

1 *Original Article*

2  
3 **Food safety in Thailand 4: Comparison of pesticide residues found in three commonly**  
4 **consumed vegetables purchased from local markets and supermarkets in Thailand**

5  
6  
7 **Sompon Wanwimolruk<sup>1\*</sup>, Kamonrat Phopin<sup>1,2</sup>, Somchai Boonpangrak<sup>1</sup>**  
8 **and Virapong Prachayasittikul<sup>2</sup>**

9  
10 <sup>1</sup> *Center for Research and Innovation, Faculty of Medical Technology, Mahidol University,*  
11 *Bangkok 10700, Thailand*

12 <sup>2</sup> *Department of Clinical Microbiology and Applied Technology, Faculty of Medical Technology,*  
13 *Mahidol University, Bangkok 10700, Thailand*

14  
15 \*Corresponding author: Sompon Wanwimolruk, Ph.D.

16 Professor, Faculty of Medical Technology, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10700, Thailand

17 E-mail: [sompon.wan@mahidol.ac.th](mailto:sompon.wan@mahidol.ac.th); [sompon-999@hotmail.com](mailto:sompon-999@hotmail.com), Phone +66 2 441 4370;

18 Fax: +66 2 441 4380

19  
20 **Running Title:** Pesticide residues found in vegetables bought from local and supermarkets

33 **ABSTRACT**

34

35 **Background** The wide use of pesticides raises concerns on the health risks associated with  
36 pesticide exposure. For developing countries, like Thailand, pesticide monitoring program (in  
37 vegetables and fruits) and also the maximum residue limits (MRL) regulation have not been  
38 entirely implemented. The MRL is a product limit, not a safety limit. The MRL is the maximum  
39 concentration of a pesticide residue (expressed as mg/kg) recommended by the Codex  
40 Alimentarius Commission to be legally permitted in or on food commodities and animal feeds  
41 (Codex Alimentarius Commission, 2015; European Commission, 2008; European Commission,  
42 2015). MRLs are based on supervised residue trial data where the pesticide has been applied in  
43 accordance with GAP (Good Agricultural Practice). This study aims at providing comparison data  
44 on pesticide residues found in three commonly consumed vegetables (Chinese kale, pakchoi and  
45 morning glory) purchased from some local markets and supermarkets in Thailand. **Methods** These  
46 vegetables were randomly bought from local markets and supermarkets. Then they were analyzed  
47 for the content of 28 pesticides by using GC-MS/MS. **Results** Types of pesticides detected in the  
48 samples either from local markets or supermarkets were similar. The incidence of detected  
49 pesticides was 100% (local markets) and 99% (supermarkets) for the Chinese kale; 98% (local  
50 markets) and 100% (supermarkets) for the pakchoi; and 99% (local markets) and 97%  
51 (supermarkets) for the morning glory samples. The pesticides were detected exceeding their MRL  
52 at a rate of 48% (local markets) and 35% (supermarkets) for the Chinese kale; 71% (local markets)  
53 and 55% (supermarkets) for the pakchoi, and 42% (local markets) and 49% (supermarkets) for the  
54 morning glory. **Discussion** These rates are much higher than those seen in developed countries. It  
55 should be noted that these findings were assessed on basis of using criteria (such as MRL) obtained  
56 from developed countries. Our findings were also confined to these vegetables sold in a few central  
57 provinces of Thailand and did not reflect for the whole country as sample sizes were small. Risk  
58 assessment due to consuming these pesticide contaminated vegetables, still remains to be  
59 evaluated. It is unlikely that this will affect the health of tourists visiting Thailand because they do  
60 not consume these vegetables daily and in large amount. However, remarkably high incidence  
61 rates of detected pesticides give warning to the Thai authorities to implement proper regulations  
62 on pesticide monitoring program. Similar incidence of pesticide contamination found in the  
63 vegetables bought from local markets and supermarkets raises question regarding the quality of

**Eliminado:**

**Comentado [G1]:** But, then, your study has not a reason to be. In general, you would say that pesticides exceeding their MRL in vegetables highly consumed by people are risky for their health, right? You mentioned that in your responses to reviewer 3. Negative effects and symptoms for each pesticide are extensively known and for that reason is determined the MRL for each one. Besides, you did mention about the toxicity of these pesticides in your introduction.

**Comentado [G2R1]:**

**Con formato:** Resaltar

**Comentado [G3]:** Highly contradictory because if you said that the risks for the health of consumers have not been yet evaluated, how you could affirm that the tourist will not be affected? Which study can be referred for to support this statement? I suggest to change the sentences accordingly, or remove them.

**Con formato:** Resaltar

65 organic vegetables **domestically sold** in Thailand. **This conclusion excludes Thai export quality**  
66 **vegetables and fruits routinely monitored for pesticide contamination before exporting.**

**Comentado [G4]:** Thus, these domestically produced “organic” vegetables are only produced and sold for internal consume and those that will be exported have a different origin, saved from pesticide contamination?

**Con formato:** Resaltar

68 **Keywords:** Pesticide residues, Vegetables, Chinese kale, Pakchoi, Morning glory, Food safety

## 70 INTRODUCTION

71  
72 An enormous concern on toxic pesticides in foods has been raised because of its negative health  
73 and environmental impacts. This is due to the widespread use of pesticides in agriculture. In fact,  
74 the main exposure to pesticides for humans is **oral ingestion**, especially by vegetables and fruits  
75 (*Claeys et al., 2008; Drouillet-Pinard et al., 2011*). Toxicity and human health risk associated with  
76 pesticide contamination in foods has made it necessary to regulate pesticide residues in our foods  
77 (*Cervera et al., 2014*). Detection and quantification of pesticide residues in food samples are  
78 essential to verify whether these pesticides are within limits, so called “maximum residue limits  
79 (MRL)”. This regulation was established by the European Commission and other regulatory  
80 authorities. Many developed countries have approved this regulation to oversee and operate their  
81 food safety affair. Contrastingly, in developing countries such as Thailand, good agricultural  
82 practices **(GAP) have not fully been implemented, nor has a successful pesticide monitoring**  
83 **program**. Pesticides have been greatly used in agriculture in Thailand (*Harnpicharnchai et al.,*  
84 *2013*). The most popular classes of pesticides imported into Thailand are herbicides, followed by  
85 insecticides and fungicides (*Sapbamrer & Nata, 2014*). Among the insecticides, organophosphates  
86 and carbamates are very commonly used for protecting crops from insects’ invasion. The use of  
87 pesticides in agriculture has been linked with occupational health of farmers, gardeners and  
88 consumers (*Chan, 1990; Sapbamrer & Nata, 2014*).

**Comentado [G5]:** Excepting for those that will be exported?

89 Chinese kale (*Brassica oleracea*) is also known as Chinese broccoli. Chinese kale is a leaf  
90 vegetable appearing thick and flat, with glossy blue-green leaves, thick stems and a small number  
91 of tiny, almost vestigial flower heads similar to those of broccoli. Flavour of Chinese kale is very  
92 like to that of broccoli, but somewhat bitterer. Chinese kale is used extensively in Chinese cuisine,  
93 and especially in Cantonese cuisine. In Thailand, a number of admired Thai dishes have Chinese  
94 kale as a principal ingredient. In some dishes, Chinese kale is consumed fresh, without cooking.  
95 This possesses potential for toxicity if the vegetable is eaten freshly and **daily**. Pakchoi [*Brassica*

**Comentado [G6]:** I agree with one of the reviewers in that affirmations like this has not enough support. If you will eat a vegetable that is contaminated with pesticides exceeding the MRL it does not matter if it is cooked or fresh; in both cases it is common to wash the aliment before using it.

96 *chinensis* Just var *parachinensis* (Bailey) Tsen & Lee] is a species in the Brassicaceae which is a  
97 popular vegetable consumed in Thailand, also in Southeast Asia and southern China. Unlike napa  
98 cabbage (*Brassica pekinensis*), pakchoi does not form heads; instead, they have smooth, dark green  
99 leaf blades forming a cluster reminiscent of mustard or celery. Water morning glory (*Ipomoea*  
100 *aquatic* Forsk) is a semiaquatic, tropical plant grown as a vegetable in East, South and Southeast  
101 Asia. It is also known as water spinach, water convolvulus, or by the more ambiguous names  
102 Chinese spinach, Chinese convolvulus or swamp cabbage (Nagendra Prasad et al., 2008). It is  
103 known as pak bung in Thai, ong choy in Chinese and kangkong in Tagalog. Water morning glory  
104 is one of the most popular vegetables constituted in Thai, Burmese, Lao, Cambodian, Malay,  
105 Vietnamese, Filipino, and Chinese cuisines.

106 Pesticide residues have been found in many raw agricultural commodities such as vegetables  
107 and fruits, and processed foods worldwide in the past decades (Chen et al., 2011; Chen et al.,  
108 2014; Huan et al., 2015; Li et al., 2014; Osei-Fosu et al., 2014; Sapbamrer & Hongsibsong, 2014;  
109 Wang et al., 2013; Wanwimolruk et al., 2015a; Wanwimolruk et al., 2015b). Presently, information  
110 on pesticide contamination in vegetables in Thailand is limited and systemic investigation is  
111 desired to verify the current status of pesticide contamination in foods, particularly in vegetables  
112 and fruits. Also, the current case of organic fruits and vegetables sold in Thailand displays to  
113 consumers with no confidence in regard to quality whether the produce is pesticide-free. Many  
114 supermarkets have placed labels on fruits and vegetables implying that they are either organically  
115 grown or pesticide-free. The supermarkets in Thailand sell not only organic produce. The fresh  
116 vegetables sold in supermarkets in Thailand can be categorized into four groups, i.e., conventional,  
117 organic, pesticide-free and safe vegetables. Regarding the latter category, the Thai people have  
118 questioned if they are pesticide-free or organic vegetables. Consequently, people are prepared to  
119 buy vegetables and fruits from supermarkets at much higher price than those from local markets.  
120 This is because they have a high expectation that supermarket produce is safe from pesticide  
121 contamination. However, there is no scientific-based evidence to verify the supermarkets' claims  
122 and people's beliefs. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to provide comparison data on  
123 pesticide residues found in three commonly consumed vegetables (Chinese kale, pakchoi and  
124 water morning glory) purchased from local markets and supermarkets. Also, we aimed to verify if  
125 these vegetables that are sold in supermarkets in Thailand are free of pesticides as they are claimed  
126 to be.

**Comentado [G7]:** This means that you explored the presence and amount of pesticides in vegetables that were labeled as pesticide-free and organic vs. conventional which of course do not claim to be free of pesticides, right? It is important to clarify this point, maybe below, because while you may want to produce organic vegetables, free of pesticides, they can be contaminated by sprays from neighbor crops that use them. Thus, this is another factor to have into account for evaluate how important is to identify the origin of the vegetables that you analyzed for a better interpretation of your results.

127

128

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

129

### 130 **Chemicals and standards**

131 Anhydrous magnesium sulphate, sodium chloride, primary and secondary amine (PSA,  
132 particle size 40 µm), graphite carbon black (GCB) and C18 sorbent (particle size 40 µm) were  
133 obtained from Supelco (Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, USA). HPLC-grade acetonitrile was  
134 purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Twenty eight pesticides and two metabolite  
135 standards including aldrin, atrazine, captan, carbaryl, carbofuran (and its two metabolites  
136 carbofuran-3-hydroxy and carbofuran-3-keto), carbosulfan, chlormefos, chlorpyrifos,  
137 chlorothalonil, λ-cyhalothrin, cypermethrin, deltamethrin, diazinon, dichlorvos, dicofol,  
138 dimethoate, ethion, fenitrothion, fenvalerate, malathion, metalaxyl, methidathion, methomyl,  
139 paraoxon-methyl, phosalone, pirimicarb, pirimiphos-methyl and profenofos were purchased from  
140 Dr. Ehrenstorfer (Augsburg, Germany). Purity of these pesticide standards was >98%. Individual  
141 stock of standard solutions (1000 mg/L) was prepared in acetonitrile.

142

### 143 **Vegetable samples**

144 Three vegetables were selected for this study namely Chinese kale, pakchoi and water morning  
145 glory. The selection was based on their high consumption in Thailand. These three vegetables are  
146 widely consumed among Thai and Asian people. Chinese kale samples (n = 137) were purchased  
147 randomly from local open-air markets (n = 69) and supermarkets (n = 68). For pakchoi, a total of  
148 125 samples were bought from local markets (n = 63) and supermarkets (n = 62). Samples of water  
149 morning glory (n = 135) were purchased randomly from local markets (n = 74) and supermarkets  
150 (n = 61). These markets were located in central provinces of Thailand including Bangkok, Nakhon  
151 Pathom, Nonthaburi, Ayutthaya, Pathumthani, Samutsakorn and Nakhon Ratchasima. These  
152 provinces are located surrounding Bangkok, Thailand, within a radial distance of 260 km. The  
153 supermarkets which the vegetable samples were bought from were Big C, Foodland, Jiffy Plus,  
154 Lemon Farm, Max Valu, Tesco Lotus, Tops and Villa Market. The study was carried out over a  
155 year period from November 2013 to December 2014. At the local markets at which vegetable  
156 samples were bought, the produce that was for sale came from conventional farms and was not  
157 claimed to be 'organic produce'. Whereas the vegetable samples that were purchased from

158 supermarkets mostly claimed to be ‘organic produce’ or “pesticide-free”. Like our previous study  
159 (Wanwimolruk *et al.*, 2015b), it is very difficult to get the information on the suppliers as most of  
160 the workers in both supermarkets and local markets had no idea where the vegetables were bought  
161 from. Therefore, we could not obtain accurate information on suppliers. Approximately 500 g of  
162 vegetables were purchased and the samples were transported to the laboratory for analysis which  
163 was done within 24 hr. The representative portion (150-200 g) of the vegetable sample was  
164 chopped into tiny pieces and homogenized using a food processor and mixed carefully. The  
165 homogenized samples were then extracted and treated as described in following section.

166

### 167 **Sample preparation**

168 The analysis of pesticide residues was performed using the pesticide multiresidue QuEChERS  
169 (Quick Easy Cheap Effective Rugged and Safe) method as explained previously (Anastassiades *et al.*,  
170 2003; Lehotay, 2007; Lehotay *et al.*, 2010; Paya *et al.*, 2007). Briefly, extraction of pesticides  
171 was performed by extracting 15 g of homogenized vegetable with 15 ml acetonitrile saturated with  
172 6 g of magnesium sulphate and 1.5 g of sodium chloride. This extraction process was pursued by  
173 a cleaning up procedure. This was achieved by transferring the supernatant (1 mL) into another  
174 tube comprising 50 mg of primary-secondary amine (PSA), 7.5 mg graphite carbon black (GCB)  
175 and 150 mg magnesium sulphate. After shaking and centrifugation, the extract supernatant was  
176 then transferred to an autosampler vial for direct injection into the Bruker GC/MS/MS system.

177

### 178 **GC-MS/MS analysis**

179 Detection of pesticides was accomplished by using a Bruker 456 gas chromatography (GC)  
180 coupled with Bruker Scion Triple Quadrupole mass spectrometer (GC-MS/MS). Details of GC-  
181 MS/MS conditions were referred to as in the previous reports (Duff and Voglino, 2012;  
182 Wanwimolruk *et al.*, 2015b). Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) acquisition method and two ion  
183 transition at the experimentally optimized collision energy (CE) were monitored for each pesticide  
184 analyte.

185

### 186 **Calibration and quantification**

187 A working surrogate spiking standard solutions of pesticides were made by an appropriate  
188 dilution of the stock solutions with acetonitrile. These standard solutions were guarded from light

**Comentado [G8]:** Said in this way led to a wrong interpretation like I made in my first reading, as also noted one of the reviewers. Why you purchased conventional from the local markets and organic and free of pesticides from the supermarket? Explain the reasons to separate the origin of the analyzed samples. I expected to see data from each category from both the supermarkets and the local markets. Or the local markets that you selected to buy the vegetables just sell conventional? This have to be more clarified.

**Comentado [G9]:** See my previous comment above.

189 and kept frozen at -20 °C until required. Calibration curves of each pesticide of interest were  
190 conducted using an internal standard method according to the established procedure (Koesukwiwat  
191 *et al.*, 2011; Lehotay, 2007; Lehotay *et al.*, 2010; Wanwimolruk *et al.*, 2015b). These were  
192 conducted using the same procedure each time when a new unknown sample set was analyzed.  
193 Aldrin was used as an internal standard. The ratio of the peak area of the pesticide standard to that  
194 of the internal standard was employed for quantification. Recovery studies for method validation  
195 were conducted as previously described (Koesukwiwat *et al.*, 2011; Wanwimolruk *et al.*, 2015b).  
196 The method validation in regard to reproducibility, calibration linear range, limit of detection  
197 (LOD), limit of quantification (LOQ) was performed for each vegetable matrix as expressed  
198 previously (Dong *et al.*, 2012; Koesukwiwat *et al.*, 2011). Quantitation of pesticides in an unknown  
199 vegetable sample was carried out in duplicate unless otherwise stated. MRL values for each  
200 pesticide in the vegetable of interest were quoted from recommended MRL values established by  
201 Thailand Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperation (2013), Codex Alimentarius Commission  
202 (2015), and European Commission (2015). These three references were used because not all  
203 pesticides' MRLs were listed in the individual reference.

204

#### 205 **Data treatment**

206 Vegetable samples were grouped into two categories, according to the sources where the  
207 samples were purchased, i.e., local markets and supermarkets. Pesticide concentrations obtained  
208 from the GC-MS/MS analysis were treated separately for each vegetable studied. These data were  
209 further evaluated to determine % total detection of pesticide residues, % of samples which  
210 pesticides were not detected, % of samples contained pesticide residues of < MRL, and % of  
211 samples contained pesticide residues of > MRL. For each vegetable of interest, the number of  
212 samples (or frequency) containing individual pesticide was counted with aid of using Excel  
213 Microsoft program. Also the bar graphs were plotted (Excel Microsoft program) from these data  
214 to show frequency distribution or bar graphs illustrating types of pesticides in Chinese kale,  
215 pakchoi and morning glory, separately. Numbers of samples containing pesticide residues of >  
216 MRL were determined by using the MRL reference values for each pesticide and for particular  
217 commodity. These were also performed by using Excel Microsoft program.

218

#### 219 **Statistical analysis**

Eliminado: ed

Eliminado: ed

Eliminado: ed

223 All results are presented as either mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (S.D.) or median. The differences  
224 of parameter between two sample groups were assessed by either unpaired Student's *t*-test or the  
225 Mann-Whitney *U*-test, depending on their normality of distribution. The statistical significance  
226 level was customary to  $P < 0.05$ . All statistical analyses were assessed using the software SPSS  
227 statistical package for Windows version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

## 228 229 RESULTS

230  
231 The GC-MS/MS method was validated to determine efficiency and accuracy of the analytical  
232 assay. Excellent linearity of calibration curves of each pesticide standards were attained as  
233 illustrated by the coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) values of  $>0.92$ . For instance, for Chinese kale,  
234 the linearity of calibration curves of all twelve pesticides detected (e.g., cypermethrin,  
235 deltamethrin, diazinon, dimethoate, metalaxyl, and profenofos) were excellent with  $r^2 > 0.93$ .  
236 When the pesticides of interest were assayed at 0.01 ppb the signal-to-noise ratio was well above  
237 30 for all pesticides studied. Therefore, detection limits were below 0.01 ppb using the sample  
238 preparation procedures described previously. The precision of the method was verified by the  
239 reproducibility of the retention time and peak area. It was noticed that the retention time and peak  
240 area of all pesticides were in good precision. The relative standard deviations (RSD) of  
241 repeatability for cypermethrin and metalaxyl in Chinese kale samples were 5.2% and 4.6%,  
242 respectively. While the RSD of reproducibility for cypermethrin and metalaxyl in Chinese kale  
243 samples were 12.3% and 9.1%. Overall, their relative RSD of repeatability were lower than 8%  
244 whereas the RSD of reproducibility were lower than 17%. In general, the mean recoveries of all  
245 pesticides studied from fortified samples in five replicated experiments were in the range of 75 -  
246 114%. For example, the mean recovery of carbaryl and metalaxyl in Chinese kale were  $102 \pm 11\%$   
247 and  $97 \pm 7\%$  at a concentration of 100 ppb. These ranges of recovery fall within the typical  
248 acceptance criteria for quantitative regulatory methods (Koesukwiwat *et al.*, 2011). Similar  
249 observation of assay validations was found in respect to other two vegetables studied, i.e. pakchoi  
250 and morning glory.

251 Twenty eight pesticides studied were selected on the basis of their widespread use in  
252 agriculture in Thailand. Although the most popular classes of pesticides imported into Thailand  
253 are herbicides (Sapbamrer & Nata, 2014), only one herbicide namely atrazine was studied. This

Eliminado: were

Eliminado:



256 was simply due to lack of budget. The GC-MS/MS method employed in this study offered  
257 satisfactorily separation with high sensitivity and selectivity for quantitation of all 28 pesticides of  
258 interest (Wanwimolruk *et al.*, 2015b). The absence of co-extracted interferences for all varieties of  
259 leaf vegetables, Chinese kales, pakchoi and water morning glory, was demonstrated by blank  
260 extract analysis showing there was no interfering peak co-eluted with analytes of interest.  
261 Moreover, in all vegetable samples tested, there were no identifiable peaks detected with the same  
262 retention time as aldrin (retention time = 16.02 min) that was used as an internal standard in our  
263 GC-MS/MS assay. This supports the rationality of employing aldrin as the internal standard for  
264 the assays.

265 Of 28 pesticides tested, 12 pesticides were detected in the Chinese kale samples purchased  
266 from supermarkets (Figure 1). These included carbaryl, carbofuran, chlorothalonil, chlorpyrifos,  
267  $\lambda$ -cyhalothrin, cypermethrin, deltamethrin, diazinon, dimethoate, malathion, metalaxyl and  
268 profenofos. Nevertheless, chlorothalonil and deltamethrin were not detected in those Chinese kale  
269 samples purchased from local markets (Figure 1), while malathion was not found in the samples  
270 bought from supermarkets. Most of Chinese kale samples (88% in local markets, 91% in  
271 supermarket samples) had multiple pesticide residues. Overall, metalaxyl, dimethoate and  
272 diazinon appeared to be the most often found pesticides in the Chinese kale samples from both  
273 sources (Figure 1). The occurrence rate of metalaxyl in the local market samples was 91% (63/69)  
274 and was 94% (64/68) for the supermarket samples. However, none of the Chinese kale samples  
275 purchased from both local markets and supermarkets had metalaxyl that exceeded the  
276 recommended MRL value (2000 ppb). Rates of occurrence for dimethoate in the Chinese kale  
277 samples were 80% (55/69) and 88% (60/68) for the Chinese kale samples from local markets and  
278 supermarkets, respectively. Of 69 samples from local markets, 23 of them had dimethoate  
279 exceeding the MRL value (20 ppb). This corresponds to a rate greater than dimethoate's MRL of  
280 33%. Eleven samples purchased from the supermarkets were found to contain dimethoate that  
281 exceeded the MRL. These samples exceeded dimethoate's MRL by 16%.

282 Diazinon was other commonly pesticide detected in the Chinese kale samples studied. It was  
283 detected in 62% (43/69) and 74% (50/68) of the Chinese kale samples from local markets and  
284 supermarkets, respectively (Figure 1). None of both the local market and supermarket samples had  
285 diazinon levels that exceeded the recommended MRL (50 ppb). Three other pesticides were also  
286 detected in the Chinese kale samples which were profenofos, cypermethrin and carbaryl.

**Comentado [G10]:** But you could have selected another one that is very common as glyphosate. Explain please, why you selected atrazine. Maybe, the analysis for this herbicide is cheaper?

**Comentado [G11]:** From this sentence I have to interpret that you have analyzed samples of Chinese kale conventional (that were bought from the local markets) and other labelled as organic or free of pesticides (purchased in the supermarkets). That is correct?

**Eliminado:** of

288 Profenofos was detected in the Chinese kale samples from both sources, with moderate occurrence  
289 rates of 33% (23/69) for the local market samples and 29% (20/68) for the supermarket samples.  
290 Eleven of the samples purchased from the local markets had profenofos levels exceeding the MRL  
291 (10 ppb), whereas twelve samples from the supermarkets contained profenofos at concentrations  
292 greater than the recommended MRL. Of note, profenofos concentrations detected in the Chinese  
293 kale samples was found to vary widely among the samples from both sources with a range from  
294 0.1 – 2,095 ppb. Pyrethroid pesticide cypermethrin was also detected in the Chinese kale samples  
295 at a relatively low rate of detection. Cypermethrin was found in 16% (11/69) of the samples bought  
296 from the local market, similarly 15% (10/68) of the supermarket samples contained cypermethrin  
297 (Figure 1). One of the local market samples had cypermethrin that exceeded the MRL, while all of  
298 the supermarket samples (3 samples) were found to have cypermethrin that exceeded the MRL  
299 value (1000 ppb). Carbaryl was detected in 19% (13/69) and 15% (10/68) of the Chinese kale  
300 samples from local markets and supermarkets, respectively (Figure 1). Levels of carbaryl found in  
301 these samples ranged from 0.1 to 606 ppb, in which two of the supermarket samples contained  
302 carbaryl exceeding its MRL value (50 ppb). The rest of pesticides found in the Chinese kale  
303 samples were detected with relative low rate of occurrence. These include carbofuran,  
304 chlorothalonil, chlorpyrifos, deltamethrin,  $\lambda$ -cyhalothrin and malathion.

305 **The incidence of pesticide detection in the Chinese kale samples purchased from the local**  
306 **markets and supermarkets.** The percentages of total pesticide detection both in the two sources  
307 were extremely high, i.e., 100% and 99% for the samples bought from the local markets and the  
308 supermarkets, respectively. Of interest, the incidence of pesticides detected exceeding the  
309 recommended MRL values was 48% in the Chinese kale samples purchased from the local  
310 markets. This was slightly higher than the incidence of pesticide detected exceeding the MRL of  
311 35% observed in the samples from the supermarkets. **Very small samples were found to contain**  
312 **no pesticides; this represents a rate of free of pesticides of 1% in the supermarket samples.**

313 Nine pesticides were detected in both the pakchoi samples purchased from the local markets  
314 and the supermarkets (Figure 2). These were carbaryl, carbofuran, chlorpyrifos,  $\lambda$ -cyhalothrin,  
315 cypermethrin, diazinon, dimethoate, metalaxyl and profenofos. Similar to findings observed in the  
316 Chinese kale, three pesticides namely metalaxyl, dimethoate and diazinon, were the most often  
317 detected in the pakchoi samples collected from both sources. Few pakchoi samples had only one  
318 pesticide whereas others (92% in local markets, 97% in supermarket samples) had multiple

Comentado [G12]: This is a head? As a subtitle?

319 pesticide residues. Profiles of pesticide types detected in the pakchoi samples from both sources  
320 were similar. Like the Chinese kale, occurrence of metalaxyl in pakchoi samples was very high at  
321 97% (61/63) for the samples purchased from the local markets, and 98% (61/62) of the samples  
322 from the supermarkets were found to have metalaxyl residues (Figure 2). Among these local  
323 market samples, 13 samples (21%) had metalaxyl levels that exceeded the recommended MRL (50  
324 ppb). For the samples bought from the supermarkets, 11 samples (18%) had metalaxyl that  
325 exceeded the MRL. Dimethoate was found in 94% (59/63) and 87% (54/62) of the pakchoi samples  
326 from local markets and supermarkets, respectively (Figure 2). Thirty-four samples from the local  
327 markets (54%) had dimethoate levels of greater than the recommended MRL (20 ppb), whereas  
328 23 supermarket samples (37%) had dimethoate that exceeded its recommended MRL. Rates of  
329 occurrence for diazinon in the pakchoi samples were 57% (36/63) and 65% (40/62) for the samples  
330 from local markets and supermarkets, respectively (Figure 2). None of the pakchoi samples bought  
331 from both the local markets and the supermarkets had diazinon levels above the MRL (50 ppb).  
332 Carbofuran, chlorpyrifos and cypermethrin were detected in pakchoi samples from both the local  
333 market and supermarkets but with moderate occurrence rates. Cypermethrin was found in 19%  
334 (12/63) of the pakchoi samples bought from the local market samples, while 21% (13/62) of the  
335 supermarket samples contained cypermethrin (Figure 2). Two of the pakchoi samples bought from  
336 the local markets were found to have cypermethrin exceeding the recommended MRL (1000 ppb).  
337 Five of the pakchoi samples bought from supermarkets had cypermethrin exceeding the MRL.  
338 Chlorpyrifos was detected in 11% (7/63) of the pakchoi samples purchased from the local markets,  
339 whereas 16% (10/62) of the supermarket samples were found to contain chlorpyrifos residues  
340 (Figure 2). Two of the pakchoi samples purchased from the local markets and one supermarket  
341 sample had chlorpyrifos that exceeded the recommended MRL (1000 ppb). For carbofuran, the  
342 pesticide detection rate was 32% (20/63) in the local market samples, and 29% (18/62) in the  
343 supermarket samples. Even though other three pesticides including carbaryl,  $\lambda$ -cyhalothrin and  
344 profenofos were also detected in the pakchoi samples but the occurrence rates were relatively low  
345 (Figure 2).

346 The overall incidence of pesticide detection in the pakchoi samples both from the local markets  
347 and from supermarkets. The total incidence of pesticide detection in the pakchoi samples was 98%  
348 and 100% for the samples bought from the local markets and from the supermarkets, respectively.  
349 The incidence of pesticides detected exceeding the recommended MRL values was 71% in the

Eliminado: f

Eliminado: r

Comentado [G13]: As previously, if it is a head line, please move the text to the next line.

352 pakchoi samples purchased from the local markets. While the incidence of MRL exceedance was  
353 55% in the pakchoi samples bought from the supermarkets. These left the proportions of pakchoi  
354 samples having pesticide residues of less than MRL and without pesticides to be approximately  
355 30%.

356 Of 28 pesticides investigated, 12 different individual pesticides were detected in the water  
357 morning glory samples purchased from both the local markets and the supermarkets (Figure 3).  
358 Eight common pesticides detected in both the morning glory samples from the local markets and  
359 the supermarkets were carbofuran, chlorpyrifos,  $\lambda$ -cyhalothrin, cypermethrin, diazinon,  
360 dimethoate, metalaxyl and profenofos. Few samples contained only one pesticide, but most of  
361 them (90% in local markets, 89% in supermarket samples) had multiple pesticide residues. Again,  
362 similar to Chinese kale and pakchoi, metalaxyl, dimethoate and diazinon appeared to be the most  
363 often found pesticides in the water morning glory samples from both sources. Occurrence rates of  
364 metalaxyl in morning glory samples were 96% (71/74) and 93% (57/61) for the local market and  
365 the supermarket samples, respectively. All of the morning glory samples tested had metalaxyl  
366 levels below the recommended MRL (2000 ppb). Occurrence rates for dimethoate in the water  
367 morning glory samples were 92% (68/74) for the local market samples, and 84% (51/61) for the  
368 samples from supermarkets. Of 74 samples from local markets, 28 of them had dimethoate  
369 exceeding the MRL value (20 ppb). This represents a rate of greater than dimethoate's MRL of  
370 38%. Twenty-two samples purchased from supermarkets were found to contain dimethoate that  
371 exceeded the MRL, denoting to a rate of greater than dimethoate's MRL of 36%. For diazinon, the  
372 occurrence rates in the water morning glory samples were 53% (39/74) and 79% (48/61) for the  
373 samples from local markets and supermarkets, respectively (Figure 3). Only one sample of the  
374 water morning glory purchased from the local markets had diazinon exceeding the recommended  
375 MRL (10 ppb). None of the water morning glory samples from the supermarkets had diazinon  
376 levels above the MRL value. Carbofuran and cypermethrin were detected in the water morning  
377 glory samples from both the local markets and supermarkets with moderate occurrence rates.  
378 Carbofuran was found in the morning glory samples with occurrence rates of 32% (24/74) and  
379 28% (17/61) for the local markets and supermarkets, respectively. All of the water morning glory  
380 samples tested had carbofuran levels below its recommended MRL. Cypermethrin was found in  
381 11% (8/74) of the water morning glory samples bought from the local market samples, while 26%  
382 (16/61) of the supermarket samples contained cypermethrin (Figure 3). Five of the water morning

383 glory samples (7%) from the local markets had cypermethrin that exceeded its MRL (700 ppb).  
384 Out of the supermarket samples, four samples (7%) had cypermethrin exceeding the recommended  
385 MRL. Chlorpyrifos was also detected in the water morning glory samples from the local markets  
386 and supermarkets with low rates of occurrence. It was found in 7% of the water morning glory  
387 samples bought from the local market samples, while 21% of the supermarket samples contained  
388 chlorpyrifos (Figure 3). One sample of the water morning glory from both the local markets and  
389 the supermarkets had chlorpyrifos that exceeded the MRL (50 ppb). Other six pesticides including  
390 carbaryl, chlorothalonil,  $\lambda$ -cyhalothrin, malathion, methomyl and profenofos were detected in the  
391 water morning glory samples, although their occurrence rates were very low (Figure 3). Of note,  
392 some of pesticides mentioned were not detected in both the local market samples and the  
393 supermarket samples. For example, carbaryl and chlorothalonil were detected only in the  
394 supermarket samples, but not found in the water morning glory samples bought from the local  
395 markets.

396 The overall incidence of pesticide detection in the water morning glory samples purchased  
397 from local markets and supermarkets. Small proportions of samples were found to contain no  
398 pesticide residues; this represents a rate of free of pesticide-free residue of 1% and 3% in the local  
399 and supermarkets, respectively. Extremely high percentages of pesticide detection, i.e., 99% and  
400 97% were observed in the morning glory samples bought from the local markets and supermarkets,  
401 respectively. The incidence of pesticide residues detected exceeding the recommended MRL  
402 values in the morning glory samples from local markets was 42% whereas the incidence rate of  
403 49% was observed in the supermarket samples.

404 The profiles of pesticides detected in the three vegetables investigated are shown for  
405 comparison (Table 1). Of the 28 pesticides studied, there were 13 pesticides found in the fresh  
406 samples of these three popularly consumed vegetables. Nine pesticides were found to be common  
407 pesticides detected in all the three vegetables studied. These were carbaryl, carbofuran,  
408 chlorpyrifos,  $\lambda$ -cyhalothrin, cypermethrin, diazinon, dimethoate, metalaxyl and profenofos.  
409 Methomyl was not detected in the Chinese kale and pakchoi samples. Chlorothalonil, deltamethrin,  
410 metathion and methomyl were not found in the pakchoi samples, while deltamethrin was also not  
411 detected in the morning glory samples.

412 Table 2 shows comparison of pesticide concentrations in the three vegetables studied found in  
413 the samples bought from the local markets and the supermarkets. Both mean as well as median

**Comentado [G14]:** Maybe it could be better that you refer here to the Supplementary data provided, where we can see the concentrations of the pesticides found in the samples coming from the local markets and supermarkets. However, the MRL for each pesticide should be included in the tables as a referent. Otherwise, the tables in the supplementary data could be Table 1, and Table 2 as part of the manuscript. They are very informative and deserve to have an easy access for the readers.

414 data were evaluated and are presented in Table 2. All the pesticide concentrations detected in these  
415 vegetables were found to be not normally distributed; therefore, the data was then statistically  
416 evaluated by the non-parametric Mann-Whitney test. Subsequently, the median data was used to  
417 compare the differences in concentrations of pesticides between the two groups, the local market  
418 and the supermarket samples. For the Chinese kale, the median concentrations of dimethoate and  
419 profenofos were similar ( $P > 0.1$ ) between the samples from the local markets and the  
420 supermarkets. However, the median concentrations of diazinon and metalaxyl in the Chinese kale  
421 samples purchased from the supermarkets were significantly greater than those detected in the  
422 samples purchased from the local markets ( $P < 0.001$ , Table 2). With regard to results in pakchoi,  
423 the median concentrations of three pesticides, dimethoate, diazinon and metalaxyl in the local  
424 market samples were not significantly different from those found in the supermarket samples ( $P >$   
425  $0.05$ ). Though, the median concentrations of carbofuran in the pakchoi samples bought from the  
426 supermarkets were significantly higher than those observed in the local markets ( $P < 0.001$ , Table  
427 2). For the morning glory samples, there were no significant differences between the samples from  
428 the local markets and the supermarkets in median concentrations of pesticides. The exception to  
429 this was for the median concentration of diazinon in the supermarket samples was significantly  
430 higher than that seen in the local markets ( $P < 0.01$ , Table 2).

**Comentado [G15]:** That is equivalent to say conventional vs. organic and free of pesticides types, right?

**Comentado [G16]:** Although of course this might be not the expected situation, the differences that you have found in the concentration of pesticides in vegetables from the local markets and supermarkets are indeed significantly minimal.

## 431 432 DISCUSSION

433  
434 The GC-MS/MS methods established in our laboratory (Wanwimolruk *et al.*, 2015b) involving  
435 QuEChERS sample preparation and GC-MS/MS analysis, were validated. The methods were  
436 proven to be suitable and appropriate for determination of pesticide residues in the three leaf  
437 vegetables namely Chinese kale, pakchoi and morning glory. This was verified by results of assay  
438 validation which have illustrated good recovery, sensitivity, selectivity, linear calibration curves,  
439 good reproducibility and accuracy. The utilizations of GC combined with triple quadrupole MS  
440 technique not only aided the detection and quantitation of pesticides but it also offered excellent  
441 sensitivity for pesticide detection.

442 The present study examined potential contamination of 28 pesticides in three leaf vegetables  
443 namely Chinese kale, pakchoi and morning glory sold in Thailand. Twelve pesticides were  
444 detected in fresh Chinese kale samples bought from the local markets and the supermarkets. These

445 included carbamates (carbaryl, carbofuran), organochlorines (chlorothalonil), organophosphorus  
446 pesticides (chlorpyrifos, diazinon, dimethoate, malathion, profenofos), pyrethroids ( $\lambda$ -cyhalothrin,  
447 cypermethrin, deltamethrin), and metalaxyl. Findings of so many pesticides detected in this  
448 vegetable indicate that pesticides are widely and extensively used in the agronomy of Chinese kale  
449 in Thailand. This observation is in agreement with our recent finding in which many pesticide  
450 residues were detected in Chinese kale sold in Thailand (Wanwimolruk *et al.*, 2015b). Also, this is  
451 consistent with those previously observed pesticide contamination in vegetables in Thailand and  
452 other Asian countries (Chang *et al.*, 2005; Sapbamrer & Hongsibsong, 2014; Swarnam &  
453 Velmurugan, 2013). A study carried out in a northern part of Thailand (Sapbamrer & Hongsibsong,  
454 2014) reported that vegetables bought from markets contained organophosphorus pesticides  
455 greater than the recommended MRLs. These vegetables included garlic, Chinese cabbage, spring  
456 onion, Vietnamese coriander and Chinese kale. These findings with respect to Chinese kale agree  
457 with our observation in which the levels of three organophosphorus pesticides (chlorpyrifos,  
458 dimethoate and profenofos) were greater than their corresponding MRL values. In the present  
459 study, there were five pesticides, namely carbofuran, chlorpyrifos, cypermethrin, dimethoate and  
460 profenofos, which were detected in some samples at levels exceeded the MRLs (Figure 1). This  
461 implies that the Thai farmers used these pesticides in excessive doses or did not follow the GAP  
462 in which an appropriate pre-harvest interval, **i.e., the time period between the last pesticide**  
463 **application and a safe harvest of the treated crop,** was not considered. Metalaxyl, dimethoate and  
464 diazinon appeared to be the most often used pesticides in the agriculture of this vegetable.  
465 Although metalaxyl and diazinon were among the most often detected in the Chinese kale samples,  
466 the pesticide residue concentrations found were not exceed their corresponding MRL values.  
467 **Moreover, finding with large proportion of the Chinese kale samples (90%) contained multiple**  
468 **pesticide residues (Figure 1), clearly indicating that the Thai farmers are likely to use more than**  
469 **one pesticide during the cultivation of Chinese kale.**

470 **Observation on similar types of pesticides detected in these commonly three individual**  
471 **vegetables (Table 1) indicates that Thai farmers cultivated these three commonly consumed**  
472 **vegetables in the same areas of their farm, as it is easy to water and protect these vegetables from**  
473 **pests by using the same mixture of pesticides.** Among the pesticides used in cultivation of these  
474 three leafy vegetables, metalaxyl, dimethoate and diazinon were the most often used pesticides.  
475 Moreover, the similarity in the profiles of pesticides detected in these three commonly consumed

**Comentado [G17]:** This is very speculative, because it may be that Thai farmers producing these vegetables are neighbors and they grouped for to have a production with similar levels of quality?

476 vegetables studied, **i.e., Chinese kale, pakchoi and morning glory**, suggests that it is an advantage  
477 to reduce the cost for the pesticide monitoring by selecting to monitor the pesticide residues in  
478 only one of these vegetables. The results from any of these three vegetables will be eventually  
479 applied to those of the other two counterparts. Both extent and incidence of pesticide  
480 contamination observed in each vegetable were similar between the samples from both sources the  
481 local markets and the supermarkets. For instance, most of the twelve pesticides found in the  
482 Chinese kale were detected in both samples from the local markets and the supermarkets. The  
483 exception was three pesticides detected in the Chinese kale samples were not found in the samples  
484 from both sources, i.e., the local markets and the supermarkets. Chlorothalonil and deltamethrin  
485 were not detected in the local market samples, while a few samples from the supermarkets were  
486 contaminated with residues of these pesticides. Malathion was found in only one Chinese kale  
487 sample from the local markets but not in the samples from the supermarkets. Similar findings were  
488 seen in the other two vegetables (pakchoi and morning glory) regarding minor differences in  
489 pesticides detected in the samples from the local markets and the supermarkets. These minor  
490 differences in the profiles of pesticides found in the three commonly consumed vegetable samples  
491 from the local markets and the supermarkets may be related to the sources (or farms) where the  
492 vegetables were cultivated, difference of usage of each type of pesticides, and ignorance of GAP  
493 awareness. Traceability of the produces was hard to attain and ultimately this was not the primary  
494 goal of the current study. The merchants were in fact asked where they bought the vegetables from  
495 and habitually many of them did not have an answer. For those who provided an answer, it  
496 appeared that most of the vegetable samples tested were bought from four different whole sale  
497 markets in Bangkok and Nakhon Pathom province situated near Bangkok. Future studies are  
498 required to trace the farms where the vegetables are cultivated and to identify the factors or  
499 farmers' behaviors that attribute to the differences in rates of the pesticide detection and the MLR  
500 exceedance. Vitally, proper education such as GAP regarding the appropriate use of pesticides  
501 must be provided to these farmers.

502 The present study revealed overall incidence of pesticide detection in the three vegetables  
503 **studied was in a range from 97-100%**. For the Chinese kale, this high incidence of pesticide  
504 detection is consistent with our previous study published recently (*Wanwimolruk et al., 2015b*). In  
505 that study, an incidence of pesticide detection of 85% was reported in the Chinese kale collected  
506 in Nakhon Pathom province of Thailand. Characteristics and sources of the samples were similar

**Comentado [G18]:** Remove for redundancy

**Con formato:** Resaltar

**Con formato:** Resaltar

**Comentado [G19]:** But traceability would have been very useful to interpretation of the data that you obtained!



507 to those tested in the present study. It is obvious that these figures of the incidence of pesticide  
508 detection observed in the three commonly consumed vegetables are noticeably higher than the  
509 tolerable detection rate in western or developed countries, such as USA and European Commission  
510 (EC) countries like France, U.K., Norway and Germany. For example, the US FDA carried out a  
511 monitoring program of vegetables with thousands of domestic samples and imported samples  
512 (Granby *et al.*, 2008). Pesticide residues were found in 30% of the domestic vegetables and 21%  
513 of the imported vegetables. In Taiwan, between the years 1997-2003, pesticide residues were  
514 detected in 14% of 9,955 vegetable samples tested (Chang *et al.*, 2005). A survey study conducted  
515 in India found residues of many organophosphorus pesticides (e.g., chlorpyrifos, dimethoate,  
516 monocrotophos and profenofos) in 54 % of the vegetable samples (Swarnam & Velmurugan,  
517 2013). The latest study from Thailand (Sapbamrer & Hongsibsong, 2014) conveyed an overall  
518 pesticide detection rate of 25% (N = 106) in various vegetables bought from the markets. This rate  
519 is nevertheless much lower than the rates of pesticide detection in the Chinese kale, pakchoi and  
520 morning glory observed in this study. The difference may be accounted for by differences in  
521 seasons of vegetable cultivation, vegetable types, types of pesticides used and analytical methods  
522 employed.

523 Remarkably, the occurrence of pesticide detection exceeding the MRL in the three vegetables  
524 studied ranged from 35 to 71%; it was high in both samples from the local markets and the  
525 supermarkets. These were noticeably high, as compared with the incidence testified in developed  
526 countries. For instance, the US FDA declared that violations (with pesticide concentration >MRL)  
527 were found in 2% of the domestic and 7% of the imported vegetable samples (Granby *et al.*, 2008).  
528 The European Union (EU) Monitoring Program for pesticides declared that 5% of vegetable  
529 samples examined had the pesticide residue concentrations that exceeded the MRL (Granby *et al.*,  
530 2008). In Asia, a study carried out in Taiwan reported that of 9,955 samples tested, 1.2% were  
531 violating the MRL (Chang *et al.*, 2005). Therefore, the incidence of pesticide detection of >MRL  
532 in our three vegetables, Chinese kale, pakchoi and morning glory samples at rates of 32 to 49%  
533 are unusually high when compared with acceptable rates reported in developed countries.  
534 Nevertheless, these incidence rates are somehow similar to that found in Pakistan, an Asian  
535 country, in which 206 different vegetables were analyzed for 24 pesticides, and 46% had levels  
536 greater than the MRL (Parveen *et al.*, 2005). Also, a study from India (Swarnam & Velmurugan,  
537 2013) reported that 15 % of vegetable samples tested contained pesticide residues that exceeded

**Comentado [G20]:** Therefore, you do have an idea about the providers.

**Eliminado:** The incidence of pesticide detection of exceeding the MRL in these three vegetables investigated

540 the MLR values. In addition, the incidence of pesticide detection of >MRL was stated to be 24%  
541 in several market vegetables examined in northern districts of Thailand (*Sapbamrer &*  
542 *Hongsibsong, 2014*). This rate of pesticide detection is quite comparable to the rates reported in  
543 the present study. Recently, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), Ministry of Public Health  
544 of Thailand issued a report on the pesticide monitoring program for vegetables and fruits in which  
545 more than 60,000 samples were screened each year (*Srithongkum, 2014*). The report revealed that  
546 violations (with pesticide concentration >MRL) found in vegetables and fruits marketed in  
547 Thailand were in a range of 5% in the year 2011 to 4% in the year 2013. These rates reported by  
548 the Thailand FDA were approximately 7-14 times lower than the incidence of pesticide detection  
549 exceeding the MRL (35-71%) found in this study. The conflicting findings are likely to be  
550 accounted for by the difference in methods utilized in the two survey studies. The survey by the  
551 FDA of Thailand was done by using a cholinesterase inhibition assay kit called GT-Test kit. This  
552 assay kit is competent of detecting two groups of pesticides, i.e., carbamates (carbofuran and  
553 methomyl) and organophosphates (dicotophos and EPN). Nevertheless, unlike our current GC-  
554 MS/MS method, the GT-Test kit cannot offer a quantitative analysis like most analytical methods,  
555 such as UV spectrophotometric assays, LC-MS/MS and GC-MS/MS. Because the kit assay is  
556 restricted in detection to only four individual pesticides, it has less sensitivity and does not provide  
557 a quantitative determination of pesticide concentration. Thus, these restrictions of the kit assay can  
558 underestimate the incidence of MRL violations.

559 Unusually high rate of exceedance of the MRL found in the three vegetables investigated may  
560 be due to the fact that we used the recommended MRLs adopted from those employed in developed  
561 countries, i.e. Codex Alimentarius Commission (2015), and European Commission (2015). Some  
562 of MRLs for pesticides used may be too low and made the incidence unnecessarily high. For  
563 examples, MRL values for carbofuran were 20 ppb (0.02 ppm) for Chinese kale and pakchoi; and  
564 10 ppb (as a default value) for the morning glory. The MRLs for profenofos were 10 ppb (0.01  
565 ppm) as a default value, for Chinese kale and morning glory; and 50 ppb for the pakchoi. Using  
566 these low recommended MRLs yielded the remarkably high rate of MRL exceedance observed in  
567 the present study. In addition, it should be noted that our findings were limited to these three  
568 vegetables sold in a small number of central provinces of Thailand and did not reflect the figure  
569 for the whole country. This is because the sample sizes were considerably rather small. Larger  
570 sample sizes collected from many provinces of different regions in Thailand would be required to

**Comentado [G21]:** But maybe, producers had to increase the use of pesticides in the last years. That is happening in several regions of the world where organic crops have to interact with conventional ones.

**Eliminado:**

572 verify the incidence of pesticide contamination. Importantly, health risk assessment due to  
573 consuming these pesticide contaminated vegetables, has not yet been evaluated. A larger sample  
574 size would be necessary for that as well. Risk to health of tourists visiting Thailand is unlikely as  
575 they do not consume these vegetables daily nor in large amount.

Eliminado:

Comentado [G22]: See my comments on page 2.

576 There were substantial variations in the levels of pesticides found in the three vegetables tested  
577 in this study. For instance, profenofos levels found in the Chinese kale samples varied widely  
578 among the samples from both sources ranging from 0.1 to 2,095 ppb; and levels of carbaryl found  
579 in these samples ranged from 0.1 to 606 ppb. In addition, the large S.D. values (relative to their  
580 corresponding means) were found for each pesticides detected in the Chinese kale and also in the  
581 other two vegetables. This reflects the huge variation in concentrations of each pesticide detected  
582 in the three commonly consumed vegetables. The large variation in the level of pesticides detected  
583 in the vegetables may be due to many factors influencing the pesticide residues that remained on  
584 the vegetables at the time of harvest. These factors include the dosage of pesticides applied, dosing  
585 frequency and the pre-harvest interval for crops (*Banerjee et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2012*).  
586 Appropriate education on pesticide use and the pre-harvest interval for crops is necessary. This  
587 education will assist to lessen the amount of pesticide residues remaining in vegetables and fruits.

588 Critically, the remarkably high rate of exceedance of the MRL (ranged from 35 to 71%) found  
589 in the three commonly consumed vegetables reported in the present study indicates that these  
590 vegetables either purchased from both the local markets and the supermarkets are highly  
591 contaminated with pesticide residues. Regarding Thai people's expectations of supermarket  
592 produce, the findings in this study raises question to the quality of the vegetables marketed in  
593 supermarkets in Thailand. Quality of vegetables sold in the supermarkets in Thailand is, in general,  
594 thought to be good with regard to levels of pesticide contamination. Thai people's perception of  
595 supermarket vegetables and fruits is high with respect to quality and freshness. Most Thai  
596 consumers believe the labels placed on the produce sold in the supermarkets in which they are  
597 claimed to be pesticide-free or organic produce. However, these labels and claims are made  
598 without scientific evidence and testing to support them. The quality, in terms of pesticide  
599 contamination of vegetables sold in the local markets in Thailand is not guaranteed, as the routine  
600 national monitoring programs of pesticide residues is not fully implemented (*Wanwimolruk et al.,*  
601 *2015b*). The existing evidence points to considerable food safety problems, since pesticide residues  
602 were noticeably detected in vegetables sampled from the local markets in Thailand (*Sapbamrer &*

604 *Hongsibsong, 2014; Wanwimolruk et al., 2015b*). Findings derived from the current study further  
605 document the evidence of significant pesticide residues found in the three vegetables sold in  
606 Thailand. Such quality of these three commonly consumed vegetables marketed in Thailand  
607 appears to be similar regardless where the vegetables are purchased from, i.e. from local open-air  
608 markets or supermarkets. The present study has also demonstrated that there was similarity in the  
609 profiles of pesticides detected in the three commonly consumed vegetables from these two sources.  
610 In addition, the current study did not aim to compare organically grown and conventional grown  
611 vegetables but rather to compare the quality of the three commonly consumed vegetables bought  
612 from local markets or supermarkets, in term of pesticide contamination. The vegetables sold in the  
613 supermarkets of Thailand, in general have claimed to be either pesticide-free or organic produce.  
614 However, such statements issued by the supermarkets are not always reliable. Our study did not  
615 test all organically grown vegetables in Thailand, so the findings are limited to the three vegetables  
616 studied. By looking at the results of the current study, metalaxyl, dimethoate and diazinon appear  
617 to be the most often detected pesticides in the three commonly consumed vegetables studied,  
618 bought both from local markets and supermarkets.

619 The prices of vegetables and fruits sold in supermarkets in Thailand are substantially higher  
620 (2-6 times) than the produce sold in the local open-air markets. For example, the average price of  
621 Chinese kale from supermarkets was  $112 \pm 44$  Bahts/kg, (approximately US\$3.4/kg) which was  
622 more expensive than those from local markets ( $38 \pm 8$  Bahts/kg, approximately US\$1.1/kg). In  
623 spite of this, for some pesticides such as diazinon and metalaxyl, the levels of these pesticides in  
624 the Chinese kale samples from the supermarkets were significantly higher than those seen in the  
625 samples from the local markets (Table 1). A similar observation was also found in the other two  
626 vegetables investigated, pakchoi and morning glory. This implies that the level of pesticide  
627 contamination of these three commonly consumed vegetables cannot be warranted by the price of  
628 the produce. However, it may be correct that vegetables and fruits purchased from the  
629 supermarkets are fresher than those from local open-air markets. Our findings also emphasize the  
630 fact that these three commonly consumed vegetables, namely Chinese kale, pakchoi and morning  
631 glory, sold in the supermarkets in Thailand are not pesticide-free or organically grown as the  
632 merchants stated on the produce labels. This problem is challenging the Thai government  
633 authorities such as Thai FDA and the Department of Agriculture. The financial sponsor of this  
634 study, the Agricultural Research Development Agency (Public Organization) of Thailand

**Comentado [G23]:** But you did, because you separate clearly the presence of pesticides in organic vegetables from the supermarket and conventional from the local markets.

635 requested us, as researchers, to disseminate our findings through the Thai government authorities  
636 in order to facilitate the implementation of regulations and laws on pesticide residues and food  
637 safety. The Thai government authorities have been informed about the findings raised from this  
638 study. Further actions have been planned: to rectify situation with the supermarket stakeholders,  
639 continue pesticide monitoring program, reinforce the laws, and properly instate the GAP system  
640 to the farmers. These are very important not only to reduce the health risks of consumers associated  
641 with pesticide residues in vegetables but also to protect consumers' rights. The consumers who  
642 buy produce labeled organic pay more so should get a higher quality, pesticide free produce.

643

644

645

### CONCLUSIONS

646

647 There is considerable contamination of pesticides in three commonly consumed vegetables in  
648 Thailand, i.e., Chinese kale, pakchoi and morning glory. Nine to twelve pesticides were detected  
649 in these vegetables at detection rates of 97-100%. The rate of pesticide residues exceeding the  
650 MRL in these vegetables studied were remarkably high as compared to those reported in developed  
651 countries. The incidence of pesticide contamination was found to be similar between the  
652 vegetables bought from local markets and supermarkets. These findings questioned the quality of  
653 vegetables claimed to be pesticide-free sold in the supermarkets and urged the attention of the Thai  
654 government authorities to solve this important problem. This conclusion excludes Thai export  
655 quality vegetables and fruits that are routinely monitored for pesticide contamination before  
656 exporting. It is our recommendation for the Thai government authorities to conduct a proper  
657 pesticide monitoring program for these three commonly consumed local vegetables to protect the  
658 health of domestic consumers. The findings arisen from this study would be also useful for the  
659 Thai government to ascertain the MRL of pesticides in these three commonly consumed  
660 vegetables, and to incorporate other pest management strategies toward the safe and appropriate  
661 use of pesticides.

662

663

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

664

Eliminado: these

666 We are thankful to Dr. Nipa Rojroongwasinkul, Institute of Nutrition, Mahidol University for  
667 her guidance in the statistical analysis, and Ms. Onnicha Kanchanamayoon for her help in GC-MS  
668 analysis.

669

670

## REFERENCES

671

672 **Anastassiades M, Lehotay SJ, Stajnbaher D, and Schenck FJ. 2003.** Fast and easy multiresidue  
673 method employing acetonitrile extraction/partitioning and "dispersive solid-phase  
674 extraction" for the determination of pesticide residues in produce. *Journal of AOAC*  
675 *International* 86:412-431.

676 **Banerjee K, Upadhyay AK, Adsule PG, Patil SH, Oulkar DP, and Jadhav DR. 2006.** Rate of  
677 degradation of lambda-cyhalothrin and methomyl in grapes (*Vitis vinifera L.*). *Food*  
678 *Additives and Contaminants* 23:994-999. DOI:10.1080/02652030600838613

679 **Cervera MI, Portoles T, Lopez FJ, Beltran J, and Hernandez F. 2014.** Screening and  
680 quantification of pesticide residues in fruits and vegetables making use of gas  
681 chromatography-quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry with atmospheric pressure  
682 chemical ionization. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry* 406:6843-6855.  
683 DOI:10.1007/s00216-014-7853-1

684 **Chan PK. 1990.** 2-5. Practical Considerations for the Development and Risk Assessment of  
685 Pesticides. *The Journal of Toxicological Sciences* 15:176-182.  
686 DOI:10.2131/jts.15.SupplementIV\_176

687 **Chang J, Chen T, and Fang T. 2005.** Pesticide residue monitoring in marketed fresh vegetables  
688 and fruits in Central Taiwan (1999-2004) and an introduction to the HACCP system.  
689 *Journal of Food and Drug Analysis* 13:368-376.

690 **Chen C, Qian Y, Chen Q, Tao C, Li C, and Li Y. 2011.** Evaluation of pesticide residues in fruits  
691 and vegetables from Xiamen, China. *Food Control* 22:1114-1120.  
692 DOI:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2011.01.007>

693 **Chen MF, Chen JF, Syu JJ, Pei C, and Chien HP. 2014.** Insecticide residues in head lettuce,  
694 cabbage, Chinese cabbage, and broccoli grown in fields. *Journal of Agricultural and Food*  
695 *Chemistry* 62:3644-3648. DOI:10.1021/jf405555w

696 **Claeys WL, De Voghel S, Schmit JF, Vromman V, and Pussemier L. 2008.** Exposure  
697 assessment of the Belgian population to pesticide residues through fruit and vegetable  
698 consumption. *Food Additives and Contaminants Part A, Chemistry, Analysis, Control,*  
699 *Exposure and Risk Assessment* 25:851-863. DOI:10.1080/02652030701854741

700 **Codex Alimentarius Commission. 2015.** Pesticide Residues in Food and Feed. Available at  
701 <http://www.codex-alimentarius.net/pestres/data/pesticides/index.html> (accessed 15 March  
702 2015).

703 **Dong F, Chen X, Liu X, Xu J, Li Y, Shan W, and Zheng Y. 2012.** Simultaneous determination  
704 of five pyrazole fungicides in cereals, vegetables and fruits using liquid  
705 chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography A* 1262:98-106.  
706 DOI:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2012.08.100>

707 **Drouillet-Pinard P, Boisset M, Periquet A, Lecerf JM, Casse F, Catteau M, and Barnat S.**  
708 **2011.** Realistic approach of pesticide residues and French consumer exposure within fruit

709 and vegetable intake. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health Part B, Pesticides,*  
710 *Food Contaminants, and Agricultural Wastes* 46:84-91.  
711 DOI:10.1080/03601234.2011.534413

712 **European Commission. 2015.** Pesticide EU-MRLs. Available at  
713 [http://ec.europa.eu/sanco\\_pesticides/pub-lic/?event=substance.selection](http://ec.europa.eu/sanco_pesticides/pub-lic/?event=substance.selection) (accessed 7  
714 March 2015).

715 **Granby K, Petersen A, Hermann S, and Poulsen M. 2008.** Levels of pesticides in food and food  
716 safety aspects. In: Tadeo J, ed. *Analysis of Pesticides in Food and Environmental Samples.*  
717 Boca Raton, FL, USA: CRC Press, 287-318.

718 **Harnpicharnchai K, Chaiear N, and Chareerntanyarak L. 2013.** Residues of organophosphate  
719 pesticides used in vegetable cultivation in ambient air, surface water and soil in Bueng  
720 Niam Subdistrict, Khon Kaen, Thailand. *The Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine*  
721 *and Public Health* 44:1088-1097.

722 **Huan Z, Xu Z, Jiang W, Chen Z, and Luo J. 2015.** Effect of Chinese traditional cooking on  
723 eight pesticides residue during cowpea processing. *Food Chemistry* 170:118-122.  
724 DOI:10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.08.052

725 **Koesukwiwat U, Lehotay SJ, and Leepipatpiboon N. 2011.** Fast, low-pressure gas  
726 chromatography triple quadrupole tandem mass spectrometry for analysis of 150 pesticide  
727 residues in fruits and vegetables. *Journal of Chromatography A* 1218:7039-7050.  
728 DOI:10.1016/j.chroma.2011.07.094

729 **Lehotay SJ. 2007.** Determination of pesticide residues in foods by acetonitrile extraction and  
730 partitioning with magnesium sulfate: collaborative study. *Journal of AOAC International*  
731 90:485-520.

732 **Lehotay SJ, Son KA, Kwon H, Koesukwiwat U, Fu W, Mastovska K, Hoh E, and**  
733 **Leepipatpiboon N. 2010.** Comparison of QuEChERS sample preparation methods for the  
734 analysis of pesticide residues in fruits and vegetables. *Journal of Chromatography A*  
735 1217:2548-2560. DOI:10.1016/j.chroma.2010.01.044

736 **Li W, Tai L, Liu J, Gai Z, and Ding G. 2014.** Monitoring of pesticide residues levels in fresh  
737 vegetable from Heibei Province, North China. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*  
738 186:6341-6349. DOI:10.1007/s10661-014-3858-7

739 **Nagendra Prasad K, Shivamurthy GR, and Aradhya SM. 2008.** *Ipomoea aquatica*, An  
740 underutilized green leafy vegetable: a review. *International Journal of Botany* 4: 123-129.

741 **Osei-Fosu P, Donkor AK, Nyarko S, Nazzah NK, Asante IK, Kingsford-Adabo R, and**  
742 **Arkorful NA. 2014.** Monitoring of pesticide residues of five notable vegetables at  
743 Agboghloshie market in Accra, Ghana. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*  
744 186:7157-7163. DOI:10.1007/s10661-014-3917-0

745 **Parveen Z, Khuhro MI, and Rafiq N. 2005.** Monitoring of pesticide residues in vegetables  
746 (2000--2003) in Karachi, Pakistan. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and*  
747 *Toxicology* 74:170-176.

748 **Paya P, Anastassiades M, Mack D, Sigalova I, Tasdelen B, Oliva J, and Barba A. 2007.**  
749 Analysis of pesticide residues using the Quick Easy Cheap Effective Rugged and Safe  
750 (QuEChERS) pesticide multiresidue method in combination with gas and liquid  
751 chromatography and tandem mass spectrometric detection. *Analytical and Bioanalytical*  
752 *Chemistry* 389:1697-1714. DOI:10.1007/s00216-007-1610-7

753 **Sapbamrer R, and Hongsibsong S. 2014.** Organophosphorus pesticide residues in vegetables  
754 from farms, markets, and a supermarket around Kwan Phayao Lake of Northern Thailand.

755 *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 67:60-67.  
756 DOI:10.1007/s00244-014-0014-x

757 **Sapbamrer R, and Nata S. 2014.** Health symptoms related to pesticide exposure and agricultural  
758 tasks among rice farmers from Northern Thailand. *Environmental Health and Preventive*  
759 *Medicine* 19:12-20. DOI:10.1007/s12199-013-0349-3

760 **Srithongkum W. 2014.** Situation of food safety on consumption of vegetables and fruits in  
761 Thailand. The 1st National Conference on Food and Nutrition for Health Fruits and  
762 Vegetables for Nutrition Security. Bangkok, Thailand.

763 **Swarnam TP, and Velmurugan A. 2013.** Pesticide residues in vegetable samples from the  
764 Andaman Islands, India. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 185:6119-6127.  
765 DOI:10.1007/s10661-012-3012-3

766 **Thailand Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperation. 2013.** Pesticide residues: maximum  
767 residue limits. Thai Agricultural Commodity and Food Standards. TAS 9002-2013, ICS  
768 67.040. Available at  
769 [http://www.acfs.go.th/standard/download/MAXIMUM\\_RESIDUE\\_LIMITS\\_new.pdf](http://www.acfs.go.th/standard/download/MAXIMUM_RESIDUE_LIMITS_new.pdf)  
770 (accessed 12 January 2015).

771 **Wang S, Wang Z, Zhang Y, Wang J, and Guo R. 2013.** Pesticide residues in market foods in  
772 Shaanxi Province of China in 2010. *Food Chemistry* 138:2016-2025.  
773 DOI:10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.11.116

774 **Wanwimolruk S, Kanchanamayoon O, Boonpangrak S, and Prachayasittikul V. 2015a.** Food  
775 safety in Thailand 1: it is safe to eat watermelon and durian in Thailand. *Environmental*  
776 *Health and Preventive Medicine* 20:204-215. DOI:10.1007/s12199-015-0452-8

777 **Wanwimolruk S, Kanchanamayoon O, Phopin K, and Prachayasittikul V. 2015b.** Food safety  
778 in Thailand 2: Pesticide residues found in Chinese kale (*Brassica oleracea*), a commonly  
779 consumed vegetable in Asian countries. *Science of the Total Environment* 447-455.  
780 DOI:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.04.114

781 **Zhang F, Wang L, Zhou L, and Pan C. 2012.** Dissipation and residues of monosultap in rice  
782 plant and environment. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 88:362-  
783 367. DOI:10.1007/s00128-011-0437-2

784



785 **Figure Legends**

786

787 **Figure 1. Type of pesticides detected in the Chinese kale samples purchased from the local**  
788 **markets (n = 69) and the supermarkets (n = 68).** For each pesticide detected, the lower bars are  
789 for samples from the local markets, and the upper bars are for samples from the supermarkets.

790

791 **Figure 2. Type of pesticides detected in the pakchoi samples bought from the local markets**  
792 **(n = 63) and the supermarkets (n = 62).** For each pesticide detected, the lower bars are for  
793 samples from the local markets, and the upper bars are for samples from the supermarkets.

794

795 **Figure 3. Type of pesticides detected in the morning glory samples bought from the local**  
796 **markets (n = 74) and the supermarkets (n = 61).** For each pesticide detected, the lower bars are  
797 for samples from the local markets, and the upper bars are for samples from the supermarkets.

798