

Dear Authors,

Congratulations on your work!

The author has tried to make significant improvements, but some improvements still can be made. The author can use general guidance reports like STROBE for cross-sectional study to make general improvement

1. BASIC REPORTING

- a. Proofreading is still required to ensure the appropriate use of punctuation when writing. Some of the punctuations are in lines 9, 208, and 271. The Author should use $P < 0.001$ for the P-value of $P = 0.000$ (the meaning is different). The total percentage at the “Methods to create awareness among the community towards safe disposal of drug” (Table 6) is not 100.0%. The number at the beginning of the sentence should be changed to the word (Line 236-237). Statistical Package for Sciences should be Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) or Statistical Product and Service Solutions
- b. The Author wrote a well-structured manuscript.
- c. Figures are good
- d. Raw data is well supplied.

2. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

- a. The manuscript meets the original primary research requirement within the journal's Scope.
- b. Overall, the research question is well-defined, relevant, and meaningful. It is stated how the research fills an identified knowledge gap.
- c. Generally, research shows rigorous investigation performed with sufficient technical and ethical standards, but some improvements still can be made in some aspects, including :
 1. Study tool. The Author needs to explain in more detail the purpose of distributing questionnaires to 20 people. Are they asked to review the questionnaire, or are they asked to answer it and then the results are analyzed (since the researchers can use the r-value to determine a valid question) ? or both? To explain "the required adjustment" referred to by the researcher.
 2. The Author needs to explain more about the method used **to ensure that respondents represent various regions** of Saudi Arabia. Has the region been determined at the beginning of the study? How was the region selected? Is it achieved according to the target? It would be better if the name of the region were mentioned.
 3. Time of questionnaire distribution needs to be added (e.g., in early 2019)
- d. Methods need more detailed information to replicate. The Author can explain in **more detail how to analyze the data**. Researchers need to describe the conditions that must be met to use the test (Chi-square) and its alternatives.

3. VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS

- a. The study has a meaningful impact.
- b. All underlying data have been provided; they are robust, statistically sound, and controlled.
- c. Conclusions are well-written