

1 **Optimizing sowing time and weather conditions for enhanced growth and seed yield of**
2 **chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) in semi-arid regions**

3 CB Harisha^{1,*}, KM Boraiah^{1,*}, PS Basavaraj¹, Hanamant M. Halli¹, RN Singh¹, Jagadish
4 Rane^{1,2}, K Sammi Reddy¹, GR Halagundegowda³, Amresh Chaudhary^{1,4}, Arvind Kumar
5 Verma⁵, Y Ravi⁵, Honnappa Asangi⁶, E Senthamil⁷

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

6
7 ¹ Scientist, ICAR-National Institute of Abiotic Stress Management, Baramati, Pune- 413 115,
8 Maharashtra, India

9 ² ICAR-Central Institute of Arid Horticulture, Bichhwal-334006, Bikaner-334006, Rajasthan,
10 India

11 ³ Central Silk Board, Bangalore 560035, India

12 ⁴ ICAR-Central soil Salinity Research Institute, Karnal- 132001, Haryana, India

13 ⁵ ICAR-National Research Centre on Seed Spices, Ajmer-305006, Rajasthan, India

14 ⁶ ICAR-Indian Institute of Spice Research, RS Appangala-571201, Karnataka, India

15 ⁷ Ph.D. Scholar, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad-580005, Karnataka, India

16 Correspondence to:

17 Scientist, ICAR-National Institute of Abiotic Stress Management, Pune-413115, India.

18 *Email address:* Harisha.B@icar.gov.in (CB Harisha); hmalli4700@gmail.com (Hanamant M.
19 Halli)

20 [*equal first author](#)

21 **ABSTRACT**

22 **Background:** Climate change influenced weather events, especially during the flowering,
23 grain filling, and maturity stages, adversely ~~affecting~~influencing crop yield and quality.
24 Therefore, standardization of sowing dates is crucial to understand the phenological behavior
25 and the yield potential of new crops such as chia to mitigate yield reductions due to
26 ~~adverse~~changing weather caused by change~~variation~~ in sowing dates. This study aimed to
27 assess the impact of sowing dates on the flowering behavior and yield attributes of chia

28 morphotypes, as well as to identify optimal weather conditions for achieving higher chia
29 yields.

30 **Methods:** The study was conducted during 2021-22 and 2022-23 consisting of ~~two chia~~
31 ~~morphotypes (white and black seed) with~~ fifteen sowing windows from 1st July to 1st
32 February (at 15 days interval), ~~and two chia morphotypes (white and black seed) were~~
33 arranged in a ~~factorial randomized blocksplit-plot~~ design with three replications. All ~~the~~
34 ~~phonological events~~, flowering characters, seed yield ~~and yield~~ traits were recorded regularly.
35 The ~~observed~~ weather parameters ~~recorded from weather observatory located in of~~ the
36 experimental ~~farm~~.

37 ~~location is recorded.~~ **Results:** The results revealed that weather conditions such as relative
38 humidity (RH) and rainfall favoured the flowering phenology, yield attributes, and seed yield
39 of chia, whereas maximum temperature (T_{max}), bright sunshine hours, and accumulated
40 growing degree days had negative effects. ~~Black seeded chia morphotypes consistently~~
41 ~~produced higher seed yields (10.8% greater) and better yield-contributing traits compared to~~
42 ~~white types across various sowing dates. Sowing~~Sowing of chia between August 1st and
43 September 1st (with a 30-day window) was found to be optimal for achieving higher seed
44 yields (811–793.1 kg ha⁻¹) due to improved growth and yield-related parameters. ~~Likewise,~~
45 ~~black-seeded chia morphotypes consistently produced higher seed yields (10.8% greater) and~~
46 ~~better yield-contributing traits compared to white types across various sowing dates.~~ Chia
47 seed yield was significantly influenced by weather parameters during the cropping period:
48 RH (positive, $R^2=86.1\%$), T_{max} (negative, $R^2=67.4\%$), rainfall (positive, $R^2=52.9\%$), and
49 diurnal temperature range (negative, $R^2=74.9\%$). Therefore, the maximum chia seed yield can
50 be achieved with sowing dates between August 1st and September 1st, benefiting from
51 favourable weather conditions in semi-arid regions of India. The performance was good
52 under ~~weather~~ favourable ~~weather~~ conditions, including relative humidity (~67–72%),
53 maximum temperature (~30–31°C), day length (<12.0 hours), rainfall (~200–350 mm), and
54 accumulated growing degree days (~1521–1891-~~Understanding~~). ~~The present study findings~~
55 ~~can help to identify the best suitable regions for chia cultivation by knowing~~ the relationship
56 between chia morphotypes and weather conditions ~~can help to identify suitable regions for~~
57 ~~chia cultivation, thereby enhancing chia seed supply.~~

58 **Keywords:** Chia, flowering phenology, sowing dates, weather parameters, growing degree
59 days, temperature, yield attributes.

60 Introduction

61 Climate change-induced weather events adversely influence the yield and quality of
62 oilseeds by altering crop-growing conditions at both regional and national levels (*Attia et al.,*
63 *2021*). The global average ~~yields~~yield of major oilseed crops such as sunflower, soybean, and
64 canola have plateaued over the last several years (*Attia et al., 2021; Ray et al., 2019*). In the
65 last few decades, the import of oilseed crops has increased tremendously in the Indian
66 subcontinent due to decreased productivity of major oilseed ~~crops~~ (*Brassicaceae*) ~~erops~~
67 (*Jingar et al., 2023*). An average healthy adult intakes about 20–35% of their calories through
68 oil and fats. The human body is unable to synthesize two essential fatty acids: alpha-linolenic
69 and linoleic acids (*Saini and Keum, 2018*). Thus, causing ever-increasing pressure on global
70 food and nutritional security and determining the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG-2,
71 zero hunger) (*Halli et al., 2024*). Therefore, these two essential fatty acids must be directly
72 obtained from healthy sources like fish and oilseed crops such as chia to reduce the risk of
73 cardiovascular diseases and high blood pressure (*Kris-Etherton and Krauss, 2020*).

74 In this context, chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) is an important crop belonging to the
75 *Lamiaceae* family with high nutritional and medicinal values, thriving well in tropical and
76 subtropical climates (*Capitani et al., 2013*). Besides, chia oil can also be used for industrial
77 purposes such as a stabilizer and binder in food processing (*Felisberto et al., 2015; Pathak et*
78 *al., 2015*), and as an anti-corrosive agent. ~~Along with~~~~Apart from~~ its higher protein content,
79 chia seeds contain a notable amount of fixed oil (20.3% to 38.6%), prominently featuring α -
80 linolenic acid (55%) and linoleic acid (19%) (*Attia et al., 2023; Ayerza and Coates, 2011*).
81 The well-balanced profile of essential amino acids makes chia a preferred ingredient for the
82 development of health-oriented products, hence it is often referred to as a "superfood"
83 (*Fernandes et al., 2020*). Accordingly, the consumer tendency to choose food crops like chia,
84 nutri-millets, and grain amaranth is increasing due to multiple health benefits and to combat
85 malnutrition. Consequently, in India, chia cultivation extends across many central and
86 southern states to meet the increasing demand for balanced edible oil and industrial demands.
87 In 2023, the global market for chia was valued at US\$ 203 million, and further market
88 insights anticipate a cumulative growth rate of at least 7%, reaching US\$ 390 million by 2033
89 (*Chia Seed Market, 2024*). Because of its suitability under resource-scarce conditions (water,
90 poor soils, and nutrients) of tropical and subtropical regions, the area under chia cultivation is
91 gradually increasing in many states of the country (*Harisha et al., 2023*). However, limited
92 technical information is available on cultivation practices, such as optimum sowing time ~~and~~,

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

93 weather relation with flowering behavior and yield traits in semi-arid regions (*Attia et al.*,
94 *2023; Jingar et al., 2023*).

95 In recent years, deviated weather events such as rainfall, temperature, and relative
96 humidity have altered crop performances, necessitating prompted the farmers to adopt sowing
97 windows that may not be optimum for crop performance in general. Similarly, in the case of
98 chia, varied sowing windows from July–August to mid-winter December–January result in
99 dwindling responses in terms of flowering, maturity, seed yield, and oil quality (*Karim et al.*,
100 *2015; Ram et al., 2024*). Chia seed yield is highly responsive to sowing dates, yielding 150
101 kg ha⁻¹ in December sowing and 354 kg ha⁻¹ in October sowing under Indian conditions
102 (*Guttadar et al., 2023*). These variations could be predominantly attributed credited to the
103 wide range of prevailing weather conditions (temperature, relative humidity, and rainfall),
104 especially in photosensitive crops (*Ayerza and Coates, 2009; Hirich et al., 2014*). Flower
105 induction in chia requires temperatures between 20–30°C, annual rainfall between 500–1000
106 mm, and a photoperiod of less than 12 hours (*Jamboonsri et al., 2012*). Suboptimal
107 photoperiods can lead to reduced reproductive phases and increased vegetative growth
108 (*Baginsky et al., 2016*). For example, early sowing in June or July encounters high
109 temperatures and long day lengths initially, extending the growth period or accumulating
110 higher heat units, which leads to enhanced vegetative biomass but decreased seed yield and
111 oil content in chia (*Brandan et al., 2022; Benetoli da Silva et al., 2020*). A positive relation
112 was observed between pre-flowering duration and verticillaster flower weight. The While,
113 longer the duration leads to more flower dry weight and seed yield in chia. However, the
114 study is limited to growing degree days and photoperiod and the effect of weather parameters
115 before and after flowering was not considered to explain the yield related traits *Brandan et*
116 *al., (2020)*. Similarly, delayed sown chia experiences initial cooler temperatures and shorter
117 days, followed by hot and dry conditions, which lead to premature floral initiation and
118 shorten the vegetative phase. Therefore, timely sowing is a basic requirement to provide ideal
119 weather conditions for determining the growth and yield of chia (*Baginsky et al., 2016*).
120 Favourable day length and weather conditions during flowering and seed setting stages of
121 chia can optimize yield and oil quality (*Lobo et al., 2011*).

122 Apart from climate, diverse morphotypes of chia respond differently to environmental
123 conditions and sowing times (*Benetoli da Silva et al., 2020*). Both white and black-seeded
124 chia types differ in their growth, yield, and oil content (33.8% and 32.7%, respectively) ~~as~~
125 ~~reported by Suri et al., (2016)~~. However, many studies did not explore how chia

126 morphotypes respond to varying sowing dates, photoperiods, day lengths, temperatures, and
127 relative humidity concerning growth, pre and post-flowering behaviour, and seed
128 development in semi-arid conditions. The growth dynamics and distribution of assimilates [in](#)
129 [plants is](#) strongly depend on temperature, relative humidity, and moisture availability, ~~which~~
130 ~~influence growth rate and crop physiology~~ (*Silva et al., 2017*). Limited previous studies have
131 investigated the performance of either white or black-seeded chia morphotypes under limited
132 sowing dates and overlooked remaining sowing windows. Yet, no studies have clearly
133 deciphered the impact of wider sowing windows (fifteen dates at intervals of 15 days) in a
134 year on flowering phenology and maturity in [two](#) chia morphotypes. ~~This~~The lack of
135 ~~information~~[knowledge](#) on how chia morphotypes behave in terms of phenology and seed
136 yield in response to prevailing weather parameters limits the ability to maximize seed yield.
137 Therefore, choosing the ideal sowing time to achieve better synchronized flowering and high
138 seed yield is a primary requirement for any grower or plant breeder. Understanding crop
139 phenology and its relationship with weather helps plant breeders in generation advancement
140 and enables growers to assess yield potential. Such information on how sowing dates and
141 weather parameters influence chia seed and oil yield is crucial for characterizing photoperiod
142 sensitivity and guiding the selection of new niches for chia intensification, thereby reducing
143 climate-induced weather uncertainties to meet the increasing market demand for quality
144 vegetable oil and addressing SDG 13 (climate action). Thus, we hypothesize that sowing
145 dates favouring weather conditions influence flowering phenology and yield attributes of chia
146 types, and the interaction of temperature, relative humidity, and rainfall would optimize
147 vegetative and reproductive phases. Therefore, a two-year [field](#) study was planned to
148 determine the effect of varied sowing windows and weather conditions on flower phenology,
149 maturity, and seed yield of chia [morphotypes](#), and [also](#) to ~~decipher~~[understand](#) the association
150 between ~~critical~~[key](#) weather parameters in determining [the](#) chia seed yield.

151 **Materials and methods**

152 **Weather details of the study location**

153 Field trials were conducted for two consecutive years (2021–22 and 2022–23) at
154 ICAR–National Institute of Abiotic Stress Management (NIASM), Baramati, Pune,
155 Maharashtra, India: ([Supplementary figure 1](#)). The study site is positioned at 18.15850556° N
156 and 74.50085556° E at an elevation of 570 meters above sea level (MSL). This region falls
157 within the hot and semi-arid zone of the Deccan Plateau region, which is known as the water

158 scarcity zone of the state. The mean maximum and minimum temperature of the region was
159 31.2°C and 21.9°C respectively. The region receives an average annual precipitation of 576
160 mm, a major portion (75%) is received between August and October (*Harisha et al., 2023*).
161 The annual open-pan evaporation rate of the region is 1965 mm, which is three times more
162 than annual rainfall. The detailed weather parameters for the cropping seasons (2021–22 and
163 2022–23) are outlined in [Fig. 1](#) and [Supplementary Table S1](#). The weather data on maximum
164 temperature (Tmax), minimum temperature (Tmin), bright sunshine hours (BSS), open pan
165 evaporation, rainfall, relative humidity (RH) for the location during the cropping season was
166 obtained from weather observatory of ICAR-NIASM, Baramati.

167 Soil details of the experimental site

168 The soil type of the experimental site was shallow basaltic with 81.9% sand, 10.4%
169 silt, and 7.5% clay exhibits low water holding capacity (*Rajagopal et al., 2018*). The
170 chemical properties of the soil ~~are~~ were: pH (7.48), an electrical conductivity (0.21 dS m⁻¹),
171 [1](#), a moderate level of organic carbon (6.5 g kg⁻¹), low available nitrogen (81.2 kg ha⁻¹),
172 [1](#), phosphorus (3.6 kg ha⁻¹ as P₂O₅), and potassium (80.0 kg ha⁻¹ as K₂O).

173 Experimental details and crop management

174 The experiment consists of two factors; [dates of sowing and chia morphotypes](#) ~~and dates~~
175 ~~of sowing~~ were laid out in ~~a factorial randomized block split-plot~~ design (~~RBD~~) with three
176 replications. ~~Two chia types (White and Black) were treated as main factor and fifteen~~ Fifteen
177 dates of sowing (S1; 1st July, S2; 15th July, S3; 1st August, S4; 15th August, S5; 1st September,
178 S6; 15th September, S7; 1st October, S8; 15th October, S9; 1st November, S10; 15th November,
179 S11; 1st December, S12; 15th December, S13; 1st January, S14; 15th January, and S15; 1st
180 February) ~~were treated as main factor and two chia types (White and Black)~~ as sub factor. The
181 plots of size 3 m × 2.5 m were prepared for sowing the seeds of ~~white and black~~ chia types (2.5
182 kg ha⁻¹) after mixing with sand in 60 cm wider rows. Subsequently, excess and weak plants
183 ~~were removed~~ by retaining one healthy, and maintained a uniform distance of 20 cm between
184 plants within rows. Recommended nutrients (N:P₂O₅:K₂O at 90:60:75 kg ha⁻¹) was applied
185 through fertilizers such as urea, di-ammonium phosphate, and ~~murite~~ [muriate](#) of potash-
186 (*Harisha et al., 2023*). The full dose of P₂O₅ and K₂O, and 50% of N was applied during field
187 preparation as a basal, whereas the remaining 50% of N ~~was top dressed in three splits at 30,~~
188 [45, and 60 days after sowing \(DAS\)](#) (45 kg/ha) ~~was top dressed through urea in three splits at~~

Formatted: Justified

189 [30 DAS; days after sowing \(15 kg/ha\), 45 DAS \(15 kg/ha\), and 60 DAS \(15 kg/ha\). The urea](#)
190 [was applied in band placement 5 cm away from plants and light raking was done to mix with](#)
191 [soil. The chia was cultivated under rainfed conditions with supplemental irrigation. During](#)
192 [extended dry spells, supplemental irrigation was scheduled based on soil drying and visible](#)
193 [crop moisture deficit symptoms during both the rainy and winter seasons, at a depth of 5 cm at](#)
194 [weekly interval using drip system.](#) Weeds were controlled by manual hand weeding, however,
195 the crop remained unaffected by pests and diseases during both cropping periods.

Formatted: Font color: Auto

196 Measurement of chia morphological parameters and phenology

197 Chia growth attributes such as plant height and dry biomass production were recorded
198 at harvest from five randomly selected plants separately in each treatment. Floral characters
199 such as days to flower bud appearance (FBA), completion of flowering, and maturity were
200 recorded from randomly selected five plants as per the procedure outlined by [Brandan et al.](#)
201 [\(2019\)](#). [DaysA day](#) to 50% flowering was recorded treatment wise when 50% of plants open
202 their first flower. Likewise, growing degree days (GDD) also called heat unit accumulated up
203 to maturity was calculated for each sowing date as suggested by [Nuttonson \(1957\)](#).

$$204 \quad \text{GDD} = \frac{T_{\text{Max}} + T_{\text{Min}}}{2} - T_{\text{base}}$$

205 T_{max} is maximum temperature, T_{min} is minimum temperature, T_{base} is base temperature
206 (10°C) [Ayerza and Coates, \(2009\)](#).

207 Likewise, heat use efficiency (HUE) indicates the capacity of a plant to produce yield
208 per unit of heat used. HUE of the chia crop was calculated using the formula suggested by
209 [Singh and Khushu \(2012\)](#).

$$210 \quad \text{HUE (kg ha}^{-1}\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}^{-1}\text{ day}^{-1}) = \frac{\text{Grain yield (kg ha}^{-1})}{\text{Accumulated GDD (}^{\circ}\text{C day)}}$$

211 Seed yield and yield attributes of chia

212 Yield determinants of chia such as the number of spikes per plant, spike length, seed
213 yield per spike and 1000 seed weight were recorded from five randomly selected plants
214 ~~from~~ each treatment ([Harisha et al., 2024](#)). Then, seed yield was determined by recording
215 the seed weight from fifty plants in the plot of 7.5 m² and sun dried for 3–4 days to attain
216 moisture content of 7±0.5% and expressed in kg ha⁻¹. Likewise, plot wise dry biomass yield
217 was determined from randomly selected five plants after sun drying for 2–3 days followed by

218 oven drying at 63 °C for 72 h to attain constant weight and expressed as dry biomass kg ha⁻¹.

219 Later, the harvest index (HI) was calculated based on the seed and biological yield of chia.

$$220 \quad \text{HI (\%)} = \frac{\text{Grain yield (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}}{\text{Dry Biomass (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}}$$

221 Later, grain filling duration (GFD) was calculated considering the number of days
222 between 50% flowering and physiological maturity. Similarly, the grain filling rate was
223 calculated by dividing seed yield with grain filling duration as explained by *Sattar et al.*
224 (2023) in wheat.

225 Statistics

226 Before conducting an analysis of variance, the data recorded on various growth,
227 phenology, and yield parameters of chia during both years was tested for normality by the
228 Shapiro–Wilk test using the PROC UNIVARIATE procedure in SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute, Inc.,
229 Cary, NC, USA). Then, normal data was subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using
230 the mixed model (proc GLIMMIX in SAS v 9.3). Chia morphotypes, year, and sowing
231 dates were considered as fixed effects and replications as random effects. Post-hoc test was
232 conducted to compare the difference ($\alpha = 0.05$) using Tukey's honest significant difference
233 (HSD) test. Further, Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to describe the association
234 between weather parameters (T_{\max} , T_{\min} , RH, accumulated GDD, bright sunshine hours, and
235 rainfall), vs grain yield, days to flower bud appearance, flowering duration, and maturity
236 (*Gomez and Gomez, 1984*). To interpret multi-environment (chia types × sowing dates ×
237 weather parameters × chia types) interaction, GGEPCA biplot analysis was carried out using
238 R software (version 4.2.3) (*Gopinath et al., 2021*).

239 Results

240 Chia growth and floral phenology

241 Growth determinants such as plant height and biomass accumulation in chia
242 morphotypes differed significantly ($p < 0.05$) across sowing dates (*Fig. 2a-b*). Among chia
243 types, black seeded plants were found to be more vigorous with greater height (119.6 cm) and
244 biomass accumulation (2883.9 kg ha⁻¹) over white seeded plants (117.3 cm, and 2662.2 kg
245 ha⁻¹ respectively.). Regarding fifteen sowing dates, early sowing (S1: 1st July, S2: 15th July,
246 S3: 1st August) demonstrated the highest plant height (199.1 cm, 195.1 cm, and 185.3 cm

247 respectively, and biomass production (4294.2–4021.9 kg ha⁻¹) compared to other sowing
248 dates (Fig. 2a-b). Whereas, delayed sowing after S3 up to S15 conspicuously reduced the
249 plant height and biomass accumulation (1735.1–1899.4 kg ha⁻¹) in chia types.

250 Similarly, floral phenological events such as days to flower bud appearance (FBA),
251 days to 50% flowering, days to completion of flowering, days to maturity and flowering
252 duration have responded ($p < 0.05$) to dates of sowing (Table 1 and Supplementary Table S2).
253 Particularly, flowering phenology did not differ among white and black seeded chia
254 morphotypes. Whereas, early sown plants (S1 and S2) took more days to FBA (70.5–78.2),
255 and it was drastically reduced to 35.0 days in late sown conditions (S7: 1st October). Further
256 delay in sowing after S8: 15th October to S15: 1st February gradually delayed the FBA (54.8
257 days). Similarly, days to 50% flowering, days to complete flowering and days to maturity
258 followed a similar trend as that of FBA (Table 1). The flowering duration was significantly
259 delayed in late sown conditions (S13 to S15; 63.6 to 77.5 days) over other sowing dates. The
260 shortest flowering duration of 47 days was observed in S8 and S9 sowing conditions.
261 Moreover, early sown conditions (S1 to S4) enhanced the grain filling duration (39.8 to 41.8
262 days) with a decreasing trend up to S11 and a subsequent increase up to S15. Across years of
263 cultivation, the second year (2022–23) noticed maximum plant height (122.4 cm), and
264 biomass accumulation (2934.7 kg ha⁻¹) with ~~and with~~ delayed flowering duration, grain
265 filling duration and maturity (115.3 days).

266 **Relation between prevailing weather parameters and flowering phenology of chia**

267 Weather conditions during the vegetative phase (germination to bud appearance)
268 strongly influenced the flowering phenology of chia (Fig. 3a). The Pearson's correlation
269 suggested that FBA exhibited a positive correlation with day length ($r=0.7$), accumulated
270 GDD ($r=0.87$), ~~and~~ T_{\min} ($r=0.42$), and RH ($r=0.38$). While FBA was negatively related to
271 diurnal temperature difference (T_{diff}) ($r=-0.38$) and bright sunshine hours (BSS) ($r=-0.43$).
272 Likewise, The flowering duration had a positive correlation with day length ($r=0.85$), T_{\max}
273 ($r=0.79$), T_{\min} ($r=0.59$), and accumulated GDD ($r=0.84$) prevailed during flowering phase
274 (flower initiation to completion). However, flowering duration was negatively correlated with
275 RH prevailing during the flowering phase ($r=-0.64$) (Fig. 3b).

276 **Yield attributes and seed yield of chia**

277 Yield attributes of chia morphotypes responded to sowing dates during two years of
278 investigation (Table 2). Black seeded chia types produced more spikes per plant (30.3), spike
279 length (17.99 cm), 1000 seeds weight (1.15 g), HUE ($0.37 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$), grain filling
280 rate ($17.8 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$), and seed yield (564.6 kg ha^{-1}) compared to white types. While white
281 seeded morphotypes maintained a greater harvest index (21.32%) across sowing dates.
282 Within sowing dates, treatments (S3–S6; 1st August–15th September) maintained a greater
283 number of spikes, spike length (20.1–21.71 cm), 1000 seeds weight (1.15–1.16 g), and seed
284 yield (741.0–811.0 kg ha^{-1}) with greater HUE, grain filling rate, and HI. In contrast, delayed
285 sowing after S7 to S15 adversely influenced the HUE, grain filling rate, and seed yield of
286 chia morphotypes. Regarding year effect, the first year (2021–22) recorded a superior number
287 of spikes, 1000 seed weight, HUE, grain filling rate, and seed yield (579.2 kg ha^{-1}) over
288 2022–23 (Table 2). [Further, the triple interaction between sowing dates, chia types, and year](#)
289 [showed the significant effect for seed yield and HUE. In 2021-22 black type chia produced](#)
290 [higher seed yield in S3-S6, whereas in 2022-23 it was higher in sowings S3-S4](#)
291 [\(Supplementary table 3\).](#) Therefore, sowing up to 15th September could favour the seed yield
292 and heat use efficiency of chia morphotypes in semi-arid conditions.

293 Weather parameters vs yield attributes of chia

294 Weather parameters across the growing period up to maturity established a significant
295 ($p < 0.05$) relation with yield attributes of chia. The seed yield was positively influenced by
296 RH ($r = 0.93$ and $R^2 = 0.856$), HUE ($r = 0.9$), and RF ($r = 0.76$ and $R^2 = 0.529$), however, T_{max} ($r = -$
297 0.82 and $R^2 = 0.674$), T_{diff} ($r = -0.87$ and $R^2 = 0.856$), accumulated GDD ($r = -0.31$), BSS ($r = -$
298 0.84) were negatively influenced the seed yield (Fig. 4 and 5a–d). Besides, T_{max} negatively
299 related to chia yield attributes; seed yield per spike ($r = -0.76$), spike length ($r = -0.65$), and
300 1000 seed weight ($r = -0.58$) (Fig. 4). Notably, RH during the entire cropping period displayed
301 a strong positive associations with chia yielding traits; ($r = 0.71$ to 0.85). Analysis of diurnal
302 temperature difference revealed a negative correlation with all growth and yield-related traits
303 of chia. Moreover, the relation between seed yield and plant traits was also found significant
304 (Fig. 4). Seed yield exhibited positive correlations with plant height ($r = 0.73$), spike length
305 ($r = 0.78$), number of spikes per plant ($r = 0.80$), number of branches per plant ($r = 0.76$), seed
306 yield per spike ($r = 0.89$), and 1000 seed weight ($r = 0.74$). Conversely, seed yield showed a
307 negative correlation with flowering duration ($r = -0.66$) and crop duration ($r = -0.28$). Hence,

308 prevailing weather parameters had a considerable role in determining the growth and yield of
309 chia morphotypes.

310 **InterrelationshipInteraction between chia yield traits and weather parameters due to** 311 **sowing dates and chia morphotypes**

312 Multivariate analysis was conducted to elucidate the interaction, inter-relationship and
313 variations among various yield traits and weather parameters that prevailed during the entire
314 chia duration- and chai types. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) revealed that the first two
315 components (PC1 and PC2) captured 94.1% of the total variability (Fig. 6a). In PC1, traits
316 such as seed yield, spike length, number of spikes, seed weight per spike, plant height, and
317 biomass production demonstrated strong positive associations as indicated by the narrow
318 angles between their vectors. Similarly, weather parameters RH, RF, and T_{\min} showed strong
319 positive associations with seed yield and yield-related traits. These variables explained the
320 maximum total variability as evidenced by the length of their vectors. Conversely, BSS,
321 flowering duration, and T_{\max} exhibited negative associations- with each other. In PC2,
322 variables such as accumulated GDD, day length, flowering duration, days to 50% flowering,
323 days to maturity, and FBA were positively associated with each other but negatively
324 influenced the seed yield (Fig. 6a). Furthermore, sowing times (S4, S5, and S6) in both black
325 and white seed types were closely related to higher seed yield, and yield traits as favoured by
326 weather parameters like RH and rainfall. Conversely, delayed sowing times (S13, S14, and
327 S15) in both varieties coincided with intense sunshine hours, poor RH, and higher T_{\max}
328 resulting in longer flowering duration, and accumulated GDD negatively determined the seed
329 yield and yield traits of chia (Fig. 6b).

330 **Discussion**

331 The deviation in ideal weather conditions due to changing sowing dates notably
332 influences the flowering phenology, maturity, and determines the yield of short day crops like
333 chia. Therefore, this is a kind of first report that exhaustively screened chia morphotypes
334 under various dates of sowing and two chia morphotypes, and established the cause and
335 effect relationship between weather and yield parameters.

336 **Growth parameters of chia**

337 Black seeded chia morphotypes was found more vigorous over white seeded owing to
338 greater plant height and biomass accumulation. This might be due to its superior genetic

339 characteristics and adaptation as described by [Grimes et al. \(2018\)](#) and [Guttedar et al. \(2023\)](#).
340 Early sowing during the rainy season (July S1-S2) resulted in higher plant height and biomass
341 accumulation because of long day conditions (average day length; 12.5 hours and
342 accumulated GDD; >2000°C) ([Fig. 1c](#)) led to more vegetative growth and delayed
343 reproductive growth over subsequent sowing dates ([Guttedar et al., 2023](#)). This was also due
344 to the receipt of sufficient rain and the prevailing ideal temperature around ~30°C during the
345 vegetative phase favoured the growth and biomass accumulation in both types of chia, thus
346 increasing the risk of lodging. Our findings corroborate the results of [Goergen et al. \(2018\)](#) in
347 chia, where higher GDD and longer photoperiod increase plant height and biomass.
348 Similarly, [Silva et al. \(2018\)](#) reported enhanced vegetative growth in chia due to a greater
349 number of branches during early sowing. Whereas, shorter plants with reduced biomass
350 accumulation in case of delayed sowings after December (S11) to February (S15) were
351 attributed to prevailing dry weather (high temperature and low RH) with the least rainfall
352 during active growth stages ([Fig. 1a-c](#)). The crop biomass production is closely associated
353 with dominant environmental factors such as temperature, RH, and rainfall together decide
354 crop duration- ([Guttedar et al., 2023](#)). Thus, chia is very sensitive to day length, RH, and
355 temperature, which determines its biomass accumulation and yield.

356 **Flowering phenology and maturity in chia**

357 The delayed FBA in chia during early (S1-S3) and delayed sowings (S14-S15) was
358 possibly due to longer day length conditions (>12.5 hours) compared to intermediate sowings
359 (S4-S13) with shorter day lengths (<12 hours). As a result, flowering duration was extended
360 (56.6 to 77.5 days) owing to more number of days between FBA and completion of
361 flowering. The positive correlation between the flowering duration and T_{max} during flowering
362 phase indicates the potential cause for delayed flower opening due to high temperatures ([Fig.](#)
363 [4](#)). It is important to note that hot weather (high temperature; >34 °C, low RH; <50%, and no
364 rainfall; [Fig. 4](#)) commencement of long days during flowering phase (March-April) in
365 delayed sowing resulted in delayed FBA and also the conversion of floral structures into
366 vegetative parts, ~~that may be the reason for delayed FBA~~ in chia ([Guttedar et al., 2023](#)).
367 Whereas, delayed flowering in early sowing (S1-S2) was probably related to long day
368 condition associated with higher RH, rainfall and accumulated GDD during the vegetative
369 stage. Similarly, [Grimes et al. \(2018\)](#) and [Benetoli da Silva et al. \(2020\)](#) highlighted that
370 alterations in chia phenology are primarily linked to fluctuations in RH and higher GDD.

371 *Brandan et al. (2020)* also reported more the growing degree days longer than the pre-
372 flowering phase. Therefore, aligning chia flowering with optimal RH and rainfall conditions
373 synchronizes flower opening, and ensuring a shorter flowering duration are crucial for
374 efficient resource utilization and mitigating high temperatures and long days with higher
375 accumulated GDD (*Foulkes et al., 2011; Sylvester-Bradley et al., 2012*).

376 Days taken for flower opening and its completion decide the duration of crop
377 maturity. In the present study, early sowings as well as delayed sowings extended the chia
378 maturity (125 to 143 days) compared to intermediate sowings (93 to 114 days), mostly due to
379 delayed FBA, and flowering duration in chia. Similarly, *Lobo et al. (2011)* in Tucumán,
380 Argentina and *Baginsky et al., (2016)* in Las Cruces, Chile, demonstrated that January sowing
381 resulted in delayed flowering (105–111 days) and crop maturity (160–170 days respectively).
382 Subsequently, grain filling duration (between 50% flowering and maturity) was extended
383 with early and delayed sowing dates. A similar trend was observed with the completion of
384 flowering (*Jamboonsri et al., 2012; Sattar et al., 2023*). Further, chia maturity was slightly
385 delayed in 2022–23. This delay was likely attributed to increased accumulated GDD, higher
386 RH and the occurrence of rainfall fostering enhanced vegetative growth. Thus crop has taken
387 more days to complete flowering and extended grain filling duration, as a positive correlation
388 was observed between FBA ~~and~~, RH, rainfall, and day length (*Fig. 3a*). A similar pattern of
389 extended maturity and grain filling duration was found in lentil (*Jamboonsri et al., 2012;*
390 *Maphosa et al., 2023*). Both white and black seed chia types did not differ with respect to
391 flowering phenology and maturity. Therefore, chia, being a short-day tropical plant, thrives
392 well under photoperiods of less than 12.5 hours of light.

393 **Seed yield and yield attributes of chia**

394 Black seeded chia morphotypes produced greater (564.6 kg ha⁻¹, 10.8% higher) seed
395 yield over the white type (509.2 kg ha⁻¹). This improvement in seed yield with black types
396 could be attributed to improved biomass accumulation and yield-contributing parameters
397 such as number of spikes, spike length, and 1000 seed weight (1.05 g). Previous researchers
398 have noticed the genetic variation and superiority of black seeded chia types for yielding
399 characters because of their wider adaptability (*Ayerza and Coates, 2009; Guttedar et al.,*
400 *2023*). In this investigation, the seed yield of chia varied from 47.6 to 811.0 kg ha⁻¹ across
401 fifteen sowing dates. The higher seed yield with mid sowing dates (S3–S5) was mainly due to
402 improved yield contributing parameters (*Table 2 and Fig. 3b*). Similar associations between

403 seed yield and traits such as the number of spikes, spike length, and harvest index have been
404 reported in both black and white types of chia (*Baginsky et al., 2016*). The positive relation
405 between flower dry weight and seed yield in chia was also reported by *Brandan et al. (2020)*.
406 Despite congenial RH, rainfall and temperature, early sowing dates (S1 and S2) produced
407 lower seed yield because the plants produced a lower number of spikes because of more
408 height and canopy spread ~~because of due to~~ prolonged vegetative phase under long days. This
409 might hinder the production of branches, inflorescences, and subsequent translocation of
410 photosynthates towards seed filling. This concurs with the finding of *Han et al. (2006)* in
411 soybean where overcrowding canopy leads to poor branching with less number of pods.
412 Interestingly, delayed sowing after S5 to S15 drastically reduced the seed yield of chia,
413 ranging between 8.63% ~~to~~ 94.13% (*Table 2*). The poor chia seed yield was primarily due to
414 under development of yield governing traits as reported by *Guttedar et al. (2023)* that
415 delayed sowing (October) reduced the seed yield in Indian conditions. Therefore, it is crucial
416 to complete sowing by 1st August (S3) to 1st September (S5) to achieve higher seed yield
417 (790–811 kg ha⁻¹) in chia.

418 **Weather and yield attributes of chia**

419 This study among a few, clearly deciphered the impact of weather parameters in
420 determining the chia yield across various (fifteen) sowing windows in a year. The biplot
421 analysis confirmed that prevalence of optimum temperature (30–31°C), rainfall (200–350
422 mm), and RH (67–72%) during S3–S5 sowing (1st August to 1st September) resulted in higher
423 seed yield attributes (*Fig. 6b*). Our findings are in conformity with the results of *Grimes et al.*
424 *(2018)* and *Benetoli da Silva et al. (2020)* that climatic requirements of moderate to high
425 temperature, minimum temperature (< 10°C) with adequate rainfall enhanced the chia yield in
426 Germany and Brazil. Meanwhile, delayed sowings (S10–S15) reduced the 1000 seed weight
427 of chia, mainly due to higher temperatures during the flowering phase, leading to prolonged
428 flowering and grain-filling durations. This might also affect pollination, resulting in grain
429 shrinkage due to the production of reactive oxygen species, reduced pollen tube development,
430 increased pollen mortality, and grain abortion (*Nawaz et al., 2013; Dubey et al., 2019*). Thus,
431 prolonged flowering and maturity durations negatively influenced the seed yield, owing to
432 non-synchronized flowering, resulting in poor seed setting and seed yield per spike, as
433 evidenced by a negative correlation between seed yield per spike and flowering duration ($r=-$
434 0.56). Therefore, the increased temperature during the grain filling period increases the

435 percentage of chaffy seed formation, as found in cases of soybean and rice (*Borowska and*
436 *Prusiński, 2021; Sanwong et al., 2023*).

437 Similarly, the reduced grain filling rate in chia might be due to increased thermal load,
438 which is manifested in terms of higher accumulation of GDD leading to higher grain filling
439 duration in early (S1–S4) and delayed sowings (S8–S15). These sowing dates also decreased
440 the HUE in chia, despite higher seed yield in S3 and S4, poor HUE was possibly related to
441 more accumulation of GDD. Similar findings were reported in wheat that very early and
442 delayed sowings significantly reduced the thermal use efficiency (*Kaur and Pannu, 2008;*
443 *Singh et al., 2016*). It's also found that exposing crops to higher temperatures at critical
444 growth phases tends to affect the phenophase duration, HUE and yield (*Parya et al., 2010*).
445 The sowing dates; S4–S6 result in a medium flowering phase (50–51 days), and maturity
446 (98.8–114.4 days), leading to ideal plant height and biomass accumulation in chia over early
447 and delayed sowing dates. Therefore chia sowing from 1st August to 15th September
448 coincides with optimum RH, lower diurnal temperature difference, and rainfall favoured the
449 yield attributes and seed yield of chia in semi-arid conditions.

450 Conclusions

451 Adjusting crop sowing dates can be an effective adaptation measure to mitigate crop
452 yield losses in response to a changing climate. The study emphasizes that chia, being a short-
453 day plant, shows significant *responsivenessresponse* to different sowing windows. Weather
454 conditions during the cropping period play a crucial role in chia's floral phenology, maturity,
455 yield-contributing traits, and overall seed yield. Among chia morphotypes, black seed
456 varieties exhibit greater vigour compared to white types. Optimal chia sowing between
457 August 1st and September 1st enhances the likelihood of favourable conditions, including
458 relative humidity (~67–72%), maximum temperature (~30–31°C), day length (<12.0 hours),
459 rainfall (~200–350 mm), and accumulated growing degree days (~1521–1891), thereby
460 maximizing seed yield. A favourable sowing window of 30–45 days can assist farmers in
461 aligning chia cultivation with weather patterns, cropping systems, and resource availability,
462 thereby reducing climate-related risks. Extra-early sowing (July) reduces chia seed yield by
463 10.35%, moderately delayed sowing (September 15th to November 15th) by 24.1%, and extra-
464 delayed sowing (December 1st to February 1st) resulting in a drastic reduction of 72.7%.
465 Understanding these weather associations can support intensified chia cultivation practices.
466 The findings suggest practical guidance for selecting suitable regions and optimal sowing

Commented [j1]: These statements indicate the justification of your study that already mentioned in the introduction section. SO please coherent the key findings with our objectives here, not include extra things.

Commented [j2]: You have worked on two types of chia seed. So, these findings are suitable for which type of chia phenotype? Please specify here.

Commented [j3]: What do you mean by Favorable sowing window? Because now you have all findings, so make specific not hypothetical words here are acceptable in the conclusion section.

467 dates for chia cultivation under evolving climate conditions, thereby contributing to
468 sustainable development goals, particularly SDG 13 (climate action). Enhanced production
469 also presents export opportunities to meet the growing industrial demand for chia seeds.
470 However, alongside breeding efforts to develop varieties suitable for different sowing times,
471 standardizing water and nutrient management practices for chia varieties under varied sowing
472 windows is crucial to ensure sustainable oilseed production, aligning with global goals for
473 nutritional food security.

Commented [j4]: These sentences are unnecessary and not relevant with your findings. It's better to delete.

474 **Authorship contribution statement**

475 **CB Harisha:** Conceptualization and design of experiment, performed experiments,
476 analysis of data, manuscript preparation. **KM Boraiah:** Conceptualization and design of
477 experiment, performed experiments, manuscript preparation and reviewing. **PS Basavaraj:**
478 Conceptualization and design of experiment, performed experiments, manuscript editing.
479 **HM Halli:** performed experiments, analysis of data, manuscript preparation and editing. **RN**
480 **Singh:** weather data analysis and manuscript editing. **Jagadish Rane:** Conceptualization and
481 design of experiment, manuscript editing. **Kotha Sammi Reddy:** Supervision, manuscript
482 editing and approved the final draft. **GR Halagunde Gowda:** Analysis of data, preparation of
483 graphs and images, manuscript editing. **A Chaudhary:** data analysis, manuscript editing. **AK**
484 **Verma:** design of experiment, data analysis, manuscript editing. **Ravi Y:** Analysis of data,
485 preparation of graphs and images. **H Asangi:** data analysis, Preparation of graphs, manuscript
486 editing. **E. Senthamil:** Analysis of data, preparation of graphs, and manuscript editing.

487 **Acknowledgements**

488 The authors sincerely acknowledge the ICAR-National Institute of Abiotic Stress
489 Management for [supporting this research under the in-house flagship project on “Augmenting](#)
490 [farm income in water scarce regions with alternative crops \(IXX15656\)”-permitting to](#)
491 [conduct the research](#)

492 **Declaration of Competing Interest**

493 There are no conflicts involved in the research and submission of this article.

494 **Funding**

495 This research was carried out in support of the ICAR-National Institute of Abiotic
496 Stress Management in-house flagship project on “Augmenting farm income in water-scarce
497 regions with alternative crops (IXX15656)”.

498 **References**

- 499 Attia AS, Algharib AM, Ahmed FA and Abou El-Nasr THS. 2023. Effect of environmental
500 conditions and sowing date on Growth, and Yield PERFORMANCE OF Chia (*Salvia*
501 *hispanica* L.) Cultivated in Nubaria, Egypt. Al-Azhar Journal of Agricultural Research,
502 **48(3)**:58-67. doi: 10.21608/AJAR.2024.218675.1178.
- 503 Attia Z, Pogoda CS Reinert S, Kane NC and Hulke BS. 2021. Breeding for sustainable
504 oilseed crop yield and quality in a changing climate. Theoretical and Applied
505 Genetics, **134(6)**: 1817-1827.
- 506 Ayerza R, Coates W. 2009. Influence of the environment on growing period and yield,
507 protein, oil and α -linolenic content of three chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) selections. *Industrial*
508 *crops and products* **30(2)**: 321–324 Doi:[10.1016/j.indcrop.2009.03.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2009.03.009).
- 509 Ayerza R, Coates W. 2011. Protein content, oil content and fatty acid profiles as potential
510 criteria to determine the origin of commercially grown chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.). *Industrial*
511 *crops and products* **34**:1366–1371.
- 512 Baginsky C, Arenas J, Escobar H, Garrido M, Valero NA, Tello DS, Pizarro L, Valenzuela
513 A, Morales L, Silva H. 2016. Growth and yield of chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) in the
514 Mediterranean and desert climates of Chile. *Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research*
515 **76**:255-264.
- 516 Benetoli da Silva TR, de Melo SC, Nascimento AB., Ambrosano L, Bordin JC, Alves CZ,
517 Secco D, Santos RF, Gonçalves-Jr AC, da Silva GD. 2020. Response of chia (*Salvia*
518 *hispanica*) to sowing times and phosphorus rates over two crop cycles. *Heliyon* **6(9)**, e05051.
519 Doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05051.
- 520 Borowska M, Prusiński J. 2021. Effect of soybean cultivars sowing dates on seed yield and
521 its correlation with yield parameters, *Plant, Soil and Environment* **67(6)**: 360–366.
522 <https://doi.org/10.17221/73/2021-PSE>.
- 523 Brandan JP, Curti RN, Acreche MM. 2020. Developmental responses of chia (*Salvia*
524 *hispanica*) to variations in thermo-photoperiod: impact on subcomponents of grain yield.
525 *Crop and Pasture Science* **71(2)**: 183-189. <https://doi.org/10.1071/CP19218>.
- 526 Brandan JP, Izquierdo N, Acreche MM. 2022. Oil and protein concentration and fatty acid
527 composition of chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) as affected by environmental conditions, *Industrial*
528 *Crops and Products* **177**: 114496.
- 529 Brandan, JP, Curti RN, Acreche MM, 2019. Phenological growth stages in chia (*Salvia*
530 *hispanica* L.) according to the BBCH scale. *Scientia Horticulturae* **255**: 292–297.

531 Capitani MI, Ixtaina VY, Nolasco SM, Tomás MC. 2013. Microstructure, chemical
532 composition and mucilage exudation of chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) nutlets from Argentina.
533 Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. **93(15)**:3856-62. doi:10.1002/jsfa.6327.
534 Chia Seed Market, 2024, Future Market Insights. Available at
535 <https://www.futuremarketinsights.com/reports/chia-seed-market> (accessed 04.04.2024).

536 Dubey R, Pathak H, Singh S, Chakraborty B, Thakur AK, Fagodia RK. 2019. Impact of
537 Sowing Dates on Terminal Heat Tolerance of Different Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)
538 Cultivars. *National Academy Science Letters* **42**:445–449. doi:10.1007/s40009-019-0786-7.
539 Felisberto MHF, Galvão MTEL, Picone CSF, Cunha RL, Pollonio MAR. 2015. Effect of
540 prebiotic ingredients on the rheological properties and microstructure of reduced-sodium and
541 low-fat meat emulsions. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*. **60**: 148–155.
542 Fernandes SS, Romani VP, Filipini GS, Martins VG. 2020. Chia seeds to develop new
543 biodegradable polymers for food packaging: properties and biodegradability. *Polymer*
544 *Engineering and Science* **60(9)**: 1–10 <https://doi.org/10.1002/pen.25464>.
545 Foulkes MJ, Slafer GA, Davies WJ, Berry PM, Sylvester-Bradley R, Martre P, Calderini DF,
546 Griffiths S, Reynolds MP. 2011. Raising yield potential of wheat. III. Optimizing partitioning
547 to grain while maintaining lodging resistance. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **62(2)**:469–
548 486 Doi:10.1093/jxb/erq300.

549 Goergen PCH, Nunes UR, Stefanello R, Lago I, Nunes AR, Durigon A. 2018. Yield and
550 physical and physiological quality of *Salvia hispanica* L. seeds grown at different sowing
551 dates. *Journal of Agricultural Science* **10(8)**: 182-191. [10.5539/jas.v10n8p182](https://doi.org/10.5539/jas.v10n8p182).
552 Gomez KA, Gomez AA. 1984. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. 2nd Edition,
553 John Wiley and Sons, New York, p 680.

554 Gopinath PP, Prasad R, Joseph B, Adarsh VS. 2021. grapesAgri1: Collection of Shiny Apps
555 for Data Analysis in Agriculture. *Journal of Open Source Software*, **6(63)**, 3437,
556 <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03437>.

557 Grimes SJ, Phillips TD, Hahn V, Capezzone F, Graeff-Hönninger S. 2018. Growth, Yield
558 Performance and Quality Parameters of Three Early Flowering Chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.)
559 Genotypes Cultivated in Southwestern Germany. *Agriculture* **8(10)**: 154
560 <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture8100154>.

561 Guttadar A, Hunje R, Badiger B, Sharma Y. 2023. Effect of dates of sowing on seed yield
562 and quality of chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal* **12(11)**: 1857-1861.

563 Halli HM, Govindasamy P, Wasnik VK, Shivakumar BG, Swami S, Choudhary M, Yadav
564 VK, Singh AK, Raghavendra N, Govindasamy V, Chandra A. 2024. Climate-smart deficit
565 irrigation and nutrient management strategies to conserve energy, greenhouse gas emissions,
566 and the profitability of fodder maize seed production. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **442**:
567 140950. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2024.140950>.

568 Han T, Cunxiang W, Tong Z, Mentreddy R, Tan T, Gai J. 2006. Postflowering photoperiod
569 regulates vegetative growth and reproductive development of soybean. *Environmental and*
570 *Experimental Botany* **55**:120-129.

571 Harisha CB, Narayanpur VB, Rane J, Ganiger VM, Prasanna SM, Vishwanath YC, Reddi
572 SG, Halli HM, Boraiah KM, Basavaraj PS, Eman A. Mahmoud EA, Casini R, Elansary HO.
573 2023. Promising Bioregulators for Higher Water Productivity and Oil Quality of Chia under
574 Deficit Irrigation in Semiarid Regions. *Plants* **12**(3): 662 Doi: 10.3390/plants12030662.

575 Harisha CB, Rane, J, Halagunde Gowda GR, Chavan SB, Chaudhary A, Verma AK, Ravi Y,
576 Asangi H, Halli HM, Boraiah KM, Basavaraj PS, Kumar P and Reddy KS, 2024. Effect of
577 Deficit Irrigation and Intercrop Competition on Productivity, Water Use Efficiency and Oil
578 Quality of Chia in Semi-Arid Regions. *Horticulturae* **10**:101. Doi:
579 10.3390/horticulturae10010101.

580 Hirich A, Choukr-Allah R, Jacobsen SE. 2014. Quinoa in Morocco-effect of sowing dates on
581 development and yield. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science* **200**: 371–377.

582 Jamboonsri W, Phillips T, Geneve R, Cahill J, Hildebrand D. 2012. Extending the range of an
583 ancient crop, *Salvia hispanica* L. - a new ω 3 source. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*
584 **59**:171-178.

585 Jingar A, Preet MS, Kumar A and Ram M. 2023. Response of Date of Sowing and Crop
586 Geometry on Yield Potential of Chia under Tropical conditions. *Biological Forum – An*
587 *International Journal* **15**(8): 470-473.

588 Karim MM, Ashrafuzzaman M, Hossain MA. 2015. Effect of planting time on the growth
589 and yield of chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.). *Asian Journal of Medical and Biological Research*.
590 **1**(3):502-507 doi: 10.3329/ajmbr.v1i3.26469.

591 Kaur A, Pannu RK. 2008. Effect of sowing time and nitrogen schedules on phenology, yield
592 and thermal-use efficiency of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Indian Journal of Agricultural*
593 *Sciences* **78**(4): 366-369.

594 Kris-Etherton PM, Krauss RM. 2020. Public health guidelines should recommend reducing
595 saturated fat consumption as much as possible: YES. *The American Journal of Clinical*
596 *Nutrition* **112**(1):13-18. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqaa110.

597 Lobo R, Alcocer M, Fuentes FJ, Rodríguez, WA, Morandini M, Devani MR. 2011. Chia crop
598 production in Tucuman, Argentina, *Avance Agroindustrial* **32(4)**: 27-30.

599 Maphosa L, Preston A, Richards MF. 2023. Effect of Sowing Date and Environment on
600 Phenology, Growth and Yield of Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medikus.) Genotypes. *Plants* **12**: 474.
601 <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12030474>.

602 Ram M, Meena RC, Ambawat S, Bhardwaj R, Kumar M, Meena DS, Kumawat R,
603 Choudhary S. 2024. Chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) production potential in western India
604 influenced by planting date and crop geometry. *International Journal of Research in*
605 *Agronomy* **7(1)**: 277-282.

606 Nawaz A, Farooq M, Cheema SA, Wahid, A. 2013. Differential response of wheat cultivars
607 to terminal heat stress. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*. **15(6)**:1354–1358.

608 Nuttonson MY. 1957. Wheat-climate relationship and the use of phenology in ascertaining
609 the thermal and photo thermal requirement of wheat *BioScience*, **7(1)**:39–40
610 <https://doi.org/10.2307/1292056>.

611 Parya, M., Nath, D., Mazumdar, D., and Chakraborty, P. K. (2010). Effect of thermal stress
612 on wheat productivity in West Bengal. *Journal of Agrometeorology* **12(2)**:217–220.

613 Pathak PO, Nagarsenker MS, Barhate CR, Padhye SG, Dhawan VV, Bhattacharyya D,
614 Viswanathan CL, Steiniger F, Fahr A. 2015. Cholesterol anchored arabinogalactan for
615 asialoglycoprotein receptor targeting: synthesis, characterization, and proof of concept of
616 hepatospecific delivery. *Carbohydrate Research* **408**:33
617 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2015.03.003>.

618 Rajagopal V, Choudhary RL, Kumar N, Krishnani KK, Singh Y, Bal SK, Minhas PS, Singh
619 NP. 2018. Soil health status of NIASM southern farm land. ICAR–National Institute of
620 Abiotic Stress Management, Baramati, India , p 51-52.

621 Ray DK, West PC, Clark M, Gerber JS, Prishchepov AV, Chatterjee S. 2019. Climate change
622 has likely already affected global food production. *Plos One*, **14(5)**:e0217148.

623 Saini RK, Keum YS. 2018. Omega-3 and omega-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids: Dietary
624 sources, metabolism, and significance–A review. *Life Sciences* **203**:255–267.

625 Sanwong P, Sanitchon J, Dongsansuk A, Jothityangkoon D. 2023. High Temperature Alters
626 Phenology, Seed Development and Yield in Three Rice Varieties. *Plants* **12**:666.
627 <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12030666>.

628 Sattar A, Nanda G, Singh G, Jha RK, Bal SK. 2023. Responses of phenology, yield attributes,
629 and yield of wheat varieties under different sowing times in Indo-Gangetic Plains. *Frontiers*
630 *in Plant Science*. **14**:1224334 doi: 10.3389/fpls.2023.1224334.

631 Silva BP, Anunciaçao PC, Matyelka JCDS, Della Lucia CM, Martino HSD, Pinheiro-
632 Sant'Ana HM. 2017. Chemical composition of Brazilian chia seeds grown in different places.
633 Food Chem. **221**: 1709–1716. Doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.10.115.
634 Silva H, Arriagada C, Campos–Saez S, Baginsky C, Castellaro–Galdames G, Morales–
635 Salinas L. 2018. Effect of sowing date and water availability on growth of plants of chia
636 (*Salvia hispanica* L.) established in Chile. *Plos One*. **13(9)**:e0203116.
637 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0203116>.
638 Singh M, Khushu MK. 2012. Growth and yield prediction of wheat in relation to agroclimatic
639 indices under irrigated and rainfed condition. *Journal of Agrometeorology* **14(1)**:63–66 doi:
640 10.54386/jam.v14i1.1386.
641 Singh S, Kingra PK, Singh SP. 2016. Heat unit requirement and its utilization efficiency in
642 wheat under different hydrothermal environments. *Annals of Agricultural Research* **37(2)**:
643 130-140.
644 Suri S, Passi SJ and Goyat J. 2016. Chia Seed (*Salvia hispanica* L.). A new age functional
645 food. *International Journal of Advanced technology in Engineering and Science*, **4(3)**: 286-
646 299.
647 Sylvester-Bradley R, Riffkin P, O'Leary G. 2012. Designing resource efficient ideotypes for
648 new cropping conditions: wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in the High Rainfall Zone of southern
649 Australia. *Field Crops Research* **125**:69–82 Doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2011.07.015.
650