

Insights into the morphology of symbiotic shrimp eyes (Crustacea, Decapoda, [Palaemonidae](#));
the effects of habitat demands

Nicola C. Dobson^{*1}, Magnus L. Johnson¹ & Sammy De Grave²

¹Centre for Environmental and Marine Sciences, University of Hull, Scarborough Campus,
Filey Road, Scarborough, North Yorkshire, YO11 3AZ, U.K.

²Oxford University Museum of Natural History, Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3PW, U.K.

* Corresponding author: n.dobson@biosci.hull.ac.uk

Abstract

Morphometric differences in the optical morphology of [symbiotic palaemonid](#) shrimps can be observed among species symbiotic with different host organisms. Discriminant functional analysis revealed three distinct groups within the species examined. Of these, bivalve symbionts appear to have an eye design that is solely unique to this host-symbiont grouping, a design that spans across multiple genera of phylogenetically unrelated animals. Although some taxonomic effects may be evident, this does not explain the difference and similarities in eye morphology that are seen [within these shrimps](#). Therefore evolutionary pressures from their host environments are having an impact on the optical morphology of [their](#) eyes however, as indicated by host-hopping events there ecological adaptations occur post host invasion.

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-9 10:08 PM

删除: ontoniinae

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 9:20 PM

删除: pontoniid

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 9:21 PM

删除: pontoniid shrimp

1. Introduction

Symbiotic palaemonid shrimps are widespread and abundant in Indo-West Pacific reefal habitats, characterised by their affinity to form associations with a wide range of taxa. Until recently these shrimps were in the subfamily Pontoniinae. However, in a recent phylogenetic study by De Grave *et al.*, (2015) this subfamily was synonymised with the family Palaemonidae as were the related families Gnathophyllidae and Hymenoceridae. For the purposes of this investigation and throughout the remainder of this paper, we will refer to this group of shrimps as 'pontoniine shrimps' to avoid any systematic ambiguity. Members of the previously separate families Gnathophyllidae and Hymenoceridae were not included in the present analyses. Within the pontoniine shrimps, an estimated 60-70% (De Grave, 2001) are known to form associations with corals, sponges, ascidians, gorgonians, and so on. However this is likely to be an underestimate as the host association remains unknown for several species, but is inferred to be symbiotic due to their morphological similarity to other species. Pontoniine shrimps occur in a wider variety of tropical and subtropical habitats, and are known from deeper water, down to about 2000 m (Bruce, 2011). However, their highest species richness is on tropical coral reefs, down to about 100 m. The most recent catalogue (De Grave & Fransen, 2011) lists 602 species, but numerous species have been described since then.

The traditional view of these shrimps as symbionts has recently been challenged for a number of species dwelling in sponges, where diet studies revealed them to be parasites as their stomachs only contained host tissue and spicules (Đuriš *et al.*, 2011). At present it is not known how widespread parasitism is in the group, and we thus refer to them as associates, inferring no trophic interaction with the host.

Morphological adaptation to an associated mode of life has been extensively noted in the taxonomic literature for pontoniine shrimps. Such adaptations include modified pereopods (Bruce, 1977; Patton, 1994) in addition to extensive modifications in general body plan and mouthparts (Bruce, 1966; Đuriš *et al.*, 2011). Additionally, a range of ecologies are recognised, ranging from internally dwelling in small sized hosts like ascidians (e.g. species of the genus *Periclimenaeus*) to fish cleaning species, dwelling on anemones (e.g. *Ancylomenes* spp.). Despite this wealth of morphological and ecological disparity, few studies have been done linking morphological disparity with ecological constraints. A recent exception to this is the study by Dobson *et al* (2014) which examined gross eye morphology

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 9:43 PM
削除: Pontoniine

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 10:00 PM
書式変更: フォント:斜体

Reimer James 2016-3-17 8:08 AM
削除: "

Reimer James 2016-3-17 8:08 AM
削除: i

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 9:47 PM
削除: A

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:31 PM
削除: , and traditionally called symbionts

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 10:12 PM
削除: ae Kingsley, 1879

Reimer James 2016-3-17 8:09 AM
削除: ,

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:36 PM
削除: pontoniid

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 10:49 PM
削除: spp. Borradaile, 1915

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:37 PM
削除: Okuno & Bruce, 2010

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:37 PM
削除:

70 across four, broad, lifestyle categories: ectosymbionts, bivalve endosymbionts, non-bivalve
71 endosymbionts, and [free-living](#). Their results clearly demonstrated considerable differences in
72 superficial optical parameters across various lifestyles. In many decapods, vision is thought to
73 be an important feature of their morphology with variations in morphology and structure
74 reflecting ecological habitat demands (Johnson, Shelton and Gaten, 2000). Differences in eye
75 size, facet size and interommatidial angle have been observed in many marine species
76 occupying different depths (Gaten, Shelton, and Herring, 1992; Johnson *et al.*, 2000). Eye
77 parameter (EP) has been used by a number of researchers as a measure of determining the
78 equipose between sensitivity and resolution of different organisms (Snyder, 1979; Stavenga
79 & Hardie, 1989; Kawada *et al.*, 2006). For organisms occupying well lit habitats EPs of
80 between 0.45 and 1 rad- μ m have been recorded, 1-2 for crepuscular and 2-3 for nocturnal
81 species (Kawada *et al.*, 2006), however these values many vary in aquatic organisms due to
82 the different refraction index of water. Pontonine [shrimps](#) are ideal study organisms for the
83 relationship between eye morphology, vision and habitat demands, given their predilection for
84 forming associations with a wide range of taxa.

85 The current study builds upon [this](#) previous work by focussing on and contrasting across
86 actual host identities using a multivariate analytical framework and thus aims to further
87 unravel potential differences in gross optical morphology of [pontonine shrimps](#).

89 2. Methods

90
91 Optical characteristics of 96 species from 40 genera were examined from collections at the
92 Oxford University Museum of Natural History. [A copy of the dataset used in this paper can](#)
93 [be accessed in the Supplemental Information](#). The work described in this paper was reviewed
94 and approved by the Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Sciences ethics committee
95 approval number U053. To understand differences in eye morphology between host
96 categories, each species was classed into host-symbiont predefined groupings based on their
97 most common host associations (Bruce, 1994); i.e. Actiniaria, Ascidiacea, Asteroidea,
98 Bivalvia, Crinoidea, Echinoidea, Gorgonacea, Hydrozoa, Ophiuroidea, Porifera and
99 Scleractinia or considered to be [free-living](#). For all species, eye span (ES), diameter at the
100 base of the eyestalk (DBES), facet diameter (FD) and eye diameter (ED) were measured using
101 a dissecting microscope fitted with an ocular micrometer. To reduce scaling effects ES, DBES
102 and ED were standardised by post orbital carapace length, whilst FD was standardised by eye
103 diameter. A composite variable, ES-DBES (eye span minus diameter at base of eyestalk), was

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 10:53 PM

删除: non-commensals

Reimer James 2016-3-17 8:10 AM

删除:

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:38 PM

删除: ae

Reimer James 2016-3-17 8:10 AM

删除: ,

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:39 PM

删除: Pontoniinae

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-16 10:29 AM

删除: 44

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 10:53 PM

删除: non-commensal

111 also formulated to provide an indication of eye mobility, the greater mobility of the eyes the
112 larger the value. In addition to the variables measured, eye parameter (EP) was calculated as
113 an outcome of facet diameter (μm) (FD) and interommatidial angle ($\Delta\phi$ in radians) using
114 Snyder (1979) equation (Equation 1).

115

116 Equation 1.
$$\text{EP} = \text{FD}\Delta\phi$$

117

118 Interommatidial angle in radians, used in the calculation of EP, was estimated using an
119 adaptation of Stavenga's (2003) formula (Equation 2).

120

121 Equation 2.
$$\Delta\phi = 2 \left(\frac{\text{FD}}{\text{ED}} \right)$$

122

123 The presence or absence of the nebenaugae (see Dobson *et al.*, 2014) was also noted and when
124 present the relative size was expressed after standardisation by eye diameter (ED). [Our](#)
125 [terminology follows Johnson *et al.* \(2015\), who utilised 'nebenaugae' for the structure](#)
126 [previously referred to under several names.](#)

127

128 Eye Parameter (EP) and standardised nebenaugae size was compared between hosts using a
129 Kruskal Wallis test in the Statistical Software Package R 3.0.2 as this allowed for *Post Hoc*
130 comparisons (R Core Team, 2013), whilst Eye Diameter (ED) was analysed by the means of
131 an ANOVA.

132

133 Subsequently, the dataset was analysed with Discriminant Function Analysis (DFA), also
134 known as Multiple Discriminant Analysis (MDA) or Canonical Variate Analysis (CVA).
135 DFA extracts linear combinations of variables (known as roots) which maximise differences
136 amongst a priori defined groups, in this case host categories, with the percentage correctly
137 classified providing a goodness of fit measure, akin to more traditional P values.

138

139 As DFA requires the number of predictor variables to be fewer than the sample size of the
140 smallest group, a number of host-categories could not be included in the analysis, namely
141 Echinoidea, Hydrozoa, Ophiuroidea and Asteroidea, all of which are relatively infrequently
142 inhabited by [pontoniine](#) shrimp. Outliers were identified using within host category linear
143 least-squares regression analysis, using post-orbital carapace length as the independent

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:41 PM

書式変更: フォント:斜体

Reimer James 2016-3-17 8:12 AM

削除: ,

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 10:20 PM

削除: pontoniid

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 10:22 PM

削除: species

variable. Individual outliers were corrected by re-measurement (where possible), and only excluded from the final dataset if their values still exceeded 3 standard deviation in residual plots. The final dataset analysed with DFA thus comprised of 83 species, across 7 host categories, as well as [free-living](#) taxa. Host categories herein analysed, comprise of Actiniaria (9 shrimp species), Ascidiacea (7), Bivalvia (12), Crinoidea (8), Gorgonacea (7), Porifera (14) and Scleractinia (13). Thirteen micro-predatory species, which are currently considered [not](#) to be host associated, i.e. [free-living](#), were also included in the analysis, a combination of species living on coral reefs and in seagrass beds.

For consistency, statistical analysis of eye size, Eye Parameter and nebaneuge was carried out on the reduced dataset.

Prior to DFA, proportions were arcsine-transformed to meet the assumptions for statistical analysis of normality and homogeneity (Zuur, Ieno and Elphick, 2010). All DFA analysis was performed in SPSS 18. In all DFA analysis, all variables were entered simultaneously, with the contribution of each variable assessed on the basis of discriminant loadings (structure correlations, rather than discriminant coefficients, as those are considered more valid when interpreting the relative contributions of each variable).

3. Results

3.1 Eye size, Eye Parameter and nebaneuge presence

Across all species examined, mean relative ED (Fig. 1) ranged from 0.09 to 0.27, with significantly smaller eyes occurring in bivalve associated species (ANOVA, $F_{7,75} = 9.26$, $P < 0.001$, Tukey $P = 0.05$). Although the analysis deemed none of the remaining differences to be statistically significant, ascidian ($\bar{x} = 0.19, SD \pm 0.06$) and sponge symbionts ($\bar{x} = 0.19, SD \pm 0.06$) were also found to possess some of the smallest relative EDs whilst gorgonian symbionts ($\bar{x} = 0.28, SD \pm 0.11$) and [free-living](#) shrimps ($\bar{x} = 0.26, SD \pm 0.06$) had the largest relative EDs.

Eye parameter (EP) (Fig. 2) ranged from 0.44 – 8.06 rad- μ m, with a significantly larger EP found in ascidian, bivalve and sponge associates (Kruskal Wallis, H (adjusted for ties) = 43.62, $df = 7$, $P < 0.001$, *Post hoc* pairwise comparisons $P = 0.05$). The smallest EP values

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-13 9:34 PM
删除: s

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 10:54 PM
删除: non-commensal

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-9 10:16 PM
删除: 6

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-9 10:16 PM
删除: 4

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-13 5:54 PM
删除: not

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 10:54 PM
删除: non-commensal

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-9 10:58 PM
删除: 1

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-9 11:02 PM
删除: 7

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-9 11:02 PM
删除: 2

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 10:54 PM
删除: non-commensal

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-9 11:35 PM
删除: 4

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-9 11:35 PM
删除: 98

were found in associates of crinoid, gorgonians and in [free-living](#) shrimps. Associates of sea anemones and corals were not significantly different to any other host category in terms of EP (Fig. 2), whilst the widest range of values is present in sponge associates. Although not statistically considered as outliers in within-host category regression analysis, three species exhibited an aberrant EP, all of the genus *Pontonia*. *Pontonia panamica*, an ascidian commensal has the largest EP in the dataset ($EP = 7.45$), whilst *P. mexicana* and *P. pinnophylax* exhibited considerable larger values than other species associated with bivalves.

A significant association was found between the presence/absence of the nebensa and host category (Chi-squared test, $\chi^2 = 24.777$, $df = 7$, $P < 0.001$). High absence rates of the nebensa were observed among ascidian, bivalve and poriferan symbionts (Fig. 3), whilst it is prevalent in sea anemone associates and [free-living](#) shrimps. However, the relative size is not different across host categories (Kruskal Wallis test, $H = 8.93$, $df = 6$, $P = 0.178$), with ascidians excluded as only one species, *Periclimenaeus hecate* had a nebensa.

3.2 Multivariate analysis

Discriminant function analysis revealed only two significant roots (Table 1), which cumulatively explain 94.6% of total variance. Examination of the structure matrix (Table 2) revealed that three variables were highly loaded on to the first root (EP, FD, ED), whilst a fourth variable (ES-DBES) displayed greatest loading on the second function.

A classification matrix indicates that overall 50.6% of shrimp species were correctly classified in respect to their [priori defined groups](#) (host classification) (Table 3), but with significant variation as to within-group classification. Bivalve associates were 100.0% correctly classified, with a high number also correctly classified for sponge associates (78.6%). [Over half of the free-living](#) species (61.5%) were correctly classified to their [priori](#) group, with other species classified as sea anemone, [crinoid](#) and coral associates. Gorgonian associates correctly classified in 42.9% of cases, with misclassified taxa allied to [free-living](#), [coral and](#) [crinoid](#) associates. [Coral associates correctly classified in 38.5% of cases with species misclassifying as associates of sponges, sea anemones, crinoids and free-living species.](#) Sea anemone and crinoid associates were only 22.2 and 25.0% correctly classified. All ascidian symbionts were found to misclassify, with 71.4% of them misclassified as sponge associates.

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 10:54 PM
删除: non-commensal
Sammy De Grave 2016-3-14 11:42 AM
删除:
Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:43 PM
删除: Latreille, 1829
Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:43 PM
删除: Marin & Anker, 2008
Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:43 PM
删除: Guérin-Méneville, 1855
Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:44 PM
删除: Otto, 1821
Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 8:14 PM
删除: 95
Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 10:55 PM
删除: non-commensal
Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 10:47 PM
删除:
Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-9 11:55 PM
删除: 7
Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-9 11:55 PM
删除: 82
Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-9 11:56 PM
删除: 252
Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:47 PM
删除: Nobili, 1904)
Reimer James 2016-3-17 8:12 AM
删除:
Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 8:21 PM
删除: 3
Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-13 9:05 PM
删除: a priori
Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-13 9:05 PM
删除: their
Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-13 9:05 PM
删除: ive
Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-13 5:56 PM
删除: y
Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-10 11:21 PM
删除: Non-commensal
Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-10 11:21 PM
删除: were approximately half
Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-10 11:21 PM
删除: 53.8
Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-11 12:04 AM
删除: in
Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-11 12:04 AM
删除: a
Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-10 11:12 PM
删除: 33.3
Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 10:56 PM
删除: non-commensal
Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-10 11:13 PM
删除: or

254

255 When comparing the relative position of the centroids for each host category (Fig. 4) it is
256 obvious, that the eyes of ascidian and sponge associated species are very similar to each other,
257 as are the eyes of crinoid and coral associates, both of which also group with the [free-living](#)
258 species. Although broadly similar to the latter grouping, the eyes of gorgonian and sea
259 anemone associates are somewhat divergent as well as divergent to each other, as evidenced
260 by the position of their centroids. Bivalve associates clearly occupy an isolated position,
261 relative to the other host categories.

262

263 When plotting only the ascidian associates in the DFA analysis (Fig. 5), a divergent position
264 of *P. panamica* is evident, whilst the other taxa form a loose grouping. The positions of
265 sponge associates (Fig. 6) reveal two distinct, but loose groupings, as well as a divergent
266 species, *Thaumastocaris streptopus*. Membership of either of the two groups does not appear
267 influenced by phylogeny, as either group contains species belonging to the genera *Typton* and
268 *Periclimenaeus*. The positions of the individual bivalve associates (Fig. 7) reveals a relatively
269 tight grouping, but with an isolated position occupied by *Conchodytes nipponensis*. The
270 positions of individual crinoid associates (Fig. 8) are rather scattered, but with a very isolated
271 position for *Laomenes nudirostris*. A similar scattered pattern is observed for the coral
272 associates (Fig. 9) and the [free-living](#) species (Fig. 10). Gorgonian associates also
273 demonstrate this pattern (Fig. 11), but with a significant, isolated position for *Pontonides*
274 *loloata*. A similar pattern is observed for sea anemone associates (Fig. 12), with an isolated
275 position for *Periclimenes scriptus*.

276

277 4. Discussion

278

279 Multivariate analysis clearly reveals that three distinct eye types are present in pontoniine
280 shrimps, with bivalve associates comprising a type on their own. Sponge and ascidian
281 associates have remarkably similar eyes, to the point that the majority of ascidian associates
282 were misclassified as sponge associates in the analysis. A third eye type is present in a range
283 of ectosymbiotic taxa, associated with sea anemones, gorgonians, corals, crinoids, as well as
284 [free-living](#) species.

285 An examination of the structure loadings reveals that along the first root, both facet diameter
286 (FD) and Eye Parameter (EP) increases, but with a concomitant decrease in eye diameter (ED),
287 whilst along the second root eye mobility (as measured by ES-DBES) decreases. Broadly

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 10:57 PM
删除: non-commensal

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:48 PM
删除: Kemp, 1922

Reimer James 2016-3-17 8:13 AM
删除:

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:49 PM
删除: O.G. Costa, 1844

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:49 PM
删除: De Haan, 1844

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:49 PM
删除: Bruce, 1968

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 10:57 PM
删除: non-commensal

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:49 PM
删除: Bruce, 2005

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:49 PM
删除: Risso, 1822

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:51 PM
删除: ssymbiotic

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-11 12:05 AM
删除:

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 10:58 PM
删除: non-commensal

300 speaking, the ectosymbiotic and [free-living](#) taxa thus have smaller facet diameters, a lower EP
301 and bigger eyes, than their endosymbiotic counterparts in bivalves, sponges and ascidians.
302 Equally, bivalve associates display more mobile eyes than ascidian and sponge associates, but
303 with roughly similar facet diameter and EP. It should be noted that the relative eye size of
304 bivalve associates is significantly smaller than all other host groupings, this may be as a result
305 of their comparably larger body sizes (e.g. mean average 6.9 mm CL versus 3.0 mm CL for
306 Actiniaria, 2.5 mm CL for Porifera and 1.34 mm CL for Gorgonacea symbionts).

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 10:58 PM
削除: non-commensal

307
308 Within deep sea caridean species the nebenaugae has been suggested to have an important role
309 in diurnal migrations (Johnson [et al.](#), 2015). The concept that orientation to light is aided by
310 the presence of the nebenaugae is further supported by these results with [it](#) being highly
311 abundant within sea anemone, crinoid, [free-living](#) and coral associates. However for bivalve,
312 ascidian and sponge associates both diurnal migrations and orientation to light would be of
313 little significance for species with an endosymbiotic mode of life.

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-10 11:43 PM
削除: 29

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:53 PM
削除: , Dobson, and De Grave,

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:53 PM
書式変更: フォント:斜体

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:54 PM
削除: nebenaugae

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 10:59 PM
削除: non-commensal

314
315 This result is not surprising, given the clear relationship between gross eye morphology of
316 pontonine shrimps and life style already demonstrated in Dobson *et al.* (2014). Therein,
317 based on a range of optical parameters, the eyes of [free-living](#) and ectosymbiotic species were
318 found to be very similar, and clearly different from both types of endosymbiotic species
319 considered, bivalves and non-bivalve associates. Further, bivalve endosymbionts exhibited an
320 intermediary group between [free-living](#) ectosymbionts and non-bivalve endosymbionts,
321 potentially linked to their presumed more active lifestyle, with bivalve associated documented
322 to move hosts in search of a mate (Baeza *et al.*, 2011).

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 10:59 PM
削除: non-commensal

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-11 12:05 AM
削除:

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 10:59 PM
削除: non-commensal

323
324 Whilst the relationships between optical parameters and lifestyle in Dobson *et al.* (2014)
325 appears clear-cut and supported by the present analysis, by including actual host identity,
326 rather than lifestyle in the current analysis, a number of surprising findings emerge.

327
328 The eyes of ascidian associated species emerges as being remarkable similar to the eyes of
329 sponge associated species, to the point that the majority of a priori classified species in this
330 group were misclassified as sponge eyes by the multivariate analysis. This is herein
331 interpreted being likely a significant signal of phylogenetic constraint, as four out of the seven
332 species in this host category belong to a primarily sponge dwelling genus, *Periclimenaeus*
333 (see below) with generally conservative eye morphology, potentially indicative of recent host

switching event(s). Two further species in this host category, phylogenetically unrelated to *Periclimenaeus*, *Dactylonia okai* and *Odontonia katoi* are thought to be closely related species (Fransen, 2002), but with significantly different gross eye morphology. *Dactylonia okai* possesses stout triangular shaped eyes, whereas the eyes of *Odontonia* species are small and hemispherical (Fransen, 2002). Whilst *D. okai* and *O. katoi* are found living within large solitary ascidians, species of *Periclimenaeus* are found living within both ascidians and sponges. Species such as *Periclimenaeus orbitocarinatus* and *Periclimenaeus ascidiarum* live in association with compound ascidians that are structurally similar in morphology to the canals of sponges occupied by, for example, *Periclimenaeus maxillulidens*. The structural similarity in hosts between the symbionts of compound ascidians and sponges could be a plausible explanation for the high misclassification of ascidian symbionts to sponges. Two species were misclassified as either a sea anemone or bivalve associate. Although DFA does not provide information on individual classified species, it is evident from Fig. 5 that *P. panamica* is the species misclassified as a bivalve associate. The genus *Pontonia* comprises of 11 species (De Grave & Fransen, 2011) and is morphologically very conservative. Although the host for one species, *P. longispina*, is not known, the majority of species associate with bivalves in the families Pinnidae and Pteriidae, whilst one poorly known species *P. chimaera* is thought to be an associate of large gastropods of the genus *Strombus*. *Pontonia panamica* is the only species to associate with ascidians, the solitary species *Ascidia interrupta* in the eastern Pacific. Although Marin and Anker (2008) speculate that a host switch to ascidians occurred early on in the evolutionary history of this genus, the retention of essentially a “bivalve” eye is perhaps indicative of a more recent host switching event. However, on balance the differences in eye morphology between the phylogenetically not related genera herein analysed as ascidian associates suggests that despite occurring in a similar host environment, their enclosure inside ascidians has not provided pressure on their eyes to become optically similar. As to whether this lack of overall evolutionary pressure is imparted by distinctive host morphologies (compound, solitary) or habitats (intertidal, subtidal) or indeed is determined by differential behavioural attributes (social biology) of the associates themselves remains unclear.

Notwithstanding their close similarity to ascidian associate eyes, the eyes of sponge associated species appear to be quite uniform, with the majority being correctly classified in their a priori defined host group, but seemingly forming two distinct subgroups in the analysis, in addition to the outlying *T. streptopus*. We infer here that the classification into two

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:57 PM

删除: Kemp, 1922

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:57 PM

删除: Kubo, 1940

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:57 PM

删除: Fransen, 2002

Reimer James 2016-3-17 8:14 AM

删除: y

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-13 10:50 PM

删除: (

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:01 PM

删除: Holthuis, 1951)

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-13 10:52 PM

删除: (

Reimer James 2016-3-17 8:14 AM

删除:

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:59 PM

删除: Holthuis, 1951

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-13 10:52 PM

删除:)

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:59 PM

删除: Linnaeus, 1758

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-13 10:54 PM

删除: cf

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 8:59 PM

删除: Heller, 1878

subgroups is putatively related to host morphologies, as sponge species exhibit a discrete and distinct range of canal sizes. Space partitioning, as well as individual host selection is indeed known to play a significant role in the sponge-dwelling gambarelloides group of *Synalpheus* (Duffy, 1992; Hultgren and Duffy, 2010; 2012). The speculation that canal sizes of the host may play a significant role in optical acuity of pontonine species, can however not be substantiated, as the host range of most species remains unknown, with even the identity of many hosts simply not being known. For instance, for many species of *Periclimenaeus*, a primarily sponge associated genus, the hosts are not known (Bruce, 2006). Of particular interest are the three ectosymbiotic species included in this primarily endosymbiotic group in the present analysis, *T. streptopus*, *Periclimenes harringtoni*, and *Periclimenes incertus*. *Thaumastocaris streptopus* is an Indo-Pacific species, which dwells in the central atrium of vase-shaped sponges like *Siphonochalina*, and *Callyspongia*, (see Bruce, 1994). Based on the present suite of optic parameters, this species does not cluster with the rest of the sponge associates. Although Āuriš *et al.* (2011) consider the species to be parasitic, in common with several other sponge associates, the isolated position of the species in the present analysis, combined with their asymmetrical first pereopods and a segmented carpus (both unique within the family) is indicative perhaps of a different behavioural niche. The Indo-Pacific, *P. incertus* dwells on the outside of a variety of sponges, and clusters reasonably close to the other sponge associates in the present analysis, potentially indicative of similar relationship to the host, if external. The Caribbean *P. harringtoni* dwells in the atria of *Neofibularia nolitangere*, and based on the optical parameters studied herein, appears to have an eye structure very similar to that of endosymbiotic species, potentially an example of habitat driven adaptation, despite the significant difference in position on the host.

The sea anemone associates included in the present analysis, fall into four ecological/systematic groups, *Ancylomenes* and three different species groups of *Periclimenes*. *Ancylomenes* species are on the whole considered to be fish cleaners, who only utilise the sea anemone as an advertisement for their services to client fish (Huebner & Chadwick, 2012). It should be noted that this is potentially a generalisation, as direct observation of fish cleaning behaviour is not available for all species, with this information lacking for one species herein included, *A. tosaensis*, *Periclimenes yucatanicus*, and *Periclimenes rathbunae* are active large bodied species, associated with a variety of sea anemones in the Caribbean. Fish cleaning has not been observed for either species, with Limbaugh *et al.*, (1961) considering *P. yucatanicus* a fish-cleaning mimic. *Periclimenes ornatus* and *P. inornatus* belong to the same species

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:01 PM
删除: Spence Bate, 1888

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:01 PM
删除: Lebour, 1949

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:03 PM
删除: .

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:01 PM
删除: Borradaile, 1915

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:02 PM
删除: Schmidt, 1868

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:02 PM
删除: Duchassaing & Michelotti, 1864

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:02 PM
删除: , with

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:02 PM
删除: Duchassaing & Michelotti, 1864

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:04 PM
删除: O.G. Costa, 1844

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-13 10:59 PM
删除: (

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:05 PM
删除: Kubo, 1951)

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:04 PM
删除: Ives, 1891

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:05 PM
删除: .

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:05 PM
删除: Schmitt, 1924

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:05 PM
删除: Bruce, 1969

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:06 PM
删除: Kemp, 1922

439 complex, and are smaller bodied species which hide in between the tentacles of a variety of
440 Indo-Pacific sea anemones. Finally, *P. scriptus*, a Mediterranean [and subtropical Northeast](#)
441 [Atlantic](#) species which is not phylogenetically closely related to the other two groups, is an
442 active species, associated with long tentacle sea anemones, with no known fish cleaning
443 behaviour. With the exception of *P. scriptus* (see below) these species exhibit a scattered
444 grouping in the DFA analysis, and as a group have a low percentage correctly classified, at
445 22%. It thus appears that despite their broad ecological niche similarity as sea anemone
446 associates, insufficient convergent pressure on their optical parameters [is noted](#), indicative of
447 differential usage of their eyes.

449 In contrast to sea anemone associates, coral associates exhibit a reasonable level of correctly
450 classified in the DFA analysis, at [38.5%](#), despite the large variety of host morphotypes
451 involved in this association. Several species [Coralliocaris spp.](#), [Harpilius spp.](#), [and](#),
452 [Harpiliopsis spp.](#), are associated with branching corals of the families Pocilloporidae and
453 Acroporidae. Other species in this group are associated with corals which extend their polyps
454 during the day, either short polyps (e.g. [Hamopontonia corallicola](#), on [Goniopora](#)) or long
455 polyp forms, such as [Cuapetes kororensis](#), on [Heliofungia actiniformis](#). Morphologically
456 heavily modified taxa are also present in this group, such as the laterally flattened
457 [Ischnopontonia lophos](#), which moves between the corallites of [Galaxea](#). It thus appears that
458 the habitat and/or behaviour in the case of coral associates is a significant driver in optical
459 parameters, akin to the [free-living](#) species, which had an approximately similar level of
460 correctly classified species (53.8%). However, in contrast to [free-living](#) taxa, which are
461 considered to be micro-predators, several of the coral associates are potentially parasites
462 (Stella *et al.*, 2011). The common functionality of their optic parameters (to a degree)
463 remains unclear, although it is known that several species, e.g. *Coralliocaris* defend their
464 coral host against predators (Marin, 2009a; Stella *et al.*, 2011), perhaps necessitating the need
465 for similar optical acuity to [free-living](#) micro predators.

467 Bivalve associates exhibited a 100% correct classification in the DFA analysis, although with
468 reasonable scatter in the scatter plot, and a significant outlier (*C. nipponensis*). Yet the group
469 consists of several genera, including [Conchodytes](#), [and Anchistus](#), which are phylogenetically
470 distant (Kou *et al.*, 2014). Furthermore these species can be differentiated by general bauplan
471 morphologies, ranging from relatively unspecialized (*Anchistus* and *Paranchistus*, for example)
472 to dorso-laterally compressed (e.g. *Conchodytes*) (Bruce 1981; Fransen & Reijnen, 2012).

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:06 PM

削除: provides

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-10 11:51 PM

削除: 42.9

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:09 PM

削除: (

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:07 PM

削除: Stimpson, 1860,

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:07 PM

削除: , Dana, 1852

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:10 PM

削除: Borradaile, 1917)

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:08 PM

削除: Bruce, 1970

Reimer James 2016-3-17 8:15 AM

削除:

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-13 11:03 PM

削除: spp.

Reimer James 2016-3-17 8:15 AM

削除:

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:08 PM

削除: de Blainville, 1830

Reimer James 2016-3-17 8:15 AM

コメント【1】: This sentence does not make sense to me, needs a bit of editing.

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:08 PM

削除: Bruce, 1977

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:08 PM

削除: Quoy & Gaimard, 1833

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:09 PM

削除: Barnard, 1962

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:09 PM

削除: spp. Oken, 1815

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 11:00 PM

削除: non-commensal

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 11:00 PM

削除: non-commensal

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-12 11:00 PM

削除: non-commensal

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:13 PM

削除: Peters 1852

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:13 PM

削除: Borradaile, 1898

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:13 PM

削除: Holthuis, 1952

494 Their phylogenetic distance is evidence of multiple host invasions (Kou *et al.*, 2014), but the
495 present analysis reveals considerable convergence in optical parameters, indicative of
496 profound habitat induced restraints.

497

498 A number of species occupy isolated positions within their respective groups, notably *P.*
499 *loloata*, *P. scriptus*, *C. nipponensis* and *L. nudirostris*. Although we cannot discount variation
500 in optical parameters of individual eyes, which may have lowered the percentage correctly
501 classified and induced a higher degree of scatter, two species are worthy of further discussion.
502 The corneal part of the eye of *Laomenes* species is characterised by an apical papilla (see
503 illustrations for several species in Marin, 2009b) which contains functional facets, but which
504 are somewhat different in shape to facets elsewhere on the cornea. The relative size as well as
505 the exact position of the papilla has been used as a minor taxonomic character to differentiate
506 between species (Marin, 2009b). However, it is known that a large degree of infra-specific
507 variation is present, which unquestionably would influence some of the herein included
508 optical parameters. *Periclimenes scriptus* appeared isolated within the sea anemone grouping
509 however due to the small size of the specimen (CL 1.25 mm) it is possible that this animal
510 was not fully mature as ovigerous females have a reported CL of 5.0 mm (Đuriš *et al.*, 2013).

511

512 **5. Conclusions**

513

514 Overall, our analysis demonstrates that there is a significant evolutionary pressure of the host
515 environment on the optic parameters of associate shrimp species, with in many cases
516 congruence being evident between phylogenetically unrelated taxa. This is especially evident
517 in bivalve and sponge associates, and to a lesser extent in other host taxa. This result is in
518 sharp contrast to the disparate morphology of many other body parts of pontoniine shrimps,
519 with significant variation in mouthparts, pereopods and even general body shape between
520 genera, inhabiting the same host. At the same time, evidence emerges from the optical
521 analysis of recent host switching events in certain lineages, where the optical parameters have
522 not evolved to a communality yet, especially in the genera *Periclimenaeus* and *Pontonia*,
523 where taxa living in different hosts appear to retain a close optical similarity to those living in
524 other taxa.

525

526 **6. Acknowledgements**

527

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:12 PM

削除: *Pontonides*

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:11 PM

削除: *Periclimenes*

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:12 PM

削除: *Conchodytes*

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:12 PM

削除: *Laomenes*

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-15 9:11 PM

削除: Clarke, 1919

533 We would like to thank Dr Sue Hull for her valuable suggestions with regards to the analysis
534 and comments on previous versions of the manuscript. [We would also like to thank both](#)
535 [reviewers for their valuable comments on the manuscript,](#)

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-16 10:33 AM

删除: Access to the Oxford University Natural History Museum collections was facilitated through a St John's Summer Scholarship to Magnus L Johnson in 2011.

538 7. References

- 539 Baeza, J.A., Bolaños, J.A., Hernandez, J.E., Lira, C. & López, R. 2011. Monogamy does not
540 last long in *Pontonia mexicana*, a symbiotic shrimp of the amber pen-shell *Pinna carnea*
541 from the southeastern Caribbean Sea. - Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and
542 Ecology **407**(1): 41–47.
- 543 Bruce, A.J. 2011. A new record of *Periclimenes pholeter* Holthuis, 1973 (Crustacea:
544 Decapoda: Pontoniinae) from the Red Sea. - Cahiers de Biologie Marine **52**(1): 119–120.
- 545 [Bruce, A.J. 2006. *Periclimenaeus nielbrucei* sp. nov. \(Crustacea: Decapoda: Pontoniinae\), a](#)
546 [new sponge associate from the Capricorn Islands, Queensland, with notes on related](#)
547 [Periclimenaeus species. - Zootaxa **1224**: 1–22.](#)
- 548 Bruce, A.J. 1994. A synopsis of the Indo-West Pacific genera of the Pontoniinae (Crustacea:
549 Decapoda: Palaemonidae). - Theses Zoologicae **25**: 1–172.
- 550 Bruce, A.J. 1981. Notes on some Indo-Pacific Pontoniinae, XXXVI. *Pontonia ardeae* sp. nov.,
551 a new bivalve associate from the Capricorn Islands (Decapoda, Natantia). - Crustaceana
552 **40**(2): 113–126.
- 553 [Bruce, A.J. 1977. The hosts of the coral associated Indo-West Pacific Pontoniine shrimps. -](#)
554 [Atoll Research Bulletin **205**: 1–19.](#)
- 555 Bruce, A.J. 1966. Notes on some Indo-Pacific Pontoniinae. XI. A re-examination of *Philarius*
556 *lophos* Barnard, with the designation of a new genus, *Ischnopontonia*. - Bulletin of
557 Marine Science **16**(3): 584–598.
- 558 [Dobson, N.C., De Grave, S. & Johnson, M.L. 2014. Linking eye design with host symbiont](#)
559 [relationships in pontoniine Shrimps \(Crustacea, Decapoda, Palaemonidae\). - PloS ONE](#)
560 [9\(6\): e99505.](#)
- 561 Duffy, J.E. 1992. Host use patterns and demography in a guild of tropical sponge-dwelling
562 shrimps. - Marine Ecology Progress Series **90**: 127–138.
- 563 Đuriš, Z., Ateş, A S., Özalp, H B. & Katağan, T. 2013. New records of decapod crustaceans
564 (Decapoda: Pontoniinae and Inachidae) associated with sea anemones in Turkish waters.
565 - Mediterranean Marine Science **14**(Special Issue): 49–55.

Nicola C Dobson 2016-3-13 6:02 PM

删除: Bruce, A.J. 2006. *Periclimenaeus nielbrucei* sp. nov. (Crustacea: Decapoda: Pontoniinae), a new sponge associate from the Capricorn Islands, Queensland, with notes on related *Periclimenaeus* species. - Zootaxa **1224**: 1–22. .

576 Ďuriš, Z., Horká, I., Juračka, P.J., Petrusek, A. & Sandford, F. 2011. These squatters are not
 577 innocent: the evidence of parasitism in sponge-inhabiting shrimps. - PLoS ONE **6**(7):
 578 e21987.

579 Fransen, C.H.J.M. 2002. Taxonomy, phylogeny, historical biogeography, and historical
 580 ecology of the genus *Pontonia* Latreille (Crustacea: Decapoda: Caridea: Palaemonidae).
 581 - Zoologische Verhandelingen (Leiden) **336**: 1–433.

582 Fransen, C.H.J.M. & Reijnen, B.T. 2012. A second discovery of *Lacertopontonia chadi*
 583 Marin, 2011 (Crustacea: Decapoda: Palaemonidae), with remarks on its systematic
 584 position. - Zootaxa **3437**: 43–50.

585 Gaten, E., Shelton, P.M.J. & Herring, P.J. 1992. Regional morphological variations in the
 586 compound eyes of certain mesopelagic shrimps in relation to their habitat. - Journal of
 587 the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom **72**: 61–75.

588 De Grave, S. 2001. Biogeography of Indo-Pacific Pontoniinae (Crustacea, Decapoda): a PAE
 589 analysis. - Journal of Biogeography **28**(10): 1239–1253.

590 [De Grave, S., Fransen, C.H.J.M. 2015. Let's be pals again: major systematic changes in](#)
 591 [Palaemonidae \(Crustacea: Decapoda\). -PeerJ 3:e1167.](#)

592 De Grave, S. & Fransen, C.H.J.M. 2011. Carideorum Catalogus: The recent species of the
 593 dendrobranchiate, stenopodidean, procaridean and caridean shrimps (Crustacea:
 594 Decapoda). - Zoologische Mededelingen, Leiden **85**: 195–589. Figs 1–59.

595 Huebner, L.K. & Chadwick, N.E. 2012. Patterns of cleaning behaviour on coral reef fish by
 596 the anemone shrimp *Ancylomenes pedersoni*. - Journal of the Marine Biological
 597 Association of the United Kingdom **92**(7): 1557–1562.

598 Hultgren, K. & Duffy, J. 2010. Sponge host characteristics shape the community structure of
 599 their shrimp associates. - Marine Ecology Progress Series **407**: 1–12.

600 Hultgren, K.M. & Duffy, J.E. 2012. Phylogenetic community ecology and the role of social
 601 dominance in sponge-dwelling shrimp. - Ecology Letters **15**(7): 704–13.

602 Johnson, M.L., Shelton, P.M., Gaten, E. & Herring, P.J. 2000. Relationship of dorsoventral
 603 eyeshine distributions to habitat depth and animal size in mesopelagic decapods. -
 604 Biological Bulletin **199**(1): 6–13.

605 Johnson, M.L., Dobson, N.C. & De Grave, S. 2015. External morphology of eyes and
 606 Nebenaugen of caridean decapods – ecological and systematic considerations. - PeerJ.
 607 **3**:e1176; DOI 10.7717/peerj.1176

608 Johnson, M.L., Shelton, P.M.J. & Gaten, E. 2000. Temporal resolution in the eyes of marine
 609 decapods from coastal and deep-sea habitats. - Marine Biology **136**(2): 243–248.

610 Kawada, H., Tatsuta, H., Arikawa, K. & Takagi, M. 2006. Comparative study on the
611 relationship between photoperiodic host-seeking behavioral patterns and the eye
612 parameters of mosquitoes. - *Journal of Insect Physiology* **52**(1): 67–75.

613 Kou, Q., Li, X.Z., Chan, T.Y & Chu, K.H. 2014. Divergent evolutionary pathways and host
614 shifts among the commensal pontonine shrimps: a preliminary analysis based on
615 selected Indo-Pacific species. - *Organisms Diversity & Evolution* **15**: 369–377.

616 Limbaugh, C., Pederson, H. & Chace, F.A. 1961. Shrimps that clean fishes. - *Bulletin of*
617 *Marine Science* **11**(2): 237–257.

618 Marin, I. 2009a. A review of the pontonine shrimp genus *Rapipontonia* Marin, 2007
619 (Decapoda: Caridea: Palaemonidae), with the description of a new species from the
620 Indo-West Pacific. - *Zootaxa* **2289**: 1–17.

621 Marin, I. 2009b. Crinoid-associated shrimps of the genus *Laomenes* A.H. Clark, 1919
622 (Caridea: Palaemonidae: Pontoniinae): new species and probable diversity. - *Zootaxa*
623 **1971**: 1–49.

624 Marin, I. & Anker, A. 2008. A new species of *Pontonia* Latreille, 1829 (Crustacea, Decapoda,
625 Palaemonidae) associated with sea squirts (Tunicata, Ascidiacea) from the Pacific coast
626 of Panama. - *Zoosystema* **30**(2): 501–515.

627 Patton, W.K. 1994. Distribution and ecology of animals associated with branching corals
628 (*Acropora* spp.) from the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. - *Bulletin of Marine Science*
629 **55**(1): 193–211.

630 R Core Team, 2013. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
631 for Statistical Computing. - Vienna, Austria, *ISBN 3-900051-07-0*. Available at:
632 <http://www.r-project.org>.

633 Snyder, A.W. 1979. Physics of vision in compound eyes. Pp 225–313 in: H. Autrum, (eds).
634 Comparative Physiology and Evolution of Vision in Invertebrates A: Invertebrate
635 photoreceptors. - Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

636 Stavenga, D.G. 2003. Angular and spectral sensitivity of fly photoreceptors. II. Dependence
637 on facet lens F-number and rhabdomere type in *Drosophila*. - *Journal of Comparative*
638 *Physiology A*, **189**(3): 189–202.

639 Stavenga, D.G. & Hardie, R.C. 1989. Facets of vision. - Springer-Verlag, London.

640 Stella, J.S. Pratchett, M.S., Hutchings, A.P. & Jones, G.P. 2011. Coral-associated
641 invertebrates: diversity, ecological importance and vulnerability to disturbance. -
642 *Oceanography and Marine Biology: An annual review* **49**: 43–104.

643 Zuur, A.F., Ieno, E.N. & Elphick, C.S. 2010. A protocol for data exploration to avoid
644 common statistical problems. - *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **1**(1): 3–14.
645