

Oral health status, oral hygiene behaviors, and caries risk assessment of individuals with special needs: A comparative study of Pakistan and Saudi Arabia (#111072) ✓

1

First revision

Guidance from your Editor

Please submit by **1 Mar 2025** for the benefit of the authors .



Structure and Criteria

Please read the 'Structure and Criteria' page for guidance.



Custom checks

Make sure you include the custom checks shown below, in your review.



Raw data check

Review the raw data.



Image check

Check that figures and images have not been inappropriately manipulated.

If this article is published your review will be made public. You can choose whether to sign your review. If uploading a PDF please remove any identifiable information (if you want to remain anonymous).

Files

Download and review all files from the [materials page](#).

- 1 Tracked changes manuscript(s)
- 1 Rebuttal letter(s)
- 2 Figure file(s)
- 5 Table file(s)
- 1 Raw data file(s)
- 3 Other file(s)

! Custom checks

Human participant/human tissue checks

- ! Have you checked the authors [ethical approval statement](#)?
- ! Does the study meet our [article requirements](#)?
- ! Has identifiable info been removed from all files?
- ! Were the experiments necessary and ethical?



Structure and Criteria

Structure your review

The review form is divided into 5 sections. Please consider these when composing your review:

1. **BASIC REPORTING**
2. **EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN**
3. **VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS**
4. General comments
5. Confidential notes to the editor

 You can also annotate this PDF and upload it as part of your review

When ready [submit online](#).

Editorial Criteria

Use these criteria points to structure your review. The full detailed editorial criteria is on your [guidance page](#).

BASIC REPORTING

-  Clear, unambiguous, professional English language used throughout.
-  Intro & background to show context. Literature well referenced & relevant.
-  Structure conforms to [PeerJ standards](#), discipline norm, or improved for clarity.
-  Figures are relevant, high quality, well labelled & described.
-  Raw data supplied (see [PeerJ policy](#)).

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

-  Original primary research within [Scope of the journal](#).
-  Research question well defined, relevant & meaningful. It is stated how the research fills an identified knowledge gap.
-  Rigorous investigation performed to a high technical & ethical standard.
-  Methods described with sufficient detail & information to replicate.

VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS

-  **Impact and novelty is not assessed.** Meaningful replication encouraged where rationale & benefit to literature is clearly stated.
-  All underlying data have been provided; they are robust, statistically sound, & controlled.
-  Conclusions are well stated, linked to original research question & limited to supporting results.



The best reviewers use these techniques

Tip

Example

Support criticisms with evidence from the text or from other sources

Smith et al (J of Methodology, 2005, V3, pp 123) have shown that the analysis you use in Lines 241-250 is not the most appropriate for this situation. Please explain why you used this method.

Give specific suggestions on how to improve the manuscript

Your introduction needs more detail. I suggest that you improve the description at lines 57- 86 to provide more justification for your study (specifically, you should expand upon the knowledge gap being filled).

Comment on language and grammar issues

The English language should be improved to ensure that an international audience can clearly understand your text. Some examples where the language could be improved include lines 23, 77, 121, 128 – the current phrasing makes comprehension difficult. I suggest you have a colleague who is proficient in English and familiar with the subject matter review your manuscript, or contact a professional editing service.

Organize by importance of the issues, and number your points

1. Your most important issue
2. The next most important item
3. ...
4. The least important points

Please provide constructive criticism, and avoid personal opinions

I thank you for providing the raw data, however your supplemental files need more descriptive metadata identifiers to be useful to future readers. Although your results are compelling, the data analysis should be improved in the following ways: AA, BB, CC

Comment on strengths (as well as weaknesses) of the manuscript

I commend the authors for their extensive data set, compiled over many years of detailed fieldwork. In addition, the manuscript is clearly written in professional, unambiguous language. If there is a weakness, it is in the statistical analysis (as I have noted above) which should be improved upon before Acceptance.

Oral health status, oral hygiene behaviors, and caries risk assessment of individuals with special needs: A comparative study of Pakistan and Saudi Arabia

Osama Khattak¹, Farooq Ahmad Chaudhary^{Corresp., 2}, Shahzad Ahmad³, Muhammad Amber Fareed^{Corresp., 4, 5}, Shazia Iqbal³, Asma Shakoor⁶, Mohammed Nadeem Baig⁷, Haifa Ali Almutair⁸, Rakhi Issrani⁷, Azhar Iqbal¹

¹ Department of Restorative Dentistry, College of Dentistry, Jouf University, Sakaka, Saudi Arabia

² School of Dentistry, Shaheed Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto Medical University, Islamabad, Pakistan

³ Faculty of Medicine and Health Science, The University of Buckingham, Buckingham, United Kingdom

⁴ Clinical Sciences Department, Clinical Sciences Department, College of Dentistry, Ajman University, Ajman, United Arab Emirates

⁵ Centre of Medical and Bio-allied Health Sciences Research, Ajman University, Ajman, United Arab Emirates

⁶ Community and Preventive Dentistry Department, CMH- Lahore Medical college, National University of Medical Sciences, Rawalpindi, Pakistan

⁷ Department of Preventive Dentistry, College of Dentistry, Jouf University, Sakaka, Saudi Arabia

⁸ College of Dentistry, Jouf University, Sakaka, Saudi Arabia

Corresponding Authors: Farooq Ahmad Chaudhary, Muhammad Amber Fareed

Email address: chaudhary4@hotmail.com, m.fareed@ajman.ac.ae

Background: Individuals with disabilities often experience greater challenges in managing oral diseases, including dental caries and periodontal conditions, due to functional limitations. This study aims to: (1) assess the oral health status of disabled individuals in Pakistan and Saudi Arabia, (2) evaluate their oral hygiene knowledge and behaviors, and (3) determine their caries risk using the CAMBRA protocol. **Methods:** A cross-sectional study was conducted on 189 adults and young aged 13 years and older participants with hearing, visual, or intellectual disabilities from Pakistan and Saudi Arabia between September 2023 and April 2024. The participants were recruited from the Institute of Special Education, Pakistan, and the Saudi Institute of Rehabilitation Medicine, Saudi Arabia. Intraoral examinations and bitewing radiographs assessed oral health, including Decayed, Missing, and Filled Teeth (DMFT) index, Gingival Index, Visible Plaque, and Molar alignment. A self-administered questionnaire gathered sociodemographic data and evaluated oral hygiene knowledge and behaviors. Caries risk was analyzed using the CAMBRA tool. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, Chi-square tests, and binary logistic regression. **Results:** The mean DMFT score was 6.30 (SD = 1.83), with a statistically significant difference between Pakistan and Saudi Arabia ($p = 0.007$). Gingival health was fair to poor in 47% of participants, while 43.4% exhibited bleeding on probing and 34.9% had visible plaque. Class III malocclusion affected approximately 30% of participants in both countries. Tooth brushing frequency showed a significant difference

between the two groups ($p = 0.005$). Most participants (76% in Pakistan, 62% in Saudi Arabia) were classified as high caries risk. Deep pits and fissures (69.4%) and frequent snacking (63.8%) were the main risk factors in Pakistan, while frequent snacking (71.6%) and heavy plaque (60.4%) were prevalent in Saudi Arabia. Saudi participants had a significantly higher likelihood of being in the high-risk group for caries (OR = 1.86, 95% CI: 0.95–3.65, $p = 0.04$). Conclusion: The disabled individuals in both countries face significant oral health challenges, with high caries risk and poor oral hygiene practices. Targeted preventive measures and improved dental care access are essential to addressing these disparities.

Oral health status, oral hygiene behaviors, and Caries Risk Assessment of Individuals with Special Needs: A Comparative Study of Pakistan and Saudi Arabia

Osama Khattak¹, Farooq Ahmad Chaudhary^{2*}, Shahzad Ahmad³, Muhammad Amber Fareed^{4,5*}, Shazia Iqbal³, Asma Shakoor⁶, Mohammed Nadeem Baig⁷, Haifa Ali Almutair⁸, Rakhi Issrani⁷, Azhar Iqbal¹

¹ Department of Restorative Dentistry, College of Dentistry, Jouf University, Sakaka, Saudi Arabia

² School of Dentistry, Shaheed Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto Medical University, Islamabad, Pakistan

³ Faculty of Medicine and Health Science, The University of Buckingham, Buckingham, United Kingdom

⁴ Clinical Sciences Department, College of Dentistry, Ajman University, Ajman, United Arab Emirates

⁵ Centre of Medical and Bio-allied Health Sciences Research, Ajman University, Ajman, United Arab Emirates

⁶ Community and Preventive Dentistry Department, Institute of Dentistry, CMH- Lahore Medical college, National University of Medical Sciences, Rawalpindi, Pakistan

⁷ Department of Preventive Dentistry, College of Dentistry, Jouf University, Sakaka, Saudi Arabia

⁸ College of Dentistry, Jouf University, Sakaka, Saudi Arabia

Corresponding Author:

1. Muhammad Amber Fareed ^{4,5*}, Clinical Sciences Department, College of Dentistry, Ajman University, Ajman, United Arab Emirates.

Email: m.fareed@ajman.ac.ae

2. Farooq Ahmad Chaudhary

School of Dentistry, Shaheed Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto Medical University, Islamabad, Pakistan.

Email address: chaudhary4@hotmail.com

Abstract

Background: Individuals with disabilities often experience greater challenges in managing oral diseases, including dental caries and periodontal conditions, due to functional limitations. This study aims to: (1) assess the oral health status of disabled individuals in Pakistan and Saudi Arabia, (2) evaluate their oral hygiene knowledge and behaviors, and (3) determine their caries risk using the CAMBRA protocol. **Methods:** A cross-sectional study was conducted on 189 adults and young aged 13 years and older participants with hearing, visual, or intellectual disabilities from Pakistan and Saudi Arabia between September 2023 and April 2024. The participants were recruited from the Institute of Special Education, Pakistan, and the Saudi Institute of Rehabilitation Medicine, Saudi Arabia. Intraoral examinations and bitewing radiographs assessed oral health, including Decayed, Missing, and Filled Teeth (DMFT) index, Gingival Index, Visible Plaque, and Molar alignment. A self-administered questionnaire gathered sociodemographic data and evaluated oral hygiene knowledge and behaviors. Caries risk was analyzed using the CAMBRA tool. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, Chi-square tests, and binary logistic regression. **Results:** The mean DMFT score was 6.30 (SD = 1.83), with a statistically significant difference between Pakistan and Saudi Arabia ($p = 0.007$). Gingival health was fair to poor in 47% of participants, while 43.4% exhibited bleeding on probing and 34.9% had visible plaque. Class III malocclusion affected approximately 30% of participants in both countries. Tooth brushing frequency showed a significant difference between the two groups ($p = 0.005$). Most participants (76% in Pakistan, 62% in Saudi Arabia) were classified as high caries risk. Deep pits and fissures (69.4%) and frequent snacking (63.8%) were the main risk factors in Pakistan, while frequent snacking (71.6%) and heavy plaque (60.4%) were prevalent in Saudi Arabia. Saudi participants had a significantly higher likelihood of being in the high-risk group for caries (OR = 1.86, 95% CI: 0.95–3.65, $p =$

→ Awkward phrasing.
(suggestion below)

✓

→ A cross-sectional study was conducted on 189 participants aged 13 years and older, including both young people and adults...

0.04). **Conclusion:** The disabled individuals in both countries face significant oral health challenges, with high caries risk and poor oral hygiene practices. Targeted preventive measures and improved dental care access are essential to addressing these disparities.

Keywords: Caries risk assessment, CAMBRA, oral health, disabled individuals, Saudi Arabia, Pakistan

Introduction

People with disabilities, including those with intellectual, emotional, developmental, sensory, or physical impairments, represent a significant and vulnerable group in terms of health outcomes (Currie and Kahn, 2012). These conditions, such as Down syndrome, seizure disorders, hearing and vision impairments, and craniofacial anomalies, not only create functional limitations but also complicate the management of secondary health issues, including oral diseases (Alamri, 2022, Chaudhary, 2023). For instance, the chronicity of dental conditions like dental caries and periodontal diseases often exacerbates the challenges faced by individuals with disabilities, leading to an increased prevalence of oral health problems within this population (Salles et al., 2012). Studies have shown that individuals with disabilities often encounter multiple barriers to accessing dental care, which contributes to significant oral health disparities compared to the general population (Salles et al., 2012, Chaudhary et al., 2019).

This vulnerable group often faces communication difficulties, heightened anxiety, and sensory sensitivities that make traditional dental treatments challenging. Consequently, individuals with disabilities frequently require specialized care and personalized approaches to meet their unique dental needs (Desai et al., 2001, Fazal et al., 2023). Despite advancements in dental care and treatment options, oral diseases like dental caries remain a major public health issue globally.

Dental caries alone affect 60-90% of children and adults worldwide, and individuals with disabilities are often at even higher risk (Levine and Stillman-Lowe, 2019).

Earlier studies have emphasized that individuals with disabilities are disproportionately affected by dental caries diseases (Alamri, 2022, Chaudhary, 2023, Chaudhary et al., 2019). Therefore, there is a critical need for effective caries risk assessment models tailored to this group (Cui et al., 2022). Assessing caries risk is not only essential for managing and preventing the progression of dental caries but also crucial for providing individualized, cost-effective dental care (Iqbal et al., 2022a). Traditional approaches to dental care, which do not take individual risk factors into account, may not be suitable for disabled individuals who often require a more targeted approach (Riley III et al., 2011).

Caries Management by Risk Assessment (CAMBRA) has emerged as an evidence-based protocol aimed at identifying individuals at high risk for dental caries at the earliest possible stage (Iqbal et al., 2022a, Iqbal et al., 2024). This risk-based model enables dental professionals to evaluate disease indicators, risk factors, and protective factors to classify individuals into low, moderate, high risk categories. CAMBRA provides a systematic and minimally invasive approach to managing dental caries by focusing on prevention and addressing the root causes of disease rather than simply treating the symptoms (Iqbal et al., 2024). This approach is particularly beneficial for individuals with disabilities, whose unique conditions may predispose them to increased caries risk (Maheswari et al., 2015).

While CAMBRA has been widely adopted in general populations, its application in individuals with disabilities remains underexplored, especially in developing countries like Pakistan and also in developed country like Saudi Arabia. The current literature largely focuses on caries risk in

general pediatric or adult populations without considering the specific challenges faced by those with disabilities (Iqbal et al., 2022b, Ng et al., 2024). Furthermore, studies exploring oral health status and caries risk in disabled individuals have often been small-scale or single-center with single specific disability, limiting the generalizability of their findings (Marshall et al., 2010, Alkhabuli et al., 2019). There is also a notable lack of comparative studies examining these issues across different countries with economic status, varying healthcare systems and socio-cultural contexts. For example, Saudi Arabia offers universal healthcare with specialized services for individuals with disabilities, while Pakistan faces challenges related to healthcare access and funding (Nair et al., 2024, Khan et al., 2023). Similarly, differences in cultural practices and health literacy further shape oral health behaviors and outcomes, making cross-country comparisons essential for understanding diverse influences on caries risk in disabled populations. The comparison between the two countries is valuable because it allows us to examine how differing levels of healthcare infrastructure, access to specialized care, and socio-cultural factors influence the oral health outcomes of disabled individuals (Lima et al., 2024).

Thus, the objectives of this study are threefold: (1) to explore the oral health status of disabled individuals in Pakistan and Saudi Arabia, (2) to assess their oral hygiene knowledge and Behaviors, and (3) to assess their caries risk using the CAMBRA protocol. By addressing these objectives, this study aims to fill the existing gaps in the literature regarding the oral health needs of disabled individuals in these two culturally distinct countries. This research will provide valuable insights into the specific challenges faced by disabled populations in accessing oral healthcare and offer evidence-based recommendations for improving preventive dental care for this vulnerable group.

136

137 **Materials and Methods**

138 This cross-sectional study was conducted in Pakistan and Saudi Arabia between September 2023
 139 and April 2024, employing a non-probability convenience sampling technique. The study focused
 140 on disabled individuals, with participants recruited from the Institute of Special Education and
 141 Bahria College for Special Needs in Islamabad, Pakistan, and the Saudi Institute of Rehabilitation
 142 Medicine in Jouf, Saudi Arabia. The study population included teenagers, adults and young aged
 143 13 years and older with hearing, visual, and intellectual disabilities. The age cut-off of 13 years
 144 was selected to align with a developmental stage where adolescents typically transition to adult
 145 dental care services, allowing for a more uniform assessment of oral health behaviors and risk
 146 factors. Participants with other types of disabilities (autism, cerebral palsy, or other neurological
 147 and developmental disorders) and those whose parents or guardians declined to consent were
 148 excluded. Efforts were made to minimize selection bias by clearly defining the inclusion criteria
 149 and recruiting from well-established institutions specializing in special education and
 150 rehabilitation. The study focused on individuals with hearing, visual, and intellectual disabilities,
 151 as these conditions are commonly associated with oral health disparities and present a range of
 152 challenges for dental care. Other disability types, such as autism and cerebral palsy, were excluded
 153 due to the unique methodological and care-related considerations they present. These conditions
 154 often require more specialized examination procedures and could introduce additional variability
 155 that might compromise the comparability of findings. Moreover, individuals with autism or
 156 cerebral palsy may have distinct oral health challenges that would require separate, in-depth
 157 studies to assess properly.

158 The sample size for each country was calculated using data from reference studies. In Pakistan,
 159 the sample size was derived from a previous study on oral hygiene status among individuals with
 160 special needs in Karachi (Azfar et al., 2018), using a prevalence of 50%, a margin of error of 9%,
 161 and a 95% confidence level, resulting in 109 participants. For Saudi Arabia, the sample size was
 162 based on a study of hearing-impaired participants (Al-Qahtani et al., 2017), with a prevalence of
 163 95% for dental caries, a margin of error of 4.65%, and a 95% confidence level, yielding 85
 164 participants. The sample size for Saudi Arabia was initially estimated based on a study targeting a
 165 specific type of disability, ^{with} The margins of error ~~were~~ selected based on population characteristics,
 166 differences in healthcare infrastructure, disability-specific care, access to specialized care, socio-
 167 cultural factors and prior studies which can influence oral health behaviors and outcomes. // For
 168 Saudi Arabia, the margin of error was derived from a study focusing on a specific type of disability,
 169 which was adjusted during recruitment to include a broader range of disabilities. For Pakistan,
 170 where disability-specific data was scarce, the margin of error was designed to accommodate
 171 greater variability. These factors may contribute to greater or lesser variability in the two
 172 populations, and the margins of error reflect the need for precision in countries with different
 173 healthcare infrastructures and challenges. While the margins of error differ, they were designed to
 174 be sufficient for cross-country analyses, and remain robust for exploring oral health differences
 175 between each context. //

This paragraph is overly complex and difficult to follow. Consider breaking into shorter, more concise sentences.

176 Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Ethical Review Board of the School of
 177 Dentistry, Shaheed Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto Medical University, SZABMU (Ref. No.
 178 SOD/ERB/2023/156). Written informed consent was obtained from participants who were able to
 179 comprehend the purpose of the study, and from parents or guardians for minors or individuals with
 180 cognitive impairments. Consider revising to clarify process. Suggestion:

"Written informed consent was obtained from participants who could comprehend the study's purpose. For minors and individuals with cognitive impairments, consent was obtained from parents or guardians."

All participants underwent intraoral examinations and bitewing radiographs to assess their oral health, particularly for the detection of caries. A self-administered questionnaire was also completed. Trained investigators conducted all oral examinations under standardized conditions, using appropriate lighting and equipment in a reclined chair setting. Before initiating the study, all examiners underwent a three-day calibration workshop led by an experienced dentist in their respective countries and final joint session conducted online to ensure consistency, uniform understanding and application of assessment criteria across all examiners. The training included theoretical sessions on the CAMBRA protocol, oral health indices (DMFT, Gingival Index, and Visible Plaque Index), and practical exercises on patient evaluation and scoring. To evaluate inter-examiner reliability, five participants were examined independently by all study examiners, and their scores were compared using Cohen's kappa statistics. A kappa value of 0.85 was achieved, indicating strong agreement. Intra-examiner reliability was assessed by re-examining the same five participants within one week, yielding a kappa value of 0.88. Both reliability tests exceeded the acceptable threshold of 0.75, ensuring consistency in data collection. These procedures helped minimize measurement bias and ensured data quality and reproducibility. Examination tools included a mouth mirror, explorer, and periodontal probe.

The Decayed, Missing, and Filled Teeth (DMFT) index was used to measure the participants' caries experience (Organization, 2013). The total number of decayed, missing, and filled teeth was recorded for each participant, with a higher DMFT score indicating a greater burden of caries. The Loe & Silness Gingival Index was used to assess gingival health (Organization, 2013). Six index teeth (molars and incisors) were examined, with each tooth's buccal, mesial, lingual, and distal surfaces scored from 0 (healthy) to 3 (severe inflammation). Participants were categorized based on their scores into four groups: Excellent (score 0), Good (0.1–1.0), Fair (1.1–2.0), and Poor (2.1–

3.1). Oral hygiene was assessed by identifying visible plaque on the maxillary incisors using a periodontal probe (Organization, 2013). Plaque was recorded as either "Yes" (visible plaque present) or "No" (no visible plaque), offering a simple yet reliable assessment of oral hygiene status. Angle's Classification of Malocclusion was used to evaluate molar alignment, categorizing participants into Class I (normal), Class II (distocclusion), or Class III (mesiocclusion) (Dewey, 1915). The relationship between the mesiobuccal cusp of the maxillary first molar and the buccal groove of the mandibular first molar was assessed.

After the intraoral examination, participants completed a 17-item self-administered questionnaire. For those with visual impairments or comprehension difficulties, questions and response options were read aloud by the research team or school staff. The questionnaire, was adapted from Tadin et al. (2022) (Tadin et al., 2022). To ensure its relevance and appropriateness for our study objectives and target population, we conducted a thorough review and validation process in both countries. An expert panel consisting of three members—an Assistant Professors of Operative Dentistry, an Assistant Professor of Epidemiology, and a specialist in special care dentistry in Pakistan and almost similar panel in Saudi Arabia reviewed the questionnaire items. Their feedback was incorporated into the final version of the questionnaire. The questionnaire was divided into two sections: 1) Sociodemographic Information: Including age, gender, parental education, occupation, and length of employment. 2) Oral Hygiene Knowledge and Behaviors: Assessing knowledge of tooth brushing practices, oral health's impact on general health, the effects of carbonated drinks and sugary foods, and the sources of oral health information. It also evaluated behaviors like brushing frequency, duration, toothpaste type, dental visits, and independence in tooth brushing.

Caries risk was assessed using a customized Caries Management by Risk Assessment (CAMBRA) tool, evaluating eight risk factors, protective factors, and disease indicators (Iqbal et al., 2024). The CAMBRA protocol has been validated and successfully implemented in both Pakistan and Saudi Arabia in previous studies (Iqbal et al., 2022a, Iqbal et al., 2024). Participants were classified into low, moderate, or high-risk groups based on factors such as plaque presence, fluoride use, dental care history, and salivary function. Certain components of the CAMBRA protocol, such as bacterial counts, were excluded from this study due to financial and logistical constraints. Performing bacterial counts requires specialized laboratory facilities, which were not feasible within the context of this study. Additionally, the decision was made to focus on more practical and accessible indicators, including plaque presence, fluoride use, and dental care history, which are reliable and cost-effective means of assessing caries risk.

Descriptive statistics were computed to summarize the data, with frequency distributions for categorical variables. *? Add a brief explanation of why using this test.* Chi-square tests were used to assess the association between oral health indicators and sociodemographic factors. Binary logistic regression analysis was employed to explore relationships between caries risk categories and sociodemographic variables. Inter-examiner reliability was assessed using Cohen's Kappa statistic, with values ≥ 0.75 considered acceptable. Kappa values were calculated after the calibration sessions to ensure consistency in the assessment of oral health indicators. Effect sizes were calculated using Cohen's d for mean comparisons and for categorical data, Cramer's V was used. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS (version 25.0), with a significance level set at $p < 0.05$.

Results

A total of 189 participants with disabilities were included in the study, with 108 participants from Pakistan and 81 from Saudi Arabia. The majority of the participants were male (61.9%) and aged between 13–16 years (66.1%). The distribution of participants based on disability was as follows: Intellectual Disability/Down syndrome (48.1%), hearing loss (38.1%), and blindness (13.8%). Most of the participants' parents had a school-level education (70.4%) and had more than 11 years of working experience (71.4%) and only 13% works in government sector (Table 1). The caries risk assessment indicated that the majority of participants in both countries were classified as having a high risk of caries (69.8%), with 75.9% in Pakistan and 61.7% in Saudi Arabia (Figure 1).

The mean DMFT score for the participants was 6.30 (SD = 1.83). A statistically significant difference was found between the DMFT scores of participants from Pakistan (6.61, SD=1.81) and Saudi Arabia (5.89, SD=1.78) ($p = 0.007$) (Figure 2). The effect size, as measured by Cohen's d , was 0.40, indicating a small to medium effect size. This suggests that the difference in oral health status between the two groups is notable but not large. 47% of participants exhibited fair to poor gingival health, with 43.4% showing bleeding on probing, and 34.9% having visible plaque. Molar alignment was primarily in Class I Angle classification for 55.6% of Pakistani participants and 60.5% of Saudi participants, though approximately 30% of participants in both countries had Class III malocclusion (Table 2). But what's the practical significance of these measures?

The results for oral health behaviors and knowledge are summarized in Table 3. A statistically significant difference was observed in the frequency of tooth brushing between participants from

Pakistan and Saudi Arabia ($p = 0.005$). Among Saudi participants, 34.6% reported brushing their teeth twice daily, compared to only 13.0% of participants from Pakistan. The effect size, measured by Cramer's V (0.151), indicates that while the difference is statistically significant, the magnitude of this difference is small. Overall, 97% of participants reported using a toothbrush to clean their teeth, with 58% brushing in the morning.

Despite these habits, 57% of participants were unaware of the type of toothpaste they should use, and 54% had not visited a dentist in the past year. Furthermore, 37% of participants were unable to brush their teeth independently, highlighting a critical barrier to effective oral hygiene in this population.

In terms of oral health knowledge, 59% of participants believed that oral health affects general health, and 70.4% recognized the importance of proper tooth brushing in maintaining oral hygiene. A higher proportion (77.2%) understood that sugary and sticky foods could damage teeth, but awareness of the harmful effects of carbonated drinks was lower, with only 50.8% acknowledging this.

Parents were identified as the primary source of information on maintaining oral health for 63% of participants. Additionally, 57.1% of participants reported that their primary caregiver or school teacher educated them about oral health and its maintenance.

Most participants in both countries had one or more disease indicators, with the most common being visible cavities (Pakistan = 98.1%, Saudi Arabia = 98.7%) and radiographic approximal enamel lesions (Pakistan = 88.8%, Saudi Arabia = 83.9%). The most common risk factor in Pakistan was deep pits and fissures (69.4%), followed by frequent snacking (63.8%). In Saudi

Arabia, the most common risk factor was frequent snacking (71.6%), followed by visible heavy plaque (60.4%). The most frequently reported protective factor was the use of fluoridated toothpaste at least once daily (Pakistan = 68.5%, Saudi Arabia = 77.7%) (Table 4).

In the logistic regression analysis, adjusting for country and other sociodemographic factors, being from Saudi Arabia was significantly associated with a higher likelihood of participants being in the high-risk group for caries. Disabled participants from Saudi Arabia were 1.86 times more likely to be in the high-risk group compared to those from Pakistan (OR = 1.86, 95% CI: 0.95–3.65, $p = 0.04$) (Table 5). Other all sociodemographic variables were not significantly associated with caries risk categories.

Discussion

The findings of this study highlight significant oral health challenges among disabled individuals in Pakistan and Saudi Arabia, with a particular focus on caries risk, oral hygiene behaviors, and gingival health. This study contributes to the growing body of literature indicating that individuals with disabilities face disproportionate risks for oral diseases due to a combination of biological, behavioral, and systemic factors.

The high prevalence of dental caries observed in both populations, with 75.9% of participants in Pakistan and 61.7% in Saudi Arabia classified as high-risk, is consistent with findings from previous studies on individuals with disabilities worldwide. For instance, a study by Shyama et al. (2013) in Kuwait reported similarly elevated caries rates in disabled populations, with 80%

?

314 classified as high caries risk. (Shyama et al., 2001). This aligns with broader research indicating
315 that individuals with disabilities are at greater risk of dental caries compared to their non-disabled
316 counterparts, largely due to challenges in maintaining oral hygiene and accessing dental care.

317 The mean DMFT score of 6.30 observed in this study is comparable to findings from other regions.
318 For example, Al Habashneh et al. (2012) reported DMFT scores ranging from 5.5 to 7.1 in disabled
319 populations in Jordan (Al Habashneh et al., 2012). The statistically significant difference in DMFT
320 scores between Pakistan and Saudi Arabia ($p = 0.007$) may reflect disparities in public health
321 policies, dietary practices, and access to fluoridated water between the two countries.

322 Furthermore, country-specific caries risk factors identified in this study underscore the importance
323 of tailored preventive strategies. In Pakistan, the high prevalence of deep pits and fissures (69.4%)
324 was a prominent risk factor, while frequent snacking was more common in Saudi Arabia (71.6%).
325 These findings highlight the need for targeted interventions that address the unique risk profiles of
326 each population to effectively reduce caries prevalence and improve oral health outcomes.

327 The poor oral hygiene practices among disabled individuals in both countries are concerning, with
328 47% of participants exhibiting fair to poor gingival health, 43.4% presenting with bleeding on
329 probing, and 34.9% showing visible plaque. These findings are consistent with previous studies
330 that have highlighted the challenges faced by disabled individuals in maintaining good oral
331 hygiene. Studies in Brazil and India also reported poor oral hygiene and gingival health among
332 individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities (Braúna et al., 2016, Makkar et al.,
333 2019, Mehta et al., 2015). The significant difference in tooth brushing frequency between Saudi
334 and Pakistani participants ($p = 0.005$) suggests that cultural or educational factors may play a role
335 in oral hygiene behaviors. Saudi participants, who brushed more frequently, may benefit from

more accessible health education campaigns or greater parental involvement. However, despite higher brushing frequency, the caries risk remained high, emphasizing that brushing alone is insufficient without addressing other factors like diet, fluoride use, and regular dental visits.

The lack of knowledge regarding toothpaste type (57%) and irregular dental visits (54% had not seen a dentist in the past year) further complicates efforts to improve oral health in this population. Similar knowledge gaps have been reported in other studies on disabled individuals, particularly in developing countries where oral health education is often inadequate (Al-Sufyani et al., 2014, Chu et al., 2008, Anwar et al., 2022). This highlights the critical need for improved oral health education programs that are tailored to the needs of disabled individuals and their caregivers.

The use of the CAMBRA protocol in this study offers valuable insights into caries risk management for disabled populations. CAMBRA's focus on both risk and protective factors enables a more nuanced understanding of caries risk in this vulnerable group. For example, the use of fluoridated toothpaste, a key protective factor, was higher in Saudi participants (77.7%) compared to Pakistani participants (68.5%), which may explain the slightly lower caries risk in the Saudi cohort. However, the high prevalence of disease indicators, such as visible cavities (98.1% in Pakistan and 98.7% in Saudi Arabia), underscores the need for earlier interventions and more aggressive preventive measures. The logistic regression analysis revealed that being from Saudi Arabia was significantly associated with higher odds of being in the high-risk caries group compared to being from Pakistan (OR = 1.86, $p = 0.04$). This finding could be influenced by a combination of dietary habits, cultural differences, and healthcare access. Saudi Arabia's higher consumption of sugary snacks and beverages, as identified in previous studies, could be a

contributing factor (Alsubaie, 2017, Chaudhary et al., 2024, Khattak et al., 2022).

Socioeconomic disparities between Pakistan and Saudi Arabia could have impacted the observed differences in oral health status, behaviors, and caries risk. Saudi Arabia, as a high-income country, has have greater access to resources for oral health promotion, preventive services, and treatment along with a more established and better-funded healthcare system that provides free or subsidized dental care for its citizens. In contrast, Pakistan, being a lower-middle-income country, faces economic constraints that limit healthcare access and availability of oral health education programs. Dental care is largely privatized, which poses financial barriers for many families, limiting their ability to seek routine dental care. These socioeconomic differences likely contribute to variations in DMFT scores, oral hygiene behaviors, and caries risk. Future studies could further quantify the role of socioeconomic variables by including household income, parental education, and employment type as direct measures.

Cultural practices and norms also play a significant role in shaping oral health behaviors. For instance, cultural attitudes toward oral hygiene practices, dietary habits, and the importance placed on regular dental visits differ between the two countries. In Saudi Arabia, the use of miswak (a traditional chewing stick) is common and culturally embedded, which may provide additional preventive benefits against oral diseases. Conversely, in Pakistan, oral hygiene practices may be influenced by lower levels of health literacy and traditional dietary preferences that include cariogenic foods. Furthermore, the role of caregivers and family dynamics in oral hygiene maintenance differs culturally, potentially affecting how oral health knowledge is imparted to individuals with disabilities. Understanding these confounding factors is critical for

→ How behavioral findings relate to clinical outcomes?

contextualizing the study findings and developing targeted public health interventions. Future research should aim to integrate socioeconomic, healthcare system, and cultural variables more comprehensively to disentangle their specific contributions to oral health disparities.

This study contributes to the existing body of literature on oral health disparities by providing one of the first cross-country comparisons of oral health status, hygiene behaviors, and caries risk among individuals with disabilities in Pakistan and Saudi Arabia using the CAMBRA protocol.

The findings highlight critical gaps in oral health outcomes and behaviors among this vulnerable population and emphasize the need for culturally tailored interventions in both countries. Previous research has often overlooked the oral health needs of individuals with disabilities, particularly in developing and middle-income countries. The study offers valuable insights into how socioeconomic, cultural, and healthcare system differences influence oral health outcomes. The results of this study have important implications for dental practitioners and public health

policymakers. First, the findings of this study suggest that dental professionals in both Pakistan and Saudi Arabia may face challenges in effectively addressing the oral health needs of disabled individuals. While the study did not directly assess training gaps, it underscores the importance of improving education and care models for this population. Based on these observations and supported by existing literature, there is a clear recommendation for specialized training for dental professionals to better manage the oral health needs of individuals with disabilities (Alamri, 2022, Lim et al., 2022). Second, public health policies should prioritize access to preventive dental care for disabled individuals, including regular dental check-ups, fluoride treatments, and dietary counseling. The disparities in oral health outcomes between Pakistan and Saudi Arabia indicate that country-specific interventions are needed (Chaudhary et al., 2024). [For example, Saudi Arabia might benefit from policies that limit the consumption of sugary foods and drinks, while Pakistan

could focus on improving access to fluoridated water and oral health education. Policies should prioritize oral health equity by integrating oral health education into school curriculums for children with disabilities and ensuring subsidized dental care. Additionally, strategies such as community-based fluoride varnish programs and caregiver training should be implemented to reduce caries risk in high-risk groups] *These country-specific policy recommendations could be more targeted.*

 This study has several limitations that warrant consideration. The non-probability convenience sampling method limits the generalizability of the findings and may have introduced selection bias; however, it was the most feasible method given the challenges of recruiting individuals with disabilities. The results are most applicable to similar populations within the two countries, but the influence of different healthcare systems, cultural factors, and socioeconomic conditions may limit their broader applicability. Further studies are needed to validate these findings in other regions and among other populations with disabilities. Future studies should aim for a more diverse and randomly selected sample to improve the generalizability of findings to the broader disabled population. As a cross-sectional study, this design limits our ability to make causal inferences about the relationships between oral health behaviors and outcomes. Longitudinal studies are needed to examine the directionality of these associations and to explore potential causal pathways. The separate sample size estimations and recruitment strategies for Pakistan and Saudi Arabia allowed for detailed insights into each country's oral health status among disabled populations. However, this approach does not facilitate robust cross-country comparisons. This study did not perform power calculations specifically for cross-country comparisons, which limits the statistical power for detecting significant differences and the differences in sample sizes and margins of error between the countries further constrain the ability to draw definitive conclusions. Future research should consider power calculations tailored to cross-country comparisons, utilizing larger, more

balanced samples and subgroup analyses to enhance the robustness of the findings. Moreover, while the CAMBRA protocol provides a useful framework for assessing caries risk, the exclusion of certain risk factors (e.g., bacterial counts, salivary flow) due to logistical constraints may have limited the comprehensiveness of the risk assessment. Future research should aim to include these additional factors and explore longitudinal outcomes to better understand the effectiveness of CAMBRA in preventing caries progression in disabled populations.

Conclusion

This study highlights significant disparities in oral health status, hygiene behaviors, and caries risk between individuals with disabilities in Pakistan and Saudi Arabia. Participants in both countries exhibited high caries risk, with differences in oral health outcomes and behaviors likely influenced by socioeconomic, cultural, and healthcare system factors. Saudi participants were found to have a slightly lower caries burden, potentially due to greater use of fluoridated toothpaste, yet their overall caries risk remained high, possibly due to lifestyle factors such as frequent snacking. In Pakistan, deep pits and fissures were a predominant risk factor, indicating the need for preventive measures such as sealants and fluoride application. These findings highlight the critical need for tailored oral health education programs, improved access to preventive dental care, and specialized training for dental professionals to better serve disabled populations.

Reference

- AL-QAHTANI, Y., AL-NASER, H., AL-NAHAWI, D., AL-TUWAIJRI, F., AL-ABDULLATI, M. & AL-JEWAIR, T. 2017. Dental caries prevalence and severity among deaf and hearing-impaired male students in Eastern Saudi Arabia. *Advances in Dentistry and Oral Health*, 5, 1-6.

- AL-SUFYANI, G. A., AL-MAWERI, S. A., AL-GHASHM, A. A. & AL-SONEIDAR, W. A. 2014. Oral hygiene and gingival health status of children with Down syndrome in Yemen: A cross-sectional study. *Journal of International Society of Preventive and Community dentistry* 4, 82-86.
- AL HABASHNEH, R., AL-JUNDI, S., KHADER, Y. & NOFEL, N. 2012. Oral health status and reasons for not attending dental care among 12-to 16-year-old children with Down syndrome in special needs centres in Jordan. *International journal of dental hygiene*, 10, 259-264.
- ALAMRI, H. 2022. Oral care for children with special healthcare needs in dentistry: a literature review. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 11, 5557.
- ALKHABULI, J. O. S., ESSA, E. Z., AL-ZUHAIR, A. M. & JABER, A. A. 2019. Oral Health status and treatment needs for children with special needs: A cross-sectional study. *Pesquisa Brasileira em Odontopediatria e Clínica Integrada*, 19, e4877.
- ALSUBAIE, A. S. R. 2017. Consumption and correlates of sweet foods, carbonated beverages, and energy drinks among primary school children in Saudi Arabia. *Saudi medical journal*, 38, 1045.
- ANWAR, D. S., MOHD YUSOF, M. Y. P., AHMAD, M. S. & MD SABRI, B. A. 2022. Family influences on the dental caries status of children with special health care needs: a systematic review. *Children*, 9, 1855.
- AZFAR, M., KHAN, I., IQBAL, N., KHAWAR, N. & ABID, K. 2018. Oral health of individuals with down syndrome in Karachi, Pakistan. *Journal of Pakistan Dental Association*, 27, 191.
- BRAÚNA, A. P. V. S., ABREU, M. H. N. G. D., RESENDE, V. L. S. & CASTILHO, L. S. D. 2016. Risk factors for dental caries in children with developmental disabilities. *Brazilian Oral Research*, 30, e79.
- CHAUDHARY, F. A. 2023. Challenges of Special Care Dentistry in Pakistan. *Foundation University Journal of Dentistry*, 3, 54-55.
- CHAUDHARY, F. A., AHMAD, B., ARJUMAND, B. & ALHARKAN, H. M. 2024. The Association Between Economic Status and Religious Identity With Oral Health Disparities and Inequalities Around the World. *Cureus*, 16.
- CHAUDHARY, F. A., AHMAD, B. & BASHIR, U. 2019. Dental health status and oral health behaviours of patients with facial burn in Pakistan. *BMC Oral Health*, 19, 1-10.
- CHU, C., WONG, A., LO, E. & COURTEL, F. 2008. Oral health status and behaviours of children in rural districts of Cambodia. *International dental journal*, 58, 15-22.
- CUI, S., AKHTER, R., YAO, D., PENG, X.-Y., FEGHALI, M.-A., CHEN, W., BLACKBURN, E., MARTIN, E. F. & KHANDAKER, G. 2022. Risk factors for dental caries experience in children and adolescents with cerebral palsy—a scoping review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 19, 8024.
- CURRIE, J. & KAHN, R. 2012. Children with disabilities: Introducing the issue. *The Future of Children*, 3-11.
- DESAI, M., MESSER, L. B. & CALACHE, H. 2001. A study of the dental treatment needs of children with disabilities in Melbourne, Australia. *Australian dental journal*, 46, 41-50.
- DEWEY, M. 1915. Classification of malocclusion. *International Journal of Orthodontia*, 1, 133-147.
- FAZAL, A., KHATTAK, O., CHAUDHARY, F. A., HYDER, M., JAVAID, M. M., IQBAL, A., ALBHIRAN, H. M., MIGDADI, F. H., GHAWANMEH, A. M. & BADER, A. K. 2023. Barriers and challenges faced by orthodontists in providing orthodontic care and implementing new innovative technologies in the field of orthodontics among children and adults: a qualitative study. *Journal of Clinical Pediatric Dentistry*, 47.
- IQBAL, A., KHATTAK, O., CHAUDHARY, F. A., ONAZI, M. A. A., ALGARNI, H. A., ALSHARARI, T., ALSHEHRI, A., MUSTAFA, M., ISSRANI, R. & ALGHAMDI, E. Y. M. 2022a. Caries risk assessment using the caries management by risk assessment (CAMBRA) protocol among the general population of

Sakaka, Saudi Arabia—A cross-sectional study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19, 1215.

IQBAL, A., KHATTAK, O., CHAUDHARY, F. A., ONAZI, M. A. A., ALGARNI, H. A., ALSHARARI, T., ALSHEHRI, A., MUSTAFA, M., ISSRANI, R., ALGHAMDI, E. Y. M. J. I. J. O. E. R. & HEALTH, P. 2022b. Caries risk assessment using the caries management by risk assessment (CAMBRA) protocol among the general population of Sakaka, Saudi Arabia—A cross-sectional study. 19, 1215.

IQBAL, A., SIDDIQUI, Y. D., CHAUDHARY, F. A., UL ABIDEEN, M. Z., HUSSAIN, T., ARJUMAND, B., ALMUHAIZA, M., MUSTAFA, M., KHATTAK, O. & ATTIA, R. M. 2024. Caries risk assessment by Caries Management by Risk Assessment (CAMBRA) Protocol among the general population of Pakistan—a multicenter analytical study. *PeerJ*, 12, e16863.

KHAN, S. J., ASIF, M., ASLAM, S., KHAN, W. J. & HAMZA, S. A. 2023. Pakistan's healthcare system: a review of major challenges and the first comprehensive universal health coverage initiative. *Cureus*, 15.

KHATTAK, O., IQBAL, A., CHAUDHARY, F. A., SYED, J., ALSHARARI, T., VUNDAVALLI, S., ALJAHDAI, B. A. S., ALZHRANI, A. E. A., ISSRANI, R. & SULTAN, S. E. 2022. Evaluating a linkage between obesity and the occurrence of dental caries among school going children in Sakaka, Al Jouf, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *PeerJ*, 10, e13582.

LEVINE, R. & STILLMAN-LOWE, C. R. 2019. *The scientific basis of oral health education*, Springer.

LIM, M. A. W. T., LIBERALI, S. A. C., CALACHE, H., PARASHOS, P. & BORROMEO, G. L. 2022. Mentoring of oral health professionals is crucial to improving access to care for people with special needs. *Plos one*, 17, e0266879.

LIMA, R. B., DE FREITAS MIRANDA-FILHO, A. E., MOURA, A. P. G. E., NELSON-FILHO, P., DA SILVA, L. A. B. & DA SILVA, R. A. B. 2024. Territorial Disparities in Dental Care for Disabled Persons and Oral Health-Related Indicators: A Population-Level Approach in Brazil's Public Healthcare System from 2014 to 2023. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 21, 632.

MAHESWARI, S. U., RAJA, J., KUMAR, A. & SEELAN, R. G. 2015. Caries management by risk assessment: A review on current strategies for caries prevention and management. *Journal of Pharmacy and Bioallied Sciences* 7, S320-S324.

MAKKAR, A., INDUSHEKAR, K., SARAF, B., SARDANA, D. & SHEORAN, N. 2019. A cross sectional study to evaluate the oral health status of children with intellectual disabilities in the National Capital Region of India (Delhi-NCR). *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 63, 31-39.

MARSHALL, J., SELLER, B. & MANCL, L. 2010. Caries-risk assessment and caries status of children with autism. *Pediatric dentistry*, 32, 69-75.

MEHTA, A., GUPTA, R., MANSOOB, S. & MANSOORI, S. 2015. Assessment of oral health status of children with special needs in Delhi, India. *Revista Sul-Brasileira de Odontologia*, 12, 239-246.

NAIR, K. S., MUGHAL, Y. H., ALBEJAI, F. & ALHARBI, A. H. 2024. Healthcare Financing in Saudi Arabia: A Comprehensive Review. *Healthcare*, 12, 2544.

NG, T. C.-H., LUO, B. W., LAM, W. Y.-H., BAYSAN, A., CHU, C.-H. & YU, O. Y. 2024. Updates on Caries Risk Assessment—A Literature Review. *Dentistry Journal*, 12, 312.

ORGANIZATION, W. H. 2013. *Oral health surveys: basic methods*, World Health Organization.

RILEY III, J. L., GORDAN, V. V., AJMO, C. T., BOCKMAN, H., JACKSON, M. B. & GILBERT, G. H. 2011. Dentists' use of caries risk assessment and individualized caries prevention for their adult patients: findings from The Dental Practice-Based Research Network. *Community dentistry and oral epidemiology*, 39, 564-573.

SALLES, P. S., TANNURE, P. N., ROSA OLIVEIRA, C. A. G. D., DE SOUZA, I. P. R., PORTELA, M. B. & DE ARAÚJO CASTRO, G. F. B. 2012. Dental needs and management of children with special health care needs according to type of disability. *Journal of Dentistry for Children*, 79, 165-169.

541 SHYAMA, M., AL-MUTAWA, S. A., MORRIS, R. E. & SUGATHAN, T. 2001. Dental caries experience of
 542 disabled children and young adults. *Community Dental Health*, 18, 181-186.
 543 TADIN, A., POLJAK GUBERINA, R., DOMAZET, J. & GAVIC, L. Oral hygiene practices and oral health
 544 knowledge among students in Split, Croatia. *Healthcare*, 2022. MDPI, 406.

545

Table 1 (on next page)

Sociodemographic characteristics of the participants

1 **Table 1.** Sociodemographic characteristics of the participants

Characteristics	Pakistan N (%) 108 (57.1)	Saudi Arabia N (%) 81 (42.9)	Total N (%) 189 ?
Age			
13-16	70 (64.8)	55 (67.9)	125 (66.1)
17-26	32 (29.6)	21 (25.9)	53 (28.0)
>27	6 (5.6)	5 (6.2)	11 (5.8)
Gender			
Male	68 (63.0)	49 (60.5)	117 (61.9)
Female	40 (37.0)	32 (39.5)	72 (38.1)
Education of parents			
No-formal	2 (1.9)	2 (2.5)	4 (2.1)
School	74 (68.5)	59 (72.8)	133 (70.4)
College	20 (18.5)	13 (16.0)	33 (17.5)
University	12 (11.1)	7 (8.6)	19 (10.1)
Occupation of parents			
Labor	6 (5.6)	3 (3.7)	9 (4.8)
Government	14 (13.0)	9 (11.1)	23 (12.2)
Professional	36 (33.3)	27 (33.3)	63 (33.3)
Other	52 (48.1)	42 (51.9)	94 (49.7)
Length of Employment (years)			
<5	19 (17.6)	8 (9.9)	27 (14.3)
5-10	17 (15.7)	10 (12.3)	27 (14.3)
11-15	44 (40.7)	38 (46.9)	82 (43.4)
>16	28 (25.9)	25 (30.9)	53 (28.0)
Disability of individuals			
Hearing loss	36 (33.3)	36 (44.4)	72 (38.1)
Blind	16 (14.8)	10 (12.3)	26 (13.8)
Intellectual Disability (ID)/Down Syndrome	56 (51.9)	35 (43.2)	91 (48.1)

2

3

Table 2(on next page)

The summary of the DMFT, Gingival Index, Bleeding gums, visible plaque and molar classification of the participants in Pakistan and Saudi Arabia

1 **Table 2.** The summary of the DMFT, Gingival Index, Bleeding gums, visible plaque and molar
2 classification of the participants in Pakistan and Saudi Arabia

Oral Health Status	Pakistan N (%)	Saudi Arabia N (%)	Total N (%)	P-value
Gingival Index				0.548
Excellent	9 (8.3)	11 (13.6)	20 (10.6)	
Good	46 (42.6)	35 (43.2)	81 (42.9)	
Fair	36 (33.3)	21 (25.9)	57 (30.2)	
Poor	17 (15.7)	14 (17.3)	31 (16.4)	
Bleeding				0.582
Yes	45 (41.7)	37 (45.7)	82 (43.4)	
No	63 (58.3)	44 (54.3)	107 (56.6)	
Visible plaque				0.826
Yes	37 (34.3)	29 (35.8)	66 (34.9)	
No	71 (65.7)	52 (64.2)	123 (65.1)	
Molar Class				0.760
Class I	60 (55.6)	49 (60.5)	109 (57.7)	
Class II	15 (13.9)	9 (11.1)	24 (12.7)	
Class III	33 (30.6)	23 (28.4)	56 (29.6)	

3

4

Table 3(on next page)

The summary of Oral health behaviors and knowledge among participants of Pakistan and Saudi Arabia

- 1 **Table 3.** The summary of Oral health behaviors and knowledge among participants of Pakistan
- 2 and Saudi Arabia.

Oral health behaviors and knowledge	Total N (%)	Pakistan N (%)	Saudi Arabia N (%)	P-Value
How many times do you brush your teeth?				0.005
Once a day	127 (67.2)	82 (75.9)	45 (55.6)	
Twice	42 (22.2)	14 (13.0)	28 (34.6)	
Thrice	11 (5.8)	6 (5.6)	5 (6.2)	
Do not brush	9 (4.8)	6 (5.6)	3 (3.7)	
Do you think oral health has an effect on general health?				0.468
Yes	111 (58.7)	61 (56.5)	50 (61.7)	
No	78 (41.3)	47 (43.5)	31 (38.3)	
Do you think proper tooth brushing helps in maintaining oral hygiene?				0.748
Yes	133 (70.4)	75 (69.4)	58 (71.6)	
No	56 (29.6)	33 (30.6)	23 (28.4)	
Do you think carbonated drinks have adverse effects on teeth?				0.401
Yes	93 (49.2)	56 (51.9)	37 (45.7)	
No	96 (50.8)	52 (48.1)	44 (54.3)	
Do you think sugary/sticky food items can damage teeth?				0.394
Yes	146 (77.2)	81 (75.0)	65 (80.2)	
No	43 (22.8)	27 (25.0)	16 (19.8)	
Do you know how should teeth be cleaned?				0.392
Finger	5 (2.6)	4 (3.7)	1 (1.2)	
Stick	0	0	0	
Tooth-brush	183 (96.8)	103 (95.4)	80 (98.8)	
Charcoal	1 (0.5)	1 (0.9)	0	
How long should you clean your teeth?				0.485
Less than a minute	20 (10.6)	14 (13.0)	6 (7.4)	
2 min	103 (54.5)	55 (50.9)	48 (59.3)	
5 min	36 (19.0)	20 (18.5)	16 (19.8)	
I don't know	30 (15.9)	19 (17.6)	11 (13.6)	
Which type of toothpaste should be used?				0.175
Fluoride containing	77 (40.7)	38 (35.2)	39 (48.1)	
Without fluoride	4 (2.1)	3 (2.8)	1 (1.2)	
I don't know	108 (57.1)	67 (62.0)	41 (50.6)	
What do you know is the ideal time for brushing your teeth?				0.860
In the morning	111 (58.7)	64 (59.3)	47 (58.0)	
At night	51 (27.0)	27 (25.0)	24 (29.6)	
After every meal	19 (10.1)	12 (11.1)	7 (8.6)	
All of the above	8 (10.1)	5 (4.6)	3 (3.7)	
Did your primary care giver or school-teacher educate you regarding oral health and maintenance?				0.161
Yes				
No	108 (57.1)	57 (52.8)	51 (63.0)	

	81 (42.9)	51 (47.2)	30 (37.0)	
Have you been to a dentist during the past years?				0.613
Yes	87 (46.0)	48 (44.4)	39 (48.1)	
No	102 (54.0)	60 (55.6)	42 (51.9)	
From where did you get information on how to keep your mouth clean?				0.128
Parents	119 (63.0)	73 (67.6)	46 (56.8)	
Teacher	70 (37.0)	35 (32.4)	35 (43.2)	
Are you able to brush independently?				1.00
Yes	119 (63.0)	68 (63.0)	51 (63.0)	
No	70 (37.0)	40 (37.0)	30 (37.0)	

3

4

5

Oral Hygiene Practices

Oral Health Knowledge

Dental Care Access and Education

Table 4(on next page)

Distribution according to disease indicators, risk, and protective factors among participants of Pakistan and Saudi Arabia

1 **Table 4.** Distribution according to disease indicators, risk, and protective factors among
2 participants of Pakistan and Saudi Arabia

Disease Indicators	Pakistan N (%)	Saudi Arabia N (%)
Visible cavities or radiographic penetration of the dentin	106 (98.1)	80 (98.7)
Radiographic approximal enamel lesions (not in dentin)	96 (88.8)	68 (83.9)
White spots on smooth surfaces	67 (62.0)	59 (72.8)
Restorations in last three years	52 (48.1)	61 (75.3)
Risk Factors		
Visible heavy plaque on teeth	37 (34.2)	49 (60.4)
Frequent snack (> 3 _ daily between meals)	69 (63.8)	58 (71.6)
Deep pits and fissures	75 (69.4)	44 (54.3)
Recreational drug use	2 (1.8)	0
Inadequate saliva flow by observation	56 (51.8)	35 (43.2)
Saliva reducing factors (medications/radiation/systemic)	61 (56.4)	37 (45.6)
Exposed roots	31 (28.7)	20 (24.6)
Orthodontic appliances	23 (21.2)	38 (46.9)
Protective Factors		
Home/work/school is a fluoridated community	26 (24.0)	54 (66.6)
Fluoride toothpaste at least once daily	74 (68.5)	63 (77.7)
Fluoride toothpaste at least 2 _ daily	13 (12.0)	30 (37.0)
Fluoride mouth rinse (0.05% NaF) daily	15 (13.8)	25 (30.8)
Fluoride varnish in last six months	5 (4.6)	12 (14.8)
Chlorhexidine prescribed/used one week each of last six months	3 (2.7)	7 (8.6)
Xylitol gum/lozenges 4 _ daily last six months	4 (3.7)	6 (7.4)
Calcium and phosphate paste during last six months	3 (2.7)	4 (4.9)

3

4

Table 5(on next page)

Binary logistic regression of the analysis to explore relationships between caries risk categories and sociodemographic variables

Table 5. Binary logistic regression of the analysis to explore relationships between caries risk categories and sociodemographic variables.


Predictor Variable	Coefficient (β)	Odds Ratio (OR)	95% CI for OR	P-value
Age (ref.: 13-16 years)				
17-26	-0.59	0.55	0.12, 2.45	0.43
>27	-0.11	0.89	0.17, 4.59	0.89
Gender (ref.: Male)				
Female	0.47	1.60	0.80, 3.22	0.18
Education of parents (ref.: No formal)				
School	-21.9	0.00	0	0.99
College	-0.26	0.76	0.18, 3.17	0.71
University	-0.17	0.84	0.18, 3.79	0.82
Occupation of parents (ref.: Labor)				
Government	19.855	419.7	0	0.99
Professional	0.21	1.24	0.42, 3.61	0.69
Other	-0.008	0.99	0.40, 2.43	0.98
Length of Employment (yrs) (ref.: <5)				
5-10	-0.49	0.61	0.17, 2.09	0.43
11-15	-0.73	0.48	0.14, 1.55	0.22
>16	-0.20	0.81	0.32, 2.04	0.66
Disability of individuals children (ref.: Hearing loss)				
Blind	-0.6	0.52	0.24, 1.11	0.09
Intellectual Disability (ID)/Down Syndrome	0.90	2.47	0.58, 10.4	0.21
Country (ref.: Pakistan)				
Saudi Arabia	0.67	1.86	0.95, 3.65	0.04

3
4

Figure 1

Figure 1

Distribution of study population according to caries risk assessment




Could benefit from clearer labeling of exact percentages within the pie charts.

Figure 2

Figure 2

Study participants' DMFT values



Y-axis lacks unit labels and explanation of DMFT measurement.