN. 1768. *Specimen medicum, exhibens synopsin reptilium emendatum cum experimentis circa venena et antidota Reptilium Austriacorum*. Viennae: Typ. Joan. Thom. nob. de Trattnern.

Li J-T, Wang J-S, Nian H-H, Litvinchuk SN, Wang J, Li Y, Rao D-Q, Klaus S. 2015. Amphibians crossing the Bering Land Bridge: Evidence from holarctic treefrogs (*Hyla*, Hylidae, Anura). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **87**:80–90.

Linnaeus C. 1758. *Systema naturae per regna tria naturae, secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis*. L. Salvi: Stockholm.

Linnaeus C. 1761. *Fauna Svecica sisten Animalia Sveciae Regni Mammalia, Aves, Amphibia, Pisces, Insecta, Vermes. Distributa per Classes & Ordines, Genera & Species, cum Differentiis Specierum, Synonymis Auctorum, Nominibus Incolarum, Locis Natalium Descriptionibus Insectorum. Editio altera*. Stockholm: Laurentius Salvus.

Lucas SG, Bray ES, Emry RJ, Hirsch KF. 2012. Dinour eggshell and the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary in the Zaysan Basin, Eastern Kazakstan. *Journal of Stratigraphy* **36 (2)**:417–435.

Lychev GF. 1990. Use of relative higth of the tooth crown of castorids as a indicator of geological time. In: Tleuberdina PA, Kojamkulova BS, Rajushkina GS, eds. *Vertebrate fauna and flora of the Mesozoic and Cenozoic of Kazakhstan*, vol. 11. Alma-Ata: Nauka, 54–60.

Lymberakis P, Poulakakis N, Manthalou G, Tsigenopoulos CS, Magoulas A, Mylonas M. 2007. Mitochondrial phylogeography of *Rana* (*Pelophylax*) populations in the Eastern Mediterranean region. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **44 (1)**:115–125.

Malakhov DV. 2003. The earliest known record of *Mioproteus* (Caudata; Proteidae) from the Middle Miocene of Central Kazakhstan. *Biota* **4 (1-2)**:67–72.

Malakhov DV. 2004. Toads (Anura, Bufonidae) from the Middle Miocene in the Turgay Depression (Central Kazakhstan). *Biota* **5 (1-2)**:41–46.

Malakhov DV. 2005. The early Miocene herpetofauna of Ayakoz (Eastern Kazakhstan). *Biota* **6 (1-2)**:29–35.

Malakhov DV. 2009. Fossil amphibians and reptiles from Cenozoic of Kazakhstan: state of art and new materials. *Transactions of the Institute of Zoology MES RK* **50**:25–34.

Miklas PM. 2002. Die Amphibienfauna (Amphibia: Caudata, Anura) der obermiozänen Fundstelle Götzendorf an der Leitha (südliches Wiener Becken, Niederösterreich). *Annalen des Naturhistorischen Museums in Wien* **103A**:161–211.

Młynarski M, Szyndlar Z, Estes R, Sanchíz B. 1982. Lower vertebrate fauna from the Miocene of Opole (Poland). *Estudios geológicos* **38**:103–119.

Müller MJ, Hennings D. 2000. The Global Climate Data Atlas on CD-Rom. Flensburg and Köln.

Nikitina N, Ananjeva NB. 2009. Characteristics of dentition in gekkonid lizards of the genus *Teratoscincus* and other Gekkota (Sauria, Reptilia). *Biology Bulletin* **36 (2)**:193–198.

Nikitina NG. 2009. Pecularities of skull morphology and skin of geckos (Reptilia: Sauria: Gekkota) and their phylogenetic relevance, Zoological Institute of RAS.

Nilsson S. 1842. *Skandinavisk herpetologi eller beskrifning öfver de sköldpaddor, ödlor, ormar och grodor, som förekomma i Sverige och Norrige, jemte deras lefnadssätt, födoämnen, nytta och skada m.m*. Lund: Tryckt uti Borlingska Boktryckeriet.

Nokariya H. 1983. Comparative osteology of Japanese frogs and toads for paleontological studies (I): *Bufo*, *Hyla*, *Microhyla* and *Bombina*. *Bulletin of Natural Science Museum, Series C* **9 (1)**:23–40.

Oken L. 1816. *Lehrbuch der Naturgeschichte*. Leipzig, Jena: Reclam.

Oppel M. 1811. *Die Ordnungen, Familien und Gattungen der Reptilien als Prodrom einer Naturgeschichte derselben*. München: Joseph Lindauer.

Pabijan M, Wandycz A, Hofman S, Węcek K, Piwczyński M, Szymura JM. 2013. Complete mitochondrial genomes resolve phylogenetic relationships within *Bombina* (Anura: Bombinatoridae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **69 (1)**:63–74.

Pomel A. 1853. *Catalogue méthodique et descriptif des vertébrés fossiles découverts dans le bassin hydrographique supérieur de la Loire*. Paris: J. B. Baillières.

Rafinesque CS. 1815. *Analyse de Nature, ou Tableau de l'Universe et des Corps Organisés*. Palermo: Jean Barravecchia.

Rage J-C. 1976. Les Squamates du Miocène de Béni Mellal, Maroc. *Géologie méditerranéenne* **3 (2)**:57–70.

Rage J-C. 2003. Oldest Bufonidae (Amphibia, Anura) from the Old World: a bufonid from the Paleocene of France. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **23 (2)**:462–463.

Rage J-C, Hossini S. 2000. Les Amphibiens du Miocène moyen de Sansan. *Mémoires du Muséum national d'histoire naturelle* **183**:177–217.

Ratnikov VY. 1997. Tailless amphibians and lanscape settings of the Late Cenozoic in Western Transbaikalia. *Geologiya i geofizika* **39 (9)**:1458–1464.

Ratnikov VY. 2001. Pliocene anurans of East-European platform. *Russian Journal of Herpetology* **8 (3)**:171–178.

Ratnikov VY. 2002. New find of amphibians and reptiles in type localities of Muchkapian, upper Don Basin. *Bulletin of Voronezh State University: Geology* **(1)**:73–79.

Ratnikov VY. 2010. A review of tailed Amphibian remains from Late Cenozoic sediments of the East European plain. *Russian Journal of Herpetology* **17 (1)**:59–66.

Ratnikov VY, Litvinchuk SN. 2009. Atlant vertebrae of tailed amphibians of Russia and adjacent countries. *Russian Journal of Herpetology* **19 (1)**:57–68.

Ravkin YS, Bogomolova IN, Chesnokova SV. 2010. Amphibian and reptile biogeographic regions of Northern Eurasia, mapped separately. *Contemporary Problems of Ecology* **3 (5)**:562–571.

Ravkin YS, Yudkin VA, Tsybulin SM, Kuranova VN, Borisovich OB, Bulakhova NA, Patrakov SV, Shamgunova RR. 2008. Spatial-typological structure and mapping of reptile population of West Siberia. *Contemporary Problems of Ecology* **1 (2)**:214–220.

Recuero E, Canestrelli D, Vörös J, Szabó K, Poyarkov NA, Arntzen JW, Crnobrnja-Isailovic J, Kidov AA, Cogălniceanu D, Caputo FP, Nascetti G, Martínez-Solano I. 2012. Multilocus species tree analyses resolve the radiation of the widespread *Bufo bufo* species group (Anura, Bufonidae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **62 (1)**:71–86.

Reichenbacher B, Böhme M, Heissig K, Prieto J, Kossler A. 2004. New approach to assess biostratigraphy, palaeoecology and past climate in the South German Molasse Basin during the Early Miocene (Ottnangian, Karpatian). *Courier Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg* **249**:71–89.

Reichenbacher B, Krijgsman W, Lataster Y, Pippèrr M, Baak CC, Chang L, Kälin D, Jost J, Doppler G, Jung D, Prieto J, Abdul Aziz H, Böhme M, Garnish J, Kirscher U, Bachtadse V. 2013. A new magnetostratigraphic framework for the Lower Miocene (Burdigalian/Ottnangian, Karpatian) in the North Alpine Foreland Basin. *Swiss Journal of Geosciences* **106 (2)**:309–334.

Roček Z. 1984. Lizards (Reptili: Sauria) from the Lower Miocene locality Dolnice (Bohemia, Czechoslovakia). *Řada matematických a přirodních věd* **94 (1)**:4–69.

Roček Z. 2005. Late Miocene Amphibia from Rudabánya. *Palaeontographia Italica* **90**:11–29.

Roček Z, Wuttke M, Gardner J, Singh Bhullar B-A. 2014. The Euro-American genus *Eopelobates*, and a re-definition of the family Pelobatidae (Amphibia, Anura). *Palaeobiodiversity and Palaeoenvironments* **94 (4)**:529–567.

Sanchiz B, Schleich H. 1986. Erstnachweis der Gattung *Bombina* (Amphibia: Anura) im Untermiozän Deutschlands. *Mitteilungen der Bayerischen Staatssammlung für Paläontologie und historische Geologie* **26**:41–44.

Sanchíz B. 1998a. *Salientia*. München: Verlag Dr. Friedrich Pfeil.

Sanchíz B. 1998b. Vertebrates from the Early Miocene lignite deposits of the opencast mine Oberdorf (Western Styrian Basin, Austria). *Annalen des Naturhistorischen Museums in Wien* **99A**:13–29.

Sanchíz B, Młynarski M. 1979. Remarks on the Fossil Anurans from the Polish Neogene. *Acta zoologica cracoviensia* **24 (3)**:153–174.

Sanchíz B, Schleich H-H. 1986. Erstnachweis der Gattung *Bombina* (Amphibia: Anura) im Untermiozän Deutschlands. *Mitteilungen der Bayerischen Staatssammlung für Paläontologie und historische Geologie* **26**:41–44.

Sanchíz B, Schleich H-H, Esteban M. 1993. Water frogs (Ranidae) from the Oligocene of Germany. *Journal of Herpetology* **27 (4)**:486–489.

Savage JM. The geographic dstribution of frogs: patterns and predictions. In: *Val (Ed.) 1973 – Evolutionary biology of the anurans*, 351–445.

Scopoli GA. 1777. *Introductio ad historiam naturalem, sistens genera lapidum, plantarum et animalium hactenus detecta, caracteribus essentialibus donata, in tribus divisa, subinde ad leges naturae*. Prague: Apud Wolfgangum Gerle.

Shpanskiy AV. 2008. Pecularities of the development of the hipparion fauna of the Pavlodar Priirtyshe. In: Tleuberdina PA, Erzhanov NT, Zykin VS, eds.: Pavlodarian State University, 92–96.

Stöck M, Dubey S, Klütsch C, Litvinchuk SN, Scheidt U, Perrin N. 2008a. Mitochondrial and nuclear phylogeny of circum-Mediterranean tree frogs from the *Hyla arborea* group. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **49 (3)**:1019–1024.

Stöck M, Moritz C, Hickerson M, Frynta D, Dujsebayeva T, Eremchenko V, Macey JR, Papenfuss TJ, Wake DB. 2006. Evolution of mitochondrial relationships and biogeography of

Palearctic green toads (*Bufo viridis* subgroup) with insights in their genomic plasticity. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **41 (3)**:663–689.

Stöck M, Sicilia A, Belfiore N, Buckley D, Lo Brutto S, Lo Valvo M, Arculeo M. 2008b. Post-Messinian evolutionary relationships across the Sicilian channel: Mitochondrial and nuclear markers link a new green toad from Sicily to African relatives. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **8 (1)**.

Syromyatnikova EV. 2014. The first record of *Salamandrella* (Caudata: Hynobiidae) from the Neogene of Russia. *Russian Journal of Herpteology* **21 (3)**:217–220.

Tempfer PM. 2005. The herpetofauna (Amphibia: Caudata, Anura; Reptilia: Scleroglossa) of the Upper Miocene locality Kohfidisch, Burgenland, Austria, Wien, Universität.

Tleuberdina PA, ed. 1993. *Faunistic and floristic complexes of Mesozoic and Cenozoic of Kazakhstan*. Almaty: Baspager.

Tleuberdina PA, Kozhamkulova GS, Kondratenko BS. 1989. *Catalogue of the Cenozoic mammals from Kazakhstan*. Alma-Ata: Nauka Kazakhian SSR.

Tleuberdina PA, Volkova VS, Lushczaeva TT, Lychev GF, Pita OM, Tjutkova LA, Chkhikvadze VM. 1993. Vertebrate fauna of Kalkaman (Pavlodar Priirtyshe). In: Tleuberdina PA, ed. *Faunistic and floristic complexes of Mesozoic and Cenozoic of Kazakhstan*, vol. 12. Almaty: Baspager, 132–157.

Tschudi JJv. 1838. *Classification der Batrachier, Mit Berucksichtigung der fossilen Thiere dieser Abtheilung der Reptilien*. Neuchâtel: Petitpierre.

Vangengeim EA, Pevzner MA, Tesakov AS. 2005. Ruscinian and Lower Villafranchian: age of boundaries and position in magnetochronological scale. *Stratigraphy and Geological Correlation* **13 (5)**:530–546.

Vasilyan D, Böhme M, Chkhikvadze VM, Semenov YA, Joyce WG. 2013. A new giant salamander (Urodela, Pancryptobrancha) from the Miocene of Eastern Europe (Grytsiv, Ukraine). *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **33 (2)**:301–318.

Vasilyan D, Böhme M, Klembara J. 2016. First record of fossil *Ophisaurus* (Anguimorpha, Anguidae, Anguinae) in Asia (Russia and Kazakhstan). *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*:1–6.

Veith M, Kosuch J, Vences M. 2003. Climatic oscillations triggered post-Messinian speciation of Western Palearctic brown frogs (Amphibia, Ranidae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **26 (2)**:310–327.

Venczel M. 1999a. Fossil land salamanders (Caudata, Hynobiidae) from the Carpathian basin: relation between extinct and extant genera. *Acta Palaeontologica Romaniae* **2**:489–492.

Venczel M. 1999b. Land salamanders of the family Hynobiidae from the Neogene and Quaternary of Europe. *Amphibia-Reptilia* **20**:401–412.

Venczel M. 2000. Amphibians from the Lower Pleistocene Betfia 9 locality (Bihor country, Romania). *Satu Mare - Studii şi comunicări, seria stiintele naturale* **1**:28–37.

Venczel M. 2001. Anurans and squamates from the Lower Pliocene (MN 14) Osztramos 1 locality (Northern Hungary). *Palaeontologica Hungarica* **19**:79–90.

Venczel M. 2004. Middle Miocene anurans from the Carpathian Basin. *Palaeontographica Abt. A* **271**:151–174.

Venczel M. 2008. A new salamandrid amphibian from the Middle Miocene of Hungary and its phylogenetic relationships. *Journal of Systematic Palaeontology* **6 (1)**:41–59.

Venczel M, Codrea V, Fărcaş C. 2012. A new palaeobatrachid frog from the early Oligocene of Suceag, Romania. *Journal of Systematic Palaeontology* **11 (2)**:179–189.

Venczel M, Gardner JD, Codrea VA, Csiki-Sava Z, Vasile Ş, Solomon AA. 2016. New insights into Europe’s most diverse Late Cretaceous anuran assemblage from the Maastrichtian of western Romania. *Palaeobiodiversity and Palaeoenvironments* **96 (1)**:61–95.

Venczel M, Hír J. 2013. Amphibians and Squamates from the Miocene of Felsötárkány Basin, N-Hungary. *Palaeontographica Abteilung A* **300 (1-6)**:117–147.

Vergnaud-Grazzini C, Młynarski M. 1969. Position systématic du genre *Pliobatrachus* Fejérváry 1917. *Comptes Rendus hebdomadaires des Séances de l'Académie des Sciences, Série D: Sciences naturelles* **268**:2399–2402.

Villa A, Roček Z, Tschopp E, Van den Hoek Ostende, Lars W., Delfino M. 2016. *Palaeobatrachus eurydices*, sp. nov. (Amphibia, Anura), the last western European palaeobatrachid. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*:e1211664.

Volkova VS. 1984. Changes in the palinofloras of Siberia in the late Cenozoic. In: Volkova VS, Kul'kova LA, eds. *Environment and ligfe at the boundaries of Cenozoic epochs in SIberia and Far East.* Novosibirsk: Nauka, 54–69.

Wagler JG. 1830. *Natürliches System der Amphibien, mit vorangehender Classification der Säugethiere und Vögel . Ein Beitrag zur vergleichenden Zoologie*. München, Stuttgart, Tübingen: J.G. Cotta.

Wuttke M, Přikryl T, Ratnikov VY, Dvořák Z, Roček Z. 2012. Generic diversity and distributional dynamics of the Palaeobatrachidae (Amphibia: Anura). *Palaeobiodiversity and Palaeoenvironments* **92 (3)**:367–395.

Zykin VS. 1979. *Stratigraphy and unionids of the Pliocene of southern part of Western Siberian plain*. Novosibirsk: Nauka.

Zykin VS. 2012. *Stratigraphy and evolution of environments and climate during Late Cenozoic in the Southern West Siberia*. Novosibirsk: Geo.

Zykin VS, Zazhigin VS. 2004. A new biostratigraphic level of the Pliocene in Western Siberia and the age of the Lower-Middle Miocene stratotype of the Beshcheul Horizon. *Doklady Earth Sciences* **398 (7)**:904–907.

Zykin VS, Zazhigin VS. 2008. On the Neogene stratigraphy of Pavlodarian Priirtyshya. In: Tleuberdina PA, Erzhanov NT, Zykin VS, eds.: Pavlodarian State University, 15–21.

Zykin VS, Zykina VS, Zazhigin VS. 2007. Issues in separating and correlating Pliocene and Quaternary sediments of Southerwestern Siberia. *Archeology, Ethnology & Anthropology of Eurasia* **30 (2)**:24–40.

Figure 1(on next page)

Map of Eurasia (A) showing location of the Western Siberian studied fossil sites (B) (1-38, 58; black–thin outlined circles) as well as localities known from the literature (39-57; white – thick outlined circles).

1, Baikadam; 2, Malyi Kalkaman 2; 3, Malyi Kalkaman 1; 4, Shet-Irgyz 1; 5, Petropavlovsk 1; 6, Znamenka; 7, Pavlodar 1A; 8, Selety 1A; 9, Kedey; 10, Novaya Stanitsa 1A; 11, Borki 1A; 12, Lezhanka 2A; 13, Cherlak; 14, Pavlodar 1B; 15, Lezhanka 2B; 16, Olkhovka 1A; 17, Olkhovka 1B; 18, Olkhovka 1C; 19, Iskakovka 2A; 20, Isakovka 1A; 21, Peshniovo 3; 22, Isakovka 1B; 23, Kamyshlovo; 24, Beteke 1B; 25, Pavlodar 2B; 26, Pavlodar 3A; 27, Lezhanka 1; 28, Andreievka-Speransko; 29, Andreievka 1; 30, Livenka; 31, Beteke 1C; 32, Lebiazhie 1A; 33, Lebiazhie 1B; 34, Podpusk 1; 35, Beteke 2; 36, Beteke 4; 37, Kamen-na-Obi; 38, Razdole; 39, Akespe; 40, Ayakoz; 41, Golubye Peski; 42, Zmei Gorynych; 43, Vympel; 44, Poltinik; 45, Zaezd; 46, Tri Bogatyrja; 47, Kaymanovaja cherepakha; 48, Ryzhaya II; 49, Kentyubek; 50, Ashut; 51, Point “Y”; 52, Sarybulak Svita; 53, Kalmakpai Svita; 54, Karabastuz; 55, Kalmakpai; 56, Petropavlovsk 1/2; 57, Detskaya zheleznaja doroga; 58, Shet-Irgyz 2. Map data © 2016 Google and Map data © OpenStreetMap contributors, CC BY-SA.


A
B
B
Ishim
Ishim
Ishim
Om
Ob
Ob
Irtysh
Irtysh
Pleistocene
Pliocene
Late Miocene
Middle Miocene
Early Miocene
Late Oligocene
55° N
50° N
1800
1400
1000
800
200
RUSSIA
KAZAKHSTAN
ALTAY Mnt.
ASTANA
Omsk
Petropavlovsk
18
23
11
5
56
16, 17, 19
12, 15
27, 30
28, 29
10
13
20-22
35
24, 31
36, 37
25, 26, 57
7, 14
1, 2
8
9
38
3
32-34
54
6
50
49
4, 58
40
42, 47
41
51-53
43, 45, 46
48
44
55
39
N
150 km
65° E
70° E
75° E
80° E
85° E

Figure 2(on next page)

Compiled stratigraphy of the Middle Miocene – Early Pleistocene studied localities in Western Siberia grouped in the svitas and relative to their geographic positions.

The localities without assignment into a certain svita are given in coloured frames according to age. In the right column the small mammalian biochronologic data (species or lineages) used for age estimations are given, the numbers accompanying the species refer the locality numbers. The arrows left from the small mammal taxa indicates its/their first appearance. Abbreviations: klm – Kalkaman, ish – Ishim, pv – Pavlodar, kd – Kedey, nst – Novaya Stanitsa, rt – Rytov, is – Isakov, psh – Peshnev, krt – Krutogor, bt – Betekey, liv – Levetin, irt – Irtysh, kar – Karagash.


Ma
epoch
age
chrones
MN
West
East
lineages of small mammals
Pleistocene
Early
Calb
Gelas
Pliocene
Late
Piacen
Early
Zanclean
Miocene
Late
Messinian
Tortonian
Middle
Serravalian
C1r.1n
C1r.2r
C1r.3r
C2n
C2r.1r
C2r.2r
C2An.1n
C2An.1r
C2An.2n
C2An.2r
C2An.3n
C2Ar
C3n.1n
C3n.1r
C3n.2n
C3n.2r
C3n.3n
C3n.3r
C3n.4n
C3r
C3An.1n
C3An.1r
C3An.2n
C3Ar
C3Bn
C3Br.2r
C3Br.3r
C4n.1n
C4n.2n
C4r.1n
C4An
C4Ar.1n
C4Ar.2n
C5n.1n
C5n.2n
C5An.1n
C5An.1r
C5An.2n
C5Ar.1r
C5Ar.2n
17
16
15
14
13
12
11
10
9
7+8
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
9
10
12
kc Razdole (r) 38
kar Beteka 4 (r) 37
Beteke 2 (n) 36
irt Kamen-na-Obi (n) 35
33 Lebiazhie 1B (r)
32 Lebiazhie 1A (r)
irt Podpusk 1 (r) 34
sel Beteke 1C (n) 31
30 Livenka (r)
28 Andreievka - Speransko
liv
Andreievka 1 29
Lezhanka 1 27
bt Beteke 1B 24
Pavlodar 3A
Pavlodar 2B
bt 26 25
Kamyshlovo 23
Olkhovka 1A-1C 20-22
krt Iskakova 1B (n) 19
psh Peshniovo 3 (n) 18
16, 17 Iskakova 1A, 2A (r) is
15 Lezhanka 2B
rt
Cherlak (r), Pavlodar 1B 13, 14
11 Borki 1A
nst
Lezhanka 2A 12
Novaya Stanitsa 1A (n) 10
kd Kedey 9
Selety 1A 8
7 Pavlodar 1A (r) pv
ish Petropavlovsk 1 5
Shet Irgyz 1, Shet Irgyz 2 4, 58
klm
Baikadam 3
Malyi Kalkaman 1 2
Malyi Kalkaman 2 1
Pleistocene
Pliocene
Late Miocene
Middle Miocene
unknown suite
polarity:
(n) normal
(r) reverse
Allophaiomys pliocaenicus
38
37
36
Mimomys
pliocaenicus 35
pliocaenicus 32-34
polonicus 31
Lophocricetus
ultimus 28, 30
ultimus 20-22, 23-25
sibiricus 18
sibiricus 13
afanasievi 10
vinogradovi 9
vinogradovi 8
minuscilus 5
Sibirosminthus
betekensis 24
14 irtyshensis
latidens 13
latidens 10
seletyensis 9
Promimomys
gracilis 29, 30
? gracilis 28
? gracilis 24,25
gracilis 23
cf. davakosi 19
antiquus 18
insuliferus 16
Rhinocerodon
irthyshensis 14
seletyensis 8
pauli 8
Prosomys
sp. 2 13
sp. 1 10
Ischymomys quadriradicatus 5
Heterosminthus mugodzharicus 4, 58
Microtocricetus molassicus
3
2
1
Herterosminthus aff. orientalis 1

Figure 3

Salamander remains from Western Siberian localities.

A-C, Cryptobranchidae indet. from the loc. ? Gusiny Perelet, unnr. PIN specimens; **A,** fragmentary right dentary, natural cross-section; **B,** the same dentary, in lingual view; **C,** a jaw fragment, lingual view; **D-G,** *Salamandrella* sp., Lezhanka 2A, GIN 1130/1001-AM01, trunk vertebra; **H-S,** *Mioproteus* sp.; **H-L,** loc. Ayakoz, trunk vertebra, GNM unnr. specimen; **M-O,** trunk vertebra, Borki 1A, GIN 1115/1001-AM01; **P-Q,** right premaxilla, Malyi Kalkaman 2, GIN 1107/2001-AM01; **R-S,** left premaxilla loc. Grytsiv (Ukraine), unnr. MNMHK specimen; **T-X,** trunk vertebrae of aff. *Chelotriton* sp., loc. Ayakoz, GNM unnr. specimen; **Y,** *Chelotriton* sp. from Malyi Kalkaman 2, GNM unnr. specimen; **D, H, M, P, R, T, Y,** dorsal view; **E, I, N, Q, S, U,** ventral view; **F, J, O, V,** lateral view; **G, K, W,** anterior view; **L, X,** posterior view. Scale bars: A-C = 5 mm; D-G = 0.5 mm; H-Y = 1 mm.


A
B
C
D
E
H
I
F
G
J
K
L
M
N
P
R
O
Q
S
T
U
V
W
X
Y

Figure 4

Trunk vertebrae of fossil aff. *Tylototriton* (A-K), and recent *Tylototriton, Echinotriton* and *Cynops* (L-AE).

A-E, aff. *Tylototriton* sp., locality Ayakoz, GNM unnr. specimen; **F-K,** GIN 950/2001-AM14 and GIN 950/2001-AM01, loc. Baikadam; **L-P,** *Tylototriton verrucosus*, GPIT unnr. specimen; **Q-U,** *Tylototriton shanjing*, GPIT unnr. specimens; **V-Z,** *Echinotriton andersoni*, GPIT unnr. specimen; **AA-AE,** *Cynops pyrrhogaster*, GPIT unnr. specimen; **A, F, G, L, Q, V, AA,** lateral view; **B, H, M, R, W, AB,** dorsal view; **C, I, N, S, X, AC,** ventral view; **D, J, O, T, Y, AD,** anterior view; **E, K, P, U, Z, AE,** posterior view. Scale bars = 2 mm.


A
F
G
L
Q
V
AA
B
H
M
R
W
AB
C
I
N
S
X
AC
D
J
O
T
Y
AD
E
K
P
U
Z
AE

Figure 5

Palaeobatrichid sphenethmoids.

A-D, Palaeobatrachidae indet., Novaya Stanitsa 1A, GIN 948/2001-AM12; **E-H,** *Palaeobatrachus* sp. from Grytsiv (Ukraine), unnr. NMNHK specimen; **A, E,** ventral view; **B, F,,** dorsal view; **C, G,** anterior view; **D, H,** lateral view. Abbreviations: ao, antrum olfactorium; alo, antrum pro lobo olfactorio; is, incisura semielliptical; ff, frontoparietal facet; lp, lateral processes; ls, lamina supraorbitalis; nf, nasal facet; onf, orbitonasal foramina; olf, olfactory foramina; pf, parasphenoid facet. Scale equals 1 mm


ao
lp
pf
nf
ff
is
ls
A
B
alo
ao
olf
pf
onf
C
alo
ao
D
E
F
G
H

Figure 6

Fossil frogs from Western Siberia.

A-L, P-Q, X, AA, AB, A, AF, Ilia; **A-C** *Bombina* cf. *bombina*, Selety 1A, GIN 951/1001-AM06; **D-E,** *Bombina* sp., Cherlak, GIN 1110/2001-AM13; **G-I,** *Pelobates* sp., Selety 1A, GIN 951/1001-AM07; **J-L,** *Hyla* gr. *H*. *savignyi*, Lezhanka 2A, GIN 1130/1001-AM29; **P, Q,** *Bufo bufo*, Olkhovka 1B, GIN 11 11/2001-AM03; **X,** *Bufotes* cf. *viridis*, Pavlodar 1A, GIN 640/5001-AM01; **AA, AB,** *Pelophylax* sp., Lezhanka 1, GIN 1129/1001-AM05; **AE-AF,** *Rana arvalis*, Malyi Kalkaman 1, GIN 1107/1001-AM10; **A, D, G, J, P, U, AA, AE,** in lateral view; **B, E, H, K, Q, AB,** in proximal view; **C, F, I, L,** in medial view; **M-O, R-T, Y, Z, AC, AD, AG, AH,** Scapulae of frogs; **M-O,** *Hyla* gr. *H*. *savignyi* from Lezhanka 2A, GIN 1130/1001-AM33; **R-T,** *Bufo bufo*, Olkhovka 1C, GIN 1111/3001-AM01; **Y-Z,** *Bufotes* cf. *viridis*, Pavlodar 1A, GIN 640/5001-AM63; **AC-AD,** *Pelophylax* sp., Lezhanka 1, GIN 1129/1001-AM07; **AG-AH,** *Rana temporaria*, Malyi Kalkaman 1, GIN 1107/1001-AM01; **M, R, Y, AC, AG,** dorsal view; **N, S, Z, AD, AH,** ventral view; **O, T,** posterior view; **U, V,** trunk vertebra of *Bufo bufo*, Olkhovka 1C, GIN 1111/3001-AM02; **U,** anterior view; **V**, lateral view; **W,** urostyle of *Bufo bufo*, Olkhovka 1C, GIN 1111/3001-AM03, dorsal view. The arrows show the position of the angular fossa. Scale bars: A-Q, AA-AD, AG, AH = 1 mm, R-Z, AE, AF = 2 mm.


A
B
C
P
Q
D
E
F
R
S
G
H
I
T
W
J
K
L
U
V
M
O
X
N
Y
Z
AA
AB
AE
AF
AC
AD
AG
AH

Figure 7

Alsophylax sp. from the localities Cherlak (A-P) and Mynsualmas-MSA 3 (Q).

A-E, two left dentaries; **A-D,** left dentary, GIN 1110/2001-RE11; **A,** mirrored labial view; **B-D,** mirrored lingual view; **C,** symphyseal region in lingual view; **D,** the same region in ventral view, both display the symphyseal groove; **E,** posterior fragment of left dentary, GIN 1110/2001-RE12, lingual view; **F-M**, five maxillae; **F-G**, left maxilla, GIN 1110/2001-RE26, lingual view; **H**, right maxilla, GIN 1110/2001-RE39, lingual view; **I-J,** right, GIN 1110/2001-RE40 and **K-L,** left maxillae GIN 1110/2001-RE27; **I, K,** lingual view; **J, L,** labial view; **M,** left maxilla, GIN 1110/2001-RE28, labial view; **N-P,** cervical vertebra, GIN 1110/2001-RE44; **N,** anterior view; **O,** left lateral view; **P,** posterior view; **Q**, right dentary, unnr. GPIT specimen, lingual view. Abbreviations: dl, dental lamina; ds, dental shelf; fcpr, facial process of maxilla; fMx5, foramina for mandibular division of the fifth cranial (trigeminal) nerve; hfr, haemal foramen; hl, horizontal lamella; lf, lacrimal facet; lg, longitudinal groove; lh, lamina horzontalis; mc, Meckelian canal; na, neural arch; nc, neural canal; nf, nasal facet; pfc, palatine facet; ph, paries horizontalis; prz, prezygapophysis; psz, postzygapophysis; pv, paries verticalis; pxp, premaxillary process; pyp, pterygapophysis; sac, opening of superior alveolar canal; sg, symphyseal groove; sf, spleneal facet; tpr, transverse process.


A
5 mm
B
pv
C
D
lh
C
dl
ds
lh
5 mm
sg
D
5 mm
E
ph
hl
mc
sf
lh
G
1 mm
lf
lg
pfc
F
5 mm
G
pfc
lf
lg
lh
H
5 mm
lh
I
5 mm
K
5 mm
Q
1 mm
nf
fMx5
sac
fcpr
pxp
fMx5
pxp
J
5 mm
L
5 mm
M
5 mm
prz
pyp
na
psz
nc
tpr
nc
bfr
IN1
5 mm
O
5 mm
P
5 mm

Figure 8

Lizard and turtle remains from the Western Siberian localities.

A, *Lacerta* s.l. sp. 1, left dentary, Pavlodar 1A, GIN 640/5001-RE01, lingual view; **B,** *Lacerta* s.l. sp. 2, right dentary, Pavlodar 1A, GIN 640/5001-RE34, lingual view; **C-D,** *Eremias* sp., frontal, Pavlodar 2B, GIN 1108/2001-RE01; **C,** dorsal view; **D,** ventral views; **E,** *Emydoidea* sp., fragment of right hypoplastron, GIN 948/2001-RE01, ventral view; **F-G,** *Emydoidea* sp., left femur, GIN 948/2001-RE02; **F** cranial view; **G,** ventral view. Scale bars: A, C, D = 2 mm; B = 1 mm; E-G = 1 cm.



Figure 9(on next page)

The European (Suppl. 3) and Western Siberian (present study) Neogene fossil record of the studied amphibian groups.

Detailed list of the localities see the Additional file 3 and for the family Cryptobranchidae – Böhme *et al.* (2012; table 1). The occurrences of each group in Europe and Western Siberia are given in the same colour. The Paleogene records of the groups are indicated by arrows. Abbreviations: Hyn, Hynobiidae; Cry, Cryptobranchidae; Prot, Proteidae; Chel, *Chelotriton*; Tylt, *Tylototriton*; Bomb, *Bombina*; red balk, *Bombina* (cf.) *variegata*; black balk, *Bombina* (cf.) *bombina*; Palbr, Palaeobatrachidae; Pelb, Pelobatidae; Hyla, *Hyla*; white balk, *Hyla* (cf.) *arborea*; Bbuf, *Bufo bufo* (group); Bvir, *Bufotes* (cf.) *viridis* / group of *Bufotes viridis*; Rana, *Rana* (cf.) *temporaria*; Pelx, *Pelophylax*.


Ma
epoch
age
Hyn
Cry
Prot
Chel
Tylt
Bomb
Palbr
Pelb
Hyla
Bbuf
Bvir
Rana
Pelx
PLEISTOCENE
EARLY
MIDDLE
early
CALB
GELS
PLIOCENE
LATE
EARLY
PIAC
ZANCLEAN
MIOCENE
LATE
MIDDLE
EARLY
MESSINIAN
TORTONIAN
SERRAVALLIAN
LANGHIAN
BURDIGALIAN
AQUITANIAN
OLIGOCENE
LATE
CHATTIAN
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
30.3-33.8 Ma
EUROPE
WESTERN SIBERIA
?
Bombina (cf.) variegata
Bombina (cf.) bombina
Hyla (cf.) arborea
Hyla (cf.) savignyi
Bufonidae indet.

Figure 10(on next page)

Palaeoprecipiation development of Western Siberia including the Zaisan Basin.

A**, curve displaying the development of the absolute values of mean annual precipitation (MAP);** B**, the ratio of MAP to recent precipitation value (MAP/ $\text{MAP}_{\text{recent}}$100%), dashed black line (100 %) indicates the recent precipitation values. Localities: 1, Ayakoz; 2, Vympel; 3, Poltinik; 4, Tri Bogatyrja; 5, Kentyubek; 6, Malyi Kalkaman 2; 7, Malyi Kalkaman 1; 8, Baikadam; 9, Novaya Stanitsa 1A; 10, Cherlak; 11, Detskaya zheleznaya doroga; 12, Olkhovka 1B.**


B
MAP/MAPrecent %
500
400
300
200
100
0
present day value
12
11
10
9
8
7
6
5
2-4
1
A
MAP (mm)
1500
1000
500
0
12
11
10
9
8
7
6
5
2-4
1
age error
MAP error
Pliocene
Late Miocene
Middle Miocene
Early Miocene
age
Zanclean
Messinian
Serravalian
Burdigalian
Aquitanian
epoch
Early
Late
Middle
Early
Pliocene
Miocene
Ma
5
6
7
12
13
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

Table 1(on next page)

Recent herpetofauna of south-western part of Siberia (Ob and Irtysh River drainages) according to different authors.

Recent herpetofauna of south-western part of Siberia (Ob and Irtysh River drainages) according to different authors. References: 1 - *Kuzmin* (1995) ; 2 - *Borkin* (1999) ; 3 - *Ravkin, Bogomolova & Chesnokova* (2010) ; 4 - *Amphibiaweb* (2016) ; 5 - *Ananjeva* et al. (2006). Abbreviation: is – insular occurrence.

Taxa		References				
		1	2	3	4	5
Caudata	*Salamandrella keyserlingii*	+	+	+	+	
	Lissotriton vulgaris	is	+	-	+	
Anura	*Rana arvalis*	+	+	+	+	
	Rana amurensis	+	+	+	+	
	Rana temporaria	+	-	+	+	
	Pelophylax ridibundus	is	-	+	is	
	Bufotes viridis	is	+	+	is	
	Bufotes variabilis	-	-	-	+	
	Bufo bufo	+	+	+	+	
	Bufo gargarizans	-	?	-	is	
Lacertoidea	*Lacerta agilis*					+
	Zootoca vivipara					+
Serpentes	*Elaphe dione*					+
	Natrix natrix					+
	Vipera berus					+
	Vipera renardi					+
	Gloydius halys					+

Table 2(on next page)

Neogene testudinoid fauna of Western Siberia and the Zaisan and Turgay Basins.

According to *Chkhikvadze* (1989), as well as the superscriptions before the taxa indicate the references: * *Kordikova* (1994) ; ** *Danilov, Cherepanov & Vitek* (2013) , *** Kentyubek fauna (Suppl. 3), $^{*-}$ Chkhikvadze (1989) and $^{*+}$ *Tleuberdina* et al. (1993) , ^{K} *Kuznetsov* (1982) , ^{O} our results. The aquatic families are indicated with blue and terrestrial families with dark yellow colour. Abbreviations: Ty – Trionychidae, Cy – Chelydridae, Pl – Platysternidae, Ts – Testudinidae, Ey – Emydidae, Gey – Geoemydidae.

Stage		Zaisan Basin			Turgay Basin	Western Siberia
		Suite	Turtle “Stage”*-	taxa	taxa	taxa
Pliocene						*Chelydropsis kuznetsovi*(Cy), ?*Sakya* sp. (Ey)
Miocene	Late	Karabulak		**Protestudo illiberalis* (Ts)		^{O}*Emydoidea* sp. (Ey)
		Kalmakpai		*Protestudo kegenica* (Ts)		^{K}*Protestudo karabastusica* (Ts)
	Middle	Sarybulak	up.	**Protestudo darewskii* (Ts)	****Chrysemys* sp. (Ey), ?*Ocadia* sp. (Gey), *Emydoidea tasbaka* (Ey), *Kazakhemys zaisanensis* (Pl), ?*Chelydropsis* sp. (Cy)	*+ *Chrysemy* sp. (Ey), *Ocadia* sp. (Gey)
			low.	**Pelodiscus jakhimovitchae* (Ty)		
		Zaisan	up.	***Baicalemys moschifera* (Ey)		
			low.	(**)*Baicalemys* sp. (Ey)		
	Early	Akzhar	up.	*Protestudo* sp. (Ts)		
			midd.	*- *Chelydropsis poena* (Cy)		
				**Pelodiscus* sp. (Ty)		
				*- *Kazakhemys zaisanensis* (Pl)		
				***Baicalemys jegalloi* (Ey)		
				***Ocadia iliensis* (Gey)		
			low.	*-*Protestudo alba* (Ts)		
				Emydidae gen. indet. (Ey)		