

A study for female tennis players: Speedcourt training is effective on improving agility and change-of-direction (#109410)

1

First revision

Guidance from your Editor

Please submit by **23 Mar 2025** for the benefit of the authors .



Structure and Criteria

Please read the 'Structure and Criteria' page for guidance.



Custom checks

Make sure you include the custom checks shown below, in your review.



Author notes

Have you read the author notes on the [guidance page](#)?



Raw data check

Review the raw data.



Image check

Check that figures and images have not been inappropriately manipulated.

If this article is published your review will be made public. You can choose whether to sign your review. If uploading a PDF please remove any identifiable information (if you want to remain anonymous).

Files

Download and review all files from the [materials page](#).

1 Tracked changes manuscript(s)

1 Rebuttal letter(s)

6 Figure file(s)

6 Table file(s)

1 Raw data file(s)

! Custom checks

Human participant/human tissue checks



Have you checked the authors [ethical approval statement](#)?



Does the study meet our [article requirements](#)?



Has identifiable info been removed from all files?



Were the experiments necessary and ethical?



Structure and Criteria

Structure your review

The review form is divided into 5 sections. Please consider these when composing your review:

1. **BASIC REPORTING**
2. **EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN**
3. **VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS**
4. General comments
5. Confidential notes to the editor

 You can also annotate this PDF and upload it as part of your review

When ready [submit online](#).

Editorial Criteria

Use these criteria points to structure your review. The full detailed editorial criteria is on your [guidance page](#).

BASIC REPORTING

-  Clear, unambiguous, professional English language used throughout.
-  Intro & background to show context. Literature well referenced & relevant.
-  Structure conforms to [Peerj standards](#), discipline norm, or improved for clarity.
-  Figures are relevant, high quality, well labelled & described.
-  Raw data supplied (see [Peerj policy](#)).

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

-  Original primary research within [Scope of the journal](#).
-  Research question well defined, relevant & meaningful. It is stated how the research fills an identified knowledge gap.
-  Rigorous investigation performed to a high technical & ethical standard.
-  Methods described with sufficient detail & information to replicate.

VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS

-  **Impact and novelty is not assessed.** Meaningful replication encouraged where rationale & benefit to literature is clearly stated.
-  All underlying data have been provided; they are robust, statistically sound, & controlled.
-  Conclusions are well stated, linked to original research question & limited to supporting results.



The best reviewers use these techniques

Tip

Example

Support criticisms with evidence from the text or from other sources

Smith et al (J of Methodology, 2005, V3, pp 123) have shown that the analysis you use in Lines 241-250 is not the most appropriate for this situation. Please explain why you used this method.

Give specific suggestions on how to improve the manuscript

Your introduction needs more detail. I suggest that you improve the description at lines 57- 86 to provide more justification for your study (specifically, you should expand upon the knowledge gap being filled).

Comment on language and grammar issues

The English language should be improved to ensure that an international audience can clearly understand your text. Some examples where the language could be improved include lines 23, 77, 121, 128 – the current phrasing makes comprehension difficult. I suggest you have a colleague who is proficient in English and familiar with the subject matter review your manuscript, or contact a professional editing service.

Organize by importance of the issues, and number your points

1. Your most important issue
2. The next most important item
3. ...
4. The least important points

Please provide constructive criticism, and avoid personal opinions

I thank you for providing the raw data, however your supplemental files need more descriptive metadata identifiers to be useful to future readers. Although your results are compelling, the data analysis should be improved in the following ways: AA, BB, CC

Comment on strengths (as well as weaknesses) of the manuscript

I commend the authors for their extensive data set, compiled over many years of detailed fieldwork. In addition, the manuscript is clearly written in professional, unambiguous language. If there is a weakness, it is in the statistical analysis (as I have noted above) which should be improved upon before Acceptance.

A study for female tennis players: Speedcourt training is effective on improving agility and change-of-direction

Zhihui Zhou^{Equal first author, 1, 2}, Jiawei Wang^{Equal first author, 3}, Hao Wang², Guo Ru^{Corresp., 4}, Fanhui Kong^{Corresp. 5}

¹ Anhui Science and Technology University, Anhui, China

² Beijing Sport University, Beijing, China

³ Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China

⁴ Wenzhou Business College, Zhejiang, China

⁵ Fudan University, Shanghai, China

Corresponding Authors: Guo Ru, Fanhui Kong

Email address: xinchenxi@sufe.edu.cn, 2025241020@bnu.edu.cn

Is this the only shortcoming? As far as I know little in research on behalf of speedcourt should not be emphasised only in this case.

Abstract

Objective: The aim of the present paper was to determine the impact of Speedcourt training on agility and change-of-direction (COD) in female tennis players, and to research the relevance between agility and lower limbs unilateral explosive power (UEP). **Despite extensive research on agility training, limited studies have explored these effects specifically in female athletes, necessitating this investigation.**

Method: 22 female tennis players underwent SpeedCourt training for 6 weeks, respectively executed random sequence shuttle run training (RS group, N=11, age: 22.36 ± 1.21 years) and fixed sequence shuttle run training (FS group, N=11, age: 22.27 ± 1.27 years). The spider run, T-drill, reactive agility (RA) and triple crossover hop (TCH) players before and after intervention were measured.

Results: The two-way repeated measures analysis of variance showed significant improvements in spider run ($p < 0.001$, $\eta^2 = 0.95$), T-drill ($p < 0.001$, $\eta^2 = 0.94$) and RA ($p < 0.001$, $\eta^2 = 0.96$). The RS group demonstrated significantly greater improvements in RA compared to the FS group, with statistical significance ($p < 0.05$, $\eta^2 = 0.184$). And agility related tests showed moderate to strong correlations with unilateral explosive power.

Conclusion: Six-week Speedcourt training can effectively enhance the agility and change-of-direction of female tennis players. Incorporating lower limb explosive exercises into agility-specific training may further enhance agility improvements in female tennis players.

A Study for Female Tennis Players: Speedcourt Training is Effective on Improving Agility and Change-of-direction

Zhihui Zhou^{1,2}, Jiawei Wang³⁺, Hao Wang², Guo Ru^{4,*}, Fanhui Kong^{5,**}

¹ Anhui Science and Technology University, Anhui, China

² Beijing Sport University, Beijing, China

³ Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China

⁴ Wenzhou Business College, Zhejiang, China

⁵ Fudan University, Shanghai, China

⁺Equal first author

* Correspondence: Guo Ru. Wenzhou Business College. PC:325035. Zhejiang, China. Email:

xinchenxi@sufe.edu.cn;

** Correspondence: Fanhui Kong. Fudan University. PC:200433. Shanghai, China. Email:

2021241020@bsu.edu.cn

Abstract

Objective: The aim of the present paper was to determine the impact of Speedcourt training on agility and change-of-direction (COD) in female tennis players, and to research the relevance between agility and lower limbs unilateral explosive power (UEP). Despite extensive research on agility training, limited studies have explored these effects specifically in female athletes, necessitating this investigation.

Method: 22 female tennis players underwent SpeedCourt training for 6 weeks, respectively executed random sequence shuttle run training (RS group, N=11, age: 22.36 ± 1.21 years) and fixed sequence shuttle run training (FS group, N=11, age: 22.27 ± 1.27 years). The spider run, T-drill, reactive agility (RA) and triple crossover hop (TCH) players before and after intervention were measured.

Results: The two-way repeated measures analysis of variance showed significant improvements

in spider run ($p<0.001$, partial $\eta^2=0.95$), T-drill ($p<0.001$, partial $\eta^2=0.94$) and RA ($p<0.001$, partial $\eta^2=0.96$). The RS group demonstrated significantly greater improvements in RA compared to the FS group, with statistical significance ($p<0.05$, partial $\eta^2=0.184$). And agility related tests showed moderate to strong correlations with unilateral explosive power.

Conclusion: Six-week Speedcourt training can effectively enhance the agility and change-of-direction of female tennis players. Incorporating lower limb explosive exercises into agility-specific training may further enhance agility improvements in female tennis players.

Keywords: Speedcourt; agility; change-of-direction; female tennis player

Introduction

Tennis is a high-intensity, intermittent sport that demands a combination of sport-specific technical proficiency and a high degree of various physical components[1]. Agility is a complex composite ability, which encompasses the following aspects: the capacity to swiftly alter body position and direction during movement, the ability to rapidly transition between actions, and the central nervous system's capability to quickly respond to external stimuli, which is to say, the ability to change direction and reactive agility[2]. Tennis requires players to perform many change-of-direction (COD) over the course of a match[3]. At a high level of tennis, players make about 2-4 COD per rally [4][5], and more than 100 COD per set[6]. At the same time, most of the rallies were performed around the baseline, and lateral and multi-directional moves occurred more often than straight moves [7], thus suggesting that players often make sharp COD to return to the center of the court after hitting the ball back to the opposing side [8]. In other words, rapidly stop, go, and COD speed constitute the major performance determinants in tennis [9]. The ability to COD is characterized by a change in the direction of movement that is already known in advance, it is planned, and the player does not need to respond to a certain stimulus [10]. In tennis, however, players must exhibit COD in response to external stimuli, such as the ball's trajectory, the opponent's movements, and other court dynamics. These instances of COD are typically reactive and not pre-planned. [11][12]. The capacity to swiftly respond to external stimuli with suitable

actions or reactions during both training and competition is referred to as reactive agility [13]. Reactive agility (RA) includes cognitive processing, observation skills, and decision-making factors [14]. Studies have shown that COD and RA alone represent different motor abilities [15], and COD cannot be directly equated with RA, but are completely independent abilities [16][17].

The Speedcourt instrument is designed for testing and training speed, agility, coordination, COD and cognition, and has the most advanced speed-sensitive training programs. It can design different tests and training programs for different sports. The reliability and effectiveness of the Speedcourt have been demonstrated [18], and it was determined that the Speedcourt training regimen was more effective than conventional methods in enhancing COD and RA abilities [19]. Studies have shown that Speedcourt has achieved good results in improving the COD and RA of male collegiate tennis players [20]. A research reported that female players made COD more than 400 times per match during the Australian Open (2016-2018) [21]. The abilities to COD and RA are also crucial for female tennis players. However, in the existing research, compared with the male group, there are few studies on female tennis players [22]. Current research exhibits a pronounced gender disparity in investigating COD and agility development, with predominant focus on male tennis athletes and a paucity of studies addressing female counterparts[23][24][25][26]. Furthermore, while empirical evidence has established the efficacy of Speedcourt training systems in enhancing COD and agility among teamwork and badminton athletes[27][28], its specific adaptation and impacts on female tennis players remain scientifically underexplored. Studies have shown that unilateral explosive power (UEP) is an important factor affecting agility and COD in tennis players, but the correlation between the three is controversial[29][30]. Therefore, the aim of this study is to assess the efficacy of Speedcourt training in enhancing agility (COD and RA) among female tennis players, as well as to explore the correlation between agility (COD and RA) and UEP. We hypothesize that Speedcourt training will significantly improve COD and RA, with the RS group exhibiting greater improvements compared to the FS group.

Materials and Methods

Participants

Referencing prior research[20], 22 female tennis players were randomly allocated to either the random sequence shuttle run training group (RS group, N=11, mean age: 22.36 ± 1.21 years, mean training experience: 6.64 ± 0.64 years) or the fixed sequence shuttle run training group (FS group, N=11, mean age: 22.27 ± 1.27 years, mean training experience: 6.86 ± 0.60 years) using a random number table. Participant details are presented in Table 1. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) absence of lower limb injuries; (2) no history of medication or surgery within the past 6 months; (3) training experience ranging from 6 to 8 years; (4) an International Tennis Number (ITN) score of 5 or higher; (5) a minimum attendance rate of 95%; (6) participants hadn't previous agility-specific training. Participants were instructed to maintain their usual lifestyle and physical activity levels, and to refrain from participating in any other experiments during the intervention. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to the study. Ethical approval for this research was granted by the Ethics Committee of Beijing Sport University.

Procedures

Testing Procedure

The testing procedures were conducted rigorously both Pre-intervention and post-intervention. Before each test, participants engaged in a normalized warm-up (10 minutes).

The reliability and validity of T-drill test (Figure 1.) have been established in a previous study[31]. The Smartspeed photoelectric gate (Australia) was used for testing. The photoelectric gate was adjusted to the single turn-back time (point A). The athlete stood at point A, moved to point B (about 5.5 m) and touched the bottom of the B cone barrel with his right hand, and moved to the left side and touched the bottom of the C cone barrel with his left hand (about 4.1 m). After that, slide 8.2 m to the right and touch the bottom of the D cone with your right hand. Then slide 4.1m to the left and touch the bottom of the B cone with your left hand. Finally, the athlete backs off and crosses cone barrel A at the finish line. Take the best score in three tests, accurate to 0.01s.

Previous studies have demonstrated that the spider run test is effective for evaluating tennis-specific COD speed[32]. The test player is at the middle point of the court bottom line, and place

a racket at the middle point, based on the tennis bottom line to the service line, the two sides of the tennis court singles line as the boundary, in accordance with the direction of the counterclockwise position on each T point. At the beginning of the test, the test players took the ball back to the racket one by one, and recorded the time spent in the whole return process. The test score was the time when the last ball was put into the racket. In case of running wrong route, dropping the ball, slipping, etc., during the test, the test was repeated once. Each participant underwent three attempts, with only the highest score being recorded for analysis. The layout of the test is illustrated in Figure 2.

The RA test had been demonstrated good to excellent reliability[33]. And the test was conducted on the Speedcourt in this study. The layout of the test is illustrated in Figure 3. Point 5 is the start and end point of each repeat sprint, and the sprint is carried out according to the random green light signal on the screen. Each green signal was accompanied by the interference signal of yellow light. The testing protocol commences when the participant initiates movement from Point 5 and terminates upon their return to the same point following completion of shuttle sprints traversing all eight remaining designated markers. The total reaction time was recorded by Speedcourt system. The experimental protocol required participants to complete three maximal-effort trials and retained the highest score. After each sprint, participants had a rest period of more than 30 seconds to ensure complete recovery before starting the next sprint at full capacity.

The triple crossover hop (TCH) for distance test was utilized to measure unilateral explosive power (UEP) [34][35]. The layout of the test is illustrated in Figure 4. Participants were required to execute three successive hops to cover the maximum distance possible in a forward direction (all on one limb, without pauses between hops except for the final landing), while crossing over a custom-made mat that is 15 cm wide, ensuring not to touch the mat. A trial was deemed successful if the participant landed controllably on the last hop. Each leg's test was conducted three times, with a 1-minute rest interval between attempts.

Training Procedure

All training sessions in this study were conducted using the Speedcourt system (Globalspeed GmbH, Germany). This apparatus consists of a computer connected to a TV screen and radar

sensors positioned beneath the device to detect a 3-by-3 grid. The grid is composed of squares, each measuring 40-by-40 cm, evenly spaced across a 5.5 m × 5.5 m court. Participants view a digital depiction of the court on the display. During the test, individual squares illuminate on the screen, indicating to the participant where to run next. Upon touching the lit square, another square lights up on the screen, signaling the next destination.

Existing evidence demonstrates that a six-week targeted agility training intervention elicits statistically significant improvements in athletes' COD and agility performance[36]. The experimental intervention was carried out three times a week for a period of six weeks, with a 48-hour rest between each session. Each session began with a normalized warm-up (10 minutes). The training intensity for both groups increased progressively on a weekly basis: during the 1st and 2nd weeks, they completed 15 sessions (3 times × 5 sets); in the 3rd and 4th weeks, they did 20 sessions (4 times × 5 sets); and in the 5th and 6th weeks, they performed 25 sessions (5 times × 5 sets). There was a 30-second rest between each repetition and a 5-minute break between sets. The training plan is shown in Table 2. In each repeated sprint, the two groups adopted a 15-second fixed duration mode, the instrument adopts a 3*3 (a total of 9 points) site setting, and point 5 is set as the starting and ending point. Every time the subjects start from point 5 and sprint to any point with green light, they have to return to point 5 again to sprint next point with green light. Fixed sequence group complete 15-second repeated sprints in a fixed sequence according to 5-1-5-2-5-3-5-4.....; Random sequence group complete 15-second repeated sprints in a random sequence according to 5- (1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9 any point) -5- (1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9 any point) -..... The training process is shown in Figure 5. Upon completing the training sessions described, participants took a 10-minute break. Throughout the intervention, they were mandated to put forth their best effort in every session. The RPE scale was used for physiological monitoring, and the intensity reached grade 8-9.

Statistical analysis

A two-way repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out to evaluate the effect of the time (before and after intervention) and the effect of time-group interaction. The

normality of distributions was verified using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Furthermore, Pearson correlation analyses were conducted to assess the relationships between T-drill, spider run, RA and TCH. Correlation coefficients were categorized as weak (0.1 – 0.3), moderate (0.4 – 0.7), and strong (>0.7) based on established criteria. All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS22.

Results

Effects of Speedcourt training on the T- drill test of female tennis players

As shown in Table 3 and Figure 6, the two-way repeated measures results revealed a significant main effect of time on the T-drill performance ($F=349.47$, $p<0.001$, partial $\eta^2=0.94$). Moreover, there was no observed group main effect ($p=0.60>0.05$) and group $\times$ time interaction effect ($p>0.05$).

Effect of Speedcourt training on the spider run test of female tennis players

As presented in Table 4 and Figure 6, the two-way repeated measures results highlighted a notably significant main effect of time on the spider run test ($F=363.29$, $p<0.001$, partial $\eta^2=0.95$). Moreover, there was no observed group main effect ($p=0.96>0.05$) and group $\times$ time interaction effect ($p>0.05$).

Effect of Speedcourt training on the reactive agility test of female tennis players

As shown in Table 5 and Figure 6, the two-way repeated measures revealed a highly significant main effect of time on the RA test, with a large effect size ($F=458.36$, $p<0.001$, partial $\eta^2=0.96$). Furthermore, there was a significant group $\times$ time interaction effect observed between the RS and FS groups, indicating different responses to the intervention over time ($F=16.08$, $p=0.001$, partial $\eta^2=0.45$).

Due to the presence of time $\times$ group interaction effects, interaction and simple effects were further analyzed. The analysis of results showed that the simple effect of group was not significant in the pre-test ($p>0.05$), In the post-test analysis, the simple effect of group was found to be

statistically significant ($F=4.50$, $p=0.047<0.05$, partial $\eta^2=0.184$).

Correlation analysis

Table 6 displayed the correlations in three variables. The spider run test showed a strong correlation with the T-drill ($r=0.790$), a moderate correlation with reactive agility (RA) ($r=0.530$), and a moderate correlation with total court coverage (TCH) for both legs ($r=0.654$, $r=0.658$). The T-drill had a moderate correlation with RA ($r=0.548$) and a moderate to strong correlation with TCH ($r=0.683$, $r=0.696$). Reactive agility was moderately correlated with TCH ($r=0.543$, $r=0.585$), and there was a strong correlation between the TCH of the right leg and the left leg ($r=0.788$).

Discussion

The findings indicated that both groups experienced improvements in T-drill, spider run, and reactive agility (RA) following the intervention. When comparing the groups, the RS group demonstrated a more significant enhancement in RA compared to the FS group. Correlation analysis revealed that the spider run test had a strong correlation with the T-drill, and moderate correlations with RA and total court coverage (TCH). The T-drill also showed moderate correlations with RA and TCH. Additionally, RA exhibited a moderate correlation with TCH, and there was a strong correlation between the TCH of the right and left legs.

In this research, the T-drill was employed as a secondary measure to validate COD, while the spider run, a standard assessment tool for athletic COD within the International Tennis Number (ITN) test, was the primary measure of COD[20]. The outcomes indicated that both the spider run test and T-drill scores for the RS and FS groups increased significantly after the intervention compared to pre-intervention levels, with no significant differences between the two groups. Born[19] et al. found Speedcourt sprint training can significantly improve the Illinois test in elite soccer player. Another study [37] reported significant enhancements in COD test performance following eight weeks of multi-directional training. Furthermore, a separate study noted improvements in COD speed for both groups after three weeks of engaging in multi-directional sprinting, utilizing both random and fixed routes [20]. Consistent with the results of this study, the Speedcourt training improved the COD speed of the subjects, and the enhancement in COD

performance may be attributed to neural adaptations and improvements in motor unit recruitment[38]. The central nervous system of the subjects developed adaptations to the rapid-onset change-of-direction pattern[27]. In addition, the improvement effect observed in the study did not show any significant differences between the two groups, as neither the T-drill nor the spider run test involved decision-making factors [34], and COD tasks are typically closed skills involving pre-planned movements, which may contribute to the similarity in improvement across both groups[39].

The study showed that both groups experienced significant improvements in RA following the exercise intervention, with the RS group exhibiting a more pronounced enhancement in RA compared to the FS group. Although no previous studies have explored the effects of Speedcourt training on the RA of female tennis players, studies have found that after elite female soccer players perform agility sprints with different schemes based on Speedcourt equipment, the reactive time of subjects has been improved[27]. Chaouachi[40] et al. found significant improvements in sprint, COD, and reactive agility in football players through 6 weeks of multi-directional sprint training. It can be seen that the current findings in this study demonstrate congruence with prior investigations in this domain. The enhancement of RA primarily hinged on improvements in perception and reaction time to specific external stimuli, rather than on the actual speed of movement[41]. RA is traditionally considered an integral component of physical performance. However, emerging evidence suggests that RA may be more strongly influenced by cognitive parameters than by physical attributes. Specifically, RA demonstrates a higher correlation with response time and decision-making time—both cognitive metrics—compared to traditional physical performance measures such as sprint speed, COD speed, or other physical parameters[42]. Based on these factors recent research suggested combining sport-relevant motor and cognitive functions in dual-task approaches to enhance ecological validity and increase transfer effects[43].

The correlation analysis results of this study indicated that the spider run test had a strong correlation with the T-drill ($r=0.790$), and moderate correlations with reactive agility (RA) ($r=0.530$) and total court coverage (TCH) for both legs ($r=0.654$, $r=0.658$). The T-drill showed

moderate correlations with RA ($r=0.548$) and TCH ($r=0.683$, $r=0.696$). Additionally, RA had moderate correlations with TCH ($r=0.543$, $r=0.585$), and there was a strong correlation between the TCH of the right leg and the left leg ($r=0.788$). Previous studies[44][45] have shown a moderate correlation between TCH and COD, and a moderate correlation between RA and pre-planned COD. The study believes that both the spider run and T-drill are pre-planned COD tests, so there is a strong correlation between them, while the RA test contains more complex situations: visual perception, body movements control in space, coordination and so on. It is the cause that between RA and COD were only moderately correlated. A study has suggested that unilateral exercises may impose demands akin to those found in every COD maneuver [46]. Consequently, it is plausible that unilateral actions, such as unilateral jumps or power movements (horizontal, lateral, or vertical), may exhibit stronger correlations with COD. This aligns with the findings of the current study. For effective change of direction, an acceleration and propulsion phase are necessary, which involves ground contact times longer than 250 milliseconds and significant angular displacement between joints. It is thus anticipated that the long stretch-shortening cycle plays a more decisive role in COD. As the TCH represents a long stretch-shortening cycle action that necessitates time to generate force for propulsion, strong associations between COD and TCH are expected [47]. Studies have demonstrated that the asymmetry in bilateral lower limb strength is correlated with COD ability to varying degrees, and this correlation also exhibits gender differences[48][49]. Associations between the asymmetry in bilateral lower limb strength and COD performance were moderate in male tennis players, but for female athletes, the associations were almost exclusively statistically non-significant. Compared with male athletes, female athletes rely more heavily on symmetrical bilateral strength to enhance COD efficiency. Therefore, there is no difference in the correlation between the dominant and non-dominant legs and COD performance for female athletes[50].

Conclusion

Six-week Speedcourt training can effectively enhance the agility and change-of-direction of female tennis players. And incorporating lower limb explosiveness exercises in agility-specific

266 training may have a greater improving effect on the agility of female tennis players.

267 **Acknowledgments**

268 The authors thank all the subjects for their efforts, and the authors declare no conflict of
269 interest.

270 **Author contributions**

271 Conceptualization, Z.Z.; methodology, J.W. and G.R.; software, Z.Z. F.K. and H.W.;
272 validation, Z.Z. and J.W.; formal analysis, Z.Z. and H.W.; investigation, F.K. and Z.Z.; resources,
273 Z.Z. and H.W.; data curation, F.K. and G.R. writing—original draft preparation, F.K.; writing—
274 review and editing, Z.Z. and G.R.; visualization, F.K. and H.W.; supervision, Z.Z.; project
275 administration, G.R.; funding acquisition, F.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published
276 version of the manuscript.

277 **References**

- 278 [1] Fernandez-Fernandez J, Sanz-Rivas D, Sanchez-Muñoz C, Pluim BM, Tiemessen I, Mendez-
279 Villanueva A. A comparison of the activity profile and physiological demands between advanced
280 and recreational veteran tennis players. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2009;23(2):604-610.
281 doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e318194208a
- 282 [2] Xitang Zhao, Chunlin Ge, Ping Sun. Definition and Classification of Sport Agility. *Journal of*
283 *Wuhan Institute of Physical Education.* 2012, 46 (08): 92-95.
284 doi:10.15930/j.cnki.wtxb.2012.08.009.
- 285 [3] Giles B, Peeling P, Reid M. Quantifying change of direction movement demands in
286 professional tennis matchplay. *J Strength Cond Res* 23: 45–53, 2021
- 287 [4] Murias JM., Lanatta D., Arcuri CR., Laiño FA. (2007). Metabolic and functional responses
288 playing tennis on different surfaces. *Strength Cond. J.* 21, 112–117. doi: 10.1519/00124278-
289 200702000-00021

- 290 [5] Fernandez-Fernandez J, Mendez-Villanueva A, Fernandez-Garcia B, Terrados N. Match
291 activity and physiological responses during a junior female singles tennis tournament. *Br J*
292 *Sports Med* 41: 711–716, 2007.
- 293 [6] Giles B, Peeling P, Reid M. 2024. Quantifying change of direction movement demands in
294 professional tennis matchplay: an analysis from the Australian Open Grand Slam. *Journal of*
295 *Strength & Conditioning Research* 38(3):517–525 DOI 10.1519/JSC.0000000000003937.
- 296 [7] Pereira TJ, Nakamura FY, de Jesus MT, Vieira CL, Misuta MS, de Barros RM & Moura F.
297 Analysis of the distances covered and technical actions performed by professional tennis players
298 during official matches. *J Sports Sci* 35: 361–368, 2017.
- 299 [8] Palut Y, Zanone PG. A dynamical analysis of tennis: Concepts and data. *J Sports Sci* 23:
300 1021–1032, 2007.
- 301 [9] Fernandez-Fernandez J, Ulbricht A, Ferrauti A. 2014. Fitness testing of tennis players: how
302 valuable is it? *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 48(Suppl 1):i22–i31 DOI 10.1136/bjsports-
303 2013-093152.
- 304 [10] Munivrana G, Jelaska I, Tomljanović M. Design and Validation of a New Tennis-Specific
305 Reactive Agility Test-A Pilot Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022;19(16):10039.
306 Published 2022 Aug 15. doi:10.3390/ijerph191610039
- 307 [11] O' Donoghue P, Ingram B. A notational analysis of elite tennis strategy. *J Sports Sci*.
308 2001;19(2):107-115. doi:10.1080/026404101300036299
- 309 [12] Cooke K, Davey PR. Tennis ball diameter: the effect on performance and the concurrent
310 physiological responses. *J Sports Sci*. 2005;23(1):31-39. doi:10.1080/02640410410001730052
- 311 [13] Sheppard JM, Young WB. Agility literature review: classifications, training and testing. *J*
312 *Sports Sci*. 2006;24(9):919-932. doi:10.1080/02640410500457109
- 313 [14] Sekulic D, Pehar M, Krolo A, Spasic M, Uljevic O, Calleja-González J, Sattler T. Evaluation
314 of Basketball-Specific Agility: Applicability of Preplanned and Nonplanned Agility

- 315 Performances for Differentiating Playing Positions and Playing Levels. J Strength Cond Res.
316 2017 Aug;31(8):2278-2288. doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000001646
- 317 [15]Čoh M, Vodičar J, Žvan M, Šimenko J, Stodolka J, Rauter S, Maćkala K. Are Change-of-
318 Direction Speed and Reactive Agility Independent Skills Even When Using the Same Movement
319 Pattern? J Strength Cond Res. 2018 Jul;32(7):1929-1936. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000002553
- 320 [16]Young WB, Dawson B, Henry GJ. Agility and Change-of-Direction Speed are Independent
321 Skills: Implications for Training for Agility in Invasion Sports[J]. International Journal of Sports
322 Science & Coaching, 2015, 10(1):159-169. doi:10.1260/1747-9541.10.1.159
- 323 [17]Nimphius S, Callaghan SJ, Bezodis NE, & Lockie RG. Change of Direction and Agility
324 Tests: Challenging Our Current Measures of Performance[J]. Strength & Conditioning Journal,
325 2017, 40(1):1. doi:10.1519/SSC.0000000000000309
- 326 [18]P Düking, Born DP, Sperlich B. The SpeedCourt: Reliability, Usefulness and Validity of a
327 New Method to Determine Change-Of-Direction Speed[J]. International Journal of Sports
328 Physiology and Performance, 2016, 11(1):130-134. doi:10.1123/ijsp.2015-0174.
- 329 [19]Born DP, Zinner C, Düking P, Sperlich B. Multi-Directional Sprint Training Improves
330 Change-Of-Direction Speed and Reactive Agility in Young Highly Trained Soccer Players[J].
331 Journal of sports science & medicine, 2016,15(2):314-319. PMID: 27274670
- 332 [20]Zhou Z, Xin C, Zhao Y, Wu H. The effect of multi-directional sprint training on change-of-
333 direction speed and reactive agility of collegiate tennis players. PeerJ. 2024;12:e18263.
334 Published 2024 Oct 18. doi:10.7717/peerj.18263
- 335 [21]Giles B, Peeling P, Reid M. Quantifying Change of Direction Movement Demands in
336 Professional Tennis Matchplay. An Analysis from the Australian Open Grand Slam. J Strength
337 Cond Res. Oct 12. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000003937.
- 338 [22]Pluim BM, Jansen MGT, Williamson S, Berry C, Camporesi S, Fagher K, Heron N, van
339 Rensburg DCJ, Moreno-Pérez V, Murray A, O'Connor SR, de Oliveira FCL, Reid M, van Reijen
340 M, Saueressig T, Schoonmade LJ, Thornton JS, Webborn N, Ardern CL. Physical Demands of

- 341 Tennis Across the Different Court Surfaces, Performance Levels and Sexes: A Systematic
342 Review with Meta-analysis. *Sports Med.* 2023;53(4):807-836. doi:10.1007/s40279-022-01807-8
- 343 [23]Morais JE, Kilit B, Arslan E, Bragada JA, Soylu Y, Marinho DA. Effects of On-Court
344 Tennis Training Combined with HIIT versus RST on Aerobic Capacity, Speed, Agility, Jumping
345 Ability, and Internal Loads in Young Tennis Players. *J Hum Kinet.* 2024; 95:173-185. Published
346 2024 Dec 6. doi:10.5114/jhk/189691.
- 347 [24]Lopez-Samanes A, Del Coso J, Hernández-Davó JL, Moreno-Pérez D, Romero-Rodriguez
348 D, Madruga-Parera M, Muñoz A, Moreno-Pérez V. Acute effects of dynamic versus foam rolling
349 warm-up strategies on physical performance in elite tennis players. *Biol Sport.* 2021;38(4):595-
350 601. doi:10.5114/biol sport.2021.101604
- 351 [25]Sinkovic F, Novak D, Foretic N, Kim J, Subramanian SV. The plyometric treatment effects
352 on change of direction speed and reactive agility in young tennis players: a randomized
353 controlled trial. *Front Physiol.* 2023;14:1226831. Published 2023 Aug 8.
354 doi:10.3389/fphys.2023.1226831
- 355 [26]Morais JE, Kilit B, Arslan E, Soylu Y, Neiva HP. Effects of a 6-week on-court training
356 program on the International Tennis Number (ITN) and a range of physical fitness characteristics
357 in young tennis players. *Front Sports Act Living.* 2024; 6:1304073. Published 2024 May 30.
358 doi:10.3389/fspor.2024.1304073.
- 359 [27]Raeder C, Kämper M, Praetorius A, Tennler JS, Schoepp C. Metabolic, cognitive and
360 neuromuscular responses to different multidirectional agility-like sprint protocols in elite female
361 soccer players - a randomised crossover study. *BMC Sports Sci Med Rehabil.* 2024;16(1):64.
362 Published 2024 Mar 6. doi:10.1186/s13102-024-00856-y
- 363 [28]Li Q, Ding H. Construction of the structural equation model of badminton players' variable
364 direction ability and its enlightenment to sports training. *Ann Palliat Med.* 2021;10(4):4623-
365 4631. doi:10.21037/apm-21-644

- 366 [29]Munivrana, G., Filipčić, A., & Filipčić, T. (2015). Relationship of Speed, Agility,
367 Neuromuscular Power, and Selected Anthropometrical Variables and Performance Results of
368 Male and Female Junior Tennis Players. *Collegium antropologicum*, 39 Suppl 1, 109–116.
- 369 [30]Hernández-Davó JL, Loturco I, Pereira LA, Cesari R, Pratdesaba J, Madruga-Parera M,
370 Sanz-Rivas D, Fernández-Fernández J. Relationship between Sprint, Change of Direction, Jump,
371 and Hexagon Test Performance in Young Tennis Players. *J Sports Sci Med*. 2021;20(2):197-203.
372 Published 2021 Mar 5. doi:10.52082/jssm.2021.197.
- 373 [31]Pauole, K., Madole, K.D., Garhammer, J.J., Lacourse, M.G., & Rozenek, R. (2000).
374 Reliability and Validity of the T-Test as a Measure of Agility, Leg Power, and Leg Speed in
375 College-Aged Men and Women. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 14, 443–450.
- 376 [32]Department of Youth Sports, General Administration of Sport of China.Syllabus of Chinese
377 youth tennis teaching and training[M]. Beijing Sport University Press,2012.
378 ISBN:9787564411466
- 379 [33]Büchel D, Gokeler A, Heuvelmans P, Baumeister J. Increased Cognitive Demands Affect
380 Agility Performance in Female Athletes - Implications for Testing and Training of Agility in
381 Team Ball Sports. *Percept Mot Skills*. 2022 Aug;129(4):1074-1088. doi:
382 10.1177/00315125221108698. Epub 2022 Jun 15. PMID: 35703458; PMCID: PMC9301166.
- 383 [34]Sagat P, Bartik P, Štefan L, Chatzilelekas V. Are flat feet a disadvantage in performing
384 unilateral and bilateral explosive power and dynamic balance tests in boys? A school-based
385 study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2023;24(1):622. Published 2023 Jul 31. doi:10.1186/s12891-
386 023-06752-9
- 387 [35]Chmielewski T, Obermeier M, Meierbachtol A, Jenkins A, Stuart M, Sikka R, Tompkins M.
388 Advanced Neuromuscular Training Differentially Changes Performance on Visuomotor Reaction
389 Tests and Single-leg Hop Tests in Patients with ACL Reconstruction. *Int J Sports Phys Ther*.
390 2024;19(11):1324-1332. Published 2024 Nov 1. doi:10.26603/001c.124807

- 391 [36]Thieschäfer L, Büsch D. Development and trainability of agility in youth: A systematic
392 scoping review. *Front Sports Act Living*. 2022; 4:952779. Published 2022 Sep 8.
393 doi:10.3389/fspor.2022.952779.
- 394 [37]Liu K. 2019. Study on the effect of multi-directional movement training on the ability of
395 rapid change of direction and the control of body posture of middle school students. Master's
396 thesis. Capital University of Physical Education and Sports, Beijing, China.
- 397 [38]Pardos-Mainer E, Lozano D, Torrontegui-Duarte M, Cartón-Llorente A, Roso-Moliner A.
398 Effects of Strength vs. Plyometric Training Programs on Vertical Jumping, Linear Sprint and
399 Change of Direction Speed Performance in Female Soccer Players: A Systematic Review and
400 Meta-Analysis.*Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(2):401. Published 2021 Jan 6.
401 doi:10.3390/ijerph18020401
- 402 [39]Dos'Santos, Thomas; Thomas, Christopher; Jones, Paul A.; Comfort, Paul. Mechanical
403 Determinants of Faster Change of Direction Speed Performance in Male Athletes. *Journal of*
404 *Strength and Conditioning Research* 31(3):p 696-705, March 2017. | DOI:
405 10.1519/JSC.0000000000001535
- 406 [40]Chaouachi A, Chtara M, Hammami R, Chtara H, Turki O, Castagna C. Multidirectional
407 Sprints and Small-Sided Games Training Effect on Agility and Change of Direction Abilities in
408 Youth Soccer[J]. *Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 2014, 28(11):3121-3127.
409 doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000000505
- 410 [41]Young W, Rogers N. Effects of small-sided game and change-of-direction training on
411 reactive agility and change-of-direction speed. *J Sports Sci*. 2014;32(4):307-314.
412 doi:10.1080/02640414.2013.823230
- 413 [42]Scanlan A, Humphries B, Tucker PS, Dalbo V. The influence of physical and cognitive
414 factors on reactive agility performance in men basketball players. *J Sports Sci*. 2014; 32:367–
415 374. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2013.825730.

- 416 [43]Scharfen HE, & Memmert D (2021). Cognitive training in elite soccer players: evidence of
417 narrow, but not broad transfer to visual and executive function. *German Journal of Exercise and*
418 *Sport Research*, 51(2), 135–145. doi:10.1007/s12662-020-00699-y
- 419 [44]Condello G, Minganti C, Lupo C, Benvenuti C, Pacini D, & Tessitore A. (2013). Evaluation
420 of Change-of-Direction Movements in Young Rugby Players. *International Journal of Sports*
421 *Physiology and Performance*, 8(1), 52–56. doi:10.1123/ijsp.8.1.52
- 422 [45]Falces-Prieto M, González-Fernández FT, García-Delgado G, Silva R, Nobari H, Clemente
423 FM. Relationship between sprint, jump, dynamic balance with the change of direction on young
424 soccer players' performance. *Sci Rep*. 2022;12(1):12272. Published 2022 Jul 18.
425 doi:10.1038/s41598-022-16558-9
- 426 [46]Suarez-Arrones L, Gonzalo-Skok O, Carrasquilla I, Asián-Clemente J, Santalla A, Lara-
427 Lopez P, Núñez FJ. Relationships between Change of Direction, Sprint, Jump, and Squat Power
428 Performance. *Sports (Basel)*. 2020 Mar 19;8(3):38. doi: 10.3390/sports8030038
- 429 [47]Vescovi JD, Mcguigan MR. Relationships between sprinting, agility, and jump ability in
430 female athletes. *J. Sports Sci*. 2008;26(1):97–107. doi: 10.1080/02640410701348644.
- 431 [48]Bishop C, Berney J, Lake J, Loturco I, Blagrove R, Turner A, Read P. Bilateral Deficit
432 During Jumping Tasks: Relationship with Speed and Change of Direction Speed Performance. *J*
433 *Strength Cond Res*. 2021;35(7):1833-1840. doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000003075
- 434 [49]Ascenzi G, Ruscello B, Filetti C, Bonanno D, Di Salvo V, Nuñez FJ, Mendez-Villanueva A,
435 Suarez-Arrones L. Bilateral Deficit and Bilateral Performance: Relationship with Sprinting and
436 Change of Direction in Elite Youth Soccer Players. *Sports (Basel)*. 2020;8(6):82. Published 2020
437 Jun 3. doi:10.3390/sports8060082
- 438 [50]Kozinc Ž, Šarabon N. Bilateral deficit in countermovement jump and its association with
439 change of direction performance in basketball and tennis players. *Sports Biomech*.
440 2024;23(10):1370-1383. doi:10.1080/14763141.2021.1942965

Table 1(on next page)

Table 1 Basic information of subjects

1

Table 1 Basic information of subjects						
Group	N	Age (years)	Height (cm)	Weight (kg)	ITN (years)	Training experience (years)
RS	11	22.36±1.21	166.91±3.01	59.36±3.30	4.09±0.70	6.64±0.64
FS	11	22.27±1.27	166.18±2.99	57.73±3.55	4.00±0.78	6.86±0.60

2

FS, fixed sequence; RS, random sequence; ITN, international tennis number.

Table 2(on next page)

Table 2 Training plan

1

Table 2 Training plan

Traning week	Exercise	Sets×reps	Pause(set/rep)
1-2	FS/RS	3×5	5min/30s
3-4	FS/RS	4×5	5min/30s
5-6	FS/RS	5×5	5min/30s

2

FS, fixed sequence shuttle run training; RS, random sequence shuttle run training

Table 3(on next page)

Table 3 Effect of Speedcourt training on T-drill test

1

Table 3 Effect of Speedcourt training on T-drill test					
	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	<i>F</i>	<i>p</i>	η 2
FS group	8.74±0.33	8.33±0.32			
RS group	8.72±0.35	8.20±0.37			
Group ME			0.29	0.60	0.014
Time ME			349.47	< 0.001	0.94
Group*Time			4.10	0.057	0.17

2

FS, fixed sequence; RS, random sequence; ME, main effect; η2, partial η2.

Table 4(on next page)

Table 4 Effect of Speedcourt training on spider run test

1
2
3

Table 4 Effect of Speedcourt training on Spider run test					
	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	<i>F</i>	<i>p</i>	η 2
FS group	18.15±0.59	17.63±0.65			
RS group	18.20±0.57	17.61±0.63			
Group ME			0.003	0.96	0.01
Time ME			363.29	< 0.001	0.95
Group*Time			1.76	0.20	0.081
FS, fixed sequence; RS, random sequence; ME, main effect; η2, partial η2.					

Table 5(on next page)

Table 5 Effect of Speedcourt training on RA

1

Table 5 Effect of Speedcourt training on RA

	Pre-test	Post-test	Repeated measures F-test		
	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	<i>F</i>	<i>p</i>	η ²
FS group	19.88±0.12	18.98±0.14			
RS group	19.87±0.11	18.55±0.14			
Group ME			4.50	0.047	0.184
Time ME			458.36	< 0.001	0.96
Group*Time			16.08	0.001	0.45

2

FS, fixed sequence; RS, random sequence; ME, main effect; η², partial η².

Table 6(on next page)

Table 6 Correlation analysis

1

Table 6 Correlation analysis					
Index	SR	TD	RA	TCHR	TCHL
SR	1				
TD	0.790	1			
RA	0.530	0.548	1		
TCHR	0.654	0.683	0.543	1	
TCHL	0.658	0.696	0.585	0.788	1

2

SR, spider run; TD, T-drill; RA, reactive agility; TCHR, triple crossover hop(right); TCHL, triple

3 crossover hop(left).

Figure 1

T-drill test route




Figure 2

Spider run test route




Figure 3

Reactive agility test




Figure 4

triple crossover hop test




Figure 5

Training flow diagram




Figure 6

Figure 6 Line graphs comparing pre- and post-test scores

