

Title:

Quality of Life and Subjective Well-Being Comparison Between Traumatic, Nontraumatic Spinal Cord Injury, and the Chinese Population

Author:

Ruba Altahla ¹, Jamal Alshorman ², Mohammad Nasab ¹, Sayed Shah ¹, Xu Tao ^{Corresp. 1}

I totally agree that the evaluation of QoL and SWB is very essential for patients with NT-SCI and even for the carer and rehabilitation center. I appreciate that you provided strong evidences about the importance of differentiating between T-SCI and NT-SCI. Additionally, your comprehensive literature reviews are valuable. However, I suggest that you address the following concerns to refine and clearly present your data.

Major concerns:**1. The title Chinese population**

Do you mean the patients with TSCI and NTSCI in Chinese population. The original mean is likely the healthy Chinese population, but you do not recruit the healthy Chinese population. Please revise the title. If you recruit the Chinese healthy subjects, please revise the title “ among Traumatic, Nontraumatic Spinal Cord Injury, and healthy individuals in China”

2. Add the “chronic” word in your title to enhance your research motivation.**3. Line 130 ~ 133**

Revise the sentence. what do you mean?

4. Line 155~156

“ few significant differences in QoL between two groups “ but you show the “P < 0.05” . It is a contradiction.

5. Methods section

Please offer the information about healthy Chinese population. Please add it. How many healthy Chinese healthy people do you recruit and its recruit criteria?

6. Table 2

“Chinese score” is strange description so it must be revised. Using the “Healthy subjects score” is appropriate. Other similar statements also need to be changed.

7. Line 160 ~ 162

please check the figure number and the figure title !!!!

8. Adjust the order of figures and table corresponding your manuscripts.

9. The y axis of figure 1 must be revised.

Minor concerns:

1. Line 73 ~75 Slightly revise the sentence.

“There could be differences in QoL and SWB between two groups, given ...”

2. Line 75 ~ 78 Slightly revise the sentence of punctuation marks and ref format

3. Line 148 ~ 150, Grammatical errors

Of the patients, 121 (64.02%) had T-SCI, while 68 had NT-SCI, including 17 with triple aortic aneurysms and 14 with transverse myelitis.....

4. Line 151, Do not the omit the word “patients”

5. Line 230, check the ref

6. The P value in table 3 can be delete and use the “ * ” for presentation of significant.