

Effects of adult aging on word encoding in Chinese reading: evidence from disappearing text

Zhifang L Liu, Yun P Pan, Wen T Tong

The effect of aging on the process of word encoding for fixated words and words presented to the right of the fixation point during reading of sentences in Chinese was investigated with two disappearing text experiments. The results of Experiment 1 showed that the 40-ms onset disappearance of word n did not disrupt young adults' reading performance. However, for old readers, the disappearance of word n caused disruptions until the onset time was 120 ms. The results of Experiment 2 showed that the disappearance of word $n+1$ did not cause disruptions to young adults, but these conditions made old readers spend more time reading a sentence compared to the normal display condition. These results indicated a reliable aging effect on the process of word encoding when reading Chinese, and that the encoding process in the preview frame was more susceptible to normal aging compared to that in the fixation frame. We supposed that both sensory and cognitive factors are important contributors to these age-related differences.

1 Zhi-fang Liu¹, Yun Pan², Wen Tong³,

2 1, Department of Psychology, Ningbo University, Ningbo, China;

3 2, Department of Psychology, Guizhou Normal University, Guiyang, China;

4 3. Department of Psychology, Shanxi Normal University, Linfen, China)

5 Corresponding author : Zhi-fang Liu. Email: lzhf2008@163.com.

6 Effects of adult aging on word encoding in reading Chinese: 7 evidence from disappearing text

8 **Abstract:** The effect of aging on the process of word encoding for fixated words and words
9 presented to the right of the fixation point during reading of sentences in Chinese was
10 investigated with two disappearing text experiments. The results of Experiment 1 showed that
11 the 40-ms onset disappearance of word n did not disrupt young adults' reading performance.
12 However, for old readers, the disappearance of word n caused disruptions until the onset time
13 was 120 ms. The results of Experiment 2 showed that the disappearance of word $n+1$ did not
14 cause disruptions to young adults, but these conditions made old readers spend more time
15 reading a sentence compared to the normal display condition. These results indicated a reliable
16 aging effect on the process of word encoding when reading Chinese, and that the encoding
17 process in the preview frame was more susceptible to normal aging compared to that in the
18 fixation frame. We supposed that both sensory and cognitive factors are important contributors to
19 these age-related differences.

20 **Key words:** Chinese reading, disappearing text, words encoding, aging, eye movements

21 INTRODUCTION

22 Reading is an important practiced skill for daily life. This skill is acquired in early life and
23 remains remarkably stable in adult age. However, many studies have recently revealed some
24 subtle age differences in reading, that is, old adults spend more time to comprehend texts, make
25 longer fixations, and more regressions than young adults (Kemper, Crow & Kemtes,
26 2004; Kliegl, Grabner, Rolfs, Engbert, 2004; Stine-Morrow, Miller, & Hertzog, 2006; Rayner,
27 Reichle, Stroud, Williams, Pollatsek, 2006; Laubrock, Kliegl, & Engbert, 2006; Rayner,
28 Castelhana & Yang, 2009). Optical change and changes in neural transmission that occur with
29 normal aging lead old adults to often experience a range of subtle visual deficits (Owsley, 2011),
30 which may have a large contribution to their reading decline. Rayner et al (2006, 2009) proposed
31 that these age-related differences are widely attributed to the sensory and cognitive decline
32 associated with normal aging. However, the nature of this decline has not yet to be fully
33 determined. Of particular importance for the present study is that the aging effects on word
34 encoding may be related to both the changes in visual sensory abilities and the cognitive decline
35 associated with normal aging. In this study, we explored the effect of aging on the time needed
36 for encoding words both in foveal and parafoveal vision (i.e., word n and $n+1$) during reading of
37 Chinese text, by comparing the reading performance of young and old adults when they read
38 disappearing text.

39 The disappearing text paradigm, in which the fixated word n or word $n+1$ disappears after a
40 certain fixation duration (i.e., 40, 60, or 80 ms), has been the most effective method to resolve
41 the issue mentioned above. With this paradigm, researchers have found that most of the visual

42 information of the fixated word necessary for reading can be acquired within the initial 50–60 ms
43 during a fixation for young English readers, which means that young readers are able to encode
44 all the needed visual information of a fixated word within this time frame (Rayner, Inhoff,
45 Morrison, Slowiaczek, & Bertera, 1981; Liversedge et al., 2004; Blythe, Liversedge, Joseph,
46 White, & Rayner. 2009; Blythe, Hăikiš, Bertam, Liversedge, & Hyňá, 2011). Maybe the most
47 striking result was that even when the word disappeared after a fixation of 60 ms, a robust
48 frequency effect on the target words remained, which meant that word processing influenced eye
49 movements in reading (Rayner, Liversedge, White, & Vergilino-Perez, 2003). Rayner,
50 Liversedge, and White (2006) also investigated the visual encoding on word n+1 with
51 disappearance experiments, and found that the disappearance of word n+1 after word n was
52 fixated for 60 ms greatly impaired reading, which indicated not only the importance of visual
53 coding for word n+1, but also that the time needed for encoding words in parafoveal vision is
54 longer than for words in foveal vision when reading English.

55 It was found that clinical impairments are common in the old population, and these
56 impairments have profound effects on reading performance (Paterson, McGowan, & Jordan,
57 2013). Further evidence has also revealed that reading performance decreases significantly with
58 age even in people whose visual acuity is good (Lott, Schneck, Haegerström-Portnoy, Brabyn, &
59 Gildengorin, 2001). Thus, it is safe to hypothesize that old adults may need more time to encode
60 words during reading; of course, this notion needs to be tested. Previous research with the
61 disappearing text paradigm has revealed that for old readers, the disappearance of the fixated
62 word caused relatively greater reading slowdown compared to young adults, even in the 60-ms
63 onset disappearing conditions. However, due to the absence of an interaction between age group
64 and disappearing onset when the control condition was removed, the authors argued that the
65 effect of disappearing onset is comparable in old and young readers (Rayner, Yang, Castelano,
66 & Liversedge, 2010). This is informative for revealing the age effect on visual word encoding in
67 the foveal region phrase during reading. However, it is also important to explore the aging
68 pattern of word encoding in parafoveal vision, as preview processing of words is common both
69 for young and adult readers (Rayner et al., 2009; Rayner, Castelano, & Yang, 2010). However,
70 research on this topic is still rare.

71 Encoding words of alphabetic languages during reading involves the discrimination of one-
72 dimensional, linear combinations of letters or phonological units, and then encapsulate them into
73 more permanent representations (Reichle, Liversedge, Pollatsek, & Rayner, 2009). As mentioned
74 above, with the disappearing text paradigm, researchers have extensively investigated the time
75 needed for encapsulating words in foveal and parafoveal phrases (Rayner et al., 1981, 2003,
76 2006; Liversedge et al., 2004) and its developmental and aging issues (Blythe et al., 2009, 2011;
77 Rayner et al., 2010). However, in contrast to the well-documented effects of disappearing text
78 and the effects of aging on reading English, empirical studies concerning disappearing text in
79 reading Chinese have been relatively sparse and are still in their infancy. Only one study using
80 the disappearing text paradigm was found in which visual information of the fixated word

81 necessary for sentence comprehension can be acquired within the initial 50–60 ms, which
82 indicated that young adult Chinese readers could encapsulate the fixated word as quickly as
83 young adult English readers (Liu, Zhang, & Zhao, 2011). As far as we know, there has been no
84 research resolving the issue of the aging effect on word encoding in reading Chinese. In view of
85 the two substantial differences between these two script types, findings from alphabetic scripts
86 (such as English) on word encoding in reading cannot be directly extended to reading Chinese.

87 Firstly, Chinese writing is logographic whose written style is completely different from that of
88 alphabetic text. Evidence has shown that the processes underlying lexical identification of
89 Chinese words are very different from those of alphabetic languages (Zhou, & Marslen-Wilson,
90 2000). The prevalent assumption has been that Chinese lexical identification is a form-to-
91 meaning process with little involvement of phonology; therefore, it appears that orthography
92 dominates over phonology, and that orthographic encoding is a core process in Chinese word
93 identification (Perfetti, Liu, & Tan, 2005). Zhang et al. (2012) posited that Chinese is a more
94 thoroughly visual language compared to alphabetic scripts, and thus emphasized the role of
95 visual processing in word recognition. Secondly, Chinese is a language with no spaces to
96 separate words in Chinese text, and texts written in Chinese are formed by strings of box-like
97 symbols (i.e., characters). Although series of studies conducted by Bai and colleagues have
98 suggested the importance of words (opposed to characters) for reading and learning Chinese,
99 which are the same as for alphabetic languages (Bai, Yan, Liversedge, Zang, & Rayner, 2008;
100 Blythe et al., 2012; Shen et al., 2012; Bai et al., 2013), character processing is the necessary
101 stage for multi-character word recognition (Li, Rayner, & Cave, 2009; Shen, & Li, 2012; Li,
102 Bicknell, Liu, Wei, & Rayner, 2014). Thus, these two characteristics of Chinese text may
103 increase the aging effect on the time needed for visual encoding of words during reading.

104 Thus, although previous studies have shown that young adult Chinese readers need about the
105 same time to encode the fixated word as readers of alphabetic languages, it does not mean that
106 both kinds of languages share the same pattern of normal aging in word encoding during reading.
107 Here, we further examined aging effects on the time needed for encoding not only the fixated
108 word (word n) but also the word to the right of fixation (word $n+1$) when reading Chinese by two
109 disappearing text experiments, respectively. The first experiment was conducted to explore aging
110 effects on encoding word n , in which the onset time of the word n disappearance was
111 manipulated. In the second experiment, the same onset time of word $n+1$ disappearance was
112 manipulated, and by doing this, we intended to clarify the aging effects on encoding word $n+1$
113 and compare it to the aging effects on encoding word n . The onset time of disappearance
114 manipulations in both experiments were 40, 80, 120, and 160 ms. The logic of both experiments
115 was straightforward. If the onset time were not sufficient for encoding before the word
116 disappeared, normal reading performance would be impaired under this onset time of
117 disappearing text. Moreover, if young and old adults had different requirements on the time
118 needed for encoding the words to read normally, these differences should be revealed by the
119 effectiveness of each onset time of disappearing manipulation at sustaining normal reading
120 performance in each age group.

121 GENERAL METHOD

122 Participants

123 Sixty adults from the University of Ningbo and local community participated in the
124 experiments. Of these, 15 young adults ($M = 20.5$ years, range 18–22 years) and 15 old adults
125 ($M = 66.7$ years, range 60–73 years) participated in Experiment 1, and another 15 young adults
126 ($M = 20.4$ years, range 18–22 years) and 15 old adults ($M = 66.8$ years, range 60–73 years)
127 participated in Experiment 2. The young and old adult groups did not differ in number of years
128 of schooling (15.8 years for the young adults and 15.4 years for the old readers). All participants
129 were right-handed with normal or corrected-to-normal vision. They were all native Chinese
130 speakers and were paid ¥30 for participation. None of them was aware of the purpose of the
131 experiment or had previously participated in other similar experiments.

132 Apparatus

133 The participants' movements of the right eye were recorded with an Eye Link 1000 device
134 manufactured by SR Research Ltd. The eye tracker is an infrared video-based tracking system,
135 and its camera samples the pupil location and pupil size at a rate of 1000 Hz. The sentence
136 stimuli were presented on a 19-inch DELL monitor with a 1024×768 pixel resolution. The
137 refresh rate of the screen was 75 Hz. The sentences were displayed in Song font, and the size of
138 each Chinese character was 28×28 pixels subtending approximately 0.63 deg of visual angle.
139 The distance between the participant and screen was 75 cm.

140 Stimuli

141 Eighty sentences were used as stimuli in the experiments. These sentences all contained 7 or 8
142 two-character words. Ten college students, who did not participate in the experiments, were
143 asked to rate the difficulty of these sentences on a 7-point scale (e.g., a score of 7 was “very
144 difficult”). The resulting mean difficulty score was 1.65. Each sentence was shown in one of five
145 display conditions. All eighty sentences were randomized and sampled using a Latin square, so
146 that each participant saw sixteen sentences shown in each of the five display conditions. By
147 doing this, we wanted to ensure that all sentences were shown equally often in the five display
148 conditions and prevent repetition of any sentence for each participant. Sentences were shown to
149 each participant in a randomized order across the two sessions.

150 There were 12 additional sentences (five of which had questions) for practice in the first
151 session. The second session was the formal experiment. To confirm that participants were
152 reading the sentences carefully, there were 27 filler sentences that were randomly inserted
153 throughout the block. A Yes/No comprehension question was presented at the end of each filler
154 sentence, and the participants were asked to answer the questions by manually pressing the
155 buttons.

156 Procedure

157 Participants were tested individually. Before the experiment, they were informed that they

158 would read sentences presented in different disappearing manners. The participants were
159 instructed to read and understand the sentences and were asked to push a button box to terminate
160 the current display when a sentence was completed. A comprehension task occasionally
161 appeared after a sentence, and the participants were asked to answer a Yes/No question by
162 pressing different buttons. A chin rest was inserted to ensure that the participants' heads
163 remained still. A calibration procedure was executed prior to the beginning of the experiment in
164 which the participant was instructed to look at each of 3 fixation points, extending in a horizontal
165 line across the center of the screen. Once the eye tracker had been calibrated with satisfactory
166 accuracy (mean error less than 0.5°) the sentence was presented. The calibration was checked
167 after each trial, and the eye tracker was re-calibrated whenever necessary. The experiment was
168 approximately 25 minutes in length.

169 **EXPERIMENT 1**

170 **Design**

171 The experiment followed a 2 (group: young adults vs. old adults) × 5 (fixated word
172 disappearing onset: no disappearing, 40 ms, 80 ms, 120 ms, 160 ms) mixed design. The former
173 variable was a between-subjects factor and the later was a within-subjects factor. That is, both
174 groups of participants read the Chinese sentences in a normal condition (control) and four
175 experimental conditions in which the word *n* disappeared after the designated interval (40, 80,
176 120, or 160 ms). An immediate re-fixation on word *n* did not result in its reappearance until the
177 reader made an eye movement to a new word in disappearing manipulations. Fig. 1 shows an
178 example of the disappearing manipulations in detail; when the reader fixated on word “垃圾,”
179 which was word *n*, it disappeared after 40, 80, 120, or 160 ms, and was not presented until the
180 reader made an eye movement to a new word. When the reader made an eye movement and
181 fixated on word “通道,” the disappeared word “垃圾” reappeared; and, after 40, 80, 120, or 160
182 ms, “通道” disappeared (note: the sentences all contained 7 or 8 two-character words).

183

Figure 1 insert here

184 Note that the refresh rate of the screen can affect the precise timing of a display. The
185 disappearing text manipulations were initiated when the eye fixated on word *n*. The refresh rate
186 of the screen was 75 Hz in the present study, therefore, in each disappearing condition, there was
187 a potential additional 15 ms of delay before the words disappeared after the eye moved to word
188 *n*, thus the actually onset time of disappearing manipulations in both of the two experiments
189 (Experiment 1 & 2) was 55, 95, 135 or 175 ms.

190 **Results and Discussion**

191 The mean accuracy of all participants for the 27 comprehension sentences exceeded 80%, and
192 there were no significant effects of display condition and age group ($F_s < 1.2$, $p_s > 0.10$).
193 Namely, the comprehension levels were high in all situations, which indicated that participants
194 read and fully understood the sentences. All eye movement data above or below three standard

195 deviations from the mean were excluded; as a result, 3.7% of the data in total were removed
196 prior to conducting the analyses. A repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) on the eye
197 movement data was carried out for the display manipulations with 5 levels and the two age
198 groups.

199 For the study purpose, one word-independent measure, reading time, which was the most
200 important measure for the study with the disappearing text paradigm (Blythe, Liversedge, Joseph,
201 White, & Rayner, 2009), and three word-dependent measures, such as mean gaze duration (mean
202 of gaze duration on all the words in a sentence), probability of words skipped in the initial pass
203 when reading a sentence, and regression numbers (number of saccades made from right to left
204 word) were analyzed as supplementary data. The reason to adopt reading time measure as the
205 main reference independent variable is that readers may trade off fixation duration and number
206 during disappearing text reading (Rayner et al., 1981; Liversedge et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2011),
207 which in turn could lead to word-independent measures not being sensitive to the word encoding
208 process. All the data are summarized in Table 1.

209

Table 1 insert here

210 **Reading time** yielded a significant main effect of age group; old adults spent more time
211 reading a sentence than young adults, $F(1,28)=7.583$, $p<0.05$, $\eta^2=0.213$. Reading time also
212 varied across the disappearing onset conditions, $F(4,112)=7.349$, $p<0.05$, $\eta^2=0.21$, with the 40-
213 ms and 80-ms disappearing onset conditions being significantly different from the control ($ps <$
214 0.05). The interaction between the two variables was reliable, $F(4,112)=2.817$, $p<0.05$, $\eta^2=0.091$,
215 which was due to the significant main effect of disappearing onset for old adults, $F(4,56)=8.509$,
216 $p<0.001$, $\eta^2=0.365$, but this effect was not reliable for the young adults, $F(4,56)=0.997$, $p=0.417$.
217 Pairwise comparisons for the old adults showed that the 40-ms and 80-ms disappearing onset
218 conditions differed from the control, with the shorter disappearing onset producing longer
219 reading times ($ps < 0.05$); however, there were no significant differences between the 120-ms
220 and 160-ms onset and the control ($ps > 0.05$). When we removed the no-disappearing condition
221 from the analyses and conducted a 2 (group: young adults vs. old adults) \times 4 (disappearing onset:
222 40 ms, 80 ms, 120 ms, 160 ms) ANOVA, we still found a reliable interaction between the two
223 variables, $F(3,84)=3.113$, $p<0.05$, $\eta^2=0.1$, which meant that the influence of the disappearing
224 onset variable on reading time was greater for old adults than for young adults.

225 The most important aspect of the sentence reading time data is that old adults were much more
226 disrupted by the disappearance of the fixated word than were the young adults, and that the more
227 immediate disappearing onset was more disruptive to text processing. In particular, we found
228 that the disappearance of word *n* influenced reading in the young and aged groups differently,
229 that is, none of the fixated word disappearing conditions interrupted the reading time in the
230 young adults. Thus, the results from this experiment replicated prior findings both in English and
231 Chinese (Rayner et al., 1981; Liversedge et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2011). However, for old adults,
232 the disappearance of word *n* prolonged the reading time until the disappearing onset was 135 ms

233 (120 ms disappearing onset). That is, the period needed for visual encoding of the fixated word is
234 135 ms or so for old adults, which is inconsistent with findings on reading English, which found
235 no interaction effect between age and disappearing onset, and let the authors conclude that the
236 disappearing onset was comparable in old and young English readers (Rayner et al., 2010).

237 **Mean gaze duration** is shown in Table 1. There was a marginally reliable group effect,
238 $F(1,28)=3.59$, $p=0.069$, $\eta^2=0.114$. The disappearing onset effect was not significant,
239 $F(4,112)=1.575$, $p=0.186$. However, the interaction effect between these two factors was
240 significant, $F(4,112)=4.326$, $p<0.05$, $\eta^2=0.134$. We also explored the essence of this interaction
241 and found that young adults gazed longer in the 40-ms and 80-ms disappearing onset conditions
242 than in the control condition ($ps < 0.05$), whereas the old adults gazed for a shorter time in the
243 40-ms disappearing onset conditions of word n than in the control and other disappearing
244 conditions ($ps < 0.05$).

245 **Probability of skipped words** is also shown in Table 1. There was a marginally reliable
246 group effect, $F(1,28)=3.818$, $p=0.06$, $\eta^2=0.12$, with young adults skipping words more often than
247 the aged persons. The main effect of disappearing onset was also reliable, $F(4,112)=3.002$,
248 $p<0.05$, $\eta^2=0.097$, and there was a reliable interaction between the two factors, $F(4,112)=2.425$,
249 $p<0.05$, $\eta^2=0.08$. We explored the essence of this interaction and found that there was a main
250 effect of disappearing onset for the aged group, $F(4, 56)=5.627$, $p<0.05$, $\eta^2=0.287$, that is, old
251 people skipped words more often when reading disappearing text than when reading the control,
252 with the short disappearing onset yielding the highest skip probability. The main effect of
253 disappearing onset was not reliable for the young adults, $F(4,56)=0.108$, $p=0.979$.

254 **Number of regressions** is also shown in Table 1. The group effect was significant,
255 $F(1,28)=7.714$, $p<0.05$, $\eta^2=0.217$, which was due to old adults being more inclined to make
256 regressions during reading than young adults. The main effect of disappearing onset was
257 significant, $F(4,112)=24.061$, $p<0.05$, $\eta^2=0.462$. The interaction was also reliable,
258 $F(4,112)=9.215$, $p<0.05$, $\eta^2=0.248$, and sample analyses confirmed that the disappearing onset
259 effect was not reliable for young adults, $F(4,56)=2.708$, $p=0.10$; but for old adults, the
260 disappearing onset effects was reliable, $F(4,56)=31.694$, $p<0.001$, $\eta^2=0.694$. Pairwise
261 comparisons for old group showed that all the disappearing conditions differed from the control
262 condition, with shorter disappearing onset producing more regressions ($ps < 0.05$); however,
263 there was no significant difference between the 120-ms and 160-ms disappearing onset ($p >$
264 0.05), which mimicked the reading-time data generally (see Table 1).

265 The three word-dependent eye movement measures provided additional evidence for the
266 effects of normal aging on the time needed for visual encoding of fixated words. In particular,
267 young and old adults adopted a completely different oculomotor strategy to read disappearing
268 text. As seen from measures of mean gaze duration, skip probability, and regression number (see
269 Table 1), young adults gazed at words of interest longer, although they skipped more words and
270 made slightly more regressions during disappearing text reading, but the disappearing onset
271 effects on these two measures were not reliable. The higher skip probability traded off the longer
272 mean gaze duration, which in turn blunted the negative influence of the disappearing

273 manipulations on sentence reading time. In contrast, for old readers, the mean gaze duration was
274 shorter in the disappearing conditions than in the control; old adults also made more skips and
275 regressions during disappearing text reading, which led to longer sentence reading time. The
276 differences of both measures between the control and disappearing conditions were reliable.
277 These findings confirmed that young adults can complete the process of encoding the fixated
278 words in all disappearing text conditions, but old readers need more time to encode it.

279 EXPERIMENT 2

280 Design

281 This experiment also employed a 2 (group: young adults vs. old adults) \times 5 (word n+1
282 disappearing onset: no disappearing, 40 ms, 80 ms, 120 ms, 160 ms) mixed design. The former
283 variable was a between-subjects factor, and the later was a within-subjects factor. That is, both
284 groups of participants read the Chinese sentences in a normal condition (control) and four
285 experimental conditions in which the word n+1 disappeared after word n was fixated for 40, 80,
286 120, or 160 ms in which an immediate re-fixation on word n did not result in the re-appearance
287 of word n+1 until the reader made an eye movement to a new word. Fig. 2 shows an example of
288 the disappearing manipulations in detail; when the reader fixated on word “垃圾,” which was
289 word n, the word “通道,” which was word n+1, disappeared after 40 ms, 80 ms, 120 ms, or 160
290 ms and was not presented again until the reader made an eye movement to a new word. When
291 the reader made an eye movement and fixated on word “通道,” the disappeared word reappeared,
292 and after 40 ms, 80 ms, 120 ms, or 160 ms, “需要” disappeared.

293 **Figure 2 insert here**

294 Results and Discussion

295 The mean accuracy of all participants for the 27 comprehension sentences exceeded 80%. An
296 ANOVA of mean accuracy was also carried out for the disappearance treatment with 5 levels
297 and the 2 age groups. There were no reliable effects for the disappearance conditions and age
298 groups ($F_s < 1.2$, $p_s > 0.10$). All eye movement data above or below three standard deviations
299 from the mean were excluded, and 2.9% of the data in total were removed prior to conducting
300 the analyses. The independent measures are summarized in Table 2.

301 **Table 2 insert here**

302 **Reading time** showed a reliable age group effect, old adults required more time than young
303 adults, $F(1,28)=34.371$, $p<0.001$, $\eta^2=0.551$. The reading time varied across the disappearing
304 onset conditions, $F(4,112)=24.914$, $p<0.001$, $\eta^2=0.471$. The interaction was also reliable,
305 $F(4,112)=16.36$, $p<0.001$, $\eta^2=0.369$, this was due to a reliable main effect of disappearing onset for
306 old adults, $F(4,56)=25.389$, $p<0.001$, $\eta^2=0.645$, which was not evident for young adults,
307 $F(4,56)=1.258$, $p=0.297$. Pairwise comparisons on aged adults showed that all of the

308 disappearing conditions prolonged the total reading time compared to the control ($ps < 0.05$), and
309 the 40-ms disappearing onset condition had a stronger effect than any of the other disappearing
310 conditions ($ps < 0.05$). When the no-disappearing condition was removed from the analyses,
311 there was still a reliable interaction between the two variables, $F(3,84)=6.580$, $p<0.05$, $\eta^2=0.19$,
312 which meant that the disappearing onset variable of word $n+1$ influenced reading time of old
313 adults more than that of young adults.

314 The aging effect on the process of encoding word $n+1$ in reading Chinese was explored in this
315 experiment. It was again confirmed that old adults were disrupted much more by the
316 disappearance manipulations than were the young adults, and that the more immediate
317 disappearing onset was more disruptive to processing. As described in the previous paragraph,
318 none of the word $n+1$ disappearance manipulations impaired the normal reading performance of
319 young adults. However, for the old adults, the disappearing manipulations prolonged sentence
320 reading time, with the shortest disappearing onset time (40 ms) causing the greatest interruptions.
321 The results of the young adults are inconsistent with previous studies in English, which indicated
322 that the 60-ms onset time disappearance of word $n+1$ impaired young readers' normal reading
323 performance (Rayner et al., 2006). The results on old adults indicated that it becomes more
324 difficult to encode word $n+1$ within the limited time, and even 175 ms might not be sufficient
325 (the 160 ms onset time of word $m+$ disappearing condition still impair the old adults' reading
326 performances). Thus, these data indicated that old adults' reading suffered more seriously when
327 encoding word $n+1$ than when encoding the fixated word.

328 **Mean gaze duration** is shown in Table 2. There was a reliable group effect, $F(1,28)=21.030$,
329 $p<0.01$, $\eta^2=0.429$, and a reliable disappearing onset effect $F(4,112)=6.424$, $p<0.001$, $\eta^2=0.187$,
330 showing that the mean gaze duration was longer for aged adult readers than for young adult
331 readers, and that all the disappearing manipulations were more effective than the no-disappearing
332 condition ($ps < 0.05$). However, the interaction effect between the two factors was not significant,
333 $F(4,112)=1.374$, $p>0.05$.

334 **Probability of skipped words** is also shown in Table 2. There was a reliable group effect,
335 $F(1,28)=24.323$, $p<0.001$, $\eta^2=0.465$, showing that young adults skipped more words than old
336 adults when reading. The disappearing onset effect was also reliable, $F(4,112)=18.033$, $p<0.001$,
337 $\eta^2=0.392$; pairwise comparisons showed that the probability of skipped words in all disappearing
338 conditions was higher than in the control ($ps < 0.05$). The probability in the 160-ms onset
339 disappearing condition was lower than in the other disappearing conditions ($ps<0.05$). The
340 interaction was not reliable, $F(4,112)=0.368$, $p=0.831$.

341 **Number of regressions.** Old adults were more inclined to make regressions during reading
342 than young adults, $F(1,28)=19.243$, $p<0.01$, $\eta^2=0.407$. The main effect of disappearing onset was
343 significant, $F(4,112)=18.18$, $p<0.001$, $\eta^2=0.394$. The interaction was also reliable,
344 $F(4,112)=17.226$, $p<0.05$, $\eta^2=0.38$. Pairwise comparisons on aged adults showed that differences
345 between any two disappearing conditions were reliable ($ps < 0.05$), except the difference
346 between the 80-ms and 120-ms disappearing onset conditions. However, there were no

347 differences between any of the disappearing onset conditions for the young adults ($p_s > 0.05$).

348 The word-dependent eye movement measures provided additional evidence to support the
349 conclusions made from the reading time data. It was found that readers also adopted a strategy of
350 trading off gaze duration, regressions, and skips to read the sentences treated by the word n+1
351 disappearing manipulations. As seen in Table 2, young adults adopted a totally different
352 oculomotor strategy to read word n+1 disappearing text compared to the old readers. In
353 particular, the disappearance of word n+1 affected the mean gaze duration for young adults and
354 affected the probability of skipped words equally for both young and old adults. The longer mean
355 gaze duration during the word n+1 disappearing manipulations were traded off with a higher skip
356 probability for both groups, that is, when reading word n+1 disappearing text, readers were
357 inclined to fixate fewer words with longer reading time. It is quite likely that the trade-off
358 between fixation number and time occurred similarly in this experiment, which led to longer
359 gaze duration in the disappearing text reading for both groups; however, the reduced preview
360 caused by the word n+1 disappearing text may have also contributed to the prolonged gaze
361 duration of old readers. The measure of regression number further revealed the group differences.
362 It is crucial to illustrate the differences between young and old adults in reading time and
363 regressions, as seen from Table 2; none of the disappearance onsets of word n+1 brought on
364 more regressions for the young adults but brought on more regression for old adults.

365 **COMPARISON BETWEEN EXPERIMENTS**

366 It should be pointed out that across the two experiments, the control conditions differed very
367 little and any variability could be due to the between-participant manipulation, since different
368 subjects participated in the two experiments. In order to better understand the age-related
369 differences in performance when reading Chinese, a series of independent-sample t-tests were
370 conducted to compare the differences between young and old adults in the no-disappearing
371 conditions from the two experiments. As evident from these analyses, when reading the text
372 under normal display conditions, old adults took more time than young adults (young adults:
373 $M=2501$ ms, old adults: $M=3501$ ms, $p<0.01$), had longer gaze duration (young adults: $M=258$
374 ms, old adults: $M=331$ ms, $p<0.01$), and marginally more regressions than young adults (young
375 adults: $M=1.6$, old adults: $M=2.0$, $p=0.062$), which is consistent with results from researches on
376 the aging of alphabetic script reading (Kemper et al., 2004; Kliegl et al., 2004; Rayner et al.,
377 2006, 2009). However, old readers skipped fewer words than young adults (young adults:
378 $M=23.3\%$, old adults: $M=10.6\%$, $p<0.01$). This finding differs from aging effects on reading
379 English, which suggests that compared to old English readers (Rayner et al., 2009), old Chinese
380 adults adopted a more cautious oculomotor strategy when reading Chinese, and they were more
381 inclined to look at the words one-by-one during reading. These findings are valuable to
382 understand the aging effects on word encoding when reading Chinese.

383 As seen from the comparison of the two experiments, the time needed to encode word n+1
384 was equal to that of word n for young adults. Given that none of the disappearing manipulations
385 interrupted the reading performance of young adults, and in order to better understand the

386 difference of aging effects on word encoding between words in foveal and parafoveal locations,
387 a 2 (disappearing treatment: word n disappearing vs. word n+1 disappearing) \times 5 (disappearing
388 onset: no disappearing, 40 ms, 80 ms, 120 ms, 160 ms) ANOVA was carried on reading time,
389 and showed a reliable interaction between disappearing treatment and onset time,
390 $F(4,112)=10.037$, $p<0.05$, $\eta^2=0.264$, which indicated that the disappearance of word n+1
391 impaired old adults' reading performance more seriously than the disappearance of word n. Thus,
392 this indicated that the aging effect on encoding word n+1 was larger than that on encoding word
393 n.

394 GENERAL DISCUSSION

395 As due to optical change and changes in neural transmission that occur with normal aging
396 (Owsley, 2011), old adults often experience a rang of subtle visual deficits which may together
397 with other cognitive factors impaired the words encoding during reading. With two disappearing
398 text experiments, the aging effect on word n and n+1 encoding was investigated during reading
399 Chinese. We further compared aging effects between these two disappearing treatments. Given
400 that readers may trade off fixation duration and fixation number when reading disappearing text
401 (Rayner et al., 2003; Liversedge et al., 2004), it could make the word-independent measures
402 insensitive to the process of encoding words; therefore, sentence reading time was used as the
403 most reliable measure to monitor the words encoding process (Blythe et al., 2009), and three
404 word-dependent eye movement measures were further used as supplementary measures for age-
405 related changes in the process of encoding words.

406 The differences in time needed for encoding word n between young adults and old adults
407 during reading were investigated in Experiment 1. For reading time, as seen from the results and
408 discussion section for Experiment 1, young adults can encode the visual information of a fixated
409 word within 55-ms display time (40-ms onset disappearance of word n did not disrupt young
410 adults' reading performance), which is generally consistent with prior research both for reading
411 English and Chinese, suggesting that young adults can read fairly well when they see the fixated
412 word for about 55 ms before it disappears (Rayner et al., 2003; Liversedge et al., 2004).
413 However, the results of the old readers showed that the disappearance of word n prolonged the
414 reading time until the onset time reached 120 ms, which was not consistent with that of old
415 English readers (Rayner et al., 2010). Actually, Rayner et al. (2010) concluded that the effect of
416 disappearing onset was comparable in old and young readers based on the lack of interaction
417 between age group and disappearing onset when the control condition was removed. However,
418 as seen from the results and discussion sections of Experiment 1, this interaction was still
419 significant when the control condition was removed, which indeed indicated that old Chinese
420 readers needed more time than young adults to encode the visual information of word n. Thus, it
421 is reasonable to conclude that there was aging effect on the process of encoding word in the
422 fixation phrase when reading Chinese.

423 The analyses on eye movement measures showed that the two groups adopted completely
424 different oculomotor strategies when reading the word n disappearing text, which may indicate

425 two groups adopted different word processing strategy when reading disappearing text. In
426 particular, the disappearance of fixated words prolonged young adults' gaze on words, which
427 was traded off by the higher skip probability compared to the normal display condition. However,
428 the same manipulations led old adults to gaze at words for shorter times in the first pass of
429 reading and led to more skips and regressions. The disappearing treatment by itself along with
430 the increasing disappearing onset affected the reading time data and suggested that it is
431 insufficient for old adults to visually encode the fixated word within 95-ms display of the visual
432 information (80-ms onset disappearance of word n still disrupted old adults' reading
433 performance), so that they abandon the recognition of the disappeared word (word n).
434 Comparing the results of this experiment to those obtained by Rayner and colleagues (Rayner et
435 al., 2010), it is obvious that aging has a more serious impact on encoding fixated words when
436 reading Chinese. We suppose that visual sensory decline of aging together with two possible
437 cognitive reasons contribute to this difference. The first is that Chinese readers may rely on
438 preview process more than English readers. As seen from the second experiment, young Chinese
439 readers can encode the characters in foveal and parafoveal vision parallel. The second reason is
440 that word identification of Chinese text is a form-to-meaning process with more emphasis on
441 visual processing (Zhou, & Marslen-Wilson, 2000; Perfetti et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2012).
442 Further research is therefore needed on these issues of course.

443 The second experiment was conducted to explore the aging effects on the process of encoding
444 word $n+1$. With the disappearing text paradigm, Rayner et al. (2006) found that the 60-ms onset
445 disappearance of word $n+1$ impaired reading English equally to its immediate disappearance (0-
446 ms onset). According to Pollatsek et al. (2006), attention plays a key role in encapsulating the
447 visual information into a more permanent representation. By this account, 60 ms were sufficient
448 for encoding the fixated word, but not to identify the fixated word and then shift attention to
449 word $n+1$ in time for it to be encoded. Thus, this result is inconsistent with the attention gradient
450 model, which assumes that multiple words are encoded in parallel because, if attention is
451 allocated to both word n and word $n+1$, there should be sufficient time to convert the visual
452 information of both words into an orthographic code, enabling lexical processing of either word
453 to continue without disruption after they disappear (Reichle et al., 2009). However, as seen from
454 the results and discussion section for Experiment 2, none of the word $n+1$ disappearing
455 conditions disrupted young Chinese adults' reading time, which is also shown in Table 2. Word
456 skipping probability was traded off with longer mean gaze duration in the disappearing
457 manipulations compared with the control condition in young adults. Thus, the results indicated
458 that young adults could encode the visual information of both words into an orthographic code in
459 parallel at the character level, which is consistent with the notion that readers process characters
460 in parallel during reading Chinese (Li, et al., 2009).

461 Another exciting finding was that all the disappearance of word $n+1$ manipulations interrupted
462 old adults' reading performance, and that the shortest disappearing onset of word $n+1$ condition
463 interrupted sentence reading performance more seriously than the other disappearance
464 manipulations. The eye movement measures also revealed the aging effect on the process of

465 encoding word $n+1$. As seen from Table 2, old adults exhibited longer gaze duration than young
466 adults in the disappearing conditions and made more regressions when reading disappearing texts
467 than when reading the control condition sentences. Thus, both the word-independent and word-
468 dependent variables in the second experiment revealed that 175 ms were not sufficient to encode
469 word $n+1$ for old readers (as seen from the results and discussion secession of Experiment 2,
470 160-ms onset disappearance of word $n+1$ still disrupted old adults' reading performance).
471 Comparing the old adults' reading time measure in Experiment 1 to that in Experiment 2, it was
472 found that the same disappearing onset of word $n+1$ manipulation interrupted the reading more
473 seriously than its counterpart disappearing onset of word n condition, which meant that old
474 adults needed more time to encode word $n+1$ compared to word n . We propose that both sensory
475 and cognitive factors, which are not mutually exclusive, may contribute to this aging difference
476 effect between the words in the two locations relative to the fixation point.

477 The sensory factor may be the most important contributor to this aging effect between the
478 words in the two locations. In particular, we found that the time needed for encoding the word in
479 the fixation frame was approximately 135 ms; however, more time was needed to encode the
480 word in the preview frame. This finding is consistent with prior research, which has shown that
481 visual acuity decreases with normal aging disproportionately for peripheral vision relative to
482 regions that are closer to the fixation location (Cerella, 1985). Paterson, McGowan and Jordan
483 (2013) also found that old adults gradually lose the ability to process detailed visual information
484 both in foveal and parafoveal regions and rely much more than young adults on coarse-scale
485 components and a much wider region of text when reading. Given that the perceptual span and
486 the preview word segmentation in reading Chinese was mediated by visual factors, such as font
487 size of characters (Yan, Zhou, Shu, & Kliegl, 2015), the deficits in parafoveal vision may lead to
488 a smaller perceptual span for old readers. Thus, together with the previous finding, it is safe to
489 conclude that deficits in parafoveal vision might be an important reason for the lower preview
490 and text comprehension rate in old readers.

491 There are two possible cognitive reasons that might have caused the differences in time
492 needed between encoding words in the fixation frame and preview frame for the old group. The
493 first is related to attention. The lack of disruption from the manipulation of word $n+1$
494 disappearances for young adults indicated that this group could encode the visual information of
495 both words (word n and $n+1$) in parallel. As seen from the comparison across experiments,
496 however, old readers adopted a more conservative eye movement strategy to compensate for
497 their lower preview processing efficiency. Thus, the longest onset time of word $n+1$ disappearing
498 still impaired reading performance indicated that old readers of Chinese may serially identify the
499 words during reading. The second reason is related to factors that are specific for Chinese. As we
500 know, recognition of characters is necessary for the identification of multi-character words (Li et
501 al., 2009), and Chinese word identification involves a form-to-meaning processing with more
502 emphasis on visual processing (Zhou, & Marslen-Wilson, 2000; Perfetti et al., 2005; Zhang et
503 al., 2012). However, the recognition of Chinese multi-character word orthography is not
504 achieved until 200 ms after presentation of the word (Zhang et al., 2012). Thus, the aging factors

505 (i.e., perceptual speed and others) could increase the difficulty from character recognition to
506 multi-character word identification (Salthouse, 1994). Although further research is needed on
507 this issue, the current results demonstrate that as Chinese readers get old, they develop adaptive
508 shifts in oculomotor strategies to compensate for their poorer word encoding ability in preview
509 frames during reading.

510 **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS**

511 **Funding**

512 This material is sponsored by K.C. Wong Magna Fund in Ningbo University. This work was
513 also supported by Humanities and Social Science Project from Ministry of Education of China to
514 Zhi-fang Liu (Grant No. 15YJC190014). The funders had no role in study design, data collection
515 and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

516 **Author Contributions**

517 Zhi-fang Liu designed and performed the experiments, analysis the data, and wrote the paper.
518 Yun Pan performed the experiments and analysis the data. Wen Tong, designed the experiments
519 and wrote the paper.

520 **Human Ethics**

521 The data were anonymously analyzed. The subjects provided verbal and written informed
522 consent by signing a form to receive money for their participation. The current study was
523 approved by the ethics committee of the Department of Psychology of Ningbo University.

524 **REFERENCE**

- 525 Bai X, Liang F, Blythe H I, Zang C, Yan G, Liversedge S P. 2013. Interword spacing effects on the
526 acquisition of new vocabulary for readers of Chinese as a second language. *Journal of Research in Reading*
527 36: S4-S17 doi:10.1111/j.1467-9817.2013.01554.x
- 528 Bai X, Yan G, Liversedge S P, Zang C, Rayner, K. 2008. Reading spaced and unspaced Chinese text:
529 Evidence from eye movements. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*
530 34: 1277-1287 doi:10.1037/0096-1523.34.5.1277
- 531 Blythe H I, Liang F, Zang C, Wang J, Yan G, Bai X, & Liversedge S P. 2012. Inserting spaces into Chinese
532 text helps readers to learn new words: An eye movement study. *Journal of Memory and Language* 67: 241-
533 254 doi:10.1016/j.jml.2012.05.004
- 534 Blythe H I, Häikiö T, Bertam R, Liversedge S P, Hyövä J. 2011. Reading disappearing text: Why do children
535 refixate words. *Vision Research* 51, 84-92 doi:10.1016/j.visres.2010.10.003
- 536 Blythe H I, Liversedge S P, Joseph H S S L, White S J, Rayner K. 2009. Visual information capture during
537 fixations in reading for children and adults. *Vision Research* 49: 1593-1591 doi:10.1016/j.visres.2009.03.015
- 538 Cerella J. 1985. Age-related decline in extrafoveal letter perception. *Journal of Gerontology* 40: 727-736
- 539 Ishida T, Ikeda M. 1989. Temporal properties of information extraction in reading studied by a text-
540 replacement technique. *Journal of the Optical Society A: Optics and Image Science* 6: 1624-1632
541 doi: 10.1364/JOSAA.6.001624

- 542 Kemper S, Crow A, Kemtes K. 2004. Eye-fixation patterns of high and low-span young and older adults: down
543 the garden path and back. *Psychology and Aging* 19: 157 – 170 doi: 10.1037/0882-7974.19.1.157
- 544 Kliegl R, Grabner E, Rolfs M, Engbert R. 2004. Length, frequency, and predictability effects of words on eye
545 movements in reading. *European Journal of Cognitive Psychology* 16: 262 – 284 doi:
546 10.1080/09541440340000213
- 547 Laubrock J, Kliegl R, Engbert R. 2006. SWIFT explorations of age differences in eye movements during
548 reading. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* 30: 872-884 doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2006.06.013
- 549 Li X, Bicknell K, Liu P, Wei W, Rayner K. 2014. Reading is fundamentally similar across disparate writing
550 systems: A systematic characterization of how words and characters influence eye movements in Chinese
551 reading. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General* 143: 895-913 doi: 10.1037/a0033580.
- 552 Li X, Rayner K, Cave K P. 2009. On the segmentation of Chinese words during reading. *Cognitive Psychology*
553 58: 525-552 doi:10.1016/j.cogpsych.2009.02.003
- 554 Liversedge S P, Rayner K, White S J, Vergilino-Perez D, Findlay J M, Kentridge R W. 2004. Eye movements
555 when reading disappearing text: is there a gap effect in reading? *Vision Research* 44: 1013-1024 doi:
556 10.1016/j.visres.2003.12.002
- 557 Lott L A, Schneck M E, Haegerström-Portnoy G, Brabyn J A, Gildengorin G L. 2001. Reading performance in
558 older adults with good acuity. *Optometry & Vision Science*, 78: 316-324
- 559 Owsley C. 2011. Aging and vision. *Vision Research*, 51: 1610–1622 DOI 10.1016/j.visres.2010.10.020
- 560 Paterson K B, McGowan V A, Jordan T R. 2013. Effects of adult aging on reading filtered text: evidence from
561 eye movements. PeerJ 1:e63 doi: 10.7717/peerj.63
- 562 Perfetti C A, Liu Y, Tan L H. 2005. The lexical constituency model: Some implications of research on Chinese
563 for general theories of reading. *Psychological Review* 112: 43 – 59 doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.112.1.43
- 564 Pollatsek A, Reichle E D, Rayner K. 2006. Test of the E-Z Reader model: Exploring the interface between
565 cognition and eye movement control. *Cognitive Psychology* 52: 1-56 doi:10.1016/j.cogpsych.2005.06.001
- 566 Rayner K, Castelhana M S, Yang J. 2009. Eye movements and the perceptual span in older and younger
567 readers. *Psychology and Aging* 24: 755 – 760 doi: 10.1037/a0014300
- 568 Rayner K, Castelhana M S, Yang J. 2010. Preview benefit during eye fixations in reading for older and
569 younger readers. *Psychology and Aging* 25: 714 – 718 doi: 10.1037/a0019199
- 570 Rayner K, Inhoff A, Morrison R, Slowiaczek M L, Bertera J H. 1981. Masking of foveal and parafoveal vision
571 during eye fixations in reading. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*
572 7: 167-179 doi: org/10.1037/0096-1523.7.1.167
- 573 Rayner K, Liversedge S P, White S J, Vergilino-Perez D. 2003. Reading disappearing text: Cognitive control
574 of eye movements. *Psychological Science* 14: 385-388 doi: 10.1111/1467-9280.24483
- 575 Rayner K, Reichle E D, Stroud M J, Williams C C, Pollatsek A. 2006. The effects of word frequency, word
576 predictability, and font difficulty on the eye movements of young and elderly readers. *Psychology and*
577 *Aging* 21: 448 – 465 doi: 10.1037/0882-7974.21.3.448
- 578 Reichle E D, Liversedge S P, Pollatsek A, Rayner K. 2009. Encoding multiple words simultaneously in
579 reading is implausible. *Trend in Cognitive Sciences* 13: 115-119 doi:10.1016/j.tics.2008.12.002
- 580 Salthouse T A. 1994. The nature of the influence of speed on adult age differences in cognition.
581 *Developmental Psychology* 30: 240-259 doi: org/10.1037/0012-1649.30.2.240
- 582 Shen D, Liversedge S P, Tian J, Zang C, Cui L, Bai X, Yan G, Rayner K. 2012. Eye movements of second

- 583 language learners when reading spaced and unspaced Chinese text. *Journal of Experimental Psychology:*
584 *Applied* 18: 192-202 doi: 10.1037/a0027485.
- 585 Shen W, Li X S. 2012. The uniqueness of word superiority effect in Chinese reading (in Chinese). *Chinese*
586 *Science Bulletin (Chinese Version)* 57: 3414 - 3420.
- 587 Stine-Morrow E A L, Miller L M S, Herzog C. 2006. Aging and self-regulated language processing.
588 *Psychological Bulletin*, 132: 582 - 606 doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.132.4.582
- 589 Yan M, Zhou W, Shu H, Kliegl R. 2015. Perceptual span depends on font size during the reading of Chinese
590 sentences. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition* 41: 209 - 219 doi:
591 10.1037/a0038097
- 592 Zhang X X, Fang Z, Du Y C, Kong L. Y, Zhang Q, Xing Q. 2012. The centro-parietal N200: An event-related
593 potential component specific to Chinese visual word recognition. *Chinese Science Bulletin* 57: 1516-1532
594 doi: 10.1007/s11434-011-4932-y
- 595 Zhou X L, Marslen-Wilson W. 2000. The relative time course of semantic and phonological activation in
596 reading Chinese. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition* 26: 1245-1265
597 doi: 10.1037//0278-7393.26.5.1245

Figure 1 (on next page)

Figures

(a)	垃圾通道需要及时清理。 *	[beginning of fixation]
(b)	通道需要及时清理。 *	[after40/80/120/160ms]
(c)	垃圾通道需要及时清理。 *	[a new fixation]
(d)	垃圾 需要及时清理。 *	[after40/80/120/160ms]
(e)	垃圾 需要及时清理。 *	[An immediate re-fixation did't result word reappearance]

Fig.1 Examples of the word n disappearance conditions (note: the asterisk indicates a fixation location).

(a)	垃圾通道需要及时清理。 *	[beginning of fixation]
(b)	垃圾 需要及时清理。 *	[after40/80/120/160ms]
(c)	垃圾通道需要及时清理。 *	[a new fixation]
(d)	垃圾通道 及时清理。 *	[after40/80/120/160ms]
(e)	垃圾通道 及时清理。 *	[An immediate re-fixation did't result word reappearance]

Fig.2 Examples of the four word n+1 disappearance conditions (note: the asterisk indicates a fixation location).

Table 1 (on next page)

Tables

Table 1: The mean and standard deviations of the measures across conditions and age groups in Experiment 1.

		RT	MeanGazeDur	Pro.Skip(%)	NO.Reg
Young adults	Control	2654(816)	254(38)	24.9(15.4)	1.7(1.1)
	40 ms	2761(822)	273(46)	25.3(15.6)	2.1(1.1)
	80 ms	2738(848)	274(56)	25.0(15.1)	1.9(0.9)
	120 ms	2625(840)	268(51)	25.5(16.9)	1.8(1.0)
	160 ms	2728(813)	269(49)	25.5(17.3)	1.7(1.0)
Aged adults	Control	3334(1001)	312(71)	13.5(8.3)	2.1(0.9)
	40 ms	3815(819)	288(55)	18.1(8.8)	3.5(0.9)
	80 ms	3632(835)	310(61)	16.5(10.1)	3.0(1.0)
	120 ms	3389(816)	304(60)	15.4(10.2)	2.7(1.0)
	160 ms	3439(835)	308(68)	16.6(9.4)	2.6(1.0)

Note: The standard deviations are given in parentheses. Control, the normal display condition; RT, sentence reading time in millisecond; MeanGazeDur, mean gaze duration in milliseconds; Pro.Sikp, probability of words skipped in the initial pass reading; NO.Reg, number of regressions.

Table 2. The mean and standard deviations of the measures across conditions and age groups in Experiment 2.

		RT	MeanGazeDur	Pro.Skip(%)	NO.Reg
Young adults	Control	2347(640)	262(31)	21.1(9.4)	1.4(0.7)
	40 ms	2498(762)	293(57)	26.8(9.4)	1.5(0.8)
	80 ms	2475(723)	287(42)	26.8(9.2)	1.6(0.8)
	120 ms	2494(691)	284(42)	24.8(8.7)	1.5(0.8)
	160 ms	2460(700)	279(39)	24.7(8.5)	1.5(0.8)
Aged adults	Control	3669(1123)	351(108)	7.6(4.6)	1.9(0.9)
	40 ms	5195(1299)	378(67)	14.3 (4.7)	4.4(2.1)
	80 ms	4784(1440)	396(80)	14.0(6.0)	3.6(1.7)
	120 ms	4687(1331)	396(75)	13.0(6.5)	3.3(1.6)
	160 ms	4544(1214)	391(83)	12.8(6.1)	3.0(1.2)