

# The pattern and burden of non-communicable diseases in armed conflict-exposed populations in Northeastern Nigeria: A case study

Roland I Stephen<sup>1,2</sup>, Jennifer A Tyndall<sup>3</sup>, Jamiu S Olumoh<sup>4</sup>, Malachy I. Okeke<sup>3</sup>, Jacob Dunga<sup>5</sup>, Tonde G Elijah<sup>6</sup>, Dillys Bello<sup>7</sup>, Oyelola A Adegboye<sup>Corresp., 8</sup>, Jimmy Reyes<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Doctoral Studies, Unicaf University, Larnaca, Cyprus

<sup>2</sup> Department of Internal Medicine, Modibbo Adama University Teaching Hospital, Yola, Nigeria

<sup>3</sup> Department of Natural and Environmental Sciences, American University of Nigeria, Yola, Nigeria

<sup>4</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, American University of Nigeria, Yola, Adamawa, Nigeria

<sup>5</sup> Department of Medicine, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa Teaching Hospital, Bauchi, Nigeria

<sup>6</sup> Department of Medicine, University of Maiduguri Teaching Hospital, Bauchi, Bauchi, Nigeria

<sup>7</sup> Department of Nursing, Adamawa State Specialist Hospital, Yola, Adamawa, Nigeria

<sup>8</sup> Menzies School of Health Research, Charles Darwin University, Darwin, Northern Territory, Australia

<sup>9</sup> Department of Nursing and Public Health, University of Northern Iowa, Iowa, United States

Corresponding Author: Oyelola A Adegboye

Email address: oyelola.adegboye@menzies.edu.au

**Background** The risk of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) in conflict and post-conflict settings in Northeastern Nigeria has not been evaluated to date. As this region undergoes recovery, understanding the prevalence of NCDs, such as hypertension, diabetes, depression, and obesity, and the associated behavioral coping mechanisms, is crucial for developing tailored healthcare solutions. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the impact of conflict on the prevalence of NCDs in conflict-exposed areas in Northeastern Nigeria compared with non-conflict regions. **Methods** This was an unmatched cross-sectional study. The participants were selected from inpatients and outpatients at general hospitals in Mubi (conflict-exposed) and Jada (non-conflict), which are local government areas in Adamawa, a state in Northeastern Nigeria. The study was conducted over four months, and data on various health indicators were collected. Multivariable binary logistic regression and complementary log regression were performed to investigate the effects of individual risk factors and regional settings on the prevalence of NCDs. **Findings** A sample of 463 individuals from both locations was analyzed. The prevalence of hypertension, diabetes, abdominal obesity, and depression in the entire cohort was 22.92%, 5.04%, 44.19%, and 17.94%, respectively. The rates of hypertension and abdominal obesity in the conflict-exposed Mubi were lower, and the rate of depression was higher than those recorded in Jada. Females showed higher rates of hypertension, obesity, and depression

than males. The residents of Mubi had lower odds of having abdominal obesity (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] = 0.18; 95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.11–0.28) but a higher risk of depression (incidence risk ratio [IRR] = 4.78; 95% CI = 2.51–9.22) than those in Jada. However, the participants affected by insurgency showed higher odds of having both abdominal obesity (aOR = 1.95; 95% CI [1.23–3.08]) and depression (IRR = 1.76; 95% CI [1.08–2.88]) than those who were not affected by the conflict. **Conclusions** The findings of this study underscore the urgent need for mental health support in conflict-affected regions and comprehensive healthcare strategies for the aging population. As adjustment of lifestyle factors is crucial for addressing NCDs, effective case management and food security are essential for reducing the risk of NCDs in conflict-exposed populations.

# The pattern and burden of non-communicable diseases in armed conflict-exposed populations in Northeastern Nigeria: A case study

Roland I. Stephen<sup>1,2</sup>, Jennifer A. Tyndall<sup>3</sup>, Jamiu S. Olumoh<sup>4</sup>, Malachy I Okeke<sup>3</sup>, Jacob Dunga<sup>5</sup>, Tonde G. Elijah<sup>6</sup>, Dillys Bello<sup>7</sup>, Oyelola A. Adegboye<sup>8</sup>, Jimmy Reyes<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Modibbo Adama University Teaching Hospital, Yola, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>School of Doctoral Studies, Unicaf University, Larnaca, Cyprus

<sup>3</sup>Department of Natural and Environmental Sciences, American University of Nigeria, Yola, Nigeria

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, American University of Nigeria, Yola, Nigeria

<sup>5</sup>Department of Medicine, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa Teaching Hospital, Bauchi, Nigeria

<sup>6</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Maiduguri Teaching Hospital, Bauchi, Bauchi, Nigeria

<sup>7</sup>Department of Nursing, Adamawa State Specialist Hospital, Yola, Nigeria

<sup>8</sup>Menzies School of Health Research, Charles Darwin University, Australia

<sup>9</sup>Department of Nursing and Public Health, University of Northern Iowa, USA

## Correspondence:

Oyelola A. Adegboye, Ph.D.

Menzies School of Health Research, Charles Darwin University, Darwin, Northern Territory, Australia.

[oyelola.adegboye@menzies.edu.au](mailto:oyelola.adegboye@menzies.edu.au)

# Abstract

## Background

The risk of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) in conflict and post-conflict settings in Northeastern Nigeria has not been evaluated to date. As this region undergoes recovery, understanding the prevalence of NCDs, such as hypertension, diabetes, depression, and obesity, and the associated behavioral coping mechanisms, is crucial for developing tailored healthcare solutions. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the impact of conflict on the prevalence of NCDs in conflict-exposed areas in Northeastern Nigeria compared with non-conflict regions.

## Methods

This was an unmatched cross-sectional study. The participants were selected from inpatients and outpatients at general hospitals in Mubi (conflict-exposed) and Jada (non-conflict), which are local government areas in Adamawa, a state in Northeastern Nigeria. The study was conducted over four months, and data on various health indicators were collected. Multivariable binary logistic regression and complementary log regression were performed to investigate the effects of individual risk factors and regional settings on the prevalence of NCDs.

## Findings

A sample of 463 individuals from both locations was analyzed. The prevalence of hypertension, diabetes, abdominal obesity, and depression in the entire cohort was 22.92%, 5.04%, 44.19%, and 17.94%, respectively. The rates of hypertension and abdominal obesity in the conflict-exposed Mubi were lower, and the rate of depression was higher than those recorded in Jada. Females showed higher rates of hypertension, obesity, and depression than males. The residents of Mubi had lower odds of having abdominal obesity (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] = 0.18; 95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.11–0.28) but a higher risk of depression (incidence risk ratio [IRR] = 4.78; 95% CI = 2.51–9.22) than those in Jada. However, the participants affected by insurgency showed higher odds of having both abdominal obesity (aOR = 1.95; 95% CI [1.23–3.08]) and depression (IRR = 1.76; 95% CI [1.08–2.88]) than those who were not affected by the conflict.

## Conclusions

The findings of this study underscore the urgent need for mental health support in conflict-affected regions and comprehensive healthcare strategies for the aging population. As adjustment of lifestyle factors is crucial for addressing NCDs, effective case management and food security are essential for reducing the risk of NCDs in conflict-exposed populations.

**Keywords:** non-communicable diseases, conflict-exposed populations, Northeastern Nigeria, remote, rural

# 1. Introduction

Northeastern Nigeria, recovering from the impacts of the decade-long insurgency and perennial armed conflict, is now facing an evolving pattern of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) linked to these traumatic experiences (Brottem 2021; Garba 2018; Ojiego-Okoro et al. 2024). During the conflict, healthcare facilities and public infrastructures were destroyed, and private enterprises were decimated (Tafida et al. 2023). Between 2008 and 2023, Nigeria has recorded about 18.7 million internally displaced people, forced to live in refugee camps or as internally displaced persons (IDPs) mostly in the northeastern states of Adamawa, Borno and Yobe (Ekoh et al. 2023; Internal displacement monitoring centre 2024). The prolonged exposure to these humanitarian crises has inflicted severe psychological distress, economic hardship, and social instability on the affected populations (Garba 2018; Ojiego-Okoro et al. 2024). The insurgency has led to the deaths of an estimated 350,000 lives since 2009 (Internal displacement monitoring centre 2024) and the survivors have endured extreme trauma, including the loss of loved ones, forced displacement, lack of food and water, near-death experiences, kidnapping, severe bodily injury, and lack of medicines and social services (Garba 2018; Ojiego-Okoro et al. 2024).

NCDs, which include conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, depression, obesity, and cancer, are not primarily caused by an acute infection but have long-term health consequences that require long-term treatment and care (Allen et al. 2017; Avan et al. 2019; Carrette et al. 2018; Greene-Cramer et al. 2020; Hosseinpoor et al. 2012; Jawad et al. 2019; Narayan et al. 2010). Conflict and post-conflict settings, such as those experienced in northeastern Nigeria, increase the risk of developing NCDs (Carrette et al.

2018; Greene-Cramer et al. 2020; Jawad et al. 2019). The stress and trauma of such settings often lead individuals to adopt unhealthy coping mechanisms such as drug abuse, alcohol abuse, smoking, and sedentary behaviors—major risk factors for NCDs (Ojiego-Okoro et al. 2024; Tafida et al. 2023). The vicious cycle of unhealthy lifestyle habits and socioeconomic disadvantages exacerbates the burden of NCDs in conflict-exposed populations (Tafida et al. 2023). Additionally, fragile and violent situations disrupt the continuity of care for NCDs, weaken health systems and drastically reduce access to social services (Carrette et al. 2018).

In Nigeria, the national prevalence of hypertension was estimated at 30.6% (95% Confidence Interval (CI): 27.3%-34.0%) (Adeloye et al. 2021) with complications such as stroke, chronic kidney disease, heart failure and myocardial infarction affecting about 75% of those diagnosed (Kassy et al. 2022). About a quarter of emergency room presentations in urban Nigeria stem from hypertension-related complications (Adeloye et al. 2021). Diabetes Mellitus (DM) also presents a significant public health challenge, with a pooled national prevalence of 5.77% (95% CI 4.3–7.1) (Adeloye et al. 2021). DM is a chronic metabolic disease associated with factors such as sedentary lifestyle, unhealthy diet, advancing age, low socioeconomic status and depression (Hill-Briggs et al. 2021; Mezuk et al. 2008; Ramalan et al. 2023) and accounts for an overall mortality rate of 30.2 per 100,000 population in Nigeria (Adeloye et al. 2021). Other conditions, such as central obesity, affect 39% of the Nigerian population (Bashir et al. 2022), depression, a common mood disorder with a lifetime prevalence of 3.9% in Nigeria (Gbadamosi et al. 2022), is particularly debilitating among conflict-exposed populations (Akinrolie et al. 2022).

It is important to note that NCDs have a multifactorial origin, with risk factors ranging from psychosocial stress, environmental insults, and genetic predisposition, to behavioral and cultural practices (Roberts et al. 2009; Sharma et al. 2020). In Juba, South Sudan, approximately 50% of conflict-exposed individuals met the criteria for depression (Roberts et al. 2009). Similar findings have been reported in other conflict-affected areas, such as Ukraine, where there is an increase in blood pressure, consumption of alcohol and cigarettes, and increased incidence of coronary heart disease and endocrine diseases, including DM (Greene-Cramer et al. 2020).

As the conflict-affected region of northeastern Nigeria undergoes recovery and rebuilding, examining the emerging burden of NCDs and adopting unhealthy coping mechanisms to develop and implement tailored solutions is crucial.

To our knowledge, no comprehensive study has been conducted on the pattern of NCDs and their behavioral risk factors during the post-conflict and rebuilding phases of Northeastern Nigeria. Therefore, the objective of this study was to explore the prevalence of NCDs, including hypertension, diabetes, depression, and obesity, and their associated behavioral coping mechanisms in conflict-exposed populations in Northeastern Nigeria. The outcomes of this study may inform healthcare authorities of the patterns and burdens of NCDs in the northeastern region of Nigeria, which may facilitate the allocation of dwindling resources to aid holistic recovery in the face of harsh global economic indices.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1 Study areas and population

This study was conducted in two local government areas (counties), Mubi and Jada, both located in Adamawa State, northeastern Nigeria. Mubi, which lies in the northern part of Adamawa, was devastated by insurgency that started in 2014 and lasted for approximately seven years. Mubi is a metropolitan town that shares borders with Cameroon and is surrounded by suburban and rural areas. Its inhabitants include farmers, traders, civil servants, and herders.

Jada is located in the southern part of Adamawa State. It was largely isolated from the terrorist attacks and guerrilla warfare that plagued northeastern Nigeria. It is a suburban town surrounded by villages, and its inhabitants are largely farmers, herders, traders, and a small population of civil servants.

## 2.2 Study design, sample size, sampling

We conducted an unmatched cross-sectional study of inpatients and outpatients who visited the general outpatient clinics of general hospitals in Jada and Mubi over four months, from December 2022 to March 2023. These facilities do not have specialty clinics. To sample patients, we used a combination of simple random sampling and convenience sampling. Participants were selected as they presented to the clinics and were randomly chosen from those admitted to the wards. We selected patients aged between 18 and 100 years and excluded pregnant women, women in puerperium, and individuals with impaired cognition. In addition, we excluded patients judged as frail or non-ambulatory. Data were collected using questionnaires administered by trained data collectors.

We calculated the sample size for this study using Fischer's formula  $n = \frac{Z^2 p(1-p)}{d^2}$  at a 95% confidence level based on the 38.4% prevalence (p) of hypertension reported



in a similar study conducted in Ukraine(Greene-Cramer et al. 2020),  $d$  is the absolute desired precision at 5%. The calculation was performed at a 0.05 degree of accuracy, and the results indicated a sample size of 363. Although the required sample size for hypertension, with an estimated prevalence of 30.6%(Adeloye et al. 2021), was 327 participants, the sample size for diabetes mellitus, with a lower prevalence of 5.8%(Adeloye et al. 2021), was calculated to be 85 participants. For depression, with a prevalence of 3.9%(Gbadamosi et al. 2022), a sample size of about 58 participants was required, and for obesity, which has a prevalence of 39%(Bashir et al. 2022), approximately 367 participants were necessary. However, to increase the power of the study and ensure sufficient representation for all non-communicable diseases (NCDs) being investigated, our final sample size was set at 463 participants, with an equal number of participants recruited from both Jada and Mubi.

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the National Health Research Ethics Committee, Federal Ministry of Health, Abuja, Nigeria (approval number: NHREC/01/01/2007-03/05/2023). All the participants provided written informed consent prior to participation in the study.

### **2.3 Study procedure**

A structured questionnaire, divided into sociodemographic, NCD risk profile, and anthropometric sections, was administered by trained data collectors using a secure software platform. Participants presenting to the clinics in both study locations were randomly selected as they visited the hospital for healthcare at the general outpatient clinic. They are approached and informed about the research. Their informed consents were obtained. The questionnaires were then administered by the trained data

enumerators in the consulting room under confidentiality. The data enumerators were trained on how to interpret and administer the content of the questionnaire in the local dialect and accurately measure the hip and waist circumferences. Blood pressure, blood sugar level, and waist and hip circumferences were measured using the above-mentioned standard procedures. Data on sociodemographic characteristics, including sex, marital status, occupation, and educational history, were obtained. In addition, data on personal and family histories of NCDs were obtained.

## 2.4 Study covariates and measurements

**Hypertension** was defined using the World Health Organization (WHO) cutoff, which is a blood pressure of  $\geq 140/90$  mmHg obtained in two separate measurements performed using standardized and validated procedures 30 minutes apart after resting for at least 5 minutes or self-reported use of anti-hypertension medications (Gabb et al. 2016; Rodgers et al. 2017; World Health Organization 2021).

**Diabetes** was defined using the American Diabetes Association criteria, which is new-onset diabetes (no history of diabetes) with a fasting plasma glucose level [FPG] of  $\geq 7.0$  mmol/L or a random blood glucose level of  $\geq 11.1$  mmol/L in addition to the presence of osmotic symptoms (Association 2017; Zhang et al. 2022). Prediabetes was defined as an FPG of 5.6–6.9 mmol/L. Capillary blood samples were used for the measurement of blood glucose level.

**Waist circumference** was measured using a flexible tape wrapped around the body at the midpoint between the ribs and the iliac crest after exhalation (Okeahialam et al. 2012).

Central obesity was defined using adult waist-hip ratio (WHR) (male WHR,  $\geq 0.90$ ; female WHR,  $\geq 0.85$ ) (Bashir et al. 2022).

**Hip circumference** was measured using a flexible tape wrapped around the body at the point where the buttocks extend the most when viewed from the side (Okeahialam et al. 2012).

**Fruit and vegetable intake** was determined based on the number of servings typically consumed daily. Five or more servings (at least 400g) are considered sufficient and fewer than five servings were considered insufficient (World Health Organization 2013).

**Physical inactivity** was defined as failure to meet the WHO recommendations regarding physical activity for health, which is defined as engaging in at least 150 minutes of moderate-intensity activity per week or 75 minutes of vigorous-intensity activity per week, by engaging in a combination of walking and moderate- or vigorous-intensity activities (Bull et al. 2020).

**Salt consumption** estimates were obtained using the WHO steps instrument (World Health Organization 2008). Click or tap here to enter text..

**Depression** was assessed using the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-2), which is a depression screening tool. The scores of the PHQ-2 range from 0-6 points, and a score  $\geq 3$  suggests the presence of depression. We classified the participants as having depression or no depression based on a cut-off of 3 points (Maurer 2012).

**Socioeconomic status** was categorized using the revised Kuppuswamy socioeconomic status class classification (Wani 2019). It considers three key parameters: education of the head of the family, occupation and monthly income. Each parameter is assigned a score,

and the range of the total score (1-29) determines the family's socioeconomic class (upper:26-29, upper middle:16-25, middle: 11-15, lower middle: 5-10, or lower class:<5).

## 2.5 Statistical analysis

We performed multivariable binary logistic regression (MBLR) to model the outcomes of each dependent variable (non-communicable diseases, abdominal obesity, and hypertension) as a function of the independent variables (location and impact of insurgency attacks), while adjusting for the covariates (patient background characteristics such as age, sex, marital status, educational level, occupation type, socioeconomic status, health insurance, smoking status, snuffing status, alcohol consumption, daily salt intake, regular physical exercise, family history of hypertension, and family history of diabetes).

Given the low number of cases of depression and diabetes in this study, a multivariate complementary log-log (MClog-log) regression model was used to model the outcomes of patients with depression and diabetes, while adjusting for other covariates. MClog-log is an acceptable alternative to binary logistic regression when the probability of an event is relatively small or large; that is when the outcome is extremely skewed. The regression coefficients from both the MBLR and MClog-log models are presented as adjusted odds ratios (aOR) and adjusted incidence rate ratios (IRR), respectively, along with their 95% confidence intervals. Statistical significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ . The analyses were performed using Stata Version 13.0.

## 3. Results

A total of 973 participants were included in this study. The demographic characteristics and health indicators of the participants from conflict-exposed Mubi

compared with those of the participants from Jada (comparison) are presented in Table 1. The proportion of the study cohort from Mubi (conflict-exposed) (52.93%) was slightly higher than that from Jada (comparison) (47.07%). The average age of the participants was 41.04 years. The average age of the participants from Jada (comparison) was slightly older than that of those from Mubi ( $p = 0.020$ ). The sex distribution of the study population was balanced overall, with no statistically significant difference between Mubi (conflict-exposed) and Jada (comparison) ( $p = 0.510$ ). There were no disparities in marital status between Mubi and Jada; however, the percentage of divorced individuals in Jada (comparison) (3.06%) was higher than that in Mubi. There were significant disparities in educational attainment and occupation between participants from Mubi and those from Jada ( $p < 0.001$ ). Socioeconomic status varied significantly between the two locations, with a higher proportion of upper-income individuals in Jada (comparison) and more low-income individuals in Mubi. Abdominal obesity was more prevalent in Jada (comparison) (58.95%) than in Mubi (31.07%). Although there was no significant difference in blood pressure distribution between the participants from Mubi and those from Jada, there was a significant difference in the prevalence of depression in both regions ( $p < 0.001$ ), with the prevalence in Mubi (conflict-exposed) being higher than that in Jada. There was no difference in glycemic status between the two regions ( $p = 0.502$ ). However, there was a significant difference in healthcare insurance coverage between the two regions ( $p < 0.001$ ), the percentage of individuals without insurance in Mubi (conflict-exposed) is higher than that in Jada, suggesting potential barriers to healthcare access in conflict-exposed regions. There are more civil servants in Mubi than there are Jada (27.82% vs 9.83%) (table 1).

272

**Table 1. Characteristics of participants included in the study**

Variable	N	%	Location		P value*
			Mubi (conflict- exposed)	Jada (comparison)	
Overall	973	100	515(52.93)	458(47.07)	
Age (SD)	41.04(15.74)		42.15(15.57)	39.79(15.84)	0.020
Age group					
18-37	451	46.35	228(44.27)	223(48.69)	
38-57	364	37.41	205(39.81)	159(34.72)	0.028*
58-77	139	14.29	67(13.01)	72(15.72)	
78-98	19	1.95	15(2.91)	4(0.87)	
<b>Sex</b>					0.510
Female	516	53.03	268(52.04)	248(54.15)	
Male	457	46.97	247(47.96)	210(45.85)	
<b>Marital status</b>					0.091
<b>Divorce</b>	20	2.06	6(1.17)	14(3.06)	
Married	708	72.76	389(75.53)	319(69.65)	
<b>Separated</b>	1	0.1	1(0.19)	0	
Single	185	19.01	91(17.67)	94(20.52)	
Widowed	59	6.06	28(5.44)	31(6.77)	
<b>Highest level of education</b>					<0.001*
No formal education	343	35.25	110(21.36)	233(50.87)	
Primary	105	10.79	76(14.76)	29(6.33)	
Secondary	238	24.46	137(26.6)	101(22.05)	
Tertiary	287	29.5	192(37.28)	95(20.74)	
<b>Occupation</b>					<0.001*
Artisan	53	5.45	38(7.39)	15(3.28)	
Civil servant	188	19.34	143(27.82)	45(9.83)	
Farmer	188	19.34	90(17.51)	98(21.40)	
Full-time housewife	89	9.16	33(6.42)	56(12.23)	
Herder	21	2.16	1(0.19)	20(4.37)	
Students	60	6.17	35(6.81)	25(5.46)	
Trader/Business	270	27.78	135(26.26)	135(29.48)	
Others	103	10.61	39(7.58)	64(13.98)	
<b>Socioeconomic status</b>					<0.001*
Low income	735	75.54	346(67.18)	389(84.93)	
Middle income	223	22.92	160(31.07)	63(13.76)	

Upper income	15	1.54	9(1.75)	6(1.31)	
<b>Abdominal obesity</b>					<0.001*
Normal	543	55.81	355(68.93)	188(41.05)	
Obesity	430	44.19	160(31.07)	270(58.95)	
<b>Blood pressure</b>					0.092
Hypertensive	223	22.92	107(20.78)	116(25.33)	
Normal	750	77.08	408(79.22)	342(74.67)	
<b>Depression</b>					<0.001*
Negative	796	82.06	360(70.31)	436(95.20)	
Positive	174	17.94	152(29.69)	22(4.80)	
<b>Glycemic status</b>					0.502
Diabetic blood sugar level	49	5.04	28(5.44)	21(4.59)	
Impaired fasting glycemic	38	3.91	17(3.30)	21(4.59)	
Normal blood sugar level	886	91.06	470(91.26)	416(90.83)	
<b>Healthcare insurance</b>					<0.001*
No	915	94.04	469(91.07)	446(97.38)	
Yes	58	5.96	46(8.93)	12(2.62)	
* Calculated using the chi-square test. p value <0.05 is significant.					

273

274           Table 2 shows the prevalence of chronic conditions in the study population. The  
275 prevalence of hypertension, diabetes, abdominal obesity, and depression in the study  
276 population was 22.92%, 5.04%, 44.19%, 17.94%, respectively. The participants in  
277 conflict-exposed Mubi exhibited a lower prevalence of hypertension (20.78%) and  
278 abdominal obesity (31.07%) and a higher prevalence of depression (29.69%) than their  
279 counterparts in Jada (comparison) (25.33% and 58.95%, respectively). Females showed  
280 higher rates of hypertension (24.81%), abdominal obesity (52.71%), and depression  
281 (18.64%) than males. However, males showed a higher prevalence of diabetes (6.13%)  
282 than the females. The prevalence of various conditions was notably higher among  
283 divorced and widowed individuals than among the married participants. Lifestyle factors  
284 such as smoking, sedentary behavior, high salt intake, alcohol consumption, and non-

285 compliance with the WHO dietary recommendations also indicate varying prevalence  
 286 rates for different chronic conditions. Family history also plays a role, indicating potential  
 287 genetic predispositions.

288 **Table 2: Prevalence (n [%]) of chronic conditions categorized according to**  
 289 **sociodemographic characteristics**

Variables	Hypertension	Diabetes	Abdominal obesity	Depression
<b>Overall</b>	223(22.92)	49(5.04)	430(44.19)	174(17.94)
<b>Location</b>				
Mubi	107(20.78)	28(5.44)	160(31.07)	152(29.69)
Jada (control)	116(25.33)	21(4.59)	270(58.95)	22(4.80)
<b>Sex</b>				
Female	128(24.81)	21(4.07)	272(52.71)	96(18.64)
Male	95(20.79)	28(6.13)	158(34.57)	78(17.14)
<b>Marital status</b>				
Divorced	6(30.00)	2(10.00)	14(70.00)	2(10.00)
Married	176(24.86)	43(6.07)	316(44.63)	138(19.55)
Single	13(7.03)	1(0.54)	62(33.51)	22(11.96)
Widowed	28(47.46)	3(5.08)	38(64.41)	12(20.34)
<b>Smoking</b>				
No	218(23.09)	47(4.98)	421(44.60)	168(17.85)
Yes	5(17.24)	2(6.90)	9(31.03)	6(20.69)
<b>Sedentary lifestyle (physical exercise in line with WHO recommendations)</b>				
No	134(25.77)	25(4.81)	240(46.15)	81(15.61)
Yes	89(19.65)	24(5.30)	190(41.94)	93(20.62)
<b>Daily salt intake</b>				
<5g/day	111(22.33)	24(4.83)	229(46.08)	65(13.10)
>5g/day	112(23.53)	25(5.25)	201(42.23)	109(23.00)
<b>Alcohol consumption</b>				
No	202(22.30)	47(5.19)	397(43.82)	168(18.60)
Yes	21(31.34)	2(2.99)	33(49.25)	6(8.96)
<b>Intake of fruits and vegetables (taking up to five fruit servings daily as recommended by the WHO)</b>				
No	218(22.88)	49(5.14)	424(44.49)	174(18.32)
Yes	5(25.00)	0	6(30.00)	0
<b>Family history of hypertension</b>				



No	165(19.88)	31(3.73)	363(43.73)	142(17.17)
Yes	58(40.56)	18(12.59)	67(46.85)	32(22.38)
<b>Family history of diabetes</b>				
No	200(22.35)	36(4.02)	395(44.13)	153(17.15)
Yes	23(29.49)	13(16.67)	35(44.87)	21(26.92)

The results of the multivariable logistic regression analysis of the effects of explanatory variables on NCDs, adjusted for risk factors, are shown in Table 3. The participants from the conflict-exposed Mubi exhibited significantly lower odds of abdominal obesity than their counterparts in Jada (comparison) (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] = 0.18; 95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.11–0.28). However, the participants from Mubi showed a higher risk of depression than those from Jada (adjusted incidence risk ratio [IRR] = 4.78; 95% CI = 2.51–9.12). Conversely, the participants in the study showed significantly higher odds of having abdominal obesity (aOR = 1.94; 95% CI = 1.51 – 3.08), along with an elevated risk of depression (IRR = 1.76; 95% CI = 1.08 – 2.88).

Age was found to be a significant predictor across all the four non-communicable diseases (NCDs) studied. Participants aged 38-57 had more than twice the odds of having abdominal obesity (aOR = 2.08, 95% CI = 1.46–2.96), nearly double the odds of developing hypertension (aOR = 1.85, 95% CI = 1.21–2.83), and more than twice the risk of developing depression (IRR = 2.46, 95% CI = 1.60–3.79) compared to those aged 18-37. The oldest age group (78-100 years) exhibited significantly higher odds of hypertension (aOR = 4.39, 95% CI = 1.64–11.77) and an increased risk of diabetes (IRR = 4.43, 95% CI = 0.43–48.25). Additionally, sex-specific differences were observed, with males having lower odds of abdominal obesity than females (aOR = 0.41, 95% CI = 0.29–0.59). Educational level was a significant predictor of hypertension,

where participants with tertiary education had lower odds of hypertension (aOR = 0.43, 95% CI = 0.23–0.78) compared to those with no formal education. Socioeconomic status also played a role in hypertension, with participants in the upper income level having more than four times the odds of developing hypertension compared to those in lower income levels (aOR = 4.36, 95% CI = 1.13–16.80). Family histories of hypertension and diabetes were significant predictors of diabetes and hypertension, respectively. Family history was associated with higher odds of developing hypertension (aOR = 3.12, 95% CI = 1.82–4.88] and an increased risk of diabetes (IRR = 2.96, 95% CI = 1.39–6.31]). Additionally, participants with a family history of diabetes had a higher risk of developing diabetes (IRR = 4.31, 95% CI = 1.92–9.67]).

In general, the model diagnostics indicate that the four regression models significantly improve prediction compared to an empty model, with all p-values <0.001. The goodness-of-fit test shows that the abdominal obesity model fits the data well (p = 0.229), while the Hypertension model reveals some issues with the fit (p = 0.042), suggesting that the predicted probabilities do not perfectly match the observed outcomes. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) for abdominal obesity (0.739) and hypertension (0.752) suggests that both models have acceptable discriminatory power, effectively distinguishing between individuals with and without the outcomes. Overall, while the models generally perform well, the hypertension fit could be improved.

329 Table 3. Multivariable logistic regression analysis of the effects of on explanatory variables on NCDs

Variables	Abdominal obesity		Hypertension		Depression		Diabetes	
	aOR	95% CI	aOR	95% CI	IRR	95% CI	IRR	95% CI
<b>Explanatory variables</b>								
<b>Location</b>								
Jad (comparison)	1		1		1		1	
Mubi (conflict-exposed)	0.18***	[0.11,0.28]	0.82	[0.50,1.34]	4.78***	[2.51,9.12]	2.00	[0.82,4.86]
<b>Affected by insurgency</b>								
No	1		1		1		1	
Yes	1.94**	[1.23,3.08]	1.03	[0.63,1.68]	1.76*	[1.08,2.88]	0.33	[0.13,0.80]
<b>Control variables</b>								
<b>Age</b>								
18-37	1		1					
38-57	2.08***	[1.46,2.96]	1.85**	[1.21,2.83]	2.46***	[1.60,3.79]	5.71**	[2.01,16.23]
58-77	1.87*	[1.12,3.14]	2.63***	[1.52,4.55]	1.70	[0.96,3.02]	11.92***	[3.27,43.42]
78-98	1.04	[0.36,2.98]	4.39**	[1.64,11.77]	0.38	[0.05,2.68]	4.56	[0.43,48.25]
<b>Sex</b>								
Female	1		1		1		1	
Male	0.41***	[0.29,0.59]	1.06	[0.71,1.58]	0.80	[0.56,1.14]	1.80	[0.88,3.66]
<b>Marital status</b>								
Divorce	1		1		1		1	
Married	0.52	[0.21,1.30]	0.74	[0.27,2.02]	0.94	[0.26,3.37]	0.50	[0.09,2.74]
Single	0.60	[0.23,1.58]	0.40	[0.12,1.29]	1.02	[0.26,4.10]	0.14	[0.01,2.48]
Widowed	0.59	[0.20,1.76]	1.32	[0.42,4.19]	0.92	[0.23,3.67]	0.24	[0.03,1.71]
<b>Education level</b>								

No formal education	1		1		1		1	
Primary school	1.07	[0.65,1.76]	0.92	[0.53,1.60]	0.93	[0.56,1.54]	0.90	[0.35,2.32]
Secondary	0.75	[0.50,1.14]	0.63	[0.39,1.00]	0.90	[0.56,1.45]	1.75	[0.75,4.06]
Tertiary	0.65	[0.41,1.03]	0.43**	[0.23,0.78]	1.23	[0.75,2.04]	1.20	[0.46,3.17]
<b>Occupation</b>								
Artisan	1		1		1		1	
Civil Servant	1.42	[0.63,3.20]	1.72	[0.66,4.48]	0.94	[0.41,2.14]	2.25	[0.79,6.47]
Farmer	0.93	[0.43,2.02]	1.39	[0.57,3.39]	1.60	[0.75,3.38]	1.06	[0.37,3.00]
Full time housewife	0.87	[0.37,2.04]	1.79	[0.68,4.71]	1.40	[0.55,3.55]	3.22	[0.93,11.17]
Herder	0.72	[0.23,2.31]	1.45	[0.35,5.93]	1		1.61	[0.17,15.73]
Other	0.89	[0.38,2.07]	1.24	[0.47,3.27]	1.88	[0.80,4.41]	1.88	[0.61,5.81]
Student	0.51	[0.19,1.40]	0.5	[0.08,2.96]	0.71	[0.23,2.22]	1	
Trader/Business	1.25	[0.59,2.63]	1.26	[0.52,3.07]	1.64	[0.78,3.42]	1	
<b>Socioeconomic status</b>								
Low income	1		1		1		1	
Middle income	0.82	[0.54,1.23]	0.88	[0.54,1.43]	0.95	[0.62,1.47]	0.54	[0.26,1.13]
Upper income	2.01	[0.65,6.26]	4.36*	[1.13,16.80]	2.41	[0.92,6.36]	2.73	[0.34,22.19]
<b>Health insurance</b>								
No	1		1		1		1	
Yes	0.88	[0.45,1.70]	0.96	[0.47,1.97]	1.12	[0.58,2.17]	0.72	[0.24,2.14]
<b>Smoking status</b>								
No	1		1		1		1	
Yes	0.51	[0.22,1.18]	0.51	[0.14,1.85]	1.67	[0.76,3.71]	0.82	[0.12,5.66]
<b>Snuff usage</b>								
No	1		1		1		1	
Yes	1.61	[0.65,3.98]	0.19	[0.02,1.66]	3.13*	[1.31,7.49]	1.46	[0.31,6.79]
<b>Alcohol consumption</b>								

	No	1		1		1		1	
	Yes	1.12	[0.63,1.99]	1.66	[0.89,3.12]	0.50	[0.23,1.09]	0.26	[0.02,2.84]
Daily fruit intake									
	No	1		1		1		1	
	Yes	0.75	[0.24,2.31]	1.26	[0.38,4.13]	1		1	
Daily salt intake									
	<5g/day	1		1		1		1	
	>5g/day	1.05	[0.79,1.40]	1.16	[0.83,1.63]	1.40	[0.99,1.97]	1.25	[0.68,2.30]
Regular physical exercise									
	No	1		1		1		1	
	Yes	1.19	[0.88,1.62]	0.90	[0.62,1.32]	1.16	[0.82,1.63]	1.65	[0.81,3.33]
CAP F=family history of hypertension									
	No	1		1		1		1	
	Yes	1.07	[0.70,1.63]	3.12***	[2.00,4.88]	1.3	[0.81,2.09]	2.96**	[1.39,6.31]
CAP F=family history of diabetes									
	No	1		1		1		1	
	Yes	1.04	[0.60,1.79]	0.95	[0.52,1.73]	1.04	[0.59,1.84]	4.31***	[1.92,9.67]
Model diagnostics									
Number of observations		972		972		929		807	
Model vs. empty model (Wald or LR)		F(30, 972) = 143.74; p < 0.001		F(30, 972) = 110.77; p < 0.001		F(28, 929) = 158.06; p < 0.001		F(27, 807) = 102.47; p < 0.001	
Goodness-of-fit test		Hosmer-Lemeshow: F(8, 972) = 10.54; p = 0.229		Hosmer-Lemeshow: F(8, 972) = 16.02; p = 0.042					

---

Area under ROC curve	0.739	0.752
* p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001		

---

## 4. Discussion

This research conducted in the conflict-exposed Northeastern Nigeria outlines the distinct differences in several key indicators between two locales in the region: Mubi, a conflict-affected area, and Jada, a territory that was largely shielded from the insurgency that plagued the region. One of the most prominent differences in the health indicators for NCDs was the five-fold higher risk of depression among the residents of the conflict-exposed Mubi than among those in Jada.

About five years post-conflict exposure in Plateau State, northcentral Nigeria, the prevalence of depression amongst affected persons was found to be 56.3% (Davou et al. 2018). This is in consonant with the findings of this study. While the conflict-exposed population of Mubi recorded a 30% prevalence of depression in the post-conflict phase, the national prevalence of depression in Nigeria is 3.8% (Gbadamosi et al. 2022). Post-conflict depression represents the most consistent mental health complication of conflict (Anbesaw et al. 2024). The prevalence of depression in the post-conflict population of the Syrian refugees, Nepalese, Mogadishu-Somalia, Ethiopian and Uganda were 59.4%, 27.4%, 59%, 38.3% and 67%, respectively (Anbesaw et al. 2024). While a pre-established diagnosis of clinical depression increases the risk of consequent type 2 DM by 60%, a pre-existing diagnosis of Type 2 DM poses a 15% risk of depression in the long run (de Groot 2023). This implicates the potential impact of conflicts in the etiology of depression in the aftermath of conflict.

Ultimately, a bidirectional relationship exists between diabetes and depression. Diabetes increases the risk of diabetes and vice versa (Berge & Riise 2015). Findings from Bădescu et al. (Bădescu et al. 2016), reported that the prevalence of depression

among individuals with diabetes mellitus is two to three times higher than that among people without diabetes. Chronic stress is a common denominator for both of them (Bădescu et al. 2016). Similarly, depression increases the risk of diabetes by 37% (Knol et al. 2006). However, this study did not find a statistically significant difference in the prevalences of DM in the two studied communities despite a markedly elevated prevalence of depression of 30% in Mubi as against 5 % in Jada. In addition, the prevalences of DM in both communities were about the same (Mubi 5.44% vs Jada 5% vs national 5.7%), while the national pooled prevalence of DM in Nigeria. This is consistent with a recent study that reported a prevalence of diabetes ranging from 1.6% to 4.6% in the same region (Stephen et al. 2024). By implication, an Effective mental health support program in this recovery phase from armed-conflict exposed northeastern Nigeria will, in the long run, reduce the burden of DM and its attendant complications, which include diabetic kidney disease, heart attack and stroke, which are all NCDs. Furthermore, this study has also shown that DM is also associated with advancing age as reflected in the middle and elderly age brackets in Mubi. This aligns with the findings in a Chinese study (Bai et al. 2021), which revealed that the prevalence of type 2 DM increases with age, underscoring the multifactorial origin of NCDs (Syed et al. 2019).

Of note in this study is that hypertension is associated with increasing age, family of hypertension, tertiary education, high socioeconomic status, being widowed and diabetes, which further portrays the multifactorial origin of NCDs (Alsaadon et al. 2022). Exposure to conflict bears no statistically significant relationship with hypertension in this study. This is contrary to the findings in South Sudan, which showed that the risk of hypertension increased by 50% in its conflict-exposed population (Narayan et al. 2010).



Epidemiologically, hypertension tends to coexist with DM (Alsaadon et al. 2022). This coexistence is known to be mediated by several pathophysiologic mechanisms. Chronic inflammation, insulin resistance, oxidative stress, and vascular dysfunction have been implicated as some of the fundamental pathogenesis common to both (Sharma et al. 2020). Background family history of diabetes and hypertension is also associated with the eventual diagnosis of these diseases in their first-degree relatives, as reflected in this study (Moke et al. 2023). IDPs have increased physical inactivity (Akinrolie et al. 2022), and physical inactivity increases the burden of depression.

In this study, a comparatively Higher prevalence of abdominal obesity is seen in Jada when compared to Mubi. Population-based studies have shown that obesity leads to depression and verse vasa (Steptoe & Frank 2023). Conversely, findings from this study did not reflect a corresponding increase in the burden of DM in Jada, which has a higher prevalence of obesity. However, the prevalence of abdominal obesity in both communities surpasses the pooled national prevalence of obesity in Nigeria). Obesity is increasingly being implicated as a risk factor for so many diseases, including diabetes (Ramalan et al. 2023), hypertension, breast cancer, myocardial infarction and stroke (Kinlen et al. 2017). Hence, the local primary health centres in Jada should intensify public health interventions such as health education on the need to adopt lifestyle behavioral modifications such as maintaining a healthy weight and exercise.

In this study, there are more people of low socioeconomic status in Mubi than in Jada (67.18% vs 84.93%). Generally, prolonged violent conflicts impoverish communities and reduce both personal income and commonwealth. A study showed that northeastern Nigeria has been severely impacted economically by the insurgency that plagued the

region alongside the perennial farmers-herders clashes, which saw many businesses destroyed(Odozi & Uwaifo Oyelere 2019). Low socioeconomic status is a risk factor for both T2DM and hypertension(Blok et al. 2022; Hill-Briggs et al. 2021). Consequently, economic devastation occasioned by armed conflict increases the burden of NCDs on the population, hence the need for social safety nets to stem the tide of rising NCDs, by economically empowering the locals through the provision of capital, facilities and skill acquisition support.

The alarming indices for diabetes and hypertension in the elderly population, combined with the neglected state of geriatric healthcare in Nigeria, highlight the pressing need for comprehensive healthcare strategies for the aging population.

Health care Insurance coverage is abysmally low in both communities, which implies a potential barrier to healthcare access, especially mental health care. Lifestyle, including nutrition and exercise, is one of the most important modifiable risk factors for T2D. Both under and overnutrition with lack of exercise increase the risk of T2D.

#### 4.1 Limitations

The present study was constrained by its hospital-based design, which predominantly involved recruiting individuals from relatively cosmopolitan and suburban locales with access to healthcare facilities. Moreover, we excluded individuals who were frail or bedridden upon admission, potentially overlooking cases of NCDs prevalent within this demographic. In addition, this study did not include common cancers which are increasingly constituting some significant proportions of NCDs. Under the Nigerian healthcare structure, the diagnosis and management of cancer are beyond the confines and jurisdiction of general hospitals. Furthermore, cancer diagnosis requires more

expertise, funding and technicalities, which are not available in general hospitals where this study was conducted. They basically provide primary care healthcare and some aspects of secondary healthcare services.

Despite these limitations, this study has several strengths, mainly its novelty. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first conducted to comparatively analyze the impact of conflict on the prevalence of NCDs in Northeastern Nigeria during the post-conflict recovery and rebuilding phases. Moreover, the inclusion of both inpatients and outpatients contributed to the representativeness of the study population, thereby enhancing the generalizability of the study findings to a certain degree.

## 5. Conclusion

This study sheds light on the significant disparities in key health indicators between Mubi, a conflict-affected area, and Jada, a more stable environment. The prevalence of depression in Mubi is notably higher than that in Jada, emphasizing the urgent need for mental health support in conflict-affected regions. Limited access to PSS for those with diabetes in Adamawa State further underscores the challenges faced by individuals with NCDs in the region. Lifestyle factors, such as nutrition and exercise, are crucial modifiable risk factors for T2D. This highlights the need for awareness campaigns on healthier dietary practices. Governmental agencies and the private sector are imperative for addressing the rising burden of NCDs in Nigeria and worldwide. This research underscores the urgent need for targeted interventions, policy changes, and increased resources to combat the growing challenge of NCDs, especially depression, in conflict-affected regions.

446

447

# References

- Adeloye D, Owolabi EO, Ojji DB, Auta A, Dewan MT, Olanrewaju TO, Ogah OS, Omoyele C, Ezeigwe N, and Mpazanje RG. 2021. Prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in Nigeria in 1995 and 2020: A systematic analysis of current evidence. *The Journal of clinical hypertension* 23:963-977.
- Akinrolie O, Masta AM, Jasper US, Fawole HO, Onyeso OK, Akinrolie O, and Jumbo US. 2022. Physical inactivity among internally displaced persons in Nigeria. *Journal of migration and health* 6:100140.
- Allen L, Williams J, Townsend N, Mikkelsen B, Roberts N, Foster C, and Wickramasinghe K. 2017. Socioeconomic status and non-communicable disease behavioural risk factors in low-income and lower-middle-income countries: a systematic review. *The Lancet Global Health* 5:e277-e289.
- Alsaadon H, Afroz A, Karim A, Habib SH, Alramadan MJ, Billah B, and Shetty AN. 2022. Hypertension and its related factors among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus—a multi-hospital study in Bangladesh. *BMC Public Health* 22:198.
- Anbesaw T, Kassa MA, Yimam W, Kassaw AB, Belete M, Abera A, Abebe G, Yimer N, Melkam M, and Ayano G. 2024. Factors associated with depression among war-affected population in Northeast, Ethiopia. *BMC psychiatry* 24:376. 10.1186/s12888-024-05812-1
- Association AD. 2017. 2. Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2018. *Diabetes Care* 41:S13-S27. 10.2337/dc18-S002
- Avan A, Digaleh H, Di Napoli M, Stranges S, Behrouz R, Shojaeianbabaei G, Amiri A, Tabrizi R, Mokhber N, and Spence JD. 2019. Socioeconomic status and stroke incidence, prevalence, mortality, and worldwide burden: an ecological analysis from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *BMC medicine* 17:1-30.
- Bădescu S, Tătaru C, Kobylinska L, Georgescu E, Zahiu D, Zăgrean A, and Zăgrean L. 2016. The association between diabetes mellitus and depression. *Journal of medicine and life* 9:120.
- Bai A, Tao J, Tao L, and Liu J. 2021. Prevalence and risk factors of diabetes among adults aged 45 years or older in China: A national cross-sectional study. *Endocrinology, diabetes & metabolism* 4:e00265.
- Bashir M, Yahaya A, Muhammad M, Yusuf A, and Mukhtar I. 2022. Prevalence of central obesity in Nigeria: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Public Health* 206:87-93.
- Berge LI, and Riise T. 2015. Comorbidity between type 2 diabetes and depression in the adult population: directions of the association and its possible pathophysiological mechanisms. *International journal of endocrinology* 2015:164760.
- Blok S, Haggenburg S, Collard D, Van Der Linden EL, Galenkamp H, Moll van Charante EP, Agyemang C, and Van Den Born B-JH. 2022. The association between socioeconomic status and prevalence, awareness, treatment and control of hypertension in different ethnic groups: the

- 494 Healthy Life in an Urban Setting study. *Journal of Hypertension* 40:897-  
495 907. 10.1097/hjh.0000000000003092
- 496 Brottem L. 2021. The growing complexity of farmer-herder conflict in West and  
497 Central Africa. *Africa Center for Strategic Studies United States of*  
498 *America*.
- 499 Bull FC, Al-Ansari SS, Biddle S, Borodulin K, Buman MP, Cardon G, Carty C,  
500 Chaput J-P, Chastin S, and Chou R. 2020. World Health Organization  
501 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. *British*  
502 *journal of sports medicine* 54:1451-1462.
- 503 Carrette S, Dong Di DD, and Hasumi T. 2018. Non-communicable diseases in  
504 fragile, conflict, and violence situations: five key questions to be answered.  
505 In: Group TWB, editor. Washington, USA: The World Bank Group.
- 506 Davou F, Armiyau A, Tungchama F, Maigari Y, Goar S, Audu M, Piwuna G, and  
507 Makput H. 2018. Psychiatric Morbidity among victims of violent ethno-  
508 religious conflicts in Barkin-Ladi, North Central Nigeria, five years after:  
509 prevalence and sociodemographic correlates. *Psychol Psychology Res Int*  
510 *J* 3:000168.
- 511 de Groot M. 2023. Diabetes and Depression: Strategies to Address a Common  
512 Comorbidity Within the Primary Care Context. *American Journal of*  
513 *Medicine Open* 9:100039.
- 514 Ekoh PC, Okoye UO, George EO, Chukwuemeka E, and Agbawodikeizu PU.  
515 2023. Resettlement of internally displaced persons (IDPs) in Nigeria: The  
516 housing problems facing IDPs in Abuja camps and the risk of  
517 homelessness and secondary displacement. *Journal of Social Distress and*  
518 *Homelessness* 32:263-271.
- 519 Gabb GM, Mangoni AA, Anderson CS, Cowley D, Dowden JS, Golledge J,  
520 Hankey GJ, Howes FS, Leckie L, Perkovic V, Schlaich M, Zwar NA,  
521 Medley TL, and Arnold L. 2016. Guideline for the diagnosis and  
522 management of hypertension in adults - 2016. *Med J Aust* 205:85-89.  
523 10.5694/mja16.00526
- 524 Garba D. 2018. Transnational Insurgency: Boko Haram and Regional Insecurity in  
525 Africa. *FUDMA Journal of politics and International Affairs*:82-98.
- 526 Gbadamosi IT, Henneh IT, Aluko OM, Yawson EO, Fokoua AR, Koomson A,  
527 Torbi J, Olorunnado SE, Lewu FS, and Yusha'u Y. 2022. Depression in  
528 sub-saharan Africa. *IBRO neuroscience reports* 12:309-322.
- 529 Greene-Cramer B, Summers A, Lopes-Cardozo B, Husain F, Couture A, and  
530 Bilukha O. 2020. Noncommunicable disease burden among conflict-  
531 affected adults in Ukraine: a cross-sectional study of prevalence, risk  
532 factors, and effect of conflict on severity of disease and access to care.  
533 *PLoS ONE* 15:e0231899.
- 534 Hill-Briggs F, Adler NE, Berkowitz SA, Chin MH, Gary-Webb TL, Navas-Acien A,  
535 Thornton PL, and Haire-Joshu D. 2021. Social determinants of health and  
536 diabetes: a scientific review. *Diabetes Care* 44:258.
- 537 Hosseinpoor AR, Bergen N, Kunst A, Harper S, Guthold R, Rekve D, d'Espaignet  
538 ET, Naidoo N, and Chatterji S. 2012. Socioeconomic inequalities in risk  
539 factors for non communicable diseases in low-income and middle-income

- countries: results from the World Health Survey. *BMC Public Health* 12:1-13.
- Internal displacement monitoring centre. 2024. Country Profile: Nigeria (accessed Assessed: 25/08/2024).
- Jawad M, Vamos EP, Najim M, Roberts B, and Millett C. 2019. Impact of armed conflict on cardiovascular disease risk: a systematic review. *Heart* 105:1388-1394.
- Kassy CW, Okeke CC, Ndu AC, and Umeobieri AK. 2022. Prevalence of Controlled Blood Pressure among Hypertensive Patients and Determinants of Hypertensive Complications in a Nigerian Population. *International Journal of Medicine and Health Development* 27:226-232.
- Kinlen D, Cody D, and O'Shea D. 2017. Complications of obesity. *QJM: An International Journal of Medicine* 111:437-443. 10.1093/qjmed/hcx152
- Knol MJ, Twisk JW, Beekman AT, Heine RJ, Snoek FJ, and Pouwer F. 2006. Depression as a risk factor for the onset of type 2 diabetes mellitus. A meta-analysis. *Diabetologia* 49:837-845.
- Maurer DM. 2012. Screening for depression. *American Family Physician* 85:139-144.
- Mezuk B, Eaton WW, Albrecht S, and Golden SH. 2008. Depression and type 2 diabetes over the lifespan: a meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care* 31:2383-2390.
- Moke E, Demaki W, Daubry T, Ataikiru O, Agbonifo-Chijiokwu E, Ofulue O, Ekuerhare B, Akpoyovwere O, Edje K, and Isibor N. 2023. Coexistence of hypertension with diabetes mellitus and its pharmacotherapy. *Scientia Africana* 22:135-154.
- Narayan KV, Ali MK, and Koplan JP. 2010. Global noncommunicable diseases—where worlds meet. *New England Journal of Medicine* 363:1196-1198.
- Odozi JC, and Uwaifo Oyelere R. 2019. Violent conflict exposure in Nigeria and Economic welfare.
- Ojiego-Okoro C, Umeh LC, and Nwodom DU. 2024. Boko Haram-Induced Forced Migration: Implications for Social and Economic Development in the Lake Chad Basin. *International Journal of Social Science and Human Research* 7.
- Okeahialam B, Ogbonna C, Otokwula A, Joseph D, Chuhwak E, and Isiguzoro I. 2012. Cardiovascular epidemiological transition in a rural habitat of Nigeria: the case of Mangu local government area. *West African journal of medicine* 31:14–18-14–18.
- Ramalan M, Gezawa I, Musa B, Uloko A, and Fakhraddeen Y. 2023. Prevalence and trends of adult overweight and obesity in Nigeria—A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice* 26:1-15.
- Roberts B, Damundu EY, Lomoro O, and Sondorp E. 2009. Post-conflict mental health needs: a cross-sectional survey of trauma, depression and associated factors in Juba, Southern Sudan. *BMC psychiatry* 9:1-10.
- Rodgers A, Chow CK, Jackson RT, Patel A, and Usherwood T. 2017. Guideline for the diagnosis and management of hypertension in adults—2016. *The Medical Journal of Australia* 206:141.

- Sharma A, Mittal S, Aggarwal R, and Chauhan MK. 2020. Diabetes and cardiovascular disease: inter-relation of risk factors and treatment. *Future Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences* 6:1-19.
- Stephen R, Tyndal J, Hsu V, Sun J, Umaru N, Olumoh J, Adegboye O, Owobi OU, and Brown TT. 2024. The prevalence of pre-diabetes and diabetes in people with and without past history of COVID-19 in northeastern Nigeria. *BMC Public Health*. 10.1186/s12889-024-19854-3
- Steptoe A, and Frank P. 2023. Obesity and psychological distress. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* 378:20220225.
- Syed MA, Alnuaimi AS, Zainel AJ, and AA H. 2019. Prevalence of non-communicable diseases by age, gender and nationality in publicly funded primary care settings in Qatar. *BMJ nutrition, prevention & health* 2:20.
- Tafida A, Tukur A, Adebayo E, Ndaghu A, Onu J, and Momodu J. 2023. Boko Haram insurgency and livelihood vulnerability of rural households in Northern Adamawa State, Nigeria. *Research in Globalization* 6:100116.
- Wani RT. 2019. Socioeconomic status scales-modified Kuppuswamy and Udai Pareekh's scale updated for 2019. *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care* 8:1846-1849. 10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc\_288\_19
- World Health Organization. 2008. WHO steps instrument question-by-question guide (core and expanded). *Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization*.
- World Health Organization. 2013. Global action plan for the prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases 2013-2020. Geneva: Switzerland: World Health Organization.
- World Health Organization. 2021. *Guideline for the pharmacological treatment of hypertension in adults*: World Health Organization.
- Zhang T, Mei Q, Zhang Z, Walline JH, Liu Y, Zhu H, and Zhang S. 2022. Risk for newly diagnosed diabetes after COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC medicine* 20:444.



# **Table 1**(on next page)

Tables

1 **Table 1. Characteristics of participants included in the study**

Variable	N	%	Location		P value*
			Mubi (conflict- exposed)	Jada (comparison)	
Overall	973	100	515(52.93)	458(47.07)	
Age (SD)	41.04(15.74)		42.15(15.57)	39.79(15.84)	0.020
Age group					
18-37	451	46.35	228(44.27)	223(48.69)	
38-57	364	37.41	205(39.81)	159(34.72)	0.028*
58-77	139	14.29	67(13.01)	72(15.72)	
78-98	19	1.95	15(2.91)	4(0.87)	
<b>Sex</b>					0.510
Female	516	53.03	268(52.04)	248(54.15)	
Male	457	46.97	247(47.96)	210(45.85)	
<b>Marital status</b>					0.091
Divorce	20	2.06	6(1.17)	14(3.06)	
Married	708	72.76	389(75.53)	319(69.65)	
Separated	1	0.1	1(0.19)	0	
Single	185	19.01	91(17.67)	94(20.52)	
Widowed	59	6.06	28(5.44)	31(6.77)	
<b>Highest level of education</b>					<0.001*
No formal education	343	35.25	110(21.36)	233(50.87)	
Primary	105	10.79	76(14.76)	29(6.33)	
Secondary	238	24.46	137(26.6)	101(22.05)	
Tertiary	287	29.5	192(37.28)	95(20.74)	
<b>Occupation</b>					<0.001*
Artisan	53	5.45	38(7.39)	15(3.28)	
Civil servant	188	19.34	143(27.82)	45(9.83)	
Farmer	188	19.34	90(17.51)	98(21.40)	
Full-time housewife	89	9.16	33(6.42)	56(12.23)	
Herder	21	2.16	1(0.19)	20(4.37)	
Students	60	6.17	35(6.81)	25(5.46)	
Trader/Business	270	27.78	135(26.26)	135(29.48)	
Others	103	10.61	39(7.58)	64(13.98)	
<b>Socioeconomic status</b>					<0.001*
Low income	735	75.54	346(67.18)	389(84.93)	
Middle income	223	22.92	160(31.07)	63(13.76)	

Upper income	15	1.54	9(1.75)	6(1.31)	
<b>Abdominal obesity</b>					<0.001*
Normal	543	55.81	355(68.93)	188(41.05)	
Obesity	430	44.19	160(31.07)	270(58.95)	
<b>Blood pressure</b>					0.092
Hypertensive	223	22.92	107(20.78)	116(25.33)	
Normal	750	77.08	408(79.22)	342(74.67)	
<b>Depression</b>					<0.001*
Negative	796	82.06	360(70.31)	436(95.20)	
Positive	174	17.94	152(29.69)	22(4.80)	
<b>Glycemic status</b>					0.502
Diabetic blood sugar level	49	5.04	28(5.44)	21(4.59)	
Impaired fasting glycemic	38	3.91	17(3.30)	21(4.59)	
Normal blood sugar level	886	91.06	470(91.26)	416(90.83)	
<b>Healthcare insurance</b>					<0.001*
No	915	94.04	469(91.07)	446(97.38)	
Yes	58	5.96	46(8.93)	12(2.62)	
* Calculated using the chi-square test. p value <0.05 is significant.					

2

3

4 **Table 2: Prevalence (n [%]) of chronic conditions categorized according to**  
5 **sociodemographic characteristics**

<b>Variables</b>	<b>Hypertension</b>	<b>Diabetes</b>	<b>Abdominal obesity</b>	<b>Depression</b>
<b>Overall</b>	223(22.92)	49(5.04)	430(44.19)	174(17.94)
<b>Location</b>				
Mubi	107(20.78)	28(5.44)	160(31.07)	152(29.69)
Jada (control)	116(25.33)	21(4.59)	270(58.95)	22(4.80)
<b>Sex</b>				
Female	128(24.81)	21(4.07)	272(52.71)	96(18.64)
Male	95(20.79)	28(6.13)	158(34.57)	78(17.14)
<b>Marital status</b>				
Divorced	6(30.00)	2(10.00)	14(70.00)	2(10.00)
Married	176(24.86)	43(6.07)	316(44.63)	138(19.55)
Single	13(7.03)	1(0.54)	62(33.51)	22(11.96)
Widowed	28(47.46)	3(5.08)	38(64.41)	12(20.34)
<b>Smoking</b>				
No	218(23.09)	47(4.98)	421(44.60)	168(17.85)
Yes	5(17.24)	2(6.90)	9(31.03)	6(20.69)
<b>Sedentary lifestyle (physical exercise in line with WHO recommendations)</b>				
No	134(25.77)	25(4.81)	240(46.15)	81(15.61)
Yes	89(19.65)	24(5.30)	190(41.94)	93(20.62)
<b>Daily salt intake</b>				
<5g/day	111(22.33)	24(4.83)	229(46.08)	65(13.10)
>5g/day	112(23.53)	25(5.25)	201(42.23)	109(23.00)
<b>Alcohol consumption</b>				
No	202(22.30)	47(5.19)	397(43.82)	168(18.60)
Yes	21(31.34)	2(2.99)	33(49.25)	6(8.96)
<b>Intake of fruits and vegetables (taking up to five fruit servings daily as recommended by the WHO)</b>				
No	218(22.88)	49(5.14)	424(44.49)	174(18.32)
Yes	5(25.00)	0	6(30.00)	0
<b>Family history of hypertension</b>				
No	165(19.88)	31(3.73)	363(43.73)	142(17.17)
Yes	58(40.56)	18(12.59)	67(46.85)	32(22.38)
<b>Family history of diabetes</b>				
No	200(22.35)	36(4.02)	395(44.13)	153(17.15)
Yes	23(29.49)	13(16.67)	35(44.87)	21(26.92)

7 Table 3. Multivariable logistic regression analysis of the effects of on explanatory variables on NCDs

Variables	Abdominal obesity		Hypertension		Depression		Diabetes	
	aOR	95% CI	aOR	95% CI	IRR	95% CI	IRR	95% CI
<b>Explanatory variables</b>								
<b>Location</b>								
Jada(comparison)	1		1		1		1	
Mubi (conflict-exposed)	0.18***	[0.11,0.28]	0.82	[0.50,1.34]	4.78***	[2.51,9.12]	2.00	[0.82,4.86]
<b>Affected by insurgency</b>								
No	1		1		1		1	
Yes	1.94**	[1.23,3.08]	1.03	[0.63,1.68]	1.76*	[1.08,2.88]	0.33	[0.13,0.80]
<b>Control variables</b>								
<b>Age</b>								
18-37	1		1					
38-57	2.08***	[1.46,2.96]	1.85**	[1.21,2.83]	2.46***	[1.60,3.79]	5.71**	[2.01,16.23]
58-77	1.87*	[1.12,3.14]	2.63***	[1.52,4.55]	1.70	[0.96,3.02]	11.92***	[3.27,43.42]
78-98	1.04	[0.36,2.98]	4.39**	[1.64,11.77]	0.38	[0.05,2.68]	4.56	[0.43,48.25]
<b>Sex</b>								
Female	1		1		1		1	
Male	0.41***	[0.29,0.59]	1.06	[0.71,1.58]	0.80	[0.56,1.14]	1.80	[0.88,3.66]
<b>Marital status</b>								
Divorce	1		1		1		1	
Married	0.52	[0.21,1.30]	0.74	[0.27,2.02]	0.94	[0.26,3.37]	0.50	[0.09,2.74]
Single	0.60	[0.23,1.58]	0.40	[0.12,1.29]	1.02	[0.26,4.10]	0.14	[0.01,2.48]
Widowed	0.59	[0.20,1.76]	1.32	[0.42,4.19]	0.92	[0.23,3.67]	0.24	[0.03,1.71]
<b>Education level</b>								

No formal education	1		1		1		1	
Primary school	1.07	[0.65,1.76]	0.92	[0.53,1.60]	0.93	[0.56,1.54]	0.90	[0.35,2.32]
Secondary	0.75	[0.50,1.14]	0.63	[0.39,1.00]	0.90	[0.56,1.45]	1.75	[0.75,4.06]
Tertiary	0.65	[0.41,1.03]	0.43**	[0.23,0.78]	1.23	[0.75,2.04]	1.20	[0.46,3.17]
<b>Occupation</b>								
Artisan	1		1		1		1	
Civil Servant	1.42	[0.63,3.20]	1.72	[0.66,4.48]	0.94	[0.41,2.14]	2.25	[0.79,6.47]
Farmer	0.93	[0.43,2.02]	1.39	[0.57,3.39]	1.60	[0.75,3.38]	1.06	[0.37,3.00]
Full time housewife	0.87	[0.37,2.04]	1.79	[0.68,4.71]	1.40	[0.55,3.55]	3.22	[0.93,11.17]
Herder	0.72	[0.23,2.31]	1.45	[0.35,5.93]	1		1.61	[0.17,15.73]
Other	0.89	[0.38,2.07]	1.24	[0.47,3.27]	1.88	[0.80,4.41]	1.88	[0.61,5.81]
Student	0.51	[0.19,1.40]	0.5	[0.08,2.96]	0.71	[0.23,2.22]	1	
Trader/Business	1.25	[0.59,2.63]	1.26	[0.52,3.07]	1.64	[0.78,3.42]	1	
<b>Socioeconomic status</b>								
Low income	1		1		1		1	
Middle income	0.82	[0.54,1.23]	0.88	[0.54,1.43]	0.95	[0.62,1.47]	0.54	[0.26,1.13]
Upper income	2.01	[0.65,6.26]	4.36*	[1.13,16.80]	2.41	[0.92,6.36]	2.73	[0.34,22.19]
<b>Health insurance</b>								
No	1		1		1		1	
Yes	0.88	[0.45,1.70]	0.96	[0.47,1.97]	1.12	[0.58,2.17]	0.72	[0.24,2.14]
<b>Smoking status</b>								
No	1		1		1		1	
Yes	0.51	[0.22,1.18]	0.51	[0.14,1.85]	1.67	[0.76,3.71]	0.82	[0.12,5.66]
<b>Snuff usage</b>								
No	1		1		1		1	
Yes	1.61	[0.65,3.98]	0.19	[0.02,1.66]	3.13*	[1.31,7.49]	1.46	[0.31,6.79]
<b>Alcohol consumption</b>								

	No	1		1		1		1	
	Yes	1.12	[0.63,1.99]	1.66	[0.89,3.12]	0.50	[0.23,1.09]	0.26	[0.02,2.84]
Daily fruit intake									
	No	1		1		1		1	
	Yes	0.75	[0.24,2.31]	1.26	[0.38,4.13]	1		1	
Daily salt intake									
	<5g/day	1		1		1		1	
	>5g/day	1.05	[0.79,1.40]	1.16	[0.83,1.63]	1.40	[0.99,1.97]	1.25	[0.68,2.30]
Regular physical exercise									
	No	1		1		1		1	
	Yes	1.19	[0.88,1.62]	0.90	[0.62,1.32]	1.16	[0.82,1.63]	1.65	[0.81,3.33]
CAP F=family history of hypertension									
	No	1		1		1		1	
	Yes	1.07	[0.70,1.63]	3.12***	[2.00,4.88]	1.3	[0.81,2.09]	2.96**	[1.39,6.31]
CAP F=family history of diabetes									
	No	1		1		1		1	
	Yes	1.04	[0.60,1.79]	0.95	[0.52,1.73]	1.04	[0.59,1.84]	4.31***	[1.92,9.67]
Model diagnostics									
Number of observations		972		972		929		807	
Model vs. empty model (Wald or LR)		F(30, 972) = 143.74; p < 0.001		F(30, 972) = 110.77; p < 0.001		F(28, 929) = 158.06; p < 0.001		F(27, 807) = 102.47; p < 0.001	
Goodness-of-fit test		Hosmer-Lemeshow: F(8, 972) = 10.54; p = 0.229		Hosmer-Lemeshow: F(8, 972) = 16.02; p = 0.042					

---

Area under ROC		
curve	0.739	0.752
* p<0.05, ** p<0.01,		
*** p<0.001		

---



