

Untangling taxonomic knots: the intricate case of *Croton anomalus* (Euphorbiaceae), a seasonally dry tropical forest specialist (#106224)

1

First submission

Guidance from your Editor

Please submit by **25 Oct 2024** for the benefit of the authors (and your token reward) .



Structure and Criteria

Please read the 'Structure and Criteria' page for guidance.



Custom checks

Make sure you include the custom checks shown below, in your review.



Raw data check

Review the raw data.



Image check

Check that figures and images have not been inappropriately manipulated.

If this article is published your review will be made public. You can choose whether to sign your review. If uploading a PDF please remove any identifiable information (if you want to remain anonymous).

Files

Download and review all files from the [materials page](#).

9 Figure file(s)

6 Table file(s)

2 Other file(s)

! Custom checks

DNA data checks



Have you checked the authors [data deposition statement](#)?



Can you access the deposited data?



Has the data been deposited correctly?



Is the deposition information noted in the manuscript?



Structure and Criteria

Structure your review

The review form is divided into 5 sections. Please consider these when composing your review:

1. BASIC REPORTING
2. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN
3. VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS
4. General comments
5. Confidential notes to the editor

 You can also annotate this PDF and upload it as part of your review

When ready [submit online](#).

Editorial Criteria

Use these criteria points to structure your review. The full detailed editorial criteria is on your [guidance page](#).

BASIC REPORTING

-  Clear, unambiguous, professional English language used throughout.
-  Intro & background to show context. Literature well referenced & relevant.
-  Structure conforms to [Peerj standards](#), discipline norm, or improved for clarity.
-  Figures are relevant, high quality, well labelled & described.
-  Raw data supplied (see [Peerj policy](#)).

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

-  Original primary research within [Scope of the journal](#).
-  Research question well defined, relevant & meaningful. It is stated how the research fills an identified knowledge gap.
-  Rigorous investigation performed to a high technical & ethical standard.
-  Methods described with sufficient detail & information to replicate.

VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS

-  **Impact and novelty is not assessed.** Meaningful replication encouraged where rationale & benefit to literature is clearly stated.
-  All underlying data have been provided; they are robust, statistically sound, & controlled.
-  Conclusions are well stated, linked to original research question & limited to supporting results.



The best reviewers use these techniques

Tip

Example

Support criticisms with evidence from the text or from other sources

Smith et al (J of Methodology, 2005, V3, pp 123) have shown that the analysis you use in Lines 241-250 is not the most appropriate for this situation. Please explain why you used this method.

Give specific suggestions on how to improve the manuscript

Your introduction needs more detail. I suggest that you improve the description at lines 57- 86 to provide more justification for your study (specifically, you should expand upon the knowledge gap being filled).

Comment on language and grammar issues

The English language should be improved to ensure that an international audience can clearly understand your text. Some examples where the language could be improved include lines 23, 77, 121, 128 – the current phrasing makes comprehension difficult. I suggest you have a colleague who is proficient in English and familiar with the subject matter review your manuscript, or contact a professional editing service.

Organize by importance of the issues, and number your points

1. Your most important issue
2. The next most important item
3. ...
4. The least important points

Please provide constructive criticism, and avoid personal opinions

I thank you for providing the raw data, however your supplemental files need more descriptive metadata identifiers to be useful to future readers. Although your results are compelling, the data analysis should be improved in the following ways: AA, BB, CC

Comment on strengths (as well as weaknesses) of the manuscript

I commend the authors for their extensive data set, compiled over many years of detailed fieldwork. In addition, the manuscript is clearly written in professional, unambiguous language. If there is a weakness, it is in the statistical analysis (as I have noted above) which should be improved upon before Acceptance.

Untangling taxonomic knots: the intricate case of *Croton anomalus* (Euphorbiaceae), a seasonally dry tropical forest specialist

Yuri Rossine^{Corresp., 1}, Ricarda Riina^{Corresp., 2}, Otavio L. M. Silva^{3, 4}, Rafael Louzada¹

¹ Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biologia Vegetal, Departamento de Botânica, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Recife, Pernambuco, Brazil

² Real Jardín Botánico (RJB), CSIC, Madrid, Madrid, Spain

³ Departamento de Botânica, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

⁴ Programa de Pós Graduação em Biodiversidade Vegetal e Meio Ambiente, Instituto de Pesquisa Ambientais, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

Corresponding Authors: Yuri Rossine, Ricarda Riina
Email address: yuri.rossine@ufpe.br, rriina@rjb.csic.es

Croton anomalus has been forgotten and ignored for almost a century, causing a series of taxonomic confusions. Previously known only from Venezuela, this species is here rediscovered in other countries, where it has been confused with several species referred to here as the “*Croton anomalus* group”, namely *C. acapulcensis*, *C. blanchetianus*, *C. chiapensis*, *C. jacobinensis* (= *C. sonderianus*), and *C. stahelianus*. Based on morphological observations, we propose two hypotheses: I) *Croton blanchetianus* and *C. jacobinensis* are independent lineages, different from *C. anomalus*; and II) *C. anomalus* has a broader distribution range and includes *C. acapulcensis*, *C. chiapensis*, and *C. stahelianus*. We integrated morphological, phylogenetic, and ecological evidence to understand species limits and relationships within the *Croton anomalus* group. We analyzed ca. 650 herbarium specimens covering the geographic range of the group. We inferred species phylogenetic relationships using DNA sequences from the nuclear and plastid regions (ITS and *trnL-F*). We also used ecological niche modeling to infer potential suitable areas for the occurrence of the studied species and to determine the variables that most contribute to their distribution model. Our results support the hypothesis that *C. blanchetianus* and *C. jacobinensis* are two independent lineages, different from *C. anomalus*. Both morphological and phylogenetic data provide evidence for the synonymization of *C. acapulcensis*, *C. chiapensis*, and *C. stahelianus* under *C. anomalus*. An amended description of *C. anomalus* is provided, as well as designation of a lectotype, illustrations, updates of distribution data, and morphological comparisons with closely related species. Regarding niche modeling, annual precipitation was the most important variable explaining species distributions. *Croton anomalus* showed suitable areas in most Seasonally Dry Tropical Forests, while *C. blanchetianus* and *C. jacobinensis* had their suitable areas restricted to the Caatinga Dry

Forest (Brazil), and Caatinga + northern South America, respectively. Our study shows the importance of taxonomic revisions using integrative approaches to disentangling species boundaries and to shed light on their biogeography and conservation.

Research manuscript

Untangling taxonomic knots: the intricate case of *Croton anomalus* (Euphorbiaceae), a seasonally dry tropical forest specialist

Yuri Rossine¹, Ricarda Riina², Otávio L. M. Silva^{3,4}, Rafael B. Louzada¹

¹ Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biologia Vegetal, Departamento de Botânica, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, 50670-901, Recife, Pernambuco, Brazil

² Real Jardín Botánico (RJB), Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Plaza de Murillo 2, 28014, Madrid, Spain

³ Departamento de Botânica, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão, 277, Cidade Universitária, 05508-900, São Paulo, Brazil

⁴ Programa de Pós Graduação em Biodiversidade Vegetal e Meio Ambiente, Instituto de Pesquisa Ambientais, 04301-902, São Paulo, Brazil

Corresponding author:

Ricarda Riina

Email: riina@rjb.csic.es

Real Jardín Botánico (RJB), Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Plaza de Murillo 2, 28014, Madrid, Spain

Abstract

Croton anomalus has been forgotten and ignored for almost a century, causing a series of taxonomic confusions. Previously known only from Venezuela, this species is here rediscovered in other countries, where it has been confused with several species referred to here as the “*Croton anomalus* group”, namely *C. acapulcensis*, *C. blanchetianus*, *C. chiapensis*, *C. jacobinensis* (= *C. sonderianus*), and *C. stahelianus*. Based on morphological observations, we propose two hypotheses: I) *Croton blanchetianus* and *C. jacobinensis* are independent lineages, different from *C. anomalus*; and II) *C. anomalus* has a broader distribution range and includes *C. acapulcensis*, *C. chiapensis*, and *C. stahelianus*. We integrated morphological, phylogenetic, and ecological evidence to understand species limits and relationships within the *Croton anomalus* group. We analyzed ca. 650 herbarium specimens covering the geographic range of the group. We inferred species phylogenetic relationships using DNA sequences from the nuclear and plastid regions (ITS and *trnL-F*). We also used ecological niche modeling to infer potential suitable areas for the occurrence of the studied species and to determine the variables that most contribute to their distribution model. Our results support the hypothesis that *C. blanchetianus*

and *C. jacobinensis* are two independent lineages, different from *C. anomalus*. Both morphological and phylogenetic data provide evidence for the synonymization of *C. acapulcensis*, *C. chiapensis*, and *C. stahelianus* under *C. anomalus*. An amended description of *C. anomalus* is provided, as well as designation of a lectotype, illustrations, updates of distribution data, and morphological comparisons with closely related species. Regarding niche modeling, annual precipitation was the most important variable explaining species distributions. *Croton anomalus* showed suitable areas in most Seasonally Dry Tropical Forests, while *C. blanchetianus* and *C. jacobinensis* had their suitable areas restricted to the Caatinga Dry Forest (Brazil), and Caatinga + northern South America, respectively. Our study shows the importance of taxonomic revisions using integrative approaches to disentangling species boundaries and to shed light on their biogeography and conservation.

Keywords - *Croton blanchetianus*; *Croton sonderianus*; marmeleiros; Neotropics, integrative taxonomy.

Introduction

The delimitation of cryptic or morphologically very similar species is a long-standing challenge in taxonomy, and is a common problem in megadiverse lineages. On the other hand, species delimitation is crucial for understanding species distributions, assessing biological conservation, and more accurately estimating biodiversity (Bickford et al., 2007; Padial et al., 2010). Since occurrence data are one of the most important pieces of evidence when designating new species, delimitation is more difficult when the putative taxa present disjunct distributions (Padial et al., 2010; Medina et al. 2012; Salvador-Montoya et al., 2015; Guimarães et al., 2021; Sassone et al., 2021), which in some cases may be artifactual due to poorly explored areas or collection gaps (Feeley, 2015; Riina et al., 2018; Ondo et al., 2024). Thus, the use of integrative approaches becomes an efficient alternative to achieve more assertive taxonomic decisions (Padial et al., 2010; Frajman et al., 2019).

Croton L. is the most species-rich genus occurring in the Caatinga Dry Forest (Fernandes et al., 2020) with ca. 70 species (Carneiro-Torres, 2009; Caruzo et al., 2020). It is also an important genus in many of the Seasonally Dry Tropical Forests (SDTF) areas of the Americas (DRYFLOR, 2016; Quintana et al. 2017). The SDTF biome has long been under-appreciated by the scientific community when compared to other biomes such as Savannas and Tropical Rainforests (Moonlight et al., 2020). Although recent studies have increased our understanding of SDTFs (DRYFLOR, 2016; Escribano-Avila et al. 2017; Quintana et al. 2017; BFG, 2021; Carrión et al., 2022; Wurdack, 2023), the diversity of the Caatinga, the largest and the most species-rich area of SDTF, is still understudied (Queiroz et al., 2017; Fernandes et al., 2020).

The taxonomic knowledge of *Croton*, a genus with over 1,200 species (Moonlight et al., 2024), is still incomplete and problematic for many species groups within it (Berry et al., 2005; van Ee et al., 2011). For example, *C. sonderianus* Müll.Arg. is a frequent name in studies

spanning taxonomy, phytochemistry, pharmacology, and ecology (e.g. Craveiro et al., 1981; Craveiro & Silveira, 1982; Lucena & Sales, 2006; Lôbo et al., 2011; Souza et al., 2017). However, the application of this name in northeastern Brazil to various *Croton* species, particularly those locally known as '*marmeleiros*' (Carneiro-Torres, 2009), poses a taxonomic challenge. Despite the proposal of Gomes et al. (2010) that *C. sonderianus* should be synonymized under *C. jacobinensis* Baill., the use of the former persists in the scientific literature, including Flora & Funga do Brasil (2020). In addition, in numerous cases the name *C. sonderianus* has been misapplied to specimens of *C. blanchetianus* Baill. as if they were synonyms.

After an extensive revision of specimens identified as *C. sonderianus*, we realized that a third species (*C. anomalus* Pittier) was involved, which has never been cited for Brazil. *Croton anomalus* was published nearly a century ago (Pittier, 1930) and it was known only from Venezuela. Additionally, three other species, very similar to *C. anomalus*, were noted during our ongoing revision of *C. sect. Lasiogyne* (Klotzsch) Baill. and were also considered for this study. These species are *C. acapulcensis* Mart.Gord. & J.Jiménez Ram., *C. chiapensis* Lundell, and *C. stahelianus* Lanj., known to be endemic to Mexico (the first two) and Surinam, respectively (Lanjouw, 1931; Lundell, 1942; Gordillo & Ramírez, 1990). Based on these morphological observations, we proposed the following hypotheses: i) *Croton blanchetianus* and *C. jacobinensis* are separate lineages independent of *C. anomalus*; and ii) *C. anomalus* includes *C. acapulcensis*, *C. chiapensis*, and *C. stahelianus* as synonyms, and has a broader geographic range.

The taxonomic riddle concerning *C. anomalus* and other names/species in the *Croton anomalus* group is discussed and disentangled here. We use morphological, ecological, geographic, and phylogenetic data to shed light on the taxonomy of this group. We also employ ecological niche modeling to uncover potential suitable areas for the occurrence of the studied species. An amended description and designation of a lectotype for *C. anomalus*, distribution maps, illustrations, preliminary conservation assessments, and a historical discussion about this complex taxonomic knot are provided.

Material and Methods

Sampling

We analyzed ca. 650 herbarium specimens identified as *Croton anomalus*, *C. acapulcensis*, *C. chiapensis*, *C. blanchetianus* (see Appendix 1 in Rossine et al., 2023), *C. jacobinensis* (see Appendix 1 in Rossine et al., 2023), *C. sonderianus*, and *C. stahelianus*. The specimens deposited in A, CA, CEPEC, EAC, HESBRA (not indexed), HUEFS, HUESB, HST, K, MA, MICH, MO, MOSS, NY, PEUFR, UFP, US, VEN, W (acronyms follow Thiers, continuously updated) were analyzed, and complemented by virtual specimens from other herbaria available at SpeciesLink (<https://specieslink.net/search/>), Southeast Regional Network of Expertise and Collections - SERNEC (<https://sernecportal.org/portal/>) or directly from herbarium online data portals.

For the phylogenetic analyses, we included a total 37 specimens, representing 29 putative species (28 of *Croton*, and *Brasiliocroton muricatus* Riina & Cordeiro as the outgroup), of which 16 samples were part of the *Croton anomalus* group (Table 1). Unfortunately, we were not able to sample *C. chiapensis* for phylogenetic analyses due to the lack of material (the species is only known from the type).

DNA extraction, amplification, sequencing, and alignment

Total genomic DNA was extracted according to the CTAB protocol (Doyle, 1991). The nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region, and the plastid intergenic spacer *trnL-trnF* (hereafter called *trnL-F*) were amplified using the PCR settings of Masa-Iranzo et al. (2021) and sent to Macrogen (Macrogen, Madrid) for sequencing. Primers and references are given in Table 2. The aforementioned regions have been widely used and proven to be informative in previous studies of *Croton* (e.g. van Ee et al., 2011; Masa-Iranzo et al., 2021; Riina et al., 2021). Sequences were assembled and aligned with MAFFT (default parameters), followed by a manual edition in Unipro UGENE (Okonechnikov et al., 2012). Summary statistics of each data matrix were estimated in PAUP v.4.0a169 (Swofford, 2002).

Phylogenetic analyses

Bayesian Inference (BI) was used to reconstruct phylogenetic relationships of the nuclear and plastid regions individually. Since no incongruences were found after visual examination of the two topologies, a concatenate dataset was generated using SequenceMatrix (Vaidya et al., 2011). Incongruences between topologies were considered as such if the clades in question were supported with > 0.95 posterior probability (PP), following Alfaro et al. (2003). The BI was performed in MrBayes v.3.2.7a (Ronquist et al., 2012). The best substitution models were determined in JModelTest2 v.2.1.10 using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and were SYM+I+G for ITS, TIM1+G for *trnL-F*. These were substituted for the most similar and compatible models implemented in MrBayes: GRT+I+G for ITS and GTR+G for *trnL-F*. The aforementioned analyses were all run on the XSEDE-CIPRES platform (Miller et al., 2010). Bayesian PP were generated from two runs each of four chains. The parameters set were the following: 30 million generations with sampling in every 1,000th generation; default priors, and discarding the first 25% sampled trees and parameters for burn-in. Estimated sample size (ESS) values (> 200) were checked in Tracer v.1.6 (Rambaut & Drummond, 2007).

The phylogenetic trees were visualized and edited in FigTree (Rambaut, 2010) followed by a vector edition for publishing. The support values for Bayesian Posterior Probability (PP) were considered moderate when ≥ 0.75 to < 0.95 PP and strong when ≥ 0.95 PP.

Taxonomic treatment

Protologues, type specimens, historical and general collections were examined and compared for all names/species treated here. The nomenclatural decisions were made under the recommendations of the International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants

(Turland et al., 2018). The general terminology used in the taxon descriptions follows Simpson (2006). Specific terminology for section *Lasiogyne* follows previous works on the group (Rossine et al., 2023) with additions from Pinto-Silva et al. (2023) for trichomes.

Distribution maps, conservation status, and ecological niche modeling

Geographic coordinates were obtained from the labels of herbarium specimens. When only locality was available, without coordinates, the approximate coordinates were estimated using Google Earth (<https://earth.google.com/web/>). Distribution maps were produced in the Quantum Geographic Information System (QGIS) version 3.4.13 (QGIS Development Team, 2020), using cartographic data from OpenDataSoft (<https://public.opendatasoft.com>) for World administrative boundaries, and the DryFlor network (<http://www.dryflor.info/>) for SDTFs areas. The preliminary assessments of the species conservation status were based on the guidelines from the IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria v.15.1 (IUCN, 2022) under B criteria, applying the estimated values of Extent of Occurrence (EOO) and Area of Occupancy (AOO), obtained from GeoCAT (<http://geocat.kew.org/>), following Bachman *et al.* (2011).

Using the same set of georeferenced records cited above, we modeled the ecological niche of each putative species using MaxEnt v.3.4.3 (Phillips et al., 2017). Default parameters were used with 10,000 background points (pseudoabsence). Replicates were performed 3 times. The variables used (Table 3) had 30 arc-sec resolution and were: bioclimatic from WorldClim (Fick & Hijmans 2017), elevation from the Shuttle Radar Topographic Mission (NASA – SRTM), and soil quality (Fischer et al., 2008). The area under the curve (AUC) was used to evaluate the projections.

RESULTS

Phylogenetic relationships within the Croton anomalus group

The data matrix included 74 sequences, of which 30 were newly generated in this study (15 of ITS and 15 of *trnL-F*). Voucher information and genbank numbers for all the sequences used in the analyses are included in Table 1. The data alignment needed few manual adjustments after the automatic alignment. The aligned matrices of ITS and *trnL-F* are provided in Supplementary File 1 and Supplementary File 2. The **region ITS** had more variable characters and parsimony informative sites than *trnL-F* (Table 4). **Summary statistics for each data matrix are shown in Table 4.** Both nuclear ITS and plastid *trnL-F* analyses recovered similar topologies on the resulting trees, thus no incongruences were found between the two datasets regarding the lineages formed of *C. anomalus*, *C. blanchetianus*, and *C. jacobinensis* (phylogenetic trees not shown, see Supplementary material, Figs. S1, S2).

We conducted the most representative phylogenetic analysis of *C. sect. Lasiogyne* to date, even if it only includes 10 of the more than 40 species currently assigned to the section (Fig. 1). The phylogenetic reconstruction recovers this section as a monophyletic lineage, with strong support (PP = 1; Fig. 1). Similarly, the topology of the backbone of the genus, including

10 other sections (Fig. 1), is in agreement with previous phylogenetic analysis at the genus level (Berry et al., 2005; van Ee et al., 2011). Section *Julocroton* was recovered as the sister clade of section *Lasiogyne*, and section *Heptallon* as sister of the former two with high support (PP = 1; Fig. 1).

The phylogenetic position of *C. anomalus* and *C. blanchetianus* as members of section *Lasiogyne* was demonstrated here for the first time (Fig. 1). The concatenated (ITS + *trnLF*) phylogenetic analysis recovered the specimens of *C. blanchetianus* and *C. jacobinensis* as separated monophyletic lineages with high support (PP = 1), and independent of *C. anomalus* (Fig. 1). *Croton acapulcensis* and *C. stahelianus* were recovered in a highly supported clade along with all the *C. anomalus* specimens (1 PP; Fig. 1).

Taxonomic treatment

Croton anomalus Pittier, J. Wash. Acad. Sci. 20: 4. 4 Jan. 1930. – TYPE: VENEZUELA. Lara: Los Rastrojos, between Sarare and Barquisimeto, in brushes, 10°04'29'' N, 69°15'31'' W, Apr. 9, 1925, *H. Pittier 11757* (**lectotype, designated here**: A barcode A00047223!; isoelectotypes: US barcode US00109497!, VEN barcode VEN6465!). Figures 2-3.

=*Croton acapulcensis* Mart. Gord. & J. Jiménez Ram., Anales Inst. Biol. Univ. Nac. Autón. México, Bot. 60: 40. 1990. – TYPE: MEXICO. Guerrero: Acapulco, Parque Nacional “El Veladero”, El Mirador, 16°45'00'' N, 99°43'55'' W, alt. 350 m, Jul. 6, 1985, *N. Noriega Acosta 599* (holotype: FCME [not seen]; isotype: MEXU barcode MEXU00511553!), **syn. nov.**

=*Croton chiapensis* Lundell, Contr. Univ. Michigan Herb. 7: 18. 1942. TYPE: MEXICO. Chiapas: Escuintla, altitude 160 m., Jul. 1938, *E. Matuda 2614* (holotype: MICH barcode MICH1191498!; isotypes: LL barcodes LL00371633! And LL00208230!, F barcode F0056020F!, A barcode A00047090!, MEXU barcode MEXU00078286!, EAP barcode EAP112178!) **syn. nov.**

=*Croton stahelianus* Lanj., Euphorb. Surinam, 17. 1931. –Type: SURINAM. Upper Koetarie R., 16 Oct. 1926, B.W. *n. 7002* = *Stahel n. 611* (holotype: U [not seen]; isotypes: IAN barcode IAN049296!, K barcodes K000254419! And K000254420!, RB barcode RB00538444!, US barcode US00109761!) **syn. nov.**

Monoecious shrub, 0.7–2 m, latex not reported, monopodial ramification, branches brown, glabrescent, young ones greenish to yellowish with stellate trichomes. Leaves alternate; stipules linear to narrowly lanceolate, 5–8.8 × 1–1.4 mm, persistent, trichomes stellate; petiole 0.5–2 cm, trichomes stellate to multiradiate; leaf blade oval to elliptic, membranous, 2.5–9.5 × 1–4 cm, base rounded to cordate, margins entire, irregularly sinuate to slightly serrated, apex acuminate, discolor, adaxial surface dark green with stellate-porrect trichomes, abaxial surface light green to yellowish with stellate trichomes, venation eucamptodromous to brochidodromous, 4–7 secondary veins, curved, ascendant. Inflorescences terminal or axillary, unisexual staminate or bisexual, 1–5 cm long, trichomes stellate to multiradiate, peduncle 3–5 mm, congested, 3–7 pistillate flowers per inflorescence; bracts linear or 3-lobed, 5–6 mm long, margins entire, apex acuminate, trichomes stellate-porrect, 1 bract per cymule, persistent. Staminate flowers with

pedicel ca. 3 mm, sepals yellowish, oval, 2×1 mm, margins entire, apex acute, united only near the base, trichomes stellate, indument dense externally and absent to sparse internally; petals white to yellowish, oblong, 3×1 mm, margins entire, apex rounded, trichomes simple; stamens (11-)14–17(–18), filaments 2 mm, anther elliptic, 1×0.5 mm; nectary disk rounded, unlobed, trichomes simple. Pistillate flowers with pedicels 0.2–0.5 cm (up to 1 cm when fruiting), sepals 5–6 (7), equal to slightly unequal in size (when presenting seven sepals), light green to whiteish externally, dark green internally, oval to widely oval, $2.5\text{--}5 \times 1.5\text{--}2.9$, margins entire, apex acute, reduplicate lateral and vertically, $\frac{1}{5}$ united, trichomes stellate and stellate-porrect, indument dense externally and sparse internally; petals absent, rarely with vestigial petal, yellowish, linear to narrowly lanceolate, ca. $2\text{--}2.3 \times 0.2\text{--}0.4$ mm, margins entire, apex acuminate, trichomes simple; ovary globose, 2.1–2.8 mm diam, trichomes stellate-porrect; styles ascendant, 4-fid to multifid, free, ca. 12–32(–48) stigmatic tips, trichomes stellate-porrect; nectary disk 5-lobed, lobes rounded, glabrescent. Capsules light green to yellowish, yellow when dry, globose, 3.5–8 mm diam., unlobed, hispid surface, trichomes stellate-porrect, slightly 3-lobed to unlobed; columella ca. 4 mm, flattened, without prominent lobes; seeds brown to blackish, with cream to greyish macules, widely ovate to slightly globose, $3.6\text{--}4 \times 3.2\text{--}3.6$ mm, smooth to slightly papillate, caruncle reniform.

Additional Specimens Examined

BOLIVIA. Santa Cruz: Cordillera, al sur de Bañados del Izozog, Estancia Toborocho y Cerro Toborocho, $18^{\circ}49'52''\text{S}$, $62^{\circ}00'55''\text{W}$, 520 m a.s.l., 09.I.1993, fr., *G. Navarro 1728* (USZ); reas, Cerro Toborocho, 10–12 km por brecha abandonada al SE de Estancia Toborocho, fr., 5–15.I.1993, *I.C. Vargas et al. 1915* (WIS, USZ). **BRAZIL. Bahia:** Côcos, estrada Côcos – Feira da Mata, $14^{\circ}15'12''\text{S}$, $44^{\circ}22'14''\text{W}$, 484 m a.s.l., fl., 09.I.2008, *R.F. Souza-Silva et al. 283* (HUEFS). Feira de Santana, Distrito de Ipuacu, $12^{\circ}13'58''\text{S}$, $39^{\circ}04'35''\text{W}$, 230 m a.s.l., 05.V.2005, fr., *A.P.L. Couto et al. 58* (HUEFS, HUESB). Morpará, estrada para Morpará, Beira do Rio Paramirim., $11^{\circ}43'50''\text{S}$, $43^{\circ}13'39''\text{W}$, 396 m a.s.l., fl., 15.XII.2007, *A.A. Conceição 2642* (HUEFS). **Ceará:** Apuiarés, Triângulo marmelheiro rasteiro, $03^{\circ}94'88.89''\text{S}$, $39^{\circ}43'17.01''\text{W}$, 09.III.2008, fr., *Otilio & Chaguinha s.n.* (EAC42392, HUEFS138379). Paramoti, Fazenda São João, fl., fr., 17.X.1986, *E. Nunes s.n.* (EAC0014856, HUEFS111097). Pentecoste, Fazenda Experimental Vale do Curu, fl., 29.I.2015, *J.C. Alves s.n.* (EAC57488). **Espírito Santo:** Santa Teresa, São João de Petrópolis, Escola Agrotécnica Federal, $19^{\circ}43'20''\text{S}$, $40^{\circ}38'48''\text{W}$, 180 m a.s.l., 11.XII.1985, fr., *H.Q. Boudete Fernandes 1720* (SP). Colatina, Rio Doce, $19^{\circ}31'48''\text{S}$, $40^{\circ}42'02''\text{W}$, 40 m.a.s.l., 13.II.2019, fr., *F. Marinero* (MBM). **Minas Gerais:** Jaíba, 47 km da cidade, estrada de chão sentido cidade, $15^{\circ}14'13''\text{S}$, $43^{\circ}20'10''\text{W}$, 577 m a.s.l., 22.IV.2006, *D.S. Carneiro-Torres 731* (HUEFS, HUESB, SP). Mato Verde, margens da rodovia Mato Verde – Monte Azul (BR 122), 8 km ao norte da cidade, $15^{\circ}20'07''\text{S}$, $42^{\circ}53'25''\text{W}$, 520 m a.s.l., 31.III.2004, fr., *J.R. Pirani et al. 5372* (HUEFS, SP, SPF). **Piauí:** Caracol, área de entorno de lagoa, $09^{\circ}12'48''\text{S}$, $43^{\circ}29'50''\text{W}$, 533 m a.s.l., 22.XI.2010, fl., *E. Melo et al. 8775* (HUEFS). Castelo do Piauí, cerrado entre Castelo do Piauí e São João da Serra, $05^{\circ}38'29''\text{S}$, $41^{\circ}72'09''\text{W}$,

414 m a.s.l., 26.XI.1980, fr., *A. Fernandes et al. S.n.* (EAC9077). São João do Piauí, descida da Serra da Capivara, próximo a São Raimundo Nonato (10-11 km de Várzea Grande), 08°47'26"S, 42°28'41"W, 562 m a.s.l., 05.XII.1971, fl., *D. Andrade-Lima et al. 1187* (HUEFS, IPA, MAC). **MEXICO. Jalisco:** La Huerta, Rancho Cuixmala and environs. Teopa, a ranch along the Arroyo Cajones near the Puerto Vallarta – Barra de Navida Hwy, 19°25'45"N, 104°59'30"W, 30 m a.s.l., 11.IX.1991, fl., *E.J. Lott et al. 3726* (WIS); reas, Chamela, Dry deciduous forest, 17.VIII.1991, fr., *A. Gentry & L. Woodruff 74399* (DAV); reas, Estación de Investigación, Exp'rimentación y Difusión Chamela, 02.IX.1981, *J.A.S. Magallanes 3098* (DAV, MEXU). **Chiapas:** Cintalapa, thorn forest near and northwest of Cintalapa along road to colonia Francisco I. Madero, 29.III.1981, *D.E. Breedlove 50502* (CAS). **VENEZUELA. Carabobo:** Road from San Diego to Valencia, XIII.1941, *J. Saer 816* (US). **Guárico:** El Valle and Laguna de Espino, 18.VI.1891, *Eggers 13143* (US). **Maracay:** Aragua, Puerto Escondido, on dry slopes, 10°21'48"N, 67°34'25"W, 1505 m a.s.l., 18.IV.1930, *H.F. Pittier 13421* (F). **Mérida:** Entre Estanques y Puente de la Victoria, 700 m, 7.V.1953, *Bernardi 498* (P). **Miranda:** Caracas, reforested hills of the Caraca Botanical Gardens, 980 m a.s.l., 10.XI.1974, *P.E. Berry 99* (VEN); reas, Bosque decidio secundario y perturbado entre las quebradas afluentes al Río Guarita, al sur del Cementerio Monumental del Este Miranda, 1000 m a.s.l., 17.VIII.1975, fr., *J.A. Steyermark & P.E. Berry 112078* (F, NY, VEN); reas, *J.A. Steyermark & P.E. Berry 112082* (NY); Guaicaipuro, Sector Begonia-Caobal, Parcela Loma Brisa. Bosque seco en laderas que dan al NE, 10°16'36.57" N, 66°56'30.27" W, 785 m a.s.l., 23.VII.2009, *R. Riina & C. Reyes 1843* (MA). **Monaga:** Roadside between Caicara and San Felix, 7.VI.1967, fl., *R.A. Purcell 9220* (NY). **SURINAME. Sipaliwini:** lower slopes of Voltzberg, 04°58'19.64"N, 56°16'54.8"W, 150–175 m a.s.l., 02.VIII.1979, fl., fr., *G.L. Webster 24136* (DAV, TEX, WIS); ibid, Central Surinam Nature Reserve, Voltzberg top I, 13.IV.2002, fr., *A. Gröger et al. 1300* (U); ibid, op helling van Voltzberg, op humus re op rease rots, Boven-Coppename, 22.IX.1954, fl., *A.M.W. Mennega 62* (U).

Distribution, phenology, conservation status, and ecological niche modeling

Croton anomalus was here found to be a widely distributed species in the neotropics, occurring disjunctively in Brazil, Bolivia, Mexico, Venezuela, and Surinam (Fig. 4, Table 6). This broader geographic range is the result of the synonymization of *C. acapulcensis* and *C. stahelianus*, as well as by the numerous new records recently discovered in Bolivia and throughout Brazil. Records of *C. anomalus* were found primarily in SDTFs, rarely in transition areas of STDF with Savanna (Cerrado phytogeographic domain) or Tropical Rainforest (Fig. 4). The species altitudinal range varied from 30 to 1100 m of elevation. Herbarium labels reported the species growing in sandy and clayey soils, and rocky outcrops. *Croton anomalus* was most frequently found inside forest vegetation, growing in shadow and glade zones. Although restricted to the Caatinga, *C. blanchetianus* and *C. jacobinensis* were widely distributed, occurring in open areas (*C. blanchetianus*) or transition zones to montane and Atlantic forests (*C. jacobinensis*) (Fig. 5,

Table 6). *Croton anomalus* has been recorded flowering and fruiting in January (Bolivia), April (Venezuela), June to August (Mexico, Venezuela, Surinam), and from October to May (Brazil).

Based on its EOO of 13,865,766.407 km² and AOO of 124,000 km², we tentatively classified *C. anomalus* as Least Concern (LC). The species is found in protected áreas, including national parks, in Venezuela, Bolivia, and Brazil.

The distribution modeling for all species demonstrated good performance in our analysis (Table 5). Annual precipitation (bio12) was an important bioclimatic variable for the distribution of the three species (Table 5). The suitability of areas of occurrence (Fig. 6) followed the distribution pattern of the SDTFs for *C. anomalus* and overlapped with the majority of the areas where the three species have been recorded (only Caatinga Dry Forest for *C. blanchetianus* and *C. jacobinensis*). Nonetheless, the Caribbean region and the coastal region of Ecuador and Peru were indicated as moderately suitable areas for *C. anomalus* (Fig. 6), as was northern South America for *C. jacobinensis*, even if there are no records of these species in those areas, respectively (Figs. 4, 5).

Discussion

Typification and new synonyms

Croton anomalus was described based on a collection of Henri F. Pittier, from Venezuela, with *Pittier 11757* indicated as the type material. The author (Pittier, 1930) did not mention where the type material was deposited nor if there was only one specimen (automatically to be considered the holotype [Art. 9.1 of the ICN, Turland et al., 2018]). Three specimens of *Pittier 11757* are found in A, US, and VEN, containing matching information with the protologue (locality and date), and are considered syntypes (Art. 9.6 of the ICN, Turland et al., 2018). Since the name *C. anomalus* was published based on syntypes, one of them must be designated as lectotype (Art. 9.11 and 9.12 of the ICN, Turland et al., 2018). The specimen in best conditions is A00047223 and it is designated here as the lectotype of *C. anomalus*.

Croton stahelianus was described by Lanjouw (1931) based on specimens from Suriname collected by Gerold Stahel *n. 611*. According to the Euphorbiaceae of Surinam index (Lanjouw, 1931), when 'B.W.' is indicated in the protologue it means that the material was collected by the 'Boschwesen' (Forestry department), with which Stahel was involved at the time (Stafleu & Cowan, 1985). So, *B.W. n. 7002* represents the collection *Stahel n. 611*, as indicated in the labels of the type material. Lanjouw (1931) did not assign *C. stahelianus* to any infrageneric group, but described the species as related to *C. doctoris* S.Moore and *C. flavens* var. *flavens* Müll.Arg., both representatives of *C. section Adenophylli* Griseb. (van Ee et al., 2011). Both aforementioned species can be distinguished from *C. stahelianus* for their acropetiole glands, bifid styles and columella with prominent apex (all absent in *C. stahelianus*). The morphological characteristics included in the protologue of *C. stahelianus* that are discordant with those of *C. anomalus* are: axillary or terminal inflorescences (vs. only terminal on *C. anomalus*), 11 stamens (vs. 16 on *C.*

anomalus), pistillate flowers with 5 sepals of glabrous inner surface (vs. 6–7 sepals of villous inner surface on *C. anomalus*).

The original description of *Croton chiapensis* is a little controversial because some character states described by Lundell (1942) are currently not present in the type collection, namely staminate flower of 6 sepals and petals and bifid styles. The staminate flowers are pentamerous and the styles are 4-fid in the few open pistillate flowers (the inflorescences mostly have pistillate flower buds). Also, other authors have indicated 5 sepals and petals for the staminate flowers (Pittier, 1930; Gordillo & Ramírez, 1990). Based on this, we believe that Lundell (1942) confused the number of sepals in staminate flowers with those in the pistillate ones (5–6) when describing *C. chiapensis*. The only character in *C. chiapensis* differing from *C. anomalus*, based on the protologue, is the presence of 18 stamens (vs. 14–17 in *C. anomalus*).

Gordillo & Ramírez (1990), in their description of *C. acapulcensis*, mentioned only *C. alamosanus* Rose as the closest relative. These authors used traits of leaves, stipules, and flowers to differentiate the two species. However, our revision of the protologues and type specimens of species in *Croton* sect. *Lasiogyne* shows a strong morphological resemblance between *C. acapulcensis* and *C. anomalus* from Venezuela, prompting us to further study and compare these two taxa. According to the protologues, *C. anomalus* differs from *C. acapulcensis* by the ovate to ovate-lanceolate leaf blades (vs. oblong-lanceolate in *C. acapulcensis*), irregularly sinuate or dentate to serrate leaf margins (vs. entire), linear to lanceolate stipules (vs. subulate), inflorescences of 5–7 cm long (vs. 2.5–5 cm long), 16 stamens (vs. 14–15), and the pistillate flowers with 6 to sometimes 7 sepals (vs. 5 or sometimes 6 in *C. acapulcensis*) (Table 6).

After examining several specimens from Brazil, Bolivia, Mexico, Suriname and Venezuela (including the type collections), we determine that the characteristics listed above overlap within and among populations. Character states such as entire or serrate leaf blade margins are found on the same individual (even on the same branch). The presence of pistillate flowers with 7 sepals (probably responsible for the epithet “*anomalus*”) is a rare state for this species, although not so abnormal in the genus, where even 10 sepals have been observed in *C. sincorensis* Mart. ex Müll. Arg. (Sodré et al., 2019). Thus, we find weak morphological evidence to sustain *C. acapulcensis*, *C. anomalus*, *C. chiapensis* and *C. stahelianus* as four distinct taxonomic entities. As shown by the morphological data, *C. acapulcensis* and *C. stahelianus* are better positioned as synonyms of *C. anomalus*. Additionally, the phylogenetic reconstruction also agrees with the morphological evidence where the two specimens of *C. acapulcensis* and *C. stahelianus* are intermingled in a highly supported clade along with *C. anomalus* specimens representing most of the geographic range of the species (Fig. 1).

Systematics of the Croton anomalus group

The phylogenetic position of all the sampled species is congruent with previous phylogenetic analyses of *Croton* (Berry et al., 2005; van Ee et al., 2011; Arevalo et al., 2017). In contrast with van Ee et al. (2011), section *Lasiogyne* is strongly supported as monophyletic (PP = 0.95; Fig. 1), being more closely related to section *Julocroton* rather than to section *Heptallon* as

in van Ee et al. (2011). The latter section is in turn sister to the clade formed by sections *Lasiogyne* and *Julocroton* (Fig. 1). However, the monophyletic status of section *Lasiogyne* remains to be determined by the inclusion of a more comprehensive taxon sampling in future phylogenetic analyses (Y. Rossine et al., unpublished results). The phylogenetic position and relationships of *C. anomalus* and *C. blanchetianus* are reported here for the first time. Our results are in accordance with previous taxonomic classifications based on morphology, which placed these two species as members of *C. sect. Lasiogyne* (e.g. van Ee et al., 2011; Rossine et al., 2023).

Based on our extensive taxon sampling and emended morphological description (capsules and seeds were not described in the protologues of *C. anomalus* and *C. acapulcensis* [Pittier, 1930; Gordillo & Ramírez, 1990]), *C. anomalus* is definitely a member of section *Lasiogyne*, as indicated by van Ee et al. (2011) based on morphology alone. This species is characterized by a stellate indumentum, lack of nectaries in leaves, bracts and sepals, reduplicate-valvate pistillate sepals, and multifid styles. All these character states are present in all species of section *Lasiogyne* (van Ee et al. 2011; Rossine et al., 2023).

Morphologically, *C. anomalus* is more similar to the Brazilian *C. blanchetianus* (Fig. 6; Table 6). The two species share the monopodial branching, lanceolate stipules, general aspects of the leaves, such as the stellate indumentum (making the leaf blade light green on the abaxial surface), ovate leaf blades, entire leaf margins, eucamptodromous venation, multifid styles, and smooth seeds. Both species are morphologically variable and plastic, showing some overlap in character states among species. For example, the shared lanceolate stipules can also be reniform or auriculate and the ovate leaf blade can also be cordiform in *C. blanchetianus* (Rossine et al., 2023). On the other hand, the entire leaf margin can be irregularly sinuate to slightly serrate, and the venation can be brochidodromous instead of eucamptodromous (the most frequent state) in *C. anomalus*.

The comparison between *C. anomalus* and *C. jacobinensis* (Fig. 6, Table 6) shows that they share the lanceolate stipules, coloration of leaf blades, eucamptodromous venation, linear to 3-lobate bracts, 14–17 stamens, and free styles (Rossine et al., 2023). Again, some character states can be polymorphic in *C. anomalus* (brochidodromous venation). The main differences between *C. anomalus*, *C. blanchetianus*, and *C. jacobinensis* are indicated in Table 6.

Species distributions and ecological niche modeling

Croton anomalus is rediscovered here after more than 90 years of its publication. Conversely, *C. blanchetianus* and *C. jacobinensis* are well-documented species, particularly in terms of their geographic distribution, as described in studies dealing with *Croton* of the Brazilian Caatinga dry forest region (e.g. Silva et al., 2010; Oliveira et al., 2023; Rossine et al., 2023).

Our results show that *C. anomalus* represents a new species record for the Brazilian Caatinga dry forest and other dry areas of Brazil in the transition zones with the Tropical Rainforest biome (state of Espírito Santo), or with the Savanna biome (state of Piauí). We also

report the first record of *C. anomalus* for Bolivia, where it occurs in the Gran Chaco region, in fragments of the Chiquitano dry forest. Populations of *C. anomalus* from Mexico, Surinam, and Venezuela, are also found in SDTFs. According to DRYFLOR (2016), the Caatinga shares less than a hundred species with Mexico's SDTFs, and about 241–409 species with northern South American nuclei of SDTFs. *Croton anomalus* can now be added to that group of species shared between distant dry forest areas across the neotropics. Recent biogeographic studies feature dry forest plant groups, but with species restricted to one or two dry forest areas across the Neotropics (e.g., Lator et al., 2019; Silva et al., 2020; Hurbath et al., 2021; Pezzini et al., 2021). When including species present in more than two distinct fragments, they often present corridors connecting those regions (Colli-Silva et al., 2021). Conducting studies including taxa that are widespread across most or all the Neotropical dry forest would be interesting to complete our knowledge regarding the floristic assembly and configuration of the SDTF biome in evolutionary time. However, as our study shows, insufficient taxonomic knowledge is probably hiding wide distributed dry forest species, such as *C. anomalus*.

The only dry forest nuclei where *C. anomalus* has not yet been found is the coastal regions of Ecuador and Peru, which are indicated as potential areas of occurrence in our analyses (Fig. 7-A). The Andean Mountain Range can act as a geographic barrier for the dispersal of species from the surrounding areas (Hazzi et al., 2018). However, given the presence of *C. anomalus* in Bolivia and Brazil, it is possible that its absence in Ecuador and Peru is just a matter of lack of botanical information, once both areas are both plant biodiversity hotspots and darkspots (places predicted to contain undescribed and not yet recorded species) (Ondo et al., 2024). There could also be specimens of *C. anomalus* from Ecuador and Peru waiting in herbaria backlogs to be processed and identified. Interestingly, the Caribbean region also shows favorable climatic conditions for the occurrence of *C. anomalus* but so far collections records are absent from all Caribbean islands (Fig. 7-A). One possible explanation for this pattern is that *C. anomalus* has not yet been able to disperse overseas.

As in the *C. anomalus* case, the niche analysis of *C. jacobinensis* shows suitable areas in northern South America (Coastal of Venezuela and Ecuador) where *C. jacobinensis* is not present (Fig. 7-C). The Amazon rainforest could represent a strong geographic and climatic barrier for the south-to-north direction of dispersal of *C. jacobinensis*, since populations of this species are found in all other suitable areas south of the Amazon region.

Niche modeling of past periods, biogeographic and phylogeographic studies are necessary to understand the age of colonization events and dispersal trajectories, as well as ecological and climatic processes leading to the nowadays distribution of *C. anomalus* and other plant lineages occurring in fragments of SDTFs across the Americas.

Impacts of misidentification in herbaria

The case of *Croton anomalus* highlights the importance of updating taxonomic revisions in the Neotropics, especially in plant groups specialized in fragmented biomes as the SDTF. It also highlights the effect of specimen misidentifications on biogeographic knowledge and

consequently on species conservation assessments. Many specimens of *Croton anomalus* were found in Brazil misidentified as *C. sonderianus* (synonym of *C. jacobinensis*) or *C. blanchetianus*. In fact, these species are very similar morphologically, but we have demonstrated that there are morphological characters distinguishing them. These can be used to correctly identify species, even those with populations overlapping in the same biogeographic region such as the Brazilian Caatinga. *Croton anomalus* was also found in Bolivia and Suriname identified only at the genus level (as *Croton* sp.), whereas in Mexico it has been identified as *C. acapulcensis* and *C. chiapensis* (proposed here as new synonyms) and as *C. stahelianus* in Suriname (also a new synonym proposed here).

Brazil is the country where most of the taxonomic problems related to *C. anomalus* have arisen. The use of the name *C. sonderianus* to treat specimens of *C. anomalus*, *C. blanchetianus* and *C. jacobinensis* seems to have been popularized since the 80's due to some misidentifications made by Euphorbiaceae experts, which were followed consecutively by local taxonomists. Also, it seemed that the specimens were not identified by comparing them with the protologue and type collection of *C. sonderianus*. Specimens of either *C. blanchetianus* or *C. jacobinensis* have been routinely identified as *C. sonderianus* in herbaria over the decades. The lack of knowledge of the presence of *Croton anomalus* in Brazil has caused divergence even among *Croton* experts due to the close morphological affinities among these species in question. The synonymization of *C. sonderianus* under *C. jacobinensis* (Gomes *et al.* (2010) is reinforced and we recommend to avoid the use of *C. sonderianus*. The various sources of evidence shown here support the recognition of three species in the *Croton anomalus* group: *C. anomalus*, *C. blanchetianus*, and *C. jacobinensis*.

Acknowledgements

We appreciate all the permissions, loans, and information shared by the curators and staff of all the mentioned herbaria. We thank Regina Carvalho for the line drawings; Daniela Carneiro-Torres and Wesley Sá for reviewing a previous version of this manuscript. We thank the Real Jardín Botánico systematics laboratory for the infrastructure, Mónica García-Gallo Pinto for her help with DNA extractions and Yolanda Turégano Carrasco for helping with the phylogenetic analyses and lab issues. Photographs were kindly allowed by their authors (cited in figure captions). A special thanks to Hermann Redies (*in memoriam*) for sharing information and pictures of *Croton anomalus* in its natural habitat, leading us to the development of this research paper.

Financial support

The Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - CAPES (processes PROEX 88887.637810/2021-00 and PrInt 88887.837805/2023-00), and International Association for Plant Taxonomy (IAPT) granted YR. Fundação de Apoio à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo – FAPESP (process numbers 2021/08545-2 and 2022/12597-0), and Universidade de São Paulo through Programa de Apoio a Novos Docentes (process 22.1.09345.01.2) granted

to OLMS. RR. was supported by grant PID2019-108109GB-I00 from MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033/ and FEDER “A way to make Europe”.

References

- Arévalo, R., van Ee, B.W., Riina, R., Berry, P.E., & Wiedenhoeft, A. C. 2017. Force of habit: shrubs, trees and contingent evolution of wood anatomical diversity using *Croton* (Euphorbiaceae) as a model system. *Annals of Botany* **119**(4): 563-579.
- Bachman, S.J., Moat, A.W., Hil, J.T. & Scott, B. 2011. Supporting red list threat assessments with GeoCAT: Geospatial conservation assessment tool. *ZooKeys* **150**: 117–126. DOI [10.3897/zookeys.150.2109](https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.150.2109).
- Berry, P.E., Hipp, A.L., Wurdack, K.J., van Ee, B. & Riina, R. 2005. Molecular phylogenetics of the giant genus *Croton* and tribe Crotoneae (Euphorbiaceae sensu stricto) using ITS and *trnL-trnF* DNA sequence data. *American Journal of Botany* **92**: 1520–1534. DOI [10.3732/ajb.92.9.1520](https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.92.9.1520).
- BFG - The Brazil Flora Group. 2021. Brazilian Flora 2020: Leveraging the power of a collaborative scientific network. *Taxon* **71**: 178-198. DOI [10.1002/tax.12640](https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.12640).
- Bickford, D., Lohman, D.J., Sodhi, N.S., Ng, P.K.L., Meier, R., Winker, K., Ingram, K.K. & Das, I. 2007. Cryptic species as a window on diversity and conservation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **22**: 148–155. DOI [10.1016/j.tree.2006.11.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2006.11.004).
- Carneiro-Torres, D.S. 2009. Diversidade de *Croton* L. (Euphorbiaceae) no bioma Caatinga. Ph.D. thesis. Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana. Feira de Santana, Bahia, Brasil.
- Caruzo, M.B.R., Secco, R.S., Medeiros, D., Riina, R., Torres, D.S.C., Santos, R.F.D., Pereira, A.P.N., Rossine, Y., Lima, L.R., Muniz Filho, E. & Valduga, E. 2020. *Croton* in Flora e Funga do Brasil. Jardim Botânico do Rio de Janeiro. Available at <https://floradobrasil.jbrj.gov.br/FB17497>.
- Carrión, J.F., Santos, F.A., Cordeiro, I. & Amorim, A.M. 2022. *Bahiana*, a new Euphorbiaceae (Acalyphoideae) genus from seasonally dry forest in northeastern Brazil, corroborated by molecular and morphological evidence. *Taxon* **71**(6): 1196-1213.
- Colli-Silva, M., Pirani, J.R., & Zizka, A. 2021. Disjunct plant species in South American seasonally dry tropical forests responded differently to past climatic fluctuations. *Frontiers of Biogeography* **13**(1): e49882.
- Craveiro, A.A., Silveira, E.R., Braz Filho, R. & Mascarenhas, I.P. 1981. Sonderianin, a furanoid diterpene from *Croton sonderianus*. *Phytochemistry* **20**: 852-854. DOI [10.1016/0031-9422\(81\)85198-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9422(81)85198-9).
- Craveiro, A.A. & Silveira, E.R. 1982. Two cleistanthane type diterpenes from *Croton sonderianus*. *Phytochemistry* **21**(10): 2571–2574. DOI [10.1016/0031-9422\(82\)85259-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9422(82)85259-X).
- Dayrat, B. 2005. Towards integrative taxonomy. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **85**(3): 407–417. DOI [10.1111/j.1095-8312.2005.00503.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.2005.00503.x).

- 558 **Doyle, J. 1991.** DNA protocols for plants. In *Molecular techniques in taxonomy* (pp. 283-293).
- 559 Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- 560 **DryFlor. 2016.** Plant diversity patterns in neotropical dry forests and their conservation
- 561 implications. *Science* **353(6306)**: 1383-1387. [DOI 10.1126/science.aaf5080](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf5080).
- 562 **Escribano-Avila, G., Cervera, L., Ordóñez-Delgado, L., Jara-Guerrero, A., Amador, L.,**
- 563 **Paladines, B., Briceño, J., Parés-Jiménez, V., Lizcano, D.J., Duncan, D., & Iván**
- 564 **Espinosa, C. (2017).** Biodiversity patterns and ecological processes in Neotropical dry
- 565 forest: the need to connect research and management for long-term conservation.
- 566 *Neotropical Biodiversity* **3(1)**: 107-116. [DOI 10.1080/23766808.2017.1298495](https://doi.org/10.1080/23766808.2017.1298495).
- 567 **Feeley, K. 2015.** Are we filling the data void? An assessment of the amount and extent of plant
- 568 collection records and census data available for tropical South America. *PLoS One* **10(4)**:
- 569 e0125629. [DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0125629](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0125629).
- 570 **Fernandes, M.F., Cardoso, D. & Queiroz, L.P. 2020.** An updated plant checklist of the
- 571 Brazilian Caatinga seasonally dry forests and woodlands reveals high species richness
- 572 and endemism. *Journal of Arid environments* **174**: 104079. [DOI](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2019.104079)
- 573 [10.1016/j.jaridenv.2019.104079](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2019.104079).
- 574 **Ferreira, D.M.C., Palma-Silva, C., Néri, J., Medeiros, M.C.M.P.D., Pinangé, D.S., Benko-**
- 575 **Iseppon, A.M. & Louzada, R.B. 2021.** Population genetic structure and species
- 576 delimitation in the *Cryptanthus zonatus* complex (Bromeliaceae). *Botanical Journal of*
- 577 *the Linnean Society* **196(1)**: 123-140. [DOI 10.1093/botlinnean/boaa094](https://doi.org/10.1093/botlinnean/boaa094).
- 578 **Fick, S.E. & Hijmans, R.J. 2017.** WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces
- 579 for global land areas. *International journal of climatology* **37(12)**: 4302-4315. [DOI](https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5086)
- 580 [10.1002/joc.5086](https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5086).
- 581 **Fischer, G., Nachtergaele, F., Prieler, S., Teixeira, E., Tóth, G., Van Velthuisen, H.T.,**
- 582 **Verelst, L. & Wiberg, D. 2008.** Global agro-ecological zones assessment for agriculture
- 583 (GAEZ 2008). IIASA, Laxenburg, Austria and FAO, Rome, Italy, 10.
- 584 **Frajman, B., Záveská, E., Gamisch, A., Moser, T., Steppe Consortium, & Schönschwetter, P.**
- 585 **2019.** Integrating phylogenomics, phylogenetics, morphometrics, relative genome size
- 586 and ecological niche modelling disentangles the diversification of Eurasian *Euphorbia*
- 587 *seguieriana* s.l. (Euphorbiaceae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **134**: 238-252.
- 588 [DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2018.10.046](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2018.10.046).
- 589 **Gomes, A.P.S., Sales, M.F. & Melo, A.L. 2010.** Novidades taxonômicas em *Croton* sect.
- 590 *Argyroglossum* Baill. e *C.* sect. *Lasiogyne* Klotzsch (Crotonoideae-Euphorbiaceae). *Acta*
- 591 *Botanica Brasilica* **24**: 905–908. [DOI 10.1590/S0102-33062010000400004](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-33062010000400004).
- 592 **Gordillo, M.J.M. & Ramírez, J.J. 1990.** Dos especies nuevas de *Croton* (Euphorbiaceae) de
- 593 Guerrero, México. *Anales del Instituto de Biología de la Universidad Nacional Autónoma*
- 594 *de México. Serie Botánica* **60**: 40.
- 595 **Govaerts RD, Frodin G, Radcliffe-Smith A. 2000.** World checklist and bibliography of
- 596 Euphorbiaceae. Kew: The Royal Botanic Gardens.

- Guimarães, K.L., Rosso, J.J., Souza, M.F., Díaz de Astarloa, J.M. & Rodrigues, L.R. 2021. Integrative taxonomy reveals disjunct distribution and first record of *Hoplias misionera* (Characiformes: Erythrinidae) in the Amazon River basin: morphological, DNA barcoding and cytogenetic considerations. *Neotropical Ichthyology* **19**: e200110. DOI [10.1590/1982-0224-2020-0110](https://doi.org/10.1590/1982-0224-2020-0110).
- Hazzi, N.A., Moreno, J.S., Ortiz-Movliav, C. & Palacio, R.D. 2018. Biogeographic regions and events of isolation and diversification of the endemic biota of the tropical Andes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**(31): 7985-7990. DOI [10.1073/pnas.1803908115](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1803908115).
- Hurbath, F., Stubbs, R. L., Cordeiro, I. & Cellinese, N. 2021. Biogeography of succulent spurge from Brazilian Seasonally Dry Tropical Forest (SDTF). *Taxon* **70**(1): 153-169. DOI [10.1002/tax.12423](https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.12423).
- IUCN - International Union for Conservation of Nature. 2022. Guidelines for Using the IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria v.15.1.
- Lanjouw, J. 1931. The Euphorbiaceae of Surinam. J. H. de Bussy, Amsterdam.
- Lavor, P., Calvente, A., Versieux, L. M., & Sanmartin, I. 2019. Bayesian spatio-temporal reconstruction reveals rapid diversification and Pleistocene range expansion in the widespread columnar cactus *Pilosocereus*. *Journal of Biogeography* **46**(1): 238-250. DOI [10.1111/jbi.13481](https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13481).
- Lôbo, D., Tabarelli, M. & Leal, I.R. 2011. Relocation of *Croton sonderianus* (Euphorbiaceae) seeds by *Pheidole fallax* Mayr (Formicidae): a case of post-dispersal seed protection by ants?. *Neotropical entomology* **40**: 440–444. DOI [10.1590/S1519-566X2011000400005](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1519-566X2011000400005).
- Lucena, M.F.D.A. & Sales, M.F. 2006. Tricomas foliares em espécies de *Croton* L. (Crotonoideae-Euphorbiaceae). *Rodriguésia* **57**: 11-25. DOI [10.1590/2175-7860200657102](https://doi.org/10.1590/2175-7860200657102).
- Lundell, C.L. 1942. Studies of American Spermatophytes II. Contributions from the University of Michigan Herbarium, no 7. University of Michigan Press. 56pp.
- Medina, R., Lara, F., Goffinet, B., Garilleti, R. & Mazimpaka, V. 2012. Integrative taxonomy successfully resolves the pseudo-cryptic complex of the disjunct epiphytic moss *Orthotrichum consimile* s.l. (Orthotrichaceae). *Taxon* **61**(6): 1180-1198. DOI [10.1002/tax.616002](https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.616002).
- Moonlight, P.W., Baldaszti, L., Cardoso, D., Elliott, A., Särkinen, T. and Knapp, S., 2024. Twenty years of big plant genera. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* **291**: 20240702. DOI [10.1098/rspb.2024.0702](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2024.0702).
- Moonlight, P.W., Banda-r, K., Phillips, O.L., Dexter, K.G., Pennington, R.T., Baker, T.R., de Lima, H.C., Fajardo, L., González-M., R., Linares-Palomino, R., Lloyd, J., Nascimento, M., Prado, D., Quintana, C., Riina, R., Rodríguez M., G.M., Villela, D.M., Aquino, A.C.M.M., Arroyo, L., Bezerra, C., Brunello, A.T., Brienens, R.J.W., Cardoso, D., Chao, K.J., Coutinho, I.A.C., Cunha, J., Domingues, T., Santo, M.M.E., Feldpausch, T.R., Fernandes, M.F., Goodwin, Z.A., Jiménez, E.M.,

- Levesley, A., Lopez-Toledo, L., Marimon, B., Miatto, R.C., Mizushima, M.,
Monteagudo, A., Moura, M.S.B., Murakami, A., Neves, D., Chequín, R.N., Oliveira,
T.C.S., Oliveira, E.A., Queiroz, L.P., Pilon, A., Ramos, D.M., Reynel, C., Rodrigues,
P.M.S., Santos, R., Särkinen, T., Silva, V.F., Souza, R.M.S., Vasquez, R.,
Veenendaal, E. 2020. Expanding tropical forest monitoring into Dry Forests: The
DryFlor protocol for permanent plots. *Plants, People, Planet* **3**(3): 295-300. [DOI 10.1002/ppp3.10112](https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp3.10112).
- Oliveira, J., Rossine, Y., Ribeiro, R. & Athiê-Souza S. 2023. *Croton* L.(Crotonoideae,
Euphorbiaceae) in a protected area in Northeast Brazil. *Biota Neotropica* **23**: e20231506.
[DOI 10.1590/1676-0611-BN-2023-1506](https://doi.org/10.1590/1676-0611-BN-2023-1506).
- Ondo, I., Dhanjal-Adams, K.L., Pironon, S., Silvestro, D., Colli-Silva, M., Deklerck, V.,
Grace, O.M., Monro, A.K., Nicolson, N., Walker, B., & Antonelli, A. 2024. Plant
diversity darkspots for global collection priorities. *New Phytologist* **243**(7): online early
view. [DOI 10.1111/nph.20024](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.20024).
- Padial, J.M., Miralles, A., De La Riva, I. & Vences, M. 2010. The integrative future of
taxonomy. *Frontiers in Zoology* **7**: 1-14. [DOI 10.1186/1742-9994-7-16](https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-9994-7-16).
- Pezzini, F.F., Dexter, K.G., Carvalho-Sobrinho, J.G., Kidner, C.A., Nicholls, J.A., Queiroz,
L.P., & Pennington, R.T. 2020. Phylogeny and biogeography of *Ceiba* Mill.
(Malvaceae, Bombacoideae). *Frontiers of Biogeography* **13**(2): e49226. [DOI 10.21425/F5FBG49226](https://doi.org/10.21425/F5FBG49226).
- Phillips, S.J., Anderson, R.P., Dudík, M., Schapire, R.E. & Blair, M. 2017. Opening the
black box: an open-source release of Maxent. *Ecography* **40**: 887-893. [DOI 10.1111/ecog.03049](https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.03049).
- Pinto-Silva, N.P., Souza, K.F., Silva, O.L.M., Vitarelli, N.C., Pereira, A.P.N., Soares, D.A.,
Sodré, R.C., Medeiros, D., Caruzo, M.B.R., Carneiro-Torres, D.S., Silva, M.J.,
Meira, R.M.S.A., Riina, R. & Feio, A.C. 2023. Trichomes in the megadiverse genus
Croton (Euphorbiaceae): a revised classification, identification parameters and
standardized terminology. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **203**: 37-49. [DOI 10.1093/botlinnean/boad008](https://doi.org/10.1093/botlinnean/boad008).
- Pittier, H. 1930. Botanical notes on, and descriptions of, new and old species of Venezuelan
plants.— III. Old and new species of Euphorbiaceae. *Journal of the Washington Academy
of Sciences* **20**: 4-12.
- QGIS Development Team. 2020. QGIS Geographic information System. Open Source
Geospatial Foundation Project. Available at: <http://qgis.osgeo.org>. Accessed September
2022.
- Queiroz, L.P., Cardoso, D., Fernandes, M.F., & Moro, M.F. 2017. Diversity and evolution of
flowering plants of the Caatinga domain. In: Silva, J.C.; Leal, I. & Tabareli, M. (Eds),
Caatinga: the Largest Tropical Dry Forest Region in South America. Springer, Cham. Pp.
23–63.

- Quintana, C., Girardello, M., Barfod, A. S., & Balslev, H. 2017. Diversity patterns, environmental drivers and changes in vegetation composition in dry inter-Andean valleys. *Journal of Plant Ecology* **10**(3): 461-475. [DOI 10.1093/jpe/rtw036](https://doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rtw036).
- Rambaut, A. & Drummond, A.J. 2007. Tracer. Version 1.6. Available at: <http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer>. Accessed 28 September 2023.
- Riina, R., Berry, P.E., Secco, R.D.S., Meier, W., & Caruzo, M.B.R. 2018. Reassessment of *Croton* sect. *Cleodora* (Euphorbiaceae) Points to the Amazon Basin as Its Main Center of Diversity1. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* **103**(3): 330-349.
- Riina, R., van Ee, B.W., Caruzo, M.B.R., Carneiro-Torres, D.S., Santos, R.F. & Berry, P.E. 2021. The Neotropical *Croton* sect. *Geiseleria* (Euphorbiaceae): Classification Update, Phylogenetic Framework, and Seven New Species from South America. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* **106**: 111-166. [DOI 10.3417/2021669](https://doi.org/10.3417/2021669).
- Rossine, Y., Melo, A.L., Athiê-Souza, S.M. & Sales, M.F. 2023. Understanding the “Marmeleiros”: a taxonomic treatment of *Croton* sect. *Lasiogyne* (Euphorbiaceae) in Brazil. *Phytotaxa* **584**(4): 219-250. [DOI 10.11646/phytotaxa.584.4.1](https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.584.4.1).
- Salvador-Montoya, C.A., Robledo, G.L., Cardoso, D., Borba-Silva, M.A., Fernandes, M., Drechsler-Santos, E.R. 2015. *Phellinus piptadeniae* (Hymenochaetales: Hymenochaetaceae): taxonomy and host range of a species with disjunct distribution in South American seasonally dry forests. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* **301**: 1887-1896. [DOI 10.1007/s00606-015-1201-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-015-1201-6).
- Sassone, A.B., Arroyo, M.T., Arroyo-Leuenberger, S.C., García, N. & Román, M.J. 2021. One species with a disjunct distribution or two with convergent evolution? Taxonomy of two South American garlics. *Taxon* **70**(4): 842-853. [DOI 10.1002/tax.12500](https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.12500).
- Silva, J.S., Sales, M.F., Gomes, A.P.S. & Carneiro-Torres, D.S. 2010. Sinopse das espécies de *Croton* L. (Euphorbiaceae) no estado de Pernambuco, Brasil. *Acta Botanica Brasilica* **24**: 441-453. [DOI 10.1590/S0102-33062010000200015](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-33062010000200015).
- Silva, O.L.M., Riina, R., & Cordeiro, I. 2020. Phylogeny and biogeography of *Astraea* with new insights into the evolutionary history of *Croton* (Euphorbiaceae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **145**: 106738. [DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2020.106738](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2020.106738).
- Simpson, M.G. 2006. Plant Systematics, 3rd edition. Elsevier Academic Press. 590 pp.
- Sodré, R.C., Sales, M.F., Berry, P.E. & Silva, M.J. 2019. Taxonomic synopsis of *Croton* section *Geiseleria* (Euphorbiaceae) in Brazil, including description of a new species. *Phytotaxa* **417**: 1-105. [DOI 10.11646/phytotaxa.417.1.1](https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.417.1.1).
- Souza, A.V.V.D., Britto, D., Santos, U.S., Bispo, L.P., Turatti, I.C.C., Lopes, N.P., Oliveira, A.P., & Almeida, J.R.G.S. 2017. Influence of season, drying temperature and extraction time on the yield and chemical composition of ‘marmeleiro’ (*Croton sonderianus*) essential oil. *Journal of Essential Oil Research*, **29**: 76–84. [DOI 10.1080/10412905.2016.1178183](https://doi.org/10.1080/10412905.2016.1178183).

- 714 **Stafleu, F.A. & Cowan, R.S. 1985.** Taxonomic Literature: A Selective Guide to Botanical
715 Publications and Collections with Dates, Commentaries and Types (Second edition, vol.
716 5). Bohn, Scheltema, and Holkema.
- 717 **Swofford, D.L. 2003.** PAUP 4.0a169. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony. Version 4.
718 Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.
- 719 **Tapiquén, C.E.P. 2020.** Geografía, SIG y Cartografía Digital Shape. Available at
720 <http://www.efrainmaps.es>. Downloaded in 05 Oct. 2023.
- 721 **Thiers, B. 2024, continuously updated.** Index Herbariorum: A global directory of public
722 herbaria and associated staff. New York Botanical Garden's Virtual Herbarium.
723 <http://sweetgum.nybg.org/science/ih/> last accessed 09 July 2024.
- 724 **Turland, N.J., Wiersema, J.H., Barrie, F.R., Greuter, W., Hawksworth, D.L., Herendeen,
725 P.S., Knapp, S., Kusber, W.-H., Li, D.-Z., Marhold, K., May, T.W., McNeill, J.,
726 Monro, A.M., Prado, J., Price, M.J. & Smith, G.F. (eds.) 2018:** International Code of
727 Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (Shenzhen Code) adopted by the Nineteenth
728 International Botanical Congress Shenzhen, China, July 2017. Regnum Vegetabile 159.
729 Glashütten: Koeltz Botanical Books. [DOI 10.12705/Code.2018](https://doi.org/10.12705/Code.2018).
- 730 **van Ee, B.W., Riina, R. & Berry, P.E. 2011.** A revised infrageneric classification and
731 molecular phylogeny of New World *Croton* (Euphorbiaceae). *Taxon* **60**: 791–823. [DOI](https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.603013)
732 [10.1002/tax.603013](https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.603013).
- 733 **Wurdack, K.J. 2023.** A new, disjunct species of *Bahiana* (Euphorbiaceae, Acalyphoideae):
734 Phytogeographic connections between the seasonally dry tropical forests of Peru and
735 Brazil, and a review of spinescence in the family. *PhytoKeys* **219**: 121–144. [DOI](https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.219.95872)
736 [10.3897/phytokeys.219.95872](https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.219.95872).

737 **Figure Legends**

738 **Figure 1** Phylogenetic reconstruction of the *Croton anomalus* group illustrated by a majority
739 consensus tree obtained from the Bayesian analysis of combined ITS and *trnL-F* datasets. Names
740 in bold are those newly generated in this study.

741 **Figure 2** Main morphological features distinguishing *Croton anomalus*. (A) flowered branch,
742 showing the monopodial branching, disposition of stipules and leaves, and terminal
743 inflorescences. (B-E) leaf blades, (B) leaf blade with entire margins; (C) stellate-porrect
744 trichomes found on petioles; (D) leaf blade with slightly serrate margins, showing the detail of a
745 section of the margin; (E) leaf blade with irregularly erose margins; (F) narrowly lanceolate
746 stipules; (G) linear (right) and 3-lobed (left) bracts; (H) staminate flower; (I-J) pistillate flower,
747 (I) pistillate flower with 6 sepals; (J) pistillate flower with 5 sepals, showing the detail of the
748 trichomes on the stigmatic tips; (K) capsule, showing the detail of the inner surface of the sepals
749 with stellate and stellate-porrect trichomes; (L) ventral (left) and dorsal (right) view of a seed.

750 **Figure 3** *Croton anomalus* general aspects. (A) shrubby habit; (B) general aspect of leaves and
751 inflorescences, (C) stipules, (D) adaxial and (E) abaxial view of the leaf blade; (F) early anthesis
752 of the inflorescences with only pistillate flowers open; (G) branches with unisexual staminate

755 inflorescences; (H) bissexual inflorescence; (I) infructescence and (J) capsule showing the
756 stellate porrect trichomes, and (K) ventral and dorsal view of the seed. Photographs: A, B, F, G
757 (C. Domínguez-Rodríguez); C, D (J. Mota); H (E. S. Velásquez Arellanes), reproduced with
758 permission.

759 Figure 4 Distribution map of *Croton anomalus*. Red star: previously known record (type
760 locality); blue circles: new records. New records from Mexico and Suriname are based on the
761 synonymizations proposed here. Shapefiles were downloaded from <http://www.efrainmaps.es>.
762 (Americas) and <http://www.dryflor.info/data/datasets> (Dry Forests).

763 Figure 5 Distribution map of *C. blanchetianus* and *C. jacobinensis* in the Caatinga
764 phytogeographic domain. Records outside Caatinga are those within transition zones with
765 Atlantic Forest.

766 Figure 6 Point-wise mean of the modeled distribution for species recognized in the *Croton*
767 *anomalus* group. (A) *Croton anomalus*, (B) *C. blanchetianus*, and (C) *C. jacobinensis*.

768 Figure 7 Morphological comparison of species in the *Croton anomalus* group. (A-C) *Croton*
769 *anomalus*, (D-F) *C. blanchetianus*, and (G-I) *C. jacobinensis*. (A, D, G) General aspects of
770 leaves and inflorescences, (B, E, H) pistillate flowers of five and (B) six sepals, and (C, F, I)
771 capsular fruit. Photographs: A–B (A. S. Farias-Castro), reproduced with permission.

Figure 1

Phylogenetic reconstruction of the *Croton anomalus* group

Phylogenetic reconstruction of the *Croton anomalus* group illustrated by a majority consensus tree obtained from the Bayesian analysis of combined ITS and *trnL-F* datasets. Names in bold are those newly generated in this study.




Figure 2

Line drawing showing the main morphological features distinguishing *Croton anomalus*.

Line drawing showing the main morphological features distinguishing *Croton anomalus*. (A) flowered branch, showing the monopodial branching, disposition of stipules and leaves, and terminal inflorescences. (B-E) leaf blades, (B) leaf blade with entire margins; (C) stellate-porrect trichomes found on petioles; (D) leaf blade with slightly serrate margins, showing the detail of a section of the margin; (E) leaf blade with irregularly erose margins; (F) narrowly lanceolate stipules; (G) linear (right) and 3-lobed (left) bracts; (H) staminate flower; (I-J) pistillate flower, (I) pistillate flower with 6 sepals; (J) pistillate flower with 5 sepals, showing the detail of the trichomes on the stigmatic tips; (K) capsule, showing the detail of the inner surface of the sepals with stellate and stellate-porrect trichomes; (L) ventral (left) and dorsal (right) view of a seed.




Figure 3

Field and herbarium images of *Croton anomalus*.

Field and herbarium images of *Croton anomalus*. (A) shrubby habit; (B) general aspect of leaves and inflorescences, (C) stipules, (D) adaxial and (E) abaxial view of the leaf blade; (F) early anthesis of the inflorescences with only pistillate flowers open; (G) branches with unisexual staminate inflorescences; (H) bisexual inflorescence; (I) infructescence and (J) capsule showing the stellate porrect trichomes, and (K) ventral and dorsal view of the seed. Photographs: A, B, F, G (C. Domínguez-Rodríguez); C, D (J. Mota); H (E. S. Velásquez Arellanes), reproduced with permission.




Figure 4

Distribution map of *Croton anomalus*.

Distribution map of *Croton anomalus*. Red star: previously known record (type locality); blue circles: new records. New records from Mexico and Suriname are based on the synonymizations proposed here. Shapefiles were downloaded from <http://www.efrainmaps.es> (Americas) and <http://www.dryflor.info/data/datasets> (Dry Forests).




Figure 5

Distribution map of *C. blanchetianus* and *C. jacobinensis*.

Distribution map of *C. blanchetianus* and *C. jacobinensis* in the Caatinga phytogeographic domain. Records outside Caatinga are those within transition zones with Atlantic Forest.




Figure 6

Point-wise mean of the modeled distribution for species recognized in the *Croton anomalus* group. (A) *Croton anomalus*, (B) *C. blanchetianus*, and (C) *C. jacobinensis*.




Figure 7

Morphological comparison of species in the *Croton anomalus* group.

Morphological comparison of species in the *Croton anomalus* group. (A-C) *Croton anomalus*, (D-F) *C. blanchetianus*, and (G-I) *C. jacobinensis*. (A, D, G) General aspects of leaves and inflorescences, (B, E, H) pistillate flowers of five and (B) six sepals, and (C, F, I) capsular fruit. Photographs: A-B (A. S. Farias-Castro), reproduced with permission.



Table 1(on next page)

Metadata of the specimens used for the phylogenetic reconstruction

Metadata of the specimens used for the phylogenetic reconstruction, including Genbank accession numbers. Names in bold are members of *Croton* section *Lasiogyne*, and those marked with an asterisk (*) are part of the *Croton anomalus* group. Newly generated sequences are those with accession numbers starting with PQ.

TABLE 1. Metadata of the specimens used for phylogenetic reconstruction, including Genbank accession numbers. Names in bold are members of *Croton* section *Lasiogyne*, and those marked with an asterisk (*) are part of the *Croton* anomalous group. Newly generated sequences are those with accession numbers starting with PQ.

Species	Locality	Voucher	Accession number	
			ITS	<i>trnL-F</i>
<i>Brasiliocroton muricatus</i>	Bahia, Brazil	Carneiro-Torres 1000	KF208629	KF208632
<i>Croton acapulcensis</i>*	Jalisco, Mexico	Gentry 74399	EU477862	EU478122
<i>Croton anomalus</i>*	Sucre, Venezuela	Riina 1843	PQ350252	PQ368692
<i>Croton anomalus</i>*	Santa Cruz, Bolivia	Vargas 1915	PQ350253	PQ368693
<i>Croton anomalus</i>*	Bahia, Brazil	Couto 58	PQ350254	PQ368694
<i>Croton anomalus</i>*	Ceará, Brazil	Nunes s.n. (HUEFS 111097)	PQ350256	PQ368696
<i>Croton anomalus</i>*	Piauí, Brazil	Melo 8775	PQ350257	PQ368697
<i>Croton anomalus</i>*	Bahia, Brazil	Souza-Silva 283	PQ350255	PQ368695
<i>Croton anomalus</i>*	Espírito Santo, Brazil	Marinero 1164	PQ350258	PQ368698
<i>Croton argenteus</i>	Guanacaste, Costa Rica	van Ee 297	EU478094	EU497702
<i>Croton argentinus</i>	Cordoba, Argentina	van Ee 644	HM071943	HM071965
<i>Croton argyrophyllus</i>	Pernambuco, Brazil	van Ee 476	HM564076	HM564213
<i>Croton astroites</i>	Puerto Rico	van Ee 537	EU586901	EU586955
<i>Croton blanchetianus</i>*	Bahia, Brazil	Queiroz 8057	PQ350259	PQ368699
<i>Croton blanchetianus</i>*	Bahia, Brazil	Rodarte 124	PQ350260	PQ368700
<i>Croton blanchetianus</i>*	Pernambuco, Brazil	Rossine 234	PQ350261	PQ368701
<i>Croton blanchetianus</i>*	Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil	Rossine 133	PQ350262	PQ368702
<i>Croton campanulatus</i>	Rio de Janeiro, Brazil	Caruzo 93	MW263138	MW266678
<i>Croton elliottii</i>	Florida, USA	Wurdack 455	AY971208	AY971297
<i>Croton floribundus</i>	Pernambuco, Brazil	Rossine 422	PQ350263	PQ368703
<i>Croton fuscescens</i>	São Paulo, Brazil	van Ee 502	HM564081	HM564217
<i>Croton glandulosus</i>	Puerto Rico	van Ee 550	EU478068	EU478159
<i>Croton heptalon</i>	Veracruz, Mexico	Breckon 2013	FJ614722	FJ614783
<i>Croton jacobinensis</i>*	Bahia, Brazil	Carneiro-Torres 789	HM044795	HM044776
<i>Croton jacobinensis</i>*	Pernambuco, Brazil	Rossine 248	PQ350264	PQ368704
<i>Croton jacobinensis</i>*	Ceará, Brazil	Rossine 600	PQ350265	PQ368705
<i>Croton leucophyllus</i>	Tamaulipas, Mexico	Breckon 2002	EU478108	FJ614786
<i>Croton luetzelburgii</i>	Bahia, Brazil	Conceição 1457	HM564087	HM564222
<i>Croton matourensis</i>	Amazonas, Brazil	van Ee 492	EU478096	EU497720
<i>Croton pachypodus</i>	Altos de Campana,	Montenegro 1111	EF421789	EF408131

	Panama			
<i>Croton pedicellatus</i>	Cundinamarca, Colombia	Plowman 3766	FJ614766	FJ614804
<i>Croton sacaquinha</i>	Pará, Brazil	Caruzo 97	HM044802	HM044782
<i>Croton sampatik</i>	Pasco, Peru	Riina 1447	EF421792	EF408133
<i>Croton stahelianus</i>*	Suriname	Mennega 62	PQ350266	PQ368706
<i>Croton tricolor</i>	São Paulo, Brazil	Caruzo 87	EF421752	EF408125
<i>Croton watsonii</i>	Veracruz, Mexico	van Ee 527	EU477882	EU478125
<i>Croton yucatanensis</i>	Yucatán, Mexico	van Ee 121	DQ227537	DQ227569

Table 2 (on next page)

Primers used in the present study followed by their sequences (5'-3') and references.

1 **TABLE 2.** Primers used in the present study followed by their sequences (5'-3') and references.

Primer name	Primer sequence (5'-3')	Reference
ITSw1	CCTTATCATTTAGAGGAAGGAG	Wurdack, K.J. (pers. com.)
ITSp2	GCCRAGATATCCGTTGCCGAG	Cheng et al. (2016)
ITSp3	YGACTCTCGGCAACGGATA	Cheng et al. (2016)
ITSw2	TATGCTTAAAYTCAGCGGGT	Wurdack, K.J. (pers. com.)
trnL-Fc	CGAAATCGGTAGACGCTACG	Taberlet et al. (1991)
trnL-Fd	GGGGATAGAGGGACTTGAAC	Taberlet et al. (1991)
trnL-Fe	GGTTCAAGTCCCTCTATCCC	Taberlet et al. (1991)
trnL-Ff	ATTTGAAGTGGTGACACGAG	Taberlet et al. (1991)

2

Table 3(on next page)

Description of the bioclimatic variables used in the niche modeling analysis of the Croton anomalus group.

1 **TABLE 3.** Description of the bioclimatic variables used in the niche modeling analysis of the
2 Croton anomalus group.

Variable name	Description
alt	Altitude
bio1	Annual Mean Temperature
bio2	Mean Diurnal Range (Mean of monthly (max temp - min temp))
bio3	Isothermality (BIO2/BIO7) (* 100)
bio4	Temperature Seasonality (standard deviation *100)
bio5	Max Temperature of Warmest Month
bio6	Min Temperature of Coldest Month
bio7	Temperature Annual Range (BIO5-BIO6)
bio8	Mean Temperature of Wettest Quarter
bio9	Mean Temperature of Driest Quarter
bio10	Mean Temperature of Warmest Quarter
bio11	Mean Temperature of Coldest Quarter
bio12	Annual Precipitation
bio13	Precipitation of Wettest Month
bio14	Precipitation of Driest Month
bio15	Precipitation Seasonality (Coefficient of Variation)
bio16	Precipitation of Wettest Quarter
bio17	Precipitation of Driest Quarter
bio18	Precipitation of Warmest Quarter
bio19	Precipitation of Coldest Quarter
sq1	Nutrient availability
sq2	Nutrient retention capacity
sq3	Rooting conditions
sq4	Oxygen availability to roots
sq5	Excess salts
sq6	Toxicity

3

sq7	Workability (constraining field management)
-----	---

Table 4(on next page)

Summary statistics of the molecular dataset estimated in PAUP for the Croton anomalus group. The substitution models were implemented in the analyses using MrBayes.

1 **TABLE 4.** Summary statistics of the molecular dataset estimated in PAUP for the Croton
2 anomalous group. The substitution models were implemented in the analyses using MrBayes.

Dataset	NA	AL	CC	VC	PIC	SM
ITS	37	625	363	262	159 (25.44%)	GTR + I + G
<i>trnL-F</i>	37	991	839	152	57 (5.75%)	GTR + G
Combined	74	1616	1202	414	213 (13.19%)	GTR + I + G

3
4 NA = Number of accessions; AL = Aligned length; CC = Constant characters; VC = Variable
5 characters; PIC = Parsimony Informative Characters; SM = Substitution model (see Materials and
6 Methods).

Table 5(on next page)

Descriptive statistics of the Ecological Niche modeling for the three studied *Croton* species.

1 **TABLE 5.** Descriptive statistics of the Ecological Niche modeling for the three studied *Croton*
2 species.

Species	AUC (SD $\pm$)	Variables mostly contributing (PC [%] / PI)
<i>C. anomalus</i>	0.921 (0.012)	bio6 (14.6 / 19.3), bio12 (12.9 / 17.7), bio7 (12.6 / 30.9), bio4 (10.9 / 1.2)
<i>C. blanchetianus</i>	0.972 (0.004)	bio12 (22.1 / 53.9), bio18 (22 / 3.9), bio6 (15.4 / 1.4), bio17 (14.7 / 0.2)
<i>C. jacobinensis</i>	0.961 (0.013)	bio4 (23.6 / 44.8), bio12 (16.2 / 23.2), bio18 (13.2 / 2.2), bio17 (12.6 / 8.8)

3 AUC = Area under the curve; SD = Standard deviation; PC = Percent contribution of the
4 variables; PI = Permutation importance.

Table 6(on next page)

Morphological comparison between the species included in the Croton anomalus group.

1 **TABLE 6.** Morphological comparison between the species included in the *Croton anomalus*
2 group.

Character	<i>Croton anomalus</i>	<i>Croton blanchetianus</i>	<i>Croton jacobinensis</i> (= <i>C. sonderianus</i>)
Indumentum	Stellate to multiradiate, porrect	Stellate, sublepidote to lepidote	Stellate to multiradiate
Leaf blade	ovate to elliptic, margins entire, irregularly sinuate or slightly serrate	ovate to ovate-lanceolate, elliptic, margins entire	Cordiform, margins entire
Venation	Eucamptodromous to brochidodromous	Eucamptodromous	Actinodromous
Inflorescences	Unisexual staminate or bisexual (1–5 cm long)	Bisexual (3–12 cm long)	Bisexual (5–18 cm long)
Number of pistillate sepals	5-6 (7)	5	5
Inner surface of pistillate sepals	Sparsely hirsute, trichomes stellate, porrect	Glabrous	Densely velutine, trichomes stellate to multiradiate
Styles	Ascending, free	Ascending, united forming a small column	Patent or ascending, free (rare slightly united at the basis)
Capsule trichomes	Stellate to multiradiate, porrect	Lepidote, rare sublepidote	Stellate to multiradiate
Seed surface	Smooth, slightly papillose	Smooth	Rugose
Geographic distribution	SDTFs of Bolivia, Brazil, Mexico, Suriname and Venezuela	Caatinga SDTF (Brazil)	Caatinga SDTF (Brazil)

