REVIEWER'S COMMENTS

06/02/2025

Manuscript Title: In vitro feasibility of bovine whole blood and commercially prepared canine

whole blood and packed red blood cells as a source of xenotransfusion in

swine (Sus scrofa domestica)

Journal: PeerJ

ID: 109345

Received on: 28-01-2025

Date of reporting: 07-02-2025

Reviewer comments:

I. BASIC REPORTING

- a. The English language used is in correct grammatical form with easy-to-understand sentences
- b. The topic is well referenced with majority of the references cited from the last 15 years and a good number of references of the last five years i.e. after 2020 year
- c. The standards of PeerJ are met and there is clarity in scientific expression
- d. The tables are numbered correctly and the data is explained well in the text of the manuscript
- e. The following points may be addressed
 - i) Line 93 Deschamps 2007b is missing in Reference section
 - ii) Line 114 J. S. Smith et al remove initials while citing in text
 - iii) Line 172, 226, 227, 230, 364, 396 remove initials from cited references
 - iv) Line 312 Two/6 --- change to 2/6
 - v) Line 349 Weltman, Fletcher and Rogers 2014 correct to Weltman et al, 2014
 - vi) Line 351 in vitro write in italics in vitro
 - vii) Line 352 Salazar 2024 missing in references list
 - viii) Line 485 488 Diaz et al 2020 Missing in text of manuscript
 - ix) Line 551 552 Swindle 2007 Missing in text of the manuscript
 - x) Table 3 In reference cited Newman ALW 2014 remove initials
 - xi) Figure 1 Write correct table number (Table 2 instead of Table 1)
- f. Line 358, 359 minor crossmatch between porcine red blood cells and bovine plasma was always incompatible in our study Clarify !! Haemolysis and agglutination???
- g. It is suggested that few images of Quick slide test & SSA may be included.
- h. Table 2 mention Grade 5 and Grade 6 that has been depicted in the Figure 1
- i. Grading in Figure 1 and Table 2 do not match.

II. Experimental Design

- a. Experiment is well planned. It has ample field importance in day-to-day veterinary practice. The investigation and methods employed are of high technical standards.
- b. The research does fall within the scope of PeerJ

- III. The conclusions are well drawn and supports the findings reported.
- IV. Plagiarism Checker X reports 10 % similarity in the content of the manuscript, which is acceptable.
- V. The following review article may be also be referred and used

 Aravindh S and Ninan Jacob (2021). Blood transfusion in animals: A review. Journal of
 Entomology and Zoology Studies 9(5):357-361

In my opinion the article can be published in PeerJ after attending to the minor corrections indicated in the Reviewer's comments

Dr. Ninan Jacob Professor and Head, Department of Veterinary Physiology, RIVER, Puducherry – 605009 INDIA