

Optimizing sowing time and weather conditions for enhanced growth and seed yield of chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) in semi-arid regions

CB Harisha^{1*}, KM Boraiah^{1*}, PS Basavaraj¹, Hanamant M. Halli¹, RN Singh¹, Jagadish Rane^{1,2}, K Sammi Reddy¹, GR Halagundegowda³, Amresh Chaudhary^{1,4}, Arvind Kumar Verma⁵, Y Ravi⁵, Honnappa Asangi⁶, E Senthamil⁷

¹ Scientist, ICAR-National Institute of Abiotic Stress Management, Baramati, Pune- 413 115, Maharashtra, India

² ICAR-Central Institute of Arid Horticulture, Bichhwal-334006, Bikaner-334006, Rajasthan, India

³ Central Silk Board, Bangalore 560035, India

⁴ ICAR-Central soil Salinity Research Institute, Karnal- 132001, Haryana, India

⁵ ICAR-National Research Centre on Seed Spices, Ajmer- 305006, Rajasthan, India

⁶ ICAR-Indian Institute of Spice Research, RS Appangala- 571201, Karnataka, India

⁷ Ph.D. Scholar, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad- 580005, Karnataka, India

Correspondence to:

Scientist, ICAR-National Institute of Abiotic Stress Management, Pune-413115, India.

Email address: Harisha.B@icar.gov.in (CB Harisha); hmalli4700@gmail.com (Hanamant M. Halli)

*equal first author

ABSTRACT

Background: Climate ~~change~~-influenced weather events, especially during the flowering, grain filling, and maturity stages, ~~can~~ adversely ~~influence~~ crop yield and quality. Therefore, understanding ~~how~~ the phenological behavior and ~~yield~~ potential of new crops, such as chia, ~~are influenced by weather and sowing dates is crucial for maximizing crop yield.~~ This study aimed to assess the impact of sowing dates on the flowering behavior and yield attributes of chia morphotypes, as well as to identify optimal weather conditions for achieving higher yields.

Deleted: change

Deleted: influencing

Deleted: standardization of sowing dates is crucial to

Deleted: the

Deleted: to

Deleted: mitigate

Deleted: reductions due to changing weather caused by variation in sowing dates. ...

37 **Methods:** The study was conducted during 2021-22 and 2022-23 and consisted of fifteen
38 sowing windows from 1st July to 1st February (at 15 days interval), with two chia
39 morphotypes (white and black seed) arranged in a replicated split-plot design. Phenological
40 events, flowering characters, and seed yield traits were recorded regularly. Weather
41 parameters at the experimental location (Maharashtra, India) were recorded.
42 **Results:** The results revealed that weather conditions such as higher relative humidity (RH)
43 and rainfall favoured the flowering phenology, yield attributes, and seed yield of chia,
44 whereas maximum temperature (T_{\max}), bright sunshine hours, and accumulated growing
45 degree days had negative effects. Chia seed yield was significantly influenced by weather
46 parameters during the cropping period: RH (positive, $R^2=86.1\%$), T_{\max} (negative, $R^2=67.4\%$),
47 rainfall (positive, $R^2=52.9\%$), and diurnal temperature range (negative, $R^2=74.9\%$). Black-
48 seeded chia morphotypes consistently produced higher seed yields (10.8% greater) and better
49 yield-contributing traits compared to white types across various sowing dates. The maximum
50 chia seed yield (811–793.1 kg ha⁻¹) was achieved with sowing dates between August 1st and
51 September 1st in this semi-arid region of India. The performance of chia was good under
52 congenial weather conditions, including relative humidity (~67–72%), maximum
53 temperature (~30–31°C), day length (<12.0 hours), rainfall (~200–350 mm), and accumulated
54 growing degree days (~1521–1891). The present study findings can help identify the best
55 suitable regions for chia cultivation by revealing relationships between the performance chia
56 morphotypes and weather conditions.

57 **Keywords:** Chia, flowering phenology, sowing dates, weather parameters, growing degree
58 days, temperature, yield attributes.

59 Introduction

60 Climate change-induced weather events adversely influence the yield and quality of
61 oilseeds by altering crop-growing conditions at both regional and national levels (Attia et al.,
62 2021). The global average yield of major oilseed crops such as sunflower, soybean, and
63 canola have plateaued over the last several years (Attia et al., 2021; Ray et al., 2019). In the
64 last few decades, the import of oilseeds has increased tremendously in the Indian
65 subcontinent due to decreased productivity of major oilseed crops (Brassicaceae) (Jingar et
66 al., 2023). An average healthy adult intake about 20–35% of their calories through oil and
67 fats. The human body is unable to synthesize two essential fatty acids: alpha-linolenic and
68 linoleic acids (Saini and Keum, 2018). Thus, causing ever-increasing pressure on global food

Deleted: consisting

Deleted: and

Deleted: were

Deleted: with three replications

Deleted: All the phenological

Deleted: The observed w

Deleted: of

Deleted: are

Deleted: Sowing of chia between August 1st and September 1st (with a 30-day window) was found to be optimal for achieving higher seed yields (811–793.1 kg ha⁻¹) due to improved growth and yield-related parameters. Likewise, black-seeded chia morphotypes consistently produced higher seed yields (10.8% greater) and better yield-contributing traits compared to white types across various sowing dates. ...

Deleted: Therefore, t

Deleted: can be

Deleted: ,

Deleted: benefiting from favourable weather conditions

Deleted: s

Deleted: to

Deleted: knowing the

and nutritional security and determining the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG-2, zero hunger) (Halli et al., 2024). Therefore, these two essential fatty acids must be directly obtained from healthy sources like fish and oilseed crops such as chia to reduce the risk of cardiovascular diseases and high blood pressure (Kris-Etherton and Krauss, 2020).

In this context, chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) is an important crop belonging to the *Lamiaceae* family with high nutritional and medicinal values, thriving well in tropical and subtropical climates (Capitani et al., 2013). Besides, chia oil can also be used for industrial purposes such as a stabilizer and binder in food processing (Felisberto et al., 2015; Pathak et al., 2015), and as an anti-corrosive agent. Apart from its higher protein content, chia seeds contain a notable amount of fixed oil (20.3% to 38.6%), prominently featuring α -linolenic acid (55%) and linoleic acid (19%) (Attia et al., 2023; Ayerza and Coates, 2011). The well-balanced profile of essential amino acids makes chia a preferred ingredient for the development of health-oriented products, hence it is often referred to as a "superfood" (Fernandes et al., 2020). Accordingly, the consumer tendency to choose food crops like chia, nutri-millets, and grain amaranth is increasing due to multiple health benefits and to combat malnutrition. Consequently, in India, chia cultivation extends across many central and southern states to meet the increasing demand for balanced edible oil and industrial applications. In 2023, the global market for chia was valued at US\$ 203 million, and further market insights anticipate a cumulative growth rate of at least 7%, reaching US\$ 390 million by 2033 (Chia Seed Market, 2024). Because of its suitability under resource-scarce conditions (water, poor soils, and nutrients) of tropical and subtropical regions, the area under chia cultivation is gradually increasing in many states of the country (Harisha et al., 2023). However, limited technical information is available on cultivation practices, such as optimum sowing time, weather relation with flowering behavior and yield traits in semi-arid regions (Attia et al., 2023; Jingar et al., 2023).

In recent years, deviated weather events such as rainfall, temperature, and relative humidity have altered crop performances, prompted the farmers to adopt sowing windows that may not be optimum for crop performance in general. Similarly, in the case of chia, varied sowing windows from July–August to mid-winter December–January result in dwindling responses in terms of flowering, maturity, seed yield, and oil quality (Karim et al., 2015; Ram et al., 2024). Chia seed yield is highly responsive to sowing dates, yielding 150 kg ha⁻¹ in December sowing and 354 kg ha⁻¹ in October sowing under Indian conditions (Guttedar et al., 2023). These variations could be predominantly credited to the wide range of prevailing weather conditions (temperature, relative humidity, and rainfall), especially in

125 photosensitive crops (*Ayerza and Coates, 2009; Hirich et al., 2014*). Flower induction in chia
126 requires temperatures between 20–30°C, annual rainfall between 500–1000 mm, and a
127 photoperiod of less than 12 hours (*Jamboonsri et al., 2012*). Suboptimal photoperiods can
128 lead to reduced reproductive phases and increased vegetative growth (*Baginsky et al., 2016*).
129 For example, early sowing in June or July encounters high temperatures and long day lengths
130 initially, extending the growth period or accumulating higher heat units, which leads to
131 enhanced vegetative biomass but decreased seed yield and oil content in chia (*Brandan et al.,*
132 *2022; Benetoli da Silva et al., 2020*). A positive relation was observed between pre-flowering
133 duration and verticillaster flower weight. While, longer duration leads to more flower dry
134 weight and seed yield in chia. However, the study is limited to growing degree days and
135 photoperiod and the effect of weather parameters before and after flowering was not
136 considered to explain the yield related traits (*Brandan et al., (2020)*). Similarly, delayed sown
137 chia experiences initial cooler temperatures and shorter days, followed by hot and dry
138 conditions, which lead to premature floral initiation and shorten the vegetative phase.
139 Therefore, timely sowing is a basic requirement to provide ideal weather conditions for
140 determining the growth and yield of chia (*Baginsky et al., 2016*). A favourable day length and
141 weather conditions during flowering and seed setting stages of chia can optimize the yield
142 and oil quality (*Lobo et al., 2011*).

143 Apart from climate, diverse morphotypes of chia respond differently to environmental
144 conditions and sowing times (*Benetoli da Silva et al., 2020*). Both white and black-seeded
145 chia types differ in their growth, yield, and oil content (33.8% and 32.7%, respectively) as
146 reported by *Suri et al. (2016)*. However, many studies did not explore how chia morphotypes
147 respond to varying sowing dates, photoperiods, temperatures, and relative humidity
148 concerning growth, pre and post-flowering behaviour, and seed development in semi-arid
149 conditions. The growth dynamics and distribution of assimilates in plants is strongly depend
150 on temperature, relative humidity, and moisture availability (*Silva et al., 2017*). Limited
151 previous studies have investigated the performance of either white or black-seeded chia
152 morphotypes under limited sowing dates and overlooked remaining sowing windows. Yet, no
153 studies have clearly deciphered the impact of wider sowing windows (fifteen sowing dates at
154 intervals of 15 days) in a year on flowering phenology and maturity in two chia morphotypes.
155 The lack of knowledge on how chia morphotypes behave in terms of phenology and seed
156 yield in response to prevailing weather parameters limits the ability to maximize seed yield.
157 Therefore, choosing the ideal sowing time to achieve better synchronized flowering and high

seed yield is a primary requirement for any grower or plant breeder. Understanding crop phenology and its relationship with weather helps plant breeders in generation advancement and enables growers to assess yield potential. Such information on how sowing dates and weather parameters influence chia seed and oil yield is crucial for characterizing photoperiod sensitivity and guiding the selection of new niches for chia intensification, thereby reducing climate-induced weather uncertainties to meet the increasing market demand for quality vegetable oil and addressing SDG 13 (climate action). Thus, we hypothesize that sowing dates favouring weather conditions influence flowering phenology and yield attributes of chia types, and the interaction of temperature, relative humidity, and rainfall would optimize vegetative and reproductive phases. Therefore, a two-year field study was planned to determine the effect of varied sowing windows and weather conditions on flower phenology, maturity, and seed yield of chia morphotypes, and also to understand the association between key weather parameters in determining the chia seed yield.

Materials and methods

Weather details of the study location

Field trials were conducted for two consecutive years (2021–22 and 2022–23) at ICAR–National Institute of Abiotic Stress Management (NIASM), Baramati, Pune, Maharashtra, India (Supplementary figure 1). The study site is positioned at 18.15850556° N and 74.50085556° E at an elevation of 570 meters above sea level (MSL). This region falls within the hot and semi-arid zone of the Deccan Plateau region, which is known as the water scarcity zone of the state. The mean maximum and minimum temperature of the region was 31.2°C and 21.9°C respectively. The region receives an average annual precipitation of 576 mm, a major portion (75%) is received between August and October (*Harisha et al., 2023*). The annual open-pan evaporation rate of the region is 1965 mm, which is three times more than annual rainfall. The detailed weather parameters for the cropping seasons (2021–22 and 2022–23) are outlined in [Fig. 1](#) and [Supplementary Table S1](#). The weather data on maximum temperature (Tmax), minimum temperature (Tmin), bright sunshine hours (BSS), open pan evaporation, rainfall, relative humidity (RH) for the location during the cropping season was obtained from weather observatory of ICAR-NIASM, Baramati.

Soil details of the experimental site

188 The soil type of the experimental site was shallow basaltic with 81.9% sand, 10.4%
189 silt, and 7.5% clay exhibits low water holding capacity (*Rajagopal et al., 2018*). The
190 chemical properties of the soil were; pH (7.48), an electrical conductivity (0.21 dS m^{-1}), a
191 moderate level of organic carbon (6.5 g kg^{-1}), low available nitrogen (81.2 kg ha^{-1}),
192 phosphorus (3.6 kg ha^{-1} as P_2O_5), and potassium (80.0 kg ha^{-1} as K_2O).

193 **Experimental details and crop management**

194 The experiment consists of two factors; dates of sowing and chia morphotypes were
195 laid out in split-plot design with three replications. Fifteen dates of sowing (S1; 1st July, S2;
196 15th July, S3; 1st August, S4; 15th August, S5; 1st September, S6; 15th September, S7; 1st
197 October, S8; 15th October, S9; 1st November, S10; 15th November, S11; 1st December, S12;
198 15th December, S13; 1st January, S14; 15th January, and S15; 1st February) were treated as
199 main factor and two chia types (White and Black) as sub factor. The plots of size $3 \text{ m} \times 2.5 \text{ m}$
200 were prepared for sowing the seeds of chia types (2.5 kg ha^{-1}) after mixing with sand in 60
201 cm wider rows. Subsequently, excess and weak plants were removed by retaining one
202 healthy, and maintained a uniform distance of 20 cm between plants within rows.
203 Recommended nutrients (N:P₂O₅:K₂O at 90:60:75 kg ha^{-1}) was applied through fertilizers
204 such as urea, di-ammonium phosphate, and muriate of potash (*Harisha et al., 2023*). The full
205 dose of P₂O₅ and K₂O, and 50% of N was applied during field preparation as a basal, whereas
206 the remaining 50% of N (45 kg/ha) was top dressed through urea in three splits at 30 DAS;
207 days after sowing (15 kg/ha), 45 DAS (15 kg/ha), and 60 DAS (15 kg/ha). The urea was
208 applied in band placement 5 cm away from plants and light raking was done to mix with soil.
209 The chia was cultivated under rainfed conditions with supplemental irrigation. During
210 extended dry spells, supplemental irrigation was scheduled based on soil drying and visible
211 crop moisture deficit symptoms during both the rainy and winter seasons, at a depth of 5 cm
212 at weekly interval using drip system. Weeds were controlled by manual hand weeding,
213 however, the crop remained unaffected by pests and diseases during both cropping periods.

214 **Measurement of chia morphological parameters and phenology**

215 Chia growth attributes such as plant height and dry biomass production were recorded
216 at harvest from five randomly selected plants separately in each treatment. Floral characters
217 such as days to flower bud appearance (FBA), completion of flowering, and maturity were
218 recorded from randomly selected five plants as per the procedure outlined by *Brandan et al.*

219 (2019). A day to 50% flowering was recorded treatment wise when 50% of plants open their
220 first flower. Likewise, growing degree days (GDD) also called heat unit accumulated up to
221 maturity was calculated for each sowing date as suggested by *Nuttonson (1957)*.

222
$$\text{GDD} = \frac{T_{\text{Max}} + T_{\text{Min}}}{2} - T_{\text{base}}$$

223 T_{max} is maximum temperature, T_{min} is minimum temperature, T_{base} is base temperature
224 (10°C) *Ayerza and Coates (2009)*.

225 Likewise, heat use efficiency (HUE) indicates the capacity of a plant to produce yield
226 per unit of heat used. HUE of the chia crop was calculated using the formula suggested by
227 *Singh and Khushu (2012)*.

228
$$\text{HUE (kg ha}^{-1} \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}) = \frac{\text{Grain yield (kg ha}^{-1})}{\text{Accumulated GDD (}^{\circ}\text{C day)}}$$

229 **Seed yield and yield attributes of chia**

230 Yield determinants of chia such as the number of spikes per plant, spike length, seed
231 yield per spike and 1000 seed weight were recorded from five randomly selected plants from
232 each treatment (*Harisha et al., 2024*). Then, seed yield was determined by recording the seed
233 weight from fifty plants in the plot of 7.5 m² and sun dried for 3–4 days to attain moisture
234 content of 7±0.5% and expressed in kg ha⁻¹. Likewise, plot wise dry biomass yield was
235 determined from randomly selected five plants after sun drying for 2–3 days followed by
236 oven drying at 63 °C for 72 h to attain constant weight and expressed as dry biomass kg ha⁻¹.
237 Later, the harvest index (HI) was calculated based on the seed and biological yield of chia.

238
$$\text{HI (\%)} = \frac{\text{Grain yield (kg ha}^{-1})}{\text{Dry Biomass (kg ha}^{-1})}$$

239 Later, grain filling duration (GFD) was calculated considering the number of days
240 between 50% flowering and physiological maturity. Similarly, the grain filling rate was
241 calculated by dividing seed yield with grain filling duration as explained by *Sattar et al.*
242 *(2023)* in wheat.

243 **Statistics**

244 Before conducting an analysis of variance, the data recorded on various growth,
245 phenology, and yield parameters of chia during both years was tested for normality by the
246 Shapiro–Wilk test using the PROC UNIVARIATE procedure in SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute, Inc.,

247 Cary, NC, USA). Then, normal data was subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using
248 the mixed model (proc GLIMMIX in SAS v 9.3). Chia morphotypes, year, and sowing
249 dates were considered as fixed effects and replications as random effects. Post-hoc test was
250 conducted to compare the difference ($\alpha = 0.05$) using Tukey's honest significant difference
251 (HSD) test. Further, Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to describe the association
252 between weather parameters (T_{\max} , T_{\min} , RH, accumulated GDD, bright sunshine hours, and
253 rainfall), vs grain yield, days to flower bud appearance, flowering duration, and maturity
254 (Gomez and Gomez, 1984). To interpret multi-environment (sowing dates \times weather
255 parameters \times chia types) interaction, PCA biplot analysis was carried out using R software
256 (version 4.2.3) (Gopinath et al., 2021).

257 Results

258 Chia growth and floral phenology

259 Growth determinants such as plant height and biomass accumulation in chia
260 morphotypes differed significantly ($p < 0.05$) across sowing dates (Fig. 2a-b). Among chia
261 types, black seeded plants were found to be more vigorous with greater height (119.6 cm) and
262 biomass accumulation (2883.9 kg ha⁻¹) over white seeded plants (117.3 cm, and 2662.2 kg
263 ha⁻¹ respectively). Regarding fifteen sowing dates, early sowing (S1: 1st July, S2: 15th July,
264 S3: 1st August) demonstrated the highest plant height (199.1 cm, 195.1 cm, and 185.3 cm
265 respectively), and biomass production (4294.2–4021.9 kg ha⁻¹) compared to other sowing
266 dates (Fig. 2a-b). Whereas, delayed sowing after S3 up to S15 conspicuously reduced the
267 plant height and biomass accumulation (1735.1–1899.4 kg ha⁻¹) in chia types.

268 Similarly, floral phenological events such as days to flower bud appearance (FBA),
269 days to 50% flowering, days to completion of flowering, days to maturity and flowering
270 duration have responded ($p < 0.05$) to dates of sowing (Table 1 and Supplementary Table S2).
271 Particularly, flowering phenology did not differ among white and black seeded chia
272 morphotypes. Whereas, early sown plants (S1 and S2) took more days to FBA (70.5–78.2),
273 and it was drastically reduced to 35.0 days in late sown conditions (S7: 1st October). Further
274 delay in sowing after S8: 15th October to S15: 1st February gradually delayed the FBA (54.8
275 days). Similarly, days to 50% flowering, days to complete flowering and days to maturity
276 followed a similar trend as that of FBA (Table 1). The flowering duration was significantly
277 delayed in late sown conditions (S13 to S15; 63.6 to 77.5 days) over other sowing dates. The

shortest flowering duration of 47 days was observed in S8 and S9 sowing conditions. Moreover, early sown conditions (S1 to S4) enhanced the grain filling duration (39.8 to 41.8 days) with a decreasing trend up to S11 and a subsequent increase up to S15. Across years of cultivation, the second year (2022–23) noticed maximum plant height (122.4 cm), and biomass accumulation (2934.7 kg ha⁻¹) with delayed flowering duration, grain filling duration and maturity (115.3 days).

Relation between prevailing weather parameters and flowering phenology of chia

Weather conditions during the vegetative phase (germination to bud appearance) strongly influenced the flowering phenology of chia (Fig. 3a). The Pearson's correlation suggested that FBA exhibited a positive correlation with day length ($r=0.7$), accumulated GDD ($r=0.87$), T_{\min} ($r=0.42$), and RH ($r=0.38$). While FBA was negatively related to diurnal temperature difference (T_{diff}) ($r=-0.38$) and bright sunshine hours (BSS) ($r=-0.43$). The flowering duration had a positive correlation with day length ($r=0.85$), T_{\max} ($r=0.79$), T_{\min} ($r=0.59$), and accumulated GDD ($r=0.84$) prevailed during flowering phase (flower initiation to completion). However, flowering duration was negatively correlated with RH prevailing during the flowering phase ($r=-0.64$) (Fig. 3b).

Yield attributes and seed yield of chia

Yield attributes of chia morphotypes responded to sowing dates during two years of investigation (Table 2). Black seeded chia types produced more spikes per plant (30.3), spike length (17.99 cm), 1000 seeds weight (1.15 g), HUE (0.37 kg ha⁻¹ °C⁻¹ day⁻¹), grain filling rate (17.8 kg ha⁻¹ day⁻¹), and seed yield (564.6 kg ha⁻¹) compared to white types. While white seeded morphotypes maintained a greater harvest index (21.32%) across sowing dates. Within sowing dates, treatments (S3–S6; 1st August–15th September) maintained a greater number of spikes, spike length (20.1–21.71 cm), 1000 seeds weight (1.15–1.16 g), and seed yield (741.0–811.0 kg ha⁻¹) with greater HUE, grain filling rate, and HI. In contrast, delayed sowing after S7 to S15 adversely influenced the HUE, grain filling rate, and seed yield of chia morphotypes. Regarding year effect, the first year (2021–22) recorded a superior number of spikes, 1000 seed weight, HUE, grain filling rate, and seed yield (579.2 kg ha⁻¹) over 2022–23 (Table 2). Further, the triple interaction between sowing dates, chia types, and year showed the significant effect for seed yield and HUE. In 2021–22 black type chia produced higher seed yield in S3–S6, whereas in 2022–23 it was higher in sowings S3–S4

309 (Supplementary table 3). Therefore, sowing up to 15th September could favour the seed yield
310 and heat use efficiency of chia morphotypes in semi-arid conditions.

311 **Weather parameters vs yield attributes of chia**

312 Weather parameters across the growing period up to maturity established a significant
313 ($p<0.05$) relation with yield attributes of chia. The seed yield was positively influenced by
314 RH ($r=0.93$ and $R^2=0.856$), HUE ($r=0.9$), and RF ($r=0.76$ and $R^2=0.529$), however, T_{max} ($r=-$
315 0.82 and $R^2=0.674$), T_{diff} ($r=-0.87$ and $R^2=0.856$), accumulated GDD ($r=-0.31$), BSS ($r=-$
316 0.84) were negatively influenced the seed yield (Fig. 4 and 5a–d). Besides, T_{max} negatively
317 related to chia yield attributes; seed yield per spike ($r=-0.76$), spike length ($r=-0.65$), and
318 1000 seed weight ($r=-0.58$) (Fig. 4). Notably, RH during the entire cropping period displayed
319 a strong positive associations with chia yielding traits; ($r=0.71$ to 0.85). Analysis of diurnal
320 temperature difference revealed a negative correlation with all growth and yield-related traits
321 of chia. Moreover, the relation between seed yield and plant traits was also found significant
322 (Fig. 4). Seed yield exhibited positive correlations with plant height ($r=0.73$), spike length
323 ($r=0.78$), number of spikes per plant ($r=0.80$), number of branches per plant ($r=0.76$), seed
324 yield per spike ($r=0.89$), and 1000 seed weight ($r=0.74$). Conversely, seed yield showed a
325 negative correlation with flowering duration ($r=-0.66$) and crop duration ($r=-0.28$). Hence,
326 prevailing weather parameters had a considerable role in determining the growth and yield of
327 chia morphotypes.

328 **Interaction between yield traits and weather parameters due to sowing dates and chia** 329 **morphotypes**

330 Multivariate analysis was conducted to elucidate the interaction, inter-relationship and
331 variations among various yield traits and weather parameters that prevailed during the entire
332 chia duration and chai types. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) revealed that the first two
333 components (PC1 and PC2) captured 94.1% of the total variability (Fig. 6a). In PC1, traits
334 such as seed yield, spike length, number of spikes, seed weight per spike, plant height, and
335 biomass production demonstrated strong positive associations as indicated by the narrow
336 angles between their vectors. Similarly, weather parameters RH, RF, and T_{min} showed strong
337 positive associations with seed yield and yield-related traits. These variables explained the
338 maximum total variability as evidenced by the length of their vectors. Conversely, BSS,
339 flowering duration, and T_{max} exhibited negative associations with each other. In PC2,

variables such as accumulated GDD, day length, flowering duration, days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, and FBA were positively associated with each other but negatively influenced the seed yield (Fig. 6a). Furthermore, sowing times (S4, S5, and S6) in both black and white seed types were closely related to higher seed yield, and yield traits as favoured by weather parameters like RH and rainfall. Conversely, delayed sowing times (S13, S14, and S15) in both varieties coincided with intense sunshine hours, poor RH, and higher T_{max} resulting in longer flowering duration, and accumulated GDD negatively determined the seed yield and yield traits of chia (Fig. 6b).

Discussion

The deviation in ideal weather conditions due to changing sowing dates notably influences the flowering phenology, maturity, and determines the yield of short day crops like chia. Therefore, this is a kind of first report that exhaustively analysed various dates of sowing and two chia morphotypes, establishing the relationship between weather and yield parameters.

Growth parameters of chia

Black seeded chia morphotype was found more vigorous over white seeded owing to greater plant height and biomass accumulation. This might be due to its superior genetic characteristics and adaptation as described by *Grimes et al. (2018)* and *Guttedar et al. (2023)*. Early sowing during the rainy season (July S1-S2) resulted in higher plant height and biomass accumulation because of long day conditions (average day length; 12.5 hours and accumulated GDD; >2000°C) (Fig. 1c) led to more vegetative growth and delayed reproductive growth over subsequent sowing dates (*Guttedar et al., 2023*). This was also due to the receipt of sufficient rain and the prevailing ideal temperature around ~30°C during the vegetative phase favoured the growth and biomass accumulation in both types of chia, thus increasing the risk of lodging. Our findings corroborate the results of *Goergen et al. (2018)* in chia, where higher GDD and longer photoperiod increase plant height and biomass. Similarly, *Silva et al. (2018)* reported enhanced vegetative growth in chia due to a greater number of branches during early sowing. Whereas, shorter plants with reduced biomass accumulation in case of delayed sowings after December (S11) to February (S15) were attributed to prevailing dry weather (high temperature and low RH) with the least rainfall during active growth stages (Fig. 1a–c). The crop biomass production is closely associated with dominant environmental factors such as temperature, RH, and rainfall together decide

crop duration (Guttedar et al., 2023). Thus, chia is very sensitive to day length, RH, and temperature, which determines its biomass accumulation and yield.

Flowering phenology and maturity in chia

The delayed FBA in chia during early (S1–S3) and delayed sowings (S14–S15) was possibly due to longer day length conditions (>12.5 hours) compared to intermediate sowings (S4–S13) with shorter day lengths (<12 hours). As a result, flowering duration was extended (56.6 to 77.5 days) owing to more number of days between FBA and completion of flowering. The positive correlation between the flowering duration and T_{max} during flowering phase indicates the potential cause for delayed flower opening due to high temperatures (Fig. 4). It is important to note that hot weather (high temperature; >34 °C, low RH; <50%, and no rainfall; Fig. 1) commencement of long days during flowering phase (March–April) in delayed sowing resulted in delayed FBA and also the conversion of floral structures into vegetative parts in chia (Guttedar et al., 2023). Whereas, delayed flowering in early sowing (S1–S2) was probably related to long day condition associated with higher RH, rainfall and accumulated GDD during the vegetative stage. Similarly, Grimes et al. (2018) and Benetoli da Silva et al. (2020) highlighted that alterations in chia phenology are primarily linked to fluctuations in RH and higher GDD. Brandan et al. (2020) also reported more the growing degree days longer than the pre-flowering phase. Therefore, aligning chia flowering with optimal RH and rainfall conditions synchronizes flower opening, and ensuring a shorter flowering duration are crucial for efficient resource utilization and mitigating high temperatures and long days with higher accumulated GDD (Foulkes et al., 2011; Sylvester-Bradley et al., 2012).

Days taken for flower opening and its completion decide the duration of crop maturity. In the present study, early sowings as well as delayed sowings extended the chia maturity (125 to 143 days) compared to intermediate sowings (93 to 114 days), mostly due to delayed FBA, and flowering duration in chia. Similarly, Lobo et al. (2011) in Tucumán, Argentina and Baginsky et al., (2016) in Las Cruces, Chile, demonstrated that January sowing resulted in delayed flowering (105–111 days) and crop maturity (160–170 days respectively). Subsequently, grain filling duration (between 50% flowering and maturity) was extended with early and delayed sowing dates. A similar trend was observed with the completion of flowering (Jamboonsri et al., 2012; Sattar et al., 2023). Further, chia maturity was slightly delayed in 2022–23. This delay was likely attributed to increased accumulated GDD, higher

404 RH and the occurrence of rainfall fostering enhanced vegetative growth. Thus crop has taken
405 more days to complete flowering and extended grain filling duration, as a positive correlation
406 was observed between FBA, RH, rainfall, and day length (Fig. 3a). A similar pattern of
407 extended maturity and grain filling duration was found in lentil (*Jamboonsri et al., 2012*;
408 *Maphosa et al., 2023*). Both white and black seed chia types did not differ with respect to
409 flowering phenology and maturity. Therefore, chia, being a short-day tropical plant, thrives
410 well under photoperiods of less than 12.5 hours of light.

411 **Seed yield and yield attributes of chia**

412 Black seeded chia morphotypes produced greater (564.6 kg ha^{-1} , 10.8% higher) seed
413 yield over the white type (509.2 kg ha^{-1}). This improvement in seed yield with black types
414 could be attributed to improved biomass accumulation and yield-contributing parameters
415 such as number of spikes, spike length, and 1000 seed weight (1.05 g). Previous researchers
416 have noticed the genetic variation and superiority of black seeded chia types for yielding
417 characters because of their wider adaptability (*Ayerza and Coates, 2009*; *Guttedar et al.,*
418 *2023*). In this investigation, the seed yield of chia varied from 47.6 to 811.0 kg ha^{-1} across
419 fifteen sowing dates. The higher seed yield with mid sowing dates (S3–S5) was mainly due to
420 improved yield contributing parameters (Table 2 and Fig. 3b). Similar associations between
421 seed yield and traits such as the number of spikes, spike length, and harvest index have been
422 reported in both black and white types of chia (*Baginsky et al., 2016*). The positive relation
423 between flower dry weight and seed yield in chia was also reported by *Brandan et al. (2020)*.
424 Despite congenial RH, rainfall and temperature, early sowing dates (S1 and S2) produced
425 lower seed yield because the plants produced a lower number of spikes because of more
426 height and canopy spread due to prolonged vegetative phase under long days. This might
427 hinder the production of branches, inflorescences, and subsequent translocation of
428 photosynthates towards seed filling. This concurs with the finding of *Han et al. (2006)* in
429 soybean where overcrowding canopy leads to poor branching with less number of pods.
430 Interestingly, delayed sowing after S5 to S15 drastically reduced the seed yield of chia,
431 ranging between 8.63–94.13% (Table 2). The poor chia seed yield was primarily due to under
432 development of yield governing traits as reported by *Guttedar et al. (2023)* that delayed
433 sowing (October) reduced the seed yield in Indian conditions. Therefore, it is crucial to
434 complete sowing by 1st August (S3) to 1st September (S5) to achieve higher seed yield (790–
435 811 kg ha^{-1}) in chia.

436 Weather and yield attributes of chia

437 This study among a few, clearly deciphered the impact of weather parameters in
438 determining the chia yield across various (fifteen) sowing windows in a year. The biplot
439 analysis confirmed that prevalence of optimum temperature (30–31°C), rainfall (200–350
440 mm), and RH (67–72%) during S3–S5 sowing (1st August to 1st September) resulted in higher
441 seed yield attributes (Fig. 6b). Our findings are in conformity with the results of *Grimes et al.*
442 *(2018)* and *Benetoli da Silva et al. (2020)* that climatic requirements of moderate to high
443 temperature, minimum temperature (< 10°C) with adequate rainfall enhanced the chia yield in
444 Germany and Brazil. Meanwhile, delayed sowings (S10–S15) reduced the 1000 seed weight
445 of chia, mainly due to higher temperatures during the flowering phase, leading to prolonged
446 flowering and grain-filling durations. This might also affect pollination, resulting in grain
447 shrinkage due to the production of reactive oxygen species, reduced pollen tube development,
448 increased pollen mortality, and grain abortion (*Nawaz et al., 2013; Dubey et al., 2019*). Thus,
449 prolonged flowering and maturity durations negatively influenced the seed yield, owing to
450 non-synchronized flowering, resulting in poor seed setting and seed yield per spike, as
451 evidenced by a negative correlation between seed yield per spike and flowering duration ($r=-$
452 0.56). Therefore, the increased temperature during the grain filling period increases the
453 percentage of chaffy seed formation, as found in cases of soybean and rice (*Borowska and*
454 *Prusiński, 2021; Sanwong et al., 2023*).

455 Similarly, the reduced grain filling rate in chia might be due to increased thermal load,
456 which is manifested in terms of higher accumulation of GDD leading to higher grain filling
457 duration in early (S1–S4) and delayed sowings (S8–S15). These sowing dates also decreased
458 the HUE in chia, despite higher seed yield in S3 and S4, poor HUE was possibly related to
459 more accumulation of GDD. Similar findings were reported in wheat that very early and
460 delayed sowings significantly reduced the thermal use efficiency (*Kaur and Pannu, 2008;*
461 *Singh et al., 2016*). It's also found that exposing crops to higher temperatures at critical
462 growth phases tends to affect the phenophase duration, HUE and yield (*Parya et al., 2010*).
463 The sowing dates; S4–S6 result in a medium flowering phase (50–51 days), and maturity
464 (98.8–114.4 days), leading to ideal plant height and biomass accumulation in chia over early
465 and delayed sowing dates. Therefore chia sowing from 1st August to 15th September
466 coincides with optimum RH, lower diurnal temperature difference, and rainfall favoured the
467 yield attributes and seed yield of chia in semi-arid conditions.

468 Conclusions

469 The study revealed that chia being a short-day plant showed significant response to
470 different sowing windows. Weather conditions during the cropping period played a crucial
471 role in chia's floral phenology, maturity, yield-contributing traits, and overall seed yield.
472 Among chia morphotypes, black seed varieties exhibited greater vigour compared to white
473 types. August 1st and September 1st was found to be optimal sowing time for both the chia
474 types due to favourable weather conditions, including relative humidity (~67–72%),
475 maximum temperature (~30–31°C), day length (<12.0 hours), rainfall (~200–350 mm), and
476 accumulated growing degree days (~1521–1891). This sowing window of 30–45 days
477 between 1st August to mid September in semi-arid regions can assist farmers in aligning chia
478 cultivation with the desirable weather, cropping systems, and resource availability, thereby
479 reducing climate-related risks. Extra-early sowing (July) reduces chia seed yield by 10.35%,
480 moderately delayed sowing (September 15th to November 15th) by 24.1%, and extra-delayed
481 sowing (December 1st to February 1st) resulting in a drastic reduction of 72.7%.
482 Understanding these weather associations can support intensified chia cultivation practices.
483 The findings suggest practical guidance for selecting suitable regions and optimal sowing
484 dates for chia cultivation under evolving climate conditions, thereby contributing to
485 sustainable development goals, particularly SDG 13 (climate action). Enhanced production
486 also presents export opportunities to meet the growing industrial demand for chia seeds.

487 Authorship contribution statement

488 **CB Harisha:** Conceptualization and design of experiment, performed experiments,
489 analysis of data, manuscript preparation. **KM Boraiah:** Conceptualization and design of
490 experiment, performed experiments, manuscript preparation and reviewing. **PS Basavaraj:**
491 Conceptualization and design of experiment, performed experiments, manuscript editing.
492 **HM Halli:** performed experiments, analysis of data, manuscript preparation and editing. **RN**
493 **Singh:** weather data analysis and manuscript editing. **Jagadish Rane:** Conceptualization and
494 design of experiment, manuscript editing. **Kotha Sammi Reddy:** Supervision, manuscript
495 editing and approved the final draft. **GR Halagunde Gowda:** Analysis of data, preparation of
496 graphs and images, manuscript editing. **A Chaudhary:** data analysis, manuscript editing. **AK**
497 **Verma:** design of experiment, data analysis, manuscript editing. **Ravi Y:** Analysis of data,
498 preparation of graphs and images. **H Asangi:** data analysis, Preparation of graphs, manuscript
499 editing. **E. Senthamil:** Analysis of data, preparation of graphs, and manuscript editing.

500 **Acknowledgements**

501 The authors sincerely acknowledge the ICAR-National Institute of Abiotic Stress
502 Management for permitting to conduct the research

503 **Declaration of Competing Interest**

504 There are no conflicts involved in the research and submission of this article.

505 **Funding**

506 This research was carried out in support of the ICAR-National Institute of Abiotic
507 Stress Management in-house flagship project on “Augmenting farm income in water-scarce
508 regions with alternative crops (IXX15656)”.

509 **References**

- 510 Attia AS, Algharib AM, Ahmed FA and Abou El-Nasr THS. 2023. Effect of environmental
511 conditions and sowing date on Growth, and Yield Performance of Chia (*Salvia*
512 *hispanica* L.) Cultivated in Nubaria, Egypt. Al-Azhar Journal of Agricultural
513 Research, **48**(3):58-67. doi: 10.21608/AJAR.2024.218675.1178.
- 514 Attia Z, Pogoda CS Reinert S, Kane NC and Hulke BS. 2021. Breeding for sustainable
515 oilseed crop yield and quality in a changing climate. Theoretical and Applied
516 Genetics, **134**(6): 1817-1827.
- 517 Ayerza R, Coates W. 2009. Influence of the environment on growing period and yield,
518 protein, oil and α -linolenic content of three chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) selections.
519 *Industrial crops and products* **30**(2): 321–324 Doi:[10.1016/j.indcrop.2009.03.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2009.03.009).
- 520 Ayerza R, Coates W. 2011. Protein content, oil content and fatty acid profiles as potential
521 criteria to determine the origin of commercially grown chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.).
522 *Industrial crops and products* **34**:1366–1371.
- 523 Baginsky C, Arenas J, Escobar H, Garrido M, Valero NA, Tello DS, Pizarro L, Valenzuela
524 A, Morales L, Silva H. 2016. Growth and yield of chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) in the
525 Mediterranean and desert climates of Chile. *Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research*
526 **76**:255-264.
- 527 Benetoli da Silva TR, de Melo SC, Nascimento AB., Ambrosano L, Bordin JC, Alves CZ,
528 Secco D, Santos RF, Gonçalves-Jr AC, da Silva GD. 2020. Response of chia (*Salvia*
529 *hispanica*) to sowing times and phosphorus rates over two crop cycles. *Heliyon* **6**(9),
530 e05051. Doi:[10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05051](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05051).

531 Borowska M, Prusiński J. 2021. Effect of soybean cultivars sowing dates on seed yield and
 532 its correlation with yield parameters, *Plant, Soil and Environment* **67**(6): 360–366.
 533 <https://doi.org/10.17221/73/2021-PSE>.

534 Brandan JP, Curti RN, Acreche MM. 2020. Developmental responses of chia (*Salvia*
 535 *hispanica*) to variations in thermo-photoperiod: impact on subcomponents of grain
 536 yield. *Crop and Pasture Science* **71**(2): 183–189. <https://doi.org/10.1071/CP19218>.

537 Brandan JP, Izquierdo N, Acreche MM. 2022. Oil and protein concentration and fatty acid
 538 composition of chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) as affected by environmental conditions,
 539 *Industrial Crops and Products* **177**: 114496.

540 Brandan JP, Curti RN, Acreche MM. 2019. Phenological growth stages in chia (*Salvia*
 541 *hispanica* L.) according to the BBCH scale. *Scientia Horticulturae* **255**: 292–297.

542 Capitani MI, Ixtaina VY, Nolasco SM, Tomás MC. 2013. Microstructure, chemical
 543 composition and mucilage exudation of chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) nutlets from
 544 Argentina. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*. **93**(15):3856–62.
 545 doi:10.1002/jsfa.6327.

546 Chia Seed Market, 2024, Future Market Insights. Available at
 547 <https://www.futuremarketinsights.com/reports/chia-seed-market> (accessed
 548 04.04.2024).

549 Dubey R, Pathak H, Singh S, Chakraborty B, Thakur AK, Fagodia RK. 2019. Impact of
 550 Sowing Dates on Terminal Heat Tolerance of Different Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)
 551 Cultivars. *National Academy Science Letters* **42**:445–449. doi:10.1007/s40009-019-
 552 0786-7.

553 Felisberto MHF, Galvão MTEL, Picone CSF, Cunha RL, Pollonio MAR. 2015. Effect of
 554 prebiotic ingredients on the rheological properties and microstructure of reduced-
 555 sodium and low-fat meat emulsions. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*. **60**: 148–
 556 155.

557 Fernandes SS, Romani VP, Filipini GS, Martins VG. 2020. Chia seeds to develop new
 558 biodegradable polymers for food packaging: properties and biodegradability. *Polymer*
 559 *Engineering and Science* **60**(9): 1–10 <https://doi.org/10.1002/pen.25464>.

560 Foulkes MJ, Slafer GA, Davies WJ, Berry PM, Sylvester-Bradley R, Martre P, Calderini DF,
 561 Griffiths S, Reynolds MP. 2011. Raising yield potential of wheat. III. Optimizing
 562 partitioning to grain while maintaining lodging resistance. *Journal of Experimental*
 563 *Botany* **62**(2):469–486 Doi:10.1093/jxb/erq300.

564 Goergen PCH, Nunes UR, Stefanello R, Lago I, Nunes AR, Durigon A. 2018. Yield and
 565 physical and physiological quality of *Salvia hispanica* L. seeds grown at different
 566 sowing dates. *Journal of Agricultural Science* **10(8)**: 182-191.
 567 [10.5539/jas.v10n8p182](https://doi.org/10.5539/jas.v10n8p182).

568 Gomez KA, Gomez AA. 1984. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. 2nd Edition,
 569 John Wiley and Sons, New York, p 680.

570 Gopinath PP, Prasad R, Joseph B, Adarsh VS. 2021. grapesAgri1: Collection of Shiny Apps
 571 for Data Analysis in Agriculture. *Journal of Open Source Software*, **6(63)**, 3437,
 572 <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03437>.

573 Grimes SJ, Phillips TD, Hahn V, Capezzzone F, Graeff-Hönniger S. 2018. Growth, Yield
 574 Performance and Quality Parameters of Three Early Flowering Chia (*Salvia hispanica*
 575 L.) Genotypes Cultivated in Southwestern Germany. *Agriculture* **8(10)**: 154
 576 <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture8100154>.

577 Guttadar A, Hunje R, Badiger B, Sharma Y. 2023. Effect of dates of sowing on seed yield
 578 and quality of chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal* **12(11)**:
 579 1857-1861.

580 Halli HM, Govindasamy P, Wasnik VK, Shivakumar BG, Swami S, Choudhary M, Yadav
 581 VK, Singh AK, Raghavendra N, Govindasamy V, Chandra A. 2024. Climate-smart
 582 deficit irrigation and nutrient management strategies to conserve energy, greenhouse
 583 gas emissions, and the profitability of fodder maize seed production. *Journal of*
 584 *Cleaner Production* **442**: 140950. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2024.140950>.

585 Han T, Cunxiang W, Tong Z, Mentreddy R, Tan T, Gai J. 2006. Postflowering photoperiod
 586 regulates vegetative growth and reproductive development of soybean. *Environmental*
 587 *and Experimental Botany* **55**:120-129.

588 Harisha CB, Narayanpur VB, Rane J, Ganiger VM, Prasanna SM, Vishwanath YC, Reddi
 589 SG, Halli HM, Boraiah KM, Basavaraj PS, Eman A. Mahmoud EA, Casini R,
 590 Elansary HO. 2023. Promising Bioregulators for Higher Water Productivity and Oil
 591 Quality of Chia under Deficit Irrigation in Semiarid Regions. *Plants* **12(3)**: 662 Doi:
 592 10.3390/plants12030662.

593 Harisha CB, Rane, J, Halagunde Gowda GR, Chavan SB, Chaudhary A, Verma AK, Ravi Y,
 594 Asangi H, Halli HM, Boraiah KM, Basavaraj PS, Kumar P and Reddy KS, 2024.
 595 Effect of Deficit Irrigation and Intercrop Competition on Productivity, Water Use
 596 Efficiency and Oil Quality of Chia in Semi-Arid Regions. *Horticulturae* **10**:101. Doi:
 597 10.3390/horticulturae10010101.

598 Hirich A, Choukr-Allah R, Jacobsen SE. 2014. Quinoa in Morocco-effect of sowing dates on
599 development and yield. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science* **200**: 371–377.

600 Jamboonsri W, Phillips T, Geneve R, Cahill J, Hildebrand D. 2012. Extending the range of an
601 ancient crop, *Salvia hispanica* L. - a new source. *Genetic Resources and Crop*
602 *Evolution* **59**:171-178.

603 Jingar A, Preet MS, Kumar A and Ram M. 2023. Response of Date of Sowing and Crop
604 Geometry on Yield Potential of Chia under Tropical conditions. *Biological Forum –*
605 *An International Journal* **15**(8): 470-473.

606 Karim MM, Ashrafuzzaman M, Hossain MA. 2015. Effect of planting time on the growth
607 and yield of chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.). *Asian Journal of Medical and Biological*
608 *Research*. **1**(3):502-507 doi: 10.3329/ajmbr.v1i3.26469.

609 Kaur A, Pannu RK. 2008. Effect of sowing time and nitrogen schedules on phenology, yield
610 and thermal-use efficiency of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Indian Journal of*
611 *Agricultural Sciences* **78**(4): 366-369.

612 Kris-Etherton PM, Krauss RM. 2020. Public health guidelines should recommend reducing
613 saturated fat consumption as much as possible: YES. *The American Journal of*
614 *Clinical Nutrition* **112**(1):13-18. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqaa110.

615 Lobo R, Alcocer M, Fuentes FJ, Rodríguez, WA, Morandini M, Devani MR. 2011. Chia crop
616 production in Tucuman, Argentina, *Avance Agroindustrial* **32**(4): 27-30.

617 Maphosa L, Preston A, Richards MF. 2023. Effect of Sowing Date and Environment on
618 Phenology, Growth and Yield of Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medikus.) Genotypes. *Plants*
619 **12**: 474. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12030474>.

620 Ram M, Meena RC, Ambawat S, Bhardwaj R, Kumar M, Meena DS, Kumawat R,
621 Choudhary S. 2024. Chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) production potential in western India
622 influenced by planting date and crop geometry. *International Journal of Research in*
623 *Agronomy* **7**(1): 277-282.

624 Nawaz A, Farooq M, Cheema SA, Wahid, A. 2013. Differential response of wheat cultivars
625 to terminal heat stress. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*. **15**(6):1354–
626 1358.

627 Nuttonson MY. 1957. Wheat-climate relationship and the use of phenology in ascertaining
628 the thermal and photo thermal requirement of wheat *BioScience*, **7**(1):39–40
629 <https://doi.org/10.2307/1292056>.

630 Parya, M., Nath, D., Mazumdar, D., and Chakraborty, P. K. (2010). Effect of thermal stress
631 on wheat productivity in West Bengal. *Journal of Agrometeorology* **12**(2):217–220.

632 Pathak PO, Nagarsenker MS, Barhate CR, Padhye SG, Dhawan VV, Bhattacharyya D,
 633 Viswanathan CL, Steiniger F, Fahr A. 2015. Cholesterol anchored arabinogalactan for
 634 asialoglycoprotein receptor targeting: synthesis, characterization, and proof of concept
 635 of hepatospecific delivery. *Carbohydrate Research* **408**:33
 636 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2015.03.003>.
 637 Rajagopal V, Choudhary RL, Kumar N, Krishnani KK, Singh Y, Bal SK, Minhas PS, Singh
 638 NP. 2018. Soil health status of NIASM southern farm land. ICAR–National Institute
 639 of Abiotic Stress Management, Baramati, India , p 51-52.
 640 Ray DK, West PC, Clark M, Gerber JS, Prishchepov AV, Chatterjee S. 2019. Climate change
 641 has likely already affected global food production. *Plos One*, **14**(5):e0217148.
 642 Saini RK, Keum YS. 2018. Omega-3 and omega-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids: Dietary
 643 sources, metabolism, and significance—A review. *Life Sciences* **203**:255–267.
 644 Sanwong P, Sanitchon J, Dongsansuk A, Jothityangkoon D. 2023. High Temperature Alters
 645 Phenology, Seed Development and Yield in Three Rice Varieties. *Plants* **12**:666.
 646 <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12030666>.
 647 Sattar A, Nanda G, Singh G, Jha RK, Bal SK. 2023. Responses of phenology, yield attributes,
 648 and yield of wheat varieties under different sowing times in Indo-Gangetic Plains.
 649 *Frontiers in Plant Science*. **14**:1224334 doi: 10.3389/fpls.2023.1224334.
 650 Silva BP, Anunciação PC, Matyelka JCDS, Della Lucia CM, Martino HSD, Pinheiro-
 651 Sant’Ana HM. 2017. Chemical composition of Brazilian chia seeds grown in different
 652 places. *Food Chem.* **221**: 1709–1716. Doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.10.115.
 653 Silva H, Arriagada C, Campos–Saez S, Baginsky C, Castellaro–Galdames G, Morales–
 654 Salinas L. 2018. Effect of sowing date and water availability on growth of plants of
 655 chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) established in Chile. *Plos One*. **13**(9):e0203116.
 656 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0203116>.
 657 Singh M, Khushu MK. 2012. Growth and yield prediction of wheat in relation to agroclimatic
 658 indices under irrigated and rainfed condition. *Journal of Agrometeorology* **14**(1):63–
 659 66 doi: 10.54386/jam.v14i1.1386.
 660 Singh S, Kingra PK, Singh SP. 2016. Heat unit requirement and its utilization efficiency in
 661 wheat under different hydrothermal environments. *Annals of Agricultural Research*
 662 **37**(2): 130-140.
 663 Suri S, Passi SJ and Goyat J. 2016. Chia Seed (*Salvia hispanica* L.). A new age functional
 664 food. *International Journal of Advanced technology in Engineering and Science*, **4**(3):
 665 286-299.

666 Sylvester-Bradley R, Riffkin P, O'Leary G. 2012. Designing resource efficient ideotypes for
667 new cropping conditions: wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in the High Rainfall Zone of
668 southern Australia. Field Crops Research **125**:69–82 Doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2011.07.015.
669