

Influence of age and sex on winter site fidelity of sanderlings *Calidris alba* (#11188)

1

First submission

Please read the **Important notes** below, and the **Review guidance** on the next page.
When ready [submit online](#). The manuscript starts on page 3.

Important notes

Editor and deadline

Patricia Gandini / 7 Jul 2016

Files

1 Raw data file(s)

1 Other file(s)

Please visit the overview page to [download and review](#) the files not included in this review pdf.

Declarations

Involves vertebrate animals.



Please in full read before you begin

How to review

When ready [submit your review online](#). The review form is divided into 5 sections. Please consider these when composing your review:

1. BASIC REPORTING

2. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

3. VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS






4. General comments

5. Confidential notes to the editor

 You can also annotate this **pdf** and upload it as part of your review

To finish, enter your editorial recommendation (accept, revise or reject) and submit.





BASIC REPORTING

-  Clear, unambiguous, professional English language used throughout.
-  Intro & background to show context. Literature well referenced & relevant.
-  Structure conforms to [PeerJ standard](#), discipline norm, or improved for clarity.
-  Figures are relevant, high quality, well labelled & described.
-  Raw data supplied (See [PeerJ policy](#)).

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

-  Original primary research within [Scope of the journal](#).
-  Research question well defined, relevant & meaningful. It is stated how research fills an identified knowledge gap.
-  Rigorous investigation performed to a high technical & ethical standard.
-  Methods described with sufficient detail & information to replicate.

VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS

-  Impact and novelty not assessed. Negative/inconclusive results accepted. *Meaningful* replication encouraged where rationale & benefit to literature is clearly stated.
-  Data is robust, statistically sound, & controlled.
-  Conclusion well stated, linked to original research question & limited to supporting results.
-  Speculation is welcome, but should be identified as such.

The above is the editorial criteria summary. To view in full visit <https://peerj.com/about/editorial-criteria/>

Influence of age and sex on winter site fidelity of sanderlings *Calidris alba*

Pedro M Lourenço ^{Corresp., 1}, José A Alves ^{2,3}, Jeroen Reneerkens ⁴, Jelle Loonstra ⁴, Peter M Potts ⁵, José P Granadeiro ¹, Teresa Catry ¹

¹ Centro de Estudos do Ambiente e do Mar (CESAM), Departamento de Biologia Animal, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal

² Centro de Estudos do Ambiente e do Mar (CESAM), Universidade de Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal

³ South Iceland Research Centre, University of Iceland, Selfoss, Iceland

⁴ Conservation Ecology Group, Groningen Institute for Evolutionary Life Sciences (GELIFES), University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

⁵ Farlington Ringing Group, Southampton, United Kingdom

Corresponding Author: Pedro M Lourenço
Email address: p.m.g.lourenco@gmail.com

Many migratory bird species show high levels of site fidelity to their wintering sites, which confers advantages due to prior knowledge, but may also limit the ability of individual to move away from degrading sites or to detect alternative foraging opportunities. Winter site fidelity often varies among age groups, but sexual differences have seldom been recorded in birds.

We studied a population of individually colour-marked sanderlings wintering in and around the Tejo estuary, a large estuarine wetland on the western coast of Portugal. For 160 individuals, sighted a total of 1249 times between November 2009 and March 2013, we calculated the probability that they moved among five distinct wintering sites and how this probability is affected by distance between them. To compare site fidelity among age classes and sexes, as well as within the same winter and over multiple winters, we used a Site Fidelity Index (SFI). Birds were sexed using a discriminant function based on biometrics of a large set of molecularly sexed sanderlings ($n = 990$) with data from. The vast majority of birds were observed at one site only, and the probability of the few detected movements between sites was negatively correlated with the distance among each pair of sites. Hardly any movements were recorded over more than 15 km, suggesting small home ranges. SFI values indicated that juveniles were less site-faithful than adults which may reflect the accumulated knowledge and/or dominance of older animals. Among adults, females were significantly less site faithful than males. A sexual difference in winter site fidelity is unusual in shorebirds. SFI values show site-faithfulness is lower when multiple winters were considered, and most birds seem to chose a wintering site early in the season and use that site throughout the winter. Sanderlings show a very limited tendency to explore alternative wintering options, which might have implications

for their survival when facing habitat change or loss (e.g. like severe beach erosion as can be the case at one of the study sites).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

Influence of age and sex on winter site fidelity of sanderlings *Calidris alba*

Pedro M. Lourenço¹, José A. Alves^{2,3}, Jeroen Reneerkens⁴, Jelle Loonstra⁴, Pete M. Potts⁵, José P. Granadeiro¹ & Teresa Catry¹

¹Centro de Estudos do Ambiente e do Mar (CESAM), Departamento de Biologia Animal, Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal

²Centro de Estudos do Ambiente e do Mar (CESAM), Universidade de Aveiro, Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3180-193, Aveiro, Portugal

³South Iceland Research Centre, University of Iceland, Tryggvagata 36, IS-800 Selfoss, Iceland

⁴Conservation Ecology Group, Groningen Institute for Evolutionary Life Sciences (GELIFES), University of Groningen, P.O. Box 11103, 9700 CC Groningen, The Netherlands

⁵Peter M Potts (Farlington Ringing Group) c/o Solent Court Cottage, Chilling Lane, Warsash, Southampton, Hampshire, SO31 9HF, England, UK

Corresponding author:

P.M. Lourenço, email: p.m.g.lourenco@gmail.com, tel: (+351) 938468585

25
26

27 Many migratory bird species show high levels of site fidelity to their wintering sites, which confers
28 advantages due to prior knowledge, but may also limit the ability of individual to move away from
29 degrading sites or to detect alternative foraging opportunities. Winter site fidelity often varies
30 among age groups, but sexual differences have seldom been recorded in birds.

31 We studied a population of individually colour-marked sanderlings wintering in and around the Tejo
32 estuary, a large estuarine wetland on the western coast of Portugal. For 160 individuals, sighted a
33 total of 1249 times between November 2009 and March 2013, we calculated the probability that
34 they moved among five distinct wintering sites and how this probability is affected by distance
35 between them. To compare site fidelity among age classes and sexes, as well as within the same
36 winter and over multiple winters, we used a Site Fidelity Index (SFI). Birds were sexed using a
37 discriminant function based on biometrics of a large set of molecularly sexed sanderlings ($n = 990$)
38 with data from. The vast majority of birds were observed at one site only, and the probability of the
39 few detected movements between sites was negatively correlated with the distance among each pair
40 of sites. Hardly any movements were recorded over more than 15 km, suggesting small home
41 ranges. SFI values indicated that juveniles were less site-faithful than adults which may reflect the
42 accumulated knowledge and/or dominance of older animals. Among adults, females were
43 significantly less site faithful than males. A sexual difference in winter site fidelity is unusual in
44 shorebirds. SFI values show site-faithfulness is lower when multiple winters were considered, and
45 most birds seem to chose a wintering site early in the season and use that site throughout the winter.
46 Sanderlings show a very limited tendency to explore alternative wintering options, which might
47 have implications for their survival when facing habitat change or loss (e.g. like severe beach
48 erosion as can be the case at one of the study sites).

Although migratory birds are extremely mobile, they are often remarkably site-faithful to their breeding (e.g. Harvey et al. 1979; Jackson 1994), wintering (e.g. Burton & Evans 1997; Catry et al. 2003; Leyrer et al. 2006) and staging sites (Gudmundsson & Lindström 1992; Kruckenberg & Borbach-Jaene 2004, Loonstra et al. 2016), both within the same season and among years. Such high levels of site fidelity are likely to confer advantages related to prior ownership of territories, previous knowledge of foraging locations, potential nest sites and local predators, and maintenance of social position within local dominance structures (Greenwood & Harvey 1982; Alerstam 1990; Snell-Rood & Cristol 2005).

However, when confronted with a rapidly changing environment, the regular use of the same set of sites over the years may expose individuals to increasingly poorer conditions and habitats (Battin 2004), when a traditionally used site suffers negative changes over time (e.g. Porzig et al. 2014) e.g. through a limitation in the use of all available habitat (Matthiopoulos et al. 2005). Therefore, the ability of animals to disperse and/or sample new areas can be of critical importance for highly site faithful species, under the current fast pace of global environmental change. Unfortunately, evaluating the use of multiple sites over large areas by the same individuals requires intensive effort to repeatedly detect marked individuals, which hinders the possibility of expanding efforts over large areas and impedes the detection of dispersion over longer distances. **In addition, the**

traditionally low rate of movement among sites hinders the use of remote tracking techniques due to the need for very large sample sizes (e.g. Nathan et al. 2003; Hobson 2005).

Site fidelity may be influenced by age, as juvenile animals of most species exhibit dispersive and/or exploratory behaviours during which they search for places to ultimately settle (Clobert et al. 2001). However, few studies have focused on age effects in winter site fidelity in migratory birds, and the available studies have reported conflicting results in this issue. Juvenile western sandpipers *Calidris mauri* showed larger home ranges and weaker homing behaviour than adults in winter

(Warnock & Takekawa 1996; Baccetti et al. 1999), and younger white-fronted geese *Anser albifrons* were more likely to move among wintering sites than older birds (Wilson et al. 1991). However, there were no differences in winter site fidelity among different age groups of either pink-footed geese *Anser brachyrhynchus* (Fox et al. 1994) or American black ducks *Anas rubripes* (Diefenbach et al. 1988).

Sex can also influence site fidelity. Most research on site fidelity in migratory birds has focused on the breeding season, generally showing that males tend to be more site-faithful and disperse over shorter distances between years than females (Clarke et al. 1997, Gunnarsson et al. 2012). Such a pattern has been explained by the increased fitness benefits of prior knowledge of the local environment for the sex that establishes territories (Greenwood 1980; Gienapp & Merilä 2011).

Also, in both sexes site fidelity is often also influenced by previous breeding success (e.g. Haas, 1998; Vergara et al. 2006). This stronger territoriality and site fidelity in males observed in a reproductive context could lead to a similar tendency in the wintering areas, resulting in a higher predisposition for site-fidelity even in a gregarious (wintering) context. On the other hand, sexual dimorphism or behavioural differences between sexes may imply different ecological requirements during winter (e.g. Alves et al. 2013) which could also drive sexual differences in site fidelity if required resources are heterogeneous in space. However, sexual differences in winter site fidelity have seldom been recorded in birds, being mostly restricted to species that frequently move during winter following spatial variation in food resources or that have family group composition affect their winter distribution (e.g. Roberts & Cook 1999; Wunderle et al. 2014). Studies in highly site-faithful long distance migrants, such as waders, have not shown sexual differences thus far (e.g. Warnock & Takekawa 1996; Catry et al. 2012).

Over the years, waders have been the focus of many individual-based studies, providing a significant source of information regarding site fidelity and individual movements. When faced with short-term changes in habitat quality/availability, some waders seem to be able to respond by moving up to over 100 km to more favourable areas (e.g. Kirby & Lack 1993; Takekawa et al.



101 2002; Van Gils et al 2006, Lourenço et al. 2010), while others continue to use degraded/changed
 102 habitats (Connolly & Colwell 2005; Taft et al. 2008) apparently due to limited ability to increase or
 103 change home ranges (Taft et al. 2008). Understanding the level of site fidelity exhibited by
 104 individuals at a small spatial-scale (e.g. among sites within a single wetland) and, by opposition, the
 105 predisposition to disperse over increasing distances under stable conditions may provide some
 106 insights into the general ability of wader species to explore potential new areas or respond to short-
 107 term changes in their environment.

108 Sanderlings are common and widespread waders in coastal areas worldwide (Grond et al. 2015;
 109 Conklin et al. 2016). They are mainly associated with coarse sediment habitats, such as sandy
 110 beaches and sand or muddy-sand flats, which are particularly prone to quick changes through
 111 sediment migration and coastal erosion (Pethick 2001) and often affected by human disturbance
 112 (e.g. Burger 1991; Thomas et al. 2003). Sanderlings have been described as very site faithful and
 113 seem to have small home ranges at both wintering and staging areas (review in Reneerkens et al.
 114 2009). In this study we use a dataset of observations of colour-marked sanderlings wintering in and
 115 around a large estuarine wetland to compare the level of winter site fidelity, within a single winter
 116 and among multiple winters, between sexes and age groups, and estimating the probability of these
 117 birds naturally dispersing over increasing distances under relatively stable conditions.

120 **Materials and Methods**

122 Study area

123 Field work was carried out in the Tagus estuary, Portugal, one of the largest tidal wetlands in
 124 Western Europe, and comprised five sites known to harbour sanderlings during winter. Three of the
 125 sites are located within the estuarine area (Alcochete, Samouco and Seixal), and two are located on
 126 the oceanic coast near the mouth of the Tejo river (Caparica and Oeiras; Fig 1). The minimum

distance between a pair of sites is 3.1 km (Alcochete and Samouco), and the maximum is 29.8 km (Alcochete and Oeiras; Figs. 1). All study sites include foraging and roosting areas, the former located in the intertidal flats and the latter situated above the high water mark. In Alcochete birds also roost on a saltpan located near the beach, which was also routinely monitored during the study. All sites harbour sanderling flocks throughout the winter with average counts of 75-126 individuals per site (more details regarding the study area in Lourenço et al. 2015).

Study population and data analysis

A total of 374 sanderlings were captured and ringed with individual colour-ring combinations in all three sites within the Tejo estuary in five consecutive non-breeding seasons between 2008/9 and 2012/13. Some birds were caught during late August and thus could still have been passage migrants, but since the re-sighting data refers only to the wintering period, this will not affect our winter site fidelity analysis. At capture, all birds were aged based on plumage characteristics, being classified as either first winter (hereafter referred as juveniles) or adults. Biometric data (wing length and tarsus length measured to the nearest mm; bill length and total head length measured to the nearest 0.1 mm) were also collected for most individuals. The protocol was approved by the responsible ethical and legal authority, the Portuguese Institute of Nature Conservation and Forests (ICNF) and performed under official permits 385/2013/CAPT, 386/2013/CAPT and 387/2013/CAPT.

Sex of measured birds was determined based on a function derived from a generalised linear model (GLM) of biometric data from 990 molecularly sexed sanderlings captured in Mauritania and Ghana in a concurrent study (see appendix for details). Since juvenile sandpipers can have shorter wing lengths than adults (Yosef & Meissner 2006), which is also true for sanderlings captured in the Tejo estuary (juveniles: 125.0 ± 0.3 mm, $n=89$; adults: 127.1 ± 0.2 mm, $n=212$; $t_{299}=5.03$, $p<0.001$) we only sexed adult birds through this method as wing is a key biometric sexing parameter. The GLM correctly assigned sex of a large proportion of birds (84%; see appendix), but to minimize

the risk of incorrect assignments all birds with a sex assignment probability below 75% (i.e. $0.25 \leq P_{(\text{male})} \leq 0.75$; $n=24$) were excluded from the sex-related fidelity analysis. This resulted in 102 sexed birds, 64 males and 38 females.

From 2009/10 to 2012/13, during the sanderling wintering period (November-March), the study sites were visited frequently by us and many volunteer observers and the presence and identity of colour-marked birds was recorded. A total of 317 marked individuals were sighted, including 302 birds ringed locally and another 15 marked elsewhere (Greenland, Iceland and The Netherlands). The full dataset included 2358 sightings. Since the dataset includes many sightings obtained during haphazard visits of volunteers (21% of all individual sightings used in the analysis), we do not know whether the searching effort was similar for all sites, because volunteer observers will not have contacted us when they did not find a colour-ringed sanderling during their visits. We also suspect that the sites outside the estuary are likely to have been visited less often than the sites located within the estuary. For the same reason, sightings are not evenly spread over each winter and over different winters (Table 1).

In order to minimize the risk of having incorrect readings affecting our analyses, we limited our analysis to include birds that had been sighted a minimum of three times in a given area/year which resulted in 160 individuals (36 juveniles and 124 adults) for which we had a total of 1249 sightings (Table 1). For 101 of these individuals we had data over multiple years (65 seen in 2 winters, 28 seen in three winters and 8 seen in 4 winters). For each study site we calculated the proportion of individuals that were recorded only locally, and the proportion also recorded in other sites, both within a single winter (using the average of all four winters) and over multiple winters. To evaluate how the probability of dispersal varies with distance we used Generalized Linear Mixed-effects Models (GLMM) with logit link functions to relate a binomial variable indicating whether an individual sighted at a given site was later seen at each of the other sites, or only seen at the first site (movement distance = 0 km), to the distance among each pair of sites. Individual was used as a random factor in the GLMMs. This analysis was performed to assess inter-annual site fidelity (i.e.

179 data from multiple winters), using all available sightings of each individual, and also intra-annual
 180 site fidelity in which case we used data available for each individual each year and used year as
 181 covariate. The GLMM analysis were performed using package lme4 v1.1-11 in R (R Core Team
 182 2014).

183 In order to test differences in site fidelity between sexes and age classes, as well as differences in
 184 the site fidelity within a single winter and over multiple winters we calculated a site fidelity index
 185 (SFI) described by Catry et al. (2012). This index takes into account the number of sites used, the
 186 number of observed changes between sites and the total number of sightings for each individual:

187

$$SFI = 1 - \left[\left(\frac{n_i - 1}{n - 1} \right) \times \left(\frac{p_i}{o_i - 1} \right) \right]$$

188

189 where n_i is the number of sites used by individual i , n is the total number of sites surveyed, p_i is the
 190 observed number of changes between sites performed by individual i and o_i is the total number of
 191 sightings of individual i . SFI ranges from zero (no site fidelity) to one (complete site fidelity). For
 192 each individual, SFI values were calculated within each winter (intra-annual SFI) and for all winters
 193 combined (inter-annual SFI).

194 To investigate sex differences in site fidelity, we compared both intra-annual and inter-annual
 195 SFI values for males and females. For birds with multiple winters of data we used the average intra-
 196 annual SFI, and in both cases we used Mann-Whitney tests to compare SFI scores. Two approaches
 197 were used to compare site fidelity among age classes. One compared the intra-annual SFI values for
 198 juvenile and adult birds for the winter when each individual was ringed/aged, through a Mann-
 199 Whitney test; the other used only birds ringed as juveniles and sighted over multiple winters, in
 200 which case we made a pair-wise comparison of intra-annual SFI values calculated for each juvenile
 201 in their first winter and in the subsequent winter (when adult) with the most sightings of that
 202 particular individual, using a Wilcoxon matched pairs test.

203 Finally, in order to compare site fidelity within the same winter and over multiple winters, we

made a pair-wise comparison of intra and inter-annual SFI values for all adult birds sighted over multiple years using a Wilcoxon matched pairs test. There could be some biases when comparing inter-annual SFI of individuals with different numbers of sightings in each winter, but this problem would only affect comparisons among individuals and not pair-wise comparisons for each individual. Note that birds ringed as juveniles were excluded from this analysis because the tests described above showed that sanderlings are less site faithful in their first winter (see below). Data are presented as average \pm SE.



Results

The majority of individuals ($93.6 \pm 0.7\%$, $n=4$ winters with an average 73 ± 25 individuals/winter) were always sighted at the same site within a given winter (Fig. 1). The same pattern was observed for multiple winters (Fig. 1) but the proportion of birds that were only observed at a single site decreased with the number of winters considered, with 81.5% ($n=65$) for 2 winters, 67.9% ($n=28$) for 3 winters and 62.5% ($n=8$) for 4 winters. Bird movements were more likely to occur between sites in close proximity, namely between Alcochete and Samouco, between Samouco and Seixal and between Seixal and Caparica (Fig. 1).

In fact, the GLMMs indicated that the probability of movement was negatively affected by distance, with lower probabilities of dispersal between sites further away both within one winter ($\beta = -0.609 \pm 0.071$, $z = 8.61$, $p < 0.001$) and over multiple winters ($\beta = -0.278 \pm 0.026$, $z = 10.57$, $p < 0.011$; Fig. 2). In the analysis for a single winter the covariable ‘year’ had no effect on the probability of movement ($z = 0.45$, $p > 0.5$). In fact, there was only a single case of dispersal between sites located at a distance of over 20 km. No marked birds were ever detected at Oeiras even though the site was frequently used by non-marked sanderlings.

Females exhibited significantly lower intra-annual SFI values than males (intra-annual $SFI_{\text{male}} = 0.999 \pm 0.001$, $n = 64$; intra-annual $SFI_{\text{female}} = 0.991 \pm 0.005$, $n = 38$; $Z = 2.15$, $p < 0.05$; Fig. 3A),

230 while there was no significant difference in inter-annual SFI values between sexes (inter-annual
 231 $SFI_{\text{male}}=0.989\pm0.004$, $n=42$; inter-annual $SFI_{\text{female}}=0.979\pm0.007$, $n=31$; $Z=1.40$, $p>0.1$; Fig. 3B).
 232 Juvenile birds had significantly lower intra-annual SFI values than adults in the winter when they
 233 were ringed/aged (intra-annual $SFI_{\text{adult}}=0.997\pm0.003$, $n=126$; intra-annual $SFI_{\text{juvenile}}=0.975\pm0.009$,
 234 $n=34$; $Z=3.56$, $p<0.001$; Fig. 3C). The intra-annual SFI values of birds ringed as juveniles increased
 235 in subsequent winters (intra-annual $SFI_{\text{first winter}}=0.977\pm0.009$, $n=24$; intra-annual $SFI_{\text{subsequent}}$
 236 $winter=0.995\pm0.005$, $n=24$; $Z=1.99$, $p<0.05$; Fig. 3D). For adult birds, inter-annual SFI values were
 237 significantly lower than intra-annual SFI values (intra-annual $SFI=0.995\pm0.003$, $n=101$; inter-
 238 annual $SFI=0.985\pm0.004$, $n=101$; $Z=2.59$, $p<0.01$; Fig. 3E).

239

240 Discussion

241 Despite some movements among sites, our data show high levels of short-scale winter site
 242 fidelity in sanderlings wintering in the Tejo estuary region, especially if we consider that the study
 243 sites are located in close proximity, with a minimum distance of just 3.1 km between sites. Such
 244 high level of site fidelity is remarkable for a long-distance migrant accustomed to fly thousands of
 245 kilometres, and which disperses over a huge latitudinal range during the winter, but it is in line with
 246 previous studies both on sanderlings (Evans et al. 1980; Myers et al. 1990; Gudmundsson &
 247 Lindström 1992) and other waders (e.g. Burton & Evans 1997; Leyrer et al. 2006; Catry et al.
 248 2012).

249 However, sanderlings do visit other sites and, after four winters, roughly one third of all birds
 250 had already been sighted in at least two sites. The very high intra-annual SFI values and the
 251 significantly lower inter-annual SFI values suggest that movements rarely occur during winter, but
 252 rather that birds may change wintering location between winters. These data also support the idea
 253 that movements are probably not influenced by stress caused by our catching events. Although we
 254 did not have the statistical power to analyse how the probability of movement changes over the
 255 course of the winter, the majority of sanderlings seem to select a wintering site early after arrival

256 from their High Arctic breeding areas and remain faithful to that site over the rest of the winter.

257 When sanderlings' wintering sites have reached **their carrying capacity**, individuals were forced to
 258 use other non-preferred sites (Ntiamoa-Baidu et al. 2014). Hence, the first arriving birds in autumn
 259 are more likely to return to previously used winter sites. Given that juveniles arrive later in the
 260 wintering areas than adults (e.g. Lemke et al. 2012), the juveniles would be more prone to be forced
 261 to non-preferred sites.

262 Both age and sex influenced the level of site fidelity of sanderlings. However, since we did not
 263 sex juveniles, we cannot determine if there is any interaction between these two variables. The
 264 lower site fidelity exhibited by juveniles seems to be in line with evidence from other avian species
 265 where younger birds show larger home ranges (Warnock & Takekawa 1996) and are more likely to
 266 switch roosting and feeding locations (Rehfishch et al. 1996) during winter. **In fact, an experimental**
 267 **study involving displacement of dunlins *Calidris alpina* showed that site fidelity of juveniles seems**
 268 **to increase even within their first winter with birds moved later in the winter being more likely to**
 269 **show "homing" behaviour (Baccetti et al. 1999).** Although this age effect is not observed in all bird
 270 species (e.g. Diefenbach et al. 1988; Fox et al. 1994; Monsarrat et al. 2013), such differences may
 271 reflect the fact that, as age increases, birds may be using accumulated knowledge of site specific
 272 characteristics or past experiences to develop preferences for particular sites, while dominance can
 273 also play a role with juveniles being more easily displaced by older, more dominant individuals
 274 (e.g. Groves 1978). Consequently, older animals with presumably greater knowledge of wintering
 275 site characteristics and more past experiences may show increased fidelity to wintering sites which
 276 they found to be profitable and/or safe, similarly to what is known for breeding site fidelity (e.g.
 277 Serrano et al. 2001).

278 Unlike previous studies, which did not find **sexual differences** in winter site fidelity of waders
 279 (e.g. Warnock & Takekawa 1996; Catry et al. 2012), we detected significantly lower site fidelity in
 280 females within a single winter. It must be noted that this difference is quite small, with the vast
 281 majority of birds of both sexes remaining faithful to their wintering site throughout the winter. This

282 small difference may have hampered its detection in previous studies using different methods. In the
 283 breeding areas, many bird species show higher site fidelity in the sex that establishes territories
 284 (Greenwood 1980; Gienapp & Merilä 2011). Sanderlings can also be territorial in winter (Myers et
 285 al. 1979) so we cannot rule out that territoriality may play a role here, but since sanderlings are also
 286 gregarious and frequently change group composition within the same foraging site (Myers 1983;
 287 Roberts & Evans 1993) this seems unlikely. Lower site fidelity could indicate that females have less
 288 benefits from prior knowledge of their foraging or roosting sites, or more benefits from exploring
 289 alternative foraging sites if for instances their preferred prey has a different distribution than that
 290 from the males (Alves et al. 2013). However, since sanderlings shows little sexual dimorphism
 291 (Engelmoer & Roselaar 1998; supplementary information to this study), there is no evidence for
 292 differences in energetic costs or foraging behaviour that could drive the observed sexual difference.
 293 In fact, the few cases in which sexual differences in winter site fidelity have been reported in bird
 294 species which make frequent movements during winter following changes in food availability, or
 295 species in which pair bonds or family group composition affect wintering distributions (e.g. Roberts
 296 & Cook 1999; Wunderle et al. 2014). Further studies will be required to confirm whether the sexual
 297 trend in winter site fidelity we observed is a general feature in sanderlings, and potentially other
 298 site-faithful long-distance migrants.



299 Overall, and despite the discussed sexual and age differences, sanderlings are highly site faithful
 300 to their wintering sites. Also, the observed movements are mostly on a small scale and there was
 301 only a single case of a bird moving between sites located further than 20 km apart. As is the case for
 302 most studies focusing on animal dispersal (Nathan et al. 2003), our study is also hampered by the
 303 impossibility to sample all potential areas past a limited distance. The maximum distance between
 304 our study sites was 29.8 km, which is a very considerable distance and larger than in many similar
 305 studies (e.g. Evans et al. 1980; Groen 1993; Burton & Evans 1997; Leyrer et al. 2006), but we still
 306 may be failing to detect movements to areas located further away. There is also the possibility that
 307 within this 30 km radius birds could use other sites within and in the vicinity of the estuary that

308 were not monitored, although the relatively rare occurrence of sandy substrates in the Tejo estuary
 309 and the known preference sanderlings show for these substrates suggest this is unlikely (Loureço
 310 et al. 2015). Also, since ringing only took place in the three sites within the estuary, and not on the
 311 furthest sites located outside the estuary, while the latter were probably visited less often, there
 312 might be a bias against detecting movements over larger distances.

313 Still, even when considering these biases and limitations, there is a clear pattern of site fidelity
 314 and decreasing chance of movements over increasing distances. The few birds ever detected outside
 315 the estuary originated from the sites nearer the mouth of the estuary (mainly the nearest, Seixal),
 316 and never from the site located the furthest (Alcochete) even though this was the site with the
 317 largest number of individual birds ringed and sighted. The few sightings elsewhere along the
 318 Portuguese coast of sanderlings that were colour-marked in other countries than Portugal, suggest
 319 similar site fidelity: from 37 sightings of 12 individuals (average sightings per individual: 3.1 ± 2.0),
 320 each observed in a single winter, only one was observed at two locations which were separated by
 321 less than 4 km (Póvoa de Varzim $41^{\circ}23'N/8^{\circ}46'W$ and Vila do Conde $41^{\circ}21'N/8^{\circ}45'W$).

322 Altogether, our data suggest that sanderlings in and around the Tejo estuary rarely move among
 323 wintering sites located at distances of more than 15 km. Such a level of site fidelity during winter is
 324 likely to confer advantages related to previous knowledge of foraging locations, potential predation
 325 risks, and social interactions with other individuals using the same areas (e.g. Alerstam 1990; Snell-
 326 Rood & Cristol 2005), but may also limit the ability of these birds to detect new areas of favourable
 327 habitat that may become available for colonization (Matthiopoulos et al. 2005) or even of avoiding
 328 the consequences of any degradation to their traditional wintering sites (e.g. Porzig et al. 2014).

329 In the Netherlands, individually recognisable sanderlings have been observed to move over tens
 330 of kilometres in response to a sudden increase in food availability generated by a storm washing up
 331 large amounts of American Jack knife clam *Ensis americanus* on the shore (J. Reneerkens *unpubl.*
 332 *data*), suggesting that sanderlings are able to react to the availability of new foraging opportunities.
 333 Also, in the Solent estuary, UK, marked sanderlings seem to move regularly among feeding sites

334 located 15-20 km apart (P.M. Potts *unpubl. data*). There is no evidence that any of the three areas
 335 we studied within the Tejo estuary suffered significant changes during the course of this study, so
 336 we cannot predict whether the birds would move if there was any decline in **habitat quality**. 
 337 However, at Caparica, the beaches are known to be suffering from severe coastal erosion and are
 338 estimated to be retreating inland at an average rate of 7 m.year⁻¹ as a result of river damming and
 339 dredging, inadequate coastal management and urban pressure, and sea-level rise (Ferreira & Matias
 340 2013). These beaches only persist there due to intense artificial sediment nourishment (Ferreira &
 341 Matias 2013) which can result in severe ecological impacts and loss of biodiversity (Schlacher et al.
 342 2007). In fact, data collected in parallel to this study indicate both food availability and sanderling
 343 intake rates are currently lower in Caparica than in the sites within the estuary (Lourenço et al.
 344 2015). Despite this, sanderlings continue to use this site and the few birds ringed in the estuary that
 345 were sighted in Caparica continued to use the site throughout the winter. This may indicate that site
 346 fidelity is a stronger driver of habitat selection for sanderlings than foraging habitat quality (as far
 347 as we can measure it) with potentially negative consequences for birds using areas suffering fast
 348 human-mediated changes such as the case of many coastal areas worldwide (Zhang et al. 2004;
 349 Schlacher et al. 2007). 

350

351

352 Acknowledgements

353

354 We would like to thank all the observers who voluntarily sent us sightings of our colour-marked
 355 birds, including several members of the Farlington Ringing Group who routinely visit the Tejo
 356 estuary and provided great help in catching, marking and re-sighting sanderlings. Particularly, Ruth
 357 Croger, Anne de Poitier and Mark Fletcher visited our study areas each winter and were an
 358 important help in the field. We would also like to thank Afonso Rocha, Sara Pardal and Miguel
 359 Braga for help in catching and marking sanderlings.

360

361

362 **References**

- 363 Alerstam, T. 1990. *Bird migration*. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, UK.
- 364 Alves, J.A., Gunnarsson, T.G., Potts, P.M., Sutherland, W.J. & Gill, J.A. 2013. Sex differences in
365 distribution and resource use at different spatial scales in a sexually dimorphic migratory
366 shorebird. *Ecology & Evolution* 3: 1079-1090.
- 367 Baccetti, N., Serra, L., Cherubini, G. & Magnani, A. 1999. Timing of attachment to wintering site as
368 revealed by experimental displaced dunlins (*Calidris alpina*). *Journal of Ornithology* 140: 309-
369 317.
- 370 Battin, J. 2004. When good animals love bad habitats: ecological traps and the conservation of
371 animal populations. *Conservation Biology* 18: 1482-1491.
- 372 Burton, N.H.K. & Evans, P.R. 1997. Survival and winter site-fidelity of turnstone *Arenaria*
373 *interpres* and purple sandpiper *Calidris maritima* in northeast England. *Bird Study* 44: 35-44.
- 374 Catry, P., Catry I., Catry, T. & Martins, T. 2003. Within and between-year winter site fidelity in
375 chiffchaffs *Phylloscopus collybita*. *Ardea* 91: 213-220.
- 376 Catry, T., Alves, J.A., Gill, J.A., Gunnarsson, T.G. & Granadeiro, J.P. 2012. Sex promotes spatial
377 and dietary segregation in a migratory shorebird during the non-breeding season. *PLoS ONE* 7:
378 e33811. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033811.
- 379 Clarke, A.L., Sæther, B.-E. & Røskft, E. 1997. Sex biases in avian dispersal: a reappraisal. *Oikos*
380 79: 429-438.
- 381 Clobert, J., Danchin, É., Dhondt, A.A. & Nichols, J. Dispersal. Oxford University Press. Oxford,
382 UK.
- 383 Conklin J.R., Reneerkens J., Verkuil Y.I., Tomkovich P., Palsbøll P.J. & Piersma T. 2016. Low
384 genetic differentiation between Greenlandic and Siberian Sanderling populations implies a
385 different phylogeographic history than found in Red Knots. *Journal of Ornithology* 157: 325-
386 332.
- 387 Connolly, L.M. & Colwell, M.A. 2005. Comparative use of longline oysterbeds and adjacent tidal

- 388 flats by waterbirds. *Bird Conservation International* 15: 237-255.
- 389 Haas, C.A. 1998. Effects of prior nesting success on site fidelity and breeding dispersal: an
390 experimental approach. *Auk* 115: 929-936.
- 391 Diefenbach, D.R., Nichols, J.D. & Hines, J.E. 1988. Distribution patterns during winter and fidelity
392 to wintering areas of American black ducks. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 66: 1506-1513.
- 393 Evans, P.R., Breary, D.M. & Goodyer, L.R. 1980. Studies on sanderling at Teesmouth, NE England.
394 *Wader Study Group Bulletin* 30: 18-20.
- 395 Engelmoer, M. & Roselaar, C.S. 1998. *Geographic variation in waders*. Kluwer Academic
396 Publishers. Dordrecht. The Netherlands.
- 397 Ferreira, Ó. & Matias, A. 2013. Portugal. In: Pranzini, E. & Williams, A. (eds.) *Coastal erosion and*
398 *protection in Europe*. Routledge, Oxfordshire. pp. 275-293.
- 399 Fox, A.D., Mitchell, C., Stewart, A., Fletcher, J.D., Turner, J.V.N., Boyd, H., Shimmings, P.,
400 Salmon, D.G., Haines, W.G. & Tomlinson, C. 1994. Winter movements and site fidelity of pink-
401 footed geese *Anser brachyrhynchus* ringed in Britain, with particular emphasis on those marked
402 in Lancashire. *Bird Study* 41: 221-234.
- 403 Galbraith, H., Jones, R., Park, R., Clough, J., Herrod-Julius, S., Harrington, B. & Page, G. 2002.
404 Global climate change and sea level rise: potential losses of intertidal habitat for shorebirds.
405 *Waterbirds* 25: 173-183.
- 406 Gienapp, P. & Merilä, J. 2011. Sex-specific fitness consequences of dispersal in Siberian jays.
407 *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 65: 131-140.
- 408 Greenwood, P.J. 1980. Mating systems, philopatry and dispersal in birds and mammals. *Animal*
409 *Behavior* 28: 1140-1162.
- 410 Greenwood, P.J. & Harvey, P.H. 1982. The natal and breeding dispersal of birds. *Annual Review of*
411 *Ecology and Systematics* 13: 1-21.
- 412 Groen, N.M. 1993. Breeding site tenacity and natal philopatry in the black-tailed godwit *Limosa l.*
413 *limosa*. *Ardea* 81: 107-113.

- 414 Grond, K., Ntiamoa-Baidu, Y., Piersma, T. & Reneerkens, J. 2015. Prey type and foraging ecology
415 of Sanderlings *Calidris alba* in different climate zones: are tropical areas more favourable than
416 temperate sites ? *PeerJ* 3: e1125.
- 417 Groves, S. 1978. Age-related differences in ruddy turnstone foraging and aggressive behaviour. *Auk*
418 95: 95-103.
- 419 Gudmundsson, G.A. & Lindström, Å. 1992. Spring migration of sanderling *Calidri alba* through
420 SW Iceland: wherefrom and whereto. *Ardea* 80: 315-326.
- 421 Gunnarsson, T.G., Sutherland, W.J., Alves, J.A., Potts, P.M. & Gill, J.A. 2012. Rapid changes in
422 phenotype distribution during range expansion in a migratory bird. *Proceedings of the Royal*
423 *Society B* 279: 411-416.
- 424 Harvey, P.H., Greenwood, P.J., Perrins, C.M. 1979. Breeding area fidelity of great tit (*Parus major*).
425 *Journal of Animal Ecology* 48: 305-313.
- 426 Hobson, K.A. 2005. Using stable-isotopes to trace long-distance dispersal in birds and other taxa.
427 *Diversity and Distributions* 11: 157-164.
- 428 Jackson, D.B. 1994. Breeding dispersal and site-fidelity in three monogamous wader species in the
429 Western Isles, U.K. *Ibis* 136: 463-473.
- 430 Kirby, J.S. & Lack, P.C. 1993. Spatial dynamics of wintering lapwings and golden plovers in Britain
431 and Ireland, 1981/82 to 1983/84. *Bird Study* 40: 38-50.
- 432 Kruckenberg, H. & Borbach-Jaene, J. 2004. Do greylag geese (*Anser anser*) use traditional roosts?
433 Site fidelity of colour-marked Nordic greylag geese during spring migration. *Journal of*
434 *Ornithology* 145: 117-122.
- 435 Lemke, H.W., Bowler, J. & Reneerkens J. 2012. Establishing the right period to estimate juvenile
436 proportions of wintering Sanderlings via telescope scans in western Scotland. *Wader Study*
437 *Group Bulletin* 119: 129-132.
- 438 Leyrer, J., Spaans, B., Camara, M. & Piersma, T. 2006. Small home ranges and high site fidelity in
439 red knots (*Calidris c. canutus*) wintering on the Banc d'Arguin, Mauritania. *Journal of*

440 *Ornithology* 147: 376-384.

441 Lourenço, P.M., Mandema, F.S., Hooijmeijer, J.C.E.W., Granadeiro, J.P. & Piersma, T. 2010. Site
442 selection and resource depletion in black-tailed godwits *Limosa l. limosa* eating rice during
443 northward migration. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 79: 522-528.

444 Lourenço, P.M., Alves, J.A., Catry, T. & Granadeiro, J.P. 2015. Foraging ecology of sanderlings
445 *Calidris alba* wintering in estuarine and non-estuarine intertidal areas. *Journal of Sea Research*
446 104: 33-40.

447 Loonstra, A.H.J., Piersma, T. & Reneerkens, J. 2016. Staging duration and passage population size
448 of Sanderlings in the western Dutch Wadden Sea. *Ardea* in press.

449 Matthiopoulos, J., Harwood, J. & Thomas, L. 2005. Metapopulation consequences of site fidelity
450 for colonially breeding mammals and birds. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 74: 716–727.

451 Monsarrat, S., Benhamou, S., Sarrazin, F., Bessa-Gomes, C., Bouten, W. & Duriez, O. 2013. How
452 predictability of feeding patches affects home range and foraging habitat selection in avian social
453 scavengers? *PLoS ONE* 8: e53077. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0053077.

454 Myers, J.P. 1983. Space, time and the pattern of individual associations in a group-living species:
455 sanderlings have no friends. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 12: 129-134.

456 Myers, J.P., Connors, P.J. & Pitelka, F.A. 1979. Territory size in wintering sanderlings: the effect of
457 of prey abundance and intruder density. *Auk* 96: 551-561.


458 Myers, J.P., Sallaberry, M., Ortiz, A.E., Castro, G., Gordon, L.M., Maron, J.L., Schick, C.T., Tabilo,
459 E., Antas, P. & Below, T. 1990. Migration routes of New World sanderlings. *Auk* 107: 172-180.

460 Nathan, R., Perry, G., Cronin, J.T., Strand, A.E. & Cain, M.L. 2003. Methods for estimating long-
461 distance dispersal. *Oikos* 103: 261-273.

462 Oring, L.W. & Lank, D. 1982. Sexual selection, arrival time, philopatry and site fidelity in the
463 polyandrous spotted sandpiper. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 10: 185-191.

464 Pethick, J. 2001. Coastal management and sea-level rise. *Catena* 42: 307-322.

465 Porzig, E.L., Seavy, N.E., Gardali, T., Geupel, G.R., Holyoak, M. & Eadie, J.M. 2014. Habitat

- 466 suitability through time: using time series and habitat models to understand changes in bird
467 density. *Ecosphere* 5: art12. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/ES13-00166.1>.
- 468 Refisch, M.M., Clark, N.A., Langston, R.H.W. & Greenwood, J.J.D. 1996. A guide to the provision
469 of refuges for waders: an analysis of 30 years of ringing data from the Wash, England. *Journal of*
470 *Applied Ecology* 33: 673-687.
- 471 Reneerkens, J., Benhoussa, A., Boland, H., Collier, M., Grond, K., Günther, K., Hallgrimsson, G.T.,
472 Hansen, J., Meissner, W., de Meulenaer, B., Ntiamoa-Baidu, Y., Piersma, T., Poot, M., van
473 Roomen, M., Summers, R.W., Tomkovich, P.S. & Underhill, L.G. 2009. Sanderlings using
474 African–Eurasian flyways: a review of current knowledge. *Wader Study Group Bulletin* 116: 2–
475 20.
- 476 Roberts, G. & Evans, P.R. 1993. A method for the detection of non-random associations among
477 flocking birds and its application to sanderlings *Calidris alba* wintering in N.E. England.
478 *Behavioural Ecology and Sociobiology* 32: 349-354.
- 479 Roberts, G.J. & Cook, F. 1999. Winter philopatry in migratory waterfowl. *Auk* 116: : 20-34.
- 480 Schlacher, T.A., Dugan, J., Schoeman, D.S., Lastra, M., Jones, A., Scapini, F., McLachlan, A. &
481 Defeo, O. 2007. Sandy beaches at the brink. *Diversity and Distributions* 13: 556-560.
- 482 Serrano, D., Tella, J.L., Forero, M.G. & Donázar, J.A. 2001. Factors affecting breeding dispersal in
483 the facultatively colonial lesser kestrel: individual experience vs. conspecific cues. *Journal of*
484 *Animal Ecology* 70: 568-578.
- 485 Snell-Rood, E.C. & Cristol, D.A. 2005. Prior residence influences contest outcomes in flocks of
486 non-breeding birds. *Ethology* 111: 441-454.
- 487  **Stroud**, D.A., Baker, A., Blanco, D.E., Davidson, N.C., Delany, S., Ganter, B., Gill, R., González,
488 P., Haanstra, L., Morrison, R.I.G., Piersma, T., Scott, D.A., Thorup, O., West, R., Wilson, J. &
489 Zöckler, C. (on behalf of the International Wader Study Group). 2006. The conservation and
490 population status of the world's waders at the turn of the millennium. *In*: Boere, G.C., Galbraith
491 C.A. & Stroud D.A. (eds). *Waterbirds around the world*. The Stationery Office. Edinburgh, UK.

492 pp. 643-648.

- 493 Taft, O.W., Sanzenbacher, P.M. & Haig, S.M. 2008. Movements of wintering dunlin *Calidris alpina*
494 and changing habitat availability in an agricultural wetland landscape. *Ibis* 150: 541–549.
- 495 Takekawa, J.Y., Warnock, N., Martinelli, G.M., Miles, A.K. & Tsao, D.C. 2002. Waterbird use of
496 bayland wetlands in the San Francisco Bay estuary: movements of long-billed dowitchers
497 during the winter. *Waterbirds* 25(Sp2): 93-105.
- 498 van de Kam, J., Ens, B., Piersma, T. & Zwarts, L. 2004. *Shorebirds. An illustrated behavioural*
499 *ecology*. KNNV Publishers. Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- 500 van Gils, J.A., Piersma, T., Dekinga, A., Spaans, B. & Kraan, C. 2006. Shellfish dredging pushes a
501 flexible avian top predator out of a marine protected area. *PLoS Biology* 12: e376.
- 502 Vergara, P., Aguirre, J.I., Fargallo, J.A. & Dávila, J.A. 2006. Nest-site fidelity and breeding success
503 in White Stork *Ciconia ciconia*. *Ibis* 148: 672-677.
- 504 Warnock, S.E. & Takekawa, J.Y. 1996. Wintering site fidelity and movement patterns of Western
505 Sandpipers *Calidris mauri* in the San Francisco Bay estuary. *Ibis* 138: 160-167.
- 506 Wilson, H.J., Norriss D.W., Walsh A., Fox A.D. & Stroud D.A. 1991. Winter site fidelity in
507 Greenland white-fronted geese *Anser albifrons flavirostris*, implications for conservation and
508 management. *Ardea* 79: 287-294.
- 509 Wunderle, J.M., Lebow, P.K., White, J.D., Currie, D. & Ewert, D.N. Sex and age differences in site
510 fidelity, food resource tracking, and body condition of wintering Kirtland's warblers
511 (*Setophaga kirtlandii*) in the Bahamas. *Ornithological Monographs* 80: 1-62.
- 512 Yosef, R. & Meissner, W. 2006. Seasonal age differences in weight and biometrics of migratory
513 dunlins (*Calidris alpina*) at Eilat, Israel. *Ostrich* 77: 67-72.
- 514 Zhang, K., Douglas, B.C. & Leatherman, S.P. 2004. Global warming and coastal erosion. *Climatic*
515 *Change* 64: 41-58.

516

517 **Table 1:** Details on the data collected for the 160 studied sanderlings, including the number of
 518 individuals that were marked and sighted each winter (cumulative number in parenthesis), the
 519 number of sighting per individual each winter (average \pm SE, range in parenthesis) and the number
 520 of visits per site each winter. Number of visits refers only to visits when at least one marked bird
 521 was sighted as there is no way of estimating the number of visits made by volunteers when no
 522 sightings were reported. Since marked birds were only detected in Caparica in 2012/13, the average
 523 only includes this site for that winter.

	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13
Marked individuals	44 (44)	28 (72)	42 (114)	46 (160)
Sighted individuals	29	33	71	124
Sightings per individual	4.0 \pm 0.2 (3-7)	3.9 \pm 0.2 (3-8)	4.4 \pm 0.2 (3-14)	5.6 \pm 0.23 (3-17)
Visits per site	5.7 \pm 1.2 (3 sites)	7.0 \pm 1.5 (3 sites)	15.7 \pm 1.6 (3 sites)	19.3 \pm 4.0 (4 sites)

Figure 1: Map of the study area and proportion of birds sighted in each site that were either only sighted locally or also sighted in other sites. Panel A refers to the average for each winter (4 winters for all sites except Caparica for each we only had sightings of colour-marked birds in 2012-13). Panel B refer to all winters combined. Each site is represented by a different colour (Alcochete: red, Samouco: yellow, Seixal: green, Caparica: blue, Oeiras: pink) and in the pie charts the proportion of birds only sighted locally have the colour of that site and the proportions of birds also sighted elsewhere have the colours of the other sites in which they were sighted. Number of marked individuals (n) that were detected at each site: $n_{\text{Alcochete}}=88$, $n_{\text{Samouco}}=42$, $n_{\text{Seixal}}=39$ and $n_{\text{Caparica}}=6$.

Figure 2: Effect of distance between sites on the probability of movements occurring among them. The grey symbols and GLMM regression line refer to a single winter (average of all four winters), while the black symbols and line refer to multiple winters. Each dot shows the average probability of movement for a given distance. For each pair of sites, the number of marked individuals ranged from 40 (Seixal-Caparica) to 117 (Alcochete-Samouco).

Figure 3: Comparison of Site Fidelity Index (SFI) values between sexes (top line) and age classes (middle), and between a single winter and multiple winter. Panel A represents average intra-annual SFI values for males (n=64) and females (n=38); panel B represents average inter-annual SFI values for males (n=42) and females (n=31); panel C presents average intra-annual SFI values for adults (n=126) and juveniles (n=34) in the winter when they were ringed/aged; panel D presents average intra-annual SFI values of 24 birds ringed as juveniles when considering their first winter (juveniles) and a subsequent winter (adults); and panel E presents average intra and inter-annual SFI values of 101 birds ringed as adults. The black dots represent the mean, the boxes represent standard errors and the whiskers represent the range. * $p<0.05$, ** $p<0.01$, *** $p<0.001$.

