

Dear Authors,

I hope you are doing well. I appreciate the opportunity to review your manuscript and commend you on its novelty and overall quality. While there are no major issues, incorporating more wet lab methods in future studies could further enhance the impact and value of your work. I have noted a few points requiring clarification or improvement below.

Yours sincerely,  
Ali Khalafizadeh

<b>Tips</b>	<b>Description and Example</b>
<b>Please make all fonts similar (“Times” - 12)</b>	Formulas have different fonts and formations. Please make them same.
<b>Please proof the referencing of the affiliation of authors</b>	You wrote “Qiang Lv <sup>12</sup> , Qingzhu Yang <sup>3</sup> , Hongsheng Chen <sup>12</sup> ” however, it must be “Qiang Lv <sup>1,2</sup> , Qingzhu Yang <sup>3</sup> , Hongsheng Chen <sup>1,2</sup> ” – Separate the number of references – Please rewrite it.
<b>A suggestion: Make an abbreviation section</b>	I suggest dedicating a part of your manuscript to an abbreviation list. This will allow you to write all your abbreviations in one place, making your paper easier to read.
<b>Please just mention the complete form of your abbreviations in the first place that can be read in your text and just write the abbreviation of those words in the following.</b>	For example, you mentioned the complete form of “COAD” in so many places in your manuscript. Just mention the complete form in Abstract and the first place you will encounter it in the rest of the manuscript. (For example: in Introduction in line 56 you mentioned complete form of COAD and you wrote it again in line 81. So just the first one is enough.)
<b>Typo in reference to a method name “RT-qPCR”</b>	In lines 41 and 42 you wrote qRT-PCR which is not common, and I think is wrong. So please change it to “RT-qPCR” which the complete form is “Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction”

Subheadings must be bold, followed by a period, and start a new paragraph e.g.	<p>1. In the Abstract, please write your titles and followed by a period, For example: <b>Backgrounds.</b> or <b>Methods.</b> not <b>Backgrounds:</b> or <b>Methods:</b></p> <p>2. <b>Result</b> in line 269 must be <b>Results</b></p>
Write paragraphs more coherently.	For example, in the Introduction part, lines 77 and 78 (the location that you changed the paragraph), the topic had been suddenly changed to lncRNAs from COAD. I suggest that add some sentences to the previous paragraph to mention the role of lncRNAs in COAD in some papers and then talk about lncRNAs which can make your paragraphs more coherent.
Please change the name of the method to the right one and make it clear. (RT-qPCR)	In the Methods section in line 205, you mentioned “Quantitative Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (qRT-PCR)”. This is not common. Based on your description, it is better to mention “two-step RT-qPCR”. Because you made cDNA separately and then used it for qPCR which makes it a two-step RT-qPCR or two step Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction.
Please change the name of the method and make it clear. (Transfection)	I suggest that change the title of the method in line 235 to the “RNA Transfection by Lipofectamine” which makes it more clear.
Change the way of referencing Tables and Figures	Please check the journal requirements and proof the way of your reference in text to Tables and Figures: “ <i>Table</i> ”, “ <i>Fig.</i> ”
More information about the IC50	In Results section, in line 402 the “... Sensitivity to Targeted Therapy Drugs” section, Please mention the amount of IC50 before and after the test in your text to show the effect of your components quantitatively for drug sensitivity.
Different fonts and typo for some references	For example, in the Discussion section in line 453 the type of your reference has been changed and you wrote it superscript. Please make it like others.
A suggestion about the last paragraph of the Discussion section.	It is better to write this paragraph more optimistically and in a more positive way. For example, try to write like this: “For future research it is better to focus more on migrasomes and checking more variable lncRNAs which can increase the valuation of this particle in future cancer research ....” . You can make it more positive with writing with this attitude.

<b>Conclusion section is simple and short.</b>	Please write more detail and describe more about your results in this section.
<b>“Acknowledgements” miswriting.</b>	Journal guideline: “Do not acknowledge funders here, there is a separate Funding Statement for that. Everyone named in the acknowledgments section must be informed that they are named.”
<b>Write some sentences about your Figure 1 in Fig section</b>	Please describe the Fig 1 under its title in figs part and make it more clear.
<b>Typo issue in Figures description</b>	For example, in description of Fig 3, you mentioned the reference of figures different in comparison with fig 2. Please make it all like fig 2. and reference the figure in the beginning of your sentence. (A. .... , B. ....).