

I acknowledge that you submitted a review on "**Proteomics of stress-induced cardiomyopathy: insights from differential expression, protein interaction networks, and functional pathway enrichment.**"

As the reviewer for this article, I would like to commend the authors for selecting a relevant and intriguing topic for their manuscript. Their expertise in this area is evident, and I appreciate their hard work and dedication to producing a paper that is both comprehensive and concise. The article is not only well-written but also highly relevant, successfully capturing the reader's attention.

The text is written in clear English. It is also well-referenced with relevant literature. The structure conforms to PeerJ standards. The figures are relevant. The research question is clearly defined and significant. It explains how the study addresses a specific knowledge gap. The investigation was conducted meticulously, adhering to high technical and ethical standards. The methods are described in enough detail to allow for replication. The conclusions are clearly stated, connected back to the original research question, and supported by the results.

I reviewed the manuscript to my satisfaction and found it engaging; I read it in one sitting without any complaints. My current research focus is on the ISO model of TTC in rodents, and this manuscript has significantly enhanced my understanding of this scientific field. I sincerely thank the authors for their contributions and the Editorial board of the Peer J for allowing me the opportunity to review this work.

With warm regards,

Assistant Professor Tanja Šobot,
Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine University of Banjaluka,
Banjaluka, Bosnia and Herzegovina