

A new neornithischian dinosaur from the Upper Jurassic Tiaojishan Formation of northern China (#112698)

1

First revision

Guidance from your Editor

Please submit by **17 May 2025** for the benefit of the authors .



Structure and Criteria

Please read the 'Structure and Criteria' page for guidance.



Custom checks

Make sure you include the custom checks shown below, in your review.



Author notes

Have you read the author notes on the [guidance page](#)?



Raw data check

Review the raw data.



Image check

Check that figures and images have not been inappropriately manipulated.

All review materials are strictly confidential. Uploading the manuscript to third-party tools such as Large Language Models is not allowed.

If this article is published your review will be made public. You can choose whether to sign your review. If uploading a PDF please remove any identifiable information (if you want to remain anonymous).

Files

Download and review all files from the [materials page](#).

1 Tracked changes manuscript(s)

1 Rebuttal letter(s)

21 Figure file(s)

8 Table file(s)

4 Other file(s)



Custom checks

New species checks



Have you checked our [new species policies](#)?



Do you agree that it is a new species?



Is it correctly described e.g. meets ICZN standard?



Structure and Criteria

Structure your review

The review form is divided into 5 sections. Please consider these when composing your review:

1. BASIC REPORTING
2. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN
3. VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS
4. General comments
5. Confidential notes to the editor

 You can also annotate this PDF and upload it as part of your review

When ready [submit online](#).

Editorial Criteria

Use these criteria points to structure your review. The full detailed editorial criteria is on your [guidance page](#).




BASIC REPORTING

-  Clear, unambiguous, professional English language used throughout.
-  Intro & background to show context. Literature well referenced & relevant.
-  Structure conforms to [Peerj standards](#), discipline norm, or improved for clarity.
-  Figures are relevant, high quality, well labelled & described.
-  Raw data supplied (see [Peerj policy](#)).

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

-  Original primary research within [Scope of the journal](#).
-  Research question well defined, relevant & meaningful. It is stated how the research fills an identified knowledge gap.
-  Rigorous investigation performed to a high technical & ethical standard.
-  Methods described with sufficient detail & information to replicate.

VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS

-  **Impact and novelty is not assessed.** Meaningful replication encouraged where rationale & benefit to literature is clearly stated.
-  All underlying data have been provided; they are robust, statistically sound, & controlled.
-  Conclusions are well stated, linked to original research question & limited to supporting results.



The best reviewers use these techniques

Tip

Example

Support criticisms with evidence from the text or from other sources

Smith et al (J of Methodology, 2005, V3, pp 123) have shown that the analysis you use in Lines 241-250 is not the most appropriate for this situation. Please explain why you used this method.

Give specific suggestions on how to improve the manuscript

Your introduction needs more detail. I suggest that you improve the description at lines 57- 86 to provide more justification for your study (specifically, you should expand upon the knowledge gap being filled).

Comment on language and grammar issues

The English language should be improved to ensure that an international audience can clearly understand your text. Some examples where the language could be improved include lines 23, 77, 121, 128 – the current phrasing makes comprehension difficult. I suggest you have a colleague who is proficient in English and familiar with the subject matter review your manuscript, or contact a professional editing service.

Organize by importance of the issues, and number your points

1. Your most important issue
2. The next most important item
3. ...
4. The least important points

Please provide constructive criticism, and avoid personal opinions

I thank you for providing the raw data, however your supplemental files need more descriptive metadata identifiers to be useful to future readers. Although your results are compelling, the data analysis should be improved in the following ways: AA, BB, CC

Comment on strengths (as well as weaknesses) of the manuscript

I commend the authors for their extensive data set, compiled over many years of detailed fieldwork. In addition, the manuscript is clearly written in professional, unambiguous language. If there is a weakness, it is in the statistical analysis (as I have noted above) which should be improved upon before Acceptance.

A new neornithischian dinosaur from the Upper Jurassic Tiaojishan Formation of northern China

Yunfeng Yang^{1, 2}, James L King^{2, 3, 4}, Xing Xu^{Corresp. 2, 5}

¹ College of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

² Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

³ Colorado Northwestern Community College, Craig, Colorado, United States

⁴ School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1RJ, United Kingdom

⁵ Centre for Vertebrate Evolutionary Biology, Yunnan University, Kunming, China

Corresponding Author: Xing Xu

Email address: xu.xing@ivpp.ac.cn

The Middle and Late Jurassic Yanliao Biota is different from other contemporaneous fossil assemblages in that it lacks neornithischian dinosaurs. Here, we report a new, early-diverging neornithischian, *Pulaosaurus qinglong* gen. et sp. nov., from the Upper Jurassic Tiaojishan Formation of Qinglong, Hebei Province, of northern China. Diagnostic or noteworthy morphological characteristics of *P. qinglong* include: five premaxillary teeth; a small boss is present on the caudoventral corner of the dorsal ramus of the jugal; a nuchal crest is located along the parietal; the manus has five digits; a supra-acetabular crest is present on the ilium; the paired arytenoids are gracile and leaf-like in form; the obturator process along the ischium is located near the pubic peduncle; a notch-like shaped obturator opening is present within the pubis; a robust fibular condyle forms a dorsoventrally extending crest on the tibia; a subtriangular flange on the anterior surface of the astragalus extends dorsolaterally along three distal tarsals; three of the distal tarsals are unfused, including a small drop-shaped distal tarsal 3; distal tarsal 3 is pierced by a foramen. A phylogenetic analysis places *P. qinglong* as one of the earliest-diverging neornithischians yet described. Moreover, *P. qinglong* represents the second known dinosaur to preserve ossified laryngeal elements, thus suggesting that a bird-like vocalization evolved early in non-avian dinosaur evolution.

A new neornithischian dinosaur from the Upper Jurassic Tiaojishan Formation of northern China

Yunfeng Yang^{1,2}, James Logan King^{1,3,4}, Xing Xu^{1,5}

¹ Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100044, China

² College of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China

³ Colorado Northwestern Community College, Craig, Colorado, 81625, United States

⁴ School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1RJ, United Kingdom

⁵ Centre for Vertebrate Evolutionary Biology, Yunnan University, Kunming, Yunnan, 650091, China

Corresponding Author:

Xing Xu

No.142 Xizhimen outer Street, Beijing, 100044, China

Email address: Xing Xu, xuxing@ivpp.ac.cn

Abstract

The Middle and Late Jurassic Yanliao Biota is different from other contemporaneous fossil assemblages in that it lacks neornithischian dinosaurs. Here, we report a new, early-diverging neornithischian, *Pulaosaurus qinglong* gen. et sp. nov., from the Upper Jurassic Tiaojishan Formation of Qinglong, Hebei Province, of northern China. Diagnostic or noteworthy morphological characteristics of *P. qinglong* include: five premaxillary teeth; a small boss is present on the caudoventral corner of the dorsal ramus of the jugal; a nuchal crest is located along the parietal; the manus has five digits; a supra-acetabular crest is present on the ilium; the paired arytenoids are gracile and leaf-like in form; the obturator process along the ischium is located near the pubic peduncle; a notch-like shaped obturator opening is present within the pubis; a robust fibular condyle forms a dorsoventrally extending crest on the tibia; a

subtriangular flange on the anterior surface of the astragalus extends dorsolaterally along three distal tarsals; three of the distal tarsals are unfused, including a small drop-shaped distal tarsal 3; distal tarsal 3 is pierced by a foramen. A phylogenetic analysis places *P. qinglong* as one of the earliest-diverging neornithischians yet described. Moreover, *P. qinglong* represents the second known dinosaur to preserve ossified laryngeal elements, thus suggesting that a bird-like vocalization evolved early in non-avian dinosaur evolution.

Introduction

The Middle-to-Late Jurassic-aged Yanliao Biota is one of the most significant Mesozoic, terrestrial lagerstätte in China, with an age that ranges from 168 Ma to 157 Ma (Bai 2024; Huang 2015; Liu 2022; Zhou & Wang 2017) and is comprised of fossil assemblages from the Jiulongshan and the Tiaojishan Formations (Boyd 2015; Huang 2015; Zhou & Wang 2017). The Daohugou Biota whose age was about 168-164 Ma represents the early stage of the Yanliao Biota while the Linglongta Biota whose age was about 162-157 Ma represents its late stage (Huang 2015; Zhou & Wang 2017). In total, there have been 54 genera and 58 species of vertebrates reported from the Yanliao Biota, including 9 species of non-avian dinosaurs (Liu 2022; Zhou & Wang 2017). Because the Yanliao Biota preserves large amounts of vertebrate material from many taxa, it offers insight to major palaeobiological events, such as the temporal origin of birds and the early evolution of mammals (Liu 2022; Xu et al. 2022; Zhou & Wang 2017). However, non-avian dinosaurs found in the Yanliao Biota are all small-bodied theropods whereas Ornithischia is represented by only one species, which may possibly be from the Jehol Biota (Zhou & Wang 2017). This is in stark contrast to other contemporaneous Chinese terrestrial faunas such as the Shishugou and Shaximiao faunas where body size and taxonomic composition are more variable (Liu 2022; Xu et al. 2022).

Neornithischia is a significant group of dinosaurs whose earliest origin could be dated back to the Middle Jurassic, represented by several early-diverging taxa including *Sanxiasaurus*, *Agilisaurus*, *Hexinlusaurus* found in China (Fonseca et al. 2024; Li et al. 2019; Barrett and Han et al. 2005). Besides China, there have been reports of neornithischian fossils from the Middle Jurassic of Russia (Cincotta et al.), Scotland (Panciroli et al. 2025) and strata from other geological times and countries. Neornithischia diverges rapidly into a number of taxa in Cretaceous (Fonseca et al. 2024). The phylogeny of Neornithischia has been controversial in recent years, especially for the early-diverging taxa referred as ‘hypsilophodontids’ (Boyd 2015; Han et al. 2018; Dieudonné et al. 2020; Brown et al. 2022). There are two major hypotheses for the phylogeny of Neornithischia: 1. most of the early-diverging neornithischians are grouped within Cerapoda, as a paraphyletic group of early-diverging ornithopods (Brown et al. 2022); 2. most of the early-diverging neornithischians are outside Cerapoda and within the clade Thescelosauridae (Brown et al. 2022). Boyd (2015) and Han et al. (2018) agree on the former hypothesis while Dieudonné et al. (2020) and Fonseca et al. (2024) agree on the latter hypothesis; however, the controversy over the phylogeny of Neornithischia stems from the differences in the morphological characters selected in the different phylogenetic analyses (Brown et al. 2022), so the discovery of a new early-diverging neornithischian species helps to clarify relationships among early-diverging neornithischian taxa.

Here, we describe a new specimen found in the Upper Jurassic Tiaojishan Formation, County Qinglong, Province Hebei, China. This well-preserved specimen IVPP V30936 has both basal and derived characteristics of Ornithischia and preserves cololites, hyoids, and an ossified larynx. This new neornithischian specimen helps us understand the biodiversity of Yanliao Biota and the phylogeny of early-diverging Neornithischia better.

Institutional abbreviations

IVPP: Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Beijing, China

Materials & Methods

The specimen V30936 was collected by Mr. Yong Wang and later transferred to the IVPP. It is currently stored in IVPP. It was found in southern Shimen Gou, County Qinglong, Province Hebei, People's Republic of China. The specimen is fully prepared and based on close examinations and comparisons of the osteology and matrix, the specimen was deemed authentic.

The anatomical information of IVPP V30936 was acquired by personal observations. They were supplemented by computed tomography (CT) scans of the pelvic girdle. The CT scans were conducted by 160-Micro-CL (Computed Laminography) in IVPP. The CT data provides insight into areas of the pelvic girdle obscured by the femora and the chevrons to gain more complete anatomical data on IVPP 30936.

The electronic version of this article in Portable Document Format (PDF) will represent a published work according to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN), and hence the new names contained in the electronic version are effectively published under that Code from the electronic edition alone. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix <http://zoobank.org/>. The LSID for this publication is: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:D3939AEC-9C5B-4397-9BA4-47CB3F9DFEC8. The online version of this work is archived and available from the following digital repositories: PeerJ, PubMed Central SCIE and CLOCKSS.

Phylogenetic Analysis

To assess the phylogenetic position of IVPP V30936 within ornithischians, we ran the phylogenetic analysis on the emended matrix dataset of Han et al. (2018), including IVPP V30936 and *Sanxiasaurus modaoxiensis*, a recently reported early-diverging neornithischian taxon. The coding of *Sanxiasaurus* was based on the description and images provided by Li et al. (2019). For consistency, we followed the phylogenetic nomenclature of higher-level ornithischian taxa as defined by Madzia et al. (2021).

The final dataset consists of 380 characters scored for 70 ingroup taxa and 4 outgroup taxa. The analysis was conducted in TNT with each character equally weighted. The analysis is based on a traditional search with 1000 random seeds and 1000 replications. The swapping algorithm is tree bisection reconnection (TBR), with 100 trees to save per replication. The maximal memory of trees was set to 100000 and zero-length branches collapsed.

Unstable taxa were identified by the command ‘pruned trees’ and include four taxa, such as *Pisanosaurus*, *Micropachycephalosaurus*, *Zephyrosaurus*, *Yueosaurus*. Having removed the unstable taxa and repeating the steps described above, the reduced strict consensus tree was gained and phylogenetic relationships of the remaining taxa were display.

Additionally, we carry out another analysis in TNT based on the emended dataset of Fonseca et al. (2024) which consists of 943 characters and 173 taxa. Each character is equally weighted. The analysis is based on a traditional search with 1000 random seeds and 1000 replications. The swapping algorithm is tree bisection reconnection (TBR), with 100 trees to save per replication. The maximal memory of trees was set to 100000 and zero-length branches collapsed.

Results

Systematics Paleontology

Dinosauria Owen, 1842

Ornithischia Seeley, 1887

Neornithischia Cooper, 1985

Pulaosaurus qinglong gen.et sp. nov.

Etymology

The generic name is derived from Chinese Pinyin for “Pulao”, a mythical creature resembling the Chinese dragon. According to Chinese legends, the “Pulao” engages in loud shouting, thus referencing the possible bird-like vocalizations of this species. The specific name is derived from the Chinese Pinyin for “Qinglong”, which is the name of the county in Province Hebei, China, where the specimen was found.

Holotype

IVPP V30936 is comprised of a nearly complete skeleton prepared on a brownish-red sandstone slab. The specimen preserves most of the skull and a complete postcranial skeleton. Many skull elements are displaced and disarticulated due to mediolateral crushing. All cervical vertebrae and dorsal vertebrae are compressed and obscured. The sacrum is overlapped by the ilium. Only two proximal, five middle and 17 distal caudal vertebrae are well-preserved. Both scapulae and the sternum are broken with only fragments being preserved. The left forelimb is complete and articulated; however, the right humerus is not preserved and elements of the right manus are disarticulated. Elements of the pelvic girdle are preserved although many morphological characters are unknown due to overlapping and displacement of the available

elements. Most of the hindlimbs are preserved except for the right distal tarsals 2, 3, 4; left calcaneum; and phalange 1 of the left pes. Additionally, a pair of ceratobranchials and ossified arytenoids are also preserved ventrally adjacent to the mandible. Cololites (gut contents) are preserved in the abdominal cavity.

Locality and Horizon

Southern Shimen Gou, County Qinglong, Province Hebei, People's Republic of China.
Tiaojishan Formation, Callovian-Oxfordian (Middle-Upper Jurassic)

Diagnosis

A small-bodied neornthischian dinosaur characterized by the combination of the following characteristics (autapomorphies preceded by an asterisk): five premaxillary teeth; a small boss is located on the caudoventral corner of the dorsal ramus of the jugal; a nuchal crest that is located on the parietal the mani have five digits; a supra-acetabular crest is located on the ilium; a pair of gracile, leaf-like arytenoids are present; the obturator process is near the pubic peduncle; the opening of the obturator on the pubis is notch-shaped; *a robust fibular condyle forms a dorsoventrally extending crest on the tibia; a subtriangular flange extends dorsolaterally on four distal tarsals; *three distal tarsals are unfused with distal tarsal 3 that is drop-shaped; distal tarsal 3 is pierced by a foramen.

Because our phylogenetic analysis recovered *Pulaosaurus qinglong* in a systematic position near that of the contemporaneous *Agilisaurus louderbacki* (Peng 1992) and *Hexinlusaurus multidens* (Barrett and Han et al. 2005), from Sichuan Province, southwestern China, we list additional taxonomical differences between *Pulaosaurus* and *Agilisaurus* and *Hexinlusaurus*.

Pulaosaurus qinglong differs from *Agilisaurus louderbacki* and *Hexinlusaurus multidentis* in the following characteristics: the relative length of the *Pulaosaurus* skull is more elongated while the skull of *Agilisaurus* is foreshortened; all of the premaxillary teeth in *Pulaosaurus* are subequal to each other in size while the middle premaxillary teeth are largest in *Agilisaurus*; a small boss is present on the jugal of *Pulaosaurus* while there is no ornamentation on the jugal of *Agilisaurus* or *Hexinlusaurus*; the ventral edge of the jugal is straight in *Pulaosaurus* but caudoventrally deflects in *Agilisaurus* and *Hexinlusaurus*; the orbital margin of the postorbital projects into the orbit in *Hexinlusaurus* while it does not in *Pulaosaurus* and *Agilisaurus*; the frontals of *Pulaosaurus* are shorter and more elongated than those of *Hexinlusaurus* and *Agilisaurus*; the ventral process of the prementary is notably reduced in *Pulaosaurus* when compared to that of *Agilisaurus*; the ossified tendons of *Pulaosaurus* are in basket-like arrangement of fusiform tendons in caudal region while they are arranged longitudinally in *Agilisaurus* and *Hexinlusaurus*; the ungual of manual digit I is sub-conical in *Pulaosaurus* while it is claw-like in form in *Agilisaurus* and *Hexinlusaurus* a supra-acetabular crest is present on the ilium in *Pulaosaurus* and *Agilisaurus* while it is absent in *Hexinlusaurus*; the obturator foramen in the pubis is a notch-like shape in *Pulaosaurus* while it is a true foramen in *Agilisaurus* and *Hexinlusaurus*; the ischial obturator process is near the pubic peduncle in *Pulaosaurus* while it is located more distally from the pubic peduncle in *Agilisaurus* and *Hexinlusaurus*; the ratio between the humerus and the femur in *Pulaosaurus* is shorter than that of *Hexinlusaurus* and *Agilisaurus*; the astragalar ascending process is triangular in *Pulaosaurus* while it is finger-like in *Hexinlusaurus*; there are three distal tarsals in *Pulaosaurus* while there are only two in *Agilisaurus* and *Hexinlusaurus*.

Description and comparisons

The specimen is preserved on a brownish-red fine-grained sandstone slab (FIGURE 1) and is roughly mediolaterally compressed. The total length of this specimen is approximately 722mm (i.e. the length from the rostral end of the skull to the caudal end of the last preserved caudal vertebrae) while its skull length is about 8cm from the rostral end of the premaxilla to the caudal border of the parietal and the length of trunk (i.e. the length from the atlas to the caudal border of the pelvic girdle) is about 30cm. The neurocentral sutures of cervical and caudal vertebrae are not obliterated, which is a possible but not confirmed sign of an immature individual (Bertoazzo et al. 2017). The ratio of the orbit diameter and the skull length is 37%, which is close to the ratio in immature specimens of *Jeholosaurus*, ranging from 40% to 50% in immature specimens and less than 33% in adult specimens (Barrett and Han, 2009). Because of this, we interpret IVPP V30936 to be an immature individual.

Skull

In lateral view, the skull shape (FIGURE 2, 3, Table 1) is elongate, low, and forms an obtuse triangle. Due to the displacement of the jugal, the exact position of postorbital bones and the shape of infratemporal fenestra are unknown. The supratemporal fenestra is sub-square in lateral view. The orbit is elliptical and is the largest cranial opening with a maximal diameter of which is about 3cm. Rostral to the orbit sits the subtriangular antorbital fossa observable in most early-diverging ornithischians including *Jeholosaurus*, *Lesothosaurus*, *Hexinlusaurus*, *Agilisaurus* (Norman et al. 2004; Barrett and Han 2009; Peng 1992; Sereno 1991; He et al. 1984), whose ventral margin is nearly at the same horizon of orbit ventral margin. All of the

mandibular elements are disarticulated from each other, so the overall shape of the mandible and the presence or shape of external mandibular fenestra is unknown.

Premaxilla (FIGURE 2)—Only the left premaxilla is visible. In lateral view, the main body of the premaxilla is subrectangular and possesses two processes: a tapering and caudodorsal-oriented maxillary process that forms the ventral border of the external nares and a reduced nasal process that forms the rostral border of the external nares. The ventral margin of the premaxilla is ventrally offset from the maxilla's ventral margin as seen in many ornithomorphs, which is considered a plesiomorphy in neornithomorphs (Norman et al. 2004). The dorsoventral distance between the premaxillary toothrow and the maxillary toothrow is about 2mm. In lateral view, the nasal process of the premaxilla is reduced and does not contact the nasals, possibly due to damage. The maxillary process (i.e., subnasal process) of the premaxilla is large and rostradorsally contacts the nasal and posteriorly approaches the lacrimal. A premaxilla-lacrimal contact, which is observed in *Changmiania* (Yang et al. 2020) and *Jeholosaurus* (Barrett and Han 2009), may be absent or obscured in *Pulaosaurus*. The main body of the premaxilla is dorsoventrally concave with its caudal margin being dorsoventrally convex. The lateral surface of the premaxilla's main body is moderately concave and thickens along the oral margin. Rostral to the first premaxillary tooth, a short edentulous region develops anteriorly into a short beak-shaped process, which is also present in *Jeholosaurus* (Barrett and Han 2009), *Changchunsaurus* (Jin et al. 2010), and *Hypsilophodon* (Norman et al. 2004). The rostral margin of the premaxillary main body is rugose, suggesting the presence of a rhamphotheca on the premaxilla. This character is considered a plesiomorphy among neornithomorphs and is observed in *Lesothosaurus* (Sereno 1991), *Jeholosaurus* (Barrett and Han 2009), *Changchunsaurus* (Jin et

al. 2010), and *Hypsilophodon* (Norman et al. 2004). The fossa-like depression along the premaxilla-maxilla boundary is absent, whereas it is present in *Haya* (Barta and Norell 2021), *Orodromeus* (Scheetz 1999), *Jeholosaurus* (Barrett and Han 2009) and *Changchunsaurus* (Jin et al. 2010). There is no arched diastema between the premaxilla and the maxilla, which is a synapomorphy within Heterodontosauridae (Norman et al. 2004). A premaxilla foramen, observed in *Haya* (Barta and Norell 2021), *Orodromeus* (Scheetz 1999), *Jeholosaurus* (Barrett and Han 2009), *Changchunsaurus* (Jin et al. 2010) and *Agilisaurus* (Peng 1992), is also absent. Moreover, there is no prominent narial fossa and the ventral region of the premaxilla does not flare laterally to form the floor of the narial fossa. These morphological characters suggest the premaxilla of *Pulaosaurus* resembles that of early-diverging neornithischians (Norman et al. 2004).

Five bulbous and unserrated premaxillary teeth are present (FIGURE 2, 4), the same number as *Haya* (Barta and Norell 2021), *Orodromeus* (Scheetz 1999), *Changchunsaurus* (Jin et al. 2010), but different from the six found in *Jeholosaurus* (Barrett and Han 2009), *Lesothosaurus* (Serenio 1991) and *Agilisaurus* (Peng 1992); four in *Convolosaurus marri* (Andrzejewski et al. 2019). The premaxillary tooth number of *Pulaosaurus* is rather primitive compared to later-diverging than tooth counts of most early-diverging taxa within Neornithischia. The first premaxillary tooth is close to the apex of the premaxilla with the second tooth arranged closely to the first. The first premaxilla tooth is smaller than the following four teeth which are all subequal in size to each other. In lateral view, the premaxillary tooth crown is recurved and spade-shaped while the root is long and straight, with the crown moderately expanded mesiodistally and labiolingually above the root. The labial surface of the tooth is smooth, and with no obvious carinae visible. There are wear facets on the distal surfaces of the labial side of the 2nd, 3rd, and

5th premaxillary tooth. The premaxillary tooth row is slightly offset laterally from the maxillary tooth row. No obvious diastema is present between the premaxillary tooth row and the maxillary tooth row.

Maxilla—The left maxilla is preserved in lateral view (FIGURE 2, 3). It is an elongate, plate-like, trapezoidal bone consisting of a straight, tooth-bearing ramus. The subtriangular ascending process on the rostral end of the maxilla contacts the lacrimal dorsally. The premaxilla overlaps the rostral region of the maxilla, so the ascending process on the rostral end of the maxilla that is observed in many early-diverging neornithischians (Norman et al. 2004; Barrett and Han 2009; Peng 1992; Sereno 1991; He et al. 1984) is not fully exposed. The maxilla forms the rostral and ventral borders of the subtriangular antorbital fossa, the dorsal and caudal borders of which are formed by the lacrimal. The maxilla comprises the entire ventral border of the antorbital fossa. Due to taphonomic compression, it is unknown whether additional openings within the antorbital fossa are present or not. It is also unknown whether the anterolateral boss that articulates with the premaxilla is present or not because the rostral region of the maxilla is overlapped by the premaxilla. The maxillary fenestra is absent. There is no slot in the maxilla for the lacrimal. The tooth-bearing ramus mediolaterally widens and the maxillary tooth row is medially inset to form a buccal emargination as seen in most ornithischians (Norman et al. 2004), which is a plesiomorphy in neornithischians (Norman et al. 2004). A ridge extends along the dorsal border of the caudal half of the buccal emargination, starting from the 7th maxillary tooth and extending laterodorsally with its caudal end tapering and flaring laterally. Three or four nutrient foramina lie on the maxillary lateral surface. It is uncertain how many nutrient foramina existed in life due to poor preservation (FIGURE 2, 3) .

There are 10 maxillary teeth observed in this specimen, with all preserved in labial view (FIGURE 2, 4). Except for the 8th replacement tooth, the apicobasal and mesiodistal lengths of the teeth increase from the mesially located teeth to the central tooth before decreasing distally. Each maxillary tooth is subtriangular with a distinct neck and a cingulum between the crown and the root, as is the case in many early-diverging ornithischians (Norman et al. 2004; Peng 1992; Sereno 1991; He et al. 1984). The labial surfaces of the maxillary teeth are relatively smooth with worn faces but no apicobasally extending ridges are present, contrary to *Jeholosaurus* (Barrett and Han 2009), *Changchunsaurus* (Jin et al. 2010), *Lesothosaurus* (Norman et al. 2004), and *Tenontosaurus* (Winkler & Murray 1997). The mesial and distal margins of the teeth are ornamented with simple denticles, which is the plesiomorphic condition that is observed in *Jeholosaurus* (Barrett and Han 2009), *Lesothosaurus* (Norman et al. 2004), and *Agilisaurus* (Peng 1992). The numbers of visible marginal denticles from the first to the tenth maxillary tooth are as follows: one denticle on the first tooth; five on the second; seven on the third and the fourth; nine on the fifth; six on the sixth; seven on the seventh and eighth; eight on the ninth and the tenth. The number of visible marginal denticles on the maxillary teeth of *Pulaosaurus* are apparently influenced by the growth stage of the tooth, the position of each tooth, and the erosion of tooth margin. Broadly, the morphology of the maxillary teeth of *Pulaosaurus* closely resembles those of early-diverging ornithischians.

Nasal (FIGURE 2)—The left nasal is taphonomically compressed with its rostral end broken, so the internarial bar is not preserved. It is unknown whether both nasals were fused in life or not. In lateral view, the left nasal is hatchet-shaped with a tapering, caudodorsally extending caudal process forming the dorsal border of the external nares. The main body of the nasal contacts the

maxillary process of the premaxilla ventrally while its caudal process contacts the lacrimal ventrally, overlapping the prefrontal. The contact between the nasal and the frontal is not visible due to the displacement of the bones. In life, the nasals were excluded from the antorbital fossa. No obvious narial fossa (e.g. *Jeholosaurus* [Barrett and Han 2009]) or nasal foramina is observed in the specimen.

Lacrimal (FIGURE 2)— In lateral view, the lacrimal is an inverted L-shaped bone that is comprised of a robust rostrodorsal process and the thinner caudoventral process. At the dorsal margin of the lacrimal, the lacrimal contacts the nasal rostrodorsally and the prefrontal caudaldorsally. The rostrodorsal process of the lacrimal contacts the maxilla to form the dorsal border of the antorbital fossa and the caudoventral process of the lacrimal contacts the maxilla to exclude the jugal from the antorbital fossa. The ventral border of the lacrimal overlaps the palatine. The tip of the lacrimal caudoventral process is situated rostral to the jugal and caudodorsal to the maxilla. No lacrimal foramen is visible in lateral view.

Prefrontal (FIGURE 2)— In lateral view, the left prefrontal is a rod-like bone which rostrally contacts the lacrimal and the nasal and overlaps the frontal caudally while forming the rostrodorsal margin of the orbit. Rostrally, the prefrontal has a descending ventral process that rostrally articulates with the rod-like palpebral.

Palpebral (FIGURE 2)— Among ornithischians, the palpebral is a bone that emanates from the rostrodorsal margin of the orbit and projects across the latter. This element is likely homologous with the anterior supraorbital bone, which refers to one or multiple small osteological elements

that are incorporated into the dorsal rim of the orbit (Maidment and Porro 2010). However, the ornithischian palpebral is not homologous with similar elements reported from other reptilian groups (Nesbitt et al. 2013). The left palpebral is preserved in IVPP V30936. Similar to most ornithischians (Norman et al. 2004), the palpebral of *Pulaosaurus* is a rod-like bone with its rostral end articulating with the prefrontal. In IVPP V30936, the caudal end of the palpebral is overlapped by the displaced jugal. The length of the palpebral is more than 80% of the orbit's diameter and its caudal end projects into the orbit freely, which is a plesiomorphy of neornithischians (Han et al. 2018). The palpebral does not articulate with the postorbital as seen in *Agilisaurus* (Peng 1992; He et al. 1984). There is no indication of a postpalpebral observable in *Haya* (Barta and Norell 2021) or *Thescelosaurus* (Boyd 2014).

Jugal (FIGURE 2,3)— The left jugal is preserved, though it is taphonomically displaced. In lateral view, the jugal is a plate-like bone composed of three rami and it forms the caudoventral border of the orbit and the anteroventral border of the infratemporal fenestra. Due to its displacement, its points of contact with other bones remains uncertain. In contrast with *Yinlong* (Han et al. 2018), the jugal is excluded from the antorbital fossa as observed in *Jeholosaurus* (Barrett and Han 2009), *Haya* (Barta and Norell 2021), *Hexinlusaurus* (He et al. 1984), and *Agilisaurus* (Peng 1992). This condition is a plesiomorphy in neornithischians where the jugal is excluded from the antorbital fossa. The rostral process is in rostroventrally oriented and the dorsal process is in caudaldorsally oriented. Due to displacement, the jugal's articulating surface with the postorbital is exposed and the jugal is articulated with the postorbital with a 'finger-in-recess' joint. There is a small boss on the caudalventral corner of the jugal dorsal process. The boss is similar to, but much smaller than, the ones found in *Orodromeus* (Scheetz 1999). Similar

ornaments are also present in *Orodromeus* (Scheetz 1999), *Heterodontosaurus* (Jin et al. 2010), *Zephyrosaurus* (Jin et al. 2010), and marginocephalians (Jin et al. 2010) but absent in Ornithopoda (Jin et al. 2010). However, the boss on the jugal of *Pulaosaurus* is located on the dorsal process of the jugal while the jugal boss is located on the ventral margin of the jugal in *Orodromeus* (Scheetz 1999), *Heterodontosaurus* (Jin et al. 2010), and *Zephyrosaurus* (Jin et al. 2010). The homology of these ornaments in different taxa is questionable and beyond the scope of this project. It is possible that the size of the jugal boss is ontogenetically variable as is the case in *Orodromeus* (Scheetz 1999). The dorsal branch of the caudal process is weakly expanded caudodorsally while the lower, dorsoventrally wider branch expands caudoventrally. A bifurcated caudal process is a synapomorphy present in *Lesothosaurus* (Norman et al. 2004), *Jeholosaurus* (Barrett and Han 2009), *Changchunsaurus* (Jin et al. 2010), and psittacosaurids (You & Dodson 2004) but is absent in many early-diverging cerapodans including pachycephalosaurs (Jin et al. 2010) and *Yinlong* (Jin et al. 2010). There are no ornamentations on the lateral side of the jugal, which contrasts what is observed in *Jeholosaurus* (Barrett and Han 2009).

Frontal (FIGURE 2)— In lateral view, the left frontal is an elongated, narrow, and forms an arched shelf that comprises the rostradorsal roof of the skull. This character is a synapomorphy in early-diverging neornithischians (Barrett and Han, 2009). The rostradorsal margin articulates with the prefrontal, the rostroventral corner articulates with the palpebral, and it caudally contacts the parietal. The contact between the frontal and the nasal is not visible due to the taphonomic displacement of the bones and the frontal contacts the postorbital caudoventrally. Although the exact ratio between frontal's length and width is unknown, the value is estimated to be about 2.5. The estimated length and width ratio is smaller than that of *Jeholosaurus* (Barrett

and Han 2009), *Agilisaurus* (Peng 1992), *Hypsilophodon* (Barrett and Han 2009), and *Zephyrosaurus* (Jin et al. 2010) but greater than that of early-diverging ceratopsians (Barrett and Han 2009), *Hexinlusaurus* (Barrett and Han 2009), *Orodromeus* (Scheetz 1999) and *Thescelosaurus* (Barta and Norell 2021; Barrett and Han 2009). The lateral margin thins and flares laterally, thus forming the orbital margin. The orbital margin is not as rugose as other ornithischians. There exists a groove on the rostradorsal margin of the orbital margin that articulates with the prefrontal and curves ventrally. The dorsal margin of the frontal thins and projects caudodorsally, which makes the dorsal margin convex and where it reaches its highest point over the orbit. Between the frontal and the parietal, the suture is preserved as a short scarf-joint that is located behind the orbit. Caudally, the margin of the frontal is overlapped by the parietal.

Postorbital (FIGURE 2)— In lateral view, the postorbital is a triradiate-shaped bone that is composed of an infratemporal process that extends rostradorsally, a jugal process that extends rostroventrally, and a squamosal process that extends caudally. The postorbital and the squamosal form the temporal bar, the former of which contributes more. The surface of IVPP V30936's postorbital is smooth and lacks ornaments on its surface while ornamentation on the postorbital is a synapomorphy of *Jeholosaurus* (Barrett and Han 2009), *Haya* (Barta and Norell 2021), and *Orodromeus* (Scheetz 1999). The orbital margin of the postorbital has no protuberance projecting into orbit as observed in *Haya* (Barta and Norell 2021) and *Orodromeus* (Scheetz 1999). Rostrally, the end of the infratemporal process is broken from the infratemporal process. However, based on the length of the infratemporal process and its broken rostral end, it is assumed that the length of the infratemporal process is about 11.4 mm while the length of the

squamosal process is about 7.5mm. The infratemporal process is articulated with the caudoventral corner of the frontal. The squamosal process tapers into a narrow tip where it articulates with the squamosal ventrally. In IVPP V30936, the length of the squamosal process suggests that the rostrocaudal length of infratemporal fenestrae is relatively short. The jugal process is overlapped by the quadrate, so its specific morphological characters and specific contact with the jugal remain unknown. However, based on the morphology of the jugal's dorsal process, the rostrocaudal width of the jugal process of the postorbital decreases, ends on the orbital margin and it articulates with the jugal with a 'finger-in-recess' joint.

Parietal (FIGURE 2)— In lateral view, the left parietal is a saddle-shaped bone. Due to compression and displacement, the original contacts between the parietal and other cranial elements are unknown, so the description is based on the observable contacts between cranial elements. The parietal forms the caudodorsal roof of the skull, the infratemporal fenestrae, and part of the supratemporal fenestrae. Rostrally, the parietal contacts the frontal along with the postorbitals and squamosals laterally. The caudal margin of the parietal flares laterally to form the nuchal crest as is a morphological feature is observed in *Haya* (Barta and Norell 2021), *Changmiania* (Yang et al. 2020), *Orodromeus* (Scheetz 1999), and *Oryctodromeus* (where the nuchal crest is formed by the supraoccipital) (Krumenacker 2017) and considered as a adaptive characteristic for digging. Along the supratemporal fenestrae, the parietal forms the caudal margin. It is unknown whether a sagittal crest or a median process inserts between the frontals.

Squamosal (FIGURE 2)— In lateral view, although overlapped by the squamosal process of the postorbital, the squamosal is a small and triradiate bone; however, the quadrate process has been

taphonomically broken away. The dorsal surface of the squamosal is smooth and expands laterally. In rostralateral view, the quadrate process is observable and its lateral surface forms a glenoid on the quadrate process where the squamosal articulates with the quadrate, as is observed in early-diverging ceratopsians such as *Yinlong* (You & Dodson 2004), *Hualianceratops* (You & Dodson 2004), and *Liaoceratops* (You & Dodson 2004). The contacting relationships between the squamosal and other bones remain uncertain due to the displacement of bones.

Quadrate (FIGURE 2)— The left quadrate is exposed in lateral view. Two-thirds of the upper quadrate shaft is bowed rostrally. The proximal head of the quadrate is bluntly round. Distally, the condyles are overlain by the displaced pterygoid while the quadrate articulates with the squamosal proximally. Due to displacement, the exact contact relationships between the quadrate and other cranial bones are unknown. The shaft of the quadrate flares laterally to form the rostralateral-oriented jugal wing of the quadrate, which arises from the dorsal margin and terminates just above the distal condyle. The pterygoid wing and the distal condyle are overlapped, so their morphological characteristics are unknown. However, it is hypothesized that the quadrate condyle is ventrally offset from the level of the maxillary tooth row based on the position of the surangular retroarticular process. It could not be determined whether a quadrate foramen is present or not.

Quadratojugal (FIGURE 2, 3)— In lateral view, the left quadratojugal is a subtriangular and flat, thought displaced, bone. Due to displacement, the relationships between the quadratojugal and other cranial elements is unknown. Also, displacement has caused the quadratojugal to be partially overlapped by the jugal with its ventral margin covered by the ectopterygoid. The

quadratojugal is comprised of an elongated process, a dorsoventrally thick process and a third process whose shape is uncertain. The estimated shape of the overlapped process is drawn with a dashed line. Due to displacement of the quadratojugal and overlapping of the quadrate, the exact lengths and orientations of the processes are unknown. It cannot be determined whether a quadratojugal foramen is present as is observed in neornithischians such as *Hypsilophodon* (Norman et al. 2004), *Jeholosaurus* (Barrett and Han 2009).

Pterygoid (FIGURE 2, 3)— In lateral view, the medial side of the right pterygoid is exposed due to taphonomic displacement. Anatomically, the pterygoid consists of three processes: the quadrate process, the mandibular process, and the palatine process. The quadrate process is a thin, subtriangular sheet that projects caudodorsally and is comparably much smaller than the same process of *Thescelosaurus* (Boyd 2014). Medially, a caudomedially facing cup-shape that receives the basiptyergoid process of the basisphenoid arises from the rostral corner of the quadrate process, where it joins with the other processes. The mandibular process is a thin, small, rostrocaudally elongate, and subtriangular process that projects dorsally, arising from the caudal corner of the quadrate process. Finally, the palatine process originates from the caudomedially facing cup-shape. The palatine process extends and then tapers rostrally and it forms a lateromedially oriented tab that contacts the palatine. This tab is much shorter relative to the same tab found on the pterygoid's palatine process of *Thescelosaurus* (Boyd 2014).

Ectopterygoid (FIGURE 2)— In lateral view, the ectopterygoid is a small and caudally bowed bar with a notch on the dorsal margin, similar to that of *Thescelosaurus* (Boyd 2014). The ectopterygoid extends caudoventrally where it is close to the caudal end of the maxilla. A notch

located along the ectopterygoid is interpreted as the postpalatine fenestra. Due to taphonomic displacement, the exact contact relationships between the ectopterygoid and other bones remain uncertain, but it is possible that the ectopterygoid contacted the palatine rostrally.

Palatine (FIGURE 2)— In lateral view, the palatine is a sheet-like bone whose caudal end extends laterally, forming a pyramidal boss that likely represents the point of articulation for the pterygoid. Laterally, the palatine is overlapped by the lacrimal and the maxilla. Only the caudal end of the palatine is observable within the orbit. Due to overlapping and mediolateral compression, the overall morphology of the palatine and its contact relationships with other adjacent bones remains uncertain.

Laterosphenoid(?) (FIGURE 2)— In lateral view, a small, laterally extending, pyramidal protuberance lies rostroroventrally to the orbital process of the postorbital. Based on its relative location, it is possible that it could be part of the braincase and is interpreted as the laterosphenoid. This protuberance is similar to the lateral articular head of the laterosphenoid that would articulate with a socket on the ventral margin of the frontal. However, due to poor preservation and overlapping, the true identification of this element, its morphology, and the relationships between this element and other bones remain indeterminate.

Basioccipital (FIGURE 2, 3)—The basioccipital of IVPP V30936 is disarticulated from the supraoccipital and is preserved upside down relative to its life position next to the basisphenoid. Rostroventrally, the basioccipital contacts the basisphenoid, but the suture between the two elements is ambiguous. In lateral view, the basioccipital is an inverted saddle-shaped element, as

is the case in many neornithischians (Barta and Norell 2021; Jin et al. 2010; Peng 1992; Scheetz 1999). The basioccipital lies rostr dorsolateral to the axis and caudoventral to the parietal, with its rostroventral margin overlapped by the atlas and its rostr dorsolateral margin. The caudal end of the basioccipital narrows dorsoventrally to form the caudally oriented occipital condyle. The shape of the occipital condyle is unknown as it is only visible in lateral view. It is also unknown how much the basioccipital contributes to the condyle or whether only the basioccipital contributes to the condyle. The rostral region of the basioccipital projects slightly ventrally and becomes dorsoventrally thicker, which then forms the caudal part of the basal tuber. As the ventral side of the basal tuber is not visible, the exact shape of the basal tuber cannot be confirmed. However, based on the ventral margin of the basioccipital, it is assumed that the basal tuber is undeveloped and the length of the basal tuber is about 2 cm.

Basisphenoid (FIGURE 2, 3)—As described above, the basisphenoid is preserved upside down and the suture between the caudal basisphenoid and the rostral basioccipital is ambiguous. In total, the length of the basisphenoid is subequal to the length of the basioccipital. The main body of the basisphenoid is visible in the lateral view, and it has the shape of a rostr dorsolaterally oriented cubic forming the rostral part of the basal tuber. No foramina or openings are visible on the lateral side of the basisphenoid. Its rostral region tapers rostrally to support the bifurcated basiptyergoid processes, which are broken away but are visible ventrally. Both basiptyergoid processes are rostroventrally oriented and blunt at their ends, characteristics that are considered plesiomorphies in neornithischians (Barta and Norell 2021; Peng 1992; Scheetz 1999). The angle between the two basiptyergoid processes and the main body is approximately 35° degrees.

Supraoccipital (FIGURE 2)— In lateral view, the supraoccipital is a sheet-like bone that is situated caudally to the parietal. Due to compression, there is little information about its morphological characters and contact relationships. It is unknown how much or even whether the supraoccipital contributes to the magnum foramen.

Exoccipital (FIGURE 2, 3)— Only a portion of the left exoccipital is observable in medial view. This partial exoccipital is preserved upside down with its paroccipital process taphonomically damaged. The exoccipital contacts the occipital condyle contacts the occipital condyle ventrally and forms the dorsolateral region of the foramen magnum. The rostradorsal border of the exoccipital extends medially to form the articular surface for the supraoccipital, so it is hypothesized that the supraoccipital contributes to the dorsal margin of the magnum foramen. There is a canal ventral to the articular surface for the supraoccipital, but the function of the canal is difficult to determine due to poor preservation. The preserved portion of the paroccipital process is short and blunt. On its caudodorsal corner, the exoccipital extends moderately laterally.

Predentary (FIGURE 2)— The left predentary is in close association with the dentary and is only visible in lateral view as a mediolaterally and rostrocaudally compressed element. In lateral view, the predentary is triangular with a short ventral process. It is unknown whether the ventral process is forked or not. The rostrocaudal length of the predentary is short at approximately half the rostrocaudal length of the premaxilla, which is a plesiomorphy among neornithischians (Butler 2008) . The dorsal margin of the predentary is longer than the ventral margin. The rostral

tip does not project above the main body, similar to *Haya* (Barta and Norell 2021) and *Changchunsaurus* (Jin et al. 2010). The ventral process is shorter than the lateral process.

Dentary (FIGURE 2)—Both dentaries are preserved and observable. The left dentary is visible in lateral view and the right dentary is observable in medial view. Because the postdentary elements are displaced, the exact relationships between the dentary and other elements are uncertain. The dentary is an elongated and dorsoventrally thin element with a prominent, caudodorsally oriented process on the caudal end that contributes to the coronoid process. The dorsal and ventral margins of the dentary are subparallel to each other along most of the dentary length with the dorsal margin being greater in length than the ventral margin. Both margins converge rostrally to form the articulate surface for the prementary, which is located at the midpoint of the dentary in height, as observed in *Changchunsaurus* (Jin et al. 2010). Rostral on the dentary, a vascular from the anterior dentary foramen forms an impressed canal extending rostrally to the prementary. The lateral side of the dentary is smooth and unornamented. Four nutrient foramina are present on the lateral side and are located near the dorsal margin of the dentary (FIGURE 2). The caudoventral region of the dentary is concave and forms the articulation for the surangular and the angular. This articulation extends for one-third the length of the dentary along the dentary's caudal border and extends to the midpoint of the dentary in height. The dentary reaches its maximal height on its caudal margin, forming a caudodorsally projecting process that contributes to the coronoid process. The medial surface of the dentary is relatively flat mediolaterally when compared to the lateral side. The Meckelian sulcus is located near the ventral margin along the medial surface of the dentary. The Meckelian sulcus originates from the rostral end of the

dentary but it is unknown how far it extends caudally. The caudoventral margin of medial dentary surface is covered by the plate-like splenial.

The dentary tooth row is medially inset to form the buccal emargination (FIGURE 4), similar to the maxillary tooth row. Because the dentary tooth row is obscured by the maxillary tooth row, the exact number of dentary teeth is unknown. The toothrow stops at the base of the coronoid process. Only six dentary teeth are visible between the rostral and caudal ends of the left dentary. The shape of dentary teeth resembles the maxillary teeth. The first small dentary tooth is adjacent to the predentary, similar to many early-diverging neornithischians (Norman et al. 2004; Sereno 1991; He et al. 1984).

Splenial (FIGURE 2)—Both splenials are preserved although the rostroventral end is missing because of a taphonomical fracture of the dorsal border of the right splenial. Most of the left splenial is overlapped by the dentary, though the right splenial is observable in medial view. Although their exact contacting relationships with other bones are ambiguous due to displacement, the preservation state suggests that they cover the caudoventral margins of the dentary's medial margins. The splenial is a subtriangular sheet. Its caudal end bifurcates into a blunt dorsal process and an elongate, tapering caudoventral process. From rostral to caudal, the dorsoventral length of the right splenial thickens. The rostroroventral end of the right splenial is broken and lost, so it is uncertain whether there exists a foramen on the rostral end.

Surangular (FIGURE 2)—The left surangular is displaced and preserved upside down, thus exposing its medial side. It is a roughly flat, subtriangular element that is composed of three processes in medial view with no observable foramina along its surface. There are no foramina

observed in other neornithischians such as *Haya* (Barta and Norell 2021), *Thescelosaurus* (Boyd 2014), *Changchunsaurus* (Jin et al. 2010). Due to taphonomic displacement, the exact contacting relationships with other elements is unknown. The rostradorsal process of the surangular is an elongate, arched, and slender process that possibly contacts the angular ventrally. At its base, the medial process of the surangular is flat. The rostroventral process is short and blunt-ended, forming the ventral border where the surangular meets the angular. The elongated, caudal-oriented process on the caudoventral corner is the retroarticular process, whose caudal end becomes concave to contact the articular.

Articular (FIGURE 2)— The articular is a subtrapezoidal element when viewed laterally. It is disarticulated from the retroarticular process and the quadrate, so its specific relationships with other elements is indiscernible. The articular surface for the quadrate is not visible. The ventral border of the articular extends laterally to form a ridge.

Angular (FIGURE 2)— The left angular is visible in lateral view and forms the caudoventral margin of the mandible. It is a subrectangular element, and, as other displaced cranial elements, the contact relationships of this bone with other elements remain uncertain. On its rostral border, the angular is mediolaterally concave to articulate with the dentary. The caudal border is mediolaterally convex and forms the possible articulating surface for the surangular.

Hyolaryngeal apparatus (FIGURE 5, Table 1)— Two arytenoids are elongate, flat, and leaf-shaped with a L-shaped cross-section and are located ventral to the mandible. The length of the arytenoids is about 80% of the dentary length. This pair of elements is similar to ossified

arytenoids observed in *Pinacosaurus* (IGM100/3186) (Yoshida et al. 2023). Each arytenoid is composed of a mediodorsal wing and a dorsolateral wing. The mediodorsal wing projects mediodorsally to form the arytenoid process which serves as an attachment site for the *M. dilator* (Yoshida et al. 2023). The dorsolateral wing widens caudally before tapering at its caudal end. The lateral edge, especially at its rostral end, is rugose, which is the possible articulation for the cricoid.

A pair of elongated, gracile rod-shaped elements (FIGURE 5) – which are interpreted here to be the ceratobranchials – are overlapped by the arytenoids and meet at a point that is caudoventral to the mandible. The length of ceratobranchials is less than 40% of the dentary length.

Postcranial elements

Axial skeleton

Cervical series (FIGURE 2, 6, Table 2)— A mostly complete cervical series is preserved in the specimen. Due to deformation and obscured elements, the exact number of cervical vertebrae is unknown. However, nine cervical vertebrae are assumed to be preserved in the specimen, since nine cervical vertebrae usually occur in early-diverging ornithopods (Han et al. 2012), while fewer occur in Thyreophora (Han et al. 2012) and more than nine cervical vertebrae appear in later-diverging ornithopods and ceratopsians (Han et al. 2012). The first three cervical vertebrae are visible in the lateral view with the following vertebrae being partially observable in dorsal view, though they are notably deformed and obscured. The 8th and 9th cervical vertebrae are disarticulated from the rest of the series. Most of the cervical ribs are disarticulated and scattered

with the exception of the 5th and 6th cervical ribs. The sutures of the axis and 3rd cervical vertebra between the cervical neural arches and centra are unfused.

The atlas (FIGURE 2, 6) is visible in left lateral view. The proatlas and the atlantal ribs are not preserved. The atlantal intercentrum is disarticulated from the neural arches and is visible in rostroventral view, ventral to the axis. In ventral view, the atlantal intercentrum is subcrescentic in shape. The anterior side of the atlas intercentrum is excavated by a fossa that is the deepest on the midline and becomes shallower laterally. The lateral margins of the atlas intercentrum expand dorsoventrally to form the transverse processes to articulate with the atlantal ribs. A flange extends on the ventral margin that demarcates the anterior side of the atlas intercentrum from the ventral side. A groove is located along the ventral side of the atlas, similar to what is observed in *Jeholosaurus* (Han et al. 2012) and *Hexinlusaurus* (He et al. 1984). In lateral view, the neural arches are a pair of wing-shape elements that expand dorsolaterally and are jointed at the base. The transverse width of the base narrows from rostral to caudal. The base has two articulating surfaces, a caudodorsal one for the odontoid process and a ventral one for the intercentrum. Though taphonomically compressed, the odontoid process is caudal to the atlas. The prezygapophysis is not visible while the postzygapophysis is developed to articulate with the prezygapophysis of the axis.

The axis (FIGURE 2, 6) is well-preserved, is observable in lateral view, and is comprised of the intercentrum, the centrum and the neural arch. Dorsally, the neural spine forms a dorsocaudally oriented crest. Its relative rostrocaudal length is not as long as those of many neornithischians in which it extends beyond the caudal margin of the third cervical (Barta and Norell, 2021; Han et al. 2012; Butler et al. 2011). At the base of the neural spine, the prezygapophysis is located on the rostral end and a well-developed postzygapophysis on the

caudal end that articulates with the prezygapophysis of the third cervical. A round diapophysis is located ventral to the prezygapophysis on the rostrolateral surface of the neural arch. The height of the neural arch is subequal to that of the centrum. The suture between the neural arch and the centrum is not fused. In lateral view, the centrum is opisthocoelous. The parapophysis is a small process present on the rostradorsal surface of the centrum. A wedge-shaped intercentrum is rostral to the centrum. The axial rib is taphonomically disarticulated and missing. Based on the presence of both the diapophysis and the parapophysis, the axial rib was likely double-headed.

The 3rd cervical (FIGURE 6) is observable in lateral view though its caudal region is broken due to poor preservation. The overall shape and composition of the third cervical vertebra is similar to that of the axis except it lacks an intercentrum. Compared to the axis, the neural spine of the third cervical is much smaller and more caudally oriented. The prezygapophysis is better developed and extends rostrally over the caudal margin of the axis centrum. The diapophysis of the 3rd cervical is smaller and more caudalventrally located. When compared with the parapophysis of the axis, the parapophysis of the 3rd cervical is larger.

The following cervical series (FIGURE 6) are visible in dorsal view but are notably damaged and obscured. Their rostrocaudal length is longer than their transverse width. The 4th cervical vertebra is composed of a pair of visible, well-developed, laterocaudally oriented transverse processes, well-developed postzygapophyses, and a small, dorsocaudally oriented neural arch. The possible two-headed rib of the 4th cervical vertebra is ventral to the transverse process. The 5th cervical vertebra is comprised of a pair of well-developed prezygapophyses, a laterocaudally oriented transverse processes that articulates with a double-headed rib, and a small, dorsocaudally oriented neural arch. The 6th cervical vertebra is comprised of a small, dorsocaudally oriented neural arch, and a laterocaudally oriented transverse process that

articulates with a double-headed rib with more than twice the rostrocaudal length of the centrum of the 6th cervical vertebra. The 7th, 8th and 9th cervical vertebrae are so compressed that little morphological information can be meaningfully extracted from them.

Dorsal vertebrae and dorsal ribs (FIGURE 7)— Most dorsal vertebrae are obscured, deformed, or overlapped by the ribs and ossified tendons. Only four distal dorsal vertebrae close to the ilium can be observed in lateral view although these dorsal vertebrae are deformed. The dorsal vertebrae are amphiplatyan or moderately procoelous. Only one obvious diapophysis on one dorsal vertebrate centrum is visible. There is no unambiguous evidence of striated rims around the rostrolateral and caudolateral borders of the centra. Most dorsal ribs are obscured or disarticulated, and all are compressed. Six recognizable double-head and moderately bowed dorsal ribs are articulated with the proximal dorsal vertebrae (FIGURE 7). There is no evidence of the existence of intercostal processes.

Sacral vertebrae— All sacral vertebrae are overlapped by the ilium.

Caudal vertebrae— In lateral view, much of the caudal series of vertebrae is preserved. The preserved caudal vertebrae include two anterior caudal vertebrae (FIGURE 8 A), five middle caudal vertebrae (FIGURE 8 B), and 17 posterior caudal vertebrae (FIGURE 8 C). Most anterior caudals are damaged or missing while most of the posterior vertebrae are missing. All preserved caudal vertebrae are amphiplatyan. The anteroposterior length of the caudal vertebrae gradually increases while their dorsoventral height decreases. The neurocentral sutures of all caudal vertebrae are unfused.

The centra of the anterior caudals are dorsoventrally concave. The ratio between the anteroposterior length and dorsoventral length of the vertebrae is approximately 1.5. Their neural arches are low and all neurocentral sutures are unfused. Both prezygapophyses and postzygapophyses extend a little beyond the anterior and posterior margins of the centrum, respectively. The hatchet-shaped neural spines are flat and more elongate than those of the posterior caudals, are positioned moderately anterior to the postzygapophyses extending posterodorsally, and are dorsoventrally expanded. The chevrons are disarticulated and are an elongated rod-shape in lateral view.

The middle caudal vertebrae are similar to the anterior caudals except that the dorsoventral length of the middle caudal centrum is shorter than that of anterior ones. Small transverse processes are present at the base of the neural arches. In lateral view, there are two hatchet-shaped chevrons that are disarticulated with the centrum.

Proximodistally, the dorsoventral length of the posterior caudal vertebrae decreases and the anteroposterior length increases to be more than twice the dorsoventral length. The prezygapophyses extend beyond the anterior end of the centrum and the postzygapophyses do not extend beyond the posterior end. Anteroposteriorly, the anteroposterior length of the prezygapophyses and postzygapophyses gradually increases, the dorsoventral lengths decrease, and both are fused into a single, unified structure. There are fourteen chevrons of the posterior caudal vertebrae preserved, twelve of which are articulated with the anteroventral corner of the centrum whose orientations gradually shift from vertical to horizontal in relation to the centrams. In lateral view, the chevrons are flat and hatchet-shaped while in anterior view they are ‘Y’-shaped with two gracile proximal processes merging into one ventrally oriented tapering process.

Ossified tendons (FIGURE 7A)—Ossified tendons are present along with the vertebral column. They are found alongside the middle dorsal vertebrae and the sacral vertebrae. The ossified epaxial tendons present on caudal vertebrae are not visible in this specimen and it is possible that the ossified tendons are absent from the caudal vertebrae. In lateral view, the ossified tendons are preserved as compressed, black filaments that are arranged in a basket-like arrangement of fusiform tendons along the caudal region. They lack a lattice arrangement and are more similar to a linear bundle arrangement.

Appendicular skeleton

Scapula (FIGURE 9) — Both scapulae are heavily damaged and compressed. However, it is apparent that the scapulae formed an elongate and strap-like shape in life. Only part of the proximal plate of the right scapula, and fragments of the proximal and distal ends of the left scapula are observable in lateral view. As a result, the exact shape of either scapula remains indeterminate. The morphology and position of the glenoid are also unknown. Similar to most small ornithischians (Han et al. 2018), but different from *Koreanosaurus* (Min et al. 2011) and *Oryctodromeus* (Krumenacker 2017), the scapula is unfused with the coracoid. The acromion, positioned at the rostradorsal corner of proximal plate, is blunt, not prominent, and does not develop into the scapular spine. Both the dorsal and the ventral margins of the proximal end of the scapula are concave. A laterally concave fragment of the distal end is located far from the proximal plate. Although the overall shape of the distal end of the scapula is unknown due to damage, the fragmentary remains suggest that the dorsoventral width of the scapula gradually decreases caudally.

Coracoid (FIGURE 9 and Table 3) — Both coracoids are preserved but displaced. The description of the orientation is based on the fully exposed right coracoid. In lateral view, the coracoid is a smooth, subquadrate plate with a concave surface. The dorsoventral length is subequal to the rostrocaudal length. The rostral border of the coracoid is relatively straight while the caudal border is strongly concave and forms an embayment that is observed in many neornithischian species (Barta and Norell, 2021; Min et al. 2011; He et al. 1984; Yang et al. 2020). The dorsal border, which is sutured with a scapula, is rugose while the ventral border is smooth. Unlike *Haya* (Barta and Norell, 2021), *Hexinlusaurus* (He et al. 1984), *Jeholosaurus* (Han et al. 2012), and many other taxa, no enclosed foramen is present laterally along the coracoid. Based on the rugose morphology of the dorsal border, the coracoid foramen could be on the dorsal border.

Sternum (FIGURE 9) — Only a proximal fragment of the left sternum is preserved. The degree of fracturing to the sternal elements has made the total shape indeterminable. The proximal fragment is mediolaterally thin. This fragment is fan-shaped along its proximal end and is dorsoventrally broad but gradually narrows from rostrocaudally, so it is likely that the sternum is a hatchet-shaped or a shafted element.

Humerus (FIGURE 10, Table 4) — Only the left humerus is preserved completely with its head partially overlapped by the coracoid. The left humerus is visible in lateral view. Generally, the humerus is similar to many small early-diverging neornithischians (Han et al. 2018), and it is a relatively gracile element compared to the humerus of *Koreanosaurus* (Min et al. 2011) and

Tenontosaurus (Winkler & Murray 1997). The length of the humerus is about half the length of the femur. The rostrocaudally thin proximal end of the humerus expands mediolaterally to form a centered head, which is rotated 37° relative to the shaft. The anterior surface of the head has a concave shape that forms the bicipital sulcus. The deltopectoral crest is damaged, so its total shape is poorly understood. The lateral tuberosity is obscured by the coracoid while the medial tuberosity is continuous with a ridge defining the proximal end concavity. The shaft is gracile and short with an elliptical cross-section. An intercondylar groove extends along the shaft to form an oval intercondylar fossa near the distal end of the humerus. The ulnar condyle is larger than the radial condyle and extends anterodistally.

Ulna and radius (FIGURE 11, Table 4)— The right and left ulnae, and right and left radii are all preserved in lateral view. Both ulnae and radii are straight, rod-like elements, both shorter than the humerus. The proximal end of the ulna is broad, and the shaft narrows distally with the distal end moderately expanded. The olecranon process of the ulna is low, which is a plesiomorphy usually observed in early-diverging neornithischians (Peng 1992; He et al. 1984). Laterally, the border of ulna's proximal end is keeled, as is observed in *Orodromeus* (Scheetz 1999), *Changmiania* (Yang et al. 2020) and *Koreanosaurus* (Min et al. 2011). The proximal ulna has a concave and triangular articular facet for articulation with the radius. Distally, the ulna has a similar morphology and articular facet for articulation with the radius. However, the distal articular facet is smaller and shallower than the proximal one. Compared to the ulna, the radius is slender and gracile. The shaft of the radius is about 30% narrower than the ulna shaft (Table 4).

Carpals (FIGURE 11)—The left carpals are well-preserved and in loose articulation while the right carpals are preserved disarticulated with each other. Hence, our description is based on the left carpals. The carpals are composed of the ulnare, radiale, intermedium, and one distal carpal, and all are visible in dorsal view. The ulnare is a cranially convex, pyramidally-shaped block that articulates proximally with the ulna and distally with metacarpal V. The intermedium is located medial to the ulnare and is a square block with a proximally convex margin that articulates proximally with the ulna and distally with metacarpal II. Compared to the ulnare, the radiale is similar in shape but smaller in size. The radiale articulates proximally with the radius and distally both with metacarpal I and II. The distal carpal is pentagonal in outline, dorsally convex, and articulates with the third metacarpal ventrally.

Metacarpal (FIGURE 11)—All five of the left metacarpals are preserved in relative articulation while the right metacarpals are preserved but disarticulated with each other. The description is based on the left metacarpals that are visible in ventral view. Proximal ends of the metacarpals are expanded and flattened to meet with the carpals. Metacarpal 1 is mediolaterally compressed whereas metacarpals 2-5 are well-preserved. All metacarpals are observable in ventral view. The second metacarpal is the longest, followed by the third and the fourth. The fifth is the shortest. The proximal ends of the metacarpals are blunt but expanded. The shafts are constricted with the shaft of metacarpal 3 being constricted most abruptly. The distal ends of the metacarpals are moderately expanded with ginglymoid articulations. On the distal ends, the lateral condyles are larger than the medial condyles, making the metacarpals medially oriented. A shallow, oval fossa exists between the distal condyles on the ventral surfaces of each distal metacarpal.

Carpal phalanges (FIGURE 11, Table 4)—The left carpal phalanges are preserved in articulation while the right metacarpals are preserved in disarticulation with each other. Hence, the description is based on the left carpal phalanges. The carpal phalanges are observable in lateral view. *Pulaosaurus*'s phalangeal formula is 2-3-4-3-2. The proximal-most phalanx of the digit 2 is the longest. Except for the unguals, the phalanges have ginglymoid articulations with each other. The unguals of manual digits 2 and 3 are claw-like, the ungual of manual digit 1 is subconical, and the unguals of manual digits 4 and 5 are blunt.

Ilium (FIGURE 12A and 12B, Table 5)— Only the right ilium is visible in IVPP V30936, which is preserved upside down. The ilium is composed of an elongate and tapering preacetabular process, the main body, and a dorsoventrally deep, rostrocaudally short postacetabular process. The ischial peduncle is also compressed and damaged, so the exact shape of the ischial peduncle is unknown. Similar to *Dryosaurus* and other neornithischians (Galton 1981; Han et al. 2018) , the preacetabular process is elongate, dorsoventrally narrow, and tapering. The preacetabular process is longer than the postacetabular process. The shape of the dorsal border is uncertain as it may be deformed. The acetabulum is rostrocaudally long and dorsoventrally narrow. On the rostrorodorsal corner of the acetabulum, there is a supraacetabular crest that extends moderately laterally, which is a synapomorphy only seen in early-diverging ornithischians including *Agilisaurus* (Peng 1992) and *Eocursor* (Butler 2010) but absent in Heterodontosauridae (Butler 2010). The pubic peduncle is rostroventrally oriented and tapers into a stout and subrectangular process in lateral view. The ischial peduncle is also anteroventrally oriented with a sub-oval articulate surface. A sub-oval and shallow brevis fossa facing ventrolaterally is present on the ventral margin of the postacetabular process, the width of which is about 8mm.

Ischium (FIGURE 12C and 12D)— The left ischium is preserved with its proximal end overlapped by a rib and the left femur distal end overlapped by the left tibia. The ischium is a rod-like element that contacts the pubis rostroventrally. The proximal end is divided into the pubic peduncle and the iliac peduncle. In lateral view, the pubic peduncle is anterodorsally oriented while the iliac peduncle is dorsally oriented. The former is rostrocaudally broader and longer than the latter. The concavity between both peduncles is dorsoventrally shallow and rostrocaudally broad. The obturator process is small and subrectangular. Similar to the ischium of *Gilmoresaurus mongoliensis* (AMNH FARB 30739) (Prieto-Márquez & Norell 2010), the obturator process is adjacent to the pubic peduncle and forms the obturator foramen with the pubis. The ischial shaft is in caudoventral orientation with a weakly expanded distal end.

Pubis (FIGURE 12C and 12D)— The left pubis is preserved with its proximal end overlapped by the right femur. It is a slender, mediolaterally thin, rod-like bone in caudoventral orientation. The length of the pubis shaft is subequal to the left ischium. It contacts the left ischium on the dorsal border of the shaft. Compared to the ischium, the pubic body is smaller. Due to overlapping, the prepubic process is not visible. A small bony sheet on the proximal pubic shaft indicates that the obturator opening in the pubis is a notch-shape and forms the obturator foramen with the ischium, as observed in *Haya* (Barta and Norell 2021), *Jeholosaurus* (Han et al. 2012), and *Thescelosaurus* (Brown 2011).

Femur (FIGURE 13, Table 6)— Both femora are preserved in medial view, so the greater and lesser trochanters are not visible. The distal end of the left femur is broken while the right femur is compressed with its fourth trochanter broken. The femur is robust with an elliptical cross-

section and is longer than the humerus. Cranially, the femoral head is perpendicular to the shaft which is bowed rostrally. The neck between the head and the shaft is not visible. The fourth trochanter is pendant-shaped and is located entirely on proximal half of the shaft. Although the distal end is broken, eroded, or compressed, the medial condyle of the distal femur is larger than the lateral condyle based on the morphology of the intercondylar groove. However, due to incomplete or deformed preservation of the distal end, the exact shape of the intercondylar fossa is unknown.

Tibia (FIGURE 14, Table 6)— Both tibiae are preserved. The left tibia is observable in lateral view while the right tibia is observable in medial view with both being elongated, rod-like bones. The tibia is longer than the femur with the ratio between the left tibia and the left femur being 1.10 while the ratio between the right tibia and femur is 1.22. Both ratios are similar to most early-diverging neornithischians (Barta and Norell 2021) and the ratio would become smaller in the more mature individuals (Barta and Norell 2021). Due to displacements of the left fibula and tarsals, the left tibia distal end overlapped by the fibula, thus obscuring the exact contacting relationship between the left tibia and the left fibula. In lateral view, the proximal end of the tibia extends rostrally. The cnemial crest is poorly developed and forms a short, anterolaterally extending ridge that is separated from the fibular condyle by the deep *incisura tibialis*. In lateral view, the fibular condyle is prominent and broader than the cnemial crest and extends lateral and proximally to form a proximodistally extending fibular crest. A tiny accessory condyle lies medial to the fibular condyle. The shaft of the tibia is elongated and the cross-section is elliptical in shape. The shaft expands moderately laterally to form a laterally convex ridge, the fibula eminence, to contact the fibula. In medial view, the distal end of the tibia expands

anteroposteriorly and in anterior view it expands mediolaterally to form the internal malleolus and the external malleolus. A shallow groove on the lateral side tibia separates the internal malleolus and the external malleolus. The external malleolus is obscured by the distal end of the left fibula while the medial malleolus on the distal end of the right tibia extends medially and anteriorly.

Fibula (FIGURE 14)— Both fibulae are preserved, but only the left one is observable in lateral view. The shaft of the observable fibula bows laterally. It lies lateral to the left tibia and is unfused with the left tibia. However, due to its displacement, the exact contact relationship between the fibula and the tibia is unknown. Compared to the left tibia, the length of the fibula is subequal to the length of the tibia while the fibula is much more gracile. In the lateral view, the proximal end of the fibula is narrow and extends anteromedially. From the midpoint to the distal third of the fibula, the shaft narrows. The distal end of the fibula gradually expands mediolaterally.

Astragalus and calcaneum (FIGURE 15, Table 7)—The astragalus and calcaneum of the right hindlimb are both preserved in anterior view. In anteroventral view, the right astragalus and calcaneum contact the distal end of the right tibia proximally and are tightly appressed without co-ossifying. The left astragalus is displaced, but it is visible in dorsal view. The astragalus and calcaneum are not fused to the distal end of tibia, similar to the condition seen in *Hypsilophodon* (Huxley 1870), *Orodromeus* (Scheetz 1999), *Haya* (Barta and Norell 2021), *Changchunsaurus* (Butler et al. 2011), *Jeholosaurus* (Han et al. 2012) , and *Oryctodromeus* (Krumenacker 2017).

The length of right astragalus ventral margin is about three times the length of right calcaneum ventral margin.

The astragalus is sub-rectangular in dorsal view and subtriangular in anterior view. Only the dorsally extending anterior face and the concave dorsal astragalus surfaces are visible. The anterior face of the astragalus forms the ascending process, which is represented as a subtriangular flange that extends dorsolaterally. This character is also seen in *Gilmoresaurus mongoliensis* (Ruiz-Omeñaca et al. 2012). The lateral margin of the astragalus is moderately convex to contact the calcaneum while the medial margin is concave and longer. A small oval articulating surface for the distal end of the fibula is located on the lateral margin, at the base of the ascending process. The proximal surface is dorsoventrally concave and forms an elliptical fossa that articulates with the tibia.

The calcaneum is square and is only visible in anterior view. Due to poor preservation, little information about the calcaneum is available.

Distal tarsals (FIGURE 15)— There are three distal tarsals preserved, which is the same number observed in *Heterodontosaurus* (Han et al. 2012). This is possibly an ornithischian plesiomorphy (Norman et al. 2011; Peng 1992). Both left and right distal tarsal 1 are preserved. The left distal tarsals 2 and 3 are preserved. Distal tarsal 1 is situated above metatarsal 1 and 2, distal tarsal 2 is situated above metatarsal 3 and 4, distal tarsal 3 is situated above metatarsal 4, and metatarsal 5 is dorsolaterally adjacent to distal tarsal 3. Distal tarsal 1 is a wedge-shaped element with a with a shallow fossa on its posterior surface. In posterodorsal view, distal tarsal 1 is an L-shaped element with a concave posterior surface. The dorsoventral height of distal tarsal 1 narrows from medial to lateral. In the posterior view, distal tarsal 2 is a block-like element, the mediolateral

width of which is much longer than its dorsoventral length. In the posterior view, distal tarsal 3 is a drop-shape element, the mediolateral width of which narrows from dorsal to ventral. A small foramen pierces the posterior surface of distal tarsal 3, a feature also observed in the posterior surface of distal tarsal 3 in *Jeholosaurus* (Han et al. 2012).

Metatarsals (FIGURE 15, Table 8)— The metatarsals are preserved as mostly complete elements. Right metatarsals I, II, III, and IV are visible in dorsal view while left metatarsals II, III, IV, and V are visible in ventral view. The proximal end of right metatarsal I is obscured by right metatarsal II. The midpoint and distal end of right metatarsal III are damaged and the distal half of right metatarsal IV is not preserved. Metatarsal V is broken. Only the proximal end is preserved and it is dorsolaterally appressed to distal tarsal 3. Metatarsals are almost in the same plane and appressed to each other throughout most of their lengths. As in most early-diverging neornithischians, metatarsal III is the longest and the stoutest with its length being about twice the length of metatarsal I. Metatarsal I is splint-like with its proximal end mediolaterally compressed and its shaft gradually widening proximodistally. In dorsal view, metatarsal II, III and IV are elongated, rod-like elements with the shafts proximodistally narrow and their distal ends moderately expanded. Shallow grooves, ginglymoid distal articular surfaces, and collateral ligament pits are present on the dorsal sides of distal ends. In the ventral view, medial condyles on the distal ends of metatarsal II, III and IV are larger than the lateral condyles.

Pedal phalanges (FIGURE 15)— Right pedal phalanx I, II, III, IV, and left phalanx II, III, IV are preserved. Due to poor preservation, it is uncertain whether phalanx V exists in this taxon. Based on the preserved digits, the phalange formula of *Pulaosaurus* is 2-3-4-5-?, which is similar to

most early-diverging ornithopods and early-diverging ceratopsians (Norman et al. 2004; You & Dodson 2004). Most of the left digits are observable in the dorsal view except for the ungual digits of phalanx II and IV which are visible in the lateral view. Most of the right digits are observable in the ventral view except for the ungual digits of phalanx II, III, and IV which are visible in the lateral view, and phalanx I which is visible in the dorsal view. Right phalanx I and IV, and left phalanx III are disarticulated from their metatarsals. Except for ungual digits, the proximal and distal ends are expanded while the shafts are constricted. In dorsal view, except for III-1 and III-2, all of the left digits have dorsal lips on proximal ends that articulate with the extensor grooves of the preceding phalange distal ends. Deep oval collateral ligament pits on distal ends of the dorsal surface of III-1 and III-2. On the ventral sides of the right phalanges, lateral and medial condyles on distal ends are subequal. The intercondylar grooves are deep. All ungual digits preserved are claw-like. The ungual of phalanx III is longest, followed by phalanx II, IV and I (Table 8). The ungual of phalanx II has the greatest curvature among the pedal unguals while others are subequal.

Gut contents (FIGURE 16)— On the posteroventral corner of the specimen's thoracic cavity, variegated impressions and flat pebbles can be found. The shape of these impressions is not the same as each other and the diameter of these impressions ranges from 3mm to 8mm, obviously larger than the diameter of the surrounding matrix. The exact number of these impressions is unknown as it is hard to distinguish them from the surrounding matrix and their outlines are ambiguous. Different morphological characters and scattered distribution among these impressions make it impossible to be ovarian follicles as ovarian follicles that are preserved in fossil enantiornithine specimens are circular and uniform in size (Bailleul 2020; O'Connor et al.

2014; Wang et al. 2016). These oval or elliptical marks and flat peddles are similar to impressions and cavities preserved in *Minmi* which are considered to be left by the displaced plant seeds (Molnar 2000).

Therefore, it is possible that the gut contents, which are likely plant seeds, are preserved in this specimen. However, the actual identity of this thoracic anomaly needs further study that is beyond the scope of this project.

Discussion

Phylogenetic Analysis

The first analysis produced 26901 most parsimonious trees of 1225 steps, a consistency index (CI) of 0.36, and a retention index (RI) of 0.71. The resolution of the strict consensus tree (FIGURE 17) is lower than the strict consensus tree by Han et al.(2018). Most clades supported by the previous strict consensus tree by Han et al. (2018), except for *Heterodontosauria*, *Pachycephalosauria*, *Iguanodontia*, *Neoceratopsia*, *Thyreophora*, are not supported by this strict consensus tree. Most early-diverging ornithischian taxa and early-diverging neornithischian taxa form a polytomy which makes it difficult to recover the phylogenetic relationships between early-diverging ornithischian and early-diverging neornithischian taxa, especially the phylogenetic position of *Pulaosaurus*. This is possibly because of the incompleteness of morphological characters found in unstable taxa, as the results and resolution of different phylogenetic analyses also vary with the sampling taxa, the sampling characters and the completeness of specimens (Brown et al. 2022).

The reduced consensus tree (FIGURE 18) is from 572 of the most parsimonious trees of 1210 steps, with a consistency index (CI) of 0.37 and a retention index (RI) of 0.71. The resolution of

the reduced consensus tree is much higher compared to the strict consensus tree. It supports most clades established by previous analyses (Han 2017). The reduced consensus tree recovers *Pulaosaurus* as one of the most early-diverging taxa of neornithischians together with *Agilisaurus*. This result agrees on the topology of previous analyses (Boyd 2015; Han et al. 2018; Dieudonné et al. 2020; Butler 2008).

The monophyly of Neornithischia is supported by the combination of following synapomorphies: buccal emargination on the maxilla; both of the quadrate condyles are subequal in size; the frontal does not participate in the supratemporal fenestra; a longitudinal ridge is present along the basioccipital; a well-developed coronoid process is present on the mandible; the external mandible fenestra is absent; the prepubic process is rod-like or dorsoventrally compressed. The combination of following synapomorphies support the monophyly of Cerapoda: enamel distribution on cheek teeth is asymmetric; the fossa trochanteris is modified into a distinct constriction that separates the head and the greater trochanter of the femur; the anterior trochanter of the femur is closely appressed to the greater trochanter. Based on these phylogenetic analysis results, as the anterior side of *Pulaosaurus* femur and the sacrum are not visible in this specimen, it is possible that *Pulaosaurus* could be a member of Cerapoda.

The second phylogenetic analysis based on Fonseca et al. (2024) dataset produces 200 most parsimonious trees of 7085 steps, a consistency index (CI) of 0.16, and a retention index (RI) of 0.62. The analysis recovers *Pulaosaurus* as the earliest-diverging neornithischian (FIGURE 19), a result similar to that produced by the first analysis.

Compared to later-diverging neornithischians, many plesiomorphies of Neornithischia are maintained in *Pulaosaurus*: there are five premaxillary teeth; the first maxillary tooth is close to

the posterior margin of the premaxilla; the maxillary teeth are subtriangular without ridges on the labial surfaces; the forelimbs are relatively shorter compared to the hindlimbs; there are five digits on the manus; the manual ungual digits are claw-like in shape; a supra-acetabular crest is located on the ilium; there are three unfused distal tarsals. The above listed plesiomorphies suggest *Pulaosaurus* is one of the earliest-diverging neornithischians. However, there are also derived synapomorphies ~~also~~ present in *Pulaosaurus*. For example, the posterolateral process of the *Pulaosaurus* premaxilla contacts the lacrimal, which is a synapomorphy of iguanodontian taxa such as *Dryosaurus*, *Iguanodon*, and *Ouranosaurus* (Norman et al. 2004); the frontals of *Pulaosaurus* are elongated and narrow which is more similar to early-diverging Ornithopoda taxa (Norman et al. 2004); the dorsal surface of the squamosal is flat and expands laterally, which is a synapomorphy of Pachycephalosauria and certain early-diverging ceratopsians such as *Yinlong* and *Huayangceratops* (You & Dodson 2004). These features suggest that mosaic acquisition of traits has occurred in the course of neornithischian evolution and some synapomorphies of later-diverging neornithischian taxa have appeared early in basal taxa. However, it should be noted that the results described herein are unstable due to the incompleteness of specimens and the lack of certain morphological characteristics. To solve the problems about early-diverging neornithischian phylogeny and recover the actual systematical position of *Pulaosaurus*, more complete specimens are required in future research.

New information of distribution of early-diverging neornithischian taxa

Taxa of early-diverging Neornithischia in China are mainly found in Middle Jurassic strata throughout southwestern China: *Agilisaurus* (Peng 1992), *Hexinlusaurus* (Barrett et al. 2005), *Yandusaurus* (He et al. 1984) are found in Lower Shaximiao Formation of Province Sichuan and *Sanxiasaurus* (Li et al. 2019) are found in Xintiangou Formation of Chongqing. *Agilisaurus* and

Hexinlusaurus are considered as the most early-diverging neornithischian taxa by most analyses (Boyd 2015; Dieudonné et al. 2020; Butler 2008) while *Sanxiasaurus* is the earliest record of Neornithischia in Asia (Li et al. 2019). The fossil record of Neornithischia in northern China is limited but includes: *Jeholosaurus* (Han et al. 2012) and *Changmiania* (Yang et al. 2020) found in Province Liaoning, *Changchunsaurus* (Jin et al. 2010) found in Province Jilin, all of which are from Lower Cretaceous strata (Yang et al. 2020; Liyong Jin et al. 2010; Han et al. 2012). There is a temporal and geographical gap between early-diverging taxa found in the Middle Jurassic strata of southwestern China and late-diverging taxa found in the Lower Cretaceous strata of northeastern China. The missing evolutionary link of Neornithischia is an early-diverging neornithischians in the Late Jurassic strata between southwestern and northeastern China. *Pulaosaurus* helps to serve as this ‘missing link’ as it is found in the Late Jurassic strata found in Province Hebei, which is between southwestern and northeastern China, helping fulfill the temporal and geographical gap. Moreover, ornithischian taxa that are present in Jurassic fauna, including the Shishugou and Shaximiao faunas, are missing in the Yanliao Biota (Liu 2022), which usually play the role of small and middle-bodied herbivores in the Mesozoic ecosystems. *Pulaosaurus* is found in the Tiaojishan Formation of Province Hebei where it is geographically situated between southwestern China and northeastern China. The most recent dating of the bottom of the Tiaojishan Formation in the Xuanhua District—Zhuolu County area of Zhangjiakou City, produces an age of approximately 164.3 ± 2.6 Ma (Bai et al. 2024). Alternatively, Wu et al. (2024) proposed an age range of 153 Ma to 162 Ma based on zircon U-Pb dating of the samples from borehole Yang D1 in Western Liaoning within the Tiaojishan Formation (Wu et al. 2024). Regardless of the dating study, the age of Tiaojishan Formation is reliably recovered as being deposited between the Late Middle Jurassic to the Early Late

Jurassic. As a result, the discovery of *Pulaosaurus* fills the temporal and geographic gap of neornithischian fossil record in China, and provides new information on the biodiversity of the Yanliao Biota. Huang (2015) proposed that there was geographical isolation between northeastern China and other regions during the Middle and Late Jurassic, which inhibited the dispersal of organisms between faunas and made the species composition of the Yanliao Biota unique from other Jurassic faunas (Liu 2022). However, the discovery of *Pulaosaurus* in Upper Jurassic Tiaojishan Formation also suggests that Asian neornithischian taxa originated near or within the Middle Jurassic-aged Sichuan Basin (Li et al. 2019) and spread to northern China during the Late Jurassic and the Early Cretaceous (Yang et al. 2020; Jin et al. 2010; Han et al. 2012). This indicates that the geographical isolation of the Yanliao Biota was not as large of a preventative faunal barrier between other regions as hypothesized and the biodiversity of the Yanliao Biota has been severely underestimated.

Hyolaryngeal apparatus and acoustic function of *Pulaosaurus*

The hyolaryngeal apparatus of Archosauria comprises the following elements: one basihyal, a pair of ceratohyals, one pair of ceratobranchials, one pair of cricoids, and one pair of arytenoids (Yoshida et al. 2023; Friedman et al. 2018; Hill et al. 2015) (Fig 20). Additionally, one procricoid, one pair of epibranchials, and one paraglossal are only present in Aves (Yoshida et al. 2023; Friedman et al. 2018; Hill et al. 2015). In extant reptiles, the hyolaryngeal apparatus elements are cartilaginous with the exception of the ossified ceratobranchials (Yoshida et al. 2023). In extant Aves, the ceratobranchials, the epibranchials, and the larynx are ossified (Yoshida et al. 2023). The hyolaryngeal apparatus plays a significant role in acoustic function, airway protection, respiratory modification, and circulation assistance in tetrapods (Kirchner 1993). However, few fossilized larynx elements have been found in non-avian reptile fossils

when compared to their significance in Archosauria evolution and ecology. In the case of the Dinosauria, most non-avian dinosaur specimens only preserve the first pair of rod-like ceratobranchials. Other hyolaryngeal elements preserved areas following. In *Carnotaurus*, *Microraptor*, *Confuciusornis*, the basihyal has been recovered (Bonaparte et al. 1990; Yoshida et al. 2023); the basihyal and ceratohyals are preserved in *Saichania chulsanensis* (Bonaparte et al. 1990; Yoshida et al. 2023); a pair of ceratobranchials and the epihyal are preserved in *Pinacosaurus granger* (Morschhauser 2013); the plate-like second pair of ceratobranchials is preserved in *Psittacosaurus mongoliensis* (Morschhauser 2013); the splint-like second pair of ceratobranchials is preserved in *Leptoceratops gracilis* (Morschhauser 2013); the tetradactyl first pair of ceratobranchials and the plate-like second pair of ceratobranchials are preserved in *Protoceratops andrewsi* (Morschhauser 2013); and the sigmoid ceratohyal and the basihyal are preserved in *Triceratops horridus* (Morschhauser 2013). Of note, *Pinacosaurus granger* was the first dinosaur whose larynx element has been reported (Yoshida et al. 2023). Here, *Pulaosaurus* is the second reported non-avian dinosaur specimen with a preserved larynx apparatus since *Pinacosaurus granger*, which demonstrates that an ossified hyolaryngeal apparatus has existed more taxonomically broadly among non-avian dinosaurs rather than just in ankylosaurids. *Pulaosaurus* also possesses a pair of rod-like ceratobranchials, which is also preserved in *Jeholosaurus* and many other dinosaur species (Friedman et al. 2018).

The arytenoids of *Pulaosaurus* are elongated with arytenoid processes. The length of the arytenoids is about 80% of the dentary length (Table 1). This structure is similar to the arytenoids preserved *Pinacosaurus*, but the arytenoid processes of *Pulaosaurus* are less prominent. In the case of acoustic function, the larynx functions differently between extant Aves and non-avian reptiles (Yoshida et al. 2023). In extant non-avian reptiles – such as turtles and

crocodiles – the larynx functions as the vocal source (Yoshida et al. 2023; Sacchi et al. 2004; Riede et al. 2015). During phonation, the glottis is almost closed by the larynx and its surrounding muscles and ligaments and then air pressure forces the glottis to open, making vocal folds to vibrate and phonate, thus producing sounds (Yoshida et al. 2023; Sacchi et al. 2004; Riede et al. 2015). In extant Aves, the vocal source is the syrinx, which is located at the inferior end of the trachea (Kingsley et al. 2018; Yoshida et al. 2023; Sober et al. 2019), which has also been found in the Mesozoic bird *Vegavis* (Clarke et al. 2016). The larynx serves as part of the vocal resonator tract to improve vocal efficiency and sounds are emitted through it, which requires control over the glottal opening (Kingsley et al. 2018; Yoshida et al. 2023; Sober et al. 2019). A longer arytenoid provides more attachment area for the dilator muscle, thus making its lever arm longer, which assists the arytenoid with the opening of the glottis (Yoshida et al. 2023). The arytenoids, with their prominent arytenoid processes and firm cricoid-arytenoid joints, allow for the horizontal rotation of the arytenoid to open and close the glottis (Yoshida et al. 2023). Such structures allow extant birds to communicate with more complicated sounds in broader vocal ranges and with greater efficiency (Yoshida et al. 2023; Sober et al. 2019). Yoshida et al (2023) proposed that the arytenoid length is positively correlated to the mandible width and there is a distinction in the relative arytenoid size compared to the mandible between the group of the larynx vocal source and the group of the larynx vocal modifier (Yoshida et al. 2023). Due to the compression of the *Pulaosaurus* mandible, the exact width of the mandible is unknown, so acoustic calculations of *Pulaosaurus* cannot be made. However, based on the cranial morphology, the *Pulaosaurus* mandible width is less than 8cm, which is the length of its skull. Therefore, the mandible width is shorter than the mandible width of *Pinacosaurus*, which is 10cm (Hill et al. 2015). *Pulaosaurus* arytenoids are subequal to those of *Pinacosaurus* in

length (Yoshida et al. 2023). Therefore, the relative arytenoid length of *Pulaosaurus* is larger than *Pinacosaurus*. *Pulaosaurus* is likely to possess a non-laryngeal vocal source similar to *Pinacosaurus* although the acoustic function is more primitive as its arytenoid processes are less prominent. The larynx of *Pulaosaurus* possibly functions to modulate and enhance sounds, thus allowing *Pulaosaurus* to communicate with more complicated sounds, similar to extant birds (Yoshida et al. 2023). This suggests that a non-laryngeal vocal source was present among the Dinosauria during at least the Late Jurassic, regardless of whether non-laryngeal vocalization is a plesiomorphy of Dinosauria or it is convergent in different dinosaur taxa (Yoshida et al. 2023). As the fossilized syrinx could be found in the Mesozoic bird *Vegavis* (Clarke et al. 2016), it is possible that a fossilized syrinx could be found in non-avian dinosaur specimens in the future.

Additionally, the preservation of ossified arytenoid in *Pulaosaurus* strongly suggests that ossification of the laryngeal apparatus has occurred not only in Ankylosauria and Aves (Yoshida et al. 2023) but also in Neornithischia (Fig 21). This indicates that ossified laryngeal apparatuses should have been phylogenetically widespread among non-avian dinosaurs. However, except for *Pulaosaurus* and *Pinacosaurus*, there are no other reports of ossified laryngeal apparatus preserved in non-avian dinosaur fossils. There are two possible explanations for this paucity of laryngeal anatomy within the non-avian dinosaur fossil record. Firstly, laryngeal elements are gracile elements that rarely preserve or are taphonomically destroyed prior to discovery and description. Secondly, it is possible that other ossified laryngeal elements have been preserved, but have been misidentified (Yoshida et al. 2023). For example, the cricoids and arytenoids of *Pinacosaurus* were originally incorrectly identified as the paraglossals and the first pair of ceratobranchials (Hill et al. 2015). As described above, there have been many reports that there are two pairs of ceratobranchials in many dinosaur taxa which are defined as

plate-like, splint-like, or tetraradiate (Morschhauser 2013). However, the second pair of ceratobranchials are lost in extant archosaurs. It is possible that hyolaryngeal elements preserved in many non-avian dinosaur specimens that are currently identified as ceratobranchials are, in fact, ossified laryngeal elements. Reanalysis of vocal anatomy within non-avian dinosaurs needs to be carried out to assess the accuracy of identification among curated specimens.

Rod-like ceratobranchials are observed in *Pulaosaurus*. The length of *Pulaosaurus* ceratobranchials is less than 50% of the dentary length and their relative length is subequal to those of *Jeholosaurus* (Barrett and Han 2009) but shorter than those of Paraves and quadrupedal ornithischians (Friedman et al. 2018). Elaborate ossified hyoid elements are typically observed in Aves, pterosaurs, and quadrupedal ornithischians such as ankylosaurids and hadrosauroids, which increases the mobility of the tongue and makes up for the diminished utility of forelimbs (Friedman et al. 2018). In pterosaurs, the ceratobranchials are elongated and fused (Friedman et al. 2018). In Aves, epibranchials arise to increase the overall length of the hyoid element. In quadrupedal ornithischians, the frequency of ossification of hyoid elements increases (Friedman et al. 2018). The elongation of the ceratobranchials supports the mobility of the avian tongue (Friedman et al. 2018), which is closely associated with the feeding and ecological radiation of Aves.

The short relative length of *Pulaosaurus*' ceratobranchials suggests that the tongue mobility of *Pulaosaurus* would have been limited. This may have been because *Pulaosaurus* was an obligate biped with its forelimbs used for food acquisition and processing. The limited tongue mobility and primitive tooth morphology of *Pulaosaurus* also indicate that the food intraoral processing of *Pulaosaurus* is less prominent than later-diverging ornithischian taxa (Friedman et al. 2018) and it could only feed on softer food.

Conclusions

Pulaosaurus qinglong gen. et sp. nov. is an early-diverging neornithischian species found in the Upper Jurassic Tiaojishan Formation of Province Hebei, China. A phylogenetic analysis places *Pulaosaurus* at the base of Neornithischia close to *Agilisaurus*, which is the earliest-diverging neornithischian. *Pulaosaurus* represents the first neornithischian found in the Yanliao Biota, and helps to fill the temporal and geographical gap in the distribution of Neornithischia within China. A pair of arytenoids are preserved in the *Pulaosaurus* holotype and represents the second case of an ossified laryngeal apparatus among non-avian dinosaurs. The arytenoids of *Pulaosaurus* indicates that ossified laryngeal apparatuses were present in Neornithischia, thus suggesting that the ossified laryngeal apparatus could be widespread across Dinosauria. As the morphology of *Pulaosaurus* arytenoids resembles the arytenoids of extant birds, it is possible for *Pulaosaurus* to have an avian-like vocalization.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Catherine Forster, Daniel Madzia and Filippo Bertozzo for critical and constructive reviews that drastically improved the quality of this manuscript, Hailong Zang for photographs, and Yihui Ke and Rui Pei for valuable advice on this project.

References

- Andrzejewski KA, Winkler DA, Jacobs LL. 2019. A new basal ornithopod (Dinosauria: Ornithischia) from the Early Cretaceous of Texas. *Plos One* 14(3): e0207935. 10.1371/journal.pone.0207935
- Bai C, Fan X, Li Z, Meng J, Zhu B. 2024. The first discovery of Middle Jurassic basalts in Northwestern Hebei Province and its geological significance. *Geological Review* 70(3):1127-1138.
- Bailleul AM, O'Connor J, Li Z, Wu Q, Zhao T, Monleon MAM, Wang M, Zheng X. 2020.

- 1208 Confirmation of ovarian follicles in an enantiornithine (Aves) from the Jehol biota using
- 1209 soft tissue analyses. *Communications Biology* 3(1). 10.1038/s42003-020-01131-9
- 1210 Barrett PM, Butler RJ, Knoll F. 2005. Small-bodied ornithischian dinosaurs from the Middle
- 1211 Jurassic of Sichuan, China. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 25(4):823-834.
- 1212 10.1671/0272-4634(2005)025[0823:Sodftm]2.0.Co;2
- 1213 Barrett PM, Han F. 2009. Cranial anatomy of *Jeholosaurus shangyuanensis* (Dinosauria:
- 1214 Ornithischia) from the Early Cretaceous of China. *Zootaxa* 513(2072):31-55.
- 1215 10.5281/zenodo.187105
- 1216 Barta DE, Norell MA. 2021. The osteology of *Haya griva* (Dinosauria: Ornithischia) from the
- 1217 Late Cretaceous of Mongolia. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 445(2021):1-
- 1218 111.
- 1219 Bertozzo F, Vecchia FMD, Fabbri M. 2017. The Venice specimen *Ouranosaurus nigeriensis*
- 1220 (Dinosauria: Ornithischia). *PeerJ* 5: e3403. 10.7717/peerj.3403Bonaparte JF, Novas FE,
- 1221 Coria RA. 1990. *Carnotaurus sastrei* Bonaparte, the horned, lightly built carnosaur from
- 1222 the Middle Cretaceous of Patagonia. *Contributions in science* 416:1-41.
- 1223 10.5962/p.226819
- 1224 Boyd CA. 2014. The cranial anatomy of the neornithischian dinosaur *Thescelosaurus neglectus*.
- 1225 *PeerJ* 2(13): e669. 10.7717/peerj.669
- 1226 Boyd CA. 2015. The systematic relationships and biogeographic history of ornithischian
- 1227 dinosaurs. *PeerJ* 3(suppl): e1523. 10.7717/peerj.1523
- 1228 Brown CM, Boyd CA, Russell AP. 2011. A new basal ornithomimid dinosaur (Frenchman
- 1229 Formation, Saskatchewan, Canada), and implications for late Maastrichtian ornithischian
- 1230 diversity in North America. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 163(4):1157-1198.

10.1111/j.1096-3642.2011.00735.x

Brown EE, Butler RJ, Barrett PM, Maidment SCR. 2022. Assessing conflict between early neornithischian tree topologies. *Journal of Systematic Palaeontology* 19(17):1183-1206.

10.1080/14772019.2022.2032433

Butler RJ. 2010. The anatomy of the basal ornithischian dinosaur *Eocursor parvus* from the lower Elliot Formation (Late Triassic) of South Africa. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 160(4):648-684. 10.1111/j.1096-3642.2009.00631.x

Butler RJ, Jin L, Chen J, Godefroit P. 2011. The postcranial osteology and phylogenetic position of the small ornithischian dinosaur *Changchunsaurus parvus* from the Quantou Formation (Cretaceous: Aptian–Cenomanian) of Jilin Province, north-eastern China. *Palaeontology* 54(3):667-683. 10.1111/j.1475-4983.2011.01046.x

Butler RJ, Upchurch P, Norman DB. 2008. The phylogeny of ornithischian dinosaurs. *Journal of Systematic Palaeontology* 6(1):1-40. 10.1017/S1477201907002271

Cincotta A, Pestchevitskaya EB, Sinita SM, Markevich VS, Debaille V, Reshetova SA, Mashchuk IM, Frolov AO, Gerdes A, Yans J, Godefroit P. 2019. The rise of feathered dinosaurs: Kulindadromeus zabaikalicus, the oldest dinosaur with ‘feather-like’ structures. *PeerJ* 7:e6239 <http://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.6239> Clarke JA, Chatterjee S, Li Z, Riede T, Agnolin F, Goller F,

Isasi MP, Martinioni DR, Mussel FJ, Novas FE. 2016. Fossil evidence of the avian vocal organ from the Mesozoic. *Nature* 538(7626):502-505. 10.1038/nature19852

Dieudonné PE, Cruzado-Caballero P, Godefroit P, Tortosa T. 2020. A new phylogeny of cerapodan dinosaurs. *Historical Biology* 33(10):2335-2355.

10.1080/08912963.2020.1793979

- 1254 Fonseca AO, Reid IJ, Venner A, Duncan RJ, Garcia MS, Müller RT. 2024. A comprehensive
1255 phylogenetic analysis on early ornithischian evolution. *Journal of Systematic*
1256 *Palaeontology* 22(1): 2346577. 10.1080/14772019.2024.2346577
- 1257 Friedman M, Li Z, Zhou Z, Clarke JA. 2018. Convergent evolution of a mobile bony tongue in
1258 flighted dinosaurs and pterosaurs. *Plos One* 13(6): e0198078.
1259 10.1371/journal.pone.0198078
- 1260 Galton PM. 1981. *Dryosaurus*, a hypsilophodontid dinosaur from the upper jurassic of north
1261 America and Africa postcranial skeleton. *Paläontologische Zeitschrift* 55(3-4):271-312.
- 1262 Galton PM, Upchurch P. 2004. Stegosauria. In: Weishampel DB, Dodson P, Osmólska H, eds.
1263 *The Dinosauria*. Second Edition. Berkeley: University of California Press, 343–362.
- 1264 Han F, Barrett PM, Bulter RJ, Xu X. 2012. Postcranial anatomy of *Jeholosaurus shangyuanensis*
1265 (Dinosauria: Ornithischia) from the Lower Cretaceous Yixian Formation of China.
1266 *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 36(6):1370-1395.
- 1267 Han F, Foster CA, Xu X, Clark JM. 2018. Postcranial anatomy of *Yinlong downsi*(Dinosauria:
1268 Ceratopsia) from the Upper Jurassic Shishugou Formation of China and the phylogeny of
1269 basal ornithischians. *Journal of Systematic Palaeontology* 16(14):1159-1187.
1270 10.1080/14772019.2017.1369185
- 1271 He X, Cai K, Scientific S. 1984. The Middle Jurassic Dinosaurian Fauna from Dashanpu,
1272 Zigong, Sichuan Vol. I The Ornithopod Dinosaurs.
- 1273 Hill RV, D'Emic MD, Bever GS, Norell MA. 2015. A complex hyobranchial apparatus in a
1274 Cretaceous dinosaur and the antiquity of avian paraglossalia. *Zoological Journal of the*
1275 *Linnean Society* 175:892-909. 10.1111/zoj.12293
- 1276 Huang D. 2015. Yanliao Biota and Yanshan Movement. *Acta Palaeontologica Sinica* 54(4):501-

- 1277 546. 10.19800/j.cnki.aps.2015.04.008
- 1278 Huxley TH. 1870. On *Hypsilophodon Foxii*, a new Dinosaurian from the Wealden of the Isle of
- 1279 Wight. *Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society* 26(1-2):3-12.
- 1280 Jin L, Chen J, Zan S, Butler RJ, Godefroit P. 2010. Cranial anatomy of the small ornithischian
- 1281 dinosaur *Changchunsaurus parvus* from the Quantou Formation (Cretaceous: Aptian–
- 1282 Cenomanian) of Jilin Province, northeastern China. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*
- 1283 30(1):196-214. 10.1080/02724630903412372
- 1284 Kingsley EP, Eliason CM, Riede T, Li Z, Hiscock TW, Farnsworth M, Thomson SL, Goller F,
- 1285 Tabin CJ, Clarke JA. 2018. Identity and novelty in the avian syrinx. *Proceedings of the*
- 1286 *National Academy of Sciences* 115(41):10209-10217. 10.1073/pnas.1804586115
- 1287 Kirchner JA. 1993. The vertebrate larynx: adaptations and aberrations. *The Laryngoscope*
- 1288 103:1197-1201.
- 1289 Krumeacker LJ. 2017. Osteology, phylogeny, taphonomy, and ontogenetic histology of
- 1290 *Oryctodromeus cubicularis*, from the middle Cretaceous (Albian-Cenomanian) of
- 1291 Montana and Idaho. Montana State University.
- 1292 Li N, Dai H, Tan C, Hu X, Wei Z, Lin Y, Wei G, Li D, Meng L, Hao B, You H, Peng G. 2019. A
- 1293 neornithischian dinosaur from the Middle Jurassic Xintiangou Formation of Yunyang,
- 1294 Chongqing, China: the earliest record in Asia. *Historical Biology* 33(7):1089-1102.
- 1295 10.1080/08912963.2019.1679129
- 1296 Liu F, Wu R, Han F. 2022. Vertebrate diversity of the Yanliao Biota and comparison with other
- 1297 biotas *Acta Palaeontologica Sinica* 61(1):88-106. 10.19800/j.cnki.aps.2020027
- 1298 Madzia D, Arbour VM, Boyd CA, Farke AA, Cruzado-Caballero P, Evans DC. 2021. The
- 1299 phylogenetic nomenclature of ornithischian dinosaurs. *PeerJ* 9:e12362. DOI

- 1300 10.7717/peerj.12362
- 1301 Maidment SCR and Porro LB. 2010. Homology of the palpebral and origin of supraorbital
- 1302 ossifications in ornithischian dinosaurs. *Lethaia* 43:95-111. Min H, Lee DG, Kim JK, Lim JD,
- 1303 Godefroit P. 2011. A new basal ornithopod dinosaur from the Upper Cretaceous of South Korea.
- 1304 *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie - Abhandlungen* 259(1):1-24. 10.1127/0077-
- 1305 7749/2010/0102
- 1306 Molnar RE, Clifford HT. 2000. Gut contents of a small ankylosaur. *Journal of Vertebrate*
- 1307 *Paleontology* 20(1):194-196. 10.1671/0272-4634(2000)020[0194:Gcoasa]2.0.Co;2
- 1308 Morschhauser EM, Lamana MC. 2013. A Reevaluation of the Hyoid Bones of *Protoceratops*
- 1309 *andrewsi* Granger and Gregory, 1923 (Ornithischia: Ceratopsia) and a Review of Hyoid
- 1310 Elements in Ornithischian Dinosaurs. *Annals of Carnegie Museum* 81(4):247-255.
- 1311 10.2992/007.081.0404
- 1312 Nesbitt SJ, Turner AH, Weinbaum JC. A survey of skeletal elements in the orbit of Pseudosuchia
- 1313 and the origin of the crocodylian palpebral. *Earth and Environmental Science*
- 1314 *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh* 103:365-381.
- 1315 10.1017/S1755691013000224. Norman DB, Crompton AW, Butler RJ, Porro LB, Charig
- 1316 AJ. 2011. The Lower Jurassic ornithischian dinosaur *Heterodontosaurus tucki* Crompton
- 1317 & Charig, 1962: cranial anatomy, functional morphology, taxonomy, and relationships.
- 1318 *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 163(1):182-276. 10.1111/j.1096-
- 1319 3642.2011.00697.x
- 1320 Norman DB, Sues HD, Witmer LM, Coria RA. 2004. Basal Ornithopoda. In: Weishampel DB,
- 1321 Dodson P, Osmólska H, eds. *The Dinosauria*. Second Edition. Berkeley: University of
- 1322 California Press, 393–412.

O'Connor JK, Zheng X, Wang X, Wang Y, Zhou Z. 2014. Ovarian follicles shed new light on dinosaur reproduction during the transition towards birds. *National Science Review* 1(1):15-17. 10.1093/nsr/nwt012

Pancioli E, Funston GF, Maidment SCR, Bulter RJ, Benton RBJ, Crawford BL, Fair M, Fraser NC, Walsh S. 2025. The first and most complete dinosaur skeleton from the Middle Jurassic of Scotland. *Earth and Environmental Science Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh* : 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1755691024000148>

Peng G. 1992. Jurassic Ornithopod *Agilisaurus louderbacki*(Ornithopoda: Fabrosauridae) from Zigong, Sichuan, China. *Vertebrae Palasiatica* 30(1):39-53.

Prieto-Márquez A, and Norell MA. 2010. Anatomy and Relationships of *Gilmoresaurus mongoliensis*(Dinosauria: Hadrosauroidea) from the Late Cretaceous of Central Asia. *American Museum Novitates* 3694:1-49. 10.1206/3694.2

Riede T, Li Z, Tokuda IT, Farmer CG. 2015. Functional morphology of the *Alligator mississippiensis* larynx with implications for vocal production. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 218(7):991-998. 10.1242/jeb.117101

Ruiz-Omeñaca JJ, Canudo JJ, Cuenca-Bescós G, Cruzado-Caballero P, Gasca JM, Moreno-Azanza M. 2012. A new basal ornithopod dinosaur from the Barremian of Galve, Spain. *Comptes Rendus Palevol* 11(6):435-444. 10.1016/j.crpv.2012.06.001

Sacchi R, Galeotti P, Fasola M, Gerzeli G. 2004. Larynx morphology and sound production in three species of Testudinidae. *Journal of Morphology* 261(2):175-183. 10.1002/jmor.10236

Scheetz RD. 1999. Osteology of *Orodromeus makelai* and the phylogeny of basal ornithopod dinosaurs. Montana State University.

- 1346 Sereno P. 1991. *Lesothosaurus*, “Fabrosaurids,” and the early evolution of Ornithischia. *Journal*
- 1347 *of Vertebrate Paleontology* 11(2):168-197. 10.1080/02724634.1991.10011386
- 1348 Sober S, Riede T, Thomson SL, Titze IR, Goller F. 2019. The evolution of the syrinx: An
- 1349 acoustic theory. *PLOS Biology* 17(2): e2006507. 10.1371/journal.pbio.2006507
- 1350 Wang Y, Wang M, O'Connor JK, Wang X, Zheng X, Zhang X. 2016. A new Jehol
- 1351 enantiornithine bird with three-dimensional preservation and ovarian follicles. *Journal of*
- 1352 *Vertebrate Paleontology* 36(2): e1054496. 10.1080/02724634.2015.1054496
- 1353 Winkler DA, Murray PA, Jacobs LL. 1997. A new species of *Tenontosaurus* (Dinosauria:
- 1354 Ornithopoda) from the Early Cretaceous of Texas. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*
- 1355 17(2):330-348.
- 1356 Wu B, Sun S, Zhang J, Zhou T, Zhang H, Cui X, Zhang Z, Sun Z. In-situ U-Pb dating,Hf isotope
- 1357 analyses of zircons,and geochemicalcharacteristics in the volcanic rocks of the Tiaojishan
- 1358 Formationin the Jinyang Basin,western Liaoning province. *North China Geology* 47(4):
- 1359 37-46.Xu X, Clark JM, Eberth DA, Currie PJ. 2022. The Shishugou Fauna of the
- 1360 Middle-Late Jurassic Transition Period in the Junggar Basin of Western China. *Acta*
- 1361 *Geologica Sinica - English Edition* 96(4):1115-1135. 10.1111/1755-6724.14996
- 1362 Yang Y, Wu W, Dieudonné PE, Godefroit P. 2020. A new basal ornithopod dinosaur from the
- 1363 Lower Cretaceous of China. *PeerJ* 8: e9832. 10.7717/peerj.9832
- 1364 Yoshida J, Kobayashi Y, Norell MA. 2023. An ankylosaur larynx provides insights for bird-like
- 1365 vocalization in non-avian dinosaurs. *Communications Biology* 6(1). 10.1038/s42003-023-
- 1366 04513-x
- 1367 You H, Dodson P. 2004. Basal Ceratopsia. In: Weishampel DB, Dodson P, Osmólska H, eds.
- 1368 *The Dinosauria*. Second Edition. Berkeley: University of California Press, 478–493.

1369 Zhou ZH, Wang Y. 2017. Vertebrate assemblages of the Jurassic Yanliao Biota and the Early
 1370 Cretaceous Jehol Biota: Comparisons and implications. *Palaeoworld* 26(2):241-252.
 1371 10.1016/j.palwor.2017.01.002
 1372

Figure 1

The photograph of the whole skeleton of *Pulaosaurus qinglongin* left lateral view (IVPP V30936)

Photograph provided by Hailong Zhang.



Figure 2

The skull of *Pulaosaurus qinglongin* left lateral view (IVPP V30936).

(A) Photograph. (B) Outline drawing Abbreviations: Adf-Anterior dentary foramen; Ang-Angular; Art-articular; Ary-arytenoid; A Int-Axial intercentrum; At Int-Atlantal intercentrum; Bo-Basioccipital; Bsp-Basisphenoid; Cera-Ceratobranchial; Cr-Cervical rib; Ecp-Ectopterygoid; Exo-Exoccipital; Fr-Frontal; J-Jugal; Jb-Jugal boss; Lcr-Lacrima; Ld-Left dentary; Lsp?-Laterosphenoid?; L spl-Left splenial; M-maxilla; Ms-the Meckelian sulcus; Na-Nasal; Nc-Nuchal crest; Nf-Nutrient foramina; Odp-Odontoid process; P-Pterygoid; Pa-parietal; Pal-Palatine; Pa p-Paraoccipital process; Pb-Palpebral; Po-Postorbital; PP-Pterygoid process of basisphenoid; Prd-Predentary; Prf-Prefrontal; Prm-Premaxilla; Sq-Squamosal; Q-Quadrate; Qj-Quadratojugal; Rd-Right dentary; R spl-right splenial; Spo-Supraoccipital; Sur-surangular; 3 rd C-the 3 rd cervical vertebra.

A



1 cm

B



1 cm


Figure 3

The photo of several skull elements in lateral view.


(A) The photograph of the visible part of the maxilla. (B) The photograph of the jugal. (C) The line drawing of the jugal. (D) The photograph of the quadratojugal. (E) The photograph of the pterygoid. (F) The line drawing of the pterygoid. (G) The photograph of partial braincase. (H) The line drawing of partial braincase.

Photograph provided by Hailong Zhang.


A



B




C




D




E



F



G



H




Figure 4

The teeth of IVPP V30936 under the microscope.

(A) The premaxillary teeth and the most anterior **cheek** teeth. (B) The anterior cheek teeth. (C) The middle cheek teeth. (D) The posterior cheek teeth.

Photograph provided by Yunfeng Yang.




Figure 5

Arytenoids and ceratobranchials of *Pulaosaurus qinglongin* laterodorsal view (IVPP V30936).

(A) The photograph of ceratobranchials and arytenoids. (B) The line drawing of ceratobranchials and arytenoids. Abbreviations: ap-arytenoid process; c-ceratobranchial; lw-laterodorsal wings of the arytenoids; mw-mediiodorsal wings of the arytenoids.

Photograph provided by Hailong Zhang.




Figure 6

Cervical Series of *Pulaosaurus qinglong*: (IVPP V30936). Atlas, axis and 3rd cervical visible in left lateral view; from 4th cervical to 9th cervical visible in dorsal view.

(A) The photograph of the cervical series. (B) The line drawing of the cervical series. Abbreviation: a int-axial intercentrum; cr-cervical rib; dia-diapophysis; ns-neural spine; od p-odontoid process; pa-parapophysis; pop-postzygapophysis; tp-transverse process.

Photograph provied by Hailong Zhang.

A



B




Figure 7

The visible dorsal vertebrae and the ossified tendons in lateral view.

(A) Dorsal ribs and ossified tendons in lateral view. (B) The photograph of four visible dorsal vertebrae. (C) The line drawing of four visible dorsal vertebrae. Abbreviations: C-Centrum; Dia-Diapophysis; NA- the Neural Arch; NS- the Neural Spine; Post- Postzygapophysis.

Photograph provided by Hailong Zhang.




B



1 cm

C



1 cm

Figure 8

Caudal vertebrae series of *Pulaosaurus qinglongin* left lateral view (IVPP V30936).

(A) The proximal caudal vertebrae. (B) The middle caudal vertebrae. (C) The distal caudal vertebrae.

Photograph provided by Hailong Zhang.




Figure 9

The pectoral girdle and the sternum of *Pulaosaurus qinglong*(IVPP V30936) in lateral view.

Photograph provided by Hailong Zhang.




Figure 10

The left humerus in lateral view.

Photograph provided by Hailong Zhang.




Figure 11

The left ulna, the left radius and the left manus in lateral view.

Abbreviations: DC-Distal Carpal, Int-Intermedium, R-Radiale, U-Ulnare, Mc I-Metacarpal I, Mc II-Metacarpal II, Mc III-Metacarpal III, Mc IV-Metacarpal IV, Mc V-Metacarpal V, I-1-Phalanx I-1, I-2-Phalanx I-2, II-1-Phalanx II-1, II-2-Phalanx II-1, II-3-Phalanx II-3, III-1-Phalanx III-1, III-2-Phalanx III-2, III-3-Phalanx III-3, III-4-Phalanx III-4, IV-1-Phalanx IV-1, IV-2-Phalanx IV-2, IV-3-Phalanx IV-3, V-1-Phalanx V-1, V-2-Phalanx V-2.

Photograph provided by Hailong Zhang.




Figure 12

The pelvic girdle in lateral view.


(A) The photograph of the left ilium in lateral view. (B) The line drawing of the left ilium in lateral view. (C) The photograph of the left pubis and the left ischium in lateral view, the missing part supplemented by the line drawing. (D) The CT **scanning** image of the left pubis and the left ischium. Abbreviations: bf-brevis fossa, bsopp-the bony sheet on the proximal pubis, ip-the ischial peduncle, isc-ischium, opi-the obturator process of the ischium, pop-the postacetabular process, prep-the preacetabular process, pup-the pubic peduncle, sc-the supra-acetabular crest. Photograph provided by Hailong Zhang.



1 cm



1 cm



1cm





Figure 13

The femora of *Pulaosaurus qinglong*(IVPP V30936).

(A) The left femur in medial view. (B) The right femur in medial view.

Photograph provided by Hailong Zhang.

A



B



Figure 14

The tibiae and the fibulae.

(A) The left tibia and the left fibula in lateral view. (B) The right tibia and the right fibula in medial view.

Photograph provided by Hailong Zhang.

A



B



Figure 15

The right and left astragali, the right and left pedes and the right calcaneum.

(A) The right astragalus and calcaneum in anterior view, right metatarsals in dorsal view and the phalanges in lateroventral view. (B) The left astragalus in dorsal view, the right metatarsals in ventral view and the right phalanges in lateral view. (C) The left calcaneum in anterior view. Abbreviations: ast-astragalus, cal-calcaneum, dt-distal tarsal, mt-metatarsal, I-1-phalanx I-1, I-2-phalanx I-2, II-1-phalanx II-1, II-2-phalanx II-2, II-3-phalanx II-3, III-1-phalanx III-1, III-2-phalanx III-2, III-3-phalanx III-3, III-4-phalanx III-4, IV-1-phalanx IV-1, IV-2-phalanx IV-2, IV-3-phalanx IV-3, IV-4-phalanx IV-4, IV-5-phalanx IV-5. Photograph provided by Hailong Zhang.




Figure 16

The gut contents preserved in IVPP V30936.

P-Pebbles; I-Impressions of possible plant seeds.

Photograph provided by Hailong Zhang.



Figure 17

The strict consensus tree from 26901 most parsimonious trees including 74 taxa and 380 characters generated by the analysis.

Nodes: 1-Ornithischia, 2-Heterodontosauria, 3-Thyreophora, 4-Pachycephalosauria, 5-Iguanodontia, 6-Neoceratopsia.




Figure 18

The reduced consensus tree from 572 most parsimonious trees including 70 taxa and 380 characters generated by the analysis.

Nodes: 1-Ornithischia, 2-Heterodontosauridae, 3-Genasauria, 4-Thyreophora, 5-Neornithischia, 6-Cerapoda, 7- Pachycephalosauria, 8-Ornithopoda, 9-Iguanodontia, 10-Ceratopsia, 11-Chaoyangsauridae, 12-Neoceratopsia.



Figure 19

The strict consensus tree generated by analysis 2 based on the character matrix dataset provided by Fonseca et al. (2024).




Figure 20

The hyoaryngeal apparatuses in different taxa of Archosauria.

□ A □ The line drawing of *Pinacosaurus grangeri*(IGM100/3186) hyolaryngeal apparatus based on the 3D reconstruction. (B) The line drawing of *Pulaosaurus qinglong*(IVPP V30936) hyolaryngeal apparatus. (C) The line drawing of *Nothoproctasp.*(AMNH6502) hyolaryngeal apparatus. (D) The line drawing of *Tomistoma schlegelii*(AMNH R113078) hyolaryngeal apparatus. All the specimens involved except for IVPP V30936 are from the supplementary materials provided by Yoshida et al. (2023) Abbreviations: AP-Arytenoid Process, Ary-Arytenoid, Bh-Basihyal, Cera-Ceratobranchial, Cr-Cricoid, Dlw-Dorsolateral wing, Dmw-Dorsomedial wing, Epi-Epibranchial, lw-the laterodorsal wing, mw-the mediodorsal wing.

Illustration credit: Junki Yoshida, Ph.D.







Figure 21

Evolution of hyolaryngeal elements in Archosauria. The figure is adapted from illustration by Tatsuya Shinmura (Yoshida et al. 2023).

The figure is adapted from illustration by Tatsuya Shinmura (Yoshida et al. 2023). Yellow-arytenoid, green-first pair of ceratobranchials, black-second pair of ceratobranchials, red-cricoid, white-basihyal, blue-procrucoid, orange-paraglossal, grey-epibranchials. Numbers represent the ancestral state of characteristics: 1-Laryngeal vocal source, 2-Loss of second ceratobranchials, 3-Procrucoid, 4-Arytenoid process, 5- Arytenoid process, 6-Ossified larynx, 7-Immobile lungs, 8-Procrucoid, 9-Paraglossal.

Illustration credit: Junki Yoshida, Ph.D.




Table 1 (on next page)

Measurements of *Pulaosaurus qinglong* specimen V30936 skull elements.

1

Skull length	82mm
Skull height	42mm
Orbit maximal diameter	30.14mm
Preorbital skull length	30.84mm
Supratemporal fenestra length	15.24mm
Frontal length	36.92mm
Frontal minimal width	14.60mm
Dentary length	39.48mm
Right arytenoid length	31.9mm
Right arytenoid maximal width	5.8mm
Left arytenoid length	34.3mm
Left arytenoid maximal width	6.2mm
Right ceratobranchial length (visible part)	13.8mm
Left ceratobranchial length (visible part)	10.0mm

2

Table 1 Measurements of *Pulaosaurus qinglong* specimen V30936 skull elements

3

Table 2(on next page)

Measurements of *Pulaosaurus qinglong* specimen V30936 coracoids.

Right coracoid length in medial view	19.86mm
Right coracoid maximal width in medial view	16.68mm
Left coracoid length in lateral view	18.52mm
Left coracoid maximal width in lateral view	?

1

Table 3(on next page)

Measurements of *Pulaosaurus qinglong* specimen V30936 cervical series.

Axial length	8.50mm
3 rd cervical centrum length	9.04mm
4 th cervical centrum length	12.76mm
5 th cervical centrum length	?
6 th cervical centrum length	15.62mm
7 th cervical centrum length	?
8 th cervical centrum length	?
9 th cervical centrum length	?

1

Table 4(on next page)

Measurements of *Pulaosaurus qinglong* V30936 forelimbs.

Left humerus length in anterior view	50.16mm
Distal end width of left humerus in anterior view	10.86mm
Proximal end width of left humerus in anterior view	?
Shaft minimal width of left humerus in anterior view	6.04mm
Left ulna length in anterior view	40.86mm
Distal end width of left ulna in anterior view	7.30mm
Proximal end width of left ulna in anterior view	10.12mm
Shaft minimal width of left ulna in anterior view	4.92mm
Left radius length in anterior view	39.24mm
Distal end width of left radius in anterior view	6.76mm
Proximal end width of left radius in anterior view	6.24mm
Shaft minimal width of left radius in anterior view	3.40mm
Right ulna length in posterior view	43.24mm
Distal end width of right ulna in posterior view	5.4mm
Proximal end width of right ulna in posterior view	9.24mm
Shaft minimal width of right ulna in posterior view	4.04mm
Right radius length in posterior view	41.8mm
Distal end width of right radius in posterior view	6.7mm
Proximal end width of right radius in posterior view	5.48mm

Right radius shaft minimal width in posterior view	3.58mm
Left manual portion length	32.5mm
Longest left manual digit(2 nd digit) length	29.76mm
Left metacarpal I length	7.62mm
Left metacarpal II length	13.08mm
Left metacarpal III length	12.19mm
Left metacarpal IV length	8mm
Left metacarpal V length	5.36mm

1

Table 5(on next page)

Measurements of *Pulaosaurus qinglong* specimen V30936 pelvic girdle.

Total length of the ilium	69.84mm
Depth of the blade above the acetabulum	18.88mm
The width between the rostral margin of the pubic peduncle and the caudal margin of the ischial peduncle at the base	23.62mm
Preacetabular process length	28.08mm
Postacetabular process length	21.90mm
Postacetabular process depth	21.16mm

1

Table 6(on next page)

Measurements of *Pulaosaurus qinglong* V30936 femora, tibiae and fibula.

Left femur length in medial view	88.52mm
Proximal end width of left femur in medial view	14.04mm
Distal end width of left femur in medial view	14.68mm
Shaft minimal width of left femur in medial view	11.80mm
Right femur length in medial view	80.94mm
Proximal end width of right femur in medial view	?
Distal end width of right femur in medial view	16.24mm
Shaft minimal width of right femur in medial view	?
Left tibia length in anterior view	97.84mm
Proximal end width of left tibia in anterior view	20.02mm
Distal end width of left tibia in anterior view	?
Shaft minimal width of left tibia in anterior view	10.94mm
Right tibia length in medial view	98.76mm
Proximal end width of right tibia in medial view	20.44mm
Distal end width of right tibia in medial view	16.98mm
Shaft minimal width of right tibia in medial view	9mm
Left fibula length in anterior view	94.90mm
Proximal end width of left fibula in anterior view	2.68mm
Distal end width of left fibula in anterior view	7.3mm

Shaft minimal width of left fibula in anterior view	1mm
--	-----

1

Table 7 (on next page)

Measurements of the right astragalus, the right calcaneum and the left astragalus

The length of right astragalus ventral margin	18.42 mm
The length of right calcaneum ventral margin	6.40 mm
The height of the ascending process on the anterior side of the right astragalus	4.58 mm
The length of left astragalus ventral side's anterior margin	11.10 mm
The length of left astragalus ventral side's posterior margin	11.83 mm
The height of the ascending process on the anterior side of the left astragalus	4.10 mm
The anteroposterior width of the left atragalus	9.16 mm

1

Table 8(on next page)

Measurements of *Pulaosaurus qinglong* V30936 metatarsals and pedal digits.

Left/Right	digit	perspective	Length/mm	Proximal end width/mm	Distal end width/ mm
Right	Metatarsal I	anterior	27.68	?	5.64
Right	I-1	anterior	16.23	5.36	3.61
Right	I-2	anterior	10.06	4.66	/
Right	Metatarsal II	anterior	47.10	6.58	4.86
Right	II-1	anterior	16.82	7.44	4.96
Right	II-2	lateral	14.5	6.46	4.7
Right	II-3	lateral	12.9	5.28	/
Right	Metatarsal III	anterior	53.86	5.6	11.6
Right	III-1	posterior	15.68	11.42	8.04
Right	III-2	posterior	12.28	8	6.78
Right	III-3	posterior	12.34	8.12	7.22
Right	III-4	posterior	15.66	6.22	/
Right	Metatarsal IV	anterior	?	6.42	?
Right	IV-1	anterior	?	?	5.48
Right	IV-2	lateral	8.86	6.44	4.22
Right	IV-3	lateral	7.96	5.6	5.02
Right	IV-4	lateral	6.6	5.16	3.74
Right	IV-5	lateral	11	4.32	/
Left	Metatarsal II	posterior	47.28	8.32	7.42
Left	II-1	posterior	16.46	5.72	5.32
Left	II-2	anterior	?	8.2	?
Left	II-3	anterior	12.8	3.22	/
Left	Metatarsal III	posterior	54.36	6.92	9.52
Left	III-1	anterior	18.06	8.32	7.62
Left	III-2	anterior	14.71	8.28	7.36
Left	III-3	lateral	12.72	6.5	5.5
Left	III-4	lateral	16.36	5.41	/
Left	Metatarsal IV	posterior	66.04	8.52	5.56
Left	IV-1	anterior	11.53	7.52	6.08
Left	IV-2	anterior	8.9	5.53	5.38
Left	IV-3	anterior	8.6	4.92	4.26

Left	IV-4	lateral	7.32	4.48	4.28
Left	IV-5	lateral	12.04	5.90	/

1