

Reviewer Comment For Author: Association of red blood cell distribution width- platelet ratio with mortality after coronary artery bypass grafting

**Support criticisms with evidence from the text or from other sources. With specific suggestions on how to improve the manuscript**

- line 31: The cut-off value of RPR 0.10679 has been exaggerated to be of five digits, I think this is a mathematical manipulation. I suggest to be 2 or 3 digits' maximum

- line 126-127: 3.58% of 1258 patients is the incidence of in-hospital mortality is very low in my opinion. It needs more comments

- line 96: RDW and platelet count, were they measured by the same call counter? Machines vary in results and they need strict control

Line 77 and 78: The study was done in one medical center. I suggest to do it on patients from different medical centers

line 227-229: I stress that RPR needs further investigation

line 243: The RPR mechanism on prognosis for patients undergoing CABG has not been discovered clearly. Thus, I consider this study is just theoretical and mathematical

The abstract without keywords

**Comment on language and grammar issues**

The writing style is poor. It is not written according to scientific writing. All the parts of the manuscript need revision. I highly recommend that authors read some well-written clinical trials and revise the manuscript accordingly

**Organize by importance of the issues, and number your points**

The manuscript should be written according to CONSORT, including the diagrams.