4 5

10

2

3

11 12 13 14 15 16 18

24 26

27

28

37

> 46 47

48

50 51

49

Key Laboratory of Ecological Restoration in Shanbei Mining Area, and Key Laboratory of Plant Pest Control in

*liuyong2130@yeah.net Abstract: The moth Trabala_vishnou_gigantina Yang is a leaf-eating pest, and there have been severe outbreaks of it in Hippophae_rhamnoides plantations in North China. The aim of this study is to investigate the influence of volatiles emitted by T. vishnou gigantina-infested H. rhamnoides on the behavioral responses of T. vishnou gigantina, with the ultimate goal of laying the groundwork for the development of plant-based elicitors. In this study, we investigate the chemical basis of pest-host dynamics between these species to inform novel pest control methods. After identifying differentially produced compounds between healthy and infested plants, we identified six critical volatile compounds and explore their attractant effects on T. vishnou gigantina imagoes using electroantennogram (EAG) and olfactory behavioral response experiments. The results showed that the EAG responses of T. vishnou_gigantina imagoes differed not only among the six different pest-induced volatiles but also between different concentrations of the same volatile. The EAG responses to the pest-induced volatiles peaked at a stimulus concentration of 100 μg/μL, with Hexyl 2-methyl butyrate eliciting the highest EAG response. The test results of olfactory behavioral responses revealed significant differences in the olfactory behavioral responses of female and male imagoes to the six pestinduced volatiles. Hexyl 2-methyl butyrate produced the strongest attracting effect on both female and male imagoes, followed by Hexyl 2-methyl butyrate and longifolene. Hexyl 2-methyl butyrate produced a

Electroantennogram and Olfactory Behavioral Responses of *Trabala* vishnou gigantina(Lepidoptera: Lasiocampidae) to Six Pest-induced

Hippophae_rhamnoides volatiles

Yonghua Liu*, Kexu An, Shuo Tang, Jiangshuai Feng, Xiongfei Yan

Yulin City, Yulin University, Yulin, Shaanxi, 719000, P. R. China

Key words: Trabala_vishnou_gigantina; Hippophae_rhamnoides; Volatiles; Electroantennogram; Olfactory behavioral

significant attracting effect on female imagoes, although there was no significant attraction to males.

1. Introduction

Hippophae_rhamnoides, a member of the Elaeagnaceae family, is distributed across Northwest China, Southwest China, North China, and other regions. It is highly resistant to cold, drought, wind, and sandy conditions. In Northwest China, it is widely used in desert greening. Its root system is developed in association with nitrogen-fixing bacteria, and it reduces wind speed and erosion, with positive effects on soil ecosystem (Yang et al. 2024). Consequently, it has extremely high practical value in soil and water conservation. Larvae of the moth Trabala_vishnou_gigantina Yang (Lepidoptera: Lasiocampidae) feed on H. rhamnoides leaf blades and leave only petioles, resulting in the failure of normal plant physiological functioning_(Liu et al. 2021a). H. rhamnoides is used not only for food production but also for soil improvement. In recent years, T. vishnou gigantina outbreaks have occurred in sea buckthorn planting areas in Wuqi County, Shaanxi Province, and Zhidan County, Shaanxi Province, China, severely reducing plant vigor and threatening the healthy and sustainable development of the local H. rhamnoides industry (Liu et al. 2013).

Host volatiles are a major and important regulator in plant-pest nutrient systems (Zang 2021). Pest infestation can induce host plants to generate new volatiles or cause changes in the composition of host volatiles in order to lure or repel insects of the same or different species, thus affecting the growth, reproduction, migration, and population of pest species (Qian et al. 2024; Liu et al. 2021b). Elucidating the interactions between pests and pest-induced host volatiles provides an important basis for developing sustainable solutions to pest control (Arriola et al. 2020; Mitra et al. 2020). For example, Qiao et al. (2020) found that aphid-induced wheat volatiles can attract Harmonia_axyridis, a natural enemy of aphids, making them an effective solution for pest control in agricultural fields. Therefore, studying the interactions between pests and host volatiles can promote the identification of compounds with attractant or repellent activity and provide a theoretical basis for further research (Turlings and Erb 2018). To date, several studies have investigated the host selection mechanism for T. vishnou gigantina, its bioecological characteristics, and prevention and control methods (Liu et al.2013, 2021a). However, no studies have examined the mechanism underlying the chemical communication between T. vishnou_gigantina and H. rhamnoides. Hence, we

Commented [APPK1]: Spell check. Refine the title

Formatted: Highlight

Deleted: identify

Commented [APPK2]: The plural of the word is imagines though imagoes is also acceptable. It is upto the editor to decide

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Commented [APPK3]: Data in tbale 3 says that the atrraction towars male and female insects is at par from 10-

Deleted: Rhamnoidesindustry

analyzed the composition of *T. vishnou_gigantina*-induced *H. rhamnoides* volatiles and identified a total of 34 compounds, with significant changes in volatile composition between healthy and infested *H. rhamnoides*. Six compounds that may significantly affect *T. vishnou_gigantina* were identified, and electroantennogram (EAG) and olfactory behavioral response experiments were performed, to provide a theoretical basis for the prevention and control of *T. vishnou_gigantina* using plant-derived attractants or repellents.

2. Materials and Research Method

2.1 Test insects

54

55

57 58

59

60

61

62 63

64 65

66

67

68

69 70

71

72

73 74

75

76 77

78 79

80 81

82

83

84 85

86

87 88

89

90

91 92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99 100

101

In mid-August 2023, approximately 200 cocoons of T. $vishnou_gigantina$ were collected from H. $vishnou_gigantina$ were collected from H.

2.2 Collection and analysis of *H. rhamnoides* volatiles

2.2.1 Collection of *H. rhamnoides* samples

Fresh healthy and infested *H. rhamnoides* leaf blades were collected from the experimental site, placed in centrifuge tubes, quickly frozen with liquid nitrogen, labeled, and brought back to the laboratory for testing, with three sets of replicates for each group. The time for collecting the samples was the same as that for behavioral testing through a Y-tube olfactometer.

2.2.2 Extraction and analysis of *H. rhamnoides* volatiles

The H. rhamnoides leaf samples were stored in a refrigerator at -80 °C; they were ground with liquid nitrogen during the operational test and mixed evenly. A 500 mg subsample was taken from each sample and placed into a headspace vial. A saturated NaCl solution was then added to prepare a 20 µL internal standard solution. Fully automated headspace solid phase microextraction HS-SPME (CTC Analytics AG) was used to extract samples for GC-MS analysis. Then, the internal standard solution was vibrated for 5 min at 60 °C, and a 120 µm Agilent SPME Fiber head (DVB/C-WR/PDMS) extraction head was inserted into the sample headspace vial for 5 min at 250 °C in a Fiber Conditioning Station (CTC Analytics AG) before sampling. The headspace was extracted for 15 min and resolved for 5 min at 250 °C, and then separation and identification were conducted by a GC-MS. The chromatographic column was a DB-5MS capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 μm, Agilent J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA, USA); the carrier gas was high-purity helium. Splitless sampling was conducted at a flow rate of 1.2 mL/min. The sample inlet port was heated to 250 °C, and the solvent was delayed for 3.5 min. The temperature was programmed as follows: 40 °C for 3.5 min, 10 °C/min to 100 °C, 7 °C/min to 180 °C, 25 °C/min to 280 °C, and hold at 280 °C for 5 min. The MS test conditions were as follows: EI ion source, ion source temperature of 230 °C, electron energy of 70 eV, quadruple rod temperature of 150 °C, MS interface temperature of 280 °C, and ion detection mode (SIM). The Total Ion Chromatogram (Total Ion Current [TIC]) of H. rhamnoides volatiles was used to obtain MS data using Mass Hunter software, and these data were used for qualitative and quantitative analyses (Nusra et al. 2021).

2.3 Test volatiles and preparation

Six key volatiles were screened by volatile analysis. Table 1_lists the names, purity, and sources of the six standard compounds. The compounds were dissolved in liquid paraffin, and each was prepared in 0.1, 1, 10, 50, and $100-\mu g/\mu L$ solutions.

2.4 EAG response (mv) test

EAG is a biological identification instrument that is widely used in experimental entomology, it is mainly used to detect those insects that perceive the world through their antennae. Healthy and highly active T. vishnou_gigantina imagoes aged 1–3 day were selected, and the test apparatus were sterilized before use. An antenna was removed from each imago at the base using a scalpel, and one flagellum was removed from the end of the antenna. The two ends of the antenna kept in full contact with two electrodes using conductive adhesive, the output end was connected to a host computer (Syntech UN-06), and the setup was covered with a transparent hood, to keep the experimental conditions stable. The sample compounds prepared in section 1.3 were each tested. The test gas flow rate was 400 mL/min, and the measurement was recorded after baseline

Formatted: Strikethrough

Commented [APPK4]: Was it natural infestation. Was there any other pest infestation

Commented [APPK5]: What is volatile analysis

Deleted: as

Deleted: ,

Deleted: it

Formatted: Highlight

stabilization. The stimulation time was set to 0.5 s with an interval of at least 30 s to ensure that the sensory function of the antennal sensilla was completely restored. Different compounds were tested at the same concentration level on six male and six female imagoes separately from each other. Experimental insects are not reused; separate tests are conducted for male and female adults. Each sample is stimulated an average of 5 times, with 3 sets of replicate experiments. During testing, the concentration of the standardized compounds is increased from low to high. When the same concentration gradient of the tested compounds has been completed, it is necessary to replace the clean test tubes. and liquid paraffin was used as the blank control. Data were collected and analyzed using Spike software (Syntech). The EAG measurements were directly read out by an antennal potentiometer. The relative value of EAG response was calculated as follows:

Relative EAG response(\underline{mv}) = (Relative value of EAG response of test sample)(\underline{mv}) – [(pre-test EAG response of control group)(\underline{mv}) + (post-test EAG response of control group)(\underline{mv})] / 2.

2.5 Olfactory behavioral response bioassay by Y-tube olfactometry

The behavioral response of T. $vishnou_gigantina$ to the six pest-induced H. rhamnoides volatiles was tested using a Y-tube olfactometer with an inner diameter of 3 cm, one 15 cm main arm, two 8 cm side arms with an angle of 75° between them, and an air flow rate of 400 mL/min. To ensure that all parts were uniformly illuminated, a light source was placed 30 cm above the olfactometer. The highest concentration in the EAG response test (100 μ g/ μ L) was selected, and 20 μ L of each test sample was dropped onto a strip of filter paper using a pipette and placed at the end of one side arm. The same volume of n-hexane was dripped onto another strip of filter paper and placed at end of the other arm as the control. If the T. $vishnou_gigantina$ entered the position at more than two-thirds of the attractor arm or control arm and stayed for more than 1 min, it was recorded as having a smell source tendency; otherwise, it was recorded as having no response. The olfactory behavioral responses of T $vishnou_gigantina$ to volatiles of the same concentration were recorded as a group. After the each test group, the test apparatus was cleaned and dried. The effects of the experimental environment were eliminated by interchanging the attractor arm with the control arm. Twenty imagoes were tested under each volatile concentration level at a male-to-female ratio of 1:1, and each behavioral response bioassay was repeated for five times. Please mention how many concentrations were tested for each volatile.

2.6 Data analysis and statistics

The relative content (percentage) of each volatile was calculated using the area normalization method. The generated data were statistically analyzed using the IBM SPSS Statistics 26. The significance of EAG differences between different concentrations of the same standard compound was calculated using the Duncan multiple comparisons method, and the results of olfactory behavioral responses were analyzed using the $\chi 2$ test.

3. Results

3.1 Collection and identification of volatiles from pest-affected $\it H.~rhamnoides$ leaves

In total, 33 volatiles were detected in the pest-affected *H. rhamnoides*, which included six alcohols, three hydrocarbons, Eleven_terpenes, two ketones, four aldehydes, and seven esters (Table 2). Among these, the levels of six compounds differed significantly from those in healthy *H. rhamnoides* leaves. Relative content of the six volatiles the he relative contents were Leaf acetate (35.09±1.12)%, 2-ethylhexanol (22.91±3.09)%, humulene (2.42±0.76)%, Aristolochene (1.92±0.42)%, Hexyl 2-methyl butyrate (0.58±0.09)%, and longifolene (2.41±0.65)%.

3.2 EAG response of *T. vishnougigantina* to pest-induced *H. rhamnoides* volatiles at different concentrations

The EAG responses of T. $vishnou_gigantina$ imagoes differed not only among the six different pest-induced volatiles but also between different concentrations of the same volatile (Table 3). At a concentration of 0.1 μ g/ μ L, the EAG responses to all volatiles were weak. When the concentration increased to 1 μ g/ μ L, compared with a volatile concentration of 0.1 μ g/ μ L the relative values of EAG responses from both female and male T. $vishnou_gigantina$ imagoes increased significantly (P < 0.05). When the volatile concentration reached 10 μ g/ μ L, the relative EAG values of T. $vishnou_gigantina$ females for Hexyl 2-methyl butyrate and Longifolene were significantly higher (P < 0.05). At concentrations of 50 μ g/ μ L, the relative values of EAG

Deleted:,

Commented [APPK6]: Is it 20 per sex per replication. Have you pooled the replications for presenting the results

Commented [APPK7]: Results are not clear for interpretation as given in table 3

Commented [APPK8]: Which pest. ??

Deleted: including

Deleted: 6

Commented [APPK9]: This part is not clear

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough

Deleted: Compared

responses of imagoes were all significantly higher than those at concentrations of 10 μ g/ μ L (P < 0.05), with the highest relative values observed in response to Hexyl 2-methyl butyrate. When the volatile concentration reached 100 μ g/ μ L, the relative values of all EAG responses were maximized, except for those to Hexyl 2-methyl butyrate and Leaf acetate, which were not significantly different from values at a concentration of 50 μ g/ μ L (P > 0.05).

Therefore, at a concentration of $100 \mu g/u L$, the relative EAG response values of adult *T. vishnou gigantina* were the highest compared to those at other concentrations. The relative values of EAG responses of *T. vishnou gigantina* to different volatiles were also compared. Responses to Hexyl 2-methyl butyrate were the highest (2.53 and 2.24), followed by those to longifolene (2.44_and 2.18), and responses to Leaf acetate were the lowest (1.59 and 0.78). Overall, the relative values of EAG responses of female and male *T. vishnou gigantina* imagoes to the six pest-induced *H. rhamnoides* volatiles continued to increase with increasing concentrations, females consistently showed stronger responses than males.

3.3 Olfactory behavioral responses of *T. vishnou_gigantina* to six pest-induced *H. rhamnoides* volatiles

4. Discussion

EAG response and olfactory behavioral response tests are able to identify factors in plant volatiles with chemo-ecological effects on insects (Bhowmik et al.2016). Our results showed that the six standard compounds at specific concentrations could induce EAG and olfactory behavioral responses in both male and female *T. vishnou_gigantina* imagoes. Hence, we can conclude that pest-induced *H. rhamnoides* volatiles play a positive role in attracting *T. vishnou_gigantina* to hosts. Similarly, volatiles induced by *Phaudaflamman* larvae have a strong attracting effect on both male and female imagoes.

Our study results showed that after *H. rhamnoides* leaves were damaged by *T. vishnou_gigantina*, the relative, content of terpenoids and esters in their volatiles increased significantly, and these pest-induced volatiles exerted a notable attracting effect on both male and female imagoes. In particular, Hexyl 2-methyl butyrate showed a stronger attracting effect on female *T. vishnou_gigantina* imagoes than on males, which suggests that *T. vishnou_gigantina* is specific in its response to pest-induced *H. rhamnoides* volatiles, This result is similar to findings on numerous phytophagous insects such as Hemiptera (Badra et al. 2021), Coleoptera (Ballhorn et al. 2013), and Diptera (Hern and Dorn 2004). Therefore, pest-induced volatiles are beneficial for controlling insect oviposition and mating.

In the EAG response test, the highest concentration of the six volatiles was $100 \mu g/\mu L$. Compared to other concentrations in both EAG and behavioral tests, Hexyl 2-methyl butyrate produced the strongest attracting (i.e., chemotactic) effect on both female and male imagoes, followed by 2-ethylhexanol and longifolene. 2-ethylhexanol produced a significant attracting effect on female imagoes, although there was no significant attraction to males. Among the *H. rhamnoides* volatiles induced by *T. vishnou_gigantina*, the semiochemicals Hexyl 2-methyl butyrate and longifolene elicited particularly notable stimulus responses. Pest-inducing volatiles are able to target and lure adults of different sexes and play an important role in adult host localization. The stimulatory responses of these single informative compounds are conducive to the

Commented [APPK10]: maximum

Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted: Strikethrough

Deleted:

Commented [APPK11]: Table 3 shows that attraction was at

control of insect egg-laying and mating, as well as luring or avoiding insects, which provides a scientific basis for better use of plant volatiles to monitor and control *T. vishnou_gigantina* in the future. However, the olfactory mechanism of phytophagous insects is very complex, and the EAG response to a single plant volatile is significantly different from that to a full set of plant volatiles (Hare 2011). Future studies should investigate the physiological and behavioral responses of *T. vishnougigantina* based on a combination of different pest-induced plant volatiles.

The responses of female and male *T. vishnougigantina* imagoes to the same *H. rhamnoides* volatiles were basically the same. Under the specified concentration gradient, EAG and olfactory behavioral responses both significantly increased with the increase in volatile concentration. However, the EAG response to pest-induced volatiles at the same concentration level showed certain differences between female and male *T. vishnou gigantina* imagoes. For example, in a study on volatiles from *Eucalyptus* leaves, limonene at a dose of 100 µg had a significant oviposition repellent effect on *Helicoverpaarmigera* egg-holding female moths (Yuan et al. 2021). This might be related to the number and functional categories of sensors on the antennae of imagoes and need to be examined at greater depth in future studies.

Insects are sensitive to different odours, and the volatiles of different plants are unique compounds with specific components and ratios, which are very important for insects to locate their hosts accurately (Ingrao et al. 2019). According to the study, due to the relatively homogeneous vegetation in Wuqi, Shaanxi, the volatiles of *T. vishnou_rhamnoides* have a strong luring effect on the *T. vishnou_gigantina*, and the rate of infestation in the *H.rhamnoides* forests of Wuqi, Shaanxi, is very high (Liuet al. 2013). To study the behavioural responses of *T. vishnou_gigantina* to pest-inducing plant volatiles and to identify the relationship between pest-inducing plant volatiles and *T. vishnou_gigantina* behaviours, either by using the pest-inducing key volatiles as attractants to improve the efficacy of insecticides or by interfering with the pest's behaviour in terms of host recognition and host localisation, mating and searching for oviposition sites, which can be used as a contraindicator. This will provide a new way to control *T. vishnou_gigantina*, as well as a theoretical basis for the effective control of other pests and the development of efficient lure agents.

236 5. Conclusion

This study investigated that the electroantennogram and olfactory behavioral of *Trabala_vishnou* gigantina is obviously impacted by pest-induced *Hippophae_rhamnoides* volatiles. This information will be extremely valuable to the development of pest control methods by revealing the chemical compounds released by *H. rhamnoides* upon initial infestation contribute to further attracting *T. vishnou.gigantina* in the future.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 32260398) and Key Research Program of Shaanxi Provincial Department of Education (No. 21JS045). We are grateful to all students and staff in the Key Laboratory of Ecological Restoration in Shanbei Mining Area and Plant Pest Control in Yulin City, Yulin University, Shaanxi, China for their assistance.

Authors' Contributions

Yonghua Liu designed the study and performed experimental work. Shuo Tang analyzed the data. Yonghua Liu, Shuo Tang, Jiangshuai Feng, Kexu An and Xiongfei Yan wrote the article.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Arriola, K., Guarino, S., Schlawis, C., Arif, M.A., Colazza, S., Peri, E.,Millar, J.G. Identification of brassicadiene, a diterpene hydrocarbon attractive to the invasive stink bug *Bagradahilaris*, from volatiles of cauliflower seedlings, *Brassica oleracea var. botrytis*. Organic Letters, 22(8): 2972-2975, (2020).
- 255 DOI:10.1021/acs.orglett.0c00707

- Badra, Z., Larsson Herrera, S., Cappellin, L., Biasioli, F., Dekker, T., Angeli, S., &Tasin, M.Species-specific
 induction of plant volatiles by two aphid species in apple: realtime measurement of plant emission and
 attraction of lacewings in the wind tunnel. Journal of Chemical Ecology, 47: 653-663, (2021).
 DOI:10.1007/s10886-021-01288-5
 - Ballhorn, D.J., Kautz, S., &Heil, M.Distance and sex determine host plant choice by herbivorous beetles. PLoS ONE, 8(2): e55602, (2013). DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0055602
 - Bhowmik, B., Lakare, S., Sen, A., &Bhadra, K. Olfactory stimulation of *Apis_cerana_indica* towards different doses of volatile constituents: SEM and EAG approaches. Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology, 19(3): 847-859, (2016). DOI:10.1016/j.aspen.2016.07.014
 - Hare, J.D.Ecological role of volatiles produced by plants in response to damage by herbivorous insects. Annual Review of Entomology, 56(1): 161-180, (2011).DOI:10.1146/annurev-ento-120709-144753
 - Hern, A., & Dorn, S.A female-specific attractant for the codling moth, *Cydia_pomonella*, from apple fruit volatiles.Naturwissenschaften, 91(2): 77-80, (2004). DOI:10.1007/s00114-003-0484-6
 - Ingrao, A.J., Walters, J., &Szendrei, Z._Biological control of asparagus_pests using synthetic herbivore-induced volatiles_Environmental_Entomology, 48(1): 202-210, (2019).DOI:10.1093/ee/nvy171
 - Liu, Q.S., Hu, X.Y., Su, S.L., Ning, Y.S., Peng, Y.F., Ye, G.Y., Lou, Y.G., Turlings, T.C.J., Li, Y.H.Cooperative herbivory between two_important pests of rice_.Nature Communications, 12: 6772, (2021b). DOI:10.1038/s41467-021-27021-0
 - Liu, Y., Li, X., Yan, X., Li, G., Luo, C., & He, Y.Effects of short-term high temperatures on survival and reproduction of *Trabalavishnougigantina* Yang (Lepidoptera: Lasiocampidae). Pakistan Journal of Zoology, 54(1): 145-151, (2021a). DOI:10.17582/journal.pjz/20201105081124
 - Liu, Y.H., Zhang, Y.Q., Yan, X.F., Zong, D.L., Zong, S.X., Luo, Y.Q. Damage and biological_characteristic of *Trabala_vishnou_gigantina*(Lepidoptera: Lasiocampidae). Plant Protection, 39(2): 147-151+169, (2013). DOI:10.3969/j.issn.0529-1542.2013.02.030
 - Mitra, P., Das, S., Debnath, R., Mobarak, S.H., &Barik, A.Identification of *Lathyrus_sativus* plant volatiles causing behavioral preference of *Aphis craccivora*. Pest Management Science, 77(1): 285-299, (2020). Doi:10.1002/ps.6018
 - Nusra, M.S.F., Udukala, D.N., Amarasinghe, L.D., & Paranagama, P.A. Volatiles from host plant brinjal attract the brinjal Fruit and Shoot Borer-Leucinodes_orbonalis_Guenee. Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology, 24(3): 695-703, (2021). DOI:10.1016/j.aspen.2021.06.002
 - Qian, J., Zhu, C., Jian, G., Zeng, L., & Yang, Y.Release patterns and potential utility of herbivore-induced plant volatiles in crops: A review.Environmental and Experimental Botany,219: 105659, (2024).DOI:10.1016/j.envexpbot.2024.105659
 - Qiao, F.,Cai, Z.P., Su, J.W.Herbivore-induced wheat volatiles facilitate biocontrol of thecereal aphid by the multicolored Asian ladybeetle. Chinese Journal of Applied Entomology, 57(1): 189-195, (2020). DOI: 10.7679/j.issn.2095-1353.2020.021
 - Turlings, T.C., &Erb, M.Tritrophic interactions mediated by herbivore-induced plant volatiles: Mechanisms, ecological relevance, and application potential. Annual Review of Entomology, 63: 433-452, (2018). DOI:10.1146/annurev-ento-020117-043507
 - Yang, K., Zhang, Z., Tang, M., Ren, Y., Hu, J., Zhen, Q., &Zheng, J.Seabuckthorn (*Hippophaerhamnoides* L.) plantation degradation aggravates microbial metabolic C and P limitations on the Northern Loess Plateau in China. Science of the Total Environment, 945: 174088, (2024). DOI:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.174088
 - Yuan, G.G., Huang, G.H., & Chen, G.Advances in volatiles induced by herbivores in vegetable crops. Journal of Environmental Entomology, 43(3): 567-575, (2021). DOI:10.3969/j.issn.1674-0858.2021.03.5
 - Zang, L.S., Wang, S., Zhang, F., &Desneux, N. Biological control with Trichogrammain China: history, present status, and perspectives. Annual Review of Entomology, 66: 463-484, (2021). DOI:10.1146/annurev-ento-060120-091620

Table Caption

- Table 1 The names, purity, and sources of six volatile substances tested
- Table 2 Relative content of volatile species and components of *H.rhamnoides*

Commented [APPK12]: Please check if this reference is

Formatted: Font: Italic

310 311 312 313

 Table 4 Behavioral responses of Trabala_vishnou gigantic Yang to six compounds from damaged H.rhamnoides

Table 1 The names, purity, and sources of six volatile substances tested

Standard compounds	Purity	Source of supply		
Hexyl 2-methyl butyrate	99%	Aladdin		
2-ethylhexanol	99%	Aladdin		
Humulene	98%	Sigma		
Leaf acetate	99%	Sigma		
Aristolochene	98%	Sigma		
Longifolene	98%	Sigma		

Commented [APPK1]: It can be written as "Details of volatile compounds used in the current study"

	Table 2 Relative content of vola	itile species and	compounds in			
				Relative content (%) in leaves of H.		
Volatile	Plant volatile	CAS	Log2FC		mnoides •	
Group		1		Healthy leaves	infested	
				H.rhamnoides	leavesH.rhamnoides	
Alcohol	2-Heptanol	6033-23-4	-1.8714	1.21 ± 0.17	0.31 ± 0.17	
	cis-5-Octen-1-ol	64275-73-6	1.2858	1.79 ± 0.73	4.11±0.53	
	1-Octanol	111-87-5	-0.5346	16.41 ± 2.75	10.51 ± 5.01	
	1-Octen-3-ol	3391-86-4	-0.4058	1.47 ± 0.04	1.06 ± 0.32	
	Benzeneethanol, beta -methyl-	1123-85-9	0.3858	15.07±0.37	17.71 ± 0.77	
	2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	104-76-7	3.6386	2.03 ± 0.05	22.91±3.09	
Aldehydes	1H-Pyrrole-2-carboxaldehyde	1003-29-8	-3.4465	$2.39{\pm}0.27$	0.20 ± 0.01	
	1-Cyclohexene-1-acetaldehyde,					
	2,6,6-trimethyl-	472-66-2	3.3180	0.68 ± 0.02	5.96±1.26	
	10-Undecenal	112-45-8	1.9747	2.97±0.66	10.94 ± 4.28	
	Octanal	124-13-0	-1.1304	1.97±0.23	0.84±0.54	
Terpenoids	Aristolochene	26620-71-3	5.0862	_	1.92±0.42	
1	10,10-Dimethyl-2,6-dimethylene					
	bicyclo[7.2.0] undecane	357414-37-0	1.2215	3.16±0.22	6.81 ± 1.89	
	Sabinyl acetate	3536-54-7	1.7666	0.79±0.26	2.53±0.93	
	(-)-α-cedrene	469-61-4	3.3932	0.25±0.02	2.36±0.18	
	β-sesquiphellandrene	20307-83-9	-0.0937	2.93±0.43	2.47±0.44	
	γ-cadinene	39029-41-9	2.8218	0.79±0.27	5.23±2.19	
	Linalool	78-70-6	0.9038	1.02±0.11	1.71±0.47	
	Humulene	6753-98-6	3.9679	_	2.42 ± 0.76	
	Cedrene	11028-42-5	1.9997	1.11±0.13	4.14±1.58	
	Longifolene Longifolene	475-20-7	3.5186	0.23 ± 0.08	2.41 ± 0.65	
	Perillyl alcohol	536-59-4	1.5948	0.57±0.16	1.62±0.64	
Hydrocarbons	1-Tridecene	2437-56-1	1.5494	1.7±0.11	4.58±1.48	
Tydrocarbons	Nonane	111-84-2	-1.3873	4.36 ± 0.07	1.59±0.91	
		99-87-6	1.8511	1.21±0.75	3.54±1.37	
Ketone	p-cymene	2550-21-2	-0.3902	1.21 ± 0.73 17.18 ± 1.31	3.34±1.37 12.71±7.13	
Ketone	2-Hexanone,3-methyl- 5-Nonanone			_		
Г.		502-56-7	-0.0018	5.64±0.31	5.47±3.34	
Ester	Acetic acid,non-3-enyl ester, cis-	13049-88-2	1.8795	0.68±0.19	2.35±0.91	
	Hexyl 2-methyl butyrate	15256.74.9	4.5583	11 (0) 2 01	0.58±0.09	
	Dihydro_actinidiolide	15356-74-8	-2.0320	11.68±3.01	2.52±0.72	
	Benzene_aceticacid, ethyl ester	101-97-3	0.2201	4.02±0.34	4.28±0.61	
	Butanoicacid, butyl ester	109-21-7	2.0078	17.51±6.21	59.80±14.33	
	Leaf acetate	3681-71-8	3.6593	3.09±0.42	35.09±1.12	
	cis-3-Hexenyl pyruvate	68133-76-6	2.0806	17.14 ± 7.26	62.42 ± 13.24	

The data in the table are mean ± SE. * and ** indicate significant differences in the relative contents of insect-infested *H.rhamnoides* leaves compared with—in healthy leaves at the p=<0.01 and 0.05 levels, respectively.CAS-????

Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font color: Red **Formatted Table** Commented [APPK1]: Describe in foot note Commented [APPK2]: Describe in foot note Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight

Commented [APPK3]: This has not been given in the table

. Please provide

Deleted:

Formatted: Font color: Red, Strikethrough

 Table 3 Relative EAG response values of T. vishnou gigantina to different concentrations of six-volatile compounds from damaged H. rhamnoides
 compounds

Compounds	C	Relative EAG response (μg/μL)					
	Sex	0.1	1	10	50	100	
Hexyl 2-methyl	Female	0.33±0.16d	0.78±0.23c	1.55±0.46b	2.45±0.54a	2.53±0.38a	
butyrate	Male	$0.21\pm0.09c$	$0.48 \pm 0.13b$	$0.95 \pm 0.24 b$	$1.86\pm0.48a$	$2.24{\pm}0.53a$	
2-ethylhexanol	Female	0.09±0.01e	$0.34 \pm 0.02d$	$0.68\pm0.23c$	$1.43{\pm}0.33b$	$2.33{\pm}0.46a$	
	Male	$0.08\pm0.01e$	$0.22 \pm 0.03 d$	$0.52 \pm 0.12c$	$0.95 \pm 0.24 b$	$1.46 \pm 0.32a$	
Humulene	Female	$0.11 \pm 0.01d$	$0.45{\pm}0.08c$	$0.88 \pm 0.12b$	1.56±0.28a	$1.64 \pm 0.33a$	
	Male	$0.10\pm0.01d$	$0.29 \pm 0.04c$	$0.68 \pm 0.11b$	$0.92 \pm 0.21a$	$0.98 \pm 0.18a$	
Leaf acetate	Female	0.09±0.01e	0.33±0.04d	$0.59 \pm 0.15c$	$0.87{\pm}0.21b$	$1.59\pm0.26a$	
	Male	$0.11\pm0.02d$	$0.31\pm0.03c$	$0.38 \pm 0.09c$	0.56±0.15b	$0.78\pm0.16a$	
Aristolochene	Female	0.15±0.03e	$0.41 \pm 0.14d$	$0.75 \pm 0.20c$	$1.18\pm0.29b$	$1.62 \pm 0.35a$	
	Male	0.12±0.02d	$0.33\pm0.05c$	$0.35 \pm 0.10c$	$0.66 \pm 0.12b$	1.11±0.23a	
Longifolene	Female	0.23±0.04e	0.85±0.20d	1.55±0.35c	$2.05\pm0.38b$	2.44±0.41a	
	Male	$0.18\pm0.02e$	0.44±0.16d	$0.96 \pm 0.22c$	$1.86 \pm 0.28b$	$2.18{\pm}0.35a$	

Data in the table are means \pm SE; peer data followed by different lower-case letters indicate significant differences (P<0.05).

Commented [APPK1]: What does it mean . How was the data analysed. How were the differences identified and grouped . The authors may recheck for the accuracy in presentation . For example How is the attraction for leaf acetates differ significantly between male and female at 1ug/mL differ significantly .

 Table 4 Behavioral responses of Trabala vishnou gigantic Yang to volatiles
 from damaged H.rhamnoides

		Total numbers			T	
Compounds	Sex	Odor	Control	No response	Luring rate(%)	χ^2 test (P)
		arm	arm			
Hexyl 2-methyl	Female	33	6	1	82.50	4.6213* (P=0.0256)
butyrate	Male	30	7	2	76.92	4.4453* (<i>P</i> =0.0375)
2-ethylhexanol	Female	29	7	2	76.31	4.4322*(<i>P</i> =0.0366)
	Male	18	17	5	45.00	$0.1543^{NS}(P=0.7015)$
Humulene	Female	17	18	4	43.59	$0.1325^{NS} (P=0.7256)$
	Male	18	15	5	47.36	$0.2463^{\text{ NS}}$ ($P = 0.6954$)
Leaf acetate	Female	16	19	2	43.24	$0.1312^{NS}(P=0.7421)$
	Male	18	18	3	46.15	$0.2135^{NS}(P=0.6854)$
Aristolochene	Female	16	18	2	44.44	$0.1468^{NS}(P=0.6895)$
	Male	15	19	2	41.67	$0.1025^{NS}(P=0.7652)$
Longifolene	Female	32	7	1	80.00	$4.4547^*(P=0.0242)$
	Male	26	8	4	68.42	3.8856*(<i>P</i> =0.0415)

Significance levels of χ^2 test are indicated by NS (P>0.05) and *(P<0.05)

Any missing insects