

Tea cultivation: facilitating soil organic carbon accumulation and altering soil bacterial community—Leishan County, Guizhou Province, Southwest China

Yingge Shu, Shan Xie, Hong Fan, Chun Duan, Yuansheng Liu and Zuyong Chen

College of Agronomy, Guizhou University, Guiyang, Guizhou, China

ABSTRACT

Background: *Camellia sinensis* is an important cash crop in southwestern China, with soil organic carbon playing a vital role in soil fertility, and microorganisms contributing significantly to nutrient cycling, thus both of them influencing tea tree growth and development. However, existing studies primarily focus on soil organic carbon, neglecting carbon fractions, and the relationship between soil organic carbon fractions and microbial communities is unclear. Consequently, this study aims to clarify the impact of different tea planting durations on soil organic carbon fractions and microbial communities and identify the main factors influencing microbial communities. It provides a theoretical basis for soil quality evaluation in the study area and scientific guidance for tea plantation management, thus fostering the region's economic sustainability.

Methods: This study selected tea plantations with different tea planting durations of 3–5 years (Y5), 12–16 years (Y15), 18–22 years (Y20), 40–42 years (Y40), and 48–50 years (Y50), as research subjects and adjacent uncultivated forest without a history of tea planting (CK) served as controls. Soil organic carbon (SOC), particulate organic carbon (POC), easily oxidizable organic carbon (EOC), dissolved organic carbon (DOC), microbial biomass carbon (MBC), and bacterial diversity were measured in the 0–20 cm and 20–40 cm soil layers, respectively.

Results: Compared to the adjacent uncultivated forest (CK), the soil organic carbon (SOC), easily oxidizable carbon (EOC), particulate organic carbon (POC), and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) contents in a 40-year tea plantation significantly increased. Nonetheless, the microbial biomass carbon (MBC) content notably decreased. POC/SOC ratios rose with prolonged planting, signifying enhanced conversion of organic carbon into particulate forms. Bacterial community diversity peaked at 15 years and declined by 40 years post-planting and after tea planting dominated by *Acidobacteriota*, *Chloroflexi*, *Proteobacteria*, and *Actinobacteriota* in the tea garden. FAPROTAX analysis highlighted aerobic and anaerobic chemoheterotrophy, cellulolysis, and nitrogen fixation as key bacterial functions. POC and MBC significantly influenced bacterial community structure. In conclusion, tea plantation soil exhibited the highest organic carbon content at 40 years of tea planting, indicating strong carbon accumulation capacity. However, soil acidification in the tea plantation may affect changes in organic carbon and bacterial community. Therefore, in the tea planting process, it is necessary to improve the

Submitted 8 May 2024

Accepted 19 November 2024

Published 24 January 2025

Corresponding author

Zuyong Chen,
qingfeng340@126.com

Academic editor

Yoshinori Marunaka

Additional Information and
Declarations can be found on
page 21

DOI 10.7717/peerj.18683

© Copyright

2025 Shu et al.

Distributed under

Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

management system of tea plantations to ensure the maintenance of a good ecological environment in the tea plantation soil, thus achieving sustainable development of the tea industry in the region.

Subjects Agricultural Science, Microbiology, Plant Science, Soil Science

Keywords Soil organic carbon, Active carbon fraction, Soil bacteria community, Tea planting

INTRODUCTION

Camellia sinensis is a perennial evergreen woody economic crop originating in Guizhou Plateau in southwestern China. The global tea market is vast and plays a crucial role in driving exports and economic growth in numerous developing countries (Han, Kemmitt & Brookes, 2007; Zhao et al., 2022). Soil organic carbon is a crucial component of soil fertility, influencing the physicochemical and biological characteristics of soils, and their evolution is affected by their quality and quantity. It plays an important role in maintaining and coordinating the supply and storage of soil nutrients, influencing the types and activities of soil enzymes, controlling the primary and secondary production of plants, as well as the cycling of matter and energy flow in ecosystems (Priess, de Koning & Veldkamp, 2001; Gaiser & Stahr, 2013). Although dissolved organic carbon, microbial biomass carbon, and other active carbon components constitute a small proportion of organic carbon, they exhibit high sensitivity to changes in soil organic carbon transformation rates and quality under different agricultural management practices. Therefore, they are the most commonly used carbon components in soil quality assessment (Li et al., 2021).

The high vegetation cover of tea plantations and the high biomass of tea trees and photosynthesis increase the carbon sequestration capacity of tea plantation vegetation and achieve soil carbon accumulation through the input of vegetation carbon (Wang et al., 2023b). Organic litter (such as dead leaves, branches, and pruning residues) in tea gardens provides a significant source of soil organic carbon. During their decomposition process, the comparatively resistant chemical components within these litter materials, alongside the substantial release of soluble organic carbon from decomposed pruning leaves, collectively form an integral part of soil organic matter (Wallenstein et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2024). From the perspective of countries or regions, research conducted in areas such as Fuan City in China, Xishuangbanna in Yunnan, and the western hills of Sichuan has shown that higher moisture content, fertilization, and input of organic matter increase soil organic carbon (Wang et al., 2018; Di et al., 2020; Wang, Yao & Ye, 2020). In regions of Kenya, the favorable climate provides an excellent environment for the survival of tea trees, and the high altitude and low temperatures decelerate the decomposition of organic matter, similarly leading to high soil organic carbon content (Chiti et al., 2018). However, studies in areas such as the Turkish region, India, and Anxi in Fujian, China, have shown a decline in organic carbon due to exposure to anthropogenic activities, water scouring, and intensive farming (Yukseket al., 2009; Kalita, Das & Nath, 2016; Shui, Wu & Fu, 2022). It can be seen that there are differences in the research on soil organic carbon in tea plantations among different regions, primarily focusing on organic carbon, with a lack of

research on soil carbon fractions in tea plantations. At the same time, before our study, no one had studied the soil organic carbon in the tea planting area of Leishan County, Guizhou Province, in the southwestern region of China. Therefore, studying the characteristics of soil organic carbon and its components in tea plantations of different planting years is of great significance for the carbon balance of tea plantation soil in this research area and for soil quality assessment. However, the storage and transformation of organic carbon are affected by various factors. Currently, research also focuses on the effects of cultivation and fertilization on organic carbon. Practices such as reduced tillage and no-till farming can increase the return of vegetation biomass, reduce soil layer disturbance, and slow down the decomposition of soil organic carbon, making them more conducive to increasing soil organic carbon reserves and enhancing soil carbon pool levels compared to traditional tillage ([Badagliacca et al., 2018](#); [Jat et al., 2019](#)). Therefore, studying soil organic carbon pools is a significant approach to exploring soil carbon sequestration capacity and further investigating the underlying mechanisms of how soil organic carbon affects soil quality.

Soil microorganisms participate in about 90% of soil reaction processes and are sensitive to changes in soil chemical properties. They play an important role in soil organic matter decomposition and mineral nutrient cycling, which is significant for evaluating soil quality ([Philippot et al., 2024](#)). With changes in the years of tea cultivation, not only does the tea plantation soil environment undergo significant changes, but the fertility of the tea plantation soil also shows a declining trend ([Zhang et al., 2021b](#)). Soil microorganisms have the advantages of nitrogen fixation, potassium and phosphorus solubilization, decomposition of organic matter, and enhancement of moisture retention, which help the growth of tea buds, metabolism of the tea plant, production of unique tea aroma substances, reduction of pests and diseases, and significantly improve the yield and quality of tea leaves ([Zhou & Chen, 2014](#)). In the aspect of tea plantation soil microorganism research, previous studies have made some progress, [Li et al. \(2019b\)](#) showed that the abundance of soil microorganisms in the inter-root zone of tea trees was significantly affected by the mode of fertilization, and the carbon and nitrogen content of inter-root zone soil microbial biomass and enzyme activities were significantly increased compared with no fertilization. [Yuan et al. \(2015\)](#) indicated that polyphenolic substances in the litter of tea trees gradually accumulate in the soil and that certain inhibitory compounds generated during their degradation process affect the structure of soil microbial communities. [Yang et al. \(2011\)](#) found that the number of years of tea planting had a direct effect on the soil microbial population, which showed a trend of first increasing and then decreasing with the increase in the number of years of tea planting. Research has also reported that with the increase in years of tea cultivation, there are changes in the structure of the tea plant rhizosphere soil microbial community, primarily characterized by decreased diversity. Within a certain range of years, the longer the cultivation period, the lower the diversity index. Additionally, there is an increase in microbial species with strong adaptability and metabolic activity. However, when the tea cultivation time reaches around 80 years, the diversity of soil microorganisms shows a trend of initially increasing and then decreasing, reaching its maximum at around 40 or 50 years ([Xue, Yao & Huang, 2006](#); [Lin](#)

et al., 2013). It is obvious that there are differences in the current research on soil microorganisms in tea plantations. Therefore, studying the diversity of soil microbial communities in tea plantations under different years of cultivation is beneficial. By gaining insight into the sensitivity feedback of soil microorganisms to soil environmental factors, we can promptly adjust fertility management practices in tea plantations and provide theoretical support for their sustainable development.

Therefore, based on previous research, to provide suitable management practices for tea plantations in this region, we hypothesize that (1) long-term tea cultivation benefits the transformation and accumulation of soil organic carbon in tea plantations and (2) different tea cultivation times result in differences in soil bacterial community structure. Thus, the objectives of this study are: (1) to investigate the changes in soil organic carbon and its active components in tea plantations with different years of tea cultivation in Leishan County, Guizhou Province, Southwest China; (2) to analyze the differences in soil bacterial communities under different years of tea cultivation; and (3) to clarify the relationship between soil organic carbon and its active components and soil bacterial communities after tea cultivation. These research findings can provide scientific evidence and contribute to the sustainable development of soil in this region.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Regional study overview

The study region which is located in Leishan County, Guizhou Province (26°02'–26°34'N, 107°55'–108°22'E), belongs to the slope zone of the transition between the Yunnan-Guizhou Plateau and the Xianggui Hilly Basin, with an average elevation of 1,329.4 m. The area has a humid climate in the mid-subtropical monsoon and a mild climate, featuring an average annual precipitation of 1,375 mm, an average annual air temperature of 15.6 °C, and a year-round sunshine duration of 1,225 h, with abundant sunshine and rainfall. The locations of the sampling points are shown in [Fig. 1](#).

Design of the experiment

In late July 2023, based on comprehensive field surveys conducted in the study area, considering topography, elevation, parent material, soil type, fertilization and management practices, as well as the years of tea cultivation, a total of five tea garden soils were selected for study within the altitude range of 1,100 to 1,250 m. These selected tea gardens exhibited relatively consistent environmental factors and fertilization management practices but varied in the years of tea cultivation (ranging from 3 to 5 years, 12 to 16 years, 18 to 22 years, 40 to 42 years, and 48 to 50 years). Additionally, adjacent uncultivated forest, devoid of tea cultivation history, was chosen as a control (representing 0 years of tea cultivation). Due to the extended cultivation periods in some tea gardens, the exact years of tea cultivation were estimated based on the recollection of elderly villagers in the area, resulting in approximate timeframes rather than precise durations. Furthermore, for cartography and analysis, the various years of tea cultivation mentioned above were denoted sequentially as CK, Y5, Y15, Y20, Y40, and Y50, respectively. The basic




Figure 1 The location of sampling points.

Full-size  DOI: [10.7717/peerj.18683/fig-1](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.18683/fig-1)

physico-chemical properties of tea plantation soils in the study area for each year of tea planting are shown in [Table 1](#).

Soil sample collection

In tea gardens of different cultivation durations, typical sampling zones were established at the upper, middle, and lower sections of tea gardens on the same slope and aspect. Within each sampling zone, three mixing sampling points were designated, alongside three corresponding control points, resulting in a total of 60 mixing sampling profiles excavated (Number of profiles: $3 \times 3 \times 5 + 5 \times 3 = 60$). At each mixing sampling point removing the surface litter, a simple soil profile was dug at the drip line along the outer perimeter of the plant canopy. Then we collected soil from 0–20 and 20–40 cm soil horizons, respectively, and mixed soil samples from three replicates of the same type of mixing point within the same sampling area into one mixed sample. Soil samples were sealed in sampling bags, refrigerated, and transported back to the laboratory. One portion of the soil was air-dried, ground, and passed through a nylon sieve for further analysis, while another portion was stored in a low-temperature refrigerator for determination of soil microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen, as well as bacterial community diversity. Since the top soil layer of tea garden soil is more susceptible to the influence of tillage management and soil litters, the bacterial community changes in the 0–20 cm soil layer were mainly investigated in this study.

Table 1 The basic physiochemical properties of tea plantation soils with different planting ages.

Soil layer	Years	pH	TN (g·kg ⁻¹)	AN (mg·kg ⁻¹)	AP (mg·kg ⁻¹)	AK (mg·kg ⁻¹)
0–20 cm	0	4.58 ± 0.01Aa	1.65 ± 0.12Ad	132.23 ± 29.73Ac	1.14 ± 0.53Ad	76.00 ± 1.73Ac
	5	4.50 ± 0.18Aab	2.48 ± 0.54Acd	173.30 ± 41.99Abc	3.87 ± 0.29Ad	79.33 ± 29.14Ac
	15	4.26 ± 0.08Bbc	2.96 ± 0.11Ac	227.10 ± 15.84Ab	7.17 ± 1.71Ad	94.00 ± 24.56Ac
	20	4.11 ± 0.18Ac	3.29 ± 1.24Abc	222.99 ± 42.75Ab	24.29 ± 6.76Ac	124.67 ± 11.06Ac
	40	3.66 ± 0.33Ad	5.04 ± 0.95Aa	348.88 ± 55.85Aa	69.41 ± 11.95Aa	411.33 ± 63.63Aa
	50	3.25 ± 0.05Be	4.43 ± 0.48Aab	334.28 ± 47.32Aa	55.34 ± 10.45Ab	196.00 ± 54.95Ab
20–40 cm	0	4.63 ± 0.03Aa	0.91 ± 0.22Bb	57.49 ± 3.70B6c	0.34 ± 0.20Bd	55.33 ± 29.94Ac
	5	4.50 ± 0.14Aa	0.70 ± 0.21Bb	98.19 ± 106.18Bc	1.33 ± 0.50Bd	42.00 ± 19.70Ac
	15	4.47 ± 0.06Aa	2.01 ± 0.51Ba	118.21 ± 121.91Ab	1.20 ± 0.46Bd	37.67 ± 15.14Bc
	20	4.43 ± 0.11Aab	2.38 ± 0.52Aa	123.24 ± 128.89Bab	11.51 ± 4.75Ac	68.83 ± 5.92Bbc
	40	4.07 ± 0.47Ab	2.15 ± 0.13Ba	189.62 ± 196.00Ba	30.28 ± 6.84Ba	259.67 ± 32.35Ba
	50	3.65 ± 0.13Ac	2.28 ± 0.47Ba	180.08 ± 185.09Ba	21.44 ± 0.29Ab	112.00 ± 54.06Ab

Note:

Significant differences between different soil layers of the same tea age are indicated by different capital letters ($p < 0.05$). Significant differences between different periods of the same soil depth are indicated by different lowercase letters ($p < 0.05$).

Soil physiochemical analyses

Soil pH value measured by pH meter (water-soil ratio 2.5:1) (Remco PHS-3C model); available nitrogen decomposition by alkaline diffusion; available phosphorus by NH_4F leaching colorimetric method (METASH UV-5500 UV-Vis Spectrophotometer); available potassium NH_4OAc by leaching-flame photometric method (Jingruo FP6420 flaming photometer); soil total nitrogen by semi-micro Kjeldahl method (Peiou SKD-200 Kjeldahl analyzer). Soil organic carbon (SOC) by $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7\text{-H}_2\text{SO}_4$ external heating method (Guohua HH-S Oil Bath); easily oxidizable organic carbon (EOC) was determined by oxidation with 333 mmol/L KMnO_4 (METASH UV-5500 UV-Vis Spectrophotometer); the particulate organic carbon (POC) was extracted by separating it with sodium hexametaphosphate solution through a 0.053 mm sieve, then dried and externally heated with potassium dichromate-sulphuric acid (Guohua HH-S Oil Bath); dissolved organic carbon (DOC) was determined by 0.5 mol/L K_2SO_4 leaching, and microbial biomass carbon (MBC) was determined by chloroform fumigation-0.5 mol/L K_2SO_4 leaching, and the leachate was filtered through a 0.45 μm filter membrane and determined by a total organic carbon analyzer (Vario, TOC, Germany).

Soil bacterial diversity

DNA extraction and PCR amplification

Soil samples were sent to Shanghai Biozeron company for sequencing using the Illumina NovaSeq 2500 high-throughput sequencing platform. Microbial DNA was extracted from soil samples using the E.Z.N.A.® Soil DNA kit (Omega Bio-Tek, Norcross, GA, USA) following the manufacturer's protocols. PCR amplification of the V3–V4 region of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene was carried out (95 °C for 2 min, followed by 25 cycles at 95 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s, with a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min) using primers 341F (5'-CCTAYGGGRBGCASCAG-3') and 806R (5'-GGACTACNNGGGTA

TCTAAT-3'), each sample labeled with a unique eight-base barcode. Finally, the purification was performed according to the instructions of the AxyPrep DNA Gel Extraction Kit (Axygen Biosciences, Union City, CA, U.S.).

Alpha and beta diversity analyses

Rarefaction analysis was conducted using Mothur v.1.21.1 ([Schloss et al., 2009](#)), while beta diversity was assessed through UniFrac ([Lozupone et al., 2011](#)) to compare the results of the non-metric multidimensional scaling analysis (NMDS). It simplifies research samples to low-dimensional space for analysis, preserving original relationships. It reflects species information as points in multidimensional space, with differences shown by point distances. Stress <0.2000 indicates analysis reliability ([Dexter, Rollwagen-Bollens & Bollens, 2018](#)).

Functional prediction

Predicting biochemical cycling functions of endophytic bacterial communities using FAPROTAX software (1.2.1) ([Louca, Parfrey & Doebeli, 2016](#)).

Data processing and analysis

Excel 2010 and Data Processing System 7.05 (DPS 7.05) were used for data analysis and one-way ANOVA (LSD method); Origin 2024 was used for mapping; and Canoco 5.0 was used to perform redundancy analysis (RDA) of soil environment and microbial communities.

RESULTS

Characteristics of soil organic carbon and its components with different tea planting duration

The characteristics of soil organic carbon content changes in different tea planting years in the study area are shown in [Fig. 2](#), and tea planting promoted the accumulation of soil organic carbon. In the 0–20 cm soil layer, the changes in organic carbon and component contents from CK to Y50 are shown in [Fig. 2A](#). The average SOC contents ranged from 17.26 to 60.91 g·kg⁻¹, with a maximum value at Y40. Among all tea planting years, Y20, Y40, and Y50 were 92.58%, 252.90% and 229.20% higher than CK, respectively ($p < 0.05$), and the organic carbon contents of Y5 and Y15 were also higher than CK, but there was no notable difference. In addition, the soil organic carbon content was significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) in the long time of tea planting (Y40 and Y50) than in the early stage of tea planting (Y5 and Y15). The average EOC content ranged from 3.79 to 12.97 g·kg⁻¹, and the EOC content in the 40th and 50th year of planting was markedly higher than in the other years of planting ($p < 0.05$), and compared to CK and Y5, the increase in Y50 was respectively 242.22% and 130.78%. The average POC content ranged from 8.09 to 43.21 g·kg⁻¹, and the POC content in 20, 40, and 50 years of tea planting was significantly different from that of CK and Y5 ($p < 0.05$), with the largest difference in 40 years, which was significantly more than 5.34 and 3.66 times higher than CK and Y5, separately. The average DOC content ranged from 123.48 to 214.95 mg·kg⁻¹, and compared with CK, the DOC content of Y5, Y15, and Y20 decreased by 30.90%, 12.42%, and 12.81%, respectively,




Figure 2 Characteristics of SOC fractions in different tea planting period. (A) and (B) represent the 0–20 cm and the 20–40 cm soil layer, respectively. Significant differences between different periods are indicated by different lowercase letters ($p < 0.05$). [Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.18683/fig-2](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.18683/fig-2)

with a significant decrease in Y5 ($p < 0.05$). The average content of MBC ranged from 31.28 to 115.73 $\text{mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$, with the lowest content in Y40, which was significantly lower than the other tea planting years. Compared with CK, Y15 and Y50 were upward changes but not significantly different, while Y40 was significantly decreased by 62.79% ($p < 0.05$).

In the 20~40 cm depth of the soil, the changes in organic carbon and its components from CK to Y50 for each tea cultivation year are shown in Fig. 2B. The average SOC content ranges from 5.91 to 27.36 $\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$, with the lowest content in Y5. After tea cultivation, the SOC in the Y5 year decreased by 9.22% compared to CK, but there was no significant difference. Subsequently, with the increase of tea cultivation years, SOC content gradually increased, reaching an average of 25.76 $\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ at Y20, which was 3.36 times higher than Y5 ($p < 0.05$). The average EOC content ranges from 1.47 to 5.44 $\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$. EOC content in tea garden soil gradually accumulates between 5 and 50 years of tea cultivation. There are significant differences in EOC content between Y50 and CK and Y5, increasing by 270.07% and 257.89%, respectively compared to CK and Y5 ($p < 0.05$). The average POC content ranges from 1.41 to 19.15 $\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$, gradually increasing with the extension of tea cultivation years. There are significant differences in POC content between Y20, Y40, Y50, and CK, with Y20, Y40, and Y50 showing significant increases of 17.74, 13.90, and 13.31 $\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$, respectively, compared to CK ($p < 0.05$). The average DOC content ranges

from 57.62 to 180.93 mg·kg⁻¹, with the lowest content in Y5, showing a decrease of 30.06% compared to CK. The average MBC content ranges from 35.22 to 113.72 mg·kg⁻¹, with the lowest MBC content in Y40 and the highest in Y5, with a difference of 2.23 times between them.

Characteristics of soil organic carbon fractions as a proportion of organic carbon with different tea planting duration

The proportions of each organic carbon fraction to organic carbon in the soils of tea plantations of different tea planting years are shown in Table 2 below. Significant variations in these ratios across different planting years are evident. In the 0–20 cm and 20–40 cm soil layers, the proportion of EOC/SOC ranged from 17.22% to 23.91% and 14.98% to 26.00%, with an average of 20.65% and 19.72%; the proportion of POC/SOC ranged from 44.42% to 71.31% and 21.46% to 68.09%, with an average of 59.83% and 45.53%; the proportion of DOC/SOC was from 44.42% to 71.31% and 21.46% to 68.09%, respectively. A total of 59.83% and 45.53%; the proportion of DOC/SOC ranged from 0.36% to 1.09% and 0.50% to 1.26%, with an average proportion of 0.53% and 0.79%; and the proportion of MBC/SOC ranged from 0.05% to 0.54% and 0.15% to 2.11%, with an average proportion of 0.26% and 0.66%. From this, it can be concluded that the order of high and low organic carbon in the proportion of each carbon fraction is POC > EOC > DOC > MBC, which is mainly dominated by POC, followed by EOC, and MBC occupies the smallest proportion. Among them, relative to the organic carbon between different soil layers, EOC and POC accounted for a higher proportion of organic carbon in the 0–20 cm soil layer, while DOC and MBC accounted for a higher proportion of organic carbon in the 20–40 cm depth of the soil.

In the 0–20 cm depth of the soil, the proportion of EOC/SOC had the highest proportion at Y5, which was significantly higher than Y5 and Y15 by 4.96 and 6.69 percentage points, respectively ($p < 0.05$). The proportion of POC/SOC had the highest proportion at Y40, which differed significantly from CK and Y5, and was significantly higher than CK and Y5 by 26.89 and 20.92 percentage points, respectively. The proportions of DOC/SOC and MBC/SOC were all highest in CK, and the DOC/SOC and MBC/SOC in the soils of the remaining years were significantly lower relative to CK ($p < 0.05$). In the soil layer from 20 to 40 cm, the proportion of EOC/SOC was the highest in Y5, which significantly decreased by 11.02 and 9.24 percentage points when tea was planted for 20 and 40 years, respectively, compared with Y5 ($p < 0.05$). The proportion of POC/SOC was the highest in Y50, which significantly increased by 46.63 compared with CK ($p < 0.05$). The proportion of DOC/SOC was the highest in CK, which significantly increased by 46.63 compared with CK ($p < 0.05$). The proportion of MBC/SOC was the highest in CK, which significantly decreased with the years of tea planting, DOC/SOC ratio gradually decreased. MBC/SOC ratio was highest in Y5, which significantly increased by 1.56 percentage points ($p < 0.05$) compared to CK, however, with the increase of tea planting time, Y15, Y20, Y40, and Y50 significantly decreased by 1.48, 1.87, 1.96, 1.84 percentage points, respectively ($p < 0.05$).

Table 2 Percentage of organic carbon fraction to organic carbon (%) for different tea planting years.

Soil layer	Years	EOC/SOC	POC/SOC	DOC/SOC	MBC/SOC
0–20 cm	0	21.53 ± 2.41abc	44.42 ± 18.09c	1.09 ± 0.26a	0.54 ± 0.26a
	5	23.91 ± 2.33a	50.39 ± 9.78bc	0.53 ± 0.03b	0.27 ± 0.1bc
	15	18.95 ± 2.25bc	59.99 ± 5.56abc	0.47 ± 0.09b	0.31 ± 0.08b
	20	17.22 ± 2.35c	65.96 ± 8.32ab	0.41 ± 0.1b	0.18 ± 0.06bc
	40	19.28 ± 2.27abc	71.31 ± 2.27a	0.37 ± 0.1b	0.05 ± 0.02c
	50	22.99 ± 4.22ab	66.88 ± 8.25ab	0.36 ± 0.14b	0.22 ± 0.07bc
20–40 cm	0	22.46 ± 2.01ab	21.46 ± 2.64d	1.26 ± 0.07a	0.55 ± 0.10b
	5	26.00 ± 6.27a	25.83 ± 10.83cd	0.97 ± 0.04b	2.11 ± 0.85a
	15	19.41 ± 8.69ab	42.09 ± 3.69bc	0.62 ± 0.10cd	0.63 ± 0.26b
	20	14.98 ± 3.04b	51.60 ± 22.27ab	0.50 ± 0.13d	0.24 ± 0.04b
	40	16.76 ± 1.05b	64.09 ± 10.30a	0.80 ± 0.13bc	0.15 ± 0.02b
	50	18.73 ± 4.47ab	68.09 ± 6.75a	0.62 ± 0.18cd	0.27 ± 0.06b

Note:

Significant differences between different periods are indicated by different lowercase letters ($p < 0.05$)

Soil bacterial alpha diversity with different tea planting duration

Alpha diversity includes Chao 1, ACE, Shannon and Simpson indices, *etc.* Chao 1 and ACE indices can reflect the abundance of soil microbial communities, and the higher its value, the richer the community species; Shannon and Simpson are used to estimate the microbial diversity characteristics, and the higher the value, the higher the community diversity and homogeneity. The changes in Alpha diversity of soil bacterial communities in tea gardens under different years of tea cultivation are shown in Table 3. With increasing years of tea cultivation, the richness of bacterial communities changes. Both the Chao 1 index (1,930~3,077) and ACE index (2,068~3,155) show the same pattern, with $Y40 < Y50 < CK < Y20 < Y5 < Y15$, indicating an increase-decrease-increase trend in bacterial community richness with increasing years of tea cultivation, reaching the highest richness at 15 years of tea cultivation. Compared to CK, the bacterial richness increased in Y5, Y15, and Y20, while it decreased in Y40 and Y50, with a significant decrease of 32.52% in richness at Y40 ($p < 0.05$). However, with the extension of tea cultivation time, the Chao index and ACE index of Y50 rose again to 2,477 and 2,598 respectively, indicating a gradual increase in bacterial community richness. From the Shannon and Simpson indexes, tea planting had a significant impact on the diversity change of the bacterial community structure of the tea garden soil, which also showed a trend of increasing and then decreasing, compared with CK, the diversity at 15 years of tea planting was the largest, with the Shannon and Simpson indexes reaching 6.69 and 0.99, respectively, and the diversity at 40 years of tea planting was the smallest. Shannon index and Simpson index decreased to 5.31 and 0.97, respectively.

Table 3 Alpha diversity index of soil bacteria in different tea planting period.

	Chao1	ACE	Shannon	Simpson
CK	2,860.83 ± 37.58ab	2,945.53 ± 16.8a	6.38 ± 0.08ab	0.9951 ± 0.00a
Y5	2,946.88 ± 107.26ab	2,997.13 ± 111.26a	6.57 ± 0.03a	0.9965 ± 0.00a
Y15	3,077.84 ± 116.75a	3,155.22 ± 145.26a	6.69 ± 0.07a	0.9969 ± 0.00a
Y20	2,936.55 ± 58.53ab	3,051.91 ± 74.77a	6.52 ± 0.03a	0.9957 ± 0.00a
Y40	1,930.53 ± 92.56c	2,068.01 ± 97.73b	5.31 ± 0.17c	0.9781 ± 0.01b
Y50	2,477.25 ± 395.59bc	2,598.08 ± 401.8ab	5.76 ± 0.51bc	0.9839 ± 0.01ab

Note:

Significant differences between different periods are indicated by different lowercase letters for the same indicator ($p < 0.05$).

Soil bacterial community composition with different tea planting duration

Differences in soil bacterial communities among tea planting years

As shown in Fig. 3, the grouping of points in different colors represents soil samples from tea gardens of different cultivation years. If two group sample points are closer, it indicates a more similar species composition between the tea gardens. The results showed that the soil samples from tea gardens of all ages were more converged together and there was a certain distance between groups, indicating that there were some differences in bacterial species between the soil environments of tea gardens of different ages. Compared to CK, the points in the Y20, Y40, and Y50 groups are relatively distant, indicating differences in their community structures. Some overlap is observed between samples of CK, Y5, and Y15, indicating higher similarity in bacterial communities between them. Moreover, with stress <0.1 , it suggests that NMDS analysis represents soil bacterial grouping samples well. Overall, there was some variability in the bacterial community structure, indicating that tea planting would change the soil bacterial community structure, which would converge within a certain period, while when the tea planting time reached a certain number of years, the bacterial community structure began to change.

Soil bacterial community composition with different tea planting duration

As shown in Fig. 4, a total of 10 dominant bacterial phyla were obtained from the soil bacteria of tea gardens with different years of tea planting, which were *Acidobacteriota*, *Chloroflexi*, *Proteobacteria*, *Actinobacteriota*, *Bacteroidota*, *Verrucomicrobiota*, *WPS-2*, *Firmicutes*, *RCP2-54*, and *Gemmatimonadota*, with the highest abundance of the top 10 species in soil Y15 and the lowest in Y40. *Acidobacteriota* (21.77%~38.08%), *Chloroflexi* (16.79%~28.42%), *Proteobacteria* (14.60%~27.86%), and *Actinobacteriota* (3.70%~10.39%) were the dominant phylum in the soil bacteria of the tea plantation. Their relative abundance sums could up to 84.04%, 86.00%, 71.64%, 76.43%, and 84.55% in the tea plantations from Y5 to Y50, respectively. Compared to CK, the relative abundance of *Acidobacteriota* gradually decreases with increasing years of tea cultivation, reaching its lowest abundance at Y40, which is 21.77%, a decrease of 16.32% compared to CK. Meanwhile, the relative abundance of *Chloroflexi* shows an increasing trend compared to the control group (CK), with the highest relative abundance at Y50, reaching 28.42%, an




Figure 3 NMDS analysis of soil bacterial communities in different tea planting period.

Full-size [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.18683/fig-3](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.18683/fig-3)




Figure 4 The bacterial composition and relative abundance of communities at the phylum.

Full-size [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.18683/fig-4](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.18683/fig-4)

increase of 11.64% compared to CK. Additionally, the relative abundance of *Chloroflexi* at Y20 and Y40 is relatively lower compared to Y5 and Y15. *Proteobacteria* and *Actinobacteriota* exhibit similar trends in relative abundance, reaching their maximum abundance at Y15 compared to CK, gradually decreasing afterward, and then increasing again.

Prediction of soil bacterial function with different tea planting duration

Based on FAPROTAX, a study was conducted on bacterial functional groups in soil samples from different years of tea cultivation, resulting in the annotation of 55 functional types. Among them, the top ten relatively abundant bacterial functions were selected for plotting, as shown in Fig. 5. The main functional groups of soil bacteria include *aerobic*




Figure 5 Relative abundance of bacterial functions based on FAPROTAX prediction (TOP10). Significant differences between different periods are indicated by different lowercase letters ($p < 0.05$). [Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.18683/fig-5](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.18683/fig-5)

chemoheterotrophy (21.76% to 32.88%), *chemoheterotrophy* (22.50% to 34.18%), *cellulolysis* (8.02% to 18.84%) and *nitrogen fixation* (2.62% to 6.65%). Functional categories involved in soil carbon and nitrogen transformation include *aerobic chemoheterotrophy*, *cellulolysis*, *nitrogen fixation*, and *chloroplast*. There were differences in soil bacterial functions between tea planting years. After tea planting, *aerobic chemoheterotrophy* functional bacteria increased significantly, and the relative abundance was significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) than CK in all tea planting years except Y20. The proportional abundance of *cellulolysis* functional bacteria was highest in Y40, which differed significantly ($p < 0.05$) compared to the other tea planting years of soil. The relative abundance of *nitrogen fixation* bacteria was significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) in soils of 5, 15, 20, and 50 years of tea planting than in Y40 and also higher than in CK, while there was no significant difference. The proportional abundance of *chloroplast* bacteria was higher ($p < 0.05$) in soils of Y20 and Y50 than in those of Y5, Y15, and Y40, and was obviously higher ($p < 0.05$) in Y50 than in CK.

Soil organic carbon and microbial community correlation

The correlation between soil organic carbon and dominant taxa in bacterial communities

The effect of SOC on different bacterial populations under tea planting years was explored based on redundancy analysis with SOC content and its fractions as explanatory variables and the top 10 species in relative abundance at the soil bacterial phylum level as response variables. The results, as shown in Fig. 6, showed that the first and second ordering axes explained a total of 34.14% of the overall changes in SOC and its components, with POC being able to significantly influence the community composition of bacteria ($F = 3.4$, $P = 0.002$), explaining 17.6% of the changes with a 42.5% contribution, followed by MBC, which was able to explain 9.5% of the changes, with a contribution of 23.0% (Table 4). The angles between the arrows of SOC, EOC, and POC and the arrows of *Verrucomicrobiota*, *Gemmatimonadota*, and *Acidobacteriota* were small and in the same direction, indicating a strong positive correlation. However, there was a negative correlation with *Bacteroidota*, *Proteobacteria*, *Chloroflexi*, and *Actinobacteriota*. MBC had a strong positive correlation mainly with *Proteobacteria* and RCP2-54, and a negative correlation with *Chloroflexi* and *Actinobacteriota*. DOC had a strong positive correlation with *Acidobacteriota* and a negative correlation with *Firmicutes* and *Actinobacteriota*.

The correlation between soil organic carbon and functional groups of bacteria

Redundancy analysis of soil organic carbon (SOC) and dominant bacterial functional taxa was performed, and the results are shown in Fig. 7, where the first and second ordering axes explained a total of 49.57% of the overall changes in SOC and its components on bacterial functional taxa. Soil POC and MBC had a significant effect on bacterial functional groups (Table 5), with soil particulate organic carbon independently explaining 24.3% of the variation, with a contribution of 46.7% ($F = 5.1$, $P = 0.008$), and microbial biomass carbon independently explaining 16.3% of the variation, with a contribution of 31.6% ($F = 4.1$, $P = 0.018$). From the correlation of organic carbon and each carbon fraction with the main functional groups of the measured soil bacteria, there was a significant positive correlation between MBC and nitrogen fixation, a strong negative correlation between POC, SOC, and EOC mainly with chloroplast nitrogen fixation and chemo-energetic heterotrophs, while cellulose hydrolysis had a negative correlation mainly between MBC and DOC.

DISCUSSION

Effect of tea planting on soil organic carbon and its fractions in tea plantations

Organic carbon is critical for soil nutrient cycling, aggregate formation, water retention, and biodiversity, and it directly affects the carbon sink potential and fertility level of soils and is often used to assess soil quality (Bongiorno et al., 2019; Bünemann et al., 2018). In this study, during the 0–50 years of tea planting, the SOC content was mainly distributed in the 0–20 cm soil layer, and tea cultivation promoted the accumulation of soil SOC content




Figure 6 Redundancy analysis (RDA) of SOC and bacteria dominant groups. Hollow red arrows indicate SOC and fractions, and solid blue arrows indicate dominant groups, the same below.

Full-size [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.18683/fig-6](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.18683/fig-6)

Table 4 Interpretation of soil environmental factors on changes of bacterial community components.

Name	Explains%	Contribution%	pseudo-F	P
POC	17.6	42.5	3.4	0.006
MBC	9.5	23	2	0.068
SOC	6.1	14.8	1.3	0.264
EOC	4.3	10.4	0.9	0.504
DOC	3.9	9.4	0.8	0.526

in the tea plantation, and the soil organic carbon content of the tea plantation after tea planting was higher than that of CK, which began to change significantly at 20 years, and there was a maximum content at 40 years, and then there was a decreasing change at 50 years. This trend was similar to the results of previous studies (Kong, 2016; Di et al., 2020). The main reasons for the increase in organic carbon content may be the litter of tea trees, root exudates, and nitrogen fertilizer application (Li et al., 2015). Plant litter directly inputs organic matter into the soil (Spohn, Babka & Giani, 2013), while root exudates, characterized by low molecular weight and high bioavailability, are easily converted by microorganisms into mineral carbon, thereby increasing the organic carbon content (Liang, Schimel & Jastrow, 2017; Yang et al., 2023). Additionally, nitrogen fertilizer application promotes the formation of alkyl carbon and aromatic carbon, reducing the content of alkoxy carbon. Alkoxy carbon is the most easily degradable component of SOC, with a less stable structure. Therefore, nitrogen application promotes the stability and sequestration of soil organic carbon (Li et al., 2019a). Furthermore, the predominant




Figure 7 Redundancy analysis of SOC and relative abundance of bacterial functions.

Full-size [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.18683/fig-7](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.18683/fig-7)

Table 5 Interpretation of soil environmental factors on changes of bacterial community function.

Name	Explains%	Contribution%	pseudo-F	P
POC	24.2	46.7	5.1	0.008
MBC	16.3	31.6	4.1	0.018
DOC	7.3	14.1	2	0.122
EOC	3	5.8	0.8	0.506
SOC	0.9	1.8	0.2	0.908

climatic type is a meso-subtropical monsoon humid climate, characterized by moderate temperatures and an average annual precipitation of 1,375 millimeters within the study area. Therefore, in comparison to the high rainfall volumes of tropical nations, the region's lesser erosive power likely constitutes a favorable condition for the accumulation and preservation of organic carbon (Berhe et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2023). In this study, it was found that the organic carbon content of the soil significantly increased after 20 years. This increase could be attributed to the high microbial activity during this stage, leading to a higher decomposition and utilization rate of organic matter. A large amount of active substances were decomposed under the action of microorganisms, resulting in a stronger degree of soil humification (Wang, Li & Zheng, 2017). However, after 50 years of tea plantation, the decrease in organic carbon content may be due to the decrease in organic matter secretion by tea roots after long-term tea planting, thereby reducing the accumulation of organic carbon in older tea gardens (He, Zheng & Zhu, 2021).

The active organic carbon fraction is characterized by a short turnover time and fast rate and is more sensitive to changes in the external environment conditions (Xu et al., 2021). Therefore, it is beneficial to study the changes of active organic carbon components in the soil of tea plantations with different years of tea planting to have a more comprehensive

understanding of the dynamics and turnover of soil carbon in the context of tea planting. In this study, it was found that POC and EOC play a predominant role in active organic carbon. Compared to CK, after tea planting, the content of POC and EOC was higher, and the longer the tea plantation time, the more accumulation occurred. Existing studies have indicated that POC is considered persistent soil organic carbon, associated with plants. After plant litter is input into the soil, POC is enclosed within aggregates and forms organic-mineral complexes (Witzgall *et al.*, 2021). EOC has a certain degree of leaching, which is easily mineralized and utilized by microorganisms. The terrain of the study area is hilly with some slopes, whereas with the increase of tea tree cover, organic litter, and root system, rainfall is intercepted by the tea tree, and EOC loss is reduced (Zhang *et al.*, 2023), whereas the enhancement in microbial activity promotes the formation of EOC (Zhao *et al.*, 2023). DOC is mainly derived from short-term dead leaves and humus in soil organic matter, which is susceptible to soil leaching (Guggenberger & Kaiser, 2003). In this study, we found that the DOC content was significantly lower at 5 years of tea planting compared with CK, which may be because the tea trees were just planted at that stage, with low cover, few dead branches and leaves, and the bare surface was unfavorable for organic carbon conservation (Hu *et al.*, 2023). However, the canopy becomes thicker, and the surface layer of dead and leafy material increases with years of tea planting, which protects DOC (Zhu *et al.*, 2018). This study found that at 40 years of tea plantation, the MBC content was the lowest, significantly lower than other tea plantation durations, but it gradually recovered by 50 years. We speculated that the sharp decline in soil pH caused by tea plantations could be the reason (Yan *et al.*, 2020b). As shown in Table 1, the soil pH gradually decreased from 0 to 50 years after the tea plantation, leading to problems such as aluminum toxicity (Dong *et al.*, 1999), which affected the living environment of soil microorganisms and reduced microbial population. However, after 50 years of tea plantation, soil microorganisms gradually adapted to this acidic environment, regained activity, and facilitated microbial carbon transformation. In addition, our study also found that the accumulation of organic carbon and its fractions in the lower soils was slower than in the surface soils. This may be attributed to the more litter material and stronger microbial activity in the 0–20 cm layer, whereas the reduced presence of plant roots in the 20–40 cm layer leads to lower organic carbon and component content (Prescott & Vesterdal, 2021).

The distribution ratio of active organic carbon components better reflects the cycling and transformation of soil carbon pools, indicating the availability of soil organic carbon and the smaller the ratio is, the more the soil lacks easy-to-use carbon sources (Liao & Boutton, 2008), which is conducive to the accumulation of organic carbon. From Table 3. 2-1, it is observed that with the extension of tea plantation time, the ratios of EOC/SOC, DOC/SOC, and MBC/SOC generally decrease, while the ratio of POC/SOC gradually increases. This may be because POC mainly originates from undecomposed or partially decomposed plant residues (Dijkstra, Zhu & Cheng, 2021), primarily composed of cellulose, hemicellulose, and plant-derived phenolic substances (such as lignin phenols), which have characteristics of low density, high C/N ratio, and susceptibility to decomposition, but are often underutilized by plants due to their complex chemical composition and high activation energy (Jilling *et al.*, 2018; Williams *et al.*, 2018).

Therefore, although planting tea trees promotes the accumulation of organic carbon and its active components, the presence of POC, which is not favorable for direct absorption and utilization by tea trees, suggests that tea plantation may be more conducive to the formation of particulate organic carbon, thus facilitating organic carbon transformation.

Effect of tea planting on soil bacteria communities

The richness and diversity of soil bacterial communities are important indicators of soil quality changes (Mhete et al., 2020). This study found that with the increase in the years of tea plantation, both bacterial richness index and diversity index showed an increasing trend initially and subsequently diminishing. The Chao index, ACE index, Shannon index, and Simpson index of Y15 had been appreciably higher, indicating that around 15 years of tea plantation, the richness and diversity of microbial communities reached their peak. This is consistent with previous research findings (Xu et al., 2020). Because tea planting provides more space and material for microbial growth, which favors the growth and multiplication of soil bacteria (Han, Kemmitt & Brookes, 2007). From 15 years, soil bacterial richness and diversity began to decline and when the tea planting reached 40 years, the bacterial community was significantly reduced, probably because the specialized habitats formed by long-term tea planting inhibited the development of soil bacterial diversity, e.g., by the significant decrease in soil pH (as shown in Table 1) (Cao, Liu & Li, 2011; Ye et al., 2016; Wan et al., 2020). On the other hand, it could also be the reason for continuous monocropping in the same area, which has been reported in previous studies to lead to a decrease in crop yield and quality, as well as to enrichment of pathogenic bacteria and the reduction of beneficial microorganisms (Wang et al., 2023a).

Statistical analyses showed that the effect of tea planting on the structure of soil bacterial communities in tea plantations produced differences, and *Acidobacteriota*, *Chloroflexi*, *Proteobacteria*, *Actinobacteriota* were the dominant phyla in the soil bacteria of the tea plantations, which is in agreement with the results of the previous studies (Wu et al., 2023). In this study, the relative abundance of *Proteobacteria* showed a 'V'-shaped trend with increasing years of tea plantation, while nitrogen-fixing rhizobia, which belongs to the *Proteobacteria*, is important functional bacteria (Jones et al., 2009). Therefore, we speculated that the change in the relative abundance of *Proteobacteria* may be related to nitrogen fixation in the soil. From the point of view of bacterial functional groups, the change of nitrogen fixation may also change with the change of *Aspergillus* phylum, which is strong and then weak with the increase of tea planting years, and its relative abundance reaches the maximum in 15 years, and then shows a V-shaped change in the period of tea planting from 20 to 40 years. *Chloroflexi* and *Acidobacteriota* are soil oligotrophic bacteria that grow slowly, often utilize difficult-to-decompose and nutrient-poor substrates for metabolism, and dominate in soils with low organic carbon quality and quantity (Fierer, Bradford & Jackson, 2007). Therefore, their relative abundance in this study was higher in unplanted tea, 5 and 15 years of tea planting. Meanwhile, the *Chloroflexi*, which includes photooxygenated bacteria, is also able to cultivate strains that achieve aerobic heterotrophy (Hanada, 2014; Islam et al., 2019). Our study suggests that the nitrogen fixation by

chloroplasts and the community of aerobic chemoautotrophic bacteria after tea planting may mainly come from the phylum *Chloroflexi*.

However, in the results of this study, the relative abundance of *Acidobacteriota* showed a decreasing trend in tea plantation soils aged 20 to 50 years, which differs from the research findings of Wang, Tang & Ye (2021). This difference may be connected with the increase in organic carbon and active component content. Guo et al. (2021) found that higher carbon availability can inhibit its relative abundance. As shown in Fig. 4, the relative abundance of *Acidobacteriota* after tea planting was higher than in unplanted soil, indicating that tea planting promotes the growth of *Acidobacteriota* in the soil bacterial community. Most microorganisms in *Acidobacteriota* can metabolize to produce antibiotics, plant hormones, hydrolytic enzymes, and other active ingredients. These substances enhance the plant's physiological and biochemical characteristics against adversity, playing important roles in plant growth, microbial community improvement, and soil development (De Simeis & Serra, 2021; Olanrewaju & Babalola, 2019). Therefore, *Acidobacteriota* are more adaptable to environmental changes than other microorganisms. They can isolate acidophilic actinomycetes from acidic soils (Zakalyukina Yu, Zenova & Zvyagintsev, 2002; Poomthongdee, Duangmal & Pathom-aree, 2015), which may also be a reason for the increase in their abundance after a significant decrease in tea planting for 20 years. In summary, in the process of tea planting, we recommend intercropping other crops between the rows of tea trees to avoid the monoculture affecting the microbial activity of the bacterial community. At the same time, in the process of tea planting, take corresponding measures to prevent soil acidification, ensure the maintenance of a good ecological environment of the tea plantation soil, and achieve the sustainable development of the tea industry.

Effect of soil organic carbon fractions on bacterial communities in tea plantations

Organic matter decomposition enhances the soil microbial community's association with nutrient cycling (Manasa et al., 2020). Our study found that POC and MBC were the primary factors influencing the bacterial community. This study is consistent with previous research findings (Zhang et al., 2021a; Yan et al., 2020a). Previous studies have indicated that during the decomposition of tea tree pruning materials or litter, early-stage water-soluble substances are easily leached out, and labile substances degrade rapidly. However, as decomposition progresses, recalcitrant substances such as cellulose and lignin accumulate, slowing down the decomposition rate (Berg & McClaugherty, 2020). Therefore, as the duration of tea planting increases, such substances accumulate more in tea plantation soils, becoming the primary source of particulate organic carbon (Dijkstra, Zhu & Cheng, 2021), requiring more microbial activity to aid in their decomposition and transformation. Therefore, the present study inferred that with the increase in the number of years of tea planting, the changes in the quality and quantity of litters material made the soil bacterial community may have shown differences with the changes in POC content. Our study found that the functional groups of soil bacterial communities in tea plantation soils were influenced by MBC, likely affected by the decrease in pH after tea planting. MBC

directly controls soil extracellular enzyme activity, which is the basis for driving SOC turnover (Joergensen & Wichern, 2018; Li et al., 2021). Whereas, soil pH is an important factor limiting soil microbial activity (Li et al., 2010), which influences the production of biological enzyme activities. In this study, we observed a consistent relationship between the variation in the relative abundance of *Proteobacteria* and changes in MBC. This consistency may stem from the ability of *Proteobacteria* to utilize nutrients such as ammonia and methane produced during the decomposition of organic matter for growth and metabolism (Lv et al., 2014), thus participating in soil carbon cycling. On the other hand, it may be due to the associated flora in the phylum Ascomycota with nitrogen-fixing function (Jones et al., 2009), so that MBC showed a positive correlation with nitrogen fixation. In addition, there was a negative correlation between MBC and cellulolysis, which may be related to the increase in POC content as well as to the *Actinobacteriota*, which declined at 40 years of tea planting, whereas *Thermoanaerobacteria* of the *Actinobacteriota* can produce cellulose-degrading enzymes for direct degradation of cellulose from litters material (Mittra et al., 2022), which participates in the decomposition and synthesis of humic substances (Bhatti, Haq & Bhat, 2017), thereby promoting the conversion of POC and inhibiting the formation of MBC. In conclusion, this also implies that *Proteobacteria* and *Actinobacteriota* may be more susceptible to environmental changes but can balance this variability well. Therefore, after 50 years of tea planting, their abundance begins to increase, continuing to contribute to soil carbon cycling.

CONCLUSIONS

Cultivating tea trees promotes the accumulation of soil organic carbon and its active components, a crucial factor in maintaining the high-quality development of the tea industry. Research findings indicate a significant enhancement in soil organic carbon and its active components within tea gardens over 50 years of tea planting. Specifically, soil organic carbon, particulate organic carbon, and dissolved organic carbon experienced substantial increases after 20 years of tea cultivation, likely due to contributions from tea litter, root exudates, and nitrogen fertilizer application. By the 15 years of tea planting, there was a notable increase in the richness and diversity of soil bacterial communities, potentially attributed to tea planting providing increased growth space and resources for microbial proliferation, thereby facilitating soil bacteria growth and reproduction. However, this richness experienced a significant decrease by the 40 years of tea planting. Soil POC and microbial biomass carbon were identified as significant factors influencing soil bacterial communities, potentially impacted indirectly by changes in soil pH resulting from tea cultivation. Therefore, while research indicates the benefits of planting tea trees for enhancing soil organic carbon and its components, it is advisable to intercrop other crops between tea rows during planting to mitigate the adverse effects of monoculture on bacterial community activity. Additionally, measures should be implemented to prevent and manage soil acidification during tea cultivation, ensuring the preservation of a favorable ecological environment in tea gardens and fostering sustainable development in the tea industry.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

This work was supported by the Talent Introduction Fund of Guizhou University-Guizhou University Key Hopes [2017] No. 47, the Guizhou Provincial Science and Technology Programme Project-Guizhou Keheji ZK [2022] Key 014, the Science and Technology Programme Project of Guiyang Municipality-Zhu Ke Contract [2024]-1-13. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors:

Talent Introduction Fund of Guizhou University-Guizhou University Key Hopes [2017] No. 47.

Guizhou Provincial Science and Technology Programme Project-Guizhou Keheji ZK [2022] Key 014.

Guiyang Municipality-Zhu Ke Contract [2024]-1-13.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author Contributions

- Yingge Shu conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Shan Xie conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Hong Fan conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Chun Duan conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Yuansheng Liu conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Zuyong Chen conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.

DNA Deposition

The following information was supplied regarding the deposition of DNA sequences:

The tea plantation soil sample raw sequence reads described here are accessible *via* BioProject (NCBI's SRA database) accession number [PRJNA1104766](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA1104766).

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The raw data shows tea planting improves organic carbon accumulation.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.18683#supplemental-information>.

REFERENCES

- Badagliacca G, Benítez E, Amato G, Badalucco L, Giambalvo D, Laudicina VA, Ruisi P. 2018.** Long-term no-tillage application increases soil organic carbon, nitrous oxide emissions and faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) yields under rain-fed Mediterranean conditions. *Science of the Total Environment* **639**:350–359 DOI [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.05.157](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.05.157).
- Berg B, McClaugherty C. 2020.** *Plant litter: decomposition, humus formation, carbon sequestration*. Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Berhe AA, Harden JW, Torn MS, Harte J. 2008.** Linking soil organic matter dynamics and erosion-induced terrestrial carbon sequestration at different landform positions. *Journal of Geophysical Research Biogeosciences* **113**(G4):202 DOI [10.1029/2008JG000751](https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JG000751).
- Bhatti AA, Haq S, Bhat RA. 2017.** Actinomycetes benefaction role in soil and plant health. *Microbial Pathogenesis* **111**:458–467 DOI [10.1016/j.micpath.2017.09.036](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2017.09.036).
- Bongiorno G, Bünemann EK, Oguejiofor CU, Meier J, Gort G, Comans R, Mäder P, Brussaard L, de Goede R. 2019.** Sensitivity of labile carbon fractions to tillage and organic matter management and their potential as comprehensive soil quality indicators across pedoclimatic conditions in Europe. *Ecological Indicators* **99**:38–50 DOI [10.1016/j.ecolind.2018.12.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2018.12.008).
- Bünemann EK, Bongiorno G, Bai Z, Creamer RE, De Deyn G, de Goede R, Flesskens L, Geissen V, Kuyper TW, Mäder P, Pulleman M, Sukkel W, van Groenigen JW, Brussaard L. 2018.** Soil quality—a critical review. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **120**(5):105–125 DOI [10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.01.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.01.030).
- Cao P, Liu C, Li D. 2011.** Autointoxication of tea (*Camellia sinensis*) and identification of its autotoxins. *Allelopathy Journal* **28**:155–166.
- Chiti T, Díaz-Pinés E, Butterbach-Bahl K, Marzaioli F, Valentini R. 2018.** Soil organic carbon changes following degradation and conversion to cypress and tea plantations in a tropical mountain forest in Kenya. *Plant and Soil* **422**(1–2):527–539 DOI [10.1007/s11104-017-3489-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-017-3489-1).
- De Simeis D, Serra S. 2021.** Actinomycetes: a never-ending source of bioactive compounds—an overview on antibiotics production. *Antibiotics* **10**(5):483 DOI [10.3390/antibiotics10050483](https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10050483).
- Dexter E, Rollwagen-Bollens G, Bollens SM. 2018.** The trouble with stress: a flexible method for the evaluation of nonmetric multidimensional scaling. *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods* **16**(7):434–443 DOI [10.1002/lom3.10257](https://doi.org/10.1002/lom3.10257).
- Di S, Zong M, Li S, Li H, Duan C, Peng C, Zhao Y, Bai J, Lin C, Feng Y, Huang W, Wang D. 2020.** The effects of the soil environment on soil organic carbon in tea plantations in Xishuangbanna, southwestern China. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* **297**(5):106951 DOI [10.1016/j.agee.2020.106951](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2020.106951).
- Dijkstra FA, Zhu B, Cheng W. 2021.** Root effects on soil organic carbon: a double-edged sword. *New Phytologist* **230**(1):60–65 DOI [10.1111/nph.17082](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17082).

- Dong D, Xie Z, Du Y, Liu C, Wang S. 1999.** Influence of soil pH on aluminum availability in the soil and aluminum in tea leaves. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* **30**(5–6):873–883 DOI [10.1080/00103629909370252](https://doi.org/10.1080/00103629909370252).
- Fierer N, Bradford MA, Jackson RB. 2007.** Toward an ecological classification of soil bacteria. *Ecology* **88**(6):1354–1364 DOI [10.1890/05-1839](https://doi.org/10.1890/05-1839).
- Gaiser T, Stahr K. 2013.** Soil organic carbon, soil formation and soil fertility. In: Lal R, Lorenz K, Hüttl RF, Schneider BU, von Braun J, eds. *Ecosystem Services and Carbon Sequestration in the Biosphere*. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands, 407–418 DOI [10.1007/978-94-007-6455-2_17](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-6455-2_17).
- Guggenberger G, Kaiser K. 2003.** Dissolved organic matter in soil: challenging the paradigm of sorptive preservation. *Geoderma* **113**(3–4):293–310 DOI [10.1016/S0016-7061\(02\)00366-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7061(02)00366-X).
- Guo J, Wu Y, Wu X, Ren Z, Wang G. 2021.** Soil bacterial community composition and diversity response to land conversion is depth-dependent. *Global Ecology and Conservation* **32**:e01923 DOI [10.1016/j.gecco.2021.e01923](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2021.e01923).
- Han W, Kemmitt SJ, Brookes PC. 2007.** Soil microbial biomass and activity in Chinese tea gardens of varying stand age and productivity. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **39**(7):1468–1478 DOI [10.1016/j.soilbio.2006.12.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2006.12.029).
- Hanada S. 2014.** The phylum chloroflexi, the family chloroflexaceae, and the related phototrophic families oscillochloridaceae and roseiflexaceae. In: Rosenberg E, DeLong EF, Lory S, Stackebrandt E, Thompson F, eds. *The Prokaryotes: Other Major Lineages of Bacteria and the Archaea*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 515–532 DOI [10.1007/978-3-642-38954-2_165](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-38954-2_165).
- He S, Zheng ZC, Zhu RH. 2021.** Long-term tea plantation effects on composition and stabilization of soil organic matter in Southwest China. *CATENA* **199**(1–2):105132 DOI [10.1016/j.catena.2020.105132](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2020.105132).
- Hu Q, Thomas BW, Powlson D, Hu Y, Zhang Y, Jun X, Shi X, Zhang Y. 2023.** Soil organic carbon fractions in response to soil, environmental and agronomic factors under cover cropping systems: a global meta-analysis. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* **355**(80):108591 DOI [10.1016/j.agee.2023.108591](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2023.108591).
- Islam ZF, Cordero PRF, Feng J, Chen YJ, Bay SK, Jirapanjawat T, Gleadow RM, Carere CR, Stott MB, Chiri E, Greening C. 2019.** Two Chloroflexi classes independently evolved the ability to persist on atmospheric hydrogen and carbon monoxide. *The ISME Journal* **13**(7):1801–1813 DOI [10.1038/s41396-019-0393-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-019-0393-0).
- Jat HS, Datta A, Choudhary M, Yadav AK, Choudhary V, Sharma PC, Gathala MK, Jat ML, McDonald A. 2019.** Effects of tillage, crop establishment and diversification on soil organic carbon, aggregation, aggregate associated carbon and productivity in cereal systems of semi-arid Northwest India. *Soil and Tillage Research* **190**(1):128–138 DOI [10.1016/j.still.2019.03.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2019.03.005).
- Jilling A, Keiluweit M, Contosta AR, Frey S, Schimel J, Schnecker J, Smith RG, Tiemann L, Grandy AS. 2018.** Minerals in the rhizosphere: overlooked mediators of soil nitrogen availability to plants and microbes. *Biogeochemistry* **139**(2):103–122 DOI [10.1007/s10533-018-0459-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-018-0459-5).
- Joergensen RG, Wichern F. 2018.** Alive and kicking: why dormant soil microorganisms matter. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **116**(Suppl. A):419–430 DOI [10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.10.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.10.022).
- Jones RT, Robeson MS, Lauber CL, Hamady M, Knight R, Fierer N. 2009.** A comprehensive survey of soil acidobacterial diversity using pyrosequencing and clone library analyses. *The ISME Journal* **3**(4):442–453 DOI [10.1038/ismej.2008.127](https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2008.127).
- Kalita R, Das A, Nath A. 2016.** Assessment of soil organic carbon stock under tea agroforestry system in barak valley, North East India. *International Journal of Ecology and Environmental Sciences* **42**:175–182.

- Kong ZL. 2016. Carbon sequestration potential of tea garden soil in northwest Zhejiang and its accumulation characteristic on different fractions of organic carbon. *Journal of Zhejiang University (Agriculture and Life Sciences)* 42:209–219 DOI 10.3785/j.issn.1008-9209.2015.08.111.
- Liang C, Schimel JP, Jastrow JD. 2017. The importance of anabolism in microbial control over soil carbon storage. *Nature Microbiology* 2:17105 DOI 10.1038/nmicrobiol.2017.105.
- Li M, Hu H, He X, Jia J, Drosos M, Wang G, Liu F, Hu Z, Xi B. 2019a. Organic carbon sequestration in soil humic substances as affected by application of different nitrogen fertilizers in a vegetable-rotation cropping system. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 67(11):3106–3113 DOI 10.1021/acs.jafc.8b07114.
- Li Y, Li Z, Cui S, Liang G, Zhang Q. 2021. Microbial-derived carbon components are critical for enhancing soil organic carbon in no-tillage croplands: a global perspective. *Soil and Tillage Research* 205:104758 DOI 10.1016/j.still.2020.104758.
- Li JQ, Lin L, Zhang F, Wan X. 2019b. Effect of fertilization regime on soil microbial quantity and enzyme activity in rhizosphere of tea. *Research of Soil and Water Conservation* 26:22–28 DOI 10.13869/j.cnki.rswc.2019.03.004.
- Li Y, Wang L, Zhang W, Zhang S, Wang H, Fu X, Le Y. 2010. Variability of soil carbon sequestration capability and microbial activity of different types of salt marsh soils at Chongming Dongtan. *Ecological Engineering* 36(12):1754–1760 DOI 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2010.07.029.
- Li W, Zheng Z, Li T, Zhang X, Wang Y, Yu H, He S, Liu T. 2015. Effect of tea plantation age on the distribution of soil organic carbon fractions within water-stable aggregates in the hilly region of Western Sichuan, China. *CATENA* 133(3):198–205 DOI 10.1016/j.catena.2015.05.017.
- Liao JD, Boutton TW. 2008. Soil microbial biomass response to woody plant invasion of grassland. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 40(5):1207–1216 DOI 10.1016/j.soilbio.2007.12.018.
- Lin S, Zhuang J, Chen T, Zhang A, Zhou M, Lin W. 2013. Microbial diversity in rhizosphere soils of different planting year tea trees: an analysis with phospholipid fatty acid biomarkers. *Chinese Journal of Ecology* 32:64–71 DOI 10.13292/j.1000-4890.2013.0045.
- Liu XL, Huang JQ, Qi YJ, Li WW, Liu JG, Chen FY, Gao XF. 2023. Response of organic carbon loss to soil erosion and its drivers: a meta-analysis. *Environmental Science* 44(9):5125–5134 DOI 10.13227/j.hjlx.202208249.
- Louca S, Parfrey LW, Doebeli M. 2016. Decoupling function and taxonomy in the global ocean microbiome. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 353(6305):1272–1277 DOI 10.1126/science.aaf4507.
- Lozupone C, Lladser ME, Knights D, Stombaugh J, Knight R. 2011. UniFrac: an effective distance metric for microbial community comparison. *The ISME Journal* 5(2):169–172 DOI 10.1038/ismej.2010.133.
- Lv X, Yu J, Fu Y, Ma B, Qu F, Ning K, Wu H. 2014. A meta-analysis of the bacterial and archaeal diversity observed in wetland soils. *The Scientific World Journal* 2014:437684 DOI 10.1155/2014/437684.
- Manasa MRK, Katukuri NR, Darveekaran Nair SS, Haojie Y, Yang Z, Guo R. 2020. Role of biochar and organic substrates in enhancing the functional characteristics and microbial community in a saline soil. *Journal of Environmental Management* 269:110737 DOI 10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110737.
- Mhete M, Eze PN, Rahube TO, Akinyemi FO. 2020. Soil properties influence bacterial abundance and diversity under different land-use regimes in semi-arid environments. *Scientific African* 7(6):e00246 DOI 10.1016/j.sciaf.2019.e00246.
- Mitra D, Mondal R, Khoshru B, Senapati A, Radha TK, Mahakur B, Uniyal N, Myo EM, Boutaj H, Sierra BEG, Panneerselvam P, Ganeshamurthy AN, Elković SA, Vasić T, Rani A,

- Dutta S, Mohapatra PKD. 2022. Actinobacteria-enhanced plant growth, nutrient acquisition, and crop protection: advances in soil, plant, and microbial multifactorial interactions. *Pedosphere* 32(1):149–170 DOI 10.1016/S1002-0160(21)60042-5.
- Olanrewaju OS, Babalola OO. 2019. Streptomyces: implications and interactions in plant growth promotion. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 103(3):1179–1188 DOI 10.1007/s00253-018-09577-y.
- Philippot L, Chenu C, Kappler A, Rillig MC, Fierer N. 2024. The interplay between microbial communities and soil properties. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 22(4):226–239 DOI 10.1038/s41579-023-00980-5.
- Poomthongdee N, Duangmal K, Pathom-aree W. 2015. Acidophilic actinomycetes from rhizosphere soil: diversity and properties beneficial to plants. *The Journal of Antibiotics* 68(2):106–114 DOI 10.1038/ja.2014.117.
- Prescott CE, Vesterdal L. 2021. Decomposition and transformations along the continuum from litter to soil organic matter in forest soils. *Forest Ecology and Management* 498(1–4):119522 DOI 10.1016/j.foreco.2021.119522.
- Priess JA, de Koning GHJ, Veldkamp A. 2001. Assessment of interactions between land use change and carbon and nutrient fluxes in Ecuador. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 85(1–3):269–279 DOI 10.1016/S0167-8809(01)00193-1.
- Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, Lesniewski RA, Oakley BB, Parks DH, Robinson CJ, Sahl JW, Stres B, Thallinger GG, Van Horn DJ, Weber CF. 2009. Introducing mothur: open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 75(23):7537–7541 DOI 10.1128/AEM.01541-09.
- Shui W, Wu C, Fu Y. 2022. Spatial differentiation and influencing factors of soil carbon in the tea plantation based on DNDC model—a case study of Anxi county, Fujian Province. *Chinese Journal of Agricultural Resources and Regional Planning* 43:232–242 DOI 10.13227/j.hjlx.202010029.
- Spohn M, Babka B, Giani L. 2013. Changes in soil organic matter quality during sea-influenced marsh soil development at the North Sea coast. *CATENA* 107:110–117 DOI 10.1016/j.catena.2013.02.006.
- Wallenstein MD, Haddix ML, Ayres E, Steltzer H, Magrini-Bair KA, Paul EA. 2013. Litter chemistry changes more rapidly when decomposed at home but converges during decomposition-transformation. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 57:311–319 DOI 10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.09.027.
- Wan W, Tan J, Wang Y, Qin Y, He H, Wu H, Zuo W, He D. 2020. Responses of the rhizosphere bacterial community in acidic crop soil to pH: changes in diversity, composition, interaction, and function. *Science of the Total Environment* 700:134418 DOI 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134418.
- Wang M, Deng J, Duan G, Chen L, Huang X, Wang W, Gong L, Zhang Y, Yu K, Guo L. 2023a. Insights into the impacts of autotoxic allelochemicals from rhizosphere of *Atractylodes lancea* on soil microenvironments. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 14:1136833 DOI 10.3389/fpls.2023.1136833.
- Wang LM, Huang DF, Li QH, He CM, Zhang H, Liu CL, Li FL, Huang YB. 2018. Organic carbon and total nitrogen distribution in aggregates from yellow-red soils under tea plantations with different fertilizer managements. *Journal of Tea Science* 38:342–352 DOI 10.13305/j.cnki.jts.2018.04.002.
- Wang S, Li T, Zheng Z. 2017. Distribution of microbial biomass and activity within soil aggregates as affected by tea plantation age. *CATENA* 153:1–8 DOI 10.1016/j.catena.2017.01.029.

- Wang S, Tang L, Ye S. 2021. Dynamics of soil bacterial community diversity and composition at aggregate scales in a chronosequence of tea gardens. *CATENA* 206:105486 DOI 10.1016/j.catena.2021.105486.
- Wang D, Wu BS, Li F, Li X, Wang Z, Hou J, Cao R, Yang W. 2023b. Soil organic carbon stock in China's tea plantations and their great potential of carbon sequestration. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 421(6):138485 DOI 10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.138485.
- Wang S, Yao X, Ye S. 2020. Soil aggregate-related organic carbon and relevant enzyme activities as affected by tea (*Camellia sinensis* L.) planting age in hilly region of southern Guangxi. *China Applied Soil Ecology* 150:103444 DOI 10.1016/j.apsoil.2019.103444.
- Williams EK, Fogel ML, Berhe AA, Plante AF. 2018. Distinct bioenergetic signatures in particulate versus mineral-associated soil organic matter. *Geoderma* 330(1):107–116 DOI 10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.05.024.
- Witzgall K, Vidal A, Schubert DI, Höschen C, Schweizer SA, Buegger F, Pouteau V, Chenu C, Mueller CW. 2021. Particulate organic matter as a functional soil component for persistent soil organic carbon. *Nature Communications* 12(1):4115 DOI 10.1038/s41467-021-24192-8.
- Wu S, Chang J, Yao X, Wang K, Hu Q, Yang S. 2023. Improve tea quality by enhancing the stability of bacterial community interaction and nitrogen-fixing function in pecan-tea interplanted plantation. *Rhizosphere* 27:100758 DOI 10.1016/j.rhisph.2023.100758.
- Xu C, Du C, Jian J, Hou L, Wang Z, Wang Q, Geng Z. 2021. The interplay of labile organic carbon, enzyme activities and microbial communities of two forest soils across seasons. *Scientific Reports* 11(1):5002 DOI 10.1038/s41598-021-84217-6.
- Xu G, Wang MJ, Deng BW, Guo MM. 2020. Bacterial diversity and community structure of rhizosphere soil of tea plants in different years of planting. *Biotechnology Bulletin* 36:124–132 DOI 10.13560/j.cnki.biotech.bull.1985.2019-0862.
- Xue D, Yao H, Huang C. 2006. Microbial biomass, n mineralization and nitrification, enzyme activities, and microbial community diversity in tea orchard soils. *Plant and Soil* 288(1–2):319–331 DOI 10.1007/s11104-006-9123-2.
- Yang Y, Liu B, Fang J, Hu C, Cheng D, Wang S. 2011. The study on characteristics of microbes and enzyme activity in rhizosphere and out-rhizosphere soil of tea garden at various ages. *Chinese Agricultural Science Bulletin* 27:118–121 DOI 10.11924/j.issn.1000-6850.2011-1012.
- Yang X, Wang B, Fakher A, An S, Kuzyakov Y. 2023. Contribution of roots to soil organic carbon: from growth to decomposition experiment. *Catena* 231:107317 DOI 10.1016/j.catena.2023.107317.
- Yan S, Song J, Fan J, Yan C, Dong S, Ma C, Gong Z. 2020a. Changes in soil organic carbon fractions and microbial community under rice straw return in Northeast China. *Global Ecology and Conservation* 22(11):e00962 DOI 10.1016/j.gecco.2020.e00962.
- Yan P, Wu L, Wang D, Fu J, Shen C, Li X, Zhang L, Zhang L, Fan L, Wenyan H. 2020b. Soil acidification in Chinese tea plantations. *Science of the Total Environment* 715(16):136963 DOI 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136963.
- Ye JH, Wang HB, Yang XY, Zhang Q, Li JY, Jia XL, Kong XH, He HB. 2016. Autotoxicity of the soil of consecutively cultured tea plantations on tea (*Camellia sinensis*) seedlings. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum* 38(8):195 DOI 10.1007/s11738-016-2216-5.
- Yuan SY, Chen X, Fang WP, Wang YH, Zhu XJ, Li XH. 2015. Effect of tea plant pruning material on fungi community diversity in tea plantation soil. *Journal of Tea Science* 35(3):255–262 DOI 10.13305/j.cnki.jts.2015.03.008.

- Yukse T, Göl C, Yüksek F, Erdoğan Yüksel E. 2009.** The effects of land-use changes on soil properties: the conversion of alder coppice to tea plantations in the Humid Northern Blacksea Region. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 4:665–674 DOI 10.1021/jf900470c.
- Zakalyukina Yu V, Zenova GM, Zvyagintsev DG. 2002.** Acidophilic soil actinomycetes. *Microbiology* 71(3):342–345 DOI 10.1023/A:1015819131117.
- Zhang B, Xu C, Zhang Z, Hu C, He Y, Huang K, Pang Q, Hu G. 2023.** Response of soil organic carbon and its fractions to natural vegetation restoration in a tropical karst area, southwest China. *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change* 6:352 DOI 10.3389/ffgc.2023.1172062.
- Zhang Z, Yan J, Han X, Zou W, Chen X, Lu X, Feng Y. 2021a.** Labile organic carbon fractions drive soil microbial communities after long-term fertilization. *Global Ecology and Conservation* 32:e01867 DOI 10.1016/j.gecco.2021.e01867.
- Zhang Y, Zhang J, Chapman SJ, Yao H, Zheng N, Müller C. 2021b.** Tea plantation affects soil nitrogen transformations in subtropical China. *Journal of Soils and Sediments* 21(1):441–451 DOI 10.1007/s11368-020-02779-w.
- Zhao Z, Song Q, Bai D, Niu S, He Y, Qiao D, Chen Z, Li C, Luo J, Li F. 2022.** Population structure analysis to explore genetic diversity and geographical distribution characteristics of cultivated-type tea plant in Guizhou Plateau. *BMC Plant Biology* 22(1):55 DOI 10.1186/s12870-022-03438-7.
- Zhao LL, Wu ZX, Sun R, Yang C, Fu QM, Tang MQ. 2023.** Soil organic carbon components and influencing factors of rubber plantations of different ages in Qiongzong, Hainan. *Journal of Yunnan Agricultural University (Natural Science)* 38:886–893 DOI 10.12101/j.issn.1004-390X(n).202204033.
- Zhou CB, Chen WP. 2014.** Research progress of soil microorganism on tea plantation. *China Tea* 36(3):14–15 DOI 10.3969/j.issn.1000-3150.2014.03.005.
- Zhou JC, Pu Y, Jiao YL, Yang LL, Yang J, Du L, Zhang XZ, Li TX. 2024.** Decomposition rate and C, N, P release dynamics of pruning litter from different tea varieties. *Journal of Agro-Environment Science* 43(7):1657–1665 DOI 10.11654/jaes.2023-0475.
- Zhu RH, Zheng ZC, Li TX, Li W. 2018.** Effects of converting farmland to tea plantations on soil labile organic carbon fractions in the hilly region of Western Sichuan, China. *Acta Scientiae Circumstantiae* 38:744–751 DOI 10.13671/j.hjkxxb.2017.0318.