

Toxic dinoflagellate *Prorocentrum cordatum* affects the filtration rate and enzymatic activities of Chinese razor clam (*Sinonovacula constricta*)

Tang Yanbin^{1,2,3,4}, Jiang Zhibing^{2,3,4}, Liao Yibo^{2,3,4}, Shou Lu^{2,3,4}, Zeng Jiangning^{2,3,4}, Zhang Rongliang^{2,3,4}, Li Chenghua^{1*}

¹ School of Marine Sciences, Ningbo University, Ningbo, China

² Key Laboratory of Marine Ecosystem Dynamics, Second Institute of Oceanography, Ministry of Natural Resources, Hangzhou, China

³ Key Laboratory of Nearshore Engineering Environment and Ecological Security of Zhejiang Province, Hangzhou, China

⁴ Observation and Research Station of Yangtze River Delta Marine Ecosystems, Ministry of Natural Resources, Zhoushan, China

Corresponding Author:

Li Chenghua¹

No. 818, Fenghua Road, Ningbo, Zhejiang, 315211, P. R. China

Email address: lichenghua@nbu.edu.cn

Abstract

Harmful algal blooms represent a significant environmental challenge in various marine ecosystems worldwide. While marine filter-feeder bivalves can consume toxic phytoplankton, their capacity to mitigate the presence of harmful microalgae is not yet fully understood. In this study, we examined the filtration rates and enzymatic activities of *Sinonovacula constricta*, a commercially valuable bivalve, when exposed to varying levels of toxic dinoflagellates (*Prorocentrum cordatum*) and non-toxic diatoms (*Skeletonema costatum*) over a 12-hour period. Chlorophyll *a* concentration was used to reflect the presence of these microalgae. In the initial 2 hours, the filtration rate under toxic conditions was lower than under non-toxic conditions. However, after the first 2 hours, the filtration rate under toxic conditions did not decline as rapidly as it did under non-toxic conditions, suggesting that *S. constricta* could adapt to the presence of toxic microalgae over time. Regarding enzymatic activities, digestive enzymes were not significantly affected by low concentrations of toxic microalgae, but lipase activity was

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

inhibited at higher concentrations. Antioxidant enzyme activity showed no significant changes across all non-toxic microalgal concentrations. Superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity increased at higher toxic microalgal concentrations, but both low SOD and catalase activities indicated that the bivalve's antioxidant defenses for detoxification may be limited. These results suggest that *S. constricta* can tolerate toxic microalgae through adaptive feeding behaviors and changes in digestive and antioxidant enzymatic activities. This study revealed *S. constricta* has a high filtration rate and is sensitive to high concentrations of toxic microalgae. Therefore, its bioremediation function requires further study.

Keywords: harmful microalgae, *Sinonovacula constricta*, filtration rate, antioxidant enzymes, digestive enzymes.

Introduction

Harmful algal blooms (HABs) in marine environments, also called red tides, are often caused by environmental changes that demonstrate the expanding global human footprint and effects of climate change (Stauffer et al., 2019; Zohdi and Abbaspour, 2019). HABs involve multiple species and classes of microalgae that produce toxins or other bioactive substances that adversely affect beneficial aquatic organisms by influencing disease susceptibility or death by predation or parasitism, resulting in community structure alteration and lead to broader, potentially undesirable changes in habitat (Zohdi and Abbaspour, 2019; Young et al., 2020). Recurrent HABs plague coastal waters worldwide and their frequency is predicted to increase (Hallegraeff, 2010) owing to coastal eutrophication and warming (Paerl et al., 2016; Stauffer et al., 2019).

Many environmental factors, such as chlorophyll *a* have been applied as indicators of photoautotrophic biomass as related to primary productivity for monitoring the HABs (Boyer et al., 2009). The dinoflagellate *Prorocentrum cordatum* (Ostenfeld) Dodge 1976 (former name: *Prorocentrum minimum* (Pavillard) Schiller 1933) is one of the major bloom-forming species in warm, temperate coastal waters around the world (Velikova & Larsen, 1999; Goncharenko et al., 2021). *P. cordatum* is known to produce diarrhetic shellfish poison (DSP) and cause fish and shellfish mortality, thus posing a serious risk to aquaculture species and human health (Ye et al., 2022; Sahraoui et al., 2013).

Filter-feeder bivalves consume phytoplankton, including toxic species. Some studies have reported that dinoflagellate toxicity can affect bivalve feeding behavior and associated

Promijenjen kod polja

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Komentirano [R1]: this reference is about modelling, you need a more general reference here , e.g. DOI: [10.21685/1680-0826-2019-13-3-1](https://doi.org/10.21685/1680-0826-2019-13-3-1)

Komentirano [R2]: Ye et al. relates to *P. lima*, please replace this reference here with the more appropriate one

67 physiological processes (Shen et al., 2013; Romero-Geraldo, et al., 2016; Zohdi and Abbaspour,
68 2019). The filtration rate of bivalves is mainly influenced by microalgal species and density
69 (Bayne et al., 1993). Bivalves can reduce their filtration rate by withdrawing their siphons and/or
70 closing their shells to resist high-density toxic microalgae (Bardouil et al., 1996; Istomina et al.,
71 2021). Toxin accumulation in bivalves occurs in the digestive gland and affects digestive
72 enzymatic activities (Vidal et al., 2014). Most bivalves can transform and transport toxins
73 through a series of acylation and hydrolysis processes catalyzed by antioxidant enzymes such as
74 superoxide dismutase (SOD) and catalase (CAT) (Vidal et al., 2014; Bauder et al., 2001;
75 Istomina et al., 2021; Tan et al., 2022). Bivalves can exhibit varying degrees of tolerance or
76 resistance to harmful algal blooms. Bivalve species may respond to toxic cells by rejecting them
77 (Rosa et al., 2017) or by ingesting the cells and subsequently eliminating the associated toxins
78 (Blanco et al., 2025). This tolerance often involves physiological and cellular adaptations
79 (Lassudrie et al., 2020).

80 The Chinese razor clam (*Sinonovacula constricta* Lamarck 1818) is a common, benthic filter-
81 feeding bivalve that is widely distributed along the coast of the western Pacific Ocean (Orita et
82 al., 2021; Yao et al., 2021). *S. constricta* is an economically important aquaculture clam species
83 in China. The clams usually half-bury themselves in the soft bottom of mudflats, reaching out
84 their siphons to filter water and consume microalgae and suspended organic particles in the
85 water. *S. constricta* can also improve water quality by filtering suspended solids and reducing
86 nutrient fluxes in the water body (Yang et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2019). Therefore, *S. constricta*
87 has been used as a bioremediation species in the aquaculture industry to improve polluted water
88 (Zhao et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2022). Although *S. constricta* has great potential to reduce levels
89 of harmful algae, the response of the clam to toxic microalgae has not been reported.

90 The primary aim of this study was to determine the short-term effects of toxic dinoflagellate *P.*
91 *cordatum* on the feeding behavior and associated physiological processes of *S. constricta*. To
92 achieve this goal, the filtration rate and activities of four digestive enzymes and two antioxidant
93 enzymes of *S. constricta* were explored with different concentrations of *P. cordatum* compared
94 to those under non-toxic conditions with diatom *Skeletonema costatum* (Greville) Cleve 1873.
95 The results of this study provide critical evidence for evaluating the suitability of *S. constricta* as
96 a biological mitigation agent for harmful algal blooms, by elucidating its capacity to maintain

Komentirano [R3]: Shin et al. does not involve toxic microalgae

Promijenjen kod polja

Komentirano [R4]: remove Bauder et al. from here and insert in the parentheses before Rosa et. al

Promijenjen kod polja

Izbrisano: purify

Oblikovano: francuski (Francuska)

Komentirano [R5]: I would remove the word critical, as this needs further study...

Oblikovano: Precrtano

108 filtration efficiency and physiological stability under exposure to toxic *P. cordatum*, and thereby
109 its potential effectiveness in reducing algal biomass in natural waters.

100

101 **Materials & Methods**

102 **Microalgae culture and clam collection**

103 *Prorocentrum cordatum* and *Skeletonema costatum* were aseptically maintained in f/2 medium
104 (Guillard & Ryther, 1962) in 10 L glass conical flasks at 22 °C and 100 $\mu\text{mol photon m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$,
105 with a 12:12 h light/dark cycle. The chlorophyll *a* content of each conical flask was measured
106 daily using a Hawk TriLux fluorometer (Chelsea Technologies Ltd., West Molesey, UK). When
107 the chlorophyll *a* concentration reached over 200 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$, the microalgae were dispersed into four
108 new conical flasks to reduce microalgal mortality caused by high density.

109 *Sinovacula constricta* were collected from a bivalve aquaculture farm in Xiangshan, Zhejiang,
110 China. One-year-old adult calms with similar lengths ($674.2 \pm 4.6 \text{ mm}$) and weights ($25.2 \pm$
111 3.6 g) were selected and divided into two groups: exposed and control. Each group was placed in
112 a polypropylene carbonate tank filled with seawater for five days and was fed *S. costatum* daily
113 to allow the clams to adapt to the laboratory environment. Dead clams and feces were removed
114 from the tanks daily, which were then filled with clean seawater.

115 **Exposure experiment and filtration rate**

116 After the 5-day adaptation period, 24 clams that could extend and retract their siphons normally
117 were selected from each group and starved one day before the exposure experiment. The
118 cultivated microalgae were diluted with filtered seawater to arrive at the four chlorophyll *a*
119 concentration treatments. Each treatment consisted of six replicate tanks each containing one
120 clam and two tanks containing no clams. All tanks were filled with 2 L filtered seawater mixed
121 with *P. cordatum* (exposed group) or *S. costatum* (control group). The exposed and control
122 groups each consisted of four treatments according to the chlorophyll *a* concentration of the
123 microalgae: $60.2 \pm 0.8 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ (treatment 1), $126.8 \pm 1.4 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ (treatment 2), $171.9 \pm 1.9 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$
124 (treatment 3), and $229.2 \pm 2.5 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ (treatment 4). The chlorophyll *a* concentration in treatment
125 1 was slightly above the typical threshold for HABs ($40 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) (Busari [et al.](#), 2024), while

Izbrisano: .

Izbrisano: .

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Izbrisano: .

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Izbrisano: , Sahoo & Jana

130 treatment 2 represented a strong HABs event commonly observed along the Chinese coast (Chen
131 et al., 2023). To further investigate the tolerance of *S. constricta* to toxic microalgae, two
132 additional treatments (treatment 3 and 4) were designed with chlorophyll *a* concentrations
133 exceeding those found in most HABs. The water temperature was 23.1 ± 0.6 °C and salinity was
134 28.0 ± 0.5 ~~psu~~ during the exposure experiment. *S. constricta* has a diurnal cycle rhythm of
135 feeding rate that is highly associated with digestive enzyme activities (Liu et al., 2021). To
136 minimize the influence of the diurnal cycle upon the filtration rate and enzymatic activities, the
137 exposure experiment lasted for 12 h (from 6 am to 6 pm). No clam mortality was observed
138 during the exposure experiment. From 0 h to 6 h, the concentration of chlorophyll *a* was
139 measured every hour. From 6 h to 12 h, the chlorophyll *a* concentration was measured every 2 h.
140 All chlorophyll *a* concentrations throughout the exposure experiment were measured by a Hawk
141 TriLux fluorometer (Chelsea Technologies Ltd., West Molesey, UK).

142 The filtration rate (FR) of the clams was calculated for each group based on chlorophyll *a*
143 concentration and expressed in unit $\mu\text{g h}^{-1}$. The FR can be expressed as follows:

144
$$FR = \frac{V[(A_0 - A_1) - (B_0 - B_1)]}{T} \quad (1)$$

145 where, *V* is the volume of seawater, *A*₀ is the initial chlorophyll *a* concentration in the tank with
146 clams, *A*₁ is the chlorophyll *a* concentration after time *T* (h), *B*₀ is the initial chlorophyll *a*
147 concentration in the tank without clams, and *B*₁ is the chlorophyll *a* concentration after time *T*
148 (h).

149 **Determination of enzymatic activities**

150 After the exposure experiment, clams were dissected immediately, and the digestive glands were
151 separated, individually homogenized in saline solution, and centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 10 min
152 at 4 °C. The resultant supernatants were stored at −80 °C for use in digestive enzyme assays.
153 Spectrophotometric assays were used to determine enzymatic activities. The methods used to test
154 the enzymatic activities were listed in Table 1. All enzymatic activities were expressed in units
155 per milligram of protein ($\text{U mg}^{-1} \text{prot}$).

156

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Komentirano [R6]: please remove psu, salinity is dimensionless (unless absolute salinity in g/kg)

Oblikovano: Precrtano

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

157 **Statistical analysis**

158 All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS 20 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA)
159 with statistical significance set at $\alpha=0.05$. The data are expressed as mean \pm SD. The results were
160 initially tested for normality and homogeneity of variance using Shapiro-Wilk and Levene's
161 tests, respectively. Two-way repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to
162 analyze filtration rate differences between groups (exposed and control) for each treatment over
163 time. Enzymatic activities were compared using two-way ANOVA with group and treatment as
164 factors. Tukey's honest significant difference (Tukey's HSD) test was used to determine
165 differences within groups. One-way ANOVA was performed to determine differences between
166 treatments for each group. Origin 2018 graphing software was used to create the diagrams
167 (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, USA).

168 **Results**

170 **Filtration rate**

171 The exposed groups had lower chlorophyll *a* concentrations than the control groups starting from
172 the first hour of the exposure experiment (Figure 1). But at the end of the exposure experiment,
173 the chlorophyll *a* concentrations were lower than 30 μg in all groups and treatments. The FR of
174 *S. constricta* increased when exposed to high chlorophyll *a* concentrations of both toxic and
175 non-toxic microalgae. The two-way ANOVA results indicated that group membership
176 significantly ($P < 0.05$) affected treatments 3 and 4, while time significantly ($P < 0.05$) affected
177 treatments 2, 3, and 4 ($P < 0.05$). There was no significant interaction between group and time
178 for any treatment (Table 2). The FR of clams exposed to toxic microalgae was high during the
179 first hour, decreased rapidly in the next 4 h, and remained at low levels during the rest of the
180 experimental period (Figure 2). The FR in the control group showed a similar trend, but was
181 lower than in the exposed group during the first hour. The FR of clams exposed to toxic
182 microalgae did not decrease as rapidly as that of the control group over the exposed period, but
183 was higher than that of the control group at 6-12 h.

184 **Enzymatic activities**

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Trypsin and lipase activities were significantly ($P < 0.05$) affected by the concentration of chlorophyll *a* in the water (Figure 3A). In the control group, trypsin activity under treatments 1 and 2 was significantly higher than that under treatments 3 and 4. Meanwhile in the exposed groups, trypsin activity under treatments 1-3 was significantly higher than that under treatment 4. Lipase activity under treatment 1 was significantly lower than that under treatments 2-4 in the control group, displaying an increasing trend with increasing chlorophyll *a* concentration. Meanwhile, lipase activity decreased with increasing chlorophyll *a* concentration in the exposed group (Figure 3D), with significantly higher lipase activity under treatments 1-3 than under treatment 4. The lipase activity of the control group was significantly higher than that of the exposed group under treatments 3 and 4, whereas lipase activity under treatments 1 and 2 did not differ significantly between groups. Cellulase and amylase activities did not differ significantly between groups and/or treatments (Figure 3B and C).

SOD activity in the control group was significantly lower under treatments 3 and 4 than in the exposed group, whereas SOD activity under treatments 1 and 2 did not differ significantly between groups (Figure 4A). Further, SOD activity did not differ significantly among treatments in the control group, whereas in the exposed group, it showed a non-significant increase with increasing chlorophyll *a* concentration. SOD activity in the exposed group under treatment 4 was significantly higher than that under treatment 1. CAT activity did not differ significantly between groups or treatments (Figure 4B).

Discussion

FR responds to toxic microalgal exposure

The feeding behavior of bivalves is affected by the consumption of toxic microalgae (Zohdi and Abbaspour, 2019). Indeed, bivalves have developed highly flexible feeding regimens in response to changes in the quantity and quality of suspended particles, enabling them to optimize energy gains. Nielsen et al. (2020) suggested that blue mussel *Mytilus edulis* can reduced clearance rates of feeding when they exposed to DSP-toxic dinoflagellate. Bauder et al. (2001) investigated how bay scallops *Argopecten irradians* uptake, retain, and eliminate DSP toxins, reporting that bivalves can quickly accumulate DSP toxins and also detoxify them effectively. These studies

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

214 suggest that some bivalve species may have greater tolerance to DSP toxins producing
215 dinoflagellate.

Komentirano [R7]: dinoflagellates
Komentirano [R8]: please add at the end of the sentence: ...in terms of their survival and feeding, but they still accumulate DSP toxins.

216 In the current study, the FR of *S. constricta* for toxic *P. cordatum* was lower than that for non-
217 toxic *S. costatum* at all chlorophyll *a* concentrations at the beginning of the experiment, which
218 suggested that toxic microalgae initially interfered with the feeding behavior of *S. constricta*.
219 However, the FR for toxic microalgae did not decrease as rapidly as that for non-toxic
220 microalgae at all chlorophyll *a* concentrations, likely because *S. constricta* gradually became
221 used to feeding on toxic microalgae. Both the exposed and control groups were fed with the non-
222 toxic diatom *S. costatum* prior to the exposure experiment. Therefore, clams in the exposed
223 group may have required an adaptation period to the toxic dinoflagellate *P. cordatum*, which
224 could explain the initially lower FR observed in exposed group. The FR of bivalves typically
225 decreases with declining microalgal concentrations (Sauvey et al., 2021), which explains the
226 rapid decrease in FR observed in the control group. Additionally, digestive enzymatic activities
227 did not differ significantly between the control and exposed groups at low chlorophyll *a*
228 concentrations (treatments 1 and 2), suggesting that this adaptation to toxic microalgae was not
229 regulated by enzymatic activities at low toxic microalgae concentrations. Similar conclusions
230 have been drawn in previous studies, indicating that the feeding activity of bivalves is not
231 affected by toxic microalgae at certain concentrations (Bauder et al., 2001). Since the chlorophyll
232 *a* concentrations in treatments 1 and 2 were comparable to those observed during natural HAB
233 events, *S. constricta* may maintain normal feeding function in natural environments during such
234 blooms.

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Komentirano [R9]: please include at last 1 more reference to corroborate this
Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

235 Only high chlorophyll *a* concentrations (treatments 3 and 4) caused significant differences in FR
236 between the control and exposed groups. This result illustrates that *S. constricta* can tolerate low
237 concentrations of toxic microalgae. ~~Some bivalve species have been shown to tolerate DSP-toxic~~
238 ~~algae (Bauder et al., 2001).~~ Moreover, the chlorophyll *a* concentration in the natural environment
239 during HABs is usually less than 20 µg L⁻¹ (Wei et al., 2008; Stauffer et al., 2019), which is
240 much lower than the chlorophyll *a* concentrations used in the current experiment. Therefore, *S.*
241 *constricta* could be considered a potential bioremediation species for HABs in natural seawater
242 where the chlorophyll *a* concentration is lower. Zhang et al. (2022) previously reported that a
243 high razor clam stocking density could reduce the non-toxic phytoplankton biomass and net

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Komentirano [R10]: please remove this sentence, as the same has already been stated in Line 209
Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv
Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

primary production in mariculture ponds with swimming crabs and shrimp. Similarly, Jiang et al. (2019) found that cultivated oysters control phytoplankton blooms in natural waters of Xiangshan Bay, China. Since *S. constricta* is one of the major polyculture species used in East Asian aquaculture, along with shrimp and crab (Xie et al., 2011; Guan et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2022), our study demonstrates that *S. constricta* could be used to efficiently reduce non-toxic as well as toxic phytoplankton density.

Promijenjen kod polja

Digestive enzymatic activities respond to exposure to toxic microalgae

Digestive enzymatic activities, as important indicators of nutritional status, reflect the digestion performance of bivalves to a certain degree (Albentosa & Moyano, 2008). Previous studies have reported that microalgal abundance and species influence FR and digestive enzymatic activities of bivalves (Galimany et al., 2020; Sauvey et al., 2021). In the current study, higher toxic phytoplankton concentrations (treatments 3 and 4) caused statistically significant changes in lipase activity. Reverse trends in lipase activity between the control and exposed groups confirmed that lipase inhibition in the exposed group was correlated with the toxicity of *P. cordatum*. Lipase activity of *S. constricta* is significantly associated with environmental factors such as light intensity and pH (Liu et al., 2021; Liang et al., 2022). Therefore, the present results support that lipase is more sensitive to toxic microalgae than the other digestive enzymes we analyzed in this study.

Eukaryotic phytoplankton, such as diatoms and dinoflagellates, predominantly accumulate neutral lipids, mainly in the form of triacylglycerols (TAGs) (Becker et al., 2018). TAGs are more effective energy stores than carbohydrates because they contain more chemical energy per mole of carbon and larger quantities can be stored inside the cell (Berg et al., 2015). Thus, lipids in microalgae are an important energy source for bivalves. The digestive capability and dietary preference of bivalves are typically closely related (Li et al., 2020). Therefore, the observed increase in lipase activity from low to high chlorophyll *a* concentrations in the control group may suggest a digestive preference of *S. constricta* for lipids. Lipase activities of clams in the exposed group were possibly inhibited by toxic microalgae, which may have resulted in insufficient energy absorption by *S. constricta*.

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

272 Trypsin activity decreased significantly from low to high chlorophyll *a* concentrations in both
273 the exposed and control groups, suggesting that trypsin inhibition was more likely caused by
274 high density rather than microalgal toxicity. The microalgal densities under all treatments were
275 higher than those during HABs, which usually occur in natural seawater (Wei et al., 2008;
276 Stauffer et al., 2019). Indeed, the highest trypsin activity of *S. constricta* may be achieved at
277 lower phytoplankton concentrations than were used in the present study. Several studies have
278 demonstrated the importance of proteases, including trypsin, as key enzymes for feed utilization
279 and growth due to their role in protein digestion processes (Rungruangsak-Torrissen et al., 2006;
280 Albentosa and Moyano, 2008; Klomklao, 2008). However, distinct proteases respond differently
281 to environmental factors (Korez et al., 2019). Other protease activities may increase along with
282 increasing microalgal density while trypsin activity decreases. The activities of digestive
283 enzymes, except lipase, did not differ significantly between the exposed and control groups.
284 These results support that the digestive process of *S. constricta* functions normally when exposed
285 to high-density toxic phytoplankton.

286 **Antioxidant enzymes activities respond to exposure to toxic microalgae**

287 SOD and CAT are key antioxidant enzymes that determine the effectiveness of an antioxidant
288 system. Studies have shown that bivalves produce antioxidant enzymes to protect against
289 oxidative stress induced by absorbed microalgal toxins (Shumway 1990; Vidal et al., 2014; Ye et
290 al., 2022). The results of the present study revealed that SOD activity was higher at higher
291 chlorophyll *a* concentrations (treatments 3 and 4). Higher SOD activity has also been observed in
292 *S. constricta* following short-term exposure to elevated levels of suspended solids (Yang et al.,
293 2017). Higher SOD activity at highly toxic microalgae concentrations may be a consequence of
294 the enzyme's detoxifying role.

295 SOD and CAT activities in the digestive gland of *S. constricta* were much lower than those
296 previously reported in *M. trossulus*, *C. gigas* and *Macra chinensis* (Istomina et al., 2021). *S.*
297 *constricta* is a typical burrowing bivalve species that usually buries itself in the sediments of
298 mudflats. Although the activities of antioxidant enzymes in other razor clam species have rarely
299 been reported, a previous study demonstrated that burrowing bivalves generally have low
300 metabolic rates and antioxidant system activity, reduced mitochondrial function, and less

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Oblikovano: francuski (Francuska)

Promijenjen kod polja

Promijenjen kod polja

Oblikovano: francuski (Francuska)

Oblikovano: engleski (SAD)

Izbrisano: , Gutow & Saborowski

Promijenjen kod polja

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

302 accumulation of compounds that cause cellular damage (Philipp et al., 2012). Furthermore,
303 bivalves buried in sediment can retract their siphons and remain in a state of anoxia for several
304 days (Istomina et al., 2021). Nevertheless, SOD and CAT activities were low even with no
305 sediment in which to bury throughout the exposure experiment, suggesting that *S. constricta* may
306 have limited antioxidant ability compared to non-burrowing bivalves. However, sheltering in
307 mudflat sediments may protect *S. constricta* against toxic microalgae during HABs in the natural
308 environment.

309 **Bioremediation function of *S. constricta***

310 The FR of *S. constricta* increased with higher chlorophyll *a* concentration. Similarly, some
311 bivalve species removed more toxic microalgae when they were exposed to higher cell
312 concentration (Galimany et al., 2021). However, high concentration of toxic microalgae
313 impacted the physiological process of *S. constricta* by inhibiting lipase activity and inducing
314 SOD activity. The intensive HABs have caused tremendous economic loss in the aquaculture
315 industry globally (Trottet et al., 2021). While the long-term effects of toxic microalgae on *S.*
316 *constricta* remain unclear, the short-term exposure in this study suggests that *S. constricta* may
317 not tolerate extremely high concentrations of toxic microalgae. However, it appears capable of
318 withstanding most HABs typically found in natural seawater. As an important commercial
319 species in East Asia, our results suggested the harmful algae polluted sea area is not a proper
320 place for *S. constricta* aquaculture.

321 Bivalves filter water and particles, and create suitable habitat for other species (van der Schatte
322 Olivier et al., 2020). *S. constricta* is considered an aquaculture bivalve, but its role as a water
323 purifier has not been adequately explored, although a previous study has shown that *S. constricta*
324 can promote nutrient recycling in eutrophic waters (Zhao et al., 2019). Many studies have
325 reported non-selective feeding behavior in bivalves, as indicated by the similar seasonal patterns
326 of microalgae composition observed in both seawater and bivalve stomach contents
327 (Kamermans, 1994; Rouillon et al., 2005; Houki et al, 2025). Outdoor large-scale cultivation of
328 *S. constricta* may reduce the abundance of both toxic and non-toxic microalgae, potentially
329 leading to a decline in local primary productivity (Smaal et al., 2013) and alterations in the food

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Promijenjen kod polja

Oblikovano: francuski (Francuska)

Promijenjen kod polja

Oblikovano: francuski (Francuska)

Oblikovano: francuski (Francuska)

Promijenjen kod polja

web (Vaughn & Hoellein, 2018). Therefore, further research is needed to confirm the bioremediation function of *S. constricta*.

Conclusions

Short-term exposure to the toxic dinoflagellate *Prorocentrum cordatum* significantly affected the filtration rate (FR) and physiological processes of the Chinese razor clam *Sinonovacula constricta*. At high concentrations of toxic microalgae, digestive lipase activity was notably inhibited. While superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity was upregulated in response to higher concentrations of toxic microalgae, the overall antioxidant enzymatic activity in *S. constricta* was lower compared to other bivalves reported in the literature. These findings suggest that *S. constricta* can adapt to toxic microalgae through changes in feeding behavior, as well as modifications in digestive and antioxidant enzymatic activities. This adaptive response supports the potential of *S. constricta* as a bioremediation species for mitigating harmful algal blooms (HABs). However, the full extent of the physiological mechanisms underlying these responses remains unclear. Future studies incorporating metabolomic and transcriptomic analyses could offer deeper insights into how bivalves, such as *S. constricta*, cope with harmful microalgae.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Ningbo Academy of Oceanology and Fishery for providing the test site.

References

Albentosa M, Moyano FJ. 2008. Influence of nutritional stress on digestive enzyme activities in juveniles of two marine clam species, *Ruditapes decussatus* and *Venerupis pullastra*. *Journal of Sea Research* 59:249–258. DOI: 10.1016/j.seares.2008.02.004.

Bardouil M, Bohec M, Bougrier S, Lassus P, Truquet P. 1996. Feeding responses of *Crassostrea gigas* (Thunberg) to inclusion of different proportions of toxic dinoflagellates in their diet. *Oceanologica Acta* 19:177–182.

Bauder AG, Cembella AD, Bricelj VM, Quilliam MA. 2001. Uptake and fate of diarrhetic shellfish poisoning toxins from the dinoflagellate *Prorocentrum lima* in the bay scallop

361 *Argopecten irradians*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 213:39–52. DOI:
 362 10.3354/meps213039.

363 Bayne BL, Hawkins AJ, Navarro E, Heral M, Deslous-Paoli JM. 1993. Feeding behaviour of
 364 the mussel, *Mytilus edulis*: Responses to variations in quantity and organic content of the
 365 seston. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 73:813–829.
 366 DOI: 10.1017/S0025315400034743.

367 Becker KW, Collins JR, Durham BP, Groussman RD, White AE, Fredricks HF, Ossolinski JE,
 368 Repeta DJ, Carini P, Armbrust EV, Van Mooy BAS. 2018. Daily changes in phytoplankton
 369 lipidomes reveal mechanisms of energy storage in the open ocean. *Nature Communications*
 370 9. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-018-07346-z.

371 Berg JM, Tymoczko JL, Gatto, Jr. GJ, Stryer L. 2015. *Biochemistry*. New York: W. H. Freeman
 372 and Company.

373 Blanco J, Estévez-Calvar N, Martín H. 2025. Excretion routes of okadaic acid and
 374 dinophysistoxin-2 from mussels (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*) and cockles (*Cerastoderma*
 375 *edule*). *Toxins* 17. DOI: 10.3390/toxins17030128.

376 Boyer JN, Kelble CR, Ortner PB, Rudnick DT. 2009. Phytoplankton bloom status: Chlorophyll *a*
 377 biomass as an indicator of water quality condition in the southern estuaries of Florida, USA.
 378 *Ecological Indicators* 9:56–67. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2008.11.013.

379 Busari I, Sahoo D, Jana RB. 2024. Prediction of chlorophyll-a as an indicator of harmful algal
 380 blooms using deep learning with Bayesian approximation for uncertainty assessment.
 381 *Journal of Hydrology* 630. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2024.130627.

382 C, Liang J, Yang G, Sun W. 2023. Spatio-temporal distribution of harmful algal blooms and
 383 their correlations with marine hydrological elements in offshore areas, China. *Ocean and*
 384 *Coastal Management* 238. DOI: 10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2023.106554.

385 Fernández-Reiriz MJ, Labarta U, Navarro JM, Velasco A. 2001. Enzymatic digestive activity in
 386 *Mytilus chilensis* (Hupé 1854) in response to food regimes and past feeding history. *Journal*
 387 *of Comparative Physiology - B Biochemical, Systemic, and Environmental Physiology*
 388 171:449–456. DOI: 10.1007/s003600100194.

389 Galimany E, Lunt J, Freeman CJ, Houk J, Sauvage T, Santos L, Lunt J, Kolmakova M, Mossop
 390 M, Domingos A, Philips EJ, Paul VJ. 2020. Bivalve feeding responses to microalgal bloom
 391 species in the Indian River Lagoon: the potential for top-down control. *Estuaries and*
 392 *Coasts* 43:1519–1532. DOI: 10.1007/s12237-020-00746-9.

393 ~~Goncharenko I, Krakhmalnyi M, Velikova V, Ascencio E, Krakhmalnyi A. 2021. Ecological~~
 394 ~~niche modeling of toxic dinoflagellate *Prorocentrum cordatum* in the Black Sea.~~
 395 ~~*Ecohydrology and Hydrobiology* 21:747–759. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecohyd.2021.05.002.~~

396 Guan X, Wang B, Duan P, Tian J, Dong Y, Jiang J, Sun B, Zhou Z. 2020. The dynamics of
 397 bacterial community in a polyculture aquaculture system of *Penaeus chinensis*, *Rhopilema*

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Oblikovano: Precrtano

398 *esculenta* and *Sinonovacula constricta*. *Aquaculture Research* 51:1789–1800. DOI:
399 10.1111/are.14528.

400 Guillard RR, Ryther JH. 1962. Studies of marine planktonic diatoms. I. *Cyclotella nana* Hustedt,
401 and *Detonula confervacea* (cleve) Gran. *Canadian journal of microbiology* 8:229–239.
402 DOI: 10.1139/m62-029.

403 Hallegraeff GM. 2010. Ocean climate change, phytoplankton community responses, and harmful
404 algal blooms: A formidable predictive challenge. *Journal of Phycology* 46:220–235. DOI:
405 10.1111/j.1529-8817.2010.00815.x.

406 Houki S, Ozaki M, Sano N. 2025. Ontogenic shift of feeding habit in Manila clam *Ruditapes*
407 *philippinarum* after settlement revealed by diatom specific DNA-metabarcoding diet
408 analysis. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 319. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecss.2025.109244.

409 Inagami T, Sturtevant JM. 1960. The trypsin-catalyzed hydrolysis of benzoyl-L-arginine ethyl
410 ester. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 38:64–79. DOI: 10.1016/0006-3002(60)91196-3.

411 Istomina A, Yelovskaya O, Chelomin V, Karpenko A, Zvyagintsev A. 2021. Antioxidant activity
412 of Far Eastern bivalves in their natural habitat. *Marine Environmental Research*
413 169:105383. DOI: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2021.105383.

414 Jiang Z, Du P, Liao Y, Liu Q, Chen Q, Shou L, Zeng J, Chen J. 2019. Oyster farming control on
415 phytoplankton bloom promoted by thermal discharge from a power plant in a eutrophic,
416 semi-enclosed bay. *Water Research* 159:1–9. DOI: 10.1016/j.watres.2019.04.023.

417 Kamermans P. 1994. Similarity in food source and timing of feeding in deposit- and suspension-
418 feeding bivalves. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 104:63–75. DOI: 10.3354/meps104063.

419 Klomklao S. 2008. Digestive proteinases from marine organisms and their applications.
420 *Songklanakarin Journal of Science and Technology* 30:37–46.

421 Korez Š, Gutow L, Saborowski R. 2019. Feeding and digestion of the marine isopod *Idotea*
422 *emarginata* challenged by poor food quality and microplastics. *Comparative Biochemistry*
423 *and Physiology Part - C: Toxicology and Pharmacology* 226. DOI:
424 10.1016/j.cbpc.2019.108586.

425 Lassudrie M, Hégaret H, Wikfors GH, da Silva PM. 2020. Effects of marine harmful algal
426 blooms on bivalve cellular immunity and infectious diseases: A review. *Developmental and*
427 *Comparative Immunology* 108. DOI: 10.1016/j.dci.2020.103660.

428 Li C, Liu E, Li T, Wang A, Liu C, Gu Z. 2020. Suitability of three seaweeds as feed in culturing
429 strawberry conch *Strombus luhuanus*. *Aquaculture* 519:734761. DOI:
430 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.734761.

431 Liang J, Liu Y, Zhu F, Li Y, Liang S, Guo Y. 2022. Impact of ocean acidification on the
432 physiology of digestive gland of razor clams *Sinonovacula constricta*. *Frontiers in Marine*
433 *Science* 9:1–8. DOI: 10.3389/fmars.2022.1010350.

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

434 Liu Y, Yao H, Zhou T, Lin Z, Dong Y. 2021. The discovery of circadian rhythm of feeding time
435 on digestive enzymes activity and their gene expression in *Sinonovacula constricta* within a
436 light/dark cycle. *Frontiers in Marine Science* 8:1–8. DOI: 10.3389/fmars.2021.744212.

437 Nielsen P, Krock B, Hansen PJ, Vismann B. 2020. Effects of the DSP-toxic dinoflagellate
438 *Dinophysis acuta* on clearance and respiration rate of the blue mussel, *Mytilus edulis*. *PLoS*
439 *ONE* 15:e0230176. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0230176.

440 Orita R, Nagano Y, Kawamura Y, Kimura K, Kobayashi G. 2021. Genetic diversity and
441 population structure of razor clam *Sinonovacula constricta* in Ariake Bay, Japan, revealed
442 using RAD-Seq SNP markers. *Scientific Reports* 11:1–9. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-021-87395-
443 5.

444 Paerl HW, Gardner WS, Havens KE, Joyner AR, McCarthy MJ, Newell SE, Qin B, Scott JT.
445 2016. Mitigating cyanobacterial harmful algal blooms in aquatic ecosystems impacted by
446 climate change and anthropogenic nutrients. *Harmful Algae* 54:213–222. DOI:
447 10.1016/j.hal.2015.09.009.

448 Paoletti F, Aldinucci D, Mocali A, Caparrini A. 1986. A sensitive spectrophotometric method for
449 the determination of superoxide dismutase activity in tissue extracts. *Analytical*
450 *Biochemistry* 154:536–541. DOI: 10.1016/0003-2697(86)90026-6.

451 Philipp EER, Strahl J, Sukhotin AA. 2012. Aging in marine animals. In: Abele D, Vázquez-
452 Medina JP, Zenteno-Savín T eds. *Oxidative Stress in Aquatic Ecosystems, Part III*.
453 Blackwell Publishing Ltd., 191–207.

454 Regoli F, Principato G. 1995. Glutathione, glutathione-dependent and antioxidant enzymes in
455 mussel, *Mytilus galloprovincialis*, exposed to metals under field and laboratory conditions:
456 implications for the use of biochemical biomarkers. *Aquatic Toxicology* 31:143–164. DOI:
457 10.1016/0166-445X(94)00064-W.

458 Romero-Geraldo R de J, García-Lagunas N, Hernández-Saavedra NY. 2016. *Crassostrea gigas*
459 exposure to the dinoflagellate *Prorocentrum lima*: Histological and gene expression effects
460 on the digestive gland. *Marine Environmental Research* 120:93–102. DOI:
461 10.1016/j.marenvres.2016.07.011.

462 Rosa M, Ward JE, Holohan BA, Shumway SE, Wikfors GH. 2017. Physicochemical surface
463 properties of microalgae and their combined effects on particle selection by suspension-
464 feeding bivalve molluscs. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 486:59–68.
465 DOI: 10.1016/j.jembe.2016.09.007.

466 Rouillon G, Guerra Rivas J, Ochoa N, Navarro E. 2005. Phytoplankton composition of the
467 stomach contents of the mussel *Mytilus edulis* L. from two populations: Comparison with its
468 food supply. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 24:5–14. DOI: 10.2983/0730-
469 8000(2005)24[5:PCOTSC]2.0.CO;2.

Izbrisano: 'a

Oblikovano: Font: Kurziv

471 Rungruangsak-Torrissen K, Moss R, Andresen LH, Berg A, Waagbø R. 2006. Different
 472 expressions of trypsin and chymotrypsin in relation to growth in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo*
 473 *salar* L.). *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry* 32:7–23. DOI: 10.1007/s10695-005-0630-5.

474 Sahraoui I, Bouchouicha D, Mabrouk HH, Hlaili AS. 2013. Driving factors of the potentially
 475 toxic and harmful species of *Prorocentrum* Ehrenberg in a semi-enclosed Mediterranean
 476 lagoon (Tunisia, SW Mediterranean). *Mediterranean Marine Science* 14:353–362. DOI:
 477 10.12681/mms.338.

478 Smaal AC, Schellekens T, van Stralen MR, Kromkamp JC. 2013. Decrease of the carrying
 479 capacity of the Oosterschelde estuary (SW Delta, NL) for bivalve filter feeders due to
 480 overgrazing? *Aquaculture* 404–405:28–34. DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2013.04.008.

481 Sauvey A, Denis F, Hégaret H, Le Roy B, Lelong C, Jolly O, Pavie M, Fauchot J. 2021.
 482 Interactions between filter-feeding bivalves and toxic diatoms: Influence on the feeding
 483 behavior of *Crassostrea gigas* and *Pecten maximus* and on toxin production by
 484 *Pseudonitzschia*. *Toxins* 13. DOI: 10.3390/toxins13080577.

485 van der Schatte Olivier A, Jones L, Vay L Le, Christie M, Wilson J, Malham SK. 2020. A global
 486 review of the ecosystem services provided by bivalve aquaculture. *Reviews in Aquaculture*
 487 12:3–25. DOI: 10.1111/raq.12301.

488 ~~Shen HD, Liu G, Fang L, Li J. 2013. Effect of microalgae and temperature on absorption~~
 489 ~~efficiency of razor clam (*Sinonovacula constricta* Lamark, 1818). *Aquaculture Research*~~
 490 ~~44:1524–1530. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2109.2012.03159.x.~~

491 Shumway SE. 1990. A review of the effects of algal blooms on shellfish and aquaculture.
 492 *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society* 21:65–104. DOI: 10.1111/j.1749-
 493 7345.1990.tb00529.x.

494 Stauffer BA, Bowers HA, Buckley E, Davis TW, Johengen TH, Kudela R, McManus MA,
 495 Purcell H, Smith GJ, Woude A Vander, Tamburri MN. 2019. Considerations in harmful
 496 algal bloom research and monitoring: Perspectives from a consensus-building workshop and
 497 technology testing. *Frontiers in Marine Science* 6:1–18. DOI: 10.3389/fmars.2019.00399.

498 Tan K, Zhang B, Zhang H, Zheng H. 2022. Total antioxidant capacity of commercially important
 499 bivalves: A case study in Southern China. *Aquaculture Research* 53:657–664. DOI:
 500 10.1111/are.15609.

501 Trotter A, George C, Drillet G, Lauro FM. 2021. Aquaculture in coastal urbanized areas: A
 502 comparative review of the challenges posed by Harmful Algal Blooms. *Critical Reviews in*
 503 *Environmental Science and Technology* 0:1–42. DOI: 10.1080/10643389.2021.1897372.

504 Vaughn CC, Hoellein TJ. 2018. Bivalve impacts in freshwater and marine ecosystems. *Annual*
 505 *Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 49:183–208. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-
 506 110617-062703.

Oblikovano: Precrtano

507 Vega-Villasante F, Nolasco H, Civera R. 1993. The digestive enzymes of the pacific brown
508 shrimp *Penaeus californiensis*. I-Properties of amylase activity in the digestive tract.
509 *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology -- Part B: Biochemistry and* 106:547–550. DOI:
510 10.1016/0305-0491(93)90130-W.

511 Velikova V, Larsen J. 1999. The *Prorocentrum cordatum*/*Prorocentrum minimum* taxonomic
512 problem. *Grana* 38:108–112. DOI: 10.1080/00173139908559219.

513 Vidal A, Ruiz Y, Su P, Martinez AA, Rossignoli AE, Blanco J, Garcia O, Juan FS. 2014.
514 Accumulation of Okadaic acid and detoxifying enzymes in the digestive gland of *Mytilus*
515 *galloprovincialis* during exposure to DSP. In: Sauvé G ed. *Molluscan Shellfish Safety* 217–
516 225. DOI: 10.1007/978-94-007-6588-7.

517 Wei GF, Tang DL, Wang S. 2008. Distribution of chlorophyll and harmful algal blooms (HABs):
518 A review on space based studies in the coastal environments of Chinese marginal seas.
519 *Advances in Space Research* 41:12–19. DOI: 10.1016/j.asr.2007.01.037.

520 Xie B, Jiang W, Yang H. 2011. Growth performance and nutrient quality of Chinese shrimp
521 *Penaeus chinensis* in organic polyculture with razor clam *Sinonovacula constricta* or hard
522 clam *Meretrix meretrix*. *Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science* 17:851–858.

523 Yang G, Song L, Lu X, Wang N, Li Y. 2017. Effect of the exposure to suspended solids on the
524 enzymatic activity in the bivalve *Sinonovacula constricta*. *Aquaculture and Fisheries* 2:10–
525 17. DOI: 10.1016/j.aaf.2017.01.001.

526 Yao H, Lin Z, Dong Y, Kong X, He L, Xue L. 2021. Gonad transcriptome analysis of the razor
527 clam (*Sinonovacula constricta*) revealed potential sex-related genes. *Frontiers in Marine*
528 *Science* 8:1–13. DOI: 10.3389/fmars.2021.725430.

529 Ye MH, Li DW, Cai QD, Jiao YH, Liu Y, Li HY, Yang WD. 2022. Toxic responses of different
530 shellfish species after exposure to *Prorocentrum lima*, a DSP toxins producing
531 dinoflagellate. *Toxins* 14. DOI: 10.3390/toxins14070461.

532 Young N, Sharpe RA, Barciela R, Nichols G, Davidson K, Berdalet E, Fleming LE. 2020.
533 Marine harmful algal blooms and human health: A systematic scoping review. *Harmful*
534 *Algae* 98:101901. DOI: 10.1016/j.hal.2020.101901.

535 Zhang D, Xu W, Wang F, He J, Chai X. 2022. Carbon dioxide fluxes from mariculture ponds
536 with swimming crabs and shrimps in eastern China: The effect of adding razor clams.
537 *Aquaculture Reports* 22:100917. DOI: 10.1016/j.aqrep.2021.100917.

538 Zhao L, Zheng Y, Nicholas R, Lukwambe B, Zhu J, Yang W, Zheng Z. 2019. Bioturbation by
539 the razor clam *Sinonovacula constricta* affects benthic nutrient fluxes in aquaculture
540 wastewater treatment ecosystems. *Aquaculture Environment Interactions* 11:87–96. DOI:
541 10.3354/aei00298.

542 Zohdi E, Abbaspour M. 2019. Harmful algal blooms (red tide): a review of causes, impacts and
543 approaches to monitoring and prediction. *International Journal of Environmental Science*
544 *and Technology* 16:1789–1806. DOI: 10.1007/s13762-018-2108-x.

545