

Neogene amphibians and reptiles (Caudata, Anura, Gekkota, Lacertilia, Testudines) from south of Western Siberia, Russia and Northeastern Kazakhstan

Davit Vasilyan^{1, 2, 3}, Vladimir S. Zazhigin⁴, and Madelaine Böhme^{1,5}

¹ Department of Geosciences, Eberhard-Karls-University Tübingen, Sigwartstraße 10, 72076 Tübingen, Germany.

² JURASSICA Museum, Route de Fontenais 21, 2900 Porrentruy, Switzerland,

davit.vasilyan@jurassica.ch.

³ Department of Geosciences, University of Fribourg, Chemin du musée 6, 1700 Fribourg, Switzerland.

⁴ Institute of Geology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Pyzhevsky per. 7, 119017 Moscow, Russia,

zazhvol@gmail.com.

⁵ Senckenberg Center for Human Evolution and Palaeoecology, Sigwartstraße 10, 72076

Tübingen, Germany, m.boehme@uni-tuebingen.de.

Corresponding author:

Davit Vasilyan

Current address: JURASSICA Museum, Route de Fontenais 21, 2900 Porrentruy, Switzerland

Email address: davit.vasilyan@jurassica.ch

Código de campo cambiado

23 **Abstract**

24 **Background.** The present-day amphibian and reptile fauna of Western Siberia is the least
25 diverse of the Palearctic Realm, as a consequence of the unfavourable climatic conditions that
26 predominate in this region. The origin and emergence of these herpetofaunal groups are poorly
27 understood. Aside from the better-explored European Neogene localities yielding amphibian
28 and reptile fossil remains, the Neogene herpetofauna of Western Asia is understudied. The few
29 available data need critical reviews and new interpretations, taking into account the more
30 recent records of the European herpetofauna. The comparison of this previous data with that of
31 the European fossil record would provide data on palaeobiogeographic affiliations of the region
32 as well as on the origin and emergence of the present-day fauna of Western Siberia. An
33 overview of the earliest occurrences of certain amphibian lineages is still needed. In addition,
34 studies that address such knowledge gaps can be useful for molecular biologists in their
35 calibration of molecular clocks.

36 **Methods and Results.** In this study, we considered an critically review available data from
37 amphibian and reptile fauna from over 40 Western Siberian, Russian and Northeastern
38 Kazakhstan localities, ranging from the Middle Miocene to Early Pleistocene. Herein, we provide
39 new interpretations that arose from our assessment of the previously published and new data.
40 More than 50 amphibian and reptile taxa were identified belonging to families Hynobiidae,
41 Cryptobranchidae, Salamandridae, Palaeobatrachidae, Bombinatoridae, Pelobatidae, Hylidae,
42 Bufonidae, Ranidae, Gekkonidae, Lacertidae and Emydidae. Palaeobiogeographic analyses were
43 performed for these groups and palaeoprecipitation values were estimated for 12 localities,
44 using the bioclimatic analysis of herpetofaunal assemblages.

Eliminado: s

Eliminado: have

Eliminado: d

Eliminado: the

49 **Conclusions.** The Neogene assemblage of Western Siberia was found to be dominated by
50 groups of European affinities, such as Palaeobatrachidae, *Bombina*, *Hyla*, *Bufo bufo*, and a small
51 part of this assemblage included Eastern Palaearctic taxa (e.g. *Salamandrella*, *Tylototriton*,
52 *Bufo viridis*). For several taxa (e.g. *Mioproteus*, *Hyla*, *Bombina*, *Rana temporaria*), the
53 Western Siberian occurrences represented their most eastern Eurasian records. The most
54 diverse collection of fossil remains was found in the Middle Miocene. Less diversity has been
55 registered towards the Early Pleistocene, potentially due to the progressive cooling of the
56 climate in the Northern Hemisphere. The results of our study showed higher-amplitude changes
57 of precipitation development in Western Siberia from the Early Miocene to the Pliocene, than
58 previously assumed.

Eliminado: are

60 Introduction

61 Western Siberia is a geographic region restricted to the territories of Russia and parts of
62 northern Kazakhstan. It includes the region between the Ural Mountains in the west, Central
63 Siberian Plateau in the east, and the Kazakh Plain and Altay Mountains, including the Zaisan
64 Lake in the south (Fig. 1). Western Siberia region incorporates the drainage basin of the major
65 Siberian rivers such as the Irtysh and Ob rivers, both flowing into the Kara Sea of the Arctic
66 Ocean. The region is characterised by a highly continental climate, under the influence of the
67 Westerlies (winds). The mean annual precipitation (MAP) is relatively uniform and varies from
68 400 mm in the north (415 mm at Omsk) to 200 mm in the south (255 mm at Pavlodar). The
69 region has a high relative humidity in summer due to labile convective heating and frequent
70 torrential rainfall. The mean annual range of temperature reaches 4 °C and more (Omsk: cold

Eliminado: s

73 month temperature – CMT -19 °C, warm month temperature – WMT 20 °C, mean annual
 74 temperature – MAT 0.4 °C; Semipalatinsk: CMT -16 °C, WMT 22 °C, MAT 3.1 °C; Lake Zaisan:
 75 CMT up to -27 °C, WMT 23 °C; after Müller & Hennings, 2000). The area is covered by diverse
 76 biomes, namely the tundra ('cold steppe') and taiga (coniferous forests) biomes, which are
 77 replaced by open landscapes in the north (tundra) and in the south (steppe). The region that
 78 contains the studied Neogene outcrops is located in the transitional zone between the dry and
 79 the more humid temperate biomes, where taiga, forest-steppe and steppe biomes are
 80 distributed (Ravkin et al., 2008).

81 Due to the strong continental climate, the present-day herpetofauna in the territory of Western
 82 Siberia is comparatively far less diverse, represented only by six to ten amphibian species and
 83 seven reptile species (Table 1). It is assumed that the present distribution of amphibians and
 84 reptiles in Western Siberia was strongly influenced by Quaternary climatic fluctuation (Ravkin,
 85 Bogomolova & Chesnokova, 2010). According to Borkin (Borkin, 1999), the present-day
 86 amphibian fauna of Western Siberia belongs to the Siberian region of amphibian distribution in
 87 the Palaearctic Realm. According to different authors (e.g. Kuzmin, 1995; Amphibiaweb, 2016),
 88 the region is inhabited by few amphibians, namely two species of salamanders and four to eight
 89 species of anurans, belonging to five genera and five families (Table 1). This is the poorest
 90 regional diversity of fauna in the Palaearctic Realm, without any endemic species. Only
 91 *Salamandrella keyserlingii* and *Rana amurensis* are characteristic of the territory, but they are
 92 widely distributed and are also found in smaller areas in the neighbouring regions (Borkin,
 93 1999). The Western Siberian reptile fauna listing includes few species: *Natrix natrix*, *Elaphe*

Eliminado: (

Eliminado:)

96 *dione, Vipera berus, Vipera renardi, Gloydus halys, Zootoca vivipara, Lacerta agilis* and *Eremias*
97 *arguta* (Ananjeva et al., 2006; Ravkin, Bogomolova & Chesnokova, 2010).

98

99 **Geology and stratigraphy**

100 The Neogene sediments in Western Siberia have a wide distribution. Over many decades,
101 through systematic palaeontological studies and research in the Neogene and Quaternary
102 sediments of this area, rich fossil deposits of molluscan and small and large mammalian faunas
103 have been discovered (e.g. Zykin, 1979; Zykin & Zazhigin, 2008; Zykin, 2012). Based on the
104 studies of the small fossil mammals, the Neogene stratigraphy of the area is complemented
105 with biochronologic data. Continental sedimentation in the western part of the Siberian Plain
106 began in the Oligocene, after regression of the Turgai Strait in the Late Eocene, and continued
107 until the Quaternary period (e.g. Chkhikvadze, 1984, 1989; Tleuberdina et al., 1993; Malakhov,
108 2005). The sedimentary basin is surrounded by the Ural Mountains in the west, the Central
109 Kazakh Steppe and Altai-Sayan Mountains in the south, and the western margin of the Siberian
110 Plateau in the east. The surrounding regions deliver clastic material to the basin. Some
111 researchers include the Zaisan Basin, located to the west of the Altai-Sayan Mountains in this
112 territory (Borisov, 1963). The Neogene sediments are represented by lacustrine, fluvial, alluvial
113 and other continental depositions, overlying marine Eocene sediments. The thickest section
114 (300 m) of the Neogene and early Quaternary sediments occurs in the Omsk Basin. Neogene
115 strata outcrops are mainly found in the interfluvies of the Irtysh and Ishim rivers (Gnibitenko,
116 2006; Zykin, 2012). All these sediments are terrestrial (fluvial and alluvial facies) and have
117 produced rich fossil layers of vertebrate fauna (Zykin, 2012). The vertebrate-bearing Neogene

Eliminado: ian fossils

119 sediments are found in several areas along the Irtysh River and its tributaries – Petropavlovsk-
 120 Ishim (e.g. Petropavlovsk 1, Biteke 1A), Omsk (e.g. Novaya Stanitsa 1, Cherlak), Pavlodar (e.g.
 121 Pavlodar, Baikadam) and [the](#) Novosibirsk areas (e.g. Kamen-na-Obi) (Fig. 1). Detailed geological
 122 descriptions of the stratigraphic sections and fossil localities are summarised in *Zykin* (1979);
 123 *Zykin & Zazhigin* (2004); *Gnibitenko* (2006); *Zykin* (2012).
 124 The stratigraphic subdivision is based mainly on the Russian concept of svitas. A svita has
 125 lithologic, biochronologic and genetic (sedimentologic) significance and has no precise
 126 equivalent in Western stratigraphic theory and terminology (*Lucas et al.*, 2012). The stratigraphy
 127 of Neogene sediments in Western Siberia is supported by magnetostratigraphic investigations
 128 (e.g. *Gnibitenko*, 2006; *Gnibidenko et al.*, 2011), [in which](#) the recovered polarity signals are
 129 combined with biochronologic data and correlated to the [geomagnetic polarity time scale](#)
 130 [\(GPTS\)](#) (*Fejfar et al.*, 1997; *Vangengeim, Pevzner & Tesakov*, 2005; *Zykin, Zykina & Zazhigin*,
 131 2007). The biozonation is based on fast-evolving lineages of small mammals, mainly jerboas
 132 (*Dipodidae*), hamsters (*Cricetidae*) and voles (*Arvicolidae*). [Owing](#) to these bio-
 133 magnetostratigraphic data the mean temporal resolution of the late Neogene faunal record
 134 from the Ob-Irtysh Interfluve is [estimated to be approximately](#) 200 kyr (Fig. 2, Table S1, Data
 135 S2). The main [sections](#) of these vertebrate [fossil](#) localities are referred to certain svitas (e.g.
 136 Kalkaman, Pavlodar, Irtysh Svitas), however, the stratigraphic assignment of three localities
 137 Olkhovka 1A, 1B, 1C to svitas is not available (Fig. 2, Table S1). No fossils are available [in the](#)
 138 [initial deposits of](#) the early Late Miocene.

140 State-of-art in palaeoherpetological studies in Western Siberia

141 The fossil record of amphibians and reptiles in Western Siberia, including the Zaisan Basin
 142 record, remain largely unknown. There are very few works devoted to the studies of the
 143 Western Siberian late Paleogene and Neogene herpetofaunal assemblages (e.g. *Chkhikvadze*,
 144 1984, 1989; *Tleuberdina et al.*, 1993; *Malakhov*, 2005). The vast majority of data on fossil
 145 amphibians and reptiles are represented as short notes or are mentioned in faunal lists (e.g.
 146 *Bendukidze & Chkhikvadze*, 1976; *Chkhikvadze*, 1985; *Malakhov*, 2005). In this present
 147 contribution we analysed the available data from specimens described below, and from new
 148 generated data as well.
 149 The earliest report on Neogene fossil amphibians was compiled by *Iskakova* (1969), wherein she
 150 described amphibian faunas from two Priirtyshian localities, Gusiniy Perelet and Karashigar.
 151 Gusiniy Perelet is a well-renovated Late Miocene vertebrate fossil locality, situated on the
 152 riverbank of the Irtysh River, within the town of Pavlodar. The sedimentary sequence in this
 153 locality contains layers of different ages from the late Late Miocene until the late Early Pliocene.
 154 Three localities (also 'horizons') within the town of Pavlodar (Pavlodar 1A, 1B, 3B) are grouped
 155 into several svitas and can be distinguished from the Gusiniy Perelet vertebrate locality. The
 156 fossil content of the Gusiniy Perelet locality comes from the lower horizon – Pavlodar 1A.
 157 *Iskakova* (1969) described a amphibian fauna from this layer.
 158 The age of the Karashigar locality is unclear. In a study by *Tleuberdina et al.* (1989), this locality
 159 has been estimated to date back to the Late Oligocene; however, *Lychev* (1990) placed it in the
 160 Middle Miocene, Kalkaman Svita (the list of the small mammal fauna; see Data S2). The
 161 amphibian taxa described by Iskakova (1969) in the Priirtyshian localities (*Bombina* cf. *bombina*,
 162 *Pelobates* cf. *fuscus*, *Bufo* cf. *viridis*, *Bufo* cf. *bufo*, *Rana* cf. *ridibunda*, *Rana* cf. *temporaria*) were

Eliminado: ,

Eliminado: and

Eliminado: aside from

Eliminado: ing new

Eliminado: , we analysed the available data from specimens in the below mentioned work

170 identified based mainly on the vertebrae (cervical, dorsal and sacral) morphology, which is not
171 diagnostic in frogs at that taxonomic level. *Chkhikvadze* (1984) restudied the material from the
172 Pavlodar 1A (= Gusiniy Perelet) locality and identified *Bufo* cf. *raddei*, *Bufo* sp., *Pelophylax* cf.
173 *ridibundus*, *Eremias* sp., and *Coluber* sp. In this study, we did not, however; assess the material
174 from the above-mentioned works in order to verify Chkhikvadze (1984) taxonomic
175 identifications. Our sample from this locality (Pavlodar 1A) (Table S1), did not reveal any
176 element listed in the se earlier studies (Chkhikvadze, 1984).
177 *Chkhikvadze* (1984) summarised all known fossil amphibians and reptiles from the former Union
178 of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR), including those from Western Siberia. Accurate descriptions
179 are not yet available for many of these species. The Middle Miocene Kalkaman locality
180 (*Tleuberdina*, 1993), presently known as Malyi Kalkaman 1 (*Zykin*, 2012), has provided a diverse
181 record of fossil herpetofauna. The fossil record of this locality was partially restudied and
182 amended by us, which included the collection of new material.
183 Over the last decade, fresh attempts has been made to study the herpetofauna from the
184 Western Siberian localities (*Malakhov*, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2009). In the resultant works,
185 undescribed material from several Neogene localities of Kazakhstan were summarised, revised,
186 and studied, thereby providing critical overviews. In spite of the advances of the recent years,
187 however, the Neogene herpetofauna from Western Asia remains largely unknown, with
188 available fossil material continuing to be insufficiently studied. The main goals s of the present
189 study were, therefore, to assess the descriptions s and taxonomic classifications of the new
190 amphibian and reptile fossil material collected by Vladimir Zazhigin (co-author), as well as
191 already published data, so providing a comprehensive faunistic analysis and

Eliminado: as to

Eliminado: e

194 palaeobiogeographic and environmental interpretations. To avoid confusion around the names
195 used by different authors in the Russian literature to describe the localities, we have provided
196 all known names for the se studied fossil localities.

Eliminado: offer

197

198 **Materials & Methods**

199 The new materials used in the present study were collected by V. Zazhigin (co-author) using the
200 screen-washing technique during his long-term excavations in different Western Siberian
201 localities from the 1960's to 2008. These se localities outcrop along the riverbanks of the Irtysh,
202 Ishim and Ob rivers. This fossil material is stored in the Institute of Geology, Russian Academy of
203 Sciences under the collection numbers:

204 GIN 950/2001 (Baikadam), GIN 1107/1001 (Malyi Kalkaman 1), GIN 1107/2001 (Malyi Kalkaman
205 2), GIN 1106/1001 (Shet Irgyz 1) GIN 952/1001 (Petrovsk 1), GIN 1109/1001 (Znamenka),
206 GIN 640/5001 (Pavlodar 1A), GIN 951/1001 (Selety 1A), GIN 951/2001 (Kedey), GIN 948/2001
207 (Novaya Stanitsa 1A), GIN 1115/1001 (Borki 1A), GIN 1110/2001 (Cherlak), GIN 945/2001
208 (Beteke 1A), GIN 640/6001 (Pavlodar 1B), GIN 1130/1001 (Lezhanka 2A), GIN 1130/2001
209 (Lezhanka 2B), GIN 1111/1001 (Olkhovka 1A), GIN 1111/2001 (Olkhovka 1B), GIN 1111/3001
210 (Olkhovka 1C), GIN 1118/3001 (Peshniovo 3), GIN 1131/2001 (Isakovka 2), GIN 1131/1001
211 (Isakovka 1A), GIN 1131/3001 (Isakovka 1B), GIN 1117/1001 (Kamyshlovo), GIN 945/2001
212 (Beteke 1B), GIN 945/3001 (Beteke 1C), GIN 1112/1001 (Andreievka – Speranskoe), GIN
213 1108/2001 (Pavlodar 2B), GIN 1112/2001 (Andreievka 1), GIN 1129/2001 (Livenka), GIN
214 1129/1001 (Lezhanka 1), GIN 1108/3001 (Pavlodar 3A), GIN 950/3001 (Lebiazhie 1A), GIN

216 950/4001 (Lebiazhie 1B), GIN 950/5001 (Podpusk 1), GIN 945/60001 (Beteke 2), GIN 946/2001
217 (Kamen-na-Obi), GIN 945/8001 (Beteke 4), GIN 664/2001 (Razdole).

218 Various groups of amphibians and reptiles are represented in the available material. A report of
219 part of this material, i.e. of the snakes and anguine lizards, has been published in a separate
220 paper (e.g. *Vasilyan, Böhme & Klembara*, 2016). The present study included an assessment of
221 the materials collected from four fossil sites in Kazakhstan: Akyspe (also known as Agyspe), Aral
222 Horizon, leg. by Bendukidze in 1977; Kentyubek, Turgai Basin; Ryzhaya II (Ryzhaya Sopka), Zaisan
223 Svita, Zaisan Basin, leg. in 1970; Ayakoz (known also as Ayaguz), Zaisan Basin, leg. in 1970-1971;
224 Petropavlovsk 1/2¹, leg. 1972 (Table S1). In addition, the few available data from the literature
225 were included in this study (after critical revision) to amend the record of herpetofaunal
226 assemblages of some localities as well as to reassign and revise the stratigraphic position of
227 these localities using biochronologic information of small and large mammalian fauna (see full
228 list in the Datas S2, S3).

229 The photographs of the fossil material were taken using a digital microscope Leica DVM5000
230 (Tübingen) and inspected ed with a scanning electron microscope, FEI Inspect S (Madrid). The
231 figures and tables were produced using Adobe Photoshop and Illustrator programs. The
232 osteological nomenclature of this study followed that of *Vasilyan* et al. (2013) for the
233 salamander remains, that of *Sanchíz* (1998a) for frogs, that of *Daza, Aurich & Bauer* (2011) and
234 *Daza & Bauer* (2010) was used for Gekkota, and the lepidosaurian terminology of *Evans* (2008).

¹ In the town of Petropavlovsk two fossil sites (Petropavlovsk 1 (MN12) and Petropavlovsk 2 (MN14)) having different ages are known, see *Zykin* (2012). Since the enclosed collection label to the material indicates only 'locality Petropavlovsk, leg. 1972' any stratigraphic allocation of the fossils to one of those layers is impossible.

235 Based on the herpetofaunal assemblages, the palaeoprecipitation values for the fossil localities
236 were estimated using the method of bioclimatic analysis of the ecophysiologic groups of
237 amphibian and reptile taxa (Böhme et al., 2006). For the localities considered to be 'poor' in
238 amphibian and reptile taxa, the range-through approach (Barry et al., 2002) was used, in which
239 the faunas of two or more localities with age differences less than 100 kyr and/or belonging to a
240 single stratigraphic unit – svita, were considered as one. The taxa that were added to the
241 herpetofaunal assemblage using the range-through approach, are indicated in grey in Table S4.

Eliminado: ,

243 Institutional/collection abbreviations.

244 **GPIT**: Paläontologische Sammlung der Universität Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany; **HC**: collection
245 of Marcela Hodrova (Prague University), now stored in GPIT; **MNCN**: Museo Nacional de
246 Ciencias Naturales, Madrid, Spain; **NMNHK**: National Museum of Natural History, Kiev, Ukraine;
247 **PIN**: Palaeontological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia; **GNM**: National
248 Museum of Georgia, Tbilisi, Georgia; **GIN**: Geologic Institute, National Academy of Russia,
249 Moscow, Russia.

251 Anatomical abbreviations.

252 **ao**: antrum olfactorium; **alo**: antrum pro lobo olfactorio; **dl**: dental lamina; **ds**: dental shelf; **hl**:
253 horizontal lamella; **is**: incisura semielliptical; **ff**: frontoparietal facet; **fcpr**: facial process of
254 maxilla; **fMx5**: foramina for mandibular division of the fifth cranial (trigeminal) nerve; **hfr**:
255 haemal foramen; **hl**: horizontal lamella; **lf**: lacrimal facet; **lg**: longitudinal groove; **lh**: lamina
256 horizontalis; **lp**: lateral processes; **ls**: lamina supraorbitalis; **mc**: Meckelian canal; **na**: neural arch;

258 **nc**: neural canal; **nf**: nasal facet; **onf**: orbitonasal foramina; **olf**: olfactory foramina; **pf**:
259 parasphenoid facet; **pfc**: palatine facet; **ph**: paries horizontalis; **prz**: prezygapophysis; **psz**:
260 postzygapophysis; **pv**: paries verticalis; **pxp**: premaxillary process; **pyp**: pterygapophysis; **sac**:
261 opening of superior alveolar canal; **sg**: symphyseal groove; **sf**: splenial facet; **tpr**: transverse
262 process.

263

264 **RESULTS**

265 **Systematic palaeontology**

266 Class **Amphibia** Gray, 1825

267 Order **Caudata** Scopoli, 1777

268 Family **Hynobiidae** Cope, 1859

269 Genus ***Salamandrella*** Dybowski, 1870

270 ***Salamandrella*** sp.

271

272 (Fig. 3D-3G)

273 **Localities and material examined.** [Malyi Kalkamana 1, GIN 1107/1001-AM12, 1 right femur;](#)

Con formato: Inglés (Estados Unidos)

274 Selety 1A, GIN 951/1001-AM01 – -AM03, 3 trunk and GIN 951/1001-AM04, 1 caudal vertebra;

275 GIN 951/1001-AM05, 1 distal end of bone (humerus?); Novaya Stanitsa 1A, GIN 948/2001-

276 AM01 – -AM11, 11 trunk vertebrae; Lezhanka 2A, GIN 1130/1001-AM01 – -AM26, 26 trunk and

277 GIN 1130/1001-AM27 – -AM28, 2 caudal vertebrae; Cherlak, GIN 1110/2001-AM01 – -AM12, 12

278 trunk vertebrae; Lezhanka 2B, GIN 1130/2001-AM01, 1 trunk vertebra, GIN 1130/2001-AM02, 1

279 extremity bone; Olkhovka 1B, GIN 1111/2001-AM01, 1 trunk vertebra; Iskakovka 2A, GIN

1131/2001-AM01, 1 trunk vertebra; Andreievka – Speransko, GIN 1112/1001-AM01, 1 trunk vertebra; Lezhanka 1, GIN 1129/1001-AM01 – -AM02, 2 trunk and GIN 1129/1001-AM03, 1 caudal vertebrae; Beteke 1C, GIN 945/3001-AM01 – -AM02, 2 trunk vertebrae.

Eliminado ;

Description and comments. The vertebrae have an elongated to nearly slender form. The vertebral centrum is amphicoelous. The basapophyses at the vertebral centrum are either absent or are present in the form of a small protuberance at the laterodorsal corners of the anterior portion of the vertebral centrum. A pair of subcentral foramina is situated at the basis of the transverse processes. The neural arch is tall in lateral view and relatively broad in dorsal view. The posterior edge of the pterygapophysis is bifurcated. Sometimes the neural spine is present but in general the dorsal surface of the neural arch is flat. The pre- and postzygapophyses have an elongated oval shape. In anterior view, the neural canal has an outline of a regular pentagon. The transverse process is uncapitate. The anterior and posterior alar processes are absent. The vertebrae can be assigned to the family Hynobiidae based on: (1) the small size and their amphicoelous centrum with circular articular surfaces; (2) the lack of or being weakly pronounced basapophyses; (3) the lack of neural spine; (4) the notch on the posterior margin of neural arch; (5) the fused rib-bearers; and (6) the intervertebrally exiting spinal nerve in both trunk and caudal vertebrae (e.g. Edwards, 1976; Venczel, 1999a, 1999b).

Comentario [GP1]: Which is this view? Posterior view?

Con formato: Resaltar

Further, characteristic features can be observed on the vertebrae of representatives of the genus *Salamandrella*, namely the absence of the subcentral foramen and the concave anterior margin of the neural arch that reaches the middle part of the prezygapophyseal articular facets (Venczel, 1999b; Ratnikov & Litvinchuk, 2009; Syromyatnikova, 2014) (Fig. 3D-3G). The detailed

302 description of hynobiid material from the Western Siberian localities and comparison with

303 recent and fossil hynobiids is provided in a forthcoming paper,

304

305 Family **Cryptobranchidae** *Fitzinger, 1826*

306 **Cryptobranchidae** indet.

307

308 (Fig. 3A-3C)

309 **Localities and material examined.** Pavlodar 1A (=Gusiniy Perelet), 1 fragmentary right dentary

310 and 2 fragments of jaw bones, for details about the stratigraphic allocation see the section

311 'Cryptobranchidae', unnr. PIN specimen.

312 **Description and comments.** Among the fragments, a posterodorsal portion of a large right

313 dentary, 27 mm in length, is present. In lingual view, the pars dentalis is composed entirely of

314 dental lamina and the subdental lamina is present, but reduced. The pars dentalis possesses 30

315 pedicels of pleurodont teeth. The subdental shelf inclines slightly ventrally. The lamina

316 horizontalis is prominent. The corpus dentalis above the Meckelian groove has a concave

317 surface. Ventrally, this surface possesses a ridge running parallel to the lamina horizontalis. The

318 cross section of the dentary shows a relatively low portion of cancellous bone and a dominance

319 of compact bone. The size of the bones, the form and structure of the pars dentalis and the

320 cross section of the bone are characteristic of giant salamanders (*Vasilyan et al., 2013*).

321

322 Family **Proteidae** *Gray, 1825*

323 Genus **Mioproteus** *Estes & Darevsky, 1977*

Eliminado: separate

Eliminado: (unpublished)

326 ***Mioproteus* sp.**

327

328 (Fig. 3H-3S)

329 **Localities and material examined.** Ryzhaya II (known also Ryzhaya Sopka), GNM unnr.

Con formato: Inglés (Reino Unido)

330 specimen, 2 trunk vertebrae; Malyi Kalkaman 2, GIN 1107/2001-AM01, 1 right premaxilla; Borki

331 1A, GIN 1115/1001-AM01, 1 trunk vertebra; Ayakoz, GNM unnr. specimen, 1 trunk vertebra;

332 Akеспе, unnr. HC specimens, 3 vertebrae; Petropavlovsk 1/2, GNM unnr. specimen, 22

333 vertebrae.

334 **Description.** The preserved left premaxilla is fragmentary (Fig. 3P-3Q) and the posterior process

335 is broken off. In ventral view, the bone has a rough surface. The pars dentalis of the premaxilla

336 is located on the anterior side of the bone. The crowns of pleurodont teeth are missing and only

337 their pedicellar portions are preserved. The bone surface is slightly rough in dorsal view. The

338 lamelliform anterolateral ridge of the posterior process is high at the middle part of the bone.

339 The amphicoelous vertebrae are flat and wide. The centrum is dumb-bell in shape and narrows

340 to the middle region. The basapophyses, if present, are small and weakly developed. Two

341 subcentral foramina are present at the central part of the vertebral centrum. In lateral view, the

342 vertebra is low; the anterior and posterior zygapophyseal crests are pointed, forming the dorsal

343 border of the deep depressions anteriorly and posteriorly to the transverse process. The middle

344 part of the neural arch is lower than its cranial and caudal margins. The posterior edge of the

345 neural arch is forked (Fig. 3H) (not visible at Fig. 3M). The neural spine extends as far as the

346 preserved anterior margins of the neural arch, whereas posteriorly, it terminates before the

347 | posterior margin of the neural arch. The preserved right pre- and postzygapophyseal articular
348 | facets are ellipsoid.

349 | **Comparison and comments.** A direct comparison with *Mioproteus* specimens from previous
350 | reports was not possible due to the extremely scarce description of the skull elements
351 | attributed to this taxon (e.g. *Estes & Darevsky*, 1977; *Miklas*, 2002). We therefore used the
352 | material of *Mioproteus* sp. from the Grytsiv locality (Ukraine, earliest Late Miocene) (Fig. 3R-3S)
353 | for the taxonomic identification of the fossil premaxilla from Malyi Kalkaman 2 (Fig. 3P-3Q). Our
354 | comparison founds no differences in the premaxilla morphology between the Kazakhstan and
355 | Ukrainian *Mioproteus* sp. The vertebrae from the Borki 1A and Ayakoz localities can be easily
356 | assigned to the genus *Mioproteus* based on following characters: (1) robust vertebra with an
357 | amphicoelous centrum; (2) a tall cranial margin of the neural arch; (3) the presence of
358 | basapophyses; (4) a distinct wide depression at the anterior base of the transverse process; (5)
359 | intervertebrally exiting spinal nerves; and (6) a forked neural spine (*Edwards*, 1976; *Estes &*
360 | *Darevsky*, 1977; *Ivanov*, 2008).

361

362 | Family **Salamandridae** *Goldfuss*, 1820

363 | Subfamily **Pleurodelinae** *Tschudi*, 1838

364 | Genus ***Chelotriton*** *Pomel*, 1853

365 | ***Chelotriton*** sp.

366

367 | (Fig. 3T-3Y)

Comentario [GP2]: Or use 'features'

Eliminado: istics

Eliminado: the

370 **Localities and material examined.** Malyi Kalkaman 1, GNM unnr. specimen, 1 trunk vertebra;
 371 Ayakoz, GNM unnr. specimen, 1 trunk vertebra.

372 **Description.** The single fragmentary trunk vertebra of *Chelotriton* from the Malyi Kalkaman 1
 373 locality has been scantily described (*Tleuberdina* et al., 1993, pp. 133-134). The centrum of the
 374 vertebra is ophistocoelous and dorsally curved. Both the posterior one-third of the vertebra and
 375 cotyle are broken. The condyle is dorsoventrally slightly compressed and oval in shape. The
 376 middle part of the ventral surface of the centrum bears a pair of the foramina subcentrale. The
 377 ventral bases of both transverse processes are pierced by a foramen (potentially the ventral
 378 foramen for the spinal nerve).

379 The neural spine is tall, long, and almost equal in length to the vertebral centrum. The dorsal
 380 surface of the neural spine has the form of an elongated isosceles triangle and it is covered by a
 381 distinct pustular sculpture. The anterior margin of the neural spine is concave in outline. The
 382 posterior half of the spine is wider than the anterior one (Fig. 3Y). In anterior view, the neural
 383 arch and the neural canal have a triangular form. The roof of the neural canal is flat, on both
 384 sides of the neural spine.

385 The pre- and postzygapophyses are damaged. The anterior portion of the left postzygapophysis
 386 is present and it shows a horizontal surface. The anterior bases of both prezygapophyses at the
 387 contact with the centrum possess small subprezygapophyseal foramina. Behind the left
 388 prezygapophysis, the accessory alar process exhibits a marked step (Fig. 3Y), projects
 389 posteroventrally and connects caudally with the anterior alar process. The contact point of the
 390 accessory and anterior alar processes probably corresponds to the base of the parapophysis.

391 Both transverse processes are broken, but the bases are preserved. Apparently, two rounded

392 upper and lower prominences, seen in left lateral view, correspond to the dia- and
393 parapophysis. The parapophysis is located anteriorly and dorsally to the level of the
394 diapophysis; thus, the transverse process becomes a bent projection. The arterial canal runs
395 behind the base of the transverse process. Anteriorly, its dorsal and ventral walls are built by
396 the accessory and anterior alar processes.

397 The vertebra from the Ayakoz locality (Fig. 3T-3X) is fragmentary, its neural arch and left
398 transverse process are lost; the centrum is compact, short and wide, and it possesses an
399 elliptical central foramen. The diapophysis of the preserved right transverse process is broken,
400 but it can be assumed that the dia- and parapophysis were separated from each other. The
401 accessory alar process runs from the prezygapophysis to the dorsal edge of the diapophysis. The
402 posterior and anterior alar processes run from the cotyle and condyle straight along the
403 transverse process to the parapophysis. This morphology is characteristic of the first trunk
404 vertebrae.

405 **Comparison and comments.** This vertebra was previously described by Tleuberdina et al.
406 (1993). Here we have assigned this specimen to the genus *Chelotriton* owing to the presence of
407 a triangular and well-sculptured plate on the top of the neurapophysis. This character, however,
408 is not a unique feature of *Chelotriton* and is also seen in other salamanders, e.g. Recent species
409 of *Tylotriton* and *Echinotriton*, and in *Cynops pyrrhogaster*, *Lissotriton boscai* (unnr. GPIT
410 specimen), *Paramesotriton* (MNCN 23557, 13645), as well as the fossil taxa *Archaeotriton*
411 (*Böhme*, 1998), aff. *Tylotriton* sp. (Baikadam locality, this paper), *Carpathotriton* (*Venczel*,
412 2008). The vertebra from the Malyi Kalkaman 1 resembles the species of *Chelotriton*,
413 *Paramesotriton*, *Tylotriton*, *Echinotriton*, *Cynops pyrrhogaster*, and *Carpathotriton* in their

Eliminado: Tleuberdina et al.,

415 mutual presence of a subprezygapophyseal foramen. The vertebra can, however, be justified as
 416 *Chelotriton* sp. and distinguished from other salamanders by: (1) its longer length (vs.
 417 *Echinotriton*, *Cynops* and *Carpathotriton*); (2) a longer neural spine with a rugose sculptured and
 418 triangular dorsal surface (vs. aff. *Tylototriton* sp., Baikadam locality, this paper); and (3) a well-
 419 pronounced accessory alar process (vs. *Tylototriton*).

420 The fragmentary vertebra from the Ayakoz locality can be assigned also to this group because
 421 of the presence of massive rib-bearers and large dimensions (*Ivanov*, 2008). Its vertebral
 422 centrum? is identical to that of vertebra of *Chelotriton* sp. type II described from the Mokrá-
 423 Western Quarry, 2/2003 Reptile Joint (Early Miocene, Czech Republic) (*Ivanov*, 2008).

424 The abundant European Cenozoic record of the genus *Chelotriton*, however, showed that
 425 vertebral morphology is insufficient for taxonomic identification as *Chelotriton* (*Böhme*, 2008).

426 This genus has an unknown higher diversity, which can be uncovered by the study of complete
 427 skeletons of those species. We hence classified the vertebrae from studied localities as aff.

428 *Chelotriton* sp.

429

430 Genus ***Tylototriton*** Anderson, 1871 (*Anderson*, 1871)

431 aff. ***Tylototriton*** sp.

432

433 (Fig. 4A-4K)

434 **Locality and material examined.** Baikadam, GIN 950/2001-AM01, -A14—A17 5 trunk vertebrae;

435 Ayakoz, GNM unnr. specimen, 2 trunk vertebrae.

Eliminado: , too,

Eliminado: the shape of

Comentario [GP3]: Please, verify

Eliminado:

Eliminado:

Description. All preserved vertebrae are opisthocelous. The condyle and cotyle are dorsoventrally compressed. The vertebrae are slender, slightly narrow, and high. The neural canal is round, but in anterior view, the ventral margin of the neural canal is flat. The same occurs with the dorsal wall of the vertebral centrum. The centrum is dorsally curved in lateral view (Fig. 4A, 4F, 4G). The neural spine was most probably high but does not reach the level of the pustular region. The neural spine begins behind the cranial margin of the neural arch. The neural arch is tilted dorsally and does not extend beyond the posterior edge of the postzygapophysis. The dorsal plate of the neural spine is short, poorly developed, and covered with rugosities. It has the form of an isosceles triangle. Due to the concave shape of the posterior margin of the caudal border, we suggest that the neural spine was probably bifurcated. The length of the neural spine, without the sculptured structure, is the same in all preserved vertebrae and corresponds nearly to almost half of the entire vertebral length (Fig. 4A, 4F, 4G). The pre- and postzygapophyses are horizontal and almost at the same level (e.g. Fig. 4A). The pre- and postzygapophyseal articular facets are oval in shape. Small subprezygapophyseal foramina are present at the level of the connection between the anterior bases of both prezygapophyses with the vertebral centrum. The posterolaterally directed transverse process is horizontally flattened and displays a bicapitate articulation surface with the rib. The diapophysis and parapophysis are separated, with the former being smaller than the latter. A low and moderately deep notch is developed at the posterior edge of the neural arch. The transverse process has an anterior (accessory alar process) and posterior laminar edges (i.e. the posterior alar process and dorsal lamina). The straight, posteroventrally directed accessory alar process

Eliminado:)

Eliminado:

Eliminado: w

465 | connects the prezygapophysis caudally with the base of the parapophysis (e.g. 4F). The dorsal
466 | lamina starts from the diapophysis and extends to the postzygapophyses, whereas the
467 | lamelliform posterior alar process starts at the parapophysis and terminates directly before the
468 | cotyle. Subparallel to the accessory alar process, a thin anterior alar process runs along the
469 | cranial half of the centrum. Behind and in front of the transverse process two 'cavities' (a
470 | shallow anterior and a deep posterior) are present. These 'cavities' are connected by a canal
471 | (possibly an arterial canal), that runs through the transverse process. In ventral view, the
472 | vertebral centrum does not possess a ventral keel. The centrum is flattened and nearly plane in
473 | the middle portion. Its surface is rough and pierced by numerous foramina. Two large
474 | subcentral foramina are located at the posterior corner between the centrum and transverse
475 | process (Fig. 4c, 4l).

476 | **Comparison and comments.** The vertebrae resemble the morphology of pleurodeline
477 | salamanders *Echinotriton*, *Tylototriton*, *Cynops*, *Chelotriton*, *Paramesotriton* and *Tylototriton*
478 | and *Carpathotriton* in characteristics such as: (1) the presence of rugosities on the neural arch;
479 | (2) the connection of the prezygapophysis and parapophysis with the accessory alar process,
480 | except in *Carpathotriton*, *Cynops* and cf. *Tylototriton* sp. from Möhren 13 (Böhme, 2010: p. 11,
481 | fig. 6f), where this process connects prezygapophysis with diapophysis; (3) a moderately
482 | developed posterior 'cavity' behind the transverse process; and (4) the presence of
483 | subprezygapophyseal foramen (for collection references see subsection 'Comparison' of
484 | *Chelotriton* sp. in this report). In terms of the general morphology, the vertebrae mainly
485 | resemble the genus *Tylototriton* and differ from the compared genera in having: (1) a low,
486 | elongate, narrow and lesser flattened vertebrae; (2) a weakly developed pustular structure of

487 the neural arch (similar character as seen in *Paramesotriton*); (3) a low and long neural spine
 488 without the sculptured structure; (4) a dorsoventrally compressed cotyle and condyle; (5) a
 489 deep posterior 'cavity' behind the transverse process, and an extended dorsal lamina and
 490 posterior alar process; (6) a low and shallow posterior notch of the neural arch; and (7) in having
 491 an accessory alar process that reaches the parapophysis, which differs from specimens of the
 492 genus *Cynops* where, it reaches the diapophysis. The Siberian *Tylotriton* differs from the
 493 European Oligocene cf. *Tylotriton* (see *Böhme*, 2010: p. 11, fig. 6f) by having; (1) a ventrally
 494 deflected accessory alar process that terminates ventrally to the parapophysis; (2) a shorter and
 495 lower neural spine; and (3) a shorter dorsal plate of the neural spine.
 496 Taking into account the above-mentioned differences, we suggest that the described vertebrae
 497 should be assigned to a new pleurodeline salamander genus that shows affinities with the genus
 498 *Tylotriton*. However, we do not consider it reasonable to describe a new form unless cranial
 499 material of this salamander is available.

Eliminado: in

Eliminado: in that is has

500

501 Order **Anura** *Fischer von Waldheim*, 1813

502 Family **Palaeobatrachidae** (*Cope*, 1865)

503 **Palaeobatrachidae** sp. indet.

504

505 (Fig. 5A-5D)

506 **Locality and material examined.** Novaya Stanitsa 1A, GIN 948/2001-AM12, 1 sphenethmoid.

507 **Description.** This specimen is represented by a very robust sphenethmoid that lacks the
 508 posterior region. The two anterior cavities corresponding to the antrum olfactorium are

511 anteroposteriorly shallow. The posterior cavity, antrum pro lobo olfactorio, is deep and narrow
512 (Fig. 5A, 5B). The olfactory foramen is larger than the orbitonasal foramen (Fig. 5C). The
513 processus rostralis is elongated and projects anteriorly. On the anterior, dorsal face of the bone,
514 two sharply marked crescentic depressions (nasal facets) correspond to the contacts with the
515 nasal bones (Fig. 5A). In dorsal view, the frontoparietal facet (contacting with the frontoparietal
516 cranial bones) shows a slightly striated surface. The lateral processes protrude laterally. The
517 lamina supraorbitalis is well developed. The most anterior part of the incisura semielliptical is
518 preserved on the specimen. The remaining part of this structure demonstrates that it
519 approaches cranially to the anterior border of the bone. The ventral face of the sphenethmoid
520 possesses a narrow and long depression corresponding to the contact area with the cultriform
521 process of the parasphenoid (the parasphenoid facet) (Fig. 5B).

522 **Comparison and comments.** The bone has strong similarities to that of palaeobatrachids in
523 having: (1) a long sphenethmoid with a frontoparietal fenestra corresponding to more than half
524 of the bone length; (2) the articulation area of the parasphenoid delimited by two parallel
525 ridges, in ventral view; (3) a very short septum nasi and lateral process (*Vergnaud-Grazzini &*
526 *Młynarski*, 1969; *Sánchez & Młynarski*, 1979). The palaeobatrachid from the Novaya Stanitsa 1A
527 locality exhibits all these characters aside from the short septum nasi, which is longer in the
528 fossil bone. We presume that the frontoparietal fenestra was longer more than half of the
529 sphenethmoid length because the overall length of the frontoparietal and nasal facets has
530 similar proportions as these seen in other palaeobatrachids. Furthermore, according to *Venczel*,
531 *Codrea & Fărcaș* (2012), the sphenethmoidal ossification forms the anterior margin of

Eliminado: of

Eliminado: ve

Eliminado: is

Eliminado: like

536 frontoparietal fontanelle in palaeobatrachid frogs (*Palaeobatrachus* + *Albionbatrachus*), which
537 can also be observed in the studied specimen.

538

539 Family **Bombinatoridae** Gray, 1825

540 Genus ***Bombina*** Oken, 1816

541 ***Bombina*** sp. / ***Bombina*** cf. ***bombina*** (Linnaeus, 1761)

542

543 (Fig. 6A-6F)

544 **Localities and material examined.** Malyi Kalkaman 2, GIN 1107/2001-AM02, 1 ilium; Selety 1A,
545 GIN 1107/2001-AM06, 1 ilium; Cherlak, GIN 1107/2001-AM06, 1 ilium.

546 **Description.** The bone description is based on the ilium from the Selety 1A locality, since the
547 specimens from the Malyi Kalkaman 2 and Cherlak localities are greatly damaged. In lateral
548 view, the iliac shaft is almost straight and lacks the dorsal crest. The tuber superior is a weakly
549 pronounced tubercle. In dorsal view, a spiral groove is observable and continues on the medial
550 surface of the shaft. The acetabulum is round and strongly extended (Fig. 6A). The junction
551 between the iliac shaft and corpus ossi is slightly constricted and the ventral base of the corpus
552 ossi possesses a preacetabular fossa. The ventral ridge of the acetabulum is high. In lateral and
553 posterior views, the pars descendens is reduced and wide, whereas the pars ascendens is high
554 but narrow (Fig. 6A, 6B). In ventral view, the pars descendens is broad and nearly flat. In medial
555 view, the acetabular area is bordered by shallow ridges, between which there is, a triangular
556 and medially prominent interiliac tubercle (Fig. 6B, 6C).

557 **Comparison and comments.** The lack of the vexillum and a poorly developed tuber superior is
 558 characteristic of the genus *Bombina* (Böhme, 1977). The ilium differs from *Bombina orientalis* by
 559 a poorly developed tuber superior. The ilium from the Selety 1A locality is distinguishable from
 560 *Bombina variegata* and resembles *Bombina bombina* in having: (1) a developed pars
 561 descendens; (2) a posteroventral ridge of the pars descendens projecting ventrally rather than
 562 posteriorly (Böhme, 1977); and (3) a developed preacetabular fossa (Sánchez & Młynarski,
 563 1979). We, therefore, tentatively assign the bone to *B. bombina* due to the absence of well-
 564 preserved material of the fire-bellied toads from the Selety 1A locality. The specific assignment
 565 of the ilia from the Malyi Kalkaman 2 locality, is impossible due to their fragmentary
 566 preservation; therefore we describe them as *Bombina* sp.

567 The specimen from the Cherlak locality (Fig. 6D-6F) is greatly damaged with only a few
 568 remaining observable characters that allow for its identification within Bombinatoridae. The
 569 identifying characters are: (1) a large pars descendens at its anterior section, but dorsally
 570 reduced; (2) a present but larger tuber superior than that of the Malyi Kalkaman 2 and Selety 1A
 571 specimens (within the family, larger tuber superior are present in the *Barbatula* (Folie et al.,
 572 2013)); and (3) although the ventral wall of the acetabulum is not preserved, the remaining part
 573 of its base allows for the assumption that it was markedly pronounced. Due to the incomplete
 574 preservation, the important characters needed for taxonomic identification, e.g. interiliac
 575 tubercle and junctura ilioischiadic, cannot be observed. The ilium from the Cherlak locality can,
 576 therefore, be tentatively referred to the family Bombinatoridae.

577

578 Family **Pelobatidae** Bonaparte, 1850

Eliminado: specimen

Eliminado: w

Eliminado: remaining

582 Genus ***Pelobates*** Wagler, 1830

583 ***Pelobates*** sp.

584

585 (Fig. 6G-6I)

586 **Localities and material examined.** Selety 1A, GIN 1110/2001-AM13, 1 right ilium.

587 **Description.** The corpus ossi and distal portion of the iliac shaft are present. The tips of the pars

588 descendens and pars ascendens are broken. The bone surface is smooth and there is no tuber

589 superior. An oblique posterolaterally-anteromedially directed spiral groove extends on the

590 dorsal surface. Laterally, the high and long pars ascendens possesses a supraacetabular fossa

591 (Fig. 6I). The junction between the iliac shaft and corpus ossi is not constricted. The

592 subacetabular groove is shallow and broad. The acetabulum has a nearly triangular form, with a

593 well-marked rim. In medial view, the corpus ilii possesses an interiliac facet with a rugose

594 surface. The interiliac facet is composed of a large lower and a small upper portions. A well-

595 developed interiliac tubercle is visible between these portions (Fig. 6G). The lower portion is

596 ventroposteriorly oblique, whereas the upper one is flat, less rugose and has a concave surface.

597 The rugose surface of the facet indicates an extensive contact between two ilia (Fig. 6G, 6H).

598 The acetabular dorsal tuber is higher than the ventral one.

599 **Comparison and comments.** The ilium can be assigned to the family Pelobatidae based on the

600 absence of a dorsal crest, the absence of a dorsal tubercle and the presence of an oblique spiral

601 groove on the dorsal surface (Roček et al., 2014). The bone has the same characters of the

602 genus *Pelobates*: (1) a high and long pars ascendens; (2) a well-developed spiral groove (Böhme,

603 2010); (3) the lack of a dorsal crest of the iliac shaft (Folie et al., 2013); and (4) a rugose surface

604 of the interiliac facet (*Rage & Hossini, 2000*). However, further identification of the ilium is
605 impossible, as it does not show relevant differences at the specific level.

606

607 Family **Hylidae** *Rafinesque, 1815*

608 Genus **Hyla** *Laurenti, 1768*

609 **Hyla savignyi** *Audouin, 1827*

610 **Hyla** gr. *H. savignyi*

611

612 (Fig. 6J-6O)

613 **Localities and material examined.** Shet-Irgyz 1, GIN 1106/1001-AM01, 1 left ilium; Novaya

614 Stanitsa 1A, [GIN 948/2001-AM20, 1 maxilla](#), GIN 948/2001-AM13, 1 scapula and GIN 948/2001-

615 AM14, 1 sacral vertebra; Lezhanka 2A, GIN 1130/1001-AM29 – -AM32, 4 ilia. GIN 1130/1001-

616 AM33 – -AM36, 4 scapulae [and GIN 1130/1001-AM41, 1 trunk vertebra](#); Cherlak, GIN

617 1130/1001-AM14 – -AM15, 2 ilia; Olkhovka 1B, GIN 1111/2001-AM02, 1 fragmentary ilium;

618 Pavlodar 2B, GIN 1108/2001-AM01 – -AM03, 3 ilia.

619 **Description.** The ilia from all localities resemble the same morphology, [i.e.](#) the tuber superior is

620 dorsally [prominent](#) and slightly laterally. The tuber superior is located at the anterior corner of

621 the acetabulum. The preserved iliac shaft is nearly cylindrical, [slightly](#) mediolaterally

622 compressed [and](#) is devoid of crista dorsalis. The supraacetabular part of the ilium is smaller than

623 the preacetabular. The ventroposterior margin of the iliac shaft is connected with the pars

624 descends by an expanded preacetabular zone, building a broad and thin lamina. The

625 acetabulum has a nearly triangular form. The acetabular rim is prominent at its high

626 ventroanterior edge. The posterodorsal corner of the acetabulum ascends and builds a small
627 and prominent acetabular tuber (Fig. 6L). In medial view, the bone surface is smooth,
628 sometimes with a shallow depression in the middle part of the corpus ossi. In distal view, the
629 junctura ilioischadica is slender, the acetabulum is high and the interiliac facet displays a well-
630 pronounced ventromedial expansion. The acetabular dorsal tuber is higher than the ventral one
631 (Fig. 6K).

632 The scapula, a triradiate element of the pectoral girdle, is comparatively long (Fig. 6M-6O). The
633 bone surface is relatively smooth and is pierced by several foramina. The corpus scapulae, the
634 middle part of the bone, is slender and long. The pars suprascapularis is preserved in a
635 fragmentary state and most probably was not high. In dorsal view, the elongate pars acromialis
636 is narrow and almost equal in length (Fig. 6M). The shorter and flattened processus glenoidalis
637 is slightly broad. The processus glenoidalis and pars acromialis are separated by relatively deep
638 sinus interglenoidalis (Fig. 6N). The margo posterior, at the corner of the processus glenoidalis
639 and corpus scapula, possesses an oval to elongated-oval angular fossa (Fig. 6N, 6O). The tear-
640 shaped glenoid fossa reaches the posterior corner of the processus glenoidalis. The crista
641 supraglenoidalis is slightly pronounced.

642 **Comparison and comments.** The Siberian fossil tree frog differs from already described fossils
643 and some recent species of the genus *Hyla*. The following recent material is available for
644 comparison: *Hyla savignyi*, Armenia (four individuals, unnr. GPIT specimen), *Hyla orientalis*,
645 Armenia (two individuals, unnr. GPIT specimen) and *Hyla arborea*, Germany ? (one individual,
646 unnr. GPIT specimen). The Siberian forms can be distinguished from *Hyla* sp. (Rudabánya locality
647 in Hungary, middle Late Miocene (Roček, 2005); Bois Roche Cave in France, early Late

Eliminado:

649 Pleistocene (Blain & Villa, 2006)), *Hyla arborae* (TD8 locality in Spain, early Middle Pleistocene
 650 (Blain, 2009)), *Hyla* cf. *arborae* (Mátraszőlös 2 locality in Hungary, middle Middle Miocene
 651 (Venczel, 2004)), *Hyla* gr. *H. arborae* (Capo Mannu D1 Local Fauna in Italy, Late Pliocene
 652 (Delfino, Bailon & Pitruzzella, 2011)), *Hyla* aff. *japonica* (Tologov 38x, Baikal Lake, Russia, late
 653 Late Pleistocene (Ratnikov, 1997)) and Recent *Hyla japonica* (Nokariya, 1983) in having: (a) a
 654 fossa supraglenoidalis; (b) a slenderer and lower corpus scapula and pars suprascapularis; and
 655 (c) a shorter and broader processus glenoidalis. Apart from these differences, the Siberian fossil
 656 tree frogs resemble *Hyla* sp. from the Bois Roche Cave, France (Blain & Villa, 2006), and *H.*
 657 *arborae* (one individual, unnr. GPIT specimen) in possessing a low and broad processus
 658 glenoidalis. The Recent *H. savignyi* is the only tree frog showing a fossa supraglenoidalis like the
 659 one present in the studied remains. The Recent *H. savignyi* also possesses other similarities to
 660 the fossil tree frog, namely: (1) a slender junctura ilioischiadica; (2) the same position of the
 661 tuber superior; (3) comparable acetabular tubers; and (4) a similar slightly curved pars
 662 ascendens. There are, however, also differences between the Recent *H. savignyi* and the fossil
 663 tree frog. The fossil tree frog has: (1) a dorsally and slightly laterally prominent tuber superior;
 664 (2) a deeper and larger fossa supraglenoidalis; and (3) a ventromedial expansion of the interiliac
 665 facet; whereas *H. savignyi* has: (1) a dorsally and laterally significantly prominent tuber superior;
 666 (2) a shallow and small angular fossa; and (3) the interiliac facet devoid of ventromedial
 667 expansion. Among other fossil tree frogs, the Western Siberian *Hyla* sp. has the lowest and
 668 broadest processus glenoidalis. Another fossil tree frog *Hyla* sp. reported from the Russian
 669 Platform in the Kuznetsovka locality (0.5-0.65 Ma) (Ratnikov, 2002: fig. 2), displays a similar
 670 morphology of the ilium as in the Siberian fossil, i.e. the orientation of the tuber superior and

Eliminado: in

672 the form of the junctura ilioischadica. Because the observed differences in both the Recent and
673 fossil forms, as well as the similarities to *H. savignyi*, we assume that the fossil tree frogs from
674 Western Siberian and the Russian Platform, probably represent a new form related to the group
675 of *Hyla savignyi*.

Eliminado: of

Eliminado: to

Eliminado:

676

677 Family **Bufo**nidae Gray, 1825

678 Genus **Bufo** Laurenti, 1768

679 **Bufo bufo** (Linnaeus, 1758)

680

681 (Fig. 6P-6W)

682 **Localities and material examined.** Novaya Stanitsa 1A, GIN 948/2001-AM15, 1 left and GIN

683 948/2001-AM16 – -17, 2 right ilia, GIN 948/2001-AM18 – -19, 2 trunk vertebrae; Borki 1A, GIN

684 1115/1001-AM02, 1 sacral vertebra, GIN 1115/1001-AM03, 1 left ilium; Olkhovka 1B, GIN

685 1111/2001-AM04, 1 left, GIN 1111/2001-AM03, 2 right ilia and GIN 1111/2001-AM05, 1 trunk

686 vertebra; Olkhovka 1C, GIN 1111/3001-AM01, 1 left scapula, GIN 1111/3001-AM02, 1 trunk

687 vertebra and GIN 1111/3001-AM03, 1 urostyle; Lezhanka 2A, GIN 1130/1001-AM37, 1 left ilia,

688 GIN 1130/1001-AM38, 1 left scapula, GIN 1130/1001-AM39, 1 sacral and GIN 1130/1001-AM40,

689 1 trunk vertebrae; Isakovka 1B, GIN 1131/3001-AM01, 1 left ilium; Isakovka 1A: GIN 1131/1001-

690 AM01, -AM05, 2 right ilia; Peshniovo 3, GIN 1118/3001-AM01, 1 sacral vertebra; Lezhanka 1, GIN

691 1129/1001-AM04, 1 trunk vertebra; Andreievka 1, GIN 1112/2001-AM01 1 right scapula.

692 **Description and comments.** The ilia are large and have a robust corpus ossi. The spiral groove is

Eliminado: is

693 broad and very shallow. The tuber superior is broad, low, covered with irregular tubercles, and

Eliminado:

699 | [it](#) is situated above the acetabulum ([Fig. 6P](#)). The smooth and concave pars descendens is more
 700 | developed than the pars ascendens. The ventral edge of the pars descendens is thin and
 701 | lamelliform. The preacetabular fossa is absent ([Fig. 6P](#)). In posterior view, the anterolateral edge
 702 | of the acetabular is strongly curved. [The](#) junctura ilioischadica shows a higher acetabular
 703 | ventral tuber than the dorsal tuber, [and](#) the ventral half of the corpus ossi projects
 704 | ventromedially ([Fig. 6P](#)).
 705 | The scapula is a robust bone [and](#) is longer than [it is](#) high. The material is represented by all
 706 | [ontogenetic series](#). The angular fossa is absent; a shallow groove on the ventral side of the pars
 707 | acromialis is present and well pronounced in larger individuals. The pars acromialis and corpus
 708 | scapula have nearly the same height. [The](#) pars suprascapularis [laterally](#) increases in height. The
 709 | pars suprascapularis and corpus scapulae (anterior) have smooth surfaces. The base of the
 710 | lateral edge of the fossa glenoidalis is elevated but does not project laterally. The crista
 711 | supraglenoidalis is well developed in larger individuals. The anterior margin is concave. The base
 712 | of the pars acromialis is high and thin ([Fig. 6R](#)). [There](#) is a shallow and expanded depression [in](#)
 713 | [ventral view](#). The anteromedial margin of the pars acromialis possesses a low tubercle. The
 714 | transition from the corpus scapula to the pars acromialis is nearly straight [and](#) the wall is thin
 715 | ([Fig 6S, 6T](#)).
 716 | In several localities, [the](#) large-sized frog vertebrae and urostyle ([Fig. 6U-6W](#)) are present in
 717 | association with diagnostic elements (ilia and scapula) (e.g. Olkhovka 1C [locality](#)) or [are](#) isolated
 718 | (e.g. Pehsniovo 3 [locality](#)). [These](#) individuals of the same size can be assigned to the large *Bufo*
 719 | *bufo*. The morphological traits described above (e.g. lack of angular fossa on the scapula and
 720 | preacetabular fossa on ilium, general outline, form, [and](#) size of the scapula and ilium) as well as

721 the bone dimensions are the same as those found in the common toad *Bufo bufo* (Blain, Gibert
722 & Ferràndez-Cañadell, 2010).

723

724 Genus *Bufotes* Rafinesque, 1815

725 *Bufotes viridis* Laurenti, 1768

726

727 (Fig. 6X-6Z)

728 **Localities and material examined.** Baikadam, GIN 950/2001-AM02 – -AM04, 3 left and GIN

729 950/2001-AM05 – -AM09, 5 right ilia; Malyi Kalkaman 1, GIN 1107/1001-AM02 and -AM03, 1

730 left and 1 right scapulae; Malyi Kalkaman 2, GIN 1107/2001-AM03, 1 right scapula; Znamenka,

731 GIN 1109/1001-AM01 and -AM02, 1 left and 1 right scapulae, GIN 1109/1001-AM03 – -AM07, 5

732 left and GIN 1109/1001-AM08 – -AM11, 4 right ilia; Pavlodar 1A, GIN 640/5001-AM01 – -AM24,

733 240 left and GIN 640/5001-AM25 – -AM58, 34 right ilia, GIN 640/5001-AM63 – -AM77, 15 left

734 and GIN 640/5001-AM78 – -AM88, 11 right scapulae; Cherlak, GIN 1110/2001-AM16, 1 right

735 ilium; Selety 1A, GIN 951/1001-AM08 – -AM10, 3 left and GIN 951/1001-AM11 – -AM14, 4 right

736 ilia; Isakovka 1A, GIN 1131/1001-AM02 – -AM04, 3 left ilia; Kedey, GIN 951/2001-AM01 and – -

737 AM02, 1 left and 1 right ilia; Lebiashie 1A, GIN 950/3001-AM01, 1 left scapula, GIN 950/3001-

738 AM01 2 left ilia; Lebiashie 1B, GIN 950/4001-AM01, -AM02, 2 right ilia.

739 **Description and comments.** The iliac shaft is slightly lateromedially compressed and bears a

740 weakly pronounced depression along the middle section. The spiral groove between the corpus

741 ossi and iliac shaft is weakly developed. The tuber superior is low and possesses a uni- or

742 bilabiate protuberance in its central part. The angular fossa is well pronounced. The

Con formato: Inglés (Estados Unidos)

743 anteroventral edge of the acetabular rim is straight. The pars descendens projects sharply
744 ventrally. There is no observable 'calamita' ridge (Fig. 6X). The remains show typical features for
745 *Bufo viridis*: i.e. the form and shape of the tuber superior and acetabulum (*Böhme*, 1977;
746 *Blain, Gibert & Ferràndez-Cañadell*, 2010). Due to the absence of well-preserved material, we
747 prefer to tentatively assign the remains to the *Bufo viridis* group.

748

749 ***Bufo* sp.**

750 **Localities and material examined.** Cherlak, GIN 1110/2001-AM17, 1 left scapula; Olkhovka 1A,
751 GIN 1111/1001-AM01, -AM02, 2 left ilia; Pavlodar 2B, GIN 1108/2001-AM04 – -AM06, 3 left ilia.

752 **Description and comments.** The greatly damaged ilia exhibits the typical morphology of the
753 genus *Bufo*, i.e. the iliac shaft without the dorsal crest and a spiral groove between the shaft
754 and corpus ili i (*Böhme*, 1977). There is a preacetabular fossa in the caudoventral corner of the
755 acetabulum. The tuber superior is eroded. In medial view, the pars descendens is
756 ventromedially directed.

757

758 Family **Ranidae** *Batsch*, A. J. G. K., 1796

759 Genus ***Pelophylax*** *Fitzinger*, 1843

760 ***Pelophylax* sp.**

761

762 (Fig. 6AA-6AD)

763 **Localities and material examined.** Malyi Kalkaman 1, GIN 1107/1001-AM04, 1 left ilium, GIN
764 1107/1001-AM13, 1 left articular; Malyi Kalkaman 2, GIN 1107/2001-AM04, -AM05, 2 right ilia.

765 | and GIN 1107/2001-AM06, 1 left ilium; Petropavlovsk 1, GIN 952/1001-AM01, 1 left ilium;
766 | Olkhovka 1C, GIN 1111/3001-AM04, 1 right ilium; Kamyshovo, GIN 1107/1001-AM01, 1 right
767 | scapula; Lezhanka 1, GIN 1129/1001-AM05, 1 left and GIN 1129/1001-AM06, 1 right ilia, GIN
768 | 1129/1001-AM07, 1 left scapula; Andreevka 1, GIN 1112/2001-AM02, 1 right and GIN
769 | 1112/2001-AM03, 1 left ilia; Livenka, GIN 1129/2001-AM01, 1 right ilium.

770 | **Description and comments.** The ilia have a strong, oval, nearly vertically oriented and ventrally
771 | well-defined high tuber superior. The dorsal crest is high; anteriorly it is often broken. The tuber
772 | superior is high and slightly more S-shaped than the crest; a well-developed supraacetabular
773 | fossa is present. Posterior to the tuber, the dorsal margin of the bone is bent ventrally towards
774 | the acetabulum. In posterior view, the tuber superior is curved ventromedially (Fig. 6AA). The
775 | junctura ilioschiadica is damaged but based on the preserved structures we speculate that it
776 | was tall (Fig. 6AB).

777 | The scapula is an elongated d and short bone. In ventral view, a weakly developed crista
778 | supraglenoidalis is observable. It runs subparallel to the margo posterior and reaches the middle
779 | part of the pars suprascapulars (Fig. 6AC, 6AD).

780 | The characters listed above, i.e. like the form and orientation of bones, tuber superior and crista
781 | supraglenoidalis, allow for the attribution of the fossils to the genus of the green (water) frogs
782 | *Pelophylax* (Böhme, 1977; Sanchíz, Schleich & Esteban, 1993; Bailon, 1999; Blain, Bailon &
783 | Agustí, 2007). Any further identification is impossible due to the fragmentary preservation of
784 | the material.

785

786 | Genus ***Rana*** Linnaeus, 1758 (*Linnaeus*, 1758)

Eliminado:

788 ***Rana* sp. / *Rana temporaria*** Nilsson, 1842 (*Nilsson*, 1842)

789

790 (Fig. 6AE-6AH)

791 **Localities and material examined.** Ayakoz, unnr. HC specimens, numerous ilia, Baikadam, GIN
792 950/2001-AM10, 1 left, GIN 950/2001-AM11 – -AM13, and 3 right ilia; Malyi Kalkaman 1, GIN
793 1107/1001-AM05 – -AM09, 5 left ilia, GIN 1107/1001-AM10, 1 right ilia, GIN 1107/1001-AM01, -
794 AM11, 2 right scapula; Malyi Kalkaman 2, GIN 1107/2001-AM07, 1 right ilium, GIN 1107/2001-
795 AM08 – -AM13, 6 left ilia; Olkhovka 1C, GIN 1111/3001-AM05, 1 right ilium; Lezhanka 1, GIN
796 1129/1001-AM08, 1 left ilium; Kentyubek, unnr. HC specimens, 2 left ilia.

797 **Description.** The ilia have a reduced, compact, anteriorly directed and low tuber superior. The
798 lateral surface is rough. The dorsal crest is low. The pars descendens is more developed than the

799 pars ascendens (Fig. 6 AE). In posterior view, the junctura ilioschiadica is low (Fig. 6AF) in
800 comparison to the ilium of *Pelophylax* sp. (Fig. 6AA). The tuber superior projects dorsolaterally.
801 The pars descendens projects medially (Fig. AE).

802 The middle portions of both scapulae are preserved without the proximal parts of the pars
803 acromialis and suprascapularis. In dorsal view, a crista supraglenoidalis is observable at the
804 processus glenoidalis, which continues until the pars suprascapularis along the longitudinal axis
805 of the bone. It is very prominent and forms a lamelliform convex ridge. The base of the
806 processus glenoidalis is high and straight (Fig. 6AG, 6 AH).

807 **Comments.** The ilia and scapulae morphology strongly resembles that of brown frogs genus,
808 *Rana* (*Böhme*, 1977). Due to the fragmentary preservation of the bone material, any precise
809 taxonomic identification of the frogs from nearly all localities was impossible. The comparison

810 with Recent species (e.g. *Rana temporaria* (unnr. GPIT specimen), *Rana dalamtina* (unnr. GPIT
811 specimen; Bailon, 1999), *Rana graeca* (unnr. GPIT specimen), *Rana arvalis* (unnr. GPIT
812 specimen), *Rana dybowskii* (MNCN 40459), *Rana amurensis* (unnr. GPIT specimen) etc.)
813 revealed more similarities with the European and Western Asiatic species rather than to Eastern
814 Asiatic brown frogs.

815 Only the Malyi Kalkaman 1 [locality](#) provided adequate material for specific identification. The
816 ilia and scapulae from [this locality's material](#) resembled the Recent species, *Rana temporaria*,
817 which has the widest distribution among the brown frogs in Eurasia. The fossil bones of brown
818 frogs from other Western Siberian localities are described here as *Rana* sp. Due to the poor
819 preservation of the ilia from [the](#) Kentyubek [locality](#), it can be only identified at the family level.

820
821 Class **Reptilia** *Laurenti*, 1768

822 Order **Squamata** *Oppel*, 1811

823 Suborder **Gekkota** *Cuvier*, 1817

824 Family **Gekkonidae** *Gray*, 1825

825 Genus ***Alsophylax*** *Fitzinger*, 1843

826 ***Alsophylax*** sp.

827

828 (Fig. 7)

829 **Locality and material examined.** Cherlak, GIN 1110/2001-RE01 – -RE10, 10 right dentaries, GIN
830 1110/2001-RE11 – -RE24, 14 left dentaries, GIN 1110/2001-RE26 – -RE38, 13 left maxillae, GIN

831 | 1110/2001-RE³⁹ – -RE⁴³, 5 right maxillae, GIN 1110/2001-RE⁴⁴, 1 cervical trunk vertebra;

832 | Mynsualmas-MSA 3: 1 right maxilla, unnr. GPIT specimen.

833 | **Description.**

834 | *Tooth morphology.* The teeth are slender, unicuspid, and not narrowly arranged. All maxillaries
835 | and dentary teeth are straight, except the most anterior ones on the dentary, which are
836 | anteriorly lightly oblique. The central teeth on dental lamina of both the maxilla and dentary are
837 | larger than the anterior and posterior ones (Fig. 7C, 7G). The cusps of maxilla teeth are rarely
838 | posteriorly oriented. The most complete dentary bone contains at least 17 (in total, probably
839 | 20) teeth, counted by both teeth and their alveoles (Fig. 7B-7D).

840 | *Dentaries.* The dentary is a slender and elongated. In the symphyseal region, the bone is slightly
841 | medially curved. The pars ventralis is assumed to be enlarged, due to the bone posteriorly
842 | increasing in height. The dentary is characterised by a completely closed Meckelian canal, which
843 | runs along approximately two-thirds of the bone length (Fig. 7B). The symphyseal articulation
844 | surface is reduced. It does not build a pronounced articulation surface. The ventral surface of
845 | the symphysis bears a longitudinal, posteriorly deepening symphyseal groove, visible in both the
846 | lingual and ventral views (Fig. 7B-7D). The Meckelian canal is open posteriorly at about the
847 | 15th-16th tooth position. The splenial facet on the dentary, the anterior margin of Meckelian
848 | opening, shows a light concave and elongated surface (Fig. 7B-7E). In lateral view, the bone is
849 | smooth, and the only complete dentary possesses five foramina that are arranged in a
850 | longitudinal row (Fig. 7A). The size of the foramina increases slightly in the anteroposterior
851 | direction, also changing in form from a more rounded outline to an oval appearance. The
852 | position of the last mental foramen is arranged lingually in front of the posterior opening of the

853 Meckelian canal. The cavity of the Meckelian canal is divided in two, i.e. the upper and lower
854 subcanals, by a distinct horizontal lamella (Fig. 7E). The horizontal lamella runs parallel to the
855 lamina horizontalis and can be observed posteriorly behind the opening of the Meckelian canal.

856 The upper subcanal opens to the labial surface of the bone near to the mental foramina. The
857 symphyseal groove corresponds to the anterior opening of the lower subcanal. In lingual view,
858 the lamina horizontalis is situated in a low position. Its margin is rounded but not prominent. A
859 shallow and anteriorly extending dental shelf divides the lamina horizontalis from the dental
860 lamina (Fig. 7C). Posteriorly, the bone is nearly L-shaped in the transverse section. In all
861 observed specimens, the pars horizontalis is destroyed in the preserved bone. The caudal
862 portion of the paries verticalis shows bifurcation (Fig. 7E), which corresponds to the coronoid
863 insertion.

864 *Maxilla*. The preserved posterior part of the maxillary possesses a relatively low lacrimal facet of
865 the facial process of the maxilla (pars nasalis sensu *Estes* (1969)), while the latter is always not
866 preserved. The internal wall of the maxilla posteriorly bears a small distinct longitudinal groove,
867 running parallel to the lamina horizontalis (Fig. 7F-7H). The groove begins at the posterior basis
868 of the lacrimal facet and continuous until the preserved posterior tip of the bone. The groove
869 narrows at the middle section of the bone (at the position of the 3rd-4th last tooth), where the
870 lacrimal facet terminates. The lamina horizontalis is clearly visible, expands laterally just under
871 the tip of the lacrimal facet and builds a palatine facet (Fig. 7F-7H). The lamina horizontalis
872 becomes distinctly and posteriorly narrower but does not diminish fully at the posterior end of
873 the bone. The jugal process of the maxilla is bifurcated at its distal end (Fig. 7H). The maxillary
874 lappet is damaged, but its base is preserved. The internal wall surface of the maxilla contains

875 little rugosity. Here an anteroposteriorly directed, fairly well-pronounced, median ridge, is
 876 observed. In labial view, several foramina occur above the dental row. Some of these foramina
 877 are arranged in a longitudinal line that corresponds to the foramina for the mandibular division
 878 of the fifth cranial (trigeminal) nerve. This line runs parallel to the lamina horizontalis. The last
 879 foramen in the row pierces the maxilla at the base of the lacrimal facet under its tip. The bases
 880 of the facial process and maxillary lappet lay a relatively large superior alveolar canal (sac, Fig.
 881 7J, 7L) for the maxillary nerve and its accompanying blood vessel. The remaining foramina at the
 882 maxilla are dispersed irregularly on the bone surface. The premaxillary process is present, but it
 883 is highly damaged. The anterior basis of the lacrimal facet is pierced by a foramen.
 884 *Vertebra*. A single cervical vertebra of a gecko specimen shows an elongate amphicoelous
 885 centrum (Fig. 7N-7P). The cotyles are approximately circular. In anterior view, the vertebra has a
 886 semi-circular outline. In lateral view, the vertebra is anteroposteriorly short? elongated? and
 887 concave on both sides and several foramina occur. The transverse processes are high, extremely
 888 short, and vertically aligned. The distal end of the process is round. The haemal foramina are
 889 present at the lower base of the transverse processes. The prezygapophyses are small and
 890 slightly prominent. The neural arch is plane and triangular in outline. It possesses a slender and
 891 low neural crest. The postzygapophyses are small, nearly invisible and are situated on the
 892 ventrolateral edges of the pterygapophysis.

893 **Comparison and comments.** Numerous characters allow for the identification of the material as
 894 a member of the family Gekkonidae. These characters are namely: (1) the amphicoelous
 895 condition of the vertebra; (2) the maxillae and dentaries bearing numerous pleurodont, isodont,
 896 densely packed, cylindrical, and slender monocuspid teeth; (3) the presence of a medially

Comentario [GP4]: Is alternative

Eliminado: few rugosities

Eliminado: ,

Con formato: Resaltar

Eliminado: x

Con formato: Resaltar

Comentario [GP5]: Please, check this sentence.

Eliminado: and concave on both sides

Con formato: Resaltar

901 extended dental shelf of the maxilla; and (4) the lingually closed Meckelian canal (*Hoffstetter &*
 902 *Gasc*, 1969; *Daza, Alifanov & Bauer*, 2012). The gekkonid remains from the Cherlak locality
 903 display a low number of teeth on the dentary (up to 20) and a rounded tooth apex (making the
 904 teeth digitiform), which are diagnostic characters for the genus *Alsophylax* (*Nikitina & Ananjeva*,
 905 2009). Within the gekkonids, a low number of teeth (up to 20) is also characteristic of
 906 *Mediodactylus russowi*, *Phelsuma laticauda*, and *Ph. serraticauda* (*Nikitina*, 2009). The Siberian
 907 fossil geckos can be distinguished from *Mediodactylus* by peculiarities of the maxilla (i.e. the
 908 presence of a lingual longitudinal groove and a reduced row of foramina of the trigeminal
 909 nerve), the dentary with a distinct and longer horizontal lamella, plus a reduced symphyseal
 910 groove. The Recent genus *Phelsuma* can be excluded from consideration since these geckos are
 911 restricted to the islands of the southwest part of the Indian Ocean and belong to another
 912 zoogeographic zone. The fossil geckos resemble the Recent species *Alsophylax pipiens* (see in
 913 *Estes* (1969); tab. 2C) in the presence of the prefrontal process and their short row of foramina
 914 of the trigeminal nerve, which terminates below the prefrontal process. Further comparison
 915 with the Recent genus Alsophylax is, however, impossible due to the lack of available
 916 comparative osteological material of the Recent *Alsophylax* species.
 917 Fossil geckos were present in the Early Miocene of Kazakhstan, as is evident from the
 918 Mynsualmas-MSA 3 locality (unnr. GPIT specimen) (*Böhme & Ilg*, 2003). The re-studying of the
 919 material revealed that the posterior fragment of a right maxilla shows morphology similar to
 920 *Alsophylax* sp. from the Cherlak locality in the presence of a lingual longitudinal groove, the
 921 absence of foramina at the posterior portion of the bone and a round tooth apex. The fossil
 922 material, however, differs in its larger size (Fig. 7Q). Taking this difference as well as the

Eliminado: teeth

924 | similarities into account, we tentatively consider the Mynsualmas record as cf. *Alsophylax* sp.
925 | This fossil probably represents a larger *Alsophylax* species than those registered in the Western
926 | Siberia.

927

928 | Suborder **Lacertilia** Owen, 1842 sensu *Estes, Queiroz & Gauthier*, 1988

929 | Family **Lacertidae** *Fitzinger*, 1826

930 | Genus **Lacerta** *Linnaeus*, 1758

931

932 | **Remarks.** The generic assignment of fossil lacertid remains is extremely difficult. This group is
933 | anatomically generalised (*Lacera* sensu lato) and shows very few characteristic features (e.g.
934 | bone and teeth morphology) for detailed taxonomic assignments (*Böhme*, 2010; *Böhme &*
935 | *Vasilyan*, 2014).

936

937 | **Lacerta** s.l. sp. 1.

938

939 | (Fig. 8A)

940 | **Material.** Baikadam, GIN 650/2001-RE07 – -RE09, 2 (3?) left dentaries, GIN 650/2001-RE10, 1
941 | postsacral vertebra; Pavlodar 1A, GIN 640/5001-RE01 – -RE15, -RE41 – -RE4217 left dentaries,
942 | GIN 640/5001-RE16 – -RE25, 10 right dentaries.

943 | **Description.** The bones bear pleurodont bicuspid teeth. The most completely preserved dentary
944 | possesses at least 20 teeth. The pars dentalis is tall, with its height corresponding ing to two-thirds
945 | of the tooth length. The Meckelian groove is open ventrolingually. It starts from the ventral side

Eliminado: ee

947 of the symphysis and posteriorly increases in height. The lamina horizontalis is slightly curved.
948 The anterior portion of the lamina horizontalis is high and broad, reaching its maximal height in
949 its middle section which corresponds to the tenth tooth position. Behind this point, the lamina
950 horizontalis articulates ventrally with the dorsal margin of the splenial and gradually narrows
951 posteriorly. The articulation surface is lingually exposed. The crista dentalis, sensu Roček (1984),
952 is not higher but is longer than the ventral margin of the lamina horizontalis. The ventral margin
953 of the crista dentalis, in its posterior half, bears an articulation surface with the ventral margin
954 of the coronoid. A lingually exposed articulation surface of the splenial is located at the
955 posterior portion of the ventral surface of the lamina horizontalis. Up to eight small foramina
956 are present in labial view (Fig. 8A).

957 **Comments.** See in *Lacerta* s.l. sp. 2.

958

959 ***Lacerta*** s.l. sp. 2.

960

961 (Fig. 8B)

962 **Material.** Pavlodar 1A, GIN 640/5001-RE27 – -RE33, 7 left dentaries, GIN 640/5001-RE34 – -
963 RE39, 6 right dentaries; Cherlak, GIN 1110/2001-RE51, 1 right dentary.

964 **Description.** The dentaries possess 19 bicuspid teeth. The pars dentalis is high with its height
965 corresponding to two-thirds of the teeth length. The lamina horizontalis is curved and maintains
966 almost the same height along its entire length. The lamina horizontalis decreases slightly in
967 height only at the 9th-10th tooth positions, where the splenial articulates with the lamina
968 horizontalis. The articulation facet is lingually exposed only in its most posterior portion. The

969 crista dentalis is short but is longer than the ventral margin of the lamina horizontalis. The
 970 Meckelian groove is low and ventrolingually open. Up to seven small foramina are present in
 971 labial view (Fig. 8B).

972 **Comments.** *Lacerta* s.l. sp. 2 differs from *Lacerta* s.l. sp. 1 in having: (1) a more curved lamina
 973 horizontalis that maintains nearly the same height along its length; (2) a higher and broader
 974 anterior portion of the lamina horizontalis; (3) a shorter crista dentalis; and (4) a lower
 975 Meckelian groove.

976

977 ***Lacerta* s.l. sp. / Lacertidae indet.**

978 **Material.** Malyi Kalkaman 2, GIN 1107/2001-RE01, 1 vertebra; Olkhovka 1A, GIN 1111/1001-
 979 RE01 and -RE02, 1 anterior and 1 posterior trunk vertebrae; Cherlak, GIN 1110/2001-RE06, -
 980 RE52 – -RE57, 7 trunk vertebrae, GIN 1110/2001-RE47, -RE48, 2 left maxillae, GIN 1110/2001-
 981 RE49, 1 right maxilla, GIN 1110/2001-RE50, 1 left dentary; Pavlodar 1A, GIN 640/5001-RE40, 1
 982 premaxilla, GIN 640/5001-RE26, numerous fragments of dentaries and maxillae, GIN 640/5001-
 983 RE43, 77 vertebrae; Pavlodar 1B, GIN 640/6001-RE01, -RE02, 2 left dentaries, GIN 640/6001-
 984 RE03, -RE04, 2 right dentaries; Olkhovka 1B, GIN 1111/2001-RE01, 1 right dentary; Pavlodar 3A,
 985 GIN 1108/3001-RE01, 1 right maxilla; Beteke 2, GIN 945/6001-RE01, 1 left dentary; Beteke 4,
 986 GIN 945/8001-RE01, 1 left dentary.

987 **Description and comments.** The preserved maxillaries and dentaries possess pleurodont
 988 bicuspid teeth. The Meckelian groove is lingually open. The labial surfaces of the maxillaries
 989 show no ornamentation. In labial view, the foramina for mandibular division of the fifth cranial
 990 (trigeminal) nerve are observable. They are situated along a longitudinal line, parallel to the

991 ventral margin of the bone. The opening of the superior alveolar canal is large. In lingual view, a
 992 shallow but broad groove is present at the anterior portion of the frontal process. The large
 993 foramen of the fifth cranial (trigeminal) nerve opens at the ventral surface of the lamina
 994 | horizon^talis. A single premaxilla from Pavlodar 1A, GIN 640/5001-RE40 has a tapering nasal
 995 process with a row of seven pleurodont and monocuspid teeth.
 996 The bone material is extremely fragmentary, and any comparison between different localities
 997 | was impossible. The fossil remains (maxillae and premaxilla) from Pavlodar 1A do not show any
 998 taxonomical differences, so we were not able to group them neither to *Lacerta* s.l. sp. 1 nor
 999 *Lacerta* s.l. sp. 2. Besides the jaw material, vertebrae from the trunk region are available in the
 1000 | Maly Kalkaman 2, Olkhovka 1A and Cherlak localities. It was not possible to identify all of
 1001 remains below the family level.
 1002
 1003 Genus *Eremias* Fitzinger, 1843
 1004 *Eremias* sp.
 1005
 1006 (Fig. 8C-8D)
 1007 **Material.** Pavlodar 2B, GIN 1108/2001-RE01, -RE02, 1 frontal and 1 trunk vertebra.
 1008 | **Description.** The preserved frontal has a sandglass shape and the most anterior and posterior
 1009 portions are broken. The bone is slightly curved in lateral view. The posterior portion of the
 1010 dorsal surface is rough. The crista cranii are round and slightly elevated at the narrowest portion
 1011 of the bone. Anteriorly, the se crista cranii increase in height and build the lateral walls of the
 1012 cranial vault. The anteroventral surface of the bone has two drop-shaped grooves. The

1013 posteroventral surface is plain and slightly lower than the anteroventral surface. The prefrontal
1014 facets are developed but do not show any lateral extension. The bone margin that connects
1015 both facets is concave. In dorsal view, the nasal facets that are situated at the anterolateral
1016 corners, are narrow, deep, and elongated (Fig. 8A, 8D).
1017 In lateral view, a single preserved trunk vertebra has a rectangular shape. The neural arch is
1018 moderately convex. A narrow and deep groove is present at the transition of the neural arch
1019 and prezygapophysis. The neural spine is reduced and posteriorly builds a rounded process,
1020 projecting over the posterior margin of the arch. The centrum is compressed anteroposteriorly
1021 and possesses two shallow subcentral grooves, with a subcentral foramina in each one. The
1022 condyle is small, round and is situated in the middle part of the posterior margin of the
1023 centrum.

1024 **Comments.** Among the Eurasian lacertids, fused dorsally sculptured frontals are known in
1025 *Acanthodactylus*, *Eremias*, *Ophisops* (Evans, 2008). Our own observations s of Recent species of
1026 these genera (*Eremias strauchi*, *Eremias pleskei*, *Eremias arguta*, *Eremias multicellata*, *Ophisops*
1027 *elegans*, *Acanthodactylus erythrurus*) allowed for the assignment of the frontals to the genus
1028 *Eremias* and to separate them from: (1) *Ophisops* by a robust frontal, more pronounced grooves
1029 at the anteroventral bone surface and a lack of the lateral extension of the prefrontal facet; and
1030 (2) *Acanthodactylus* by a flat posteroventral surface of the bone and a less curved outline in
1031 lateral view. The preserved single vertebra strongly resembles the morphology that is found in
1032 *Eremias* (Rage, 1976).

1033

1034 Order **Testudines** Linnaeus, 1758

1035 Suborder **Cryptodira** Cope, 1868

1036 Family **Emydidae** (Rafinesque, 1815)

1037 Genus **Eymdoidea** Gray, 1870²

1038 **Eymdoidea** sp.

1039

1040 (Fig. 8E-8G)

1041 **Material.** Novaya Stanitsa 1A, GIN 948/2001-RE01, 1 posteriorly incomplete right hypoplastron,
1042 GIN 948/2001-RE02, 1 left femur.

1043 **Description and comments.** The caudal part of the left hypoplastron, which has a width of 54.3
1044 mm is preserved (Fig. 8E) and probably belongs to a middle-sized individual with a total length
1045 of the carapace approximately 300 mm. In ventral view, the femoral/abdominal sulcus is nearly
1046 straight and curves anteriorly only near the lateral edge of the bone terminating at the base of
1047 the inguinal buttress. The bone is comparatively thin medially from the bridge (4 mm) to
1048 behind the bridge (7.2 mm). The lateral edge of the bone projects slightly posterolaterally. The
1049 outline of the femoral/abdominal sulcus and the profile of the lateral edge are similar to those
1050 of the emydid genus *Eymdoidea* (both fossil and Recent specimens) ((Chkhikvadze, 1983), figs.
1051 26 and 27, p. 138; (Holman, 1995)).

1052 An almost complete left femur is available from the same locality where the hypoplastron
1053 fragment was found. The bone is slender and bent (Fig. 8F-8G), and is 50.6 mm in length. This
1054 bone could have belonged to an individual of about 300 mm of the carapace length. The femur
1055 lacks its proximal portion (i.e. femoral head, major and minor trochanters). In ventral view, the

² We follow taxonomy suggested by Fritz, Schmidt & Ernst, 2011 recognizing *Eymdoidea* as a distinct genus from *Emys*.

1056 fossa is delimited by the trochanters and is observable below the femoral head. The dimension
1057 of the bone is characteristic of aquatic testudinoids. Taking this latter character into account, as
1058 well as the comparable reconstructed total body-sizes of both elements (hypoplastron and
1059 femur) (ca. 300 mm), we consider the remains to belong to the genus *Emydoidea*.

Eliminado: ed

1060

1061 **Testudines** indet.

1062 **Material.** Malyi Kalkaman2, GIN 1107/2001-RE02, shell fragment; Shet-Irgyz 1, GIN 1106/1001-
1063 RE01, 1 neuralia; Petropavlovsk 1, GIN 952/1001-RE01, several fragments of carapax; Borki 1B,
1064 GIN 1115/2001-RE01, 1 fragment of carapax.

1065 **Comments.** The preserved remains were not sufficiently informative for any other taxonomic
1066 interpretation.

1067

1068 **DISCUSSION**

1069 **Neogene evolution of amphibian and reptile assemblages in Western Siberia**

1070 In general, and in contrast with the well-studied European fossil record, very is known about the
1071 Neogene herpetofauna from Asia. This record bias is owing to: (1) the less explored and less
1072 extensively studied Neogene deposits on the Asian continent; and (2) the entirely lack of study
1073 of Recent amphibians and reptiles, in contrast to the intense investigations around small
1074 mammals by many scholars. The Western Siberian localities provide an exceptional opportunity
1075 to fill these gaps in information and to explore both the unknown diversity of the Asian
1076 herpetofaunal assemblages and the palaeobiogeographic affinities of the Western Siberian
1077 Neogene herpetofauna with the European faunas. Unfortunately, the yielded fossil material

Eliminado: having remained entirely
unstudied until recent times

Eliminado: spite of

1082 from this study and from previous investigations has thus far not been rich in amphibian and
1083 reptile remains. On average, only four taxa are available from each studied locality. Our
1084 faunistic, palaeogeographic and palaeoclimatic interpretations are, hence, very tentative and
1085 should be taken within this context. The unbiased comparison and analysis of our data are also
1086 hindered by the scarce record of the Asian Neogene fossil fauna. For the comparison with the
1087 European record, we used already published data on amphibian and reptile groups (families,
1088 genus, species, etc.) which have been summarised in the fosFARbase database (Böhme & Ilg,
1089 2003). These data are given in the Table S5. In the 'Europe' record, we consider all known fossil
1090 records from Western, Central, and Eastern Europe as well as from Anatolia (Fig. 9). By
1091 analysing the Neogene amphibian and reptile records from Europe and Asia, we were able to
1092 provide useful data that are applicable for fossil calibration of molecular clocks in the
1093 phylogenetic trees.

1094

1095 **Hynobiidae**

1096 The Asiatic salamanders (*Salamandrella* sp.) have the most abundant and frequent record
1097 among the studied Western Siberian localities. The se organisms appeared in these areas in the
1098 middle Late Miocene (in the Selety 1A locality) and are present until the early Early Pleistocene.
1099 Although the herpetofaunal assemblages of the older localities are rich and represented by
1100 numerous taxa, they do not contain any hynobiid remains, demonstrating that there is no
1101 sampling bias in their record and that such specimen are not present in earlier localities.
1102 Recently, the oldest record of the genus, *Salamandrella* sp. has been described from the late (?)
1103 Early Miocene of Eastern Siberia (Lake Baikal) (*Syromyatnikova*, 2014), and a new species of

1104 *Salamandrella* is indicated to be present in the Late Miocene locality Ertemte 2, China (Vasilyan
1105 et al., 2013). Further more, the fossil Asiatic salamander, *Ranodon* cf. *sibiricus* was recovered
1106 from the Early Pleistocene of Southern Kazakhstan (Averianov & Tjutkova, 1995), and a
1107 *Salamandrella* sp. was reported from a few Middle Pleistocene age localities in European Russia
1108 (Ratnikov, 2010).

1109 In Central Europe, hynobiids (genus *Parahynobius*) appear ed at the earliest Late Miocene and is
1110 present in the record until the Middle Pleistocene (Venczel, 1999a, 1999b; Venczel & Hír, 2013).
1111 According to our unpublished data, the hynobiids are also present in three Ukrainian localities –
1112 Grytsiv (11.1 Ma) (Kirscher et al., 2016), earliest Late Miocene; Cherevichnoe lower level, middle
1113 Late Miocene; and Kotlovina lower level, late Pliocene. The Ukrainian occurrences coincide with
1114 both the Central European and Western Siberian records of hynobiids, which at that time most
1115 probably characterised by favourable conditions for hynobiid distribution. Considering their
1116 oldest records, the origin of Hynobiidae was most probably in Eastern Asia in the early Miocene.
1117 We will present a detailed study on the Cenozoic record of fossil Hynobiidae including the
1118 Western Siberian material in a forthcoming paper.

1119

1120 **Cryptobranchidae**

1121 The cryptobranchid remains are known from two localities in the town of Pavlodar and from
1122 three localities in the Zaisan Basin. The stratigraphic positions of the Pavlodar localities are not
1123 clear. The only record of giant salamander that we were able to study is stored at the
1124 Palaeontological Institute of Moscow, Russia. The collection label provides the following
1125 information: 'collected by Gaiduchenko, in 1970, from the Gusiniy Perelet locality, at the

Eliminado: ,

Eliminado: separate paper
(unpublished)

1129 | contact of the Aral clays with overlying sands, about 200-300 m south far from the 'Gusiniy
1130 | Perelet' [=Pavlodar 1A] locality'. The only explanation of the stratigraphic allocation of the giant
1131 | salamander remains is that they originated from the basal horizon of the Pavlodar Svita,
1132 | overlaying the 'Aral clays' (or= limnic clays of the Kalkaman Svita). *Gaiduchenko* (1984) and
1133 | *Gaiduchenko & Chkhikvadze* (1985) mention a giant salamander (Cryptobranchidae indet.) from
1134 | a locality named Detskaya Zheleznaja Doroga (engl. Children Railway) (Fig. 2, Table S1, Data S3).
1135 | a sand pit located 10 km south-east from the 'Gusiniy Perelet' [=Pavlodar 1A] locality. The age of
1136 | this fossiliferous horizon may fall near the Miocene-Pliocene boundary, an assumption that is
1137 | mostly based on geology, age and accompanying fauna (see Data S2). This record from the
1138 | Detskaya Zheleznaja Doroga presents the most northern (52.3° N) occurrence of the giant
1139 | salamanders in the Northern Hemisphere known so far. Unfortunately, this material was not
1140 | available for our study.

1141 | Giant salamander remains have also been reported from three Burdigalian localities – Tri
1142 | Bogatyrya, Vympel, Poltnik of the Zaisan Basin (Fig. 1, Table S1) (*Chkhikvadze*, 1984; *Böhme*,
1143 | *Vasilyan & Winklhofer*, 2012). The remains were assigned to the species *Andrias karelcapeki* by
1144 | Chkhikvadze (1984). The taxonomic validity of the species still requires revision, which is
1145 | necessary for any further interpretations.

1146

1147 **Proteidae**

1148 | The oldest record of the genus is described as being from the Late Oligocene and was found in
1149 | the Aral Formation in the Akеспе locality, on the north coast of the Aral Sea, Kazakhstan (cf.
1150 | *Mioproteus*), (*Malakhov*, 2003; *Bendukidze, Bruijn & Van den Hoek Ostende, Lars W.*, 2009).

1151 Here we add to the record a new, more recent Miocene (Aquitanian) Asian occurrence from the
 1152 Ayakoz locality, Kazakhstan (Fig. 3D, Table S1). In the Middle Miocene, representatives of this
 1153 genus occur in several localities in southern Russia and northern Kazakhstan (Table S1).
 1154 According to our assessment, proteids survived until latest Miocene/earliest Pliocene (locality
 1155 Petropavlovsk 1/2). The oldest stratigraphic record of *Mioproteus* (*Mioproteus caucasicus*) in
 1156 Europe is described from the mid Aquitanian (early Early Miocene about 20.5-22 Ma) at two
 1157 localities Ulm-Uniklinik and Ulm Westtangente of the North Alpine Foreland Basin. (*Heizmann et*
 1158 *al.*, 1989). The fossil proteids are known in Europe until the Pleistocene Epoch (*Böhme & Ilg,*
 1159 2003). Due to the lack of complete fossil skeletons and unclear taxonomic assignments of the
 1160 fossil records, *Malakhov* (2003) preferred to refer all known specimens of *Mioproteus* to the
 1161 ‘*Mioproteus caucasicus* complex’, including *Mioproteus* from Ashut, Kazakhstan,
 1162 *Mioproteus caucasicus* from type locality, as well as from the Late Miocene of Czech Republic,
 1163 *Mioproteus wezei* from the Pliocene of Poland and from the Early Pleistocene of Moldavia
 1164 (*Malakhov*, 2003). Later, *Roček* (2005) considered *M. wezei* as a junior synonym of *M.*
 1165 *caucasicus*, although as already mentioned by *Malakhov* (2003), an adequate amount of
 1166 material including cranial and postcranial elements is necessary to solve the taxonomic
 1167 problems of the genus. *Malakhov* (2003) also suggested an Asiatic origin for the ‘*Mioproteus*
 1168 *caucasicus* complex’ and their later distribution into Europe. In summary, the oldest Late
 1169 Oligocene record of *Mioproteus* (*Mioproteus* sp.) from Akеспе, Kazakhstan and other localities
 1170 of younger ages suggest: (1) a probable Asian origin of the genus; (2) the genus was
 1171 continuously present in Central Asia/Western Siberia until the early Pliocene; and (3)
 1172 *Mioproteus* migrated into Europe in the Early Miocene.

1173

1174 **Salamandridae**

1175 As has already been established, *Chelotriton* is a basket taxon (Böhme, 2008) that needs further
1176 taxonomic study. It is one of the fossil amphibians that have an abundant and wide distribution
1177 in the late Paleogene and Neogene localities of Europe. In Asia, the genus was previously known
1178 only from the late Middle Miocene locality Malyi Kalkaman 1 (Tleuberdina, 1993). Our study
1179 showed that this genus was present at least since the Aquitanian age (the Aykoz locality in
1180 Kazakhstan, Early Miocene) (Table S1), making their Asiatic record older than previously
1181 assumed.

1182 Two localities (Ayakoz and Baikadam) from Western Siberia revealed aff. *Tylototriton*. The
1183 vertebrae showed significant similarities with the Recent East Asiatic genus *Tylototriton*. In
1184 Böhme & Ilg (2003) and Böhme (2010), the genus *Tylototriton* (cf. *Tylototriton* sp. and
1185 *Tylototriton* sp. nov.) has been reported from several Early Oligocene localities in southern
1186 Germany. Two Siberian records represent the first fossil occurrence of the genus in Asia, which
1187 appeared more recently in the fossil record than in the European occurrence. These Western
1188 Siberian specimens and the European specimens can be clearly separated from each other by
1189 the morphology of the trunk vertebrae. The Siberian salamanders probably represent new
1190 forms, strongly related to the East Asian terrestrial salamander, *Tylototriton*. The sympatric
1191 occurrence of two fossil terrestrial salamander genera *Chelotriton* and *Tylototriton* was
1192 documented for the first time from the Aquitanian age locality Ayakoz.

1193

1194 **Palaeobatrachidae**

Eliminado: has

1196 The palaeobatrachids are considered a European family, with probable occurrence in North
 1197 America at the terminal Cretaceous (Wuttke et al., 2012). Records of the palaeobatrachids are
 1198 known from the Paleogene Western and Central Europe. It should be taken into account,
 1199 however, that records from the Paleogene of Turkey, as well as from the Paleogene and Early to
 1200 Middle Miocene of Eastern Europe, are very scarcely known. In the Miocene, palaeobatrachids
 1201 appear to have expanded their distribution to Eastern Europe and also reached Anatolia, where
 1202 they existed from the latest Oligocene and remained during the entire Early Miocene. During
 1203 the Middle Miocene, palaeobatrachids were present in Europe, from Germany to Ukraine
 1204 (Wuttke et al., 2012). The palaeobatrachid record in Europe is characterised by a four-million-
 1205 year-long (ca. 5.6-9.78 Ma) gap in the Late Miocene (Fig. 9). During this gap, no palaeobatrachid
 1206 is known from Western to Eastern Europe even in localities rich in diverse herpetofauna!
 1207 assemblages (e.g. Staniantsi, Bulgaria; Morskaya 2, Russia, *Böhme & Ilg*, 2003) and where
 1208 characterised by favourable environmental conditions for their distribution. After this gap,
 1209 palaeobatrachids occur near the Mio-Pliocene transition in studied localities from Italy (Ciabot
 1210 Cagna), (*Cavallo* et al., 1993) and Hungary (Osztramos 1C) (*Venczel*, 2001)). They seems to have
 1211 disappeared from Western (Tegelen locality in Holland, *Villa* et al., 2016) and Central Europe
 1212 (Betfia IX/B locality in Romania, *Venczel*, 2000) after the Early Pleistocene and remained
 1213 exclusively in Eastern Europe until the middle Pleistocene (Poland – European Russia) (*Wuttke*
 1214 et al., 2012). The palaeobatrachids appear to have never reached the east of the Ural
 1215 Mountains. Their most eastern distribution is recorded in the Late Pleistocene locality of
 1216 Apastovo, in Russia, which is about 600 km west from the Ural Mountains (*Wuttke* et al., 2012).
 1217 The Western Siberian record does not only represent the first and only out-of-Europe

1218 | occurrence of the family, but, surprisingly, falls within the Late Miocene palaeobatrachid gap of
1219 | the European record. It is possible that palaeobatrachids occupied Western Eurasia again at the
1220 | Mio-Pliocene boundary, from the east.
1221 |

1222 **Bombinatoridae**

1223 | The primitive family of aquatic toads Bombinatoridae includes two recent genera: *Bombina* and
1224 | *Barbourula*. The family is known since the Maastrichtian, Late Cretaceous in Romania, genus
1225 | *Hatzegobatrachus* (Venczel et al., 2016) and the Early Eocene in India, genus *Eobarbourula* (Folie
1226 | et al., 2013). The Recent distribution of *Bombina* is confined to continental Europe and East
1227 | Asia, representing the western and eastern genetic clades of the genus respectively. In Europe,
1228 | two species *Bombina bombina* and *Bombina variegata* are known. *B. bombina* has the widest
1229 | distribution and is found in Central to Eastern Europe, whereas *B. variegata* occurs in Central
1230 | Europe and in the south-eastern and western parts of Eastern Europe (Pabijan et al., 2013). The
1231 | fossil record of the fire-bellied toad *Bombina* is patchy and limited to the Neogene of
1232 | continental Europe. According to Sanchíz & Schleich (1986), the oldest fossil occurrences of the
1233 | genus (*Bombina* sp.) are known from two localities in Germany: Weißenburg 6 (early
1234 | Aquitanian) and Stubersheim 3 (early Burdigalian) (Sanchiz & Schleich, 1986; Böhme & Ilg,
1235 | 2003). The personal observations of one of the co-authors of this study (MB) did not confirm the
1236 | Weißenburg 6 record of *Bombina*. Therefore, in the present study, we consider Stubersheim 3
1237 | to be the earliest occurrence of the genus.
1238 | Bombinatorids later appeared in Central Europe in the mid Middle Miocene (*Bombina* sp., Opole
1239 | 2, Poland) (Młynarski et al., 1982). Later, fire-bellied toads are present in three localities,

1240 representing the middle Tortonian age, including also the first fossil occurrences of the Recent
 1241 European species – *Bombina* sp. from Rudabánya in Hungary (9.9-10.30 Ma) (Roček, 2005), *B.* cf.
 1242 *bombina* from Kohfidisch in Austria (8.55-8.95 Ma) (Tempfer, 2005), and *B.* cf. *variegata* from
 1243 Suchomasty in Czech Republic (8.8-9.2 Ma) (Hodrová, 1987). During the Pliocene, bombinatorids
 1244 are represented mainly by the species *B. bombina* in six localities within Central Europe (Böhme
 1245 & Ilg, 2003). The Pleistocene record is the richest in bombinatorid specimens with record from
 1246 over 15 localities ranging from Central to Eastern Europe, and in which both Recent European
 1247 species, *B. variegata* and *B. bombina*, are documented (Böhme & Ilg, 2003) (Fig. 9, Table S5).
 1248 In Western Siberia, bombinatorids are known from three localities: Malyi Kalkaman 2, Selety 1A,
 1249 and Cherlak. The oldest known record dated back to the late Serravalian (Middle Miocene). The
 1250 oldest Messinian Selety 1A locality provided the fossil form of the Recent *B. bombina* (*B.* cf.
 1251 *bombina*) (Fig. 9). The last record of the genus dates back to the early Messinian (Late Miocene).
 1252 It is interesting to note that the Western Siberian record of the genus does not coincide with
 1253 their European occurrences, i.e. they are present during those periods when *Bombina* is absent
 1254 in Europe. According to our analysis, it is clear that the ancestor of the '*B. bombina* – *B.*
 1255 *variegata*' clade was present in Europe from at least the later part of the Early Miocene. Later
 1256 in the Middle Miocene they expanded into Western Asia, reaching the east from the Ural
 1257 Mountains. The Western Siberian fossil *Bombina* can be clearly osteologically separated from
 1258 *Bombina orientalis*, a member of the East Asian clade of the genus. Taking their recent
 1259 distribution as well as the fossil record into account, a split of the European and Asian *Bombina*
 1260 clades seems most probable in Asia during the Paleogene.

1261

1262 **Pelobatidae**

1263 The family of European spadefoot toads Pelobatidae includes only one extant genus with four
 1264 species distributed in north-western Africa, Europe, in small areas that are east of the Ural
 1265 Mountains in Russia and in the north of Kazakhstan (*Kuzmin*, 1995). The family has Laurasian
 1266 affinities and records are known from the Late Cretaceous in North America. The presence of
 1267 pelobatids in Europe dates back to the Early Eocene, as indicated by the fossil genus
 1268 *Eopelobates* (Middle Eocene – late Pliocene), as well as by the fossil forms of the Recent genus
 1269 *Pelobates* (Middle Oligocene – Recent) (*Roček et al.*, 2014). The Asian record of Pelobatidae is
 1270 very limited and includes forms from the Eo-Oligocene of Kazakhstan (*Chkhikvadze*, 1985) and
 1271 Eocene of India (*Folie et al.*, 2013). Recently, *Roček et al.* (2014) excluded the genus *Uldzinia*
 1272 (Oligocene, Mongolia) (*Gubin*, 1995) from the family Pelobatidae. The Kazakhstan fossil record
 1273 of the family (*Chkhikvadze*, 1985, 1998) includes numerous remains of Pelobatidae indet. from:
 1274 (1) the localities of the Zaisan Basin from the Upper Aksyr Svita³, early Priabonian; rare finds in
 1275 the Kusto Svita and basal horizon of Buran Svita⁴, late Priabonian and earliest Rupelian;
 1276 abundant occurrences⁵ in the Buran Svita⁵, early Rupelian and (2) large-sized spadefoot toads
 1277 from the Kyzyl-Kak locality of the Turgay Basin, Central Kazakhstan, Late Oligocene
 1278 (*Chkhikvadze*, 1998). Revision of this rich pelobatid record from the Zaisan Basin was not
 1279 possible due to the lack of descriptions and illustrations of the material as well as the difficulty

³ localities: Zertsalo [Sunduk Section], lager Biryukova [Kiin-Kerish Section], lower faunistic level of Plesh [Kusto-Kyzylkain Section], probably also Tabtym [Sarykamys Section]

⁴ localities: main level of Plesh, Tuzkabak, Cherepakhovoe Pole [Tayzhuzgen Section], Raskop [Aksyr Section], Tyubiteika, sopki 'Rybnaya' and Kontrolnaya [Juvan-Kara Section]

⁵ localities: Maylibay, Tologay [Tayzhuzgen Section], Podorozhnik [Jaman-Kara Section]

1280 | in accessing the specimens. Nevertheless, taking the Paleogene fossil records into account, we
1281 | inferred that the spadefoot toads may have dispersedd from Europe to Western Asia during the
1282 | Late Eocene to Early Oligocene. It cannot be ascertained if the *Pelobates* sp. from the Selety 1A
1283 | (early Messinian, Miocene) is a European or Asian migrant.

1284

1285 | **Hylidae**

1286 | The family of tree frogs_z Hylidae_z has a wide distribution in Eurasia and is represented by the
1287 | monophyletic genus *Hyla*. The most recent phylogenetic study of the genus *Hyla* by *Li et al.*
1288 | (2015) recognised two closely related clades in Eurasia, namely the West Palaearctic *arborea*-
1289 | group and East Palaearctic *chinensis*-group, as well as a small East Palaearctic *japonica*-group
1290 | that is related to the North American clade of *Hyla*. The revision of the Western Eurasian *Hyla*
1291 | phylogeny_z based on molecular genetic studies_z revealed a high diversity in the area containing
1292 | about eight (?nine) (*Li et al.*, 2015) or ten (*Gvoždík et al.*, 2010) species. Among them are two
1293 | clades: (1) *H. savignyi* in the east (Levant and the area of Turkey, Iran, Armenia, Georgia) and (2)
1294 | *H. arborea* (Western, Central Europe and Balkan) + *H. orientalis* (South-eastern Europe, Georgia,
1295 | Armenia, Iran), which have wide distributions in the east and west respectively (*Stöck et al.*,
1296 | 2008a; *Gvoždík et al.*, 2010).

1297 | The oldest European record of the genus is known from the Oberdorf O4 locality, late Early
1298 | Miocene, Austria (*Sanchíz*, 1998b). After an interruption/gap of approximately three million
1299 | years_z, records of the genus continuedd in the late Langhian with the first fossil appearance of the
1300 | Recent species *H.* *arborea* (*H. cf. arborea*, Mátraszőlös 2, Hungary) (*Venczel*, 2004). The record is
1301 | almost consistent in the entire Neogene and Quaternary periods of Europe (Fig. 9). There is

1302 quite an abundant record of the genus with the oldest and first occurrences of *H. savignyi* (*H. cf.*
 1303 *savignyi*) derived from five localities in Western Siberia, dating back to the late Late Miocene
 1304 and early Early Pliocene. Apart from the e distribution in Siberia, *H. savignyi* also may occur in
 1305 southern Russia, in the Middle Pleistocene (Ratnikov, 2002)(see 'Comparison and Discussion' in
 1306 *Hyla* gr. *H. savignyi*), representing the youngest fossil record of the species.

1307 Based on the fossil record of the tree frogs, we concluded^d that two large Western Eurasian
 1308 clades split in Europe during the Middle Miocene. Our data indicate older ages for the first fossil
 1309 occurrences of these clades than has been previously estimated from molecular data in two
 1310 recent studies (Gvoždík et al., 2010; Li et al., 2015). Gvoždík et al. (2010)⁶ suggested that the split
 1311 of *H. orientalis/arborea* and *H. savignyi* occurred 11.1 Ma (early Late Miocene, early Tortonian),
 1312 which is approximately three million years younger than the first fossil occurrence of *H. cf.*
 1313 *arborea* (Table S5). Whereas, without calibrating the molecular clock using the oldest European
 1314 fossil *Hyla* (*Hyla* sp., Oberdorf O4 locality in Austria), Li et al. (2015) estimated this split to have
 1315 occurred at 12–20 Ma, during a time interval in which the oldest fossil tree frogs related to the
 1316 Recent *H. arborea* occurred. In both of the cases, the interpretation of the molecular phylogeny
 1317 of the group can be improved by calibrating the phylogenetic tree with the fossil record
 1318 introduced in this study.

1319 Considering our data and the results presented by Li et al. (2015), we suggest the following
 1320 distribution pattern for the West Palaearctic *H. arborea*-group: (1) the group entered Eurasia
 1321 from the east via Beringia from North America, during the Paleogene; and (2) the ancestors of
 1322 the group reached Europe during the early Miocene via the Tugai Strait between Europe and

Eliminado: d

⁶ The divergence dates of split events were estimated by a relaxed molecular clock approach, based on the mitochondrial data set, where the calibration with fossil record is missing.

1324 Asia (the Turgai Strait) and diversified, apparently, in Western Siberian. The Late Miocene and
1325 Early Pliocene records represent the most eastern expansion of the European genera, when the
1326 climatic conditions were still favourable for their distribution; it is conceivable for us that the *H.*
1327 *savignyi* may have not potentially fossil occurrences in the Miocene of Eastern Europe and/or
1328 from the Caucasus in the south.

Eliminado: so far not found

1329 1330 **Bufonidae**

1331 Two groups of toads were found in the studied localities in Western Siberian; namely the
1332 common (*Bufo bufo*) and the green toads (*Bufo* cf. *viridis*) (Fig. 7F-7K, Table S1). The toads of
1333 both groups are, with their occurrences are the most abundant among frogs remains found at
1334 the fossil localities.

1335 **Common toads.** *Bufo bufo* is the Recent species with the widest distribution (i.e. Central,
1336 Southern, Eastern Europe and Western and Eastern Asia) of all members of the common toads
1337 *Bufo bufo* species group. This group includes three other species with limited distribution,
1338 namely: *Bufo spinosus* (northern Africa, Western Europe), *Bufo eichwaldi* (south coast of the
1339 Caspian Sea), and *Bufo verrucosissimus* (east of the Black Sea) (Arntzen et al., 2013). These

1340 species are known also as the western group of the genus. Their nearby Eastern Asian relatives,
1341 include the *Bufo gargarizans* species group. The Western Siberian fossil record of the *Bufo bufo*
1342 species group is restricted to the late Late Miocene to the early Early Pliocene, which in
1343 comparison to the European record, is very poorly represented. The oldest toad remains that
1344 are assigned to the *Bufo bufo* species group are from the Middle Miocene of Slovakia: *B. bufo*
1345 from the Devinská Nová Ves – Zapfe's fissure locality, 13.7-14 Ma (Hodrova, 1980; Böhme, 2003)

Eliminado: the eastern group,

Eliminado: ing

1349 and *B. cf. bufo* from the Devinská Nová Ves – Bonanza locality, 13.5-13.7 Ma (Hodrová, 1988).
 1350 Then, since 9.2 Ma during the Late Miocene (Suchomasty locality in the Czech Republic)
 1351 (Hodrová, 1987), *B. bufo* representatives are present in Central Europe and extend their
 1352 distribution across Europe. At ca. 4.7 Ma, remains of the common toad, exhibiting characters of
 1353 the Recent *B. spinosus*, appeared in Spain, in the Celadas 6 locality (Böhme & Ilg, 2003). The
 1354 oldest fossil remains referred to *B. verrucosissimus* were recovered from the Late Pliocene (3.0-
 1355 3.8 Ma) in the Apastovo locality in Russia (Ratnikov, 2001). The Western Siberian record
 1356 suggests at least a late Miocene dispersal of *B. bufo* to the east, reaching the present
 1357 distribution area of the species. Considering the genomic data of Recuero et al. (2012), these
 1358 ‘migrants’ should represent the common ancestor of the *B. bufo* + *B. verrucosissimus* clade,
 1359 expanding to the east into Asia and to the south into Eastern Europe. This bufonids most
 1360 probably remained, permanently in these areas, until present times. The lack of their
 1361 representation in the fossil record in the Late Pliocene and Quaternary sites can be explained by
 1362 sampling bias. Although *B. bufo* and *B. verrucosissimus* do not occur sympatrically nowadays,
 1363 specimens of both these species have been found together in two Middle Pleistocene localities
 1364 (Koziy Ovrage and Yablonovets from Russia; see more in Table S5).
 1365 Two recent molecular studies (García-Porta et al., 2012; Recuero et al., 2012; pp. 71-86)
 1366 suggested models of palaeobiogeographic history and timing of major cladogenetic events in
 1367 the *B. bufo* species group; e.g. the origin in South-western Asia and subsequent migration into
 1368 Europe via Anatolia. These studies, however, did not consider the entire fossil record, including
 1369 the oldest record of the groups from the Middle Miocene of Slovakia (Hodrová, 1980) nor those
 1370 of the species group in both their calibration of the molecular clock and palaeogeographic

Con formato: Fuente: Cursiva

Con formato: Fuente: Cursiva

Eliminado: ,

1372 | considerations. The updating and improvement of the distribution models are ,therefore,
1373 | necessary. Moreover, further finds of the fossil forms of south-eastern species *B. eichwaldi* will
1374 | help to reveal the place of origin and distribution routes of the ancestors of the group. Although
1375 | only the molecular clock, and not the entire fossil record of the group has been used for the
1376 | calibration, results from mtDNA sequencing seem to provide reliable data on diversification
1377 | rates within the *B. bufo* species group, which can be confirmed by first appearances of the
1378 | fossils related to each Recent species.

1379 | **Green toads.** The range of the widely distributed *Bufotes viridis* species group (or *Bufotes viridis*
1380 | sensu lato) extends across Central Europe to Central Asia, as well as the entire northern Africa
1381 | and Mediterranean area, including numerous islands. The species complex is highly diverse and
1382 | includes over ten recognised species, e.g. *Bufotes balearicus* (southern Mediterranean and
1383 | Apennine Peninsula, Corsica, Sardinia, Balearic Islands), *Bufotes boulengeri* (northern Africa),
1384 | *Bufotes siculus* (Sicily), *B. viridis* (Central and Eastern Europe), *Bufotes variabilis* (Balkans,
1385 | Anatolia, Caucasus) etc., found in a diverse range of environments (Stöck et al., 2006; Stöck et
1386 | al., 2008b). Among them, however, no valuable osteological characters has been established for
1387 | taxonomic identification (Blain, Gibert & Ferràndez-Cañadell, 2010). Hence, no precise specific
1388 | assignment of any fossil material is possible. Blain, Gibert & Ferràndez-Cañadell (2010) recently
1389 | showed that the green toads were also present in the Iberian Peninsula in the Early Pleistocene,
1390 | 1.1-1.3 Ma, and suggested that they became extinct due to climatic changes and/or
1391 | competition.

1392 | In the studied Western Siberian localities, fossil remains that are related to *B. viridis* are the
1393 | most frequently occurring element in the Western Siberian herpetofauna. This species is almost

1394 permanently present from the Middle Miocene to Early Pleistocene. Specimens are found in the
 1395 late Middle Miocene localities, and although there are gaps in the record, remains are present
 1396 in the late Late Miocene to Early Pleistocene localities (Table S1). In the youngest localities
 1397 (Olkhovka 1A, Lebiazhie 1A, Lebiazhie 1B), they are found as a sole taxon. Further fossils
 1398 assigned to the family Bufonidae (Bufonidae indet.) were already reported from the Kentyubek
 1399 locality in the Turgay Basin, from the Middle Miocene (*Bendukidze & Chkhikvadze*, 1976), and
 1400 from two localities in the Zaisan Basin: the Zmei Gorynych locality in Akzhar Svita, from the Early
 1401 Miocene (*Chkhikvadze*, 1985) and from the early Rupelian age fossil sites (see section
 1402 ‘Pelobatidae’) of the Buran Svita (*Chkhikvadze*, 1998). *Malakhov* (2005) described the
 1403 stratigraphically oldest green toad fossil, *B. aff. viridis*, from the early Early Miocene (20.4–22.5
 1404 Ma, Aquitanian) locality of Ayakoz in North-eastern Kazakhstan (Fig. 1, Table S1). *B. aff. viridis*
 1405 from the Ayakoz locality is older than the *B. aff. viridis* from the Early Miocene Keseköy locality
 1406 (18–20 Ma) in north-western Turkey (*Claessens, Leon P. A. M.*, 1997). All the occurrences of the
 1407 oldest European fossils of green toads are from the Early Miocene: Vieux-Collonges locality in
 1408 France (14–17 Ma) (*Bailon & Hossini*, 1990); Petersbuch 2 and 7 (17.5–18 Ma) localities in
 1409 Germany (*Böhme & Ilg*, 2003); and probably the Córcoles locality (17–18 Ma) in Spain (*Sanchíz*,
 1410 1998a). Once the green toads entered Europe, they became a regular element of the European
 1411 Neogene and Quaternary herpetofaunal assemblages (Fig. 9). Besides *B. aff. viridis*, the
 1412 European record of green toads includes another species, *Bufotes priscus*, from four localities of
 1413 the latest Early Miocene to the earliest Late Miocene age (see Table S5). Taking into account the
 1414 *B. viridis* Neogene records and the bufonid records from the Eurasian Paleogene, we suggest
 1415 that the group arrived in the Old World in the Paleocene (*Rage*, 2003), entered Central Asia in

Eliminado: of

Eliminado: fossils

1418 the Early Oligocene and diversified there. Although we were not able to study the Paleogene
1419 bufonid record from Kazakhstan, taking into consideration the palaeogeography of common and
1420 green frogs, the assignment of the Early Oligocene Kazakhstan record to the green toad seems
1421 most probable. Apparently, the Early Oligocene forms were ancestral to the *B. viridis* lineage,
1422 which evolved in Central Asia in the Early Miocene. This assumption is also supported by
1423 molecular data suggesting that: (1) the green toad clade underwent diversification in Asia during
1424 the Oligocene/early Miocene; and (2) a high genomic and specific diversity is found within the
1425 Central Asian green toads (Stöck et al., 2006). Present in the Central Asian fossil record from the
1426 Early Miocene; they consequently dispersed via Anatolia in the early Burdigalian into Europe
1427 during the middle Burdigalian. Apparently, the European Neogene record should not necessarily
1428 represent one 'lineage' or one dispersal event of the *B. viridis* group from Asia. Several
1429 migration events most probably took place during the Miocene. The descendants of these
1430 events were replaced later by the ancestors of the Recent species *B. viridis*, *B. variabilis*, etc. as
1431 indicated by the genetic data at the Mio-Pliocene transition (Stöck et al., 2006). Prospective
1432 further studies could include: (1) the verification of dispersal events in the European fossil
1433 record, with help of an abundant and species-rich fossil material from stratigraphically well-
1434 dated localities; (2) the exploration the Miocene record of Anatolian and South-eastern Europe,
1435 as well as the Paleogene record of Asia; and (3) a challenging project of establishing the
1436 osteological characters that are important for the systematic identification of the members of
1437 the *B. viridis* species group.

1438

1439 **Ranidae**

1440 The family of true frogs, Ranidae, are present in the Western Siberian record by both green
 1441 (*Pelophylax* sp.) and brown (*Rana* sp.) frogs. The green frogs appear more frequently in the
 1442 record than the brown frogs. Both frog genera are common amphibians in the Recent
 1443 herpetofauna of the area. Besides this record, further true frog finds (e.g. Ranidae indet.) are
 1444 reported from the early Rupelian age fossil sites (see the list of the locality section ‘Pelobatidae’)
 1445 of the Buran Svita, Zaisan Basin. We were not able to revise their taxonomic validity due to lack
 1446 of figured fossils and the inaccessibility of the material.

1447 **Green frogs.** The genus *Rana* includes 21 Recent species of aquatic frogs having a wide
 1448 distribution ranging from northern Africa, Europe to Eastern Asia. Two genetically distinct
 1449 clades, i.e. Western Palaearctic and the Far East, are recognised within the green frogs genus
 1450 *Pelophylax* (Lymerakis et al., 2007). The oldest green frog record from the Western Siberian
 1451 (*Pelophylax* sp.) is dated back to the late Middle Miocene, coinciding stratigraphically with the
 1452 Eastern Siberian record of the group (Middle Miocene, ca. 13 Ma, Tagay Section, Baikal Lake,
 1453 Russia) (Daxner-Höck et al., 2013). Records of this group are present in the studied localities
 1454 until the late Early Pliocene with long (during the Late Miocene) and short (during the Early
 1455 Pliocene) gaps in the fossil record. Due to the fragmentary preservation of the studied bones as
 1456 well as the lack of other informative elements of the skeleton (e.g. frontoparietals), any
 1457 assignment to the Recent green frog species was impossible. Considering the present
 1458 distribution of the two green frog clades, an affiliation of the Western Siberian fossil record to
 1459 the Western Palaearctic clade is most probable.

1460 Despite being only a few green frog records described in this study, these records still
 1461 significantly expand the previously scarce and poorly known fossil history of the genus.

Eliminado: the

1463 Moreover, both of the Middle Miocene records from Western and Eastern Siberia represent the
 1464 oldest records of the green frogs in the Asian continent. Although an Asiatic origin of the green
 1465 frogs has been already assumed by several authors e.g. *Sanchíz, Schleich & Esteban* (1993),
 1466 *Lymberakis et al.* (2007), the earliest frog remains have been assigned to the *Pelophylax*
 1467 *ridibundus* species group, which occurred in Europe in the early Oligocene (Möhren 13 locality,
 1468 Germany) (*Sanchíz, Schleich & Esteban*, 1993). Its affiliation to a living species is impossible. In
 1469 Europe, the fossil record of *Pelophylax* is continuous and is maintained through the Oligocene
 1470 and entire Neogene (Table S5). Nevertheless, a well-documented Paleogene record of the group
 1471 is not available from Asia and, therefore, any interpretations would not be confident. The only
 1472 possible scenario, taking into account both the fossil record and genomic data, is that the
 1473 Western Palaearctic green frogs split from the ir Far East sister clade during the Eocene; they
 1474 diversified in the territory of Europe and/or Western Asia during the Oligocene; they dispersed
 1475 back to the East in the middle Miocene; and eventually reached the territory of the Western
 1476 Siberia.

1477 **Brown frogs.** The genus *Rana* (subgenus *Rana* sensu *Veith, Kosuch & Vences*, (2003) is
 1478 comprised of more than 15 species that are distributed throughout Eurasia. Similar to green
 1479 frogs, there are two known lineages from the brown frog species, namely: the Western and the
 1480 Eastern Palaearctic lineages (*Veith, Kosuch & Vences*, 2003). Based on the osteological
 1481 characters, the studied Western Siberian brown frog remains show a relation to the Western
 1482 Asiatic lineage of the genus *Rana*, more precisely to the *Rana temporaria* species group (sensu
 1483 *Veith, Kosuch & Vences*, 2003). Among the late Paleogene and Early Miocene fossil frogs (*Böhme*
 1484 *& Ilg*, 2003), in which the generic identification is unclear (*Rana* vel *Pelophylax*), only the frog

1485 remains from the Early Miocene in Dietrichsberg, Germany (*Böhme*, 2001) have definitely been
 1486 assigned to the brown frog *R. cf. temporaria*, representing the oldest known record of the group
 1487 so far. As already suggested by *Böhme* (2001), brown frogs migrated from their possible centre
 1488 of origin in Western or Central Asia to Europe during the second half of the Early Miocene. This
 1489 hypothesis is confirmed by the brown frog fossils from the Ayakoz locality in Kazakhstan, which
 1490 dates back to the Aquitanian age and are stratigraphically older than the Dietrichsberg fossil
 1491 frogs. The present-day biogeography and diversity of brown frogs, the presence of a distinct
 1492 Eastern Palaearctic lineage in Eastern Asia as well as the Asian distribution of many European
 1493 species provide further support for an Asiatic origin. Most likely, the dispersal route of the
 1494 brown frogs was similar to that of the green toad (*Bufo* cf. *viridis*) whereby dispersal into
 1495 Europe occurred via Anatolia, during the Early Miocene.
 1496 It is interesting to note that the earliest brown frog from the studied Western Siberian localities
 1497 (Malyi Kalkaman 2) shows osteological similarities with the Recent species *R. temporaria*,
 1498 representing herewith the oldest fossil record of the species in the east.
 1499 Previous molecular studies (*Veith, Kosuch & Vences*, 2003; *Lymberakis et al.*, 2007), on both
 1500 green and brown frogs, aimed to reconstruct their phylogenetic relationships, suggest models of
 1501 biogeographic history as well as for when the splits between different genera, clades, species,
 1502 etc., occurred. Such studies have provided contradictory results also for this group, e.g. the split
 1503 of *Rana* and *Pelophylax* was at 9.32 Ma (*Veith, Kosuch & Vences*, 2003), whereas *Lymberakis et*
 1504 *al.* (2007) estimated the split of the Western Palaearctic and Far East lineages of *Pelophylax* to
 1505 have occurred significantly earlier, i.e. 15 Ma before. Here neither geologic events nor the fossil
 1506 records have been used consistently for the calibration of the molecular clock. Thus, the

Eliminado: suggest when

Eliminado: it had already taken place

1509 recalibrating of the timing for the splits with the new fossil finds provides a more reliable basis
1510 for phylogenetic reconstructions.
1511 For the better understanding of relationships between these groups, as well as to reveal more
1512 around the origin and palaeobiogeographic history of them, it would be interesting to review
1513 the specimens of the Paleocene frogs (Ranidae indet.) from the early Rupelian fossil sites (see
1514 section 'Pelobatidae') of the Buran Svita in the Zaisan Basin (*Chkhikvadze*, 1998). The
1515 incorporation of such a review, however, was not possible in the present study, due to the lack
1516 of figures of the fossils and the inaccessibility of the material.

1517

1518 **Gekkonidae**

1519 The family Gekkonidae is represented in the Western Siberian fossil record by the straight-
1520 fingered or even-fingered geckos, genus *Alsophylax*. They occur only in the Cherlak locality,
1521 dated back to the terminal Miocene, ca. 5.9 Ma. *Alsophylax* sp. is the most abundant element in
1522 the herpetofaunal assemblage of the Cherlak locality, with approximately 70% of the
1523 identifiable bone material belonging to this taxon. The genus *Alsophylax* is mainly distributed in
1524 Central Asia, partly occurring also in Mongolia and China. These se geckos prefer habitats in arid
1525 and warm landscapes (*Ananjeva* et al., 2006). The appearance of these dry and warm adapted
1526 geckos in Western Siberia, which is four degrees north of their present occurrence, suggests a
1527 shift of the arid environment from the south to the north at the end of the Late Miocene (see
1528 below). It is interesting to note that out of the seven gecko genera, e.g. *Eublephareus*,
1529 *Mediadactylus*, *Terratoscincus* (*Ananjeva* et al., 2006) inhabiting Central Asia, only *Alsophylax*,
1530 which has the most northern distribution, occurs in the fossil record. Apparently, this genus is

1531 | ecologically more adaptable in comparison to other genera, not only in the present, but
1532 | probably also in the past.

1533

1534 | **Lacertidae**

1535 | Lacertid remains are the most frequent fossil bones among those of lizards occurring in Western
1536 | Siberian localities. They are very rare in the Middle Miocene faunas, but occur more frequently
1537 | in the Late Miocene, Pliocene, and Pleistocene localities. In the middle Late Miocene locality
1538 | Pavlodar 1A (ca. 7.25 Ma), two taxa (*Lacerta* s.l. sp. 1 and sp. 2) occur sympatrically. *Eremias* sp.
1539 | appears in the Western Siberian record in the Pliocene. This genus is widely distributed in the
1540 | Central Asian steppes, inhabiting dry and warm habitats (Ananjeva et al., 2006).

1541

1542 | **Emydidae**

1543 | *Emydoidea* sp. is the only turtle identified from the studied fossil sites. The present-day
1544 | distribution of the monotypic genus *Emydoidea* is restricted to the water bodies of the north-
1545 | eastern territory of the USA. In Eurasia, fossil forms of this aquatic genus appear in the fossil
1546 | record in Central Kazakhstan since the Middle Miocene (*Emydoidea tasbaka*, the Kentyubek
1547 | locality in the Turgay Basin) (Chkhikvadze, 1989). Fossil forms have also been reported in
1548 | Eastern Europe from the Late Miocene (*Emydoidea tarashchuki*, Krivoy Rog locality in Ukraine
1549 | and Pantishara (8.7-9.2 Ma) in Georgia) (Chkhikvadze, 1980); (Chkhikvadze, 2003). The Siberian
1550 | record indicates their occurrence in Asia also during the Late Miocene, which, interestingly, is
1551 | located much further north than their Middle Miocene record from Kazakhstan. According to
1552 | Chkhikvadze (2003), representatives may have also been present in Eastern Europe during the

Eliminado: localited

1554 Pliocene. We avoid interpreting palaeobiogeography, stratigraphic distribution, etc. of this
 1555 genus, since the available published material (e.g. *Chkhikvadze*, 1983, 1989), together with
 1556 other extinct testudinoid taxa from Kazakhstan and Eastern Europe, is insufficiently described
 1557 and poorly illustrated, requiring thorough revision. Nevertheless, we used the available
 1558 published data on both freshwater turtles and terrestrial tortoises to attempt to interpret the
 1559 record at the family level (Table 2). The turtle records from three well-explored regions in the
 1560 studying area, i.e. Zaisan Basin, Turgay Basins and Western Siberia, are summarised in the Table
 1561 2. Throughout the entire Early Miocene in the Zaisan Basin, the turtle fauna is dominated by
 1562 aquatic forms, i.e. out of eight taxa only two are tortoises (*Protestudo* spp.). The aquatic forms
 1563 remained dominant in the Zaisan Basin during the Middle Miocene, the terrestrial family
 1564 Testudinidae completely replaced the aquatic turtles (Emydidae, Trionychidae) in the end of the
 1565 Middle Miocene and became the only family present in the younger deposits of the Late
 1566 Miocene. Similar to the Zaisan Basin, the aquatic forms represent the Middle Miocene turtle
 1567 fauna in two adjacent regions, the Turgay Basin in the west and Western Siberia in the north.
 1568 Subsequently, in the beginning of the early Late Miocene, a testudinid appears in Western
 1569 Siberia and is replaced by an emydid towards the end of the late Late Miocene and a chelydrid
 1570 at the Mio-Pliocene transition. The absence of tortoises since the end of the Late Miocene in
 1571 Western Siberia and the Plio-Pleistocene in the Zaisan Basin can be explained by a less
 1572 favourable, probably colder (MAT <15°C, cold month temperature CMT <8°C) climate. Since the
 1573 late Late Miocene, the emydid and chelydrid aquatic turtles are the only chelonids in Western
 1574 Siberia. The presence of these chelonids not only indicate a humid environment with standing
 1575 water-bodies but most probably also a cooler climate (for emydids: MAT>8°C, CM>-1.4 °C).

1576 | since, in general, aquatic turtles can tolerate much colder conditions than tortoises, in that an
1577 | aquatic environment acts as thermal buffer, consequently enabling aquatic turtles to populate
1578 | higher poleward latitudes.

Eliminado: i

1579

1580 | **Palaeobiogeographic considerations**

1581 | By comparing the spatial and temporal patterns between European and Asian fossil records,
1582 | including the first and last fossil occurrences, combined with an analysis of the available
1583 | genomic data of the recent relatives of the fossil groups present in the studied material, certain
1584 | palaeogeographic distribution patterns can be revealed along with new interpretations.
1585 | Our analysis suggests a Western Asiatic origin for Hynobiidae, Proteidae, aff. *Tylotriton*,
1586 | *Bufo viridis* species group and brown frogs, *Rana*. The green toads and brown frogs dispersed
1587 | coincidentally in the earliest Miocene wherein, and at least for the *Bufo viridis* group,
1588 | Anatolia was involved. Anatolia also played an important role in the distribution of the *Bufo*
1589 | *bufo* species group; however, any age estimation of the event is not available. A salamander,
1590 | showing affinities to the clade of the Recent East Asian genera *Tylotriton* + *Echinotriton*, is
1591 | present in Western Siberia, most probably representing the forms similar to that of the early
1592 | Oligocene (aff. *Tylotriton*) in Europe, a sister group of the recent clade. In order to resolve the
1593 | affiliations of these fossils, further Paleogene material from both the Asia and European
1594 | continents are necessary.

1595 | An eastward dispersal from Europe into Western Asia can be observed over a period ranging
1596 | from the Middle to Late Miocene, based on the current data available from both European and
1597 | Asiatic records, for at least seven amphibian groups (family Palaeobatrachidae, genera

1599 *Chelotriton*, *Pelobates*, *Bombina* (i.e. *Bombina* (cf.) *bombina*), *Hyla* (i.e. *Hyla* cf. *savignyi*),
1600 *Pelophylax*?, *Bufo bufo* species group). Besides the amphibians, some Western Siberian reptiles,
1601 such as the glass lizards and snakes from the Middle Miocene, show European affinities,
1602 resembling the Central European faunas (Vasilyan, Böhme & Klembara, 2016).

1603 The amphibian genera *Bombina*, *Hyla*, *Bufo*, *Rana*, and *Pelophylax* resemble a comparable
1604 palaeobiogeographic pattern: the molecular genetic data showed the presence of two clearly
1605 separable western and eastern clades (species groups) in each of these genera. In all cases, it
1606 was possible to morphologically attribute the Western Siberian fossil amphibians to the western
1607 clades or species of the clades. It is interesting to note that even though the first fossil
1608 occurrences of these genera have different stratigraphic ages, they are found exclusively in
1609 Europe (see Fig. 9, Table S5). To explain this common pattern, we hypothesise that the western
1610 and eastern clades had already split in the Paleogene, most probably in the western or central
1611 parts of Asia, and subsequently dispersed into Europe.

1612 The Western Siberian fossil *Mioproteus*, *Chelotriton*, *Bombina*, Paleobatidae, *Hyla*, *Bufo bufo*
1613 and *Rana temporaria* represent the most eastern records of those groups found in the Eurasian
1614 fossil record. In comparison to their present-day geography, the Western Eurasian species of the
1615 genera *Bombina* and *Hyla*, respectively, show wider distribution ranges during the Middle to
1616 Late Miocene, and Late Miocene to Early Pliocene. The palaeogeographic affinity of the earliest
1617 Messinian pelobatid (locality Selety 1A) is still unclear. Considering the geographic location of
1618 the fossil site, its relation to the Recent genus *Pelobates* seems most possible.

1619 In Chkhikvadze (1985), two lizards *Varanus* sp. and Agamidae indet. have been reported from
1620 three Miocene localities of the Zaisan Basin. Although the taxonomic assignment of the remains

Eliminado: and

1622 could not be verified in this study, we adopt the identifications for biogeographic and
 1623 palaeoenvironmental interpretations. These lizards are currently widely distributed in Central
 1624 Asia. *Varanus*, being a thermophilous reptile species, is restricted to the southern part of the
 1625 region. Its presence in the early Late Miocene of the Zaisan Basin aids in characterisation of the
 1626 climate of the Sarybulak Svita, in the beginning of the late Miocene, i.e. a probable mean annual
 1627 temperature of not less than 14.8 °C (Böhme, 2003).
 1628 In summary, Western Siberia (Central Asia) can be hypothesised as a centre of evolution and
 1629 dispersal for several temperate Neogene herpetofaunal taxa, e.g. the genera *Salamandrella* and
 1630 *Mioproteus*, the green toad *Bufo viridis* species group and brown frog *Rana*. The Neogene
 1631 herpetofauna of Western Siberia and the adjacent areas has significant similarities with the
 1632 European amphibian and reptile assemblages. The Western Palearctic herpetofauna gradually
 1633 entered the Siberian territory from Europe, between the Middle Miocene to Early Pliocene,
 1634 strongly shaping the herpetofauna of Western Siberia and partially retaining the faunal
 1635 elements of an Asiatic origin (e.g. Hynobiidae, Proteidae, and *Alsophylax*). The faunal diversity of
 1636 the fossil record collapses significantly after the Early Pliocene. Only a few amphibians and
 1637 reptiles, e.g. *Salamandrella*, *Bufo*, *Lacerta*, and *Vipera* are present in the Pliocene fossil
 1638 record, being able to survive in the increasingly less favourable environments to form the main
 1639 part of the present-day Western Siberian herpetofauna.
 1640 The palaeobiogeographic analysis of the recent amphibian faunas of Western Asia (Savage;
 1641 Garcia-Porta et al., 2012) hypothesised d a progressive aridification of Central Asia linked with the
 1642 global cooling trends during the Miocene, forcing amphibians to shift their distribution to the
 1643 south.

1644

1645 **Palaeoclimatic implications**

1646 The Neogene climate evolution of Western Siberia has been previously reconstructed based on
1647 palynofloras, showing a progressive change in environmental conditions, i.e. in the climate and
1648 vegetation, during the Miocene (Arkhipov et al., 2005). Between the Early to Late Miocene, a
1649 warm and humid climate was replaced by a warm temperate climate in the Middle Miocene and
1650 a boreal-warm temperate climate in the Late Miocene. Towards the end of the Miocene, a
1651 drastic climatic shift took place resulting in semiarid and arid conditions. The Pliocene climate is
1652 predominated by frequent changes between semiarid forest-steppe/steppe and arid desert
1653 environments, however, from the Late Pliocene the environment changes into subarctic
1654 (Arkhipov et al., 2005; fig. 46, p. 76).
1655 At a lower temporal resolution, the testudinoid fossil records from the Zaisan Basin, the Turgay
1656 Basin, and Western Siberia confirm a general trend towards aridity in the Neogene (Data S4).
1657 Based on the environmental requirement (aquatic or terrestrial) of the testudinoids from the
1658 Zaisan Basin, we infer that the climate changed from humid to dry. We further infer that the
1659 Early and Middle Miocene was mostly humid (dominance of aquatic families), whereas the
1660 presence of exclusively terrestrial forms (tortoises) from the latest Middle Miocene to Late
1661 Miocene indicates dry and open habitats in the Zaisan Basin. Unfortunately, it is impossible to
1662 make any quantification of the palaeoprecipitation values based on these limited taxa and well-
1663 documented herpetofaunal assemblages are necessary from these deposits for further
1664 environmental reconstructions.

1665 To establish a better palaeoclimatic understanding, we estimated palaeoprecipitation values for
1666 12 data points (Table S4). These localities provided six and more amphibian and reptile taxa,
1667 applicable for the bioclimatic analysis (Böhme et al., 2006). Even so, our data do not enable
1668 accurate for reconstruction of the climate development over the Middle Miocene to earliest
1669 Pleistocene in Western Siberia. The climate development can, therefore, only be reconstructed
1670 and discussed for several short intervals. Nevertheless, our estimations rather show a dynamic
1671 climate development in the Neogene of Western Siberia, with large precipitation amplitudes,
1672 ranging from 158 mm to over 1500 mm per year (Table S1, Fig. 10), than previously estimated
1673 using palynological data (Arkhipov et al., 2005). Apart from the fluctuating humidity factor, in
1674 general, the MAP was significantly above the present day values (reaching 550% of the present-
1675 day values) (Fig. 10). Only two localities are characterised by drier climates, the late Serravallian
1676 (ca. 12.1 Ma) and the late Messinian (5.9 Ma), exhibiting either present-day or below present-
1677 day levels.

Eliminado: For

1679 Reliability of precipitation estimates

1680 The accuracy of precipitation estimates, based on bioclimatic analysis of herpetofauna, depends
1681 primarily on the taxon counts and the assumption of low (stochastic) taphonomic bias (Böhme
1682 et al., 2006). In Western Siberia, some of the documented localities were rich in aquatic
1683 herpetofauna, e.g. composed by freshwater turtles, giant salamanders, proteids, etc., but small
1684 terrestrial forms (e.g. lizards and anguids) were absent, indicating a possible non-stochastic
1685 taphonomic bias (i.e. exclusion of elements of certain habitats). These localities will result in a
1686 bias in humidity estimates toward the wet end. Examples of such localities include Kentyubek

1688 | and Novaya Stanitsa 1A, where the numeric results well exceed the MAP of 1600 mm, the upper
1689 | limit to which the eco-physiologic index – humidity relation is calibrated (see details in *Böhme* et
1690 | al., 2006). In these cases, we restrict our estimates to a limit of 1500 mm.

1691 | **Aquitanian**

1692 | For the Aquitanian age Ayakoz locality, we estimated a MAP value of 945 mm, representing
1693 | more than three times higher rainfall in comparison to the recent times. Using the palynologic
1694 | data, *Arkhipov* et al. (2005) estimated a humid climate with MAP 800 mm for the Abrosimov
1695 | Svita (Aquitanian age) in Western Siberia. Besides this study and based on the data of fossil
1696 | macroflora, *Bruch & Zhilin* (2007) estimated similar values of precipitation (935 to 1232 mm) for
1697 | about 30 Aquitanian age localities, distributed from Western to Eastern Kazakhstan. Our
1698 | reconstruction, therefore, appears to fit well within the historical precipitation estimates of the
1699 | region.

1700 | **Akzhar Svita**

1701 | Towards the end of the late Early Miocene (Burdigalian), an elevated humidity in Western
1702 | Siberia can be suggested based on the presence of the giant salamander in three localities of the
1703 | Zaisan Basin (Tri Bogatyrya, Vympel, and Poltinik). As already suggested, their occurrence
1704 | indicates a high rainfall for those time periods (MAP > 900 mm), as well as an increased basinal
1705 | relief enabling the distribution and reproduction of this group in the lowland settings (*Böhme*,
1706 | *Vasilyan & Winklhofer*, 2012). This period of the Akzhar Svita also corresponds to the folding
1707 | and uplift of the Altai Mountains (*Zykin*, 2012; p. 394), from which the establishment of the
1708 | higher basinal relief was possible.

1709 | **Late Serravallian**

1710 In contrast to the already known climate development suggested by Arkhipov *et al.* (2005), our
1711 data suggest that there were strong humidity fluctuations during the late Middle Miocene (late
1712 Serravallian), with MAP values ranging between 282, 884 and 1108 mm (Fig. 10). The only
1713 botanical data of this time (Bescheul macroflora) point to a warm-temperate and humid (MAP
1714 ~700 mm) climate (Arkhipov *et al.*, 2005), which best compares to our Malyi Kalkaman 2 results
1715 (MAP 884 mm).

1716 **Novastanitsa Svita**

1717 Although the herpetofaunal assemblage for the early Messinian locality Novaya Stanitsa 1A is
1718 incomplete, a very high MAP value of at least 1500 mm can be estimated. The value indicates a
1719 significantly higher humidity than of Tortonian-Messinian boundary and late Messinian (see
1720 below). Our data are contrary to the palynologic results, which gave lower estimates (400-450
1721 mm; Arkhipov *et al.* 2005).

1722 **Rytov Svita**

1723 The Cherlak locality (5.9 Ma, Rytov Suite) is characterised by a rather dry climate (MAP 255
1724 mm), with a similar humidity level to that of the present-day (Fig. 10). Our data for a warm and
1725 dry climate are confirmed by the presence of: (1) gekkonid *Alsophylax*; (2) mollusc fauna
1726 containing thermophilous species; (3) the small mammal fauna, represented mainly by pikas,
1727 hamsters and jerboas, characteristic for open and dry habitats (Zykin, 2012); and (4) ostriches
1728 (*Struthiolithus* sp.) and camels (*Paracamelus* sp.) in this svita (Shpanskiy, 2008). Arkhipov *et al.*
1729 (2005) summarised the available palynological and vegetation data of the svita and reported the
1730 presence of a poor (due to an oxidised event) spectra containing xerophyte plants (Asteraceae,
1731 Chenopodiaceae), characterising desert and steppe environments. Interestingly, his results

1732 | proposed a northward shift of dry steppe and desert environments by 4° (to the latitude of 56°),
1733 | which concurs with our data, as is indicated by the presence of the steppe-dwelling gekkonid
1734 | *Alsophylax* sp. (see the section 'Gekkonidae').

1735 | **Miocene-Pliocene transition (Detskaya Zheleznaja Daroga)**

1736 | Even though the precise taxonomic identification of the Western Siberian and Zaisan
1737 | cryptobranchids, is unclear at the generic or species level, their occurrence indicates a high
1738 | rainfall > 900 mm MAP (*Böhme, Vasilyan & Winkhofer, 2012*) during the Burdigalian age in the
1739 | Zaisan Basin and the Miocene-Pliocene transition in Western Siberia. Besides the presence of
1740 | Cryptobranchidae indet. from the locality Detskaya Zheleznaja Doroga, the co-occurrence of the
1741 | aquatic chelonids *Chelydropsis kuznetsovi* and probable *Sakya* sp. (*Gaiduchenko, 1984*;
1742 | *Gaiduchenko & Chkhikvadze, 1985*) confirms the presence of a high degree of precipitation at
1743 | the Miocene-Pliocene boundary in Western Siberia.

1744 | **Earliest Pliocene (Olkhovka 1A-1C)**

1745 | Our earliest Pliocene humidity data are estimated based on the fauna from the localities
1746 | Olkhovka 1A, 1B and 1C, for which no correlation data is available for regional svitas (see
1747 | 'Geology and Stratigraphy section'). Nevertheless, the results still indicate significant
1748 | precipitation (MAP 575 mm), well above the present-day values for this region. These findings
1749 | correspond well with the similar aged Speranovskaya palynoflora (*Volkova, 1984*), which
1750 | indicates the presence of warm forests and forest-steppes with MAP estimates between 500-
1751 | 550 mm (*Arkhipov et al., 2005*).

1752

1753 | **Conclusions**

1754 In summary, over 50 salamander, frog, lizard, snake and turtle taxa have been assigned to
 1755 specimens from more than 40 Western Siberian localities that range in age from the Middle
 1756 Miocene to the Pleistocene (Table S1). The late Middle Miocene localities have the most diverse
 1757 faunas including all the main groups of the herpetofauna. According to our analysis, the fossil
 1758 fauna contains taxa showing an Asian (Eastern Palaearctic) origin, such as Hynobiidae,
 1759 Proteidae, *Bufotes viridis* species group and *Rana*, *Varanus*, and Agamidae. The main part of the
 1760 herpetofaunal assemblage, including Palaeobatrachidae, Paleobatidae, the genera *Chelotriton*,
 1761 *Bombina* (i.e. *Bombina* (cf.) *bombina*), *Hyla* (i.e. *Hyla* (cf.) *savignyi*), *Pelophylax* ?, *Bufo bufo*,
 1762 *Ophisaurus* sp. (Vasilyan, Böhme & Klembara, 2016), has European (Western Palaearctic)
 1763 affinities. The Western Siberian records of *Mioproteus*, *Chelotriton*, *Bombina*, Paleobatidae,
 1764 *Hyla*, *Bufo bufo*, and *Rana temporaria* represent the most eastern occurrences of these groups
 1765 in Eurasia. The earliest Miocene dispersal of the green toad, *Bufotes viridis* species group into
 1766 Europe from Asia via Anatolia, can be inferred. We suggest the same distribution pattern for
 1767 brown frogs, *Rana*, too. In this scope, it will be important to perform future detailed studies on
 1768 the Neogene record of the amphibian and reptile faunas in Anatolia and analyse them in a
 1769 palaeobiogeographic context.
 1770 According to our study, the precipitation development in Western Siberia shows high-amplitude
 1771 changes during the studied intervals. Aside from the certain time periods, i.e. late Seravalian
 1772 and late Messinian, the palaeorainfall in Western Siberia was estimated to be significantly
 1773 higher than the present-day values. The best results on precipitation estimates that we were
 1774 able to reconstruct, with reliable age constrain, were for the period from 6.6 to ~4.5 Ma. These
 1775 results indicate a humid climate during the early Messinian; a dry climate during the late

1776 Messinian; a very humid climate during the Miocene-Pliocene transition and a humid climate
1777 during the earliest Pliocene (Data S4, Fig. 10). The decreasing tendency of the herpetofaunal
1778 diversity towards the end of the Neogene and Quaternary could be attributed to the progressive
1779 global cooling and forced ice-sheet development in the Northern Hemisphere.

1780

1781 Acknowledgements

1782

1783 We sincerely thank B. Sanchiz (Madrid), Z. Roček (Prague), J. Prieto (Munich), M. Rabi
1784 (Tübingen), M. Delfino (Turin), and V. Ratnikov (Voronezh) for their constructive discussions and
1785 comments. We are grateful to V. Chkhikvadze (Tbilisi) for providing material from the localities:
1786 Pavlodar 1A, Ayakoz, Petropavlovsk 1/2, Malyi Kalkaman 1; to Dr. L. Maul (Weimar) for
1787 providing details of the ages of the Quaternary localities where palaeobatrachid frogs occur; to
1788 A. Fatz (Tübingen) for performing figures and tables; to I. Stepanyan (Yerevan) for literature
1789 help; and A. Ilg (Düsseldorf) for providing support with the database 'fosFARbase'.

1790

1791 REFERENCES

- 1792 **Amphibiaweb. 2016.** <<http://amphibiaweb.org>>: Information on amphibian biology and
1793 conservation (accessed 1 July 2016).
1794 **Ananjeva NB, Orlov NL, Khalikov RG, Darevsky I, Ryabov SA, Barabanov AV. 2006.** *The Reptiles*
1795 *of Northern Eurasia: Taxonomic Diversity, Distribution, Conservation Status*. Sofia: Pensoft.
1796 **Anderson J. 1871.** Description of a new genus of newts from western Yunan. *Proceedings of the*
1797 *Zoological Society of London* **1871**:423–425.
1798 **Arkhipov SA, Volkova VS, Zolnikov ID, Zykina VS, Krukover AA, Kul'kova LA. 2005.** West
1799 Siberia. *Geological Society of America Special Papers* **382**:67–88.
1800 **Arntzen JW, Recuero E, Canestrelli D, Martínez-Solano I. 2013.** How complex is the *Bufo bufo*
1801 species group? *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **69 (3)**:1203–1208.

Eliminado: making

Eliminado: images

1804 **Audouin JV. 1827.** Explication sommaire des planches de Reptiles (supplément) ... offrant un
 1805 exposé des caracteres naturelles des genres, avec la distinction des especes: Description de
 1806 l'Égypte, ou Recueil des Observations et des Recherches qui ont été faites en Égypte pendant
 1807 l'Expedition de l'Armée Française, publiée par les Ordres de sa Majesté l'Empereur Napoléon le
 1808 Grand. *Histoire Naturelle* **1 (4)**:161–184.

1809 **Averianov AO, Tjutkova L. 1995.** *Ranodon* cf. *sibiricus* (Amphibia, Caudata) from the Upper
 1810 Pliocene of Southern Kazakhstan: The first fossil record of the family Hynobiidae.
 1811 *Paläontologische Zeitschrift* **69 (1)**:257–264.

1812 **Bailon S. 1999.** Différenciation ostéologique des *Anoures* (Amphibia, Anura) de France. Antibes:
 1813 Centre de Recherches Archéologiques du CNRS.

1814 **Bailon S, Hossini S. 1990.** Les plus anciens Bufonidae (Amphibia, Anura) d'Europe: les espèces du
 1815 Miocène français. *Annales de Paléontologie* **76**:121–132.

1816 **Barry JC, Morgan ME, Flynn LJ, Pilbeam D, Behrensmeyer AK, Raza SM, Khan IA, Badgley C,**
 1817 **Hicks J, Kelley J. 2002.** Faunal and environmental change in the late Miocene Siwaliks of
 1818 northern Pakistan. *Paleobiology* **28 (sp3)**:1–71.

1819 **Batsch, A. J. G. K. 1796.** *Umriss der gesammten Naturgeschichte: ein Auszug aus den frühern*
 1820 *Handbüchern des Verfassers für seine Vorlesungen*. Jena, Leipzig: Christian Ernst Gabler.

1821 **Bendukidze OG, Bruijn H de, Van den Hoek Ostende, Lars W. 2009.** A revision of Late Oligocene
 1822 associations of small mammals from the Aral Formation (Kazakhstan) in the National Museum of
 1823 Georgia, Tbilissi. *Palaeodiversity* **2**:343–377.

1824 **Bendukidze OG, Chkhikvadze VM. 1976.** Preliminary results of study on fossil amphibians,
 1825 reptiles and birds from Turgay and Ustyurt. *Bulletin of the Moscow Society of Naturalists,*
 1826 *Geological Series* **51 (5)**:156.

1827 **Blain H-A. 2009.** Contribution de la paléoherpétofaune (Amphibia & Squamata) à la connaissance
 1828 de l'évolution du climat et du paysage du Pliocène supérieur au Pléistocène moyen d'Espagne.
 1829 *Treballs del Museu de Geologia de Barcelona* **16**:39–170.

1830 **Blain H-A, Bailon S, Agustí J. 2007.** Anurans and squamate reptiles from the latest early
 1831 Pleistocene of Almenara-Casablanca-3 (Castellón, East of Spain). Systematic, climatic and
 1832 environmental considerations. *Geodiversitas* **29 (2)**:269–295.

1833 **Blain H-A, Gibert L, Ferràndez-Cañadell C. 2010.** First report of a green toad (*Bufo viridis* sensu
 1834 lato) in the Early Pleistocene of Spain: Palaeobiogeographical and palaeoecological implications.
 1835 *Comptes Rendus Palevol* **9 (8)**:487–497.

1836 **Blain H-A, Villa P. 2006.** Amphibians and squamate reptiles from the early Upper Pleistocene of
 1837 Bois Roche Cave (Charente, southwestern France). *Acta zoologica cracoviensia* **49A (1-2)**:1–32.

1838 **Böhme G. 1977.** Zur Bestimmung quartärer Anuren Europas an Hand von Skelettelementen.
 1839 *Wissenschaftliche Zeitschrift der Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Mathematisch-*
 1840 *Naturwissenschaftliche Reihe* **26 (3)**:283–299.

1841 **Böhme M. 1998.** *Archeotriton basalticus* (v. Mayer, 1859) (Urodela, Salamandridae) aus dem
 1842 Unteroligozän von Hammerunterwiesenthal (Freistaat Sachsen). *Abhandlungen des staatlichen*
 1843 *Museums für Mineralogie und Geologie zu Dresden* **43/44**:265–280.

1844 **Böhme M. 2001.** The oldest representative of a brown frog (Ranidae) from the Early Miocene of
 1845 Germany. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica* **46 (1)**:119–124.

- 1846 **Böhme M. 2003.** The Miocene Climatic Optimum: evidence from ectothermic vertebrates of Central
1847 Europe. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **195 (3–4)**:389–401.
- 1848 **Böhme M. 2008.** Ectothermic vertebrates (Teleostei, Allocaudata, Urodela, Anura, Testudines,
1849 Choristodera, Crocodylia, Squamata) from the Upper Oligocene of Oberleichtersbach (Northern
1850 Bavaria, Germany). *Courier Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg* **260**:161–183.
- 1851 **Böhme M. 2010.** Ectothermic vertebrates (Actinopterygii, Allocaudata, Urodela, Anura, Crocodylia,
1852 Squamata) from the Miocene of Sandelzhausen (Germany, Bavaria) and their implications for
1853 environment reconstruction and palaeoclimate. *Paläontologische Zeitschrift* **84 (1)**:3–41.
- 1854 **Böhme M, Ilg A. 2003.** fosFARbase. Available at www.wahre-staerke.com (accessed 1 December
1855 2015).
- 1856 **Böhme M, Ilg A, Ossig A, Küchenhoff H. 2006.** New method to estimate paleoprecipitation using
1857 fossil amphibians and reptiles and the middle and late Miocene precipitation gradients in
1858 Europe. *Geology* **34 (6)**:425–428.
- 1859 **Böhme M, Vasilyan D. 2014.** Ectothermic vertebrates from the late Middle Miocene of Gratkorn
1860 (Austria, Styria). *Palaeobiodiversity and Palaeoenvironments* **94 (1)**:21–40.
- 1861 **Böhme M, Vasilyan D, Winklhofer M. 2012.** Habitat tracking, range dynamics and palaeoclimatic
1862 significance of Eurasian giant salamanders (Cryptobranchidae) — indications for elevated
1863 Central Asian humidity during Cenozoic global warm periods. *Palaeogeography,*
1864 *Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **342–343**:64–72.
- 1865 **Bonaparte CL. 1850.** *Conspectus systematum. Mastrozoologiae. Ornithologiae. Herpetologiae et*
1866 *Amphibiologiae. Ichthyologiae.* Lugduni Batavorum: E. J. Brill.
- 1867 **Borisov BA. 1963.** Stratigraphy of upper Cretaceous and Paleogene-Neogene of Zaisan basin.
1868 *Transactions of Pansoviet scientific-research geological institute New Series*, **94**:11–75.
- 1869 **Borkin LJ. 1999.** Distribution of Amphibians in North Africa, Europe, Western Asia, and the Former
1870 Soviet Union. In: Duellman WE, ed. *Patterns of Distribution of Amphibians.* Baltimore and
1871 London: The Johns Hopkins University Press, 329–420.
- 1872 **Bruch AA, Zhilin SG. 2007.** Early miocene climate of Central Eurasia — Evidence from Aquitanian
1873 floras of Kazakhstan. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **248 (1–2)**:32–48.
- 1874 **Chkhikvadze VM. 1980.** Systematic position of the Neogene freshwater turtle of Moldavia, Ukraine
1875 and some Central European Countries. *Bulletin of the Academy of Sciences of Georgian SSR* **99**
1876 **(3)**:721–724.
- 1877 **Chkhikvadze VM. 1983.** *The fossil turtles of Caucasus and Northern Black Sea region.* Tbilisi:
1878 Metsniereba.
- 1879 **Chkhikvadze VM. 1984.** Survey of the fossil urodelan and anuran amphibians from the USSR.
1880 *Izvestia Akademii Nauk Gruzinska SSR, Seria Biologicheskaya* **10 (1)**:5–13.
- 1881 **Chkhikvadze VM. 1985.** Preliminary results of studies on tertiary amphibians and squamate
1882 reptiles of the Zaisan Basin. In: Darevsky I, ed.: Nauka, 234–235.
- 1883 **Chkhikvadze VM. 1989.** *Neogene turtles of USSR.* Tbilisi: Metsniereba.
- 1884 **Chkhikvadze VM. 1998.** Eocene - Oligocene herpetofauna of USSR. In: Krasheninnikov VA,
1885 Akhmetiev MA, eds. *Late Eocene - Early Oligocene geological and biotic events. On the territory of*
1886 *the former Soviet Union*, II. Moscow: Geos, 61–66.
- 1887 **Chkhikvadze VM. 2003.** Perspectives of paleontological studies of Late Neogene vertebrate
1888 localities in the valley of River Iori, Eastern Georgia and Western Azerbaijan. In: Abdaladze O, ed.

- 1889 *Transactions of scientific works: Conservation arid and semiarid ecosystems in Transcaucasia.*
 1890 Tbilisi: NAGRES, 63–67.
- 1891 **Claessens, Leon P. A. M. 1997.** On the herpetofauna of some Neogene Eastern Mediterranean
 1892 localities and the occurrence of *Palaeobatrachus* and *Bufo* (Amphibia, Anura) in the Lower
 1893 Miocene of Turkey. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **17 (Suppl. 3)**:39.
- 1894 **Cope ED. 1859.** On the primary division of the Salamandridae, with description of two new species.
 1895 *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia* **11**:122–128.
- 1896 **Cope ED. 1865.** Sketch of the primary groups of Batrachia Salientia. *Natural history review* **5**:97–
 1897 120.
- 1898 **Cope ED. 1868.** On the origin of genera. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of*
 1899 *Philadelphia* **20**:242–300.
- 1900 **Cuvier G. 1817.** Le Règne Animal distribué d'après son organisation pour servir de base à l'histoire
 1901 naturelle des animaux et d'introduction à l'anatomie comparée. Les reptiles, les poissons, les
 1902 mollusques et les annélides **2**:1–532.
- 1903 **Danilov IG, Cherepanov GO, Vitek NS. 2013.** Chelonological studies of I. I. Khosatzky with his
 1904 annotated bibliography on turtles. *Proceedings of the Zoological Institute RAS* **317 (4)**:382–425.
- 1905 **Daxner-Höck G, Badamgarav D, Erbaeva MA, Göhlich UB. 2013.** Miocene Mammal
 1906 Biostratigraphy of Central Mongolia (Valley of Lakes): New Results. In: Wang X, J FL, Fortelius
 1907 M, eds. *Fossil mammals of Asia: Neogene biostratigraphy and chronology*. New York: Columbia
 1908 University Press, 477–507.
- 1909 **Daza JD, Alifanov VR, Bauer AM. 2012.** A redescription and phylogenetic reinterpretation of the
 1910 fossil lizard *Hoburogekko suchanovi* Alifanov, 1989 (Squamata, Gekkota), from the Early
 1911 Cretaceous of Mongolia. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **32 (6)**:1303–1312.
- 1912 **Daza JD, Aurich J, Bauer AM. 2011.** Anatomy of an enigma: an osteological investigation of the
 1913 Namibian festive gecko (*Narudasia festiva*: Gekkonidae: Gekkota). *Acta Zoologica* **93 (4)**:465–
 1914 486.
- 1915 **Daza JD, Bauer AM. 2010.** The Circumorbital Bones of the Gekkota (Reptilia: Squamata). *The*
 1916 *Anatomical Record: Advances in Integrative Anatomy and Evolutionary Biology* **293 (3)**:402–413.
- 1917 **Delfino M, Bailon S, Pitruzzella G. 2011.** The Late Pliocene amphibians and reptiles from “Capo
 1918 Mannu D1 Local Fauna” (Mandriola, Sardinia, Italy). *Geodiversitas* **33 (2)**:357–382.
- 1919 **Dybowski B. 1870.** Beitrag zur Kenntniss der Wassermolche Sibiriens. *Verhandlungen des*
 1920 *Zoologisch-Botanischen Vereins in Wien* **20**:237–242.
- 1921 **Edwards JL. 1976.** Spinal nerves and their bearing on salamander phylogeny. *Journal of Morphology*
 1922 **148 (3)**:305–327.
- 1923 **Estes R. 1969.** Die Fauna der miozänen Spaltenfüllung von Neudorf an der March (ČSSR) Reptilia
 1924 (Lacertilia). *Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche*
 1925 *Klasse Abteilung I, Sitzungsberichte* **178**:77–82.
- 1926 **Estes R, Darevsky I. 1977.** Fossil amphibians from the Miocene of North Caucasus, USSR. *Journal of*
 1927 *Palaeontological Society of India* **20**:164–169.
- 1928 **Estes R, Queiroz K de, Gauthier J. 1988.** Phylogenetic relationships within Squamata. In: Estes R,
 1929 Pregill G, eds. *Phylogenetic relationships of the lizard families*. Stanford, California: Stanford
 1930 University Press, 119–281.

- 1931 **Evans SE. 2008.** The skull of lizards and tuatara. In: Gans C, ed. *The skull of Lepidosauria*, Volume 20,
1932 Morphology H. Ithaca: Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles, 1–347.
- 1933 **Fejfar O, Heinrich W-D, Pevzner MA, Vangengeim EA. 1997.** Late Cenozoic sequences of
1934 mammalian sites in Eurasia: an updated correlation. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,*
1935 *Palaeoecology* **133 (3–4)**:259–288.
- 1936 **Fischer von Waldheim G. 1813.** *Zoognosia. Tabulis Synopticis Illustrata, in Usus Prælectionum*
1937 *Academiæ Imperialis Medico-Chirurgicæ Mosquensis Edita.* Moscow: Typis Nicolai Sergeidis
1938 Vsevolozsky.
- 1939 **Fitzinger L. 1826.** *Neue Classification der Reptilien nach ihrer natürlichen Verwandtschaft.* Wien: J. G.
1940 Heubner.
- 1941 **Fitzinger L. 1843.** *Systema Reptilium. Fasciculus Primus.* Wien: Braumüller et Seidel.
- 1942 **Folie A, Rana RS, Rose KD, Sahni A, Kumar K, Singh L, Smith T. 2013.** Early Eocene frogs from
1943 Vastan Lignite Mine, Gujarat, India. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica* **58 (3)**:511–524.
- 1944 **Fritz U, Schmidt C, Ernst CH. 2011.** Competing generic concepts for Blanding's, Pacific and
1945 European pond turtles (*Emydoidea*, *Actinemys* and *Emys*)—Which is best. *Zootaxa* **2971**:41–53.
- 1946 **Gaiduchenko LL. 1984.** On stratigraphy of Neogene sediments of the southmost Westsiberian
1947 Plain. In: Volkova VS, Kul'kova LA, eds. *Environment and life at the boundaries of Cenozoic*
1948 *epochs in Siberia and Far East.* Novosibirsk: Nauka, 172–184.
- 1949 **Gaiduchenko LL, Chkhikvadze VM. 1985.** First record of chelydrid turtle from the Neogene
1950 sediments of Pavlodarian Priirtyshya. *Geologiya i geofizika* **(1)**:116–118.
- 1951 **Garcia-Porta J, Litvinchuk SN, Crochet PA, Romano A, Geniez PH, Lo-Valvo M, Lymberakis P,**
1952 **Carranza S. 2012.** Molecular phylogenetics and historical biogeography of the west-palearctic
1953 common toads (*Bufo bufo* species complex). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **63 (1)**:113–
1954 130.
- 1955 **Gnibidenko ZN, Volkova VS, Kuz'mina OB, Dolya ZA, Khazina IV, Levicheva AV. 2011.**
1956 Stratigraphic, paleomagnetic, and palynological data on the Paleogene–Neogene continental
1957 sediments of southwestern West Siberia. *Russian Geology and Geophysics* **52 (4)**:466–473.
- 1958 **Gnibitenko ZN. 2006.** *Cenozoic paleomagnetism of the West Siberian Plate.* Novosibirsk: Geo.
- 1959 **Goldfuss GA. 1820.** *Handbuch der Zoologie.* Nürnberg: J. L. Schrag.
- 1960 **Gray JE. 1825.** A synopsis of the genera of reptiles and Amphibia, with a description of some new
1961 species. *Annals of Philosophy, London* **10**:193–217.
- 1962 **Gray JE. 1870.** *Supplement to the Catalogue of shield reptiles in the collection of the British Museum.*
1963 *Part 1. Testudinata (Tortoises).* London: Taylor & Francis.
- 1964 **Gubin YM. 1995.** The First Find of Pelobatids (Anura) in the Paleogene of Mongolia.
1965 *Paleontologicheskii zhurnal* **(4)**:73–76.
- 1966 **Gvoždík V, Moravec J, Klütsch C, Kotlík P. 2010.** Phylogeography of the Middle Eastern tree frogs
1967 (*Hyla*, Hylidae, Amphibia) as inferred from nuclear and mitochondrial DNA variation, with a
1968 description of a new species. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **55 (3)**:1146–1166.
- 1969 **Heizmann EPJ, Bloos G, Böttcher R, Werner J, Ziegler R. 1989.** Ulm-Westtangente und Ulm-
1970 Uniklinik: Zwei neue Wirbeltier-Faunen aus der Unteren Süßwasser-Molasse (Untermiozän) von
1971 Ulm (Baden-Württemberg). *Stuttgarter Beiträge Naturkunde Serie B* **153**:1–14.
- 1972 **Hodrova M. 1980.** A toad from the Middle Miocene at Devínska Nová Ves near Bratislava. *Věstník*
1973 *Ústředního ústavu geologického* **55 (5)**:311–316.

- 1974 **Hodrová M. 1987.** Amphibians from the Miocene sediments of the Bohemian Karst. *Časopis pro*
1975 *mineralogii a geologii* **32 (4)**:345–356.
- 1976 **Hodrová M. 1988.** Miocene frog fauna from the locality Devínska Nová Ves - Bonanza. *Věstník*
1977 *Ústředního ústavu geologického* **63 (5)**:305–310.
- 1978 **Hoffstetter R, Gasc J-P. 1969.** Vertebrae and ribs of modern reptiles. In: Gans C, ed. *Morphology A,*
1979 *Biology of the Reptilia*. London, New York: Academic Press, 201–310.
- 1980 **Holman AJ. 1995.** A New Species of *Emydoidea* (Reptilia: Testudines) from the Late Barstovian
1981 (Medial Miocene) of Cherry County, Nebraska. *Journal of Herpetology* **29 (4)**:548–553.
- 1982 **Iskakova K. 1969.** Fossil amphibians from Priirtyshie. *Proceedings of Academy of Sciences of*
1983 *Kazakhian SSR, Series Biological* **(1)**:48–53.
- 1984 **Ivanov M. 2008.** Early Miocene Amphibians (Caudata, Salientia) from the Mokrá-Western Quarry
1985 (Czech Republic) with comments on the evolution of Early Miocene amphibian assemblages in
1986 Central Europe. *Geobios* **41 (4)**:465–492.
- 1987 **Kirscher U, Prieto J, Bachtadse V, Abdul Aziz H, Doppler G, Hagmaier M, Böhme M. 2016.** A
1988 biochronologic tie-point for the base of the Tortonian stage in European terrestrial settings:
1989 Magnetostratigraphy of the topmost Upper Freshwater Molasse sediments of the North Alpine
1990 Foreland Basin in Bavaria (Germany). *Newsletters on Stratigraphy* **49 (3)**:445–467.
- 1991 **Kordikova EG. 1994.** Review of fossil Trionychid localities in the Soviet Union. *Courier*
1992 *Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg* **173**:341–358.
- 1993 **Kuzmin SL. 1995.** *Die Amphibien Russlands und angrenzender Gebiete*. Magdeburg: Westarp
1994 Wissenschaften.
- 1995 **Laurenti JN. 1768.** *Specimen medicum, exhibens synopsis reptilium emendatum cum experimentis*
1996 *circa venena et antidota Reptilium Austriacorum*. Viennae: Typ. Joan. Thom. nob. de Trattner.
- 1997 **Li J-T, Wang J-S, Nian H-H, Litvinchuk SN, Wang J, Li Y, Rao D-Q, Klaus S. 2015.** Amphibians
1998 crossing the Bering Land Bridge: Evidence from holarctic treefrogs (*Hyla*, Hylidae, Anura).
1999 *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **87**:80–90.
- 2000 **Linnaeus C. 1758.** *Systema naturae per regna tria naturae, secundum classes, ordines, genera,*
2001 *species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis*. L. Salvi: Stockholm.
- 2002 **Linnaeus C. 1761.** *Fauna Svecica sistens Animalia Sveciae Regni Mammalia, Aves, Amphibia, Pisces,*
2003 *Insecta, Vermes. Distributa per Classes & Ordines, Genera & Species, cum Differentiis Specierum,*
2004 *Synonymis Auctorum, Nominibus Incolarum, Locis Natalium Descriptionibus Insectorum. Editio*
2005 *altera*. Stockholm: Laurentius Salvus.
- 2006 **Lucas SG, Bray ES, Emry RJ, Hirsch KF. 2012.** Dinour eggshell and the Cretaceous-Paleogene
2007 boundary in the Zaysan Basin, Eastern Kazakstan. *Journal of Stratigraphy* **36 (2)**:417–435.
- 2008 **Lychev GF. 1990.** Use of relative highth of the tooth crown of castorids as a indicator of geological
2009 time. In: Tleuberdina PA, Kojamkulova BS, Rajushkina GS, eds. *Vertebrate fauna and flora of the*
2010 *Mesozoic and Cenozoic of Kazakhstan*, vol. 11. Alma-Ata: Nauka, 54–60.
- 2011 **Lymberakis P, Poulakakis N, Manthou G, Tsigenopoulos CS, Magoulas A, Mylonas M. 2007.**
2012 Mitochondrial phylogeography of *Rana (Pelophylax)* populations in the Eastern Mediterranean
2013 region. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **44 (1)**:115–125.
- 2014 **Malakhov DV. 2003.** The earliest known record of *Mioproteus* (Caudata; Proteidae) from the
2015 Middle Miocene of Central Kazakhstan. *Biota* **4 (1-2)**:67–72.

- 2016 **Malakhov DV. 2004.** Toads (Anura, Bufonidae) from the Middle Miocene in the Turgay Depression
2017 (Central Kazakhstan). *Biota* **5 (1-2)**:41–46.
- 2018 **Malakhov DV. 2005.** The early Miocene herpetofauna of Ayakoz (Eastern Kazakhstan). *Biota* **6 (1-**
2019 **2)**:29–35.
- 2020 **Malakhov DV. 2009.** Fossil amphibians and reptiles from Cenozoic of Kazakhstan: state of art and
2021 new materials. *Transactions of the Institute of Zoology MES RK* **50**:25–34.
- 2022 **Miklas PM. 2002.** Die Amphibienfauna (Amphibia: Caudata, Anura) der obermiozänen Fundstelle
2023 Götzendorf an der Leitha (südliches Wiener Becken, Niederösterreich). *Annalen des*
2024 *Naturhistorischen Museums in Wien* **103A**:161–211.
- 2025 **Młynarski M, Szyndlar Z, Estes R, Sanchíz B. 1982.** Lower vertebrate fauna from the Miocene of
2026 Opole (Poland). *Estudios geológicos* **38**:103–119.
- 2027 **Müller MJ, Hennings D. 2000.** The Global Climate Data Atlas on CD-Rom. Flensburg and Köln.
- 2028 **Nikitina N, Ananjeva NB. 2009.** Characteristics of dentition in gekkonid lizards of the genus
2029 *Teratoscincus* and other Gekkota (Sauria, Reptilia). *Biology Bulletin* **36 (2)**:193–198.
- 2030 **Nikitina NG. 2009.** Peculiarities of skull morphology and skin of geckos (Reptilia: Sauria: Gekkota)
2031 and their phylogenetic relevance, Zoological Institute of RAS.
- 2032 **Nilsson S. 1842.** *Skandinavisk herpetologi eller beskrifning öfver de sköldpaddor, ödlor, ormar och*
2033 *grodor, som förekomma i Sverige och Norrige, jemte deras lefnadssätt, födoämnen, nytta och skada*
2034 *m.m.* Lund: Tryckt uti Borlingska Boktryckeriet.
- 2035 **Nokariya H. 1983.** Comparative osteology of Japanese frogs and toads for paleontological studies
2036 (I): *Bufo, Hyla, Microhyla* and *Bombina*. *Bulletin of Natural Science Museum, Series C* **9 (1)**:23–40.
- 2037 **Oken L. 1816.** *Lehrbuch der Naturgeschichte*. Leipzig, Jena: Reclam.
- 2038 **Oppel M. 1811.** *Die Ordnungen, Familien und Gattungen der Reptilien als Prodrom einer*
2039 *Naturgeschichte derselben*. München: Joseph Lindauer.
- 2040 **Pabijan M, Wandycz A, Hofman S, Węcek K, Piwczyński M, Szymura JM. 2013.** Complete
2041 mitochondrial genomes resolve phylogenetic relationships within *Bombina* (Anura:
2042 Bombinatoridae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **69 (1)**:63–74.
- 2043 **Pomel A. 1853.** *Catalogue méthodique et descriptif des vertébrés fossiles découverts dans le bassin*
2044 *hydrographique supérieur de la Loire*. Paris: J. B. Baillières.
- 2045 **Rafinesque CS. 1815.** *Analyse de Nature, ou Tableau de l'Univers et des Corps Organisés*. Palermo:
2046 Jean Barravecchia.
- 2047 **Rage J-C. 1976.** Les Squamates du Miocène de Béni Mellal, Maroc. *Géologie méditerranéenne* **3**
2048 **(2)**:57–70.
- 2049 **Rage J-C. 2003.** Oldest Bufonidae (Amphibia, Anura) from the Old World: a bufonid from the
2050 Paleocene of France. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **23 (2)**:462–463.
- 2051 **Rage J-C, Hossini S. 2000.** Les Amphibiens du Miocène moyen de Sansan. *Mémoires du Muséum*
2052 *national d'histoire naturelle* **183**:177–217.
- 2053 **Ratnikov VY. 1997.** Tailless amphibians and lanscape settings of the Late Cenozoic in Western
2054 Transbaikalia. *Geologiya i geofizika* **39 (9)**:1458–1464.
- 2055 **Ratnikov VY. 2001.** Pliocene anurans of East-European platform. *Russian Journal of Herpetology* **8**
2056 **(3)**:171–178.
- 2057 **Ratnikov VY. 2002.** New find of amphibians and reptiles in type localities of Muchkapiian, upper
2058 Don Basin. *Bulletin of Voronezh State University: Geology* **(1)**:73–79.

2059 **Ratnikov VY. 2010.** A review of tailed Amphibian remains from Late Cenozoic sediments of the
2060 East European plain. *Russian Journal of Herpetology* **17 (1)**:59–66.

2061 **Ratnikov VY, Litvinchuk SN. 2009.** Atlant vertebrae of tailed amphibians of Russia and adjacent
2062 countries. *Russian Journal of Herpetology* **19 (1)**:57–68.

2063 **Ravkin YS, Bogomolova IN, Chesnokova SV. 2010.** Amphibian and reptile biogeographic regions
2064 of Northern Eurasia, mapped separately. *Contemporary Problems of Ecology* **3 (5)**:562–571.

2065 **Ravkin YS, Yudkin VA, Tsybulin SM, Kuranova VN, Borisovich OB, Bulakhova NA, Patrakov SV,**
2066 **Shamgunova RR. 2008.** Spatial-typological structure and mapping of reptile population of West
2067 Siberia. *Contemporary Problems of Ecology* **1 (2)**:214–220.

2068 **Recuero E, Canestrelli D, Vörös J, Szabó K, Poyarkov NA, Arntzen JW, Crnobrnja-Isailovic J,**
2069 **Kidov AA, Cogălniceanu D, Caputo FP, Nascetti G, Martínez-Solano I. 2012.** Multilocus
2070 species tree analyses resolve the radiation of the widespread *Bufo bufo* species group (Anura,
2071 Bufonidae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **62 (1)**:71–86.

2072 **Reichenbacher B, Böhme M, Heissig K, Prieto J, Kossler A. 2004.** New approach to assess
2073 biostratigraphy, palaeoecology and past climate in the South German Molasse Basin during the
2074 Early Miocene (Ottangian, Karpatian). *Courier Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg* **249**:71–89.

2075 **Reichenbacher B, Krijgsman W, Lataster Y, Pippèrr M, Baak CC, Chang L, Kälin D, Jost J,**
2076 **Doppler G, Jung D, Prieto J, Abdul Aziz H, Böhme M, Garnish J, Kirscher U, Bachtadse V.**
2077 **2013.** A new magnetostratigraphic framework for the Lower Miocene (Burdigalian/Ottangian,
2078 Karpatian) in the North Alpine Foreland Basin. *Swiss Journal of Geosciences* **106 (2)**:309–334.

2079 **Roček Z. 1984.** Lizards (Reptili: Sauria) from the Lower Miocene locality Dolnice (Bohemia,
2080 Czechoslovakia). *Řada matematických a přírodních věd* **94 (1)**:4–69.

2081 **Roček Z. 2005.** Late Miocene Amphibia from Rudabánya. *Palaeontographia Italica* **90**:11–29.

2082 **Roček Z, Wuttke M, Gardner J, Singh Bhullar B-A. 2014.** The Euro-American genus *Eopelobates*,
2083 and a re-definition of the family Pelobatidae (Amphibia, Anura). *Palaeobiodiversity and*
2084 *Palaeoenvironments* **94 (4)**:529–567.

2085 **Sanchíz B, Schleich H. 1986.** Erstnachweis der Gattung *Bombina* (Amphibia: Anura) im
2086 Untermiozän Deutschlands. *Mitteilungen der Bayerischen Staatssammlung für Paläontologie und*
2087 *historische Geologie* **26**:41–44.

2088 **Sanchíz B. 1998a.** *Salientia*. München: Verlag Dr. Friedrich Pfeil.

2089 **Sanchíz B. 1998b.** Vertebrates from the Early Miocene lignite deposits of the opencast mine
2090 Oberdorf (Western Styrian Basin, Austria). *Annalen des Naturhistorischen Museums in Wien*
2091 **99A**:13–29.

2092 **Sanchíz B, Młynarski M. 1979.** Remarks on the Fossil Anurans from the Polish Neogene. *Acta*
2093 *zoologica cracoviensia* **24 (3)**:153–174.

2094 **Sanchíz B, Schleich H-H. 1986.** Erstnachweis der Gattung *Bombina* (Amphibia: Anura) im
2095 Untermiozän Deutschlands. *Mitteilungen der Bayerischen Staatssammlung für Paläontologie und*
2096 *historische Geologie* **26**:41–44.

2097 **Sanchíz B, Schleich H-H, Esteban M. 1993.** Water frogs (Ranidae) from the Oligocene of Germany.
2098 *Journal of Herpetology* **27 (4)**:486–489.

2099 **Savage JM.** The geographic distribution of frogs: patterns and predictions. In: *Val (Ed.) 1973 –*
2100 *Evolutionary biology of the anurans*, 351–445.

2101 **Scopoli GA. 1777.** *Introductio ad historiam naturalem, sistens genera lapidum, plantarum et*
 2102 *animalium hactenus detecta, characteribus essentialibus donata, in tribus divisa, subinde ad leges*
 2103 *naturae.* Prague: Apud Wolfgangum Gerle.

2104 **Shpanskiy AV. 2008.** Peculiarities of the development of the hipparion fauna of the Pavlodar
 2105 Priirtyshe. In: Tleuberdina PA, Erzhanov NT, Zykin VS, eds.: Pavlodarian State University, 92–96.

2106 **Stöck M, Dubey S, Klütsch C, Litvinchuk SN, Scheidt U, Perrin N. 2008a.** Mitochondrial and
 2107 nuclear phylogeny of circum-Mediterranean tree frogs from the *Hyla arborea* group. *Molecular*
 2108 *Phylogenetics and Evolution* **49** (3):1019–1024.

2109 **Stöck M, Moritz C, Hickerson M, Frynta D, Dujsebayaeva T, Eremchenko V, Macey JR, Papenfuss**
 2110 **TJ, Wake DB. 2006.** Evolution of mitochondrial relationships and biogeography of Palearctic
 2111 green toads (*Bufo viridis* subgroup) with insights in their genomic plasticity. *Molecular*
 2112 *Phylogenetics and Evolution* **41** (3):663–689.

2113 **Stöck M, Sicilia A, Belfiore N, Buckley D, Lo Brutto S, Lo Valvo M, Arculeo M. 2008b.** Post-
 2114 Messinian evolutionary relationships across the Sicilian channel: Mitochondrial and nuclear
 2115 markers link a new green toad from Sicily to African relatives. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **8** (1).

2116 **Syromyatnikova EV. 2014.** The first record of *Salamandrella* (Caudata: Hynobiidae) from the
 2117 Neogene of Russia. *Russian Journal of Herpetology* **21** (3):217–220.

2118 **Tempfer PM. 2005.** The herpetofauna (Amphibia: Caudata, Anura; Reptilia: Scleroglossa) of the
 2119 Upper Miocene locality Kohfidisch, Burgenland, Austria, Wien, Universität.

2120 **Tleuberdina PA, ed. 1993.** *Faunistic and floristic complexes of Mesozoic and Cenozoic of Kazakhstan.*
 2121 Almaty: Baspager.

2122 **Tleuberdina PA, Kozhamkulova GS, Kondratenko BS. 1989.** *Catalogue of the Cenozoic mammals*
 2123 *from Kazakhstan.* Alma-Ata: Nauka Kazakhian SSR.

2124 **Tleuberdina PA, Volkova VS, Lushczaeva TT, Lychev GF, Pita OM, Tjutkova LA, Chkhikvadze**
 2125 **VM. 1993.** Vertebrate fauna of Kalkaman (Pavlodar Priirtyshe). In: Tleuberdina PA, ed. *Faunistic*
 2126 *and floristic complexes of Mesozoic and Cenozoic of Kazakhstan*, vol. 12. Almaty: Baspager, 132–
 2127 157.

2128 **Tschudi Jjv. 1838.** *Classification der Batrachier, Mit Berücksichtigung der fossilen Thiere dieser*
 2129 *Abtheilung der Reptilien.* Neuchâtel: Petitpierre.

2130 **Vangengeim EA, Pevzner MA, Tesakov AS. 2005.** Ruscian and Lower Villafranchian: age of
 2131 boundaries and position in magnetochronological scale. *Stratigraphy and Geological Correlation*
 2132 **13** (5):530–546.

2133 **Vasilyan D, Böhme M, Chkhikvadze VM, Semenov YA, Joyce WG. 2013.** A new giant salamander
 2134 (Urodela, Pancryptobrancha) from the Miocene of Eastern Europe (Grytsiv, Ukraine). *Journal of*
 2135 *Vertebrate Paleontology* **33** (2):301–318.

2136 **Vasilyan D, Böhme M, Klembara J. 2016.** First record of fossil *Ophisaurus* (Anguimorpha,
 2137 Anguinae) in Asia (Russia and Kazakhstan). *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*:1–6.

2138 **Veith M, Kosuch J, Vences M. 2003.** Climatic oscillations triggered post-Messinian speciation of
 2139 Western Palearctic brown frogs (Amphibia, Ranidae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **26**
 2140 (2):310–327.

2141 **Venczel M. 1999a.** Fossil land salamanders (Caudata, Hynobiidae) from the Carpathian basin:
 2142 relation between extinct and extant genera. *Acta Palaeontologica Romaniae* **2**:489–492.

2143 **Venczel M. 1999b.** Land salamanders of the family Hynobiidae from the Neogene and Quaternary
2144 of Europe. *Amphibia-Reptilia* **20**:401–412.

2145 **Venczel M. 2000.** Amphibians from the Lower Pleistocene Betfia 9 locality (Bihar country,
2146 Romania). *Satu Mare - Studii și comunicări, seria științele naturale* **1**:28–37.

2147 **Venczel M. 2001.** Anurans and squamates from the Lower Pliocene (MN 14) Osztramos 1 locality
2148 (Northern Hungary). *Palaeontologica Hungarica* **19**:79–90.

2149 **Venczel M. 2004.** Middle Miocene anurans from the Carpathian Basin. *Palaeontographica Abt. A*
2150 **271**:151–174.

2151 **Venczel M. 2008.** A new salamandrid amphibian from the Middle Miocene of Hungary and its
2152 phylogenetic relationships. *Journal of Systematic Palaeontology* **6** (1):41–59.

2153 **Venczel M, Codrea V, Fărcaș C. 2012.** A new palaeobatrachid frog from the early Oligocene of
2154 Suceag, Romania. *Journal of Systematic Palaeontology* **11** (2):179–189.

2155 **Venczel M, Gardner JD, Codrea VA, Csiki-Sava Z, Vasile Ș, Solomon AA. 2016.** New insights into
2156 Europe's most diverse Late Cretaceous anuran assemblage from the Maastrichtian of western
2157 Romania. *Palaeobiodiversity and Palaeoenvironments* **96** (1):61–95.

2158 **Venczel M, Hír J. 2013.** Amphibians and Squamates from the Miocene of Felsőtárkány Basin, N-
2159 Hungary. *Palaeontographica Abteilung A* **300** (1-6):117–147.

2160 **Vergnaud-Grazzini C, Młynarski M. 1969.** Position systématique du genre *Pliobatrachus* Fejérváry
2161 1917. *Comptes Rendus hebdomadaires des Séances de l'Académie des Sciences, Série D: Sciences*
2162 *naturelles* **268**:2399–2402.

2163 **Villa A, Roček Z, Tschopp E, Van den Hoek Ostende, Lars W., Delfino M. 2016.** *Palaeobatrachus*
2164 *eurydices*, sp. nov. (Amphibia, Anura), the last western European palaeobatrachid. *Journal of*
2165 *Vertebrate Paleontology*:e1211664.

2166 **Volkova VS. 1984.** Changes in the palinofloras of Siberia in the late Cenozoic. In: Volkova VS,
2167 Kul'kova LA, eds. *Environment and life at the boundaries of Cenozoic epochs in Siberia and Far*
2168 *East*. Novosibirsk: Nauka, 54–69.

2169 **Wagler JG. 1830.** *Natürliches System der Amphibien, mit vorangehender Classification der*
2170 *Säugethiere und Vögel. Ein Beitrag zur vergleichenden Zoologie*. München, Stuttgart, Tübingen:
2171 J.G. Cotta.

2172 **Wuttke M, Přikryl T, Ratnikov VY, Dvořák Z, Roček Z. 2012.** Generic diversity and distributional
2173 dynamics of the Palaeobatrachidae (Amphibia: Anura). *Palaeobiodiversity and*
2174 *Palaeoenvironments* **92** (3):367–395.

2175 **Zykin VS. 1979.** *Stratigraphy and unionids of the Pliocene of southern part of Western Siberian plain*.
2176 Novosibirsk: Nauka.

2177 **Zykin VS. 2012.** *Stratigraphy and evolution of environments and climate during Late Cenozoic in the*
2178 *Southern West Siberia*. Novosibirsk: Geo.

2179 **Zykin VS, Zazhigin VS. 2004.** A new biostratigraphic level of the Pliocene in Western Siberia and
2180 the age of the Lower-Middle Miocene stratotype of the Beshcheul Horizon. *Doklady Earth*
2181 *Sciences* **398** (7):904–907.

2182 **Zykin VS, Zazhigin VS. 2008.** On the Neogene stratigraphy of Pavlodarian Priirtyshya. In:
2183 Tleuberdina PA, Erzhanov NT, Zykin VS, eds.: Pavlodarian State University, 15–21.

2184 **Zykin VS, Zykina VS, Zazhigin VS. 2007.** Issues in separating and correlating Pliocene and
2185 Quaternary sediments of Southerwestern Siberia. *Archeology, Ethnology & Anthropology of*
2186 *Eurasia* **30 (2)**:24–40.
2187
2188