

Important correction (to the abstract)

Abstract

Gives that the “median number of articles published” – this should be corrected to “median number of articles published per year”

Some minor corrections for your article

1. You refer to PLOS as a “start up company” but it is actually a non-profit organization
2. “are still today highly relevant” – conventional English usage would be “are still highly relevant today”
3. “affecting in the publishing” – should be “affecting the publishing”
4. “published in other languages” – I think you mean “published in languages other than English”
5. “Given all these changes the question, how sustainable has the independent scholar-published OA journal model been for journals who adopted the model early?”
needs correcting – perhaps to
“Given all these changes, the question remains how sustainable the independent scholar-published OA journal model has been for journals who adopted the model early?”
6. “Scopus has a bit higher inclusion rate” should be “Scopus has a slightly higher inclusion rate”
7. Table 2, 2nd column heading should be “Annual median articles per journal” (not article)
I suggest the 3rd column heading should be “Total number of articles”
8. “the number of journals ceasing dropped clearly” – perhaps better to say “dropped sharply”
9. “outsource the whole process to voluntaries’ acting ...”
should be “volunteers acting ...”
10. “editors decided they were wanted to use a professional publisher”
should be “editors decided they wanted to use a professional publisher”
11. “focus shifted to peer-reviewed paper with mainly outside authors”
should be “focus shifted to peer-reviewed papers with mainly outside authors”
12. “The ‘indie’ journals part of this study is children of their time”
should be “The ‘indie’ journals that comprise this study are children of their time”