A new specimen of the Early Cretaceous bird *Hongshanornis longicresta*: Insights into the aerodynamics and diet of a basal ornithuromorph

The discovery of Hongshanornis longicresta, a small ornithuromorph bird with unusually long hindlimb proportions, was followed by the discovery of two closely related species, Longicrusavis houi and Parahongshanornis chaoyangensis. Together forming the Hongshanornithidae, these species reveal important information about the early diversity and morphological specialization of ornithuromorphs, the clade that contains all living birds. Here we report on a new specimen (DNHM D2945/6) referable to Hongshanornis longicresta that contributes significant information to better understand the morphology, trophic ecology, and aerodynamics of this species, as well as the taxonomy of the Hongshanornithidae. Most notable are the well-preserved wings and feathered tail of DNHM D2945/6, which afford an accurate reconstruction of aerodynamic parameters indicating that as early as 125 million year ago, basal ornithuromorphs had evolved aerodynamic surfaces comparable in size and design to those of many modern birds, and flight modes alike to those of some small living birds.

1	Luis M. Chiappe ¹ , Zhao Bo ² , Jingmai K. O'Connor ³ , Gao Chunling ² , Wang Xuri ^{2,4} ,
2	Michael Habib ⁵ , Jesus Marugan-Lobon ⁶ , Meng Qingjin ⁷ , and Cheng Xiaodong ²
3	¹ Dinosaur Institute, Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, 900
4	Exposition Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90007, U.S.A., chiappe@nhm.org;
5	² Dalian Natural History Museum, No. 40 Xicun Street Heishijiao Shahekou,
6	District Dalian, P.R. China, homegcl@163.com;
7	³ Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthroplogy, 142 Xizhimenwai
8	Dajie, Beijing, P.R. China, jingmai@usc.edu;
9	⁴ Institute of Geology, Chinese Academy of Geological Sciences, Beijing 100037, P.
10	R. China, <u>wang198109@163.com;</u>
11	⁵ University of Southern California, Health Sciences Campus, BMT 403 M/C 9112,
12	Los Angeles, CA 90089, U.S.A., habibm@usc.edu;
13	⁶ Unidad de Paleontología, Dpto. Biología, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid,
14	28049 Cantoblanco, Spain, jmaruganlobon@gmail.com;
15	⁷ Beijing Natural History Museum, 126 Tianqiao South Street, Beijing, P.R China 100050;
16	leappro@gmail.com
17	

Until the recent discoveries from the Jehol Group (Zhou & Zhang, 2007; Chiappe, 2007; 19 O'Connor, Chiappe & Bell, 2011; Zhou, Zhou & O'Connor, 2012) and other Early Cretaceous 20 sites (You et al., 2006) in northern China, the morphological and taxonomical diversity of the 21 basal Ornithuromorpha—which advanced members include all living birds—remained one of the 22 most poorly understood chapters of avian evolutionary history. The global record of these 23 Cretaceous birds was sparse and limited to either incompletely known taxa such as *Ambiortus* 24 25 dementjevi (Kurochkin, 1982), Vorona berivotrensis (Forster et al., 1996), or Ichthyornis dispar (Marsh, 1880; Clarke, 2004), or highly specialized forms such as the flightless *Patagoptervx* 26 deferrariisi (Alvarenga & Bonaparte, 1992; Chiappe, 2002) and the foot-propelled diving 27 28 hesperornithiforms (Marsh, 1880; Martin & Tate, 1976). The abundant discoveries from the Early Cretaceous Jehol Group of northern China have resulted in the recognition of a diversity of 29 basal ornithuromorph taxa (e.g., Yanornis martini, Yixianornis grabaui, Gansus yumenensis, 30 Hongshanornis longicresta, Archaeorhynchus spathula, Longicrusavis houi, Parahongshanornis 31 chaovangensis, Jianchangornis microdonta, Schizooura lii, Piscivoravis lii) (Zhou & Zhang, 32 2001, 2005, 2006; Clarke, Zhou & Zhang, 2006; You et al., 2006; Zhou, Zhang & Li, 2009; 33 O'Connor, Gao & Chiappe, 2010; Li et al., 2010; Zhou, Zhou & O'Connor, 2012, 2013a), which 34 are known by nearly complete specimens (albeit for the most part preserved bi-dimensionally) 35 36 and in some instances by multiple specimens (e.g., *Yanornis martini, Gansus yumenensis*, Archaeorhynchus spathula). These specimens have significantly helped to refine our 37 understanding of basal ornithuromorph morphology but despite these recent advances. 38 39 specimens of these birds remain relatively rare, the vast majority of the Chinese Early Cretaceous birds belong to more primitive groups such as Enantiornithes and basal pygostylians 40 (e.g., Confuciusornithidae, Sapeornithidae). 41

42	Among the newly unearthed avifauna of Chinese basal ornithuromorphs are the
42	Among the newry uncartice avriating of emilese basar ormititation of phis are the
43	hongshanornithids, which are particularly noticeable because of their small size (Table 1) and
44	because they are the only lineage of basal ornithuromorphs known from both the Yixian and
45	Jiufotang formations of the Jehol Group. Originally recognized by the discovery of the holotype
46	of Hongshanornis longicresta (Zhou & Zhang, 2005), subsequent discoveries have added the
47	closely related species Longicrusavis houi (O'Connor, Gao & Chiappe, 2010) and
48	Parahongshanornis chaoyangensis (Li, Wang & Hou, 2011). To date, while two additional
49	specimens of Hongshanornis longicresta have been recognized in the literature (Li, Zhou &
50	Clarke, 2011; Zheng et al., 2011), the published anatomical information on this taxon is still
51	limited to the preliminary description of the holotype, which skeleton is entirely preserved as
52	voids on two slabs (Zhou & Zhang, 2005). Here we provide a detailed description of the
53	anatomy of another specimen of Hongshanornis longicresta, DNHM D2945/6 (Figs. 1-4), which
54	preservation provides critical evidence for understanding better the morphology and function of
55	these birds. Furthermore, despite the new discoveries of basal ornithuromorphs, the integument
56	of these birds has remained poorly known in comparison to other basal avians from the Jehol
57	Biota, in particular what refers to the rectricial morphology (Zhou, Zhou & O'Connor, 2012).
58	The complete wing and tail surfaces of DNHM D2945/6, which arguably boasts one of the best-
59	preserved fan-shaped tail from the Mesozoic, reveals important new information about the
60	integumentary evolution and flight capabilities of basal ornithuromorphs.

61 Materials and Methods

62 Taphonomy and Preservation

DNHM D2945/6 is contained in a slab (DNHM D2945) (Figs., 1, 2) and counterslab
(DNHM D2946) (Figs., 3, 4), its bones preserved in a semi-three dimensional state and partially

split between the two slabs. Such preservation differs from that of the holotypes of *Hongshanornis longicresta* (IVPP V14533) and *Longicrusavis houi* (PKUP 1069), which are
preserved as voids (even if in a slab and counterslab). Such difference in preservation permits to
elucidate morphologies that were obscured in the void-preserved IVPP V14533 and PKUP 1069.
DNHM D2945/6 was mechanically prepared by the staff of the Natural History Museum of Los
Angeles County, who can verify that the specimen is entirely original.

71 Locality and Stratigraphy

72 DNHM D2945/6 was collected from the lacustrine deposits of the Yixian Formation at 73 the Dawangzhangzi locality near Lingyuan (Liaoning Province, China), the same locality that vielded the holotype (PKUP 1069) of the closely related *Longicrusavis houi* (O'Connor, Gao & 74 75 Chiappe, 2010). The holotype of *Hongshanornis longicresta* (IVPP V14533) comes from the 76 Shifo locality near Ningcheng (Inner Mongolia, China) and also from the Yixian Formation (Zhou & Zhang, 2005), and is separated from the Dawangzhangzi locality by a distance of 77 approximately 60 km. The stratigraphic and geographic location of other specimens reported as 78 79 belonging to *Hongshanornis longicresta* has not been provided in the publications that make reference to these specimens (Li, Zhou & Clarke, 2011; Zheng et al., 2011). The holotype of 80 Parahongshanornis chaoyangensis (PMOL-AB00161) is from the younger Jiufotang Formation 81 in Yuanjiawa Town, Chaoyang City (Liaoning Province, China) (Li, Wang & Hou, 2011), thus 82 this specimen is 3 to 5 million years younger (see Swisher et al., 2002; Yang, Li & Jiang, 2007; 83 Chang et al. 2009) than the Yixian hongshanornithids and separated by approximately 100 km 84 from either Dawangzhangzi or Shifo. 85

86 Aerodynamic Parameters

The aerodynamic parameters and definitions used here follow Pennycuick (2008). Accordingly, wingspan (**B**) is defined as the distance from one wing tip to the other, with the wings fully stretch out to the sides. Wing area (Swing) is the area of both wings as they are laid on a flat surface, and including the part of the body between the wings. Aspect ratio is the ratio of the wingspan to the mean chord (i.e., the distance from the leading edge to the trailing edge, measured along the direction of the air flow).

Body mass estimates for DNHM D2945/6, based on femoral length, vary substantially 93 94 according to the different equations provided by Maloiy et al. (1979), Alexander [1983; as listed by Hone et al. (2008)], and Peters & Peters (2009). Maloiy et al.'s (1979) equation results in an 95 unrealistically low body mass, approximately 12 g, comparable to that of many warblers 96 97 (Dunning, 2008). The equations of Alexander (1983) and Peters & Peters (2009) result in more likely estimates, 50 g and 64 g, respectively, more comparable to the body mass of some 98 99 cowbirds (e.g., Molothrus bonariensis, Molothrus rufoaxillaris) and orioles (e.g., Icterus *chrysater*, *Icterus gularis*) (Dunning, 2008). The mean value of these three estimates is 42 g. 100

101 Institutional Abbreviations

DNHM, Dalian Natural History Museum, Dalian, China; PKUP, Peking University,
Beijing, China; IVPP, Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Beijing,
China; STM, Tianyu Museum of Nature, Tianyu, China.

105 **Results**

106 Description

107In this description we primarily highlight morphological information from the new108specimen (DNHM D2945/6) that either supplements or contradicts the description of the

The entire skeleton of DNHM D2945/6 is preserved and visible in two slabs; the bones are broken between the two leaving clear voids. DNHM D2945 preserves the bones of the skull, most of the axial skeleton, right radius, major digit and tibiotarsus, and both feet (Figs. 1, 2). DNHM D2946 preserves most of the bones of the wings and thoracic girdle, both femora, the left tibiotarsus, and the distal ends of the pubes (Figs. 3, 4).

Skull. The skull is rather poorly preserved, embedded in a well-indurated rusty 118 119 concretion; the shape of the skull is well preserved, but few anatomical details can be discerned (Fig. 5). As in the holotype, the skull constricts abruptly into a low and pointed rostrum, different 120 from the more robust rostrum of *Longicrusavis houi* (O'Connor, Gao & Chiappe, 2010). 121 Impressions of five teeth can be clearly identified in the maxilla (Fig. 5), contradicting the 122 previous description of *Hongshanornis longicresta* as edentulous (Zhou & Zhang, 2005; Zheng 123 et al., 2011). Teeth were suggested for hongshanornithids on the basis of structures that appeared 124 to be alveoli preserved in the upper jaw of *Longicrusavis houi* (O'Connor, Gao & Chiappe, 125 2010). The presence of teeth in the premaxilla, however, cannot be determined in DNHM 126 127 D2945. There are no obvious pits or scaring on the maxilla or premaxilla to indicate that a beak was present, as in the edentulous Archaeorhynchus spathula (Zhou & Zhang, 2006; Zhou, Zhou 128 & O'Connor, 2013b). 129

The mandibular symphysial ossification (predentary bone of Zhou & Martin, 2011) is
 visible as a void in DNHM D2945. The fragmentary remains of two to four teeth are preserved
 set in the dentary. This confirms the presence of teeth in the dentary of hongshanornithids, which

141

was hypothesized by O'Connor, Gao & Chiappe (2010). Crowns cannot be discerned, but the 133 dentary teeth appear to be smaller than those preserved in the maxilla. The dentary is caudally 134 unforked and unfused to the surangular, as in other basal ornithuromorphs. 135

Axial Skeleton. The cervical vertebrae are poorly preserved, revealing little more than 136 the remnants of low neural spines. The anterior dorsal vertebrae (visible in ventral view in 137 DNHM D2945) bear compressed centra lacking ventral processes; the posterior dorsals have 138 thicker centra. The articulations are amphyplatan or amphicoelic and the elements are not fused 139 140 in a notarium. The lateral surfaces of the dorsal vertebrae are excavated by a broad fossa, as in other basal ornithuromorphs (e.g., Yanornis martini, Longicrusavis houi).

The synsacrum is incomplete and broken between the two slabs (dorsally exposed in 142 143 DNHM D2946 and ventrally exposed in DNHM D2945) (Figs. 1-4). A number of welldifferentiated costal processes project from the side of the synsacrum—these processes have 144 expanded distal ends for their attachment to the ilium. Judging by the number of costal processes 145 articulated to the ilium, the synsacrum was composed of no less than nine vertebrae (the 146 synsacral count cannot be determined in either the holotype of *Hongshanornis longicresta* or 147 *Longicrusavis houi*), which is comparable to other basal ornithuromorphs and more than is 148 typical of the more primitive enantiornithines (Chiappe, 1996). The ventral surface of the 149 synsacrum (anterior half preserved in DNHM D2945) is smooth, lacking a distinct groove (e.g., 150 Archaeorhynchus spathula, Patagopteryx deferrariisi). Dorsally, the portion of synsacrum 151 preserved in slab DNHM D2946—corresponding largely to the postacetabular portion—is 152 longitudinally scarred by a pair of shallow grooves. 153

154 At least four uncinate processes can be discerned, including one that is completely preserved (DNHM D2946). These ossifications are long (extending across two ribs) with broad 155 bases and tapered outlines (Fig. 6). The uncinate processes appear not to be fused to the ribs, as 156

in the holotype. Several ventral ribs are preserved in articulation with the sternum (DNHM
D2946). The proximal ends of the thoracic ribs are very robust, the expanded proximal portion
abruptly narrows towards the much thinner shaft (Fig. 6).

Appendicular Skeleton. The furcula is delicate and U-shaped as in many other basal 160 ornithuromorpha (e.g., Clarke, Zhou & Zhang, 2006; You et al., 2006; Zhou, Zhang & Li, 2009; 161 Zhou, Zhou & O'Connor, 2013b). The interclavicular angle is estimated to be between 45° (Fig. 162 6). The rami are transversely compressed proximally, becoming more dorsoventrally compressed 163 164 and wider towards the symphysis. The caudal surface of the bone exhibits a distinct trough running along the distal half of the rami and converging towards the symphysial region. The 165 proximal compression and caudal groove of the furcula of DNHM D2946 are comparable to that 166 167 reported by Li, Wang & Hou (2011) as a diagnostic characters of *Parahongshanornis* chaovangensis. The presence of these conditions in DNHM D2946 indicates that such characters 168 are unlikely to be diagnostic of the latter taxon. A cross section of the furcula in slab DNHM 169 D2945 indicates the bone may have been hollow. No long hypocleidium like that reported in the 170 holotype of *Hongshanornis longicresta* (IVPP V14533) is visible but the symphysial region of 171 DNHM D2945/46 is covered by the distal portion of the left coracoid, thus making it unclear if a 172 hypocleidium was present or not. The similarity between the furcula of DNHM D2945/46 and 173 that of *Longicrusavis houi* and *Parahongshanornis chaoyangensis*, taxa lacking a long 174 hypocleidium and possessing only a small tubercle at the symphysis (O'Connor, Gao & Chiappe, 175 2010; Li, Wang & Hou, 2011), suggests that the furcula of hongshanornithids possibly lacked a 176 well-developed hypocleidium. 177 The sternum, primarily preserved in DNHM D2946 in dorsal view, has a slightly rounded

The sternum, primarily preserved in DNHM D2946 in dorsal view, has a slightly rounded cranial margin (Fig. 6). Distally on the left side of the sternum, a bony bar with a terminal expansion is preserved. Damage makes it difficult to interpret this region of the sternum. One 181 alternative is that this bar corresponds to a slightly displaced, lateral trabecula with an expanded distal end; the lateral trabecula of the sternum of various basal ornithuromorphs (e.g., 182 183 Archaeorhynchus spathula, Jianchangornis microdonta, Yixianornis grabaui, Yanornis martini) is distally expanded in varying degrees (Zhou, Zhou & O'Connor, 2013b). Alternatively, it may 184 represent the lateral margin of a sternal fenestra, such as those present in the basal 185 ornithuromorphs Songlingornis linghensis, Yixianornis grabaui, and Yanornis martini (Clarke, 186 Zhou & Zhang, 2006; Zhou, Zhou & O'Connor, 2013b), a feature apparently absent in the 187 sternum of the holotype of *Hongshanornis longicresta*. Zhou & Zhang (2005) described the 188 sternum of the latter with lateral processes lacking a distal expansion; however the sternum of 189 this specimen is too poorly preserved to confidently support the absence of such expansion. 190

191 The strut-like coracoids articulate adjacent to each other on the cranial margin of the sternum, nearly touching each other if not slightly overlapping (Fig. 6). There is a depression 192 193 (possibly corresponding to the impression of the *m. sternocoracoidei* of modern birds) on the dorsal surface of the sternal half of these bones (also present in Jehol ornithuromorphs such 194 *Jianchangornis microdonta* and *Yixianornis grabaui*). This feature in ornithuromorphs is not as 195 pronounced as the dorsal fossa that excavates the coracoids of many Late Cretaceous 196 enantiornithines (Chiappe & Walker, 2002). The proximal ends of the coracoids are poorly 197 preserved so that a procoracoid process cannot be identified—the presence of this process in 198 199 *Hongshanornis longicresta* is not as clear as was suggested by Zhou & Zhang (2005) and remains equivocal in this taxon. However, a procoracoid process is known in almost every other 200 Early Cretaceous ornithuromorph (e.g., Jianchangornis microdonta, Yixianornis grabaui, 201 202 Yanornis martini, Gansus vumenensis) (Zhou & Zhang, 2001; Clarke, Zhou & Zhang, 2006; You et al., 2006; Zhou, Zhou & O'Connor, 2012). The body of the coracoid exhibits no evidence of 203 either a supracoracoid nerve foramen or medial notch (*incisura n. supracoracoidei*). Both the 204

lateral and medial borders of this bone are clearly concave, indicating that the convex lateral
margin described by Zhou & Zhang (2005) for the poorly preserved holotype is incorrect. A
lateral process is present; its squared off morphology is consistent with that of other Early
Cretaceous ornithuromorphs (e.g., Ambiortus dementjevi, Yixianornis grabaui, Gansus
yumenensis) (Clarke, Zhou & Zhang, 2006; Kurochkin, 1982; You et al., 2006).
Both humeri are exposed in caudal view in DNHM D2946 (Fig. 6). The head is prominent
-largely projected caudally-and proximally flat. Such design is more reminiscent to that of the
humeral head of Patagopteryx deferrariisi and other basal ornithuromorphs (i.e.,
Archaeorhynchus spathula, Jianchangornis microdonta) and it differs from the domed-head of
modern birds (Chiappe, 2002). The proximal third of the humerus appears to be very broad,
expanded as in the holotype of Hongshanornis longicresta, Ichthyornis dispar and Ambiortus
dementjevi (Kurochkin, 1985; Clarke, 2004) (Fig. 6). The caudal surface is not perforated by a
pneumotricipital foramen and the pneumotricipital fossa is minimally developed. A distinct
furrow—presumably the capital incisure—separates the ventral margin of the head from the
ventral tubercle. Ventral to the latter, on the ventroproximal corner (and the bicipital area) of the
bone, there is a shallow circular depression also present in Ichthyornis dispar, possibly
corresponding to the attachment site of the <i>m. pectoralis superficialis</i> (Clarke, 2004). The
deltopectoral crest is large—extending longitudinally for more than 1/3 the length of the bone
(Fig. 6)—and rounded, lacking the cranial deflection of more advanced ornithuromorphs
(neornithines). Distally, the margin of the crest gradually diminishes along the dorsal border of
the shaft, typical of Cretaceous ornithuromorphs (e.g., Jianchangornis microdonta, Yixianornis
grabaui, Archaeorhynchus spathula), as opposed to the rapid step-like constriction of this crest
in most basal birds (e.g., Confuciusornis sanctus, Sapeornis chaoyangensis, Rapaxavis pani) and
some basal ornithuromorphs (e.g., Schizooura lii, Zhongjianornis yangi).

In caudal view (DNHM D2946) the distal humeri bear no evidence of 229 humerotriciptial or scapulotricipital grooves (Fig. 6). The olecranon fossa is present but poorly 230 231 developed. A well-developed dorsal supracondylar process is present, clearest on the right humerus (DNHM D2946) as in *Longicrusavis houi* (O'Connor, Gao & Chiappe, 2010) and 232 Ichthyornis dispar (Clarke, 2004). The flexor process is small and poorly developed; the 233 transversal distal margin is roughly perpendicular from the longitudinal axis of the shaft as in 234 other ornithuromorphs, not angled as in many enantiornithines (Chiappe & Walker, 2002). The 235 236 cranial surfaces of the humeri are not visible, planted in the matrix.

The radius is straight and roughly half the width of the ulna. The ulna, comparable in length to that of the humerus (Table1), is exposed in caudal-dorsal view. Remige papillae are absent. The olecranon process is weakly developed. Distally, the ulna's dorsal condyle is rounded in caudal view. Near its articulation with the radius, it exhibits a small circular depression that may correspond to the radial depression of living birds (Baumel & Witmer, 1993).

The radius and ulna are in articulation with the proximal carpals and the carpometacarpus 243 (Fig. 7). The radiale is in articulation with the radius. The left carpometacarpus, exposed dorsally 244 in DNHM D2946, is completely fused, proximally and distally. Close to the contact between the 245 major (II) and alular (I) metacarpals there is a raised area. Both the major (II) and minor (III) 246 247 metacarpals are straight (Fig. 7). Proximally, there is a small depression on the minor metacarpal. The proximal end of the intermetacarpal space extends proximal to the level of the distal end of 248 the alular metacarpal (Fig. 7). The alular (I) metacarpal is subrectangular. An extensor process is 249 250 not absent, only minimally developed in such a way that the proximal end of the alular metacarpal is slightly more expanded than its distal end (Fig. 7), as in some other Cretaceous 251 ornithurmorphs (e.g., Jianchangornis microdonta, Gansus vumenensis, Yixianornis grabaui). 252

The alular digit bears two phalanges. The second phalanx, a claw, extends slightly past 253 the distal end of the major metacarpal, as described by Zhou & Zhang (2005) for the holotype. 254 255 The major digit has three phalanges; the proximal phalanx is broad bearing a well-developed, sinusoidal lateral flange (Fig. 7). As in the holotype, the intermediate phalanx is S-shaped (Zhou 256 & Zhang, 2005). The claw of the major digit is much smaller than that of the alular digit. The 257 minor digit bears a single, wedge-shaped phalanx that tapers distally; Longicrusavis houi and the 258 Hongshanornis longicresta holotype bear two phalanges on this digit, the second being 259 260 extremely reduced (and not an ungual) suggesting that this small phalanx is simply not preserved in DNHM D2945/6. 261

The ilia are poorly preserved and broken between the two slabs; the left is visible in medial view in DNHM D2945, while portions of the right are preserved in DNHM D2946 in dorsal view. The preacetabular wing has a straight dorsal margin that tapers cranially. The caudal half of the ventral margin of the preacetabular wing defines a broad notch, a condition observed in other Jehol ornithuromorphs (e.g., *Archaeorhynchus spathula, Schizooura lii*) (Zhou, Zhou & O'Connor, 2012, 2013b).

A fragment of the pubis is preserved near the midshaft of the right tibiotarsus in DNHM 268 D2946 (Figs, 1-4, 8). The distal ends of both pubes are in contact and only slightly disarticulated. 269 They are not fused but their flat medial surfaces form a short, expanded symphysis, although a 270 distinct 'boot', with a prominent caudal projection, like that of some enantiornithines is absent 271 (Chiappe & Walker, 2002) (Fig. 8). The presence of this distal pubic expansion, identical to that 272 present in *Parahongshanornis chaovangensis*, indicates that unlike what was claimed by Li, 273 274 Wang & Hou (2011), this feature is not diagnostic of the latter species. The cross-section of the shaft of the distal portion of the pubis is oval, with the main axis oriented craniocaudally. No 275 pygostyle or caudal vertebrae are preserved in DNHM D2945/6. 276

The elongate hindlimbs are completely preserved in both slabs as partly bone and partly void (Figs. 1-4). The left femur is preserved in articulation with the left ilium in DNHM D2945 —its rounded head is visible through the acetabulum, which is exposed medially. The femoral shaft is only slightly bowed craniocaudally. Its laterodistal end is exposed in DNHM D2946; similar to other Jehol ornithuromorphs (e.g., *Yixianornis grabaui*), there is minimal development of the fibular trochlea and tibiofibular crest, which are developed in *Patagopteryx deferrariisi* and ornithurines (Chiappe, 2002; Clarke, 2004).

284 The tibiotarsus is more than 150% the length of the femur (tibiotarsus: femur = 1.6) Table 1). The right element is in articulation with the fibula, which is exposed caudally in 285 DNHM D2946. Proximally, the tibiotarsus exhibits a large, well-developed cnemial crest (Fig. 286 287 9). This crest, exposed laterally in DNHM D2946, is limited proximally, and projects proximally beyond the proximal articular surface of the tibiotarsus. Its cranial edge develops into an inflated 288 quadrangular prominence that projects laterally (Fig. 9). The morphology of this crest is 289 comparable to that of other Early Cretaceous ornithuromorphs (e.g., Schizooura lii, Yixianornis 290 grabaui) (Clarke, Zhou & Zhang, 2006; Zhou, Zhou & O'Connor, 2012). This cranial 291 prominence is separated by a lateral trough from the proximal articular surface of the tibiotarsus. 292 It is not possible to determine whether the tibiotarsus had one or two cnemial crests; if a cranial 293 cnemial crest were present, it would be embedded in sediment and obstructed by the lateral 294 295 cnemial crest. In caudal view, the proximal fourth of the tibiotarsus is marked by a robust ridge that slants towards the proximomedial corner of the bone—this feature is not present in 296 Longicrusavis houi, further distinguishing this taxon from Hongshanornis longicresta. 297

Distally, the tibia is largely fused to the distal tarsals, however the suture of an ample ascending process of the astragalus remains visible on both the left (DNHM D2946) and right (DNHM D2945) tibiotarsi. The distal condyles are preserved in cranial view in DNHM D2945. The lateral condyle is larger than the medial condyle, as in other basal ornithuromorphs (Chiappe, 1996; Zhou & Zhang, 2006), and separated by a wide intercondylar groove (*incisura intercondylaris*). The cranial surface of the distal end is scarred by a deep extensor sulcus, which ends near a raised area just proximal to the intercondylar groove—a supratendinal bridge like that of more advanced ornithurines is not developed. The lateral epicondyle is minimally developed and there is only a slightly-developed, crescent-like lateral epicondylar depression. Caudally, neither the lateral or medial crests of the cartilaginous trochlea of the tibia (*trochlea cartilaginous tibialis*) are developed. These crests are slightly developed in *Yixianornis grabaui* and well developed in *Apsaravis ukhaana* (Norell & Clarke, 2001; Clarke, Zhou & Zhang, 2006). The fibula is very slender (Figs., 8, 9)—its distal end does not seem to extend beyond the midpoint of the tibiotarsus.

Both tarsometatarsi are well-exposed in cranial view in DNHM D2945. As reported in the holotype of *Hongshanornis longicresta* (Zhou & Zhang, 2005), metatarsals II-IV are completely fused to one another. The intercotylar prominence is at best minimally developed. The proximal cotyla are slightly concave—the lateral one is slightly more distally placed than 315 the medial one. Metatarsal III is plantarly displaced so that proximally, metatarsal II and 316 metatarsal IV form ridges defining a recess excavating the central portion of the tarsometatarsus. 317 Such morphology is consistent with that of other basal ornithuromorphs (e.g., Yanornis martini, 318 319 Yixianornis grabaui, Gansus yumenensis, Ichthyornis dispar). Inside this proximocentral recess there is a foramen located between metatarsals III and IV, and medial to it, a tubercle on 320 metatarsal II (possibly corresponding to the *m. tibialis cranialis* tuberosity of modern birds). 321 322 Metatarsal III is the longest; metatarsal IV is slightly shorter, followed by the even shorter metatarsal II (Fig. 10). Metatarsal I is robust and fairly straight, with a concave medial margin. A 323 distal vascular foramen is located between metatarsals III and IV as in *Longicrusavis houi*; the 324

distal margin of the foramen is raised. The foramen seems to penetrate the bone at an oblique
angle—from cranial to plantar surfaces. All trochleae appear to be ginglymous.

Digit III is the longest (Table 1); digit II is substantially shorter than IV (Fig. 10). Digit I is short and slender. All the pedal phalanges are long and slender and decrease in length distally. The phalanges of the second and third digits are approximately 2/3 the length of the preceding phalanx. The phalanges of digit IV are subequal, but still slightly decrease in length distally. The ungual phalanges bear distinct flexor tubercles. The morphology of the distal tarsometatarsus and the proportions of the pedal phalanges are consistent with cursorial function (Hopson, 2001), as in other Early Cretaceous ornithuromorphs.

Plumage. DNHM D2945/6 preserves significant portions of the plumage of the wing, 334 335 tail, and around the skull and neck. The feathers preserved over the skull question the assumption that this species was characterized by the presence of a feathery crest projecting from 336 the head (Zhou & Zhang, 2005). DNHM D2945/6 shows nothing of that sort. The relatively 337 short feathers of the head become gradually shorter until the plumage ends at the junction of the 338 rostrum and the orbit, thus indicating that the culmen was devoid of feathers (whether it was 339 covered by skin or a corneous beak is unknown). Furthermore, contrary to what was suggested 340 by Zheng et al. (2011) for another specimen putatively identified as *Hongshanornis longicresta* 341 (STM 35-3), DNHM D2945/6 indicates that these birds had relatively broad, but long and 342 343 tapered, wings (Figs. 1-4, 11).

The most distal primaries are clearly much shorter than the remaining primaries. Based on the well-preserved outline of the wing, our estimation of the wingspan of DNHM D2945/6 is approximately 0.32 m and the wing area is 0.016 m² (Fig. 11). There is exquisite evidence of rectrices forming a fan-like tail preserved in natural orientation, about the extended feet. <u>Although visualization of individual feathers is difficult to due overlap, the tail appears to be</u> 349 composed of at least 10 vaned rectrices. The precise degree of asymmetry of these feathers cannot be ascertained but it is clear that none of them were strongly asymmetric (Fig. 10). The 350 351 caudal margin of the feathered tail is rounded and the pair of central rectrices projects distally more than the feathers on either side, thus suggesting a gently graded tail (comparable to the one 352 described for *Piscivoravis lii*; see Zhou, Zhou & O'Connor, 2013a). The holotype of 353 Hongshanornis longicresta also shows evidence of an extensive feathered tail as a series of 354 partially preserved vaned feathers between the feet (IVPP V14533A); these are very faint and 355 356 were not described in the original description (see O'Connor, Gao & Chiappe, 2010). In the holotype of *Hongshanornis longicresta*, the tail is clearly incomplete and only four feathers can 357 be discerned; the tail in DNHM D2945/6 appears complete. The number of preserved feathers is 358 359 thus greater than the one described in the younger ornithuromorphs *Yixianornis grabaui* (minimum of eight described) and *Piscivoravis lii* (at least six described) from the Jiufotang 360 Formation (Clarke, Zhou & Zhang, 2006; Zhou, Zhou & O'Connor, 2013a). 361

Gastroliths. At least 11 small geo-gastroliths are clustered in the visceral and pelvic 362 region of DNHM D2945/6. Some of these 'stomach stones' are still in place while others are 363 represented by the voids left on the slabs (Figs. 1-4, 8). The smallest of the stones is nearly half 364 the size the largest (1.71 mm to 3.08 mm) with the mean being 2.2 mm (Table 2). They range in 365 shape from subspherical to oblong. It is unclear whether gastroliths were preserved with the 366 367 holotype (IVPP V14533A) although more than 50 small (~1 mm) geo-gastroliths were reported in the poorly preserved STM 35-3, a specimen identified as of *Hongshanornis longicresta* by 368 Zheng et al. (2011). Despite the fact that Zheng et al. (2011) did not provide any anatomical 369 370 evidence supporting the identification of STM 35-5 as *Hongshanornis longicresta*, the discovery of DNHM D2945/6 provides unquestionable evidence of the behavior of ingesting grit by this 371 basal ornithuromorph species. 372

The specimen described here, DNHM D2945/6, is indistinguishable from the holotype of *Hongshanornis longicresta* and is thus referred to this taxon. DNHM D2945/6 is from the same
locality and formation (Yixian) that yielded the closely related *Longicrusavis houi* (PKVP 1069;
O'Connor, Gao & Chiappe, 2010). The coexistence of these two closely related taxa in a single
fauna suggests that Hongshanornithidae was a diverse component of the avifauna of the Yixian
Formation.

DNHM D2945/6 reveals important new information regarding the morphology, diet, and 380 381 ecology of *Hongshanornis longicresta* and other Early Cretaceous ornithuromorphs. The new specimen of *Hongshanornis longicresta* preserves actual fossilized bone rather than voids of 382 bone, as in the holotype (IVPP V 14533), and as such this new specimen helps clarify the 383 384 osteology of the taxon. DNHM D2945/6 documents the presence of two different skull morphologies within Hongshanornithidae. It also confirms that the upper and lower jaws of these 385 birds were toothed, a conclusion previously suggested by morphologies preserved in the 386 387 holotype of Longicrusavis houi (O'Connor, Gao & Chiappe, 2010). Additionally, DNHM 388 D2945/6 shows that the lateral margin of the coracoid of hongshanornithids was concave, as is typical of other basal ornithuromorphs, and not convex as reported by Zhou & Zhang (2005). 389 DNHM D2945/6 also preserves a dorsal supracondylar process, a feature present in 390 391 Longicrusavis houi and many extant shorebirds.

The presence of a hypocleidium in *Hongshanornis longicresta* is still controversial. This process does not appear present in DNHM D2945/6, however preservation prevents us from making an unequivocal statement. While most known Early Cretaceous ornithuromorphs do not possess even the smallest hypocleidium, basal ornithuromorphs with furculae bearing a distinct hypocleidium have been recently reported (Zhou, Zhou & O'Connor, 2012). Such discoveries
lend credibility to Zhou & Zhang's (2005) claim that the furcula of *Hongshanornis longicresta*possessed a hypocleidium, although well-preserved specimens are necessary to corroborate such
a claim.

Furthermore, the anatomy of DNHM D2945/6 partially undermines the anatomical basis
used by Li, Wang & Hou (2011) to diagnose the hongshanornithid *Parahongshanornis chaoyangensis*. The compression of the proximal portion of the furcula and caudal groove of this
bone in DNHM D2945/6 indicate that these characters cannot be considered as diagnostic of *Parahongshanornis chaoyangensis*. This is the same for the distal expansion of the pubes, a
condition that is identical in DNHM D2945/6 and the holotype of *Parahongshanornis chaoyangensis*.

407 Diet

The presence of teeth on both the maxilla and the dentary, and the reinterpretation of the hongshanornithids as toothed birds, impact earlier ecological inferences for the clade, suggesting they were less specialized trophically than previously imagined. The presence of at least two distinct skull morphologies within Hongshanornithidae indicates intraclade trophic diversity, and niche partitioning within the specialized wading ecology inferred to have been occupied by this clade.

The geo-gastroliths preserved associated with the visceral region of DNHM D2945/6 offer a glimpse into the digestive system and dietary preferences of hongshanornithids. A variety of aquatic and terrestrial organisms regularly ingest sand, fine gravel, or coarse sand. These 'stomach stones' or grit are assumed to perform a variety of functions ranging from acting like ballast in aquatic animals to parasite control and hunger placation (Wings, 2007). Most typically,

419	however, geo-gastroliths are interpreted as grinding devices assisting the digestion of hard food
420	items. Geo-gastroliths are not only common among living crocodiles (Taylor, 1993; Henderson,
421	2003) and birds (Gionfriddo & Best, 1999) but they also have been documented in a variety of
422	extinct archosaurian clades, including pterosaurs (Codorniu, Chiappe & Cid, 2013) and every
423	major group of dinosaurs (Osborn, 1924; Ji et al., 1998; Weems, Culp & Wings, 2007; Wings &
424	Sander, 2007). Geo-gastroliths have also been discovered in association with the skeletons of a
425	number of Early Cretaceous ornithuromorphs from China (e.g., Yanornis martini, Gansus
426	yumenensis, Archaeorhynchus spathula) (Zhou et al., 2004; You et al., 2006; Zhou, Zhou &
427	O'Connor, 2013b). The presence of geo-gastroliths in fossil dinosaurs (including birds) is
428	generally regarded as indicative of herbivory (Ji et al., 1998; Gionfriddo & Best, 1999;
429	Kobayashi et al., 1999; Wings, 2007; Xu et al., 2009; Zanno & Makovicky, 2011; Brusatte,
430	2012), however such a correlation is not as consistent as often assumed. In the case of DNHM
431	D2945/6, the closely associated cluster of fairly evenly sized stones located in the abdominal
432	cavity distal to the sternum but proximal to the pelvic girdle, is consistent with the interpretation
433	of these geo-gastroliths as gizzard stones. Furthermore, the report by Zheng et al. (2011) of a
434	specimen (STM 35-3, identified as of Hongshanornis longicresta) containing seeds in a crop
435	provides evidence that these birds were granivorous. Such evidence is consistent with both the
436	preservation of geo-gastroliths in DNHM D2945/6 and STM 35-3, and the functional
437	interpretation that highlights the role these stones play in processing hard foods.

438 Caudal Plumage

439 Very little is known about the rectrices of basal ornithuromorphs and DNHM D2945/6
440 represents what is possibly the most informative example for understanding the morphology of
441 the tail of these birds. Only two types of feathered tails—fan-shaped and forked—have been

reported for basal ornithuromorphs (Zhou & Zhang, 2001; Clarke, Zhou & Zhang, 2006; Zhou, 442 Zhou & O'Connor, 2012, 2013a). Such limited diversity contrasts with what is known for their 443 sister-group, the Enantiornithes, in which a larger number of tail morphologies (including one 444 inferred to be aerodynamic; O'Connor et al., 2009) are known (Zhang & Zhou, 2000; Zheng, 445 Zhang & Hou, 2007; O'Connor, Gao & Chiappe, 2010; O'Connor et al., 2012). 446 DNHM D2945/6 provides evidence that as early as 125 million year ago, basal 447 ornithuromorphs had evolved a feathered tail comparable in size and design to those of many 448 449 modern birds. Such tail had rectrices suitable of fanning and capable of generating aerodynamic forces much greater than those of more basal birds (Gatesy & Dial, 1996). 450 In living birds, all but the central pair of rectrices of a fan-shaped tail anchor on a 451 452 musculo-adipose organ, the rectricial bulb, which controls the fanning of the feathers and as such, plays a critical role in flight (Gatesy & Dial, 1996). The rectricial bulb is in turn supported 453 by, and intimately connected to, the pygostyle. Nonetheless, because no direct evidence of a 454 rectricial bulb has ever been found in any Mesozoic bird, whether the pygostyle is a reliable 455 osteological correlate of the rectricial bulb has been questioned (Clarke, Zhou & Zhang, 2006). 456 The morphology of the pygostyle of basal ornithuromorphs (and of their living relatives) differs 457 from that typical of more basal birds, which commonly possess a long, robust, and club-shaped 458 pygostyle (e.g., Confuciusornithidae, Enantiornithes). In contrast, the pygostyle of 459 460 ornithuromorphs is relatively small, more delicate, and plough-shaped—even if the pygostyle of hongshanornithids is poorly known (and missing in DNHM 2945/6), its morphology seems to 461 agree with that of other basal ornithuromorphs (Zhou & Zhang, 2005). The morphological 462 difference between the pygostyle of ornithuromorphs and that of more basal birds led Clarke, 463 Zhou & Zhang (2006) to hypothesize that the rectricial bulb evolved in concert with both the 464 modern avian pygostyle (plough-shaped) and aerodynamic tail morphologies such as those 465

466	known for basal ornithuromorph taxa. This hypothesis, however, has been somewhat challenged
467	by the recent report of a tail formed by long, shafted rectrices in the enantiornithine Shanweiniao
468	cooperorum (O'Connor et al., 2009). In the holotype and only known specimen of this taxon, the
469	impressions of four vaned feathers can be seen projecting from the end of the caudal vertebral
470	series. Such evidence suggests that the presence of a large and club-shaped pygostyle, like the
471	one characteristic of enantiornithines, might not have excluded the development of a fan-shaped
472	feather tail among non-ornithuromorph birds from such tail feather morphologies (O'Connor et
473	al., 2009), and therefore that a rectricial bulb might have evolved either prior to the origin of the
474	Ornithuromorpha or independently in more than one clade of birds (e.g., Ornithuromorpha and
475	Enantiornithes). The derived phylogenetic position of Shanweiniao cooperorum, nested among
476	advanced enantiornithines (O'Connor & Zhou, 2012), and the widespread presence of pintail
477	morphologies lacking vaned rectrices capable of fanning among other enantiornithines
478	(O'Connor et al., 2012) favor interpretations of the aerodynamic tail of Shanweiniao (and
479	presumably the rectricial bulb that operated the fanning of its rectrices) as an independent
480	evolutionary event. Likewise, the presence of fan-shaped feathered tails and modern-like
481	pygostyles in a variety of basal ornithuromorphs (e.g., Hongshanornis longicresta, Yixianornis
482	grabaui, Yanornis martini, Piscivoravis lii) supports the argument that such morphologies are
483	ancestral for Ornithuromorpha (Clarke, Zhou & Zhang, 2006).

484 Aerodynamics

485 DNHM D2945/6 possesses relatively broad, but tapered wings. Compared to a general 486 regression of mass against wing area for birds (data taken from Greenewalt, 1964), the relative 487 wing area for DNHM D2945/6 is somewhat larger than average, when using the mean body 488 mass estimate (42 g; residual of -0.37) (see Materials and Methods). However, using the heaviest mass estimate for DNHM D2945/6 (65 g) (see Materials and Methods) yields an
average wing area, and therefore a typical wing loading compared to a modern bird of similar
size (residual of -0.04).

The overall size of DNHM D2945/6, along with its wing shape, is qualitatively similar to 492 that of some living passerines with flexible diets (e.g., *Monticola solitarius*, *Sturnus vulgaris*, 493 *Turdus naumanni*). These same living birds also tend to have somewhat tapering wings without 494 extensive wing tip slotting. As a result, we tentatively suggest that DNHM D2945/6 may have 495 496 lacked extensive wingtip slotting, even if direct evidence of this is not available in the specimen. Additional specimens will be required to confirm this prediction. Tip slots are most effective at 497 slow speeds, where they can increase effective aspect ratio in wings with low geometric aspect 498 499 ratio (Tucker, 1993). As a result, it is generally expected that birds without tip slots tend to either have high geometric aspect ratio (which is not relevant to DNHM D2945/6), or else tend 500 to fly at relatively high speeds. Based on its intermediate size and moderate aspect ratio, DNHM 501 D2945/6 would be expected to flap continuously at low speeds, but may have switched to flap-502 bounding (a cycle of flapping and bounding with the wings flexed) at higher rates of travel, since 503 this type of gait transition is seen among modern birds with similar wing shape and body size to 504 DNHM D2945/6. We note that such gait transitions are related to flight speed and cost of 505 transport, both of which relate to total body size and wing loading (Tobalske, Peacock & Dial, 506 507 1999: Tobalske, 2001). Since we have reliable measurements of the specimen dimensions. conservative predictions of gait are possible for DNHM D2945/6, even though the wings of the 508 specimen lack feather details. For the same reasons, we do not expect that these gait transitions 509 510 would be particularly sensitive to specifics of osteology (i.e. differences in skeletal structure between basal ornithuromorphs and modern birds). So long as DNHM D2945/6 was able to fly 511

continuously for significant distances, the same basic patterns of cost of transport relative to sizeand flight speed should apply to both living neornithines and DNHM D2945/6.

Tail positioning and effective functional area are more difficult to estimate in fossil taxa than the same variables for the wings, because avian tails can be used at extremely fanned or collapsed states (or any number of positions in between). While the degree of asymmetry of the rectrices is unclear, the lateral feathers of the tail in DNHM D2945/6 appear to be less asymmetrical than it would expected if these feathers were regularly oriented with their long axis fully transverse to the air flow (as would be the case for primary feathers on the wings or the lateral rectrices in a fully fanned tail). This suggests that the tail was typically deployed as a partially fanned "wedge", rather than a full fan. This is not uncommon among modern birds with long tails, such as flycatchers, accipiters, and sunbitterns (MH, pers. observation).

The preserved plumage of DNHM D2945/6 indicates that hongshanornithids had wing and tail surfaces comparable to those of living birds of similar sizes. In general, the morphology of the wing and feathered tail of DNHM D2945/6, combined with estimates of its weight, is indicative of a flight mode comparable to the intermittent flight of many medium-sized passerines and congruent with the conclusions reached by Close & Rayfield (2012), whose geometric morphometric analysis of furculae interpreted *Hongshanornis longicresta* as a continuous flapper.

530 Acknowledgments

We thank Doug Goodreau for preparing the specimen, Stephanie Abramowicz for creating the
illustrations, and Maureen Walsh for editing the manuscript. This research was supported by the
"Study of Morphology and Systematics of the Jehol Biota" project of the "Building an
Innovative Team Plan" program of Chinese government, and by funds from the Dinosaur

535 Institute.

536 Author Contributions

LMC designed and supervised the project, studied the anatomy of the new specimen, and cowrote the manuscript, JEO study the anatomy of the new specimen and co-wrote the manuscript,
JML provided the wing and tail parameters, produced size estimates, and gastrolith
measurements, MH, analyzed the aerodynamic parameters and co-wrote the manuscript, CG,
ZB, WR, MQ, and CX supervised the curation of the specimen and provided general support and
logistics in China. All authors contributed to the general discussion of the manuscript

543 **References**

Alexander N. 1983. Allometry of the leg bones of moas (Dinornithes) and other birds. *Journal of Zoology*, 200:215-23.

Alvarenga HM, Bonaparte JF. 1992. A new flightless land bird from the Cretaceous of Patagonia.
In: Campbell KC, ed. *Papers in Avian Paleontology Honoring Pierce Brodkorb*. Los Angeles:
Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, 51-64.

549 Baumel JJ, Witmer LM. 1993. Osteologia. In: Baumel JJ, King, AS, Breazile JE, Evans HE,

550 Vanden Berge JC, eds. Handbook of Avian Anatomy: Nomina Anatomica Avium (Second

551 Edition). Cambridge: Nuttall Ornithological Club, 45-132.

552 Brusatte SL. 2012. Dinosaur Paleobiology. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell, 322.

L

553	Chang S, Zhang H, Renne PR, Fang Y. 2009. High-precision 40Ar/39Ar age for the Jehol Biota.
554	Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 280:94-104.
555	Chiappe LM. 1996. Late Cretaceous birds of southern South America: anatomy and systematics
556	of Enantiornithes and Patagopteryx deferrariisi. Münchner Geowissenschaftliche Abhandlungen,
557	30:203-244.
558	Chiappe LM. 2002. Basal bird phylogeny: problems and solutions. In: Chiappe LM, Witmer LM,
559	eds. Mesozoic Birds: Above the Heads of Dinosaurs. Berkeley: University of California Press,
560	448-472.
561	Chiappe LM. 2007. Glorified Dinosaurs: the Origin and Early Evolution of Birds. Hoboken:
562	John Wiley & Sons, 263.
563	Chiappe LM, Calvo JO. 1994. Neuquenornis volans, a new Late Cretaceous bird (Enantiornithes:
564	Avisauridae) from Patagonia, Argentina. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology, 14:230-246.
565	Chiappe LM, Walker CA. 2002. Skeletal morphology and systematics of the Cretaceous
566	Euenantiornithes (Ornithothoraces: Enantiornithes). In: Chiappe LM, Witmer LM, eds.
567	Mesozoic Birds: Above the Heads of Dinosaurs. Berkeley: University of California Press, 240-
568	267.
569	

Manuscript
Reviewing
PeerJ

Clarke JA. 2004. Morphology, phylogenetic taxonomy, and systematics of <i>Ichthyornis</i> and
Apatornis (Avialae: Ornithurae). Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History, 286:1-
179.
Clarke JA, Zhou Z, Zhang F. 2006. Insight into the evolution of avian flight from a new clade of
Early Cretaceous ornithurines from China and the morphology of Yixianornis grabaui. Journal
of Anatomy, 208:287-308.
Close RA, Rayfield EJ. 2012. Functional morphometric analysis of the furcula in Mesozoic
birds. PLoS ONE, 7(5):e36664 DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0036664.
Codorniú L, Chiappe LM, Cid FD. 2013. First occurrence of stomach stones in pterosaurs.
Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology, 33:647-654.
Dunning JB. 2008. CRC Handbook of Avian Body Masses (Second Edition). Boca Raton: CRC
Press, 655.
Forster, CA, Chiappe LM, Krause DW, Sampson SD. 1996. The first Cretaceous bird from
Madagascar. Nature, 382:532-534.
Gatesy SM. Dial KP. 1996. From frond to fan: <i>Archaeopteryx</i> and the evolution of short tailed
birds. Evolution, 50:2037-48.
Gionfriddo JP, Best LB. 1999. Grit use by birds: a review. <i>Current Ornithology</i> , 15:89-148.

Manuscript
Reviewing
PeerJ

588	Greenewalt, CH, 1962. Dimensional relationships for flying animals. Smithsonian
589	Miscellaneous Collections, 144(2):1-46.
590	Henderson DM. 2003. Effects of stomach stones on the buoyancy and equilibrium of a floating
591	crocodilian: a computational analysis. Canadian Journal of Zoology, 81:1346-1357.
592	Hone DW, Dyke GJ, Haden M, Benton MJ. 2008. Body size evolution in Mesozoic birds.
593	Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 21:618-624.
594	Hopson JA. 2001. Ecomorpology of avian and nonavian theropod phalangeal proportions:
595	implications for the arboreal versus terrestrial origin of bird flight. In: Gauthier J, Gall LF, eds.
596	New Perspectives on the Origins and Early Evolution of Birds. New Haven: Peabody Museum
597	of Natural History, 211-235.
598	Hou L, Liu Z. 1984. A new fossil bird from Lower Cretaceous of Gansu and early evolution of
599	birds. Scientia Sinica, Series B, 27:1296-1301.
600	Howard H. 1929. The avifauna of Emeryville shellmound. University of California Publications
601	in Zoology, 32(2):301-394.
602	Ji Q, Currie PJ, Norell MA, Ji SA. 1998. Two feathered dinosaurs from northeastern China.
603	<i>Nature</i> , 393:753-761.
604	Kobayashi Y, Lu, JC, Dong ZM, Barsbold R, Azuma Y. 1999. Herbivorous diet in an
605	ornithomimid dinosaur. <i>Nature</i> , 402:480-481.

Manuscript
Reviewing
PeerJ

Г

606	Kurochkin EN. 1982. New order of birds from the Lower Cretaceous in Mongolia.
607	Paleontological Journal, 1982:215-218.
(00)	Versellin FNI 1005. A true conjunte bind from Lerror Contenence democite in Moneelie and
608	Kurochkin EN. 1985. A true carinate bird from Lower Cretaceous deposits in Mongolia and
609	other evidence of early Cretaceous birds in Asia. Cretaceous Research, 6:271-278.
(10)	Li DS Salling C. Zhan All Zhang FC 2010 Decelhinds from Chinese heisf maximum Chinese
610	Li, DS, Sullivan C, Zhou AH, Zhang FC. 2010. Basal birds from China: a brief review. <i>Chinese</i>
611	<i>Birds</i> , 1:83-96.
(10	Li L. Wang, L. Harr, C. 2011. A many annichasing bind (Hangaban annichidae) farm cha linfetana
612	L1 L, Wang J, Hou S. 2011. A new ornitnurine bird (Hongshanornitnidae) from the Jiufotang
613	Formation of Chaoyang, Liaoning, China. Vertebrata PalAsiatica, 49(2):195-200.
(14	Li 7 7 har 7 Charles IA 2011 A marchastica of the melatication of
614	L1 Z, Zhou Z, Clarke, JA. 2011. A reevaluation of the relationships among basal ornithurine birds
615	from China and new information on the anatomy of <i>Hongshanornis longicresta</i> . 71st Annual
616	Meeting, Society of Vertebrate Paleontology (November 2011), Supplement to the online
617	Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology:144.
618	Maloiy GMO, Alexander RM, Njau R, Jayes AS. 1979. Allometry of the legs of running birds.
619	Journal of Zoology, 187:161-167.
620	Marsh OC. 1880. Odontornithes: a monograph on the extinct birds of North America.
621	Washington: Government Printing Office, 312.
622	Martin LD, Tate J, Jr. 1976. The skeleton of <i>Baptornis advenus</i> (Aves:

623	Hesperornithiformes). Smithsonian Contributions to Paleobiology, 27:35-66.				
624	Norell MA, Clark JA. 2001. Fossil that fills a critical gap in avian evolution. <i>Nature</i> , 409: 181-				
625	182.				
626	O'Connor JK, Wang XR, Chiappe LM, Gao CH, Meng QJ, Cheng XD, Liu JY. 2009.				
627	Phylogenetic support for a specialized clade of Cretaceous enantiornithine birds with				
628	information from a new species. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology, 29:188-204.				
629	O'Connor JK, Gao KQ, Chiappe LM. 2010. A new ornithuromorph (Aves: Ornithothoraces) bird				
630	from the Jehol Group indicative of higher-level diversity. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology,				
631	30:311-321.				
632	O'Connor JK, Chiappe LM, Bell A. 2011. Pre-modern birds: avian divergences in the Mesozoic.				
633	In: Dyke GD, Kaiser G, eds. Living Dinosaurs: the Evolutionary History of Birds. New Jersey: J				
634	Wiley & Sons, 39-114.				
635	O'Connor JK, Zhou Z. 2012. A redescription of Chaoyangia beishanensis (Aves) and				
636	a comprehensive phylogeny of Mesozoic birds. Journal of Systematic Palaeontology,				
637	DOI:10.1080/14772019.2012.690455				
638	O'Connor IK, Chiappe I.M. Chuong CM, Bottier D, You H, 2012, Homology and Potential				
630	Cellular and Molecular Mechanisms for the Development of Unique Feather Morphologies in				
640	Farly Birds Geosciences 2(3):157-177				
UHU	Larry Dirds. Geosciences, 2(3).137-177				

PeerJ reviewing PDF | (v2013:08:752:1:0:NEW 26 Nov 2013)

641	Osborn HF. 1924. Psittacosaurus and Protiguanodon: Two Lower Cretaceous iguanodonts from
642	Mongolia. American Museum Novitates, 127:1-16.
643	Pennycuick C. 2008. Modeling the flying bird. London: Academic Press, 480.
644	Peters WS, Peters DS. 2009. Life history, sexual dimorphism and 'ornamental' feathers in the
645	Mesozoic bird Confuciusornis sanctus. Biology Letters, 5:817-882.
646	Swisher CC, Wang X, Zhou Z, Wang YE, Jin F, Zhang J, Xu X, Zhang F, Wang Y. 2002. Further
647	support for a Cretaceous age for the feathered-dinosaur beds of Liaoning, China: new 40Ar/39Ar
648	dating of the Yixian and Tuchengzi formations. Chinese Science Bulletin, 47:135-138.
649	Taylor MA. 1993. Stomach stones for feeding or buoyancy? The occurrence and function of
650	gastroliths in marine tetrapods. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London,
651	Series B: Biological Sciences, 341:163-175.
652	Tobalske BW. 2001. Morphology, velocity, and intermittent flight in birds. American Zoology,
653	41:177-187.
654	Tobalske BW, Peacock W, Dial KP. 1999. Kinematics of flap-bounding flight in the zebra finch
655	over a wide range of speeds. Journal of Experimental Biology, 202:1725-1739.
656	Tucker VA. 1993. Gliding birds: reduction of induced drag by wing tip slots between primary
657	feathers. Journal of Experimental Biology, 180:285-310.

Manuscript
Reviewing
PeerJ

Weems RE, Culp MJ, Wings O. 2007. Evidence for prosauropod dinosaur gastroliths in the H
Run Formation (Upper Triassic, Norian) of Virginia. Ichnos, 14:271-295.
Wings O. 2007. A review of gastrolith function with implications for fossil vertebrates and a
revised classification. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica, 52:1-16.
Wings O, Sander PM. 2007. No gastric mill in sauropod dinosaurs: new evidence from analy
of gastrolith mass and function in ostriches. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological
Sciences, 274:635-640.
Xu X, Clark IM, Mo I, Choiniere I, Forster CA, Erickson GM, Hone DWF, Sullivan C, Ebe
DA, Nesbitt S, Zhao Q, Hernandez R, Jia CK, Han FL, Guo Y. 2009. A Jurassic ceratosaur f
China helps clarify avian digital homologies. Nature, 459:940-944.
Yang W, Li S, Jiang B. 2007. New evidence for Cretaceous age of the feathered dinosaurs of
Liaoning: zircon U-Pb SHRIMP dating of the Yixian Formation in Sihetun, Northeast China
Cretaceous Research, 28:177-182.
You HL, Lamanna MC, Harris JD, Chiappe LM, O'Connor JK, Ji SA, Lu JC, Yuan CX, Li I
Zhang X, Lacovara KJ, Dodson P, Ji Q. 2006. A nearly modern amphibious bird from the Ea
Cretaceous of northwestern China, Science, 312:1640-1643

PeerJ reviewing PDF | (v2013:08:752:1:0:NEW 26 Nov 2013)

674	Zanno L, Makovicky P. 2011. Herbivorous ecomorphology and specialization patterns in
675	theropod dinosaur evolution. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108:232-237.
676	Zhang F, Zhou Z. 2000. A primitive enantiornithine bird and the origin of feathers. Science,
677	290:1955-1960.
678	Zheng X, Zhang Z, Hou L. 2007. A new enantiornitine bird with four long rectrices from the
679	Early Cretaceous of northern Hebei, China. Acta Geologica Sinica, English Edition, 81:703-708.
680	Zheng XT, Martin LD, Zhou ZH, Burnham DA, Zhang FC, Miao D. 2011. Fossil evidence of
681	avian crops from the Early Cretaceous of China. Proceedings of the National Academy of
682	Sciences of the United States of America, 108:15904-15907.
683	Zhou S, Zhou ZH, O'Connor JK. 2012. A new toothless ornithurine bird (Schizooura lii gen. et
684	sp. nov.) from the Lower Cretaceous of China. Vertebrata Palasiatica, 50(1): 9-24.
685	Zhou S, Zhou ZH, O'Connor JK. 2013a. A new piscivorous ornithuromorph from the Jehol
686	Biota. Historical Biology: An International Journal of Paleobiology, DOI:
687	10.1080/08912963.2013.819504
688	Zhou S, Zhou ZH, O'Connor JK. 2013b. Anatomy of the Basal Ornithuromorph Bird
689	Archaeorhynchus spathula from the Early Cretaceous of Liaoning, China. Journal of Vertebrate
690	Paleontology, 33(1):141-152.

691	Zhou ZH, Martin LD. 2011. Distribution of the predentary bone in Mesozoic ornithurine birds.
692	Journal of Systematic Palaeontology, 9:25-31.
693	Zhou ZH, Zhang F, 2001, Two new ornithurine birds from the Early Cretaceous of western
694	Liaoning, China. <i>Kexue Tongbao</i> , 46:371-377.
695	Zhou ZH, Zhang F. 2005. Discovery of an ornithurine bird and its implication for Early
696	Cretaceous avian radiation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 102:18998-19002.
697	Zhou ZH, Zhang FC. 2006. A beaked basal ornithurine bird (Aves, Ornithurae) from the Lower
698	Cretaceous of China. Zoologica Scripta, 35:363-373.
699	Zhou ZH, Zhang FC. 2007. Mesozoic birds of China – a synoptic review. Frontiers of Biology in
700	<i>China</i> , 2(1):1-14.
701	Zhou ZH, Clarke J, Zhang F, Wings O. 2004. Gastroliths in Yanornis: an indication of the earliest
702	radical diet-switching and gizzard plasticity in the lineage leading to living birds.
703	Naturwissenschaften, 91:571-574.
704	Zhou ZH, Zhang EC, Li ZH, 2000, A New Basal Ornithuring Bird (<i>Jianchangornis microdonta</i>
/04	Zhou ZH, Zhang FC, El ZH. 2009. A New Basar Offittiurine Bird (<i>Junehungornis microaonia</i>
705	gen. et sp. nov.) from the Lower Cretaceous of China. <i>Vertebrata PalAsiatica</i> , 47(4):299-310.
706	

Figure 1.

Figure 1: Photograph of DNHM D2945. Red and white arrows point at the maximum extent of the primary and secondary feathers of the wing.



PeerJ reviewing PDF | (v2013:08:752:1:0:NEW 26 Nov 2013)

Figure 2.

Figure 2: Interpretive drawing of DNHM D2945. Abbreviations: ce, cervical vertebrae; co, coracoid; fe, femur; fi, fibula; fu, furcula; gl, gastroliths; hu, humerus; il, ilium; mc, metacarpals; mt, metatarsals; pt, proximal tarsals; pu, pubis; ra, radius; rb, ribs; re, rectrices; sc, scapula; sy, synsacrum; ti, tibia; ul, ulna; I-IV, digits (manual or pedal) I-IV. Numbers in inset (close up of gastrolith cluster) refer to those in Table 2.



Figure 3.

Figure 3: Photograph of DNHM D2946. Red and white arrows point at the maximum extent of the primary and secondary feathers of the wing.



PeerJ reviewing PDF (v2013:08:752:1:0:NEW 26 Nov 2013)

Figure 4.

Figure 4: Interpretive drawing of DNHM D2946. Abbreviations: ce, cervical vertebrae; co, coracoid; fe, femur; fi, fibula; fu, furcula; gl, gastroliths; hu, humerus; il, ilium; mc, metacarpals; mt, metatarsals; pu, pubis; ra, radius; rb, ribs; re, rectrices; sc, scapula; st, sternum; sy, synsacrum; ti, tibia; ul, ulna; I-IV, digits (manual or pedal) I-IV. Numbers in inset (close up of gastrolith cluster) refer to those in Table 2.



Figure 5.

Figure 5: Close up photograph of the skull of DNHM D2945 in right lateral view and detail (inset) of the central portion of its maxilla. Abbreviations: al, alveoli.



Figure 6.

Figure 6: Photograph (A) and interpretive drawing (B) of the thoracic girdle and vertebral series, rib cage, and humeri of DNHM D2946. Abbreviations: co, coracoid; fu, furcula; hu, humerus; It, lateral trabecular; ra, radius; rb, ribs; sc, scapula; sp, supracondylar process; st, sternum; up, uncinate process.



Figure 7.

Figure 7: Photograph of the left manus of DNHM D2945/6 in ventral (A; DNHM D2945) and dorsal (B; DNHM D2946) views. Abbreviations: mc I-III, metacarpals I-III; p1-I, phalanx 1 (proximal) of digit I (alular digit); p2-I, phalanx 2 (ungual) of digit I (alular digit); p1-II, phalanx 1 (proximal) of digit II (major digit); p2-II, phalanx 2 (intermediate) of digit II (major digit); p3-II, phalanx 3 (ungual) of digit II (major digit); p1-III, phalanx 1 (proximal) of digit II (minor digit); p1-III, phalanx 1 (proximal) of digit II (minor digit); p1-III, phalanx 1 (proximal) of digit II (minor digit); p1-III, phalanx 1 (proximal) of digit III (minor digit); p2-III, phalanx 1 (proximal) of digit III (minor digit); p2-III, phalanx 1 (proximal) of digit III (minor digit); p2-III, phalanx 1 (proximal) of digit III (minor digit); p2-III, phalanx 1 (proximal) of digit III (minor digit); p2-III, phalanx 1 (proximal) of digit III (minor digit); p2-III, phalanx 1 (proximal) of digit III (minor digit); p2-III, phalanx 1 (proximal) of digit III (minor digit); p2-III, phalanx 1 (proximal) of digit III (minor digit); p2-III, phalanx 1 (proximal) of digit III (minor digit); p2-III, phalanx 1 (proximal) of digit III (minor digit); p2-III, phalanx 1 (proximal) of digit III (minor digit); p2-III, phalanx 1 (proximal) of digit III (minor digit); p2-III, phalanx 1 (proximal) of digit III (minor digit); p2-III, phalanx 1 (proximal) of digit III (minor digit); p2-III, phalanx 1 (proximal) of digit III (minor digit); p2-III, phalanx 1 (proximal) of digit III (minor digit); p2-III, phalanx 1 (proximal) of digit III (minor digit); p2-III, phalanx 1 (proximal) of digit III (minor digit); p2-III, phalanx 2 of digit III (minor digit); p3-II, p4-III, p4-II



Figure 8.

Figure 8: Close up photograph of the right knee (medial view) and pubic symphysis (left laterocaudal view) of DNHM D2946. Note the detail of the gastroliths. Abbreviations: fe, femur; fi, fibula; gl, gastroliths, pu, pubis; ti, tibia. (I) and (r) refer to the left and right pubis, respectively.





Figure 9.

Figure 9: Close up photograph of the left knee (lateral view) of DNHM D2946. Abbreviations: cn, cnemial crest; fc, fibular condyle; fe, femur; fi, fibula; ld, lateral articular facet of tibia; md, medial articular facet of tibia; ti, tibia.



Figure 10.

Figure 10: Close up photograph of the feet and rectrices of DNHM D2945. Abbreviations: mt, metatarsals; re, rectrices; I-IV, digits I-IV.



Figure 11



Table 1(on next page)

Table 1.

Table 1: Comparisons of selective measurements in hongshanornithids. The values highlight the similar sizes and proportions of the three named species of hongshanornithids: *Hongshanornis longicresta* (DNHM D2945/6 and IVPP V14533), *Parahongshanornis chaoyangensis* (PMOL-AB00161), and *Longicrusavis houi* (PKUP 1069).

Element	DNHM	IVPP V14533	PMOL-AB00161	PKUP 1069
	D2945/6			
Skull	30.5			30.7
Humerus	24.6	26.0	29.0	26.0
Ulna	24.5	24.0	27.0	25.0
Carpometacarpu	13.0	13.0	12.3	13.1
S				
Manual digit II			18.1	17.7
Femur	22.0	22.0	24.0	24.3
Tibiotarsus	35.5	38.0	38.0	37.6
Tarsometatarsus	20.6	22.0	22.0	21.5
Pedal digit III	20.0			

Table 2(on next page)

Table 2.

Table 2: Measurements (mm) of the gastroliths of DNHM D2945/6. Left column numbers correspond to numbers on figures 2 and 4.

2		D2945	D2946	Mean value	
3					
4	1	2.21	2.27	2.24	
5	2	3.06	3.09	3.08	
6	3	2.31	2.07	2.19	
7	4	2.05	2.15	2.10	
8	5	2.15	2.11	2.13	
9	6	2.90	2.59	2.75	
10	7	1.84	1.76	1.80	
11	8	1.76	1.79	1.78	
12	9	1.74	2.08	1.91	
13	10	1.84	1.56	1.70	
14	11	2.51	3.13	2.82	
15					