

Psychopathological symptoms in soccer referees: The role of psychological inflexibility and perfectionism (#111729)

1

First revision

Guidance from your Editor

Please submit by **1 Jun 2025** for the benefit of the authors .



Structure and Criteria

Please read the 'Structure and Criteria' page for guidance.



Custom checks

Make sure you include the custom checks shown below, in your review.



Raw data check

Review the raw data.



Image check

Check that figures and images have not been inappropriately manipulated.

All review materials are strictly confidential. Uploading the manuscript to third-party tools such as Large Language Models is not allowed.

If this article is published your review will be made public. You can choose whether to sign your review. If uploading a PDF please remove any identifiable information (if you want to remain anonymous).

Files

Download and review all files from the [materials page](#).

1 Tracked changes manuscript(s)

1 Rebuttal letter(s)

4 Table file(s)

1 Raw data file(s)

! Custom checks

Human participant/human tissue checks



Have you checked the authors [ethical approval statement](#)?



Does the study meet our [article requirements](#)?



Has identifiable info been removed from all files?



Were the experiments necessary and ethical?



Structure and Criteria

Structure your review

The review form is divided into 5 sections. Please consider these when composing your review:

1. BASIC REPORTING
2. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN
3. VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS
4. General comments
5. Confidential notes to the editor

 You can also annotate this PDF and upload it as part of your review

When ready [submit online](#).

Editorial Criteria

Use these criteria points to structure your review. The full detailed editorial criteria is on your [guidance page](#).




BASIC REPORTING

-  Clear, unambiguous, professional English language used throughout.
-  Intro & background to show context. Literature well referenced & relevant.
-  Structure conforms to [Peerj standards](#), discipline norm, or improved for clarity.
-  Figures are relevant, high quality, well labelled & described.
-  Raw data supplied (see [Peerj policy](#)).

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

-  Original primary research within [Scope of the journal](#).
-  Research question well defined, relevant & meaningful. It is stated how the research fills an identified knowledge gap.
-  Rigorous investigation performed to a high technical & ethical standard.
-  Methods described with sufficient detail & information to replicate.

VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS

-  **Impact and novelty is not assessed.** Meaningful replication encouraged where rationale & benefit to literature is clearly stated.
-  All underlying data have been provided; they are robust, statistically sound, & controlled.
-  Conclusions are well stated, linked to original research question & limited to supporting results.



The best reviewers use these techniques

Tip

Example

Support criticisms with evidence from the text or from other sources

Smith et al (J of Methodology, 2005, V3, pp 123) have shown that the analysis you use in Lines 241-250 is not the most appropriate for this situation. Please explain why you used this method.

Give specific suggestions on how to improve the manuscript

Your introduction needs more detail. I suggest that you improve the description at lines 57- 86 to provide more justification for your study (specifically, you should expand upon the knowledge gap being filled).

Comment on language and grammar issues

The English language should be improved to ensure that an international audience can clearly understand your text. Some examples where the language could be improved include lines 23, 77, 121, 128 – the current phrasing makes comprehension difficult. I suggest you have a colleague who is proficient in English and familiar with the subject matter review your manuscript, or contact a professional editing service.

Organize by importance of the issues, and number your points

1. Your most important issue
2. The next most important item
3. ...
4. The least important points

Please provide constructive criticism, and avoid personal opinions

I thank you for providing the raw data, however your supplemental files need more descriptive metadata identifiers to be useful to future readers. Although your results are compelling, the data analysis should be improved in the following ways: AA, BB, CC

Comment on strengths (as well as weaknesses) of the manuscript

I commend the authors for their extensive data set, compiled over many years of detailed fieldwork. In addition, the manuscript is clearly written in professional, unambiguous language. If there is a weakness, it is in the statistical analysis (as I have noted above) which should be improved upon before Acceptance.

Psychopathological symptoms in soccer referees: The role of psychological inflexibility and perfectionism

Félix Arbinaga ^{Corresp., 1}, Emilio Moreno-San-Pedro ¹, María-Isabel Mendoza-Sierra ²

¹ Department of Clinical and Experimental Psychology, University of Huelva, HUELVA, Spain

² Department of Social, Development and Educational Psychology, University of Huelva, HUELVA, Spain

Corresponding Author: Félix Arbinaga
Email address: felix.arbinaga@dpsi.uhu.es

Background: Refereeing is associated with a high prevalence of mental health issues. Mental health problems are quite common in sport and referees are no exception. In the case of referees, psychological disorders have been associated with a number of factors and lower league officials appear more likely to experience psychological distress compared to their higher league counterparts. **Aim:** The aim is to analyze the relationship between psychological inflexibility, perfectionism, and psychopathological symptomatology in soccer officials. **Method:** A cross-sectional, anonymous, online study was conducted. Psychopathological symptoms were assessed using the Symptom Assessment-45 Questionnaire; the Acceptance and Action Questionnaire was used to assess psychological inflexibility; Perfectionism was assessed using the Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale. Participants are 156 active main referee (96.8% male), of whom 63.5% are at amateur level and 36.5% at semi-professional/professional level. **Results:** No significant differences were found between amateur and semi-professional/professional referees in psychological inflexibility and psychopathological symptoms, except for paranoid ideation, where amateurs scored higher. Significant differences were observed in the total scores of maladaptive perfectionism, particularly regarding external influences, with amateurs scoring higher. In adaptive perfectionism, significant differences were noted in both total scores and achievement expectations, with amateurs obtaining higher scores. Psychological inflexibility demonstrated a strong predictive capacity for psychopathological symptoms ($\beta = .716$). When maladaptive perfectionism was incorporated in the model, it significantly predicted 17.6% of the variance. Adaptive perfectionism, however, did not significantly predict psychopathological symptomatology. **Conclusion:** The results suggest that psychological inflexibility and maladaptive perfectionism are good predictors of psychopathological symptoms and mental health in referees. The status of amateur or semi-professional officials does not differentiate them from professional referees in terms of psychological disorders, but it does in terms of perfectionism. With a view to the future,

it is important to intervene on these constructs, which are modifiable and facilitate their well-being.

Psychopathological Symptoms in Soccer Referees: The Role of Psychological Inflexibility and Perfectionism

Félix Arbinaga^{1*}, Emilio Moreno-San-Pedro¹, & María-Isabel Mendoza-Sierra²

¹ Department of Clinical and Experimental Psychology, University of Huelva, Huelva, Spain

² Department of Social, Development and Educational Psychology, University of Huelva, Huelva, Spain

Corresponding Author:

Félix Arbinaga PhD.

Department of Clinical and Experimental Psychology

Faculty of Education, Psychology and Sports Science

University of Huelva

Avenue “Tres de Marzo”, s/n.

21071 Huelva (Spain)

Email address: felix.arbinaga@dpsi.uhu.es

Abstract

Background: Refereeing is associated with a high prevalence of mental health issues. Mental health problems are quite common in sport and referees are no exception. In the case of referees, psychological disorders have been associated with a number of factors and lower league officials appear more likely to experience psychological distress compared to their higher league counterparts. **Aim:** The aim is to analyze the relationship between psychological inflexibility, perfectionism, and psychopathological symptomatology in soccer officials. **Method:** A cross-sectional, anonymous, online study was conducted. Psychopathological symptoms were assessed using the Symptom Assessment-45 Questionnaire; the Acceptance and Action Questionnaire was used to assess psychological inflexibility; Perfectionism was assessed using the Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale. Participants are 156 active main referee (96.8% male), of whom 63.5% are at amateur level and 36.5% at semi-professional/professional level. **Results:** No significant differences were found between amateur and semi-professional/professional referees in psychological inflexibility and psychopathological symptoms, except for paranoid ideation, where amateurs scored higher. Significant differences were observed in the total scores of maladaptive perfectionism, particularly regarding external influences, with amateurs scoring higher. In adaptive perfectionism, significant differences were noted in both total scores and

achievement expectations, with amateurs obtaining higher scores. Psychological inflexibility demonstrated a strong predictive capacity for psychopathological symptoms ($\beta = .716$). When maladaptive perfectionism was incorporated in the model, it significantly predicted 17.6% of the variance. Adaptive perfectionism, however, did not significantly predict psychopathological symptomatology. **Conclusion:** The results suggest that psychological inflexibility and maladaptive perfectionism are good predictors of psychopathological symptoms and mental health in referees. The status of amateur or semi-professional officials does not differentiate them from professional referees in terms of psychological disorders, but it does in terms of perfectionism. With a view to the future, it is important to intervene on these constructs, which are modifiable and facilitate their well-being.

Subjects Psychology, Mental Health, Sport Psychology, Global Health

Keywords Mental Health, Refereeing, Psychopathology, Perfectionist, Psychological Flexibility

Introduction

Mental health problems are highly prevalent in sports (Gulliver et al., 2015; Kilic et al., 2021; Poucher et al., 2021), and referees are no exception (Arbinaga et al., 2019; Lima et al., 2022). In referees, psychological disorders are associated with intrapersonal factors such as marital status (being single), younger age, limited refereeing experience, history of injuries, and performance concerns (Carson et al., 2020; Lima et al., 2022). Interpersonal factors, such as dissatisfaction with social support (Gouttebarga et al. 2017; Kilic et al., 2018) and organizational factors, including abusive and toxic environments and issues with arbitral structures, have also been identified (Webb et al., 2021). Moreover, female officials are at a higher risk of mental health problems (Carson et al., 2020; Lima et al., 2022; Vela & Arbinaga, 2018; Webb et al., 2021), while lower-league referees are more likely to experience psychological distress compared to their higher-league counterparts (Lima et al., 2023). Amateur officials face a greater number of negative behaviors (Webb et al., 2020) and have greater concerns about being assaulted, partly due to their closer proximity to the public and their younger age (Cuskelly & Hoyer, 2013). Furthermore, they often have fewer resources to effectively perform their refereeing duties.

Kilic et al. (2018) conducted a study among soccer referees from eight European countries, finding that 5.9% reported distress, 11.8% reported anxiety/depression, 9.1% reported sleep disturbances and 16.5% reported adverse alcohol use. Additionally, Gouttebarga et al. (2017) examined the prevalence of common mental disorders among professional soccer officials from various European countries, reporting a one-season incidence of common psychological disorders symptoms: 10% for distress, 16% for anxiety/depression, 14% for sleep disturbance, 29% for eating disorders and 8% for problematic alcohol use. A higher number of severe injuries (Arbinaga, 2025) and a lower degree of satisfaction with social support have shown to be significantly related to the occurrence of common mental disorder symptoms, with odds ratios (OR) of 2.63 and 1.10, respectively (Kilic et al., 2018).

Perfectionism is a widely studied construct within sports (Hill et al., 2019). In athletes, perfectionism is related to performance and plays a prominent role in both functional and adaptive aspects (Rice et al., 2013; Robazza et al., 2023; Taylor et al., 2016). Adaptive perfectionism is associated with factors such as achievement expectations and organization. Conversely, maladaptive aspects are characterized by high external expectations (imposed by family and coaches), fear of making mistakes, and reflections on the quality of performance (Appleton et al. 2011; Lizmore et al., 2017; Madigan et al., 2017).

It should be noted that perfectionism is associated with several psychopathologies (Stoeber & Otto, 2006). The relationship between perfectionism and psychological symptoms has been extensively studied across various sports (Gulliver et al., 2015; Hill et al., 2015; Nixdorf et al., 2016; Schaal et al., 2011). For example, international athletes recognize that while perfectionism can serve as a source of motivation, it may also be associated with intrapersonal difficulties, such as worry or insomnia, and interpersonal challenges such as tensions with colleagues or technical team (Hill et al., 2015). Therefore, in the sports context, perfectionism has been associated with anxiety (Koivula et al., 2002; Schaal et al., 2011; Stoeber et al., 2007), depression (Gorczynski et al., 2017; Gulliver et al., 2015; Nixdorf et al., 2016; Schaal et al., 2011; Wolanin et al., 2016), and stress (Crocker et al., 2014; Flett & Hewitt, 2005; Hall, 2006; Schaal et al., 2011; Tashman et al., 2010). These relationships were primarily found with maladaptive perfectionism, although it was also noted that adaptive perfectionism could be also associated with distress (Hill et al., 2008). It can be argued that inflexible individuals lack strategies tailored to specific situations and tend to use the same strategies regardless of context (Crosby et al., 2013). Perfectionism is also associated with adverse outcomes, including stress, poor mental health, pain frequency/intensity, and fatigue (Molnar et al., 2012).

Additionally, perfectionism has traditionally been conceptualized as a vulnerability factor (Sirois & Molnar, 2014), characterized by cognitive rigidity and behavioral inflexibility (Delor et al., 2019). More specifically, perfectionism is associated with impaired functioning and difficulties in achieving optimal health (Molnar et al., 2012), mediated by processes such as behavioral disengagement, denial, self-blame (Quartana et al., 2009), and experiential avoidance (Bisgaier, 2019).

Conceptualizing perfectionism as a contextual behavioural construct has allowed researchers to explore its relationship to psychological flexibility (Gentili et al., 2019). Psychological flexibility can be defined as the process of engaging with the present moment as a conscious human being, fully and without unnecessary defenses -being as one is, rather than as one perceives oneself to be- while persisting or modifying behaviors in alignment with freely chosen values (Hayes et al., 2014). The psychological flexibility model fosters adaptive coping through the six components:

acceptance, cognitive defusion, present-moment awareness, self-as-context, being in contact with one's values, and committed action (Hayes et al., 2006; Wicksell et al., 2010).

The opposite of psychological flexibility is psychological inflexibility. The latter refers to the rigid dominance of certain unhelpful private events over effective actions, long-term goals, useful thoughts, and emotions (Bond et al., 2011). The behavioral pattern characterizing individuals with high psychological inflexibility is experiential avoidance, which becomes a generalized and rigid pattern, devoid of actions driven by what is meaningful to the individual (Hayes, 2015). Three key processes fundamentally characterize psychological inflexibility: cognitive fusion, experiential avoidance, and the conceptualized self, in which people define their identity through their thoughts (Hayes, 2015).

In psychopathology, psychological inflexibility is considered a transdiagnostic etiological factor in the development and maintenance of psychological disorders and emotional difficulties (Uğur et al., 2021). A person who has difficulty coping with unpleasant situations, through one or more of the six processes, is likely to be classified as psychologically inflexible, which in turn may contribute to the development of emotional disorders (Orouji et al., 2022; Tanhan, 2019).

In the sporting arena, athletes who exhibit poor psychological flexibility may display fewer effective behaviors and are less likely to achieve optimal performance (Moore, 2009). Furthermore, psychological rigidity is associated with a greater number of distress symptoms, including anxiety and depression (Ruiz, 2010), a trend also observed in various athletes (Chen et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2014). Therefore, it is plausible to suggest that psychological inflexibility is linked to increased distress and impaired performance. However, it has also been observed that psychological flexibility positively influences the psychological skills necessary to attain optimal performance (Fenoy-Castilla & Campoy-Ramos, 2012).

When analyzing the relationship between perfectionism and psychological flexibility, it has been found that perfectionism is associated with cognitive processes such as performance monitoring and emotion regulation (Ong et al., 2020). In this context, individuals with a high degree of maladaptive perfectionism tend to respond rigidly to perfectionistic thoughts, avoiding uncomfortable emotions and engaging in inaction that is disconnected from their values (Nguyen & Morris, 2024). This experiential avoidance may serve as a significant factor contributing to the emergence of worry in the context of maladaptive perfectionism (Santanello & Gardner, 2007).

Consider perfectionism and psychological flexibility from this viewpoint is of particular clinical relevance since (operant) behaviors are considered to be under contextual control, suggesting they can be directly modified (Gentili et al., 2019). This will enable interventions to target these relevant factors, thereby facilitating the implementation of strategies by referees when confronted with stressful situations in their sporting activities. Given the lack of literature within the refereeing field addressing the relationships between these two coping factors, the present

study focuses on football referees, whose roles are characterized by a high level of interaction and the need to process numerous stimuli (MacMahon & Plessner, 2013). The aim is to analyze the relationship between psychological inflexibility, perfectionism, and psychopathological symptomatology in soccer referees. We expect to find greater psychopathological symptomatology in amateur referees compared to semi-professional and professional referees. Additionally, higher levels of psychological inflexibility are predicted to be positively associated with elevated scores on psychopathological symptoms. Similarly, psychological inflexibility is expected to correlate positively with maladaptive perfectionism and negatively with adaptive perfectionism. Finally, we expect to see a negative relationship between adaptive perfectionism and psychopathological symptomatology and a positive relationship between maladaptive perfectionism and psychopathological symptomatology.

Materials & Methods

Participants

The eligibility criteria for this study were: 1) to be a soccer main referee, 2) over 18 years of age, 3) to have been a member of The Referees Committee of the Royal Spanish Soccer Federation for at least three years, 4) to be an active referee, and 5) to provide written informed consent.

The sample comprised 156 main referee (151 men, accounting for 96.8% of the sample) who were active members of The Referees Committee of the Royal Spanish Soccer Federation. Their mean age was 28.54 years ($SD = 7.63$). Regarding educational attainment, 65.4% reported having university degrees, 26.9% had completed secondary education, and 7.7% had basic education. On average, participants had been federation members for 9.15 years ($SD = 5.48$). Regarding the categories of officials, 63.5% officiated in amateur leagues, while 36.5% were involved in semi-professional or professional leagues.

Instruments

Information about sociodemographic variables (year of birth, sex, level of education), and arbitration variables (years of federation membership, arbitration category) was collected.

Psychopathological symptoms were assessed using the 45-item self-report instrument Symptom Assessment-45 Questionnaire (SA-45) (Davison et al., 1997), in the Spanish adaptation by Sandín et al. (2008), which is derived from the Symptom Checklist -SCL90- (Derogatis & Cleary, 1977). The questionnaire assesses the same dimensions as the SCL-90-R: hostility, somatization, depression, obsession-compulsion, anxiety, interpersonal sensitivity, phobic anxiety, paranoid ideation and psychoticism. Participants are asked to answer each item (e.g., the belief that another person can control one's thoughts) by indicating the frequency with which they have experienced each of the 45 symptoms during the past week, between 0 ('not at all') and 4 ('very or extremely'). The test provides a total score as well as individual scores for each referred subscale. Evidence in support of its reliability and validity has been reported for both the

English version (Davison et al., 1997) and the Spanish version (Sandín et al., 2008). The reliability demonstrated in this study is a Cronbach's $\alpha = .965$.

The Acceptance and Action Questionnaire (AAQ-II) (Bond et al., 2011), adapted to Spanish by Ruiz et al. (2013), was used to assess psychological inflexibility/flexibility. This is a 7-item questionnaire concerned with how the individual relates to their internal events (e.g., thoughts, feelings, emotions, and memories) and to what extent they perceive these events as barriers to leading the life they wish. Participants respond using a Likert-type scale ranging from 1 ('never true') to 7 ('always true') to indicate the extent of their belief in the statements (e.g., Worries get in the way of my success). Low scores on the questionnaire indicate greater psychological flexibility, while high scores indicate greater inflexibility. The test used in this study has shown high internal consistency (Cronbach's $\alpha = .939$). To examine the relationship between the level of psychological flexibility and the other variables, participants were categorized using a Cluster analysis, resulting in three groups (low psychological inflexibility, medium psychological inflexibility and high psychological inflexibility).

The Frost Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale -MPS- (Frost et al., 1990), was used to assess perfectionism; in its Spanish adaptation by Carrasco et al., (2010). The MPS is a 35-item self-report instrument where participants respond on a Likert-type scale ranging from 1 ('strongly disagree') to 5 ('strongly agree') to a set of statements (e.g., If I fail partly, it is as bad as being a complete failure). The Spanish version has enable the identification of four factors: MPS-F1.- fear of making mistakes (concern over mistakes and doubts about actions); MPS-F2.- external influences (parental expectations and parental criticism), MPS-F3.- expectations of achievement (personal standards and two items of concern over mistakes) y MPS-F4.- organization. These factors can be grouped into an MPS-MALA. - maladaptive perfectionism (Factor 1 and Factor 2) and MPS-ADAP. - adaptive perfectionism (Factor 3 and Factor 4). The internal consistency of the two types of perfectionism in this study was: MPS-MALA. - maladaptive perfectionism ($\alpha = .926$) and MPS-ADAP. - adaptive perfectionism ($\alpha = .838$).

Procedure

Data collection was conducted online from 15th May 2024 to 31st August 2024. The Referees Committee of the Royal Spanish Soccer Federation was contacted, and all Territorial Committees were sent information on the study, requesting their collaboration by disseminating the address needed to access the online questionnaires among the active referees in the federation. The participants had to accept informed consent in order to complete the tests; in the online test, access was not allowed if the option to accept consent was not chosen.

This cross-sectional, anonymous, online study was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and the Declaration of Helsinki of 1975, revised in 2013.

Data Analysis

An a priori power analysis was conducted using G*Power 3 (Faul et al., 2007) to determine the minimum sample size required for testing the study hypothesis. The results indicated that a sample size of $n = 147$ was necessary for Student's t-test for independent groups in order to achieve 95% power to detect a medium effect at a significance level of $\alpha = .05$.

Descriptive analyses (frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviation) were conducted to characterize the main research variables. The normality of the variables is confirmed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The preconditions required for each of the tests considered in this research were assessed (e.g., homoscedasticity, collinearity -variance inflation factor, VIF-, etc.). The Mann-Whitney U test, for comparisons between two groups, and the Kruskal-Wallis test, for comparisons involving more than two groups, were used to analyse variables that did not conform to normality; being the most robust option in each case. The effect size estimate in the Mann-Whitney U-test was calculated using the formulation $r = Z/\sqrt{n}$, (< 0.099 .- insignificant effect size; 0.100 - 0.299 .- small effect size; 0.300 - 0.499 .- medium effect size; > 0.500 .- large effect size). The reliability of the tests was calculated using Cronbach's alpha (α). To calculate the effect size in the Student's t test, Cohen's d has been considered ($d < 0.2$ - small effect size; $d = 0.2$ to 0.8 - medium effect size and $d > 0.8$ - large effect size). Bonferroni tests were used for post hoc ANOVA comparisons. The effect size was calculated using Eta Squared η^2 ($0.01 \leq \eta^2 < 0.06$ = a small effect size, $0.06 \leq \eta^2 < 0.14$ = a medium effect size, and $\eta^2 \geq 0.14$ = a large effect size). Associations between the variables were analyzed by Pearson and Spearman's Rho correlations and hierarchical linear regression analysis was employed to determine the predictors of psychopathological symptoms. A cluster analysis was conducted to group participants based on their levels of psychological inflexibility, allowing for subsequent comparisons across the dependent variables. It is important to note that there were no missing data, as all items were required to be completed in order to proceed with the instrument. Analyses were conducted using the SPSS statistical package (IBM version 25.0, SPSS Inc Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

According to the analyses conducted, using G*Power-3, the obtained sample size of $n = 156$ was deemed adequate for testing the study hypotheses. The sample consisted of 156 main referee, with a mean age of 28.48 years ($SD = 7.72$) for men and 30.20 years ($SD = 4.08$) for women. A significant age difference was found between amateur officials ($M = 27.36$ years, $SD = 8.146$) and semi-professional/professional officials ($M = 30.58$ years, $SD = 6.193$), $t_{(154)} = 2.580$, $p = .011$, with a medium effect size ($d = 0.45$). Additionally, significant differences were found in the duration of federation membership between amateur referees ($M = 7.77$ years, $SD = 4.825$) and semi-professional/professional referees ($M = 11.54$ years, $SD = 5.766$), $t_{(154)} = 4.378$, $p < .001$, also with a medium effect size ($d = 0.71$).

A Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was conducted to assess the normality of the distribution of the variables. The results indicated a normal distribution for psychological inflexibility ($Z = 0.823$, $p = .058$), MPS-MALA (maladaptive perfectionism) ($Z = 0.920$, $p = .365$), MPS-F1 (fear of making mistakes) ($Z = 0.783$, $p = .572$), MPS-F2 (external influences) ($Z = 1.152$, $p = .141$), MPS-ADAP (adaptive perfectionism) ($Z = 0.725$, $p = .669$), MPS-F3 (expectations of achievement) ($Z = 0.866$, $p = .441$), and MPS-F4 (organization) ($Z = 1.092$, $p = .184$). However, the psychopathological symptoms and their subscales did not conform to a normal distribution (SA-45: $Z = 1.508$, $p = .021$; depression: $Z = 1.894$, $p = .002$; hostility: $Z = 2.548$, $p < .001$; interpersonal sensitivity: $Z = 2.117$, $p < .001$; somatization: $Z = 1.424$, $p = .035$; anxiety: $Z = 1.928$, $p = .001$; psychoticism: $Z = 2.552$, $p < .001$; obsession-compulsion: $Z = 1.848$, $p = .002$; phobic anxiety: $Z = 3.706$, $p < .001$; paranoid ideation: $Z = 1.595$, $p = .012$).

As evident in Table 1, no statistically significant differences were observed in psychological inflexibility and overall psychopathological symptomatology between amateur and semi-professional/professional officials, except for the paranoid ideation subscale, where amateurs score higher ($d = 0.4$), with a medium effect size. Significant differences were observed in the total score of maladaptive perfectionism ($d = 0.4$) and specifically in MPS-F2 (external influences), where amateur referees scored higher ($d = 0.5$), both with medium effect sizes. Regarding adaptive perfectionism, differences were found in the total score ($d = 0.4$) and MPS-F3 (expectations of achievement), where amateur referees scored higher ($d = 0.4$), both with medium effect sizes.

Table 1

Grouping participants into three clusters based on their psychological inflexibility scores revealed significant differences in the total score of psychopathological symptomatology and across its subscales (see Table 2). These differences were detected using the Kruskal-Wallis test and were highly statistically significant.

Table 2

When conducting post hoc comparisons using the Bonferroni test on the scales assessing perfectionism, significant differences were found among the three groups in maladaptive perfectionism (MPS-PF-MALA), with a large effect size ($\eta^2 = 0.17$): $a < c$ ($p < .001$), $a < b$ ($p = .032$), and $c < b$ ($p = .026$). Similarly, significant differences were found for the MPS-F1 subscale (fear of making mistakes), also with a large effect size ($\eta^2 = 0.19$): $a < c$ ($p < .001$), $a <$

b ($p = .008$), and $c < b$ ($p = .002$). However, for the MPS-F2 subscale (external influences), no differences were found between the three groups, $a = c$ ($p = .070$), $a = b$ ($p = .392$), and $c = b$ ($p = .674$). In contrast, no significant group differences were observed among the three groups in adaptive perfectionism (MPS-ADAP): $a = c$ ($p = .345$), $a = b$ ($p = .909$), and $c = b$ ($p = 1.00$). Neither in the expectations of achievement subscale (MPS-F3), observing that $a = c$ ($p = .172$), $a = b$ ($p = .476$), and $c = b$ ($p = 1.00$). Likewise, no differences were found in the organization factor (MPS-F4): $a = c$ ($p = 1.00$), $a = b$ ($p = 1.00$), and $c = b$ ($p = 1.00$).

Table 3 presents correlations between the different variables. Correlations between subscales of the same test (SA-45 and MPS) were omitted as they were not relevant to the goals of this study; however, all correlations within each test were highly significant.

Psychological inflexibility showed significant correlations with all tests and subscales except the organization factor. Similarly, the organization factor did not correlate significantly with the total psychopathological symptomatology score or any of its subscales. However, the expectations of achievement factor showed significant correlations with all mental health subscales except for phobic anxiety. Specifically, the total adaptive perfectionism score was significantly correlated with the total SA-45 test score and its subscales, except for obsession-compulsion and phobic anxiety.

In the case of maladaptive perfectionism, both the total score and the fear of making mistakes subscale showed significant correlations with the SA-45 total score and the nine subscales. However, the external influences factor did not correlate significantly with the depression, somatization, or phobic anxiety subscales.

Table 3

Linear regression models (see Table 4) were generated with psychopathological symptomatology scores as the predicted variable and psychological inflexibility, adaptive perfectionism, and maladaptive perfectionism as predictor variables. After checking the basic requirements of regression models, such as homoscedasticity and collinearity using the variance inflation factor (VIF), three significant models were identified. Maintaining, in model 1, an adequate Variance Inflation Factor in the case of psychological inflexibility ($VIF = 1$); in model 2, psychological inflexibility showed a $VIF = 1.229$ and maladaptive perfectionism a $VIF = 1.229$; being in model 3 where psychological inflexibility was found a $VIF = 1.242$ and a greater maladjustment for maladaptive perfectionism ($VIF = 1.826$) and adaptive perfectionism ($VIF = 1.534$).

In the first model, psychological inflexibility explained 51.2% of the variance in psychopathological symptomatology, with a predictive power (β) of .716 and a semi-partial correlation of .716. In the second model, including maladaptive perfectionism significantly increased the explanatory capacity to 53.7%. However, the predictive power of psychological inflexibility decreased to $\beta = .640$, while maladaptive perfectionism yielded a $\beta = .176$. Semi-partial correlations in the second model were .577 for psychological inflexibility and .159 for maladaptive perfectionism.

Table 4

In the third model, the inclusion of adaptive perfectionism significantly increased the overall explanatory power to 54.0%. However, the predictive capacity of adaptive perfectionism fell short of significance ($\beta = .069$), while maladaptive perfectionism also lost its significance as a predictor in the model. In contrast, psychological inflexibility showed slightly higher predictive power compared to the second model ($\beta = .646$). Examination of semi-partial correlations revealed values of .579 for psychological inflexibility, .099 for maladaptive perfectionism, and .055 for adaptive perfectionism.

Discussion

This study examined the relationship between psychological inflexibility, perfectionism, and psychopathological symptomatology in soccer referees. The main results indicated that there were no significant differences between amateur and semi-professional/professional referees in psychological inflexibility and overall psychopathological symptoms, except in the case of paranoid ideation, where amateurs scored higher. Regarding perfectionism, differences emerged in maladaptive perfectionism, particularly in the dimension of external influences, with amateurs again scoring higher. In terms of adaptive perfectionism, significant differences were found in total scores and achievement expectations, with higher scores among amateurs. Psychological inflexibility and maladaptive perfectionism demonstrated strong predictive power for psychopathological symptomatology, whereas adaptive perfectionism did not significantly predict symptomatology.

Our first hypothesis predicted that amateur referees would show greater psychopathological symptomatology compared to semi-professional and professional referees. However, our findings did not fully support this hypothesis, as no significant differences were observed between these two groups, with the exception of paranoid ideation. This lack of differences contradicts the existing literature demonstrating that officials in professional categories typically obtain lower scores on mental health indicators compared to their amateur counterparts (Carson et al., 2020; Lima et al., 2023). One possible explanation for the absence of differences in

psychopathological symptoms between amateurs and semi-professionals/professionals is the low representation of professional referees in the sample. In this regard, the pressure perceived by the referee may be very similar in the amateur and semi-professional contexts, compared to that perceived in professional leagues; where stakes, infrastructures (distance from the public, institutional relations, security, etc.) and resources are much higher (Gouttebarga et al., 2017).

As mentioned, significant differences were found in paranoid ideation, with amateur referees scoring higher. This can be attributed to increased preoccupation with aggression, limited resources and security at the lower levels of refereeing (Cuskelly & Hoyes, 2013). These contextual factors may be particularly relevant in light of the components of the paranoid ideation subscale, which include attributing problems to others, distrusting people, feeling scrutinized or talked about, and perceiving one's achievements as unacknowledged (Davison et al., 1997; Sandín et al. 2008). In this sense, for paranoid ideation, age may have been a factor of vulnerability as has been indicated in the literature (Carson et al., 2020; Fonseca-Pedrero et al., 2009; Lima et al., 2022; Scott et al., 2009).

As a second hypothesis, it was predicted that greater psychological inflexibility would be positively associated with higher scores on psychopathological symptoms. Our findings fully support this hypothesis and align with previous research. In the general population, psychological rigidity has been strongly linked to distress, anxiety, depression, and other mental health issues (Arbinaga & Cantón, 2013; Ruiz et al., 2013), with the associated behavioral patterns often hindering mental health improvement and potentially exacerbating problems (Trompetter et al., 2015; Wicksell et al., 2010). In the context of clinical psychology, psychological inflexibility is considered a transdiagnostic etiological factor in the development and maintenance of psychological disorders and emotional difficulties (Hayes, 2015; Uğur et al., 2021). When individuals experience difficulties in coping with situations through the processes that characterize psychological inflexibility, they are likely to adopt generalized and rigid behavioral patterns that lack value-guided action; this may lead to the onset of emotional disorders (Tanhan, 2019). In contrast, psychological flexibility facilitates the resolution of problems through adaptive responses (Hayes, 2015). Therefore, psychological flexibility is associated with regulation and adaptive coping processes that reflect better psychological health (Kashdan & Rottenberg, 2010).

Therefore, intervening on the construct of psychological (in)flexibility is necessary, as there is considerable evidence linking it to a broad spectrum of psychological disorders, particularly those characterized by an avoidant reaction style (Bond et al., 2011; Levin et al., 2014). Numerous studies support the idea that psychological inflexibility plays a mediating role between stress and depression, somatization and anxiety (Arslan et al., 2021), as well as in the relationship between fear of negative evaluation and psychological vulnerability (Uğur et al.,

2021). Levin et al. (2014) examined psychological inflexibility as a transdiagnostic process across various psychological disorders.

Similarly, in sports, low psychological flexibility has been linked to reduced behavioral effectiveness and missed opportunities for optimal performance (Moore, 2009). Additionally, significant associations have been observed between psychological inflexibility and symptoms of psychological disorders among athletes (Chen et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2014).

On the other hand, our third hypothesis anticipated that psychological inflexibility would show a positive association with maladaptive perfectionism and a negative association with adaptive perfectionism. Our data partially support this hypothesis, as positive correlations were observed in both cases, indicating that higher scores in psychological inflexibility correspond to higher scores in both adaptive and maladaptive perfectionism. In this regard, psychological inflexibility has been found to be related to perfectionism (Habibi-Asgarabad et al., 2023; Miles et al., 2023). The literature has shown that a high degree of maladaptive perfectionism facilitates psychologically inflexible behaviors, characterized by rigid responses to thoughts, feelings, and bodily sensations, leading to psychological distress and avoidance behaviors (Crosby et al., 2013). A central feature of psychological inflexibility is avoidance, and perfectionists are often inclined to employ unhelpful avoidance strategies, such as experiential avoidance (Santanello & Gardner, 2007), avoidant coping (Noble et al., 2014), and emotional suppression (Richardson et al., 2014), particularly in response to challenges. Individuals with higher self-critical or maladaptive perfectionism are more likely to experience need dissatisfaction, which is explained by the fact that these individuals experience more depressive symptoms (Levine et al., 2022). The results suggest that people with higher self-critical perfectionism are less flexible when things do not go according to plan.

Our fourth hypothesis predicted that psychopathological symptomatology would show a negative relationship with adaptive perfectionism and a positive relationship with maladaptive perfectionism. Our findings partially support this hypothesis, as the expected negative relationship between psychopathological symptomatology scores and adaptive perfectionism was not found, while a positive relationship was observed with maladaptive perfectionism. These relationships have been previously reported in the work of Stoeber and Otto (2006), where perfectionism was linked to various psychopathologies. Those with higher adaptive perfectionism, related to personal standards, experience greater overall need satisfaction, which in turn is associated with a reduction in depressive symptoms (Levine et al., 2022). In the context of sports, while perfectionism is often seen as a motivator, it can also present challenges or difficulties (Hill et al., 2015). Perfectionism is associated with several cognitive processes including performance monitoring and emotion regulation (Ong et al., 2020). Specifically, perfectionism has been associated with anxiety (Schaal et al., 2011; Stoeber et al., 2007), depression (Crocker et al., 2014; Gorczynski et al., 2017; Gulliver et al., 2015; Nixdorf et al.,

2016; Schaal et al., 2011; Tashman et al., 2010; Wolanin et al., 2016) and stress (Crocker et al., 2014; Schaal et al., 2011; Tashman et al., 2010).

Nevertheless, the results of this paper do reinforce Hill et al. (2008) in stating that problems were mainly associated with maladaptive perfectionism, but adaptive perfectionism could be observed to generate distress. This distinction can be understood by considering that adaptive perfectionism emphasizes behavioral organization and goal setting to enhance sporting performance, whereas maladaptive perfectionism focuses on responses to errors or failure to achieve goals. Thus, perfectionism should be viewed as a vulnerability factor characterized by cognitive rigidity and behavioral inflexibility (Delor et al., 2019).

The results found in the literature linking psychological inflexibility, psychopathological symptoms, and perfectionism have been confirmed within a population group, such as referees and the sports community, for which no previous data existed. Based on these findings, referees could benefit from interventions that develop strategies and skills to mitigate the impact of maladaptive strategies (e.g., cognitive fusion, experiential avoidance). Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT), with its emphasis on psychological flexibility, has proven effective in addressing perfectionism (Taghavizade-Ardakani et al., 2019). Studies analyzing neurological data from a randomized controlled trial on clinical perfectionism (Ong et al., 2020) support the change processes outlined by the theoretical framework of ACT. These findings provide initial support for the feasibility and efficacy of a process-based approach.

Among the limitations of this study are the sample size and gender balance, since female participation was low. The low participation of women limits the generalizability of the results to the population of female referees. Another factor that may restrict the generalizability is the lack of consideration of assistant referees. Additionally, the design and methodology used preclude establishing causal relationships, while reliance on self-reported data may introduce response biases. Future research would benefit from greater control over refereeing contexts. Designs that evaluate officials at different points in the season, considering factors such as injuries, travel demands, and number and significance of matches officiated, would help to enhance our understanding of the factors involved in the psychological disorders associated with this profession. Finally, when studying mental health in this population, it is also important consider non-sporting activities, as well as individual's medical, psychiatric and clinical-psychological history.

Acknowledgements

To the Technical Committees of Territorial Referees who have collaborated and to all the referees who have completed the evaluation process.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

This work has received funding from EPIT-UHU for data collection and the PAIDI financial support to the Research Group “Psychology and Emerging Social Problems” SEJ-451 for translation.

Institutional Review Board Statement

All procedures were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and the Declaration of Helsinki of 1975, revised in 2013. Approved by the Andalusian Ethics Committee of Biomedical Research (Evaluation Committee of Huelva. Act: 05/24. Date of approval: 14-May-2024, Internal Code: SICEIA-2024-001020, Study code: 21071.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Data Availability Statement

In the journal PeerJ, it can be seen that there is a supplementary file, where the database can be accessed. Otherwise this study adheres to the Transparency and Openness Promotion (TOP) guidelines and adheres to the Journal Article Reporting Standards (JARS), including transparent reporting of sample size determination, data exclusions, manipulations, and measures. All anonymized raw participant data are openly available in the Open Science Framework -OSF- (<https://osf.io/mzjsc/files/osfstorage>) (<https://osf.io/fdtyr>).

Conflicts of Interest

The authors report no conflict of interest. The authors alone is responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

Authors’ contributions

- 1.- **Félix Arbinaga** (ORCID: 0000-0001-6649-1904): Assess for research problem, design protocol, he has coordinated the conceptual protocol of the research, documentary review and follow up, Finalize Methodology, collecting data of the study, & Writing-original draft.
- 2.- **Emilio Moreno-San-Pedro** (ORCID: 0000-0002-3329-5517): Conceptualization of research problem, revision of protocol, editing session, help in conduction session and follow up, data curation, & review and editing final draft.
- 3.- **María-Isabel Mendoza-Sierra** (ORCID: 0000-0002-5825-9440): Write significance of research problem, help in translation of session, designing session, finalize Methodology, designing data software, & interpretation of data. All authors read and approved the final manuscript

References

- 556 **Appleton PR, Hall HK, Hill AP. 2011.** Examining the influence of the parent-initiated and
557 coach-created motivational climates upon athletes' perfectionistic cognitions. *Journal of*
558 *Sports Sciences* **29**(7):661-671. DOI 10.1080/02640414.2010.551541
- 559 **Arbinaga F. 2025.** Pain catastrophizing related to psychological inflexibility, self-reported
560 injuries and perfectionism in soccer referees. *Journal of Science in Sport and Exercise*,
561 **7**(1):84-96 DOI 10.1007/s42978-023-00234-z
- 562 **Arbinaga F, Cantón E. 2013.** *Sport Psychology and Health: A Complex Relationship*. Madrid,
563 Editorial EOS.
- 564 **Arbinaga F, Fernández-Ozcorta EJ, Herrera-Macías PP, Vela D. 2019.** Burnout syndrome
565 and resilience in soccer and basketball referees. *Journal of Sport Psychology* **28**(2):23-32.
- 566 **Arsilan G, Yıldırım M, Tanhan A, Bulus M. Allen, K.A. 2021.** Coronavirus stress, optimism-
567 pessimism, psychological inflexibility, and psychological health: Psychometric properties
568 of the Coronavirus Stress Measure. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*
569 **19**(6):2423-2439. DOI: 10.1007/s11469-020-00337-6
- 570
- 571 **Bisgaier S. 2019.** *Acceptance and Commitment Therapy for the treatment of perfectionism*.
572 Widener University ProQuest Dissertations Publishing.
- 573 **Bond FW, Hayes SC, Baer RA, Carpenter KM, Guenole N, Orcutt HK, Waltz T, Zettle**
574 **RD. 2011.** Preliminary psychometric properties of the Acceptance and Action
575 Questionnaire-II: A revised measure of psychological inflexibility and experiential
576 avoidance. *Behavior Therapy*, **42**(4):676-688. DOI 10.1016/j.beth.2011.03.007
- 577 **Carrasco A, Belloch A, Perpiñá C. 2010.** The assessment of perfectionism: usefulness of the
578 Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale in the Spanish population. *Análisis y Modificación*
579 *de Conducta* **36**(153):49-65
- 580 **Carson F, Dynon N, Santoro J, Kremer P. 2020.** Examining negative emotional symptoms and
581 psychological wellbeing of Australian sport officials. *International Journal of*
582 *Environmental Research and Public Health* **17**(21): Article 8265. DOI
583 10.3390/ijerph17218265
- 584 **Chen LH, Wu CH, Chang JH. 2017.** Gratitude and athletes' life satisfaction: The moderating
585 role of mindfulness. *Journal of Happiness Studies* **18**(4):1147-1159. DOI 10.1007/s10902-
586 016-9764-7
- 587 **Crocker PR, Gaudreau P, Mosewich AD, Kljajic K. 2014.** Perfectionism and the stress
588 process in intercollegiate athletes: Examining the 2×2 model of perfectionism in sport
589 competition. *International Journal of Sport Psychology* **45**(4):61-84. DOI
590 10.7352/IJSP2014.45.325
- 591 **Crosby JM, Armstrong AB, Nafziger MA, Twohig MP. 2013.** Using acceptance and
592 commitment therapy (ACT) to treat perfectionism in college students. In J Pistorello (Ed.),
593 *Mindfulness & acceptance for counselling college students*. Oakland, CA: New Harbinger
594 Publications, Inc. 99. 139-158.

- Cuskelly G, Hoye R. 2013. Sports officials' intention to continue. *Sport Management Review* 16(4):451-464. DOI 10.1016/j.smr.2013.01.003
- Davison MK, Bershadsky B, Bieber J, Silversmith D, Maruish ME, Kane RL. 1997. Development of a brief, multidimensional, self-report instrument for treatment outcomes assessment in psychiatric settings: Preliminary findings. *Assessment* 4(3):259-276. DOI 10.1177/107319119700400306
- Delor B, Douilliez C, Philippot P. 2019. Une approche transdiagnostique et basée sur les processus du perfectionnisme inadapte=A transdiagnostic and process-based approach of maladaptive perfectionism. *Revue Francophone de Clinique Comportementale et Cognitive* 24(1):20-38.
- Derogatis LR, Cleary P. 1977. Confirmation of dimensional structure of the SCL-90: A study in construct validation. *Journal of Clinical Psychology* 33(4):981-989. DOI 10.1002/1097-4679(197710)33:4%3C981::AID-JCLP2270330412%3E3.0.CO;2-0
- Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang AG, Buchner A. 2007. G*Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behavior Research Methods* 39(2):175-191. DOI 10.3758/bf03193146
- Fenoy-Castilla J, Campoy-Ramos L. 2012. Athletic performance, leadership styles, and experiential avoidance in young soccer players from Almería. *Journal of Sport Psychology* 21(1):137-142.
- Flett GL, Hewitt PL. 2005. The perils of perfectionism in sports and exercise. *Current Directions in Psychological Science* 14:14-18. DOI 10.1111/j.0963-7214.2005.00326
- Fonseca-Pedrero E, Lemos-Giráldez S, Paino M, Villazón-García U, Muñiz J. 2009. Validation of the Schizotypal Personality Questionnaire Brief form in adolescents. *Schizophrenia Research* 111(1-3):53-60. DOI 10.1016/j.schres.2009.03.006
- Frost RO, Marten P, Lahart C, Roseblate R. 1990. The dimensions of perfectionism. *Cognitive Therapy and Research* 14(5):449-468. DOI 10.1007/BF01172967
- Gentili C, Rickardsson J, Zetterqvist V, Simons LE, Lekander M, Wicksell RK. 2019. Psychological flexibility as a resilience factor in individuals with chronic pain. *Frontiers in Psychology* 10:2016. DOI 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02016
- Gil-Roales-Nieto JG, Moreno-San-Pedro E, Córdoba-García R, Marín-Romero B, Jiménez-López FR, Gil-Luciano A, Silvano-Arranz A, Fernández-Lao IR, Granados-Gámez G, Hernández-López M. 2016. Smoking self-quitting and psychological flexibility. *International Journal of Psychology and Psychological Therapy* 16(2):111-130.
- Gorczyński PF, Coyle M, Gibson K. 2017. Depressive symptoms in high-performance athletes and non-athletes: a comparative meta-analysis. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 51(18):1348-1354. DOI 10.1136/bjsports-2016-096455
- Goutteborge V, Johnson U, Rochcongar P, Rosier P, Kerkhoffs G. 2017. Symptoms of common mental disorders among professional football referees: a one-season prospective study across Europe. *Physician and Sportsmedicine* 45(1):11-16. DOI 10.1080/00913847.2017.1248796

- Gulliver A, Griffiths KM, Mackinnon A, Batterham PJ, Stanimirovic R. 2015.** The mental health of Australian elite athletes. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport* **18(3)**:255-261. DOI 10.1016/j.jsams.2014.04.006
- Habibi-Asgarabad M, Doos-Ali-Vand H, Salehi-Yegaei P, Hooman F, Ahmadi R, Baglioni C, Moradi S. 2023.** The contribution of transdiagnostic vulnerability factors in patients with chronic insomnia. *Frontiers in Psychiatry* **14**:1162729. DOI 10.3389/fpsyt.2023.1162729
- Hall HK. 2006.** Perfectionism: A Hallmark Quality of World Class Performers, or a Psychological Impediment to Athletic Development? D Hackfort, G Tenenbaum (Edit.). *Perspectives in Sport and Exercise Psychology*, Vol.1, Essential Processes for Attaining Peak Performance. pp.178-211. Meyer & Meyer Publishers, Oxford UK.
- Hayes SC. 2015.** *Acceptance and Commitment Therapy*. Desclée De Brouwer.
- Hayes SC, Luoma JB, Bond FW, Masuda A, Lillis J. 2006.** Acceptance and commitment therapy: model, processes and outcomes. *Behaviour Research and Therapy* **44(1)**:1-25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2005.06.006>
- Hayes SC, Strosahl KD, Wilson KG. 2014.** *Acceptance and Commitment Therapy. The process and practice of conscious change (Mindfulness)*. Bilbao: Editorial Desclée De Brouwer.
- Hill AP, Hall HK, Appleton PR, Kozub SA. 2008.** Perfectionism and burnout in junior elite soccer players: The mediating influence of unconditional self-acceptance. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise* **9(5)**:630-644. DOI 10.1016/j.psychsport.2007.09.004
- Hill AP, Mallinson-Howard SH, Madigan DJ, Jowett GE. 2019.** Perfectionism in sport, dance, and exercise: An extended review and reanalysis. In G. Tenenbaum and R.C. Eklund (Eds). *Handbook of Sport Psychology*, (4th ed). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley. pp.121-157. DOI 10.1002/9781119568124.ch7
- Hill AP, Witcher CS, Gotwals JK, Leyland AF. 2015.** A qualitative study of perfectionism among self-identified perfectionists in sport and the performing arts. *Sport, Exercise, and Performance Psychology* **4(4)**:237-253. DOI 10.1037/spy0000041
- Kashdan TB, Rottenberg J. 2010.** Psychological flexibility as a fundamental aspect of health. *Clinical Psychological Review*, **30(7)**:865-878. DOI: 10.1016/j.cpr.2010.03.001
- Kilic Ö, Carmody S, Upmeyer J, Kerkhoffs GMMJ, Purcell R, Rice S, Gouttebarga V. 2021.** Prevalence of mental health symptoms among male and female Australian professional footballers. *BMJ Open Sport & Exercise Medicine* **7**:e001043. DOI 10.1136/bmjsem-2021-001043
- Kilic Ö, Johnson U, Kerkhoffs GMMJ, Rosier P, Gouttebarga V. 2018.** Exposure to physical and psychosocial stressors in relation to symptoms of common mental disorders among European professional football referees: a prospective cohort study. *BMJ Open Sport & Exercise Medicine* **4**:e000306. DOI 10.1136/bmjsem-2017-000306
- Koivula N, Hassmén P, Fallby J. 2002.** Self-esteem and perfectionism in elite athletes: Effects on competitive anxiety and self-confidence. *Personality and Individual Differences* **32(5)**:865-75. DOI 10.1016/S0191-8869(01)00092-7

- 675 **Levine SL, Andrade G, Koestner R. 2022.** A not so perfect plan: An examination of the
676 differential influence of multidimensional perfectionism on missed and gained events
677 during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Personality and Individual Differences* **184**:111214. DOI:
678 10.1016/j.paid.2021.111214
- 679 **Levin ME, MacLane C, Daflos S, Seeley JR, Hayes SC, Biglan A, Pisteorello, J. 2014.**
680 Examining psychological inflexibility as a transdiagnostic process across psychological
681 disorders. *Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science* **3(3)**, 155-163. DOI:
682 10.1016/j.jcbs.2014.06.003
- 683 **Lima Y, Devran S, Öz ND, Webb T, Bayraktar B. 2023.** Examining the mental health status
684 of referees in the Turkish professional football league. *Science and Medicine in Football*
685 **7(3)**:272-278. DOI 10.1080/24733938.2022.2084150
- 686 **Lima Y, Devran S, Webb T, Bayraktar B. 2022.** Mental health symptoms of amateur
687 association football referees: A cross-sectional study. *Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology*
688 **18(2)**:199-214. DOI 10.1123/jcsp.2022-0018
- 689 **Lizmore MR, Dunn JGH, Causgrove-Dunn J. 2017.** Perfectionistic strivings, perfectionistic
690 concerns, and reactions to poor personal performances among intercollegiate athletes.
691 *Psychology of Sport and Exercise* **33**:75-84. DOI 10.1016/j.psychsport.2017.07.010
- 692 **MacMahon C, Plessner H. 2013.** The sport official in research and practice In C. MacMahon,
693 D. Farrow y J. Baker (Eds.). *Developing sport expertise - Researchers and coaches put*
694 *theory into practice*. London, (2nd Edition), Routledge. pp.71-95.
695 <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203119914>
- 696 **Madigan DJ, Stoeber J, Passfield L. 2017.** Athletes' perfectionism and reasons for training:
697 Perfectionistic concerns predict training for weight control. *Personality and Individual*
698 *Differences* **115**:133-136. DOI 10.1016/j.paid.2016.03.034
- 699 **Miles S, Nedeljkovic M, Phillipou A. 2023.** Investigating differences in cognitive flexibility,
700 clinical perfectionism, and eating disorder-specific rumination across anorexia nervosa
701 illness states. *Eating Disorders* **31(6)**:610-631. DOI 10.1080/10640266.2023.2206751
- 702 **Molnar DS, Flett GL, Sadava SW, Colautti J. 2012.** Perfectionism and health functioning in
703 women with fibromyalgia. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research* **73(4)**:295-300. DOI
704 10.1016/j.jpsychores. 2012.08.001
- 705 **Moore ZE. 2009.** Theoretical and empirical developments of the Mindfulness-Acceptance-
706 Commitment (MAC) approach to performance enhancement. *Journal of Clinical Sport*
707 *Psychology* **3(4)**:291-302. DOI 10.1123/jcsp.3.4.291
- 708 **Nguyen H, Morris EMJ. 2024.** The role of clinical perfectionism and psychological flexibility
709 in distress and wellbeing. *Clinical Psychologist* **28(3)**: 207-220. DOI:
710 10.1080/13284207.2024.2362440
- 711 **Nixdorf I, Frank R, Beckmann J. 2016.** Comparison of Athletes' Proneness to Depressive
712 Symptoms in Individual and Team Sports: Research on Psychological Mediators in Junior
713 Elite Athletes. *Frontiers in Psychology* **17(7)**:893. DOI 10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00893

- Noble CL, Ashby JS, Gnilka PB. 2014. Multidimensional perfectionism, coping, and depression: Differential prediction of depression symptoms by perfectionism type. *Journal of College Counseling* 17(1):80-94. DOI 10.1002/j.2161-1882.2014.00049.x
- Ong CW, Hancock AS, Barrett TS, Lee EB, Wan N, Gillam RB, Levin ME, Twohig MP. 2020. A preliminary investigation of the effect of acceptance and commitment therapy on neural activation in clinical perfectionism. *Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science* 18(1):153-161. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcbs.2020.09.007
- Orouji F, Abdi R, Chalabianloo G. 2022. Mediating Role of Psychological Inflexibility as Transdiagnostic Factor in the Relationship Between Emotional Dysregulation and Sleep Problems with Symptoms of Emotional Disorders. *Frontiers in Psychology* 13:800041. DOI: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.800041
- Poucher ZA, Tamminen KA, Sabiston CM, Cairney J, Kerr G. 2021. Prevalence of symptoms of common mental disorders among elite Canadian athletes. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise* 57:102018. DOI 10.1016/j.psychsport.2021.102018
- Quartana PJ, Campbell CM, Edwards RR. 2009. Pain catastrophizing: a critical review. *Expert Review of Neurotherapeutics* 9(5):745-758. DOI 10.1586/ern.09.34
- Rice KG, Lopez FG, Richardson CME. 2013. Perfectionism and performance among STEM students. *Journal of Vocational Behavior* 82(2):124-134. DOI 10.1016/j.jvb.2012.12.002
- Richardson CME, Rice KG, Devine DP. 2014. Perfectionism, emotion regulation, and the cortisol stress response. *Journal of College Counseling* 61(1):110-118. DOI 10.1037/a0034446
- Robazza C, Sivilli P, Bortoli L, Ruiz MV. 2023. Perfection behind the whistle: Perfectionism and perceived performance in soccer referees. *Heliyon* 9:e22856. DOI 10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e22856
- Ruiz FJ. 2010. A review of acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT) empirical evidence: correlational, experimental psychopathology, component and outcome studies. *International Journal of Psychology and Psychological Therapy* 10(1):125-162.
- Ruiz FJ, Langer A, Luciano C, Cangas AJ, Beltrán I. 2013. Measuring experiential avoidance and psychological inflexibility: The Spanish version of the Acceptance and Action Questionnaire-II. *Psicothema* 25(1):123-129.
- Sandín B, Valiente RM, Chorot P, Santed MA, Lostao L. 2008. SA-45: A brief form of the SCL-90. *Psicothema* 20(2):290-296
- Santanello AM, Gardner FL. 2007. The role of experiential avoidance in the relationship between maladaptive perfectionism and worry. *Cognitive Therapy and Research* 30(3):319-332. DOI 10.1007/s10608-006-9000-6
- Schaal K, Tafflet M, Nassif H, Thibault V, Pichard C, Alcotte M, Guillet T, El Helou N, Berthelot G, Simon S, Toussaint JF. 2011. Psychological balance in high level athletes: gender-based differences and sport-specific patterns. *PloS One* 6(5):e19007. DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0019007

- 753 **Scott J, Martin G, Bor W, Sawyer M, Clark J, McGrath J. 2009.** The prevalence and
754 correlates of hallucinations in Australian adolescents: Results from a national survey.
755 *Schizophrenia Research* **107(2-3)**:179-185. DOI 10.1016/j.schres.2008.11.002
- 756 **Sirois FM, Molnar DS. 2014.** Perfectionism and maladaptive coping styles in patients with
757 chronic fatigue syndrome, irritable bowel syndrome and fibromyalgia/arthritis and in
758 healthy controls. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics* **83(6)**:384-385. DOI
759 10.1159/000365174
- 760 **Stoeber J, Otto K. 2006.** Positive conceptions of perfectionism: Approaches, evidence,
761 challenges. *Personality and Social Psychology Review* **10(4)**:295-319. DOI
762 10.1207/s15327957pspr1004_2
- 763 **Stoeber J, Otto K, Pescheck E, Becker C, Stoll O. 2007.** Perfectionism and competitive
764 anxiety in athletes: Differentiating striving for perfection and negative reactions to
765 imperfection. *Personality and Individual Differences* **42(6)**:959-969. DOI
766 10.1016/j.paid.2006.09.006
- 767
- 768 **Taghavizade-Ardakani M, Akbari B, Hossein Khanzade AA, Moshkbide Haghighi M.**
769 **2019.** Comparing the effects of cognitive-behavioral therapy and acceptance and
770 commitment therapy on the perfectionism of patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder.
771 *The Horizon of Medical Sciences* **26(1)**:24-37. DOI: 10.32598/hms.26.1.3093.1
- 772 **Tanhan A. 2019.** Acceptance and commitment therapy with ecological systems theory:
773 addressing Muslim mental health issues and wellbeing. *Journal of Positive Psychology and*
774 *Wellbeing* **3(2)**:197-219. DOI: 10.47602/jpsp.v3i2.172
- 775 **Tashman LS, Tenenbaum G, Eklund R. 2010.** The effect of perceived stress on the
776 relationship between perfectionism and burnout in coaches. *Anxiety Stress Coping*
777 **23(2)**:195-212. DOI 10.1080/10615800802629922
- 778 **Taylor JJ, Papay KA, Webb JB, Reeve CL. 2016.** The good, the bad, and the interactive:
779 Evaluative concerns perfectionism moderates the effect of personal strivings perfectionism
780 on self-esteem. *Personality and Individual Differences* **95**:1-5. DOI
781 10.1016/j.paid.2016.02.006
- 782 **Trompetter HR, Bohlmeijer ET, Fox JP, Schreurs KM. 2015.** Psychological flexibility and
783 catastrophizing as associated change mechanisms during online acceptance & commitment
784 therapy for chronic pain. *Behaviour Research and Therapy* **74**:50-59. DOI
785 10.1016/j.brat.2015.09.001
- 786 **Uğur E, Kaya Ç, Tanhan A. 2021.** Psychological inflexibility mediates the relationship
787 between fear of negative evaluation and psychological vulnerability. *Current Psychology*
788 **40**:4265-4277. DOI: 10.1007/s12144-020-01074-8
- 789 **Vela D, Arbinaga F. 2018.** Application of the list of stressful situations for arbitration (LISEA)
790 in football referees. *Revista Iberoamericana de Psicología del Ejercicio y el Deporte*
791 **13(2)**:281-287.

- 792 **Webb T, Dicks M, Thelwell R, van der Kamp J, Rix-Lievre G. 2020.** An analysis of soccer
793 referee experiences in France and the Netherlands: Abuse, conflict, and level of support.
794 *Sport Management Review* **23(1)**:52-65. DOI 10.1016/j.smr.2019.03.003
- 795 **Webb T, Rayner M, Cleland J, O’Gorman J. 2021.** *Referees, match officials and abuse:*
796 *Research and implications for policy* (1st ed.). Routledge.
- 797 **Wicksell RK, Olsson GL, Hayes SC. 2010.** Psychological flexibility as a mediator of
798 improvement in Acceptance and Commitment Therapy for patients with chronic pain
799 following whiplash. *European Journal of Pain* **14(10)**:1059.e1-1059.e11. DOI
800 10.1016/j.ejpain.2010.05.001
- 801 **Wolanin A, Hong E, Marks D, Panchoo K, Gross M. 2016.** Prevalence of clinically elevated
802 depressive symptoms in college athletes and differences by gender and sport. *British*
803 *Journal of Sports Medicine* **50(3)**:167-171. DOI 10.1136/bjsports-2015-095756
- 804 **Zhang CQ, Chung PK, Si G, Liu JD. 2014.** Psychometric properties of the acceptance and
805 action questionnaire-II for Chinese college students and elite Chinese athletes.
806 *Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development* **47(4)**:256-270. DOI
807 10.1177/0748175614538064

Table 1(on next page)

Table 1.- Symptom Assessment-45 Questionnaire scores, Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale (MPS) and AAQ-II-Psychological Inflexibility according to referee category.

The mean scores on the questionnaires, according to the professional level of the referee, are indicated.

Table 1.- Symptom Assessment-45 Questionnaire scores, Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale (MPS) and AAQ-II-Psychological Inflexibility according to referee category.

	Total 156	Amateur 99 (63.5)	Semiprofesional/ Profesional 57 (36.5)	Z U Mann- Whitney	p
SA-45-TOTAL	33.71 (26.07)	35.13 (25.85)	31.25 (26.48)	- 1.222	.222
Depression	4.46 (4.25)	4.78 (4.59)	3.89 (3.54)	- 0.861	.389
Hostility	2.70 (3.12)	2.81 (3.24)	2.51 (2.93)	- 0.528	.597
Interpersonal Sensitivity	4.06 (3.98)	4.33 (4.03)	3.60 (3.88)	- 1.349	.177
Somatisation	4.33 (3.58)	4.35 (3.34)	4.30 (3.99)	- 0.670	.503
Anxiety	3.94 (3.62)	3.96 (3.62)	3.91 (3.66)	- 0.219	.827
Psychoticism	2.50 (2.85)	2.67 (2.95)	2.21 (2.66)	- 1.159	.246
Obsession-Compulsion	5.47 (3.83)	5.72 (3.79)	5.04 (3.89)	- 1.167	.243
Phobic Anxiety	1.47 (2.61)	1.27 (2.28)	1.81 (3.09)	- 1.143	.253
Paranoid Ideation	4.78 (3.83)	5.24 (3.88)	3.98 (3.64)	- 2.185	.029
				<i>t</i> (df=154)	
MPS-MALA	47.44 (9.99)	48.80 (9.64)	45.09 (10.23)	2.263	.025
MPS-F1	24.83 (8.53)	25.75 (8.71)	23.25 (8.03)	1.777	.078
MPS-F2	18.83 (7.29)	20.04 (7.72)	16.72 (5.97)	2.800	.006
MPS-ADAP	43.66 (14.19)	45.79 (14.61)	39.96 (12.74)	2.509	.013
MPS-F3	26.06 (7.10)	26.98 (6.76)	24.47 (7.45)	2.148	.033
MPS-F4	21.38 (4.77)	21.82 (4.83)	20.61 (4.62)	1.524	.129
AAQ-II	18.57 (9.00)	18.9 (9.37)	17.98 (8.37)	0.618	.538

Note: For quantitative variables M(SD) and categorical variables n(%). **Z.** - Statistic of the Mann-Whitney U-test; SA-45.- Symptom Assessment-45 Questionnaire; MPS-MALA.- Maladaptive Perfectionism; MPS-F1.- Fear of Making Mistakes; MPS-F2.- External Influences; MPS-ADAP.- Adaptive Perfectionism; MPS-F3.- Expectations of Achievement; MPS-F4.- Organization; AAQ-II.- The Acceptance and Action Questionnaire (Psychological Inflexibility).

Table 2 (on next page)

Table 2.- Symptom Assessment-45 Questionnaire scores, Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale (MPS) according to AAQ-II-Psychological Inflexibility Cluster.

The mean scores on the questionnaires according to the clusters in Psychological Inflexibility are indicated.

Table 2.- Symptom Assessment-45 Questionnaire scores, Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale (MPS) according to AAQ-II-Psychological Inflexibility Cluster.

		Low Psychological Inflexibility (a) 83(53.2)	Medium Psychological Inflexibility (b) 55(35.3)	High Psychological Inflexibility (c) 18(11.5)	<i>F</i> (2,155)	<i>p</i>
MPS-MALA		39.73(12.94)	45.75(13.36)	55.39(15.09)	11.214	<.001
	MPS-F1	22.07(7.131)	26.18(8.28)	33.44(8.83)	17.195	<.001
	MPS-F2	17.66(6.92)	19.56(6.91)	21.94(9.12)	3.066	.049
MPS-ADAP		46.34(9.79)	48.13(10.92)	50.44(7.17)	1.458	.236
	MPS-F3	25.05(6.85)	26.78(7.48)	28.56(6.50)	2.277	.106
	MPS-F4	21.29(4.62)	5.12(0.69)	21.89(4.60)	0.117	.889
					Kruskal-Wallis	
					χ^2 (df=2)	
SA-45-TOTAL		19.57(14.05)	42.25(20.74)	72.83(32.29)	59.357	<.001
	Depression	2.12(20.9)	6.13(3.78)	10.11(5.34)	62.481	<.001
	Hostility	1.61(2.01)	3.24(3.10)	6.06(4.48)	24.578	<.001
	Interpersonal Sensitivity	2.07(20.7)	5.09(3.49)	10.11(4.86)	49.673	<.001
	Somatisation	3.06(2.63)	5.36(3.48)	7.06(5.06)	22.439	<.001
	Anxiety	1.96(1.85)	5.38(3.31)	8.67(4.33)	58.500	<.001
	Psychoticism	1.37(1.67)	2.89(2.46)	6.50(4.22)	38.523	<.001
	Obsession-Compulsion	3.66(2.69)	6.67(3.51)	10.11(4.01)	44.550	<.001
	Phobic Anxiety	0.73(1.43)	1.45(2.19)	4.89(4.66)	25.277	<.001
	Paranoid Ideation	2.96(2.49)	6.04(3.64)	9.33(4.34)	42.849	<.001

Note: - For quantitative variables M(SD) and categorical variables n(%). MPS-MALA.- Maladaptive Perfectionism; MPS-F1.- Fear of Making Mistakes; MPS-F2.- External Influences; MPS-ADAP.- Adaptive Perfectionism; MPS-F3.- Expectations of Achievement; MPS-F4.- Organization; SA-45.- Symptom Assessment-45 Questionnaire.

Table 3(on next page)

Table 3.- Bivariate Correlation between scores on psychological inflexibility, perfectionism, and psychopathological symptoms.

The correlations between the different variables that have been evaluated are indicated.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

Table 3.- Bivariate Correlation between scores on psychological inflexibility, perfectionism, and psychopathological symptoms.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1 AAQ-II	1						
2 MPS-MALA	.432/<.001						
3 MPS-F1	.503/<.001						
4 MPS-F2	.253/.001						
5 MPS-ADAP	.177/.027						
6 MPS-F3	.241/.002						
7 MPS-F4	.013/.868						
8 SA-45-TOTAL	.725/<.001	.403/<.001	.505/<.001	.210/.008	.274/.001	.345/<.001	.056/.484
9 Depression	.727/<.001	.295/<.001	.390/<.001	.149/.064	.176/.028	.248/.002	-.032/.695
10 Hostility	.450/<.001	.336/<.001	.394/<.001	.194/.015	.258/.001	.333/<.001	.023/.772
11 Inter. Sensitivity	.652/<.001	.344/<.001	.436/<.001	.187/.019	.224/.005	.298/<.001	.020/.800
12 Somatisation	.450/<.001	.186/.020	.278/<.001	.065/.420	.176/.028	.184/.022	.117/.147
13 Anxiety	.727/<.001	.356/<.001	.443/<.001	.181/.024	.264/.001	.320/<.001	.087/.282
14 Psychoticism	.600/<.001	.447/<.001	.496/<.001	.291/<.001	.245/.002	.319/<.001	.052/.518
15 Obses-Compuls	.622/<.001	.323/<.001	.397/<.001	.169/.035	.145/.072	.214/.007	-.028/.732
16 Phobic Anxiety	.398/<.001	.225/.005	.276/<.001	.113/.161	.081/.315	.148/.065	-.044/.587
17 Paran Ideation	.604/<.001	.388/<.001	.471/<.001	.215/.007	.309/<.001	.366/<.001	.093/.247

Note: - r/p.- Pearson Correlation/Significance (Spearman's Rho in the SA-45) (all correlations of subscales within each instrument (SA-45 and MPS) have been removed as they are irrelevant to the objective, although they are all highly significant); MPS-MALA.- Maladaptive Perfectionism; MPS-F1.- Fear of Making Mistakes; MPS-F2.- External Influences; MPS-ADAP.- Adaptive Perfectionism; MPS-F3.- Expectations of Achievement; MPS-F4.- Organization; AAQ-II.- The Acceptance and Action Questionnaire (Psychological Inflexibility); SA-45.- Symptom Assessment-45 Questionnaire.

17
18
19
20
21

Table 4(on next page)

Table 4.- Lineal regression analysis, taking psychopathological symptoms (SA-45) as the predicted variable and psychological inflexibility and adaptive-maladaptive perfectionism as predictor variables, in soccer referees.

The regression-prediction analyses of the variable psychopathological symptomatology are shown; according to the variables perfectionism and psychological inflexibility.

1
2
3
4
5

Table 4.- Lineal regression analysis, taking psychopathological symptoms (SA-45) as the predicted variable and psychological inflexibility and adaptive-maladaptive perfectionism as predictor variables, in soccer referees.

	B	95% IC			β	t	p	R ²	ΔR^2	p	F	p
Model 1								.512	.512	<.001	$F_{(1,155)} = 161.537$	<.001
AAQ-II	2.071	1.749	2.393		.716	12.710	<.001					
Model 2								.537	.025	.004	$F_{(2,155)} = 88.776$	<.001
AAQ-II	1.851	1.503	2.200		.640	10.488	<.001					
MPS-PF-MALA	0.323	0.102	0.544		.176	2.886	.004					
Model 3								.540	.003	.315	$F_{(3,155)} = 59.528$	<.001
AAQ-II	1.870	1.519	2.220		.646	10.536	<.001					
MPS-PF-MALA	0.244	-0.025	0.514		.133	1.792	.075					
MPS-PF-ADAP	0.179	-0.172	0.530		.069	1.008	.315					

Note: AAQ-II.- The Acceptance and Action Questionnaire (Psychological Inflexibility); MPS-PF-MALA. - Maladaptive Perfectionism; MPS-PF-ADAP. - Adaptive Perfectionism.

6
7
8
9
10