

# The pattern and burden of non-communicable diseases in armed conflict-exposed populations in Northeastern Nigeria: A case study

Roland I. Stephen<sup>1,2</sup>, Jennifer A. Tyndall<sup>3</sup>, Jamiu S. Olumoh<sup>4</sup> Malachy I Okeke<sup>3</sup>, Jacob Dunga<sup>5</sup>, Tonde G. Elijah<sup>6</sup>, Dillys Bello<sup>7</sup>, Oyelola A. Adegboye<sup>8</sup>, Jimmy Reyes<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Modibbo Adama University Teaching Hospital, Yola, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>School of Doctoral Studies, Unicaf University, Larnaca, Cyprus

<sup>3</sup>Department of Natural and Environmental Sciences, American University of Nigeria, Yola, Nigeria

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, American University of Nigeria, Yola, Nigeria

<sup>5</sup>Department of Medicine, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa Teaching Hospital, Bauchi, Nigeria

<sup>6</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Maiduguri Teaching Hospital, Bauchi, Bauchi, Nigeria

<sup>7</sup>Department of Nursing, Adamawa State Specialist Hospital, Yola, Nigeria

<sup>8</sup>Menzies School of Health Research, Charles Darwin University, Australia

<sup>9</sup>Department of Nursing and Public Health, University of Northern Iowa, USA

## Correspondence:

Oyelola A. Adegboye, Ph.D.

Menzies School of Health Research, Charles Darwin University, Darwin, Northern Territory, Australia.

[oyelola.adegboye@menzies.edu.au](mailto:oyelola.adegboye@menzies.edu.au)

## **Abstract**

### **Background**

The risk of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) in conflict and post-conflict settings in Northeastern Nigeria has not been evaluated to date. As this region undergoes recovery, understanding the prevalence of NCDs, such as hypertension, diabetes, depression, and obesity, and the associated behavioral coping mechanisms, is crucial for developing tailored healthcare solutions. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the impact of conflict on the prevalence of NCDs in conflict-exposed areas in Northeastern Nigeria compared with non-conflict regions.

### **Methods**

This was an unmatched cross-sectional study. The participants were selected from inpatients and outpatients at general hospitals in Mubi (conflict-exposed) and Jada (non-conflict), which are local government areas in Adamawa, a state in Northeastern Nigeria. The study was conducted over four months, and data on various health indicators were collected. Multivariable binary logistic regression and complementary log regression were performed to investigate the effects of individual risk factors and regional settings on the prevalence of NCDs.

### **Findings**

A sample of 463 individuals from both locations was analyzed. The prevalence of hypertension, diabetes, abdominal obesity, and depression in the entire cohort was 22.92%, 5.04%, 44.19%, and 17.94%, respectively. The rates of hypertension and abdominal obesity in the conflict-exposed Mubi were lower, and the rate of depression was higher than those recorded in Jada. Females showed higher rates of hypertension, obesity, and depression than males. The residents of Mubi had lower odds of having abdominal obesity (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] = 0.18; 95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.11–0.28) but a higher risk of depression (incidence risk ratio [IRR] = 4.78; 95% CI = 2.51–9.22) than those in Jada. However, the participants affected by insurgency showed higher odds of having both abdominal obesity (aOR = 1.95; 95% CI [1.23–3.08]) and depression (IRR = 1.76; 95% CI [1.08–2.88]) than those who were not affected by the conflict.

### **Conclusions**

The findings of this study underscore the urgent need for mental health support in conflict-affected regions and comprehensive healthcare strategies for the aging population. As adjustment of lifestyle factors is crucial for addressing NCDs, effective case management and food security are essential for reducing the risk of NCDs in conflict-exposed populations.

**Keywords:** non-communicable diseases, conflict-exposed populations, Northeastern Nigeria, remote, rural

## 1. Introduction

Northeastern Nigeria, recovering from the impacts of the decade-long insurgency and perennial armed conflict, is now facing an evolving pattern of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) linked to these traumatic experiences (Brottem 2021; Garba 2018; Ojiego-Okoro et al. 2024). During the conflict, healthcare facilities and public infrastructures were destroyed, and private enterprises were decimated (Tafida et al. 2023). Between 2008 and 2023, Nigeria has recorded about 8.7 million internally displaced people, forced to live in refugee camps or as internally displaced persons (IDPs) mostly in the northeastern states of Adamawa, Borno and Yobe (Ekoh et al. 2023; Internal displacement monitoring centre 2024). The prolonged exposure to these humanitarian crises has inflicted severe psychological distress, economic hardship, and social instability on the affected populations (Garba 2018; Ojiego-Okoro et al. 2024). The insurgency has led to the deaths of an estimated 350,000 lives since 2009 (Internal displacement monitoring centre 2024) and the survivors have endured extreme trauma, including the loss of loved ones, forced displacement, lack of food and water, near-death experiences, kidnapping, severe bodily injury, and lack of medicines and social services (Garba 2018; Ojiego-Okoro et al. 2024).

NCDs, which include conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, depression, obesity, and cancer, are not primarily caused by an acute infection but have long-term health consequences that require long-term treatment and care (Allen et al. 2017; Avan et al. 2019; Carrette et al. 2018; Greene-Cramer et al. 2020; Hosseinpour et al. 2012; Jawad et al. 2019; Narayan et al. 2010). Conflict and post-conflict settings, such as those experienced in northeastern Nigeria, increase the risk of developing NCDs (Carrette et al. 2018; Greene-Cramer et al. 2020; Jawad et al.

2019). The stress and trauma of such settings often lead individuals to adopt unhealthy coping mechanisms such as drug abuse, alcohol abuse, smoking, and sedentary behaviors—major risk factors for NCDs (Ojiego-Okoro et al. 2024; Tafida et al. 2023). The vicious cycle of unhealthy lifestyle habits and socioeconomic disadvantages exacerbates the burden of NCDs in conflict-exposed populations (Tafida et al. 2023). Additionally, fragile and violent situations disrupt the continuity of care for NCDs, weaken health systems and drastically reduce access to social services (Carrette et al. 2018).

In Nigeria, the national prevalence of hypertension was estimated at 30.6% (95% Confidence Interval (CI): 27.3%-34.0%) (Adeloye et al. 2021) with complications such as stroke, chronic kidney disease, heart failure and myocardial infarction affecting about 75% of those diagnosed (Kassy et al. 2022). About a quarter of emergency room presentations in urban Nigeria stem from hypertension-related complications (Adeloye et al. 2021). Diabetes Mellitus (DM) also presents a significant public health challenge, with a pooled national prevalence of 5.77% (95% CI 4.3–7.1) (Adeloye et al. 2021). DM is a chronic metabolic disease associated with factors such as sedentary lifestyle, unhealthy diet, advancing age, low socioeconomic status and depression (Hill-Briggs et al. 2021; Mezuk et al. 2008; Ramalan et al. 2023) and accounts for an overall mortality rate of 30.2 per 100,000 population in Nigeria (Adeloye et al. 2021). Other conditions, such as central obesity, affect 39% of the Nigerian population (Bashir et al. 2022), depression, a common mood disorder with a lifetime prevalence of 3.9% in Nigeria (Gbadamosi et al. 2022), is particularly debilitating among conflict-exposed populations (Akinrolie et al. 2022)

It is important to note that NCDs have a multifactorial origin, with risk factors ranging from psychosocial stress, environmental insults, and genetic predisposition,

122 to behavioral and cultural practices (Roberts et al. 2009; Sharma et al. 2020). In  
123 Juba, South Sudan, approximately 50% of conflict-exposed individuals met the  
124 criteria for depression (Roberts et al. 2009). Similar findings have been reported in  
125 other conflict-affected areas, such as Ukraine, where there is an increase in blood  
126 pressure, consumption of alcohol and cigarettes, and increased incidence of  
127 coronary heart disease and endocrine diseases, including DM (Greene-Cramer et al.  
128 2020).

129 As the conflict-affected region of northeastern Nigeria undergoes recovery  
130 and rebuilding, examining the emerging burden of NCDs and adopting unhealthy  
131 coping mechanisms to develop and implement tailored solutions is crucial.

132 To our knowledge, no comprehensive study has been conducted on the  
133 pattern of NCDs and their behavioral risk factors during the post-conflict and  
134 rebuilding phases of Northeastern Nigeria. Therefore, the objective of this study was  
135 to explore the prevalence of NCDs, including hypertension, diabetes, depression,  
136 and obesity, and their associated behavioral coping mechanisms in conflict-exposed  
137 populations in Northeastern Nigeria. The outcomes of this study may inform  
138 healthcare authorities of the patterns and burdens of NCDs in the northeastern  
139 region of Nigeria, which may facilitate the allocation of dwindling resources to aid  
140 holistic recovery in the face of harsh global economic indices.

141

## 142 **2. Methods**

### 143 **2.1 Study areas and population**

144 This study was conducted in two local government areas (counties), Mubi and  
145 Jada, both located in Adamawa State, northeastern Nigeria. Mubi, which lies in the  
146 northern part of Adamawa, was devastated by insurgency that started in 2014 and

147 lasted for approximately seven years. Mubi is a metropolitan town that shares  
148 borders with Cameroon and is surrounded by suburban and rural areas. Its  
149 inhabitants include farmers, traders, civil servants, and herders.

150 Jada is located in the southern part of Adamawa State. It was largely isolated from  
151 the terrorist attacks and guerrilla warfare that plagued northeastern Nigeria. It is a  
152 suburban town surrounded by villages, and its inhabitants are largely farmers,  
153 herders, traders, and a small population of civil servants.

## 154 **2.2 Study design, sample size, sampling**

155 We conducted an unmatched cross-sectional study of inpatients and  
156 outpatients who visited the general outpatient clinics of general hospitals in Jada and  
157 Mubi over four months, from December 2022 to March 2023. These facilities do not  
158 have specialty clinics. To sample patients, we used a combination of simple random  
159 sampling and convenience sampling. Participants were selected as they presented  
160 to the clinics and were randomly chosen from those admitted to the wards. We  
161 selected patients aged between 18 and 100 years and excluded pregnant women,  
162 women in puerperium, and individuals with impaired cognition. In addition, we  
163 excluded patients judged as frail or non-ambulatory. Data were collected using  
164 questionnaires administered by trained data collectors.

165 We calculated the sample size for this study using Fischer's formula

166  $n = \frac{Z^2 p(1-p)}{d^2}$  at a 95% confidence level based on the 38.4% prevalence (p) of  
167 hypertension reported in a similar study conducted in Ukraine (Greene-Cramer et al.  
168 2020), d is the absolute desired precision at 5%. The calculation was performed at a  
169 0.05 degree of accuracy, and the results indicated a sample size of 363. Although  
170 the required sample size for hypertension, with an estimated prevalence of 30.6%  
171 (Adeloye et al. 2021), was 327 participants, the sample size for diabetes mellitus,

172 with a lower prevalence of 5.8%\_(Adeloye et al. 2021), was calculated to be 85  
173 participants. For depression, with a prevalence of 3.9%\_(Gbadamosi et al. 2022), a  
174 sample size of about 58 participants was required, and for obesity, which has a  
175 prevalence of 39%\_(Bashir et al. 2022), approximately 367 participants were  
176 necessary. However, to increase the power of the study and ensure sufficient  
177 representation for all non-communicable diseases (NCDs) being investigated, our  
178 final sample size was set at 463 participants, with an equal number of participants  
179 recruited from both Jada and Mubi.

180 Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the National Health Research  
181 Ethics Committee, Federal Ministry of Health, Abuja, Nigeria (approval number:  
182 NHREC/01/01/2007-03/05/2023). All the participants provided written informed  
183 consent prior to participation in the study.

### 184 **2.3 Study procedure**

185 A structured questionnaire, divided into sociodemographic, NCD risk profile, and  
186 anthropometric sections, was administered by trained data collectors using a secure  
187 software platform. Participants presenting to the clinics in both study locations were  
188 randomly selected as they visited the hospital for healthcare at the general outpatient  
189 clinic. They are approached and informed about the research. Their informed  
190 consents were obtained. The questionnaires were then administered by the trained  
191 data enumerators in the consulting room under confidentiality. The data enumerators  
192 were trained on how to interpret and administer the content of the questionnaire in  
193 the local dialect and accurately measure the hip and waist circumferences. Blood  
194 pressure, blood sugar level, and waist and hip circumferences were measured using  
195 the above-mentioned standard procedures. Data on sociodemographic  
196 characteristics, including sex, marital status, occupation, and educational history,

197 were obtained. In addition, data on personal and family histories of NCDs were  
198 obtained.

199

## 200 **2.4 Study covariates and measurements**

201 **Hypertension** was defined using the World Health Organization (WHO) cutoff, which  
202 is a blood pressure of  $\geq 140/90$  mmHg obtained in two separate measurements  
203 performed using standardized and validated procedures 30 minutes apart after  
204 resting for at least 5 minutes or self-reported use of anti-hypertension medications  
205 (Gabb et al. 2016; Rodgers et al. 2017; World Health Organization 2021).

206 **Diabetes** was defined using the American Diabetes Association criteria, which is  
207 new-onset diabetes (no history of diabetes) with a fasting plasma glucose level [FPG]  
208 of  $\geq 7.0$  mmol/L or a random blood glucose level of  $\geq 11.1$  mmol/L in addition to the  
209 presence of osmotic symptoms (Association 2017; Zhang et al. 2022). Prediabetes  
210 was defined as an FPG of 5.6–6.9 mmol/L. Capillary blood samples were used for the  
211 measurement of blood glucose level.

212 **Waist circumference** was measured using a flexible tape wrapped around the body  
213 at the midpoint between the ribs and the iliac crest after exhalation (Okeahialam et al.  
214 2012). Central obesity was defined using adult waist-hip ratio (WHR) (male WHR,  
215  $\geq 0.90$ ; female WHR,  $\geq 0.85$ ) (Bashir et al. 2022).

216 **Hip circumference** was measured using a flexible tape wrapped around the body at  
217 the point where the buttocks extend the most when viewed from the side  
218 (Okeahialam et al. 2012).

219 **Fruit and vegetable intake** was determined based on the number of servings  
220 typically consumed daily. Five or more servings (at least 400g) are considered



221 sufficient and fewer than five servings were considered insufficient (World Health  
222 Organization 2013).

223 **Physical inactivity** was defined as failure to meet the WHO recommendations  
224 regarding physical activity for health, which is defined as engaging in at least 150  
225 minutes of moderate-intensity activity per week or 75 minutes of vigorous-intensity  
226 activity per week, by engaging in a combination of walking and moderate- or  
227 vigorous-intensity activities (Bull et al. 2020).

228 **Salt consumption** estimates were obtained using the WHO steps instrument (World  
229 Health Organization 2008)Click or tap here to enter text..

230 **Depression** was assessed using the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-2), which is  
231 a depression screening tool. The scores of the PHQ-2 range from 0-6 points, and a  
232 score  $\geq 3$  suggests the presence of depression. We classified the participants as  
233 having depression or no depression based on a cut-off of 3 points (Maurer 2012).

234 **Socioeconomic status** was categorized using the revised Kuppuswamy  
235 socioeconomic status class classification (Wani 2019).t considers three key  
236 parameters: education of the head of the family, occupation and monthly income.  
237 Each parameter is assigned a score, and the range of the total score (1-29)  
238 determines the family's socioeconomic class (upper:26-29, upper middle:16-25,  
239 middle: 11-15, lower middle: 5-10, or lower class:<5).

## 240 **2.5 Statistical analysis**

241 We performed multivariable binary logistic regression (MBLR) to model the  
242 outcomes of each dependent variable (non-communicable diseases, abdominal  
243 obesity, and hypertension) as a function of the independent variables (location and  
244 impact of insurgency attacks), while adjusting for the covariates (patient background  
245 characteristics such as age, sex, marital status, educational level, occupation type,

246 socioeconomic status, health insurance, smoking status, snuffing status, alcohol  
247 consumption, daily salt intake, regular physical exercise, family history of  
248 hypertension, and family history of diabetes).

249         Given the low number of cases of depression and diabetes in this study, a  
250 multivariate complementary log-log (MClog-log) regression model was used to model  
251 the outcomes of patients with depression and diabetes, while adjusting for other  
252 covariates. MClog-log is an acceptable alternative to binary logistic regression when  
253 the probability of an event is relatively small or large; that is when the outcome is  
254 extremely skewed. The regression coefficients from both the MBLR and MClog-log  
255 models are presented as adjusted odds ratios (aOR) and adjusted incidence rate  
256 ratios (IRR), respectively, along with their 95% confidence intervals. Statistical  
257 significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ . The analyses were performed using Stata Version  
258 13.0.

### 259 **3. Results**

260         A total of 973 participants were included in this study. The demographic  
261 characteristics and health indicators of the participants from conflict-exposed Mubi  
262 compared with those of the participants from Jada (comparison) are presented in  
263 Table 1. The proportion of the study cohort from Mubi (conflict-exposed) (52.93%)  
264 was slightly higher than that from Jada (comparison) (47.07%). The average age of  
265 the participants was 41.04 years. The average age of the participants from Jada  
266 (comparison) was slightly older than that of those from Mubi ( $p = 0.020$ ). The sex  
267 distribution of the study population was balanced overall, with no statistically  
268 significant difference between Mubi (conflict-exposed) and Jada (comparison) ( $p =$   
269  $0.510$ ). There were no disparities in marital status between Mubi and Jada; however,  
270 the percentage of divorced individuals in Jada (comparison) (3.06%) was higher than

271 that in Mubi. There were significant disparities in educational attainment and  
272 occupation between participants from Mubi and those from Jada ( $p < 0.001$ ).  
273 Socioeconomic status varied significantly between the two locations, with a higher  
274 proportion of upper-income individuals in Jada (comparison) and more low-income  
275 individuals in Mubi. Abdominal obesity was more prevalent in Jada (comparison)  
276 (58.95%) than in Mubi (31.07%). Although there was no significant difference in  
277 blood pressure distribution between the participants from Mubi and those from Jada,  
278 there was a significant difference in the prevalence of depression in both regions ( $p <$   
279  $0.001$ ), with the prevalence in Mubi (conflict-exposed) being higher than that in Jada.  
280 There was no difference in glycemic status between the two regions ( $p = 0.502$ ).  
281 However, there was a significant difference in healthcare insurance coverage  
282 between the two regions ( $p < 0.001$ ), the percentage of individuals without insurance  
283 in Mubi (conflict-exposed) is higher than that in Jada, suggesting potential barriers to  
284 healthcare access in conflict-exposed regions. There are more civil servants in Mubi  
285 than there are Jada (27.82% vs 9.83%) (table 1).

286 **Table 1. Characteristics of participants included in the study**

| Variable  | N            | %     | Location                       |                      | P value* |
|-----------|--------------|-------|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------|
|           |              |       | Mubi<br>(conflict-<br>exposed) | Jada<br>(comparison) |          |
| Overall   | 973          | 100   | 515(52.93)                     | 458(47.07)           |          |
| Age (SD)  | 41.04(15.74) |       | 42.15(15.57)                   | 39.79(15.84)         | 0.020    |
| Age group |              |       |                                |                      |          |
| 18-37     | 451          | 46.35 | 228(44.27)                     | 223(48.69)           |          |
|           | 364          |       |                                |                      |          |
| 38-57     | 37.41        |       | 205(39.81)                     | 159(34.72)           | 0.028*   |
|           | 139          |       |                                |                      |          |
| 58-77     | 14.29        |       | 67(13.01)                      | 72(15.72)            |          |
|           | 19           |       |                                |                      |          |
| 78-98     | 1.95         |       | 15(2.91)                       | 4(0.87)              |          |

|                                   |     |       |            |            |         |
|-----------------------------------|-----|-------|------------|------------|---------|
| <b>Sex</b>                        |     |       |            |            | 0.510   |
| Female                            | 516 | 53.03 | 268(52.04) | 248(54.15) |         |
| Male                              | 457 | 46.97 | 247(47.96) | 210(45.85) |         |
| <b>Marital status</b>             |     |       |            |            | 0.091   |
| Divorce                           | 20  | 2.06  | 6(1.17)    | 14(3.06)   |         |
| Married                           | 708 | 72.76 | 389(75.53) | 319(69.65) |         |
| Separated                         | 1   | 0.1   | 1(0.19)    | 0          |         |
| Single                            | 185 | 19.01 | 91(17.67)  | 94(20.52)  |         |
| Widowed                           | 59  | 6.06  | 28(5.44)   | 31(6.77)   |         |
| <b>Highest level of education</b> |     |       |            |            | <0.001* |
| No formal education               | 343 | 35.25 | 110(21.36) | 233(50.87) |         |
| Primary                           | 105 | 10.79 | 76(14.76)  | 29(6.33)   |         |
| Secondary                         | 238 | 24.46 | 137(26.6)  | 101(22.05) |         |
| Tertiary                          | 287 | 29.5  | 192(37.28) | 95(20.74)  |         |
| <b>Occupation</b>                 |     |       |            |            | <0.001* |
| Artisan                           | 53  | 5.45  | 38(7.39)   | 15(3.28)   |         |
| Civil servant                     | 188 | 19.34 | 143(27.82) | 45(9.83)   |         |
| Farmer                            | 188 | 19.34 | 90(17.51)  | 98(21.40)  |         |
| Full-time housewife               | 89  | 9.16  | 33(6.42)   | 56(12.23)  |         |
| Herder                            | 21  | 2.16  | 1(0.19)    | 20(4.37)   |         |
| Students                          | 60  | 6.17  | 35(6.81)   | 25(5.46)   |         |
| Trader/Business                   | 270 | 27.78 | 135(26.26) | 135(29.48) |         |
| Others                            | 103 | 10.61 | 39(7.58)   | 64(13.98)  |         |
| <b>Socioeconomic status</b>       |     |       |            |            | <0.001* |
| Low income                        | 735 | 75.54 | 346(67.18) | 389(84.93) |         |
| Middle income                     | 223 | 22.92 | 160(31.07) | 63(13.76)  |         |
| Upper income                      | 15  | 1.54  | 9(1.75)    | 6(1.31)    |         |
| <b>Abdominal obesity</b>          |     |       |            |            | <0.001* |
| Normal                            | 543 | 55.81 | 355(68.93) | 188(41.05) |         |
| Obesity                           | 430 | 44.19 | 160(31.07) | 270(58.95) |         |
| <b>Blood pressure</b>             |     |       |            |            | 0.092   |
| Hypertensive                      | 223 | 22.92 | 107(20.78) | 116(25.33) |         |
| Normal                            | 750 | 77.08 | 408(79.22) | 342(74.67) |         |
| <b>Depression</b>                 |     |       |            |            | <0.001* |
| Negative                          | 796 | 82.06 | 360(70.31) | 436(95.20) |         |
| Positive                          | 174 | 17.94 | 152(29.69) | 22(4.80)   |         |
| <b>Glycemic status</b>            |     |       |            |            | 0.502   |
| Diabetic blood sugar level        | 49  | 5.04  | 28(5.44)   | 21(4.59)   |         |
| Impaired fasting glycemic         | 38  | 3.91  | 17(3.30)   | 21(4.59)   |         |
| Normal blood sugar level          | 886 | 91.06 | 470(91.26) | 416(90.83) |         |
| <b>Healthcare insurance</b>       |     |       |            |            | <0.001* |
| No                                | 915 | 94.04 | 469(91.07) | 446(97.38) |         |

|                                                                                 |    |      |          |          |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|------|----------|----------|
| Yes                                                                             | 58 | 5.96 | 46(8.93) | 12(2.62) |
| <i>* Calculated using the chi-square test. p value &lt;0.05 is significant.</i> |    |      |          |          |

Table 2 shows the prevalence of chronic conditions in the study population. The prevalence of hypertension, diabetes, abdominal obesity, and depression in the study population was 22.92%, 5.04%, 44.19%, 17.94%, respectively. The participants in conflict-exposed Mubi exhibited a lower prevalence of hypertension (20.78%) and abdominal obesity (31.07%) and a higher prevalence of depression (29.69%) than their counterparts in Jada (comparison) (25.33% and 58.95%, respectively). Females showed higher rates of hypertension (24.81%), abdominal obesity (52.71%), and depression (18.64%) than males. However, males showed a higher prevalence of diabetes (6.13%) than the females. The prevalence of various conditions was notably higher among divorced and widowed individuals than among the married participants. Lifestyle factors such as smoking, sedentary behavior, high salt intake, alcohol consumption, and non-compliance with the WHO dietary recommendations also indicate varying prevalence rates for different chronic conditions. Family history also plays a role, indicating potential genetic predispositions.

**Table 2: Prevalence (n [%]) of chronic conditions categorized according to sociodemographic characteristics**

| Variables       | Hypertension | Diabetes | Abdominal obesity | Depression |
|-----------------|--------------|----------|-------------------|------------|
| <b>Overall</b>  | 223(22.92)   | 49(5.04) | 430(44.19)        | 174(17.94) |
| <b>Location</b> |              |          |                   |            |
| Mubi            | 107(20.78)   | 28(5.44) | 160(31.07)        | 152(29.69) |
| Jada (control)  | 116(25.33)   | 21(4.59) | 270(58.95)        | 22(4.80)   |
| <b>Sex</b>      |              |          |                   |            |
| Female          | 128(24.81)   | 21(4.07) | 272(52.71)        | 96(18.64)  |
| Male            | 95(20.79)    | 28(6.13) | 158(34.57)        | 78(17.14)  |

|                                                                                                           |            |           |            |            |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| <b>Marital status</b>                                                                                     |            |           |            |            |
| Divorced                                                                                                  | 6(30.00)   | 2(10.00)  | 14(70.00)  | 2(10.00)   |
| Married                                                                                                   | 176(24.86) | 43(6.07)  | 316(44.63) | 138(19.55) |
| Single                                                                                                    | 13(7.03)   | 1(0.54)   | 62(33.51)  | 22(11.96)  |
| Widowed                                                                                                   | 28(47.46)  | 3(5.08)   | 38(64.41)  | 12(20.34)  |
| <b>Smoking</b>                                                                                            |            |           |            |            |
| No                                                                                                        | 218(23.09) | 47(4.98)  | 421(44.60) | 168(17.85) |
| Yes                                                                                                       | 5(17.24)   | 2(6.90)   | 9(31.03)   | 6(20.69)   |
| <b>Sedentary lifestyle (physical exercise in line with WHO recommendations)</b>                           |            |           |            |            |
| No                                                                                                        | 134(25.77) | 25(4.81)  | 240(46.15) | 81(15.61)  |
| Yes                                                                                                       | 89(19.65)  | 24(5.30)  | 190(41.94) | 93(20.62)  |
| <b>Daily salt intake</b>                                                                                  |            |           |            |            |
| <5g/day                                                                                                   | 111(22.33) | 24(4.83)  | 229(46.08) | 65(13.10)  |
| >5g/day                                                                                                   | 112(23.53) | 25(5.25)  | 201(42.23) | 109(23.00) |
| <b>Alcohol consumption</b>                                                                                |            |           |            |            |
| No                                                                                                        | 202(22.30) | 47(5.19)  | 397(43.82) | 168(18.60) |
| Yes                                                                                                       | 21(31.34)  | 2(2.99)   | 33(49.25)  | 6(8.96)    |
| <b>Intake of fruits and vegetables (taking up to five fruit servings daily as recommended by the WHO)</b> |            |           |            |            |
| No                                                                                                        | 218(22.88) | 49(5.14)  | 424(44.49) | 174(18.32) |
| Yes                                                                                                       | 5(25.00)   | 0         | 6(30.00)   | 0          |
| <b>Family history of hypertension</b>                                                                     |            |           |            |            |
| No                                                                                                        | 165(19.88) | 31(3.73)  | 363(43.73) | 142(17.17) |
| Yes                                                                                                       | 58(40.56)  | 18(12.59) | 67(46.85)  | 32(22.38)  |
| <b>Family history of diabetes</b>                                                                         |            |           |            |            |
| No                                                                                                        | 200(22.35) | 36(4.02)  | 395(44.13) | 153(17.15) |
| Yes                                                                                                       | 23(29.49)  | 13(16.67) | 35(44.87)  | 21(26.92)  |

305

306           The results of the multivariable logistic regression analysis of the effects of

307 explanatory variables on NCDs, adjusted for risk factors, are shown in Table 3. The

308 participants from the conflict-exposed Mubi exhibited significantly lower odds of

309 abdominal obesity than their counterparts in Jada (comparison) (adjusted odds ratio

310 [aOR] = 0.18; 95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.11–0.28). However, the participants

311 from Mubi showed a higher risk of depression than those from Jada (adjusted

312 incidence risk ratio [IRR] = 4.78; 95% CI = 2.51–9.12). Conversely, the participants

313 in the study showed significantly higher odds of having abdominal obesity (aOR =

314 1.94; 95% CI = 1.51 – 3.08), along with an elevated risk of depression (IRR = 1.76;  
315 95% CI = 1.08 – 2.88).

316 Age was found to be a significant predictor across all the four non-  
317 communicable diseases (NCDs) studied. Participants aged 38-57 had more than  
318 twice the odds of having abdominal obesity (aOR = 2.08, 95% CI = 1.46–2.96),  
319 nearly double the odds of developing hypertension (aOR = 1.85, 95% CI = 1.21–  
320 2.83), and more than twice the risk of developing depression (IRR = 2.46, 95% CI =  
321 1.60–3.79) compared to those aged 18-37. The oldest age group (78-100 years)  
322 exhibited significantly higher odds of hypertension (aOR = 4.39, 95% CI = 1.64–  
323 11.77) and an increased risk of diabetes (IRR = 4.43, 95% CI = 0.43–48.25).

324 Additionally, sex-specific differences were observed, with males having lower odds  
325 of abdominal obesity than females (aOR = 0.41, 95% CI = 0.29–0.59). Educational  
326 level was a significant predictor of hypertension, where participants with tertiary  
327 education had lower odds of hypertension (aOR = 0.43, 95% CI = 0.23–0.78)  
328 compared to those with no formal education. Socioeconomic status also played a  
329 role in hypertension, with participants in the upper income level having more than  
330 four times the odds of developing hypertension compared to those in lower income  
331 levels (aOR = 4.36, 95% CI = 1.13–16.80). Family histories of hypertension and  
332 diabetes were significant predictors of diabetes and hypertension, respectively.

333 Family history was associated with higher odds of developing hypertension (aOR =  
334 3.12, 95% CI = 1.82–4.88] and an increased risk of diabetes (IRR = 2.96, 95% CI =  
335 1.39–6.31]). Additionally, participants with a family history of diabetes had a higher  
336 risk of developing diabetes (IRR = 4.31, 95% CI = 1.92–9.67]).

337 In general, the model diagnostics indicate that the four regression models  
338 significantly improve prediction compared to an empty model, with all p-values

339 <0.001. The goodness-of-fit test shows that the abdominal obesity model fits the  
340 data well ( $p = 0.229$ ), while the Hypertension model reveals some issues with the fit  
341 ( $p = 0.042$ ), suggesting that the predicted probabilities do not perfectly match the  
342 observed outcomes. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) for abdominal obesity  
343 (0.739) and hypertension (0.752) suggests that both models have acceptable  
344 discriminatory power, effectively distinguishing between individuals with and without  
345 the outcomes. Overall, while the models generally perform well, the hypertension fit  
346 could be improved.



347    **Table 3. Multivariable logistic regression analysis of the effects of on explanatory variables on NCDs**

| Variables                     | Abdominal obesity |             | Hypertension |              | Depression |             | Diabetes |              |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|-------------|----------|--------------|
|                               | aOR               | 95% CI      | aOR          | 95% CI       | IRR        | 95% CI      | IRR      | 95% CI       |
| <b>Explanatory variables</b>  |                   |             |              |              |            |             |          |              |
| <b>Location</b>               |                   |             |              |              |            |             |          |              |
| Jada(comparison)              | 1                 |             | 1            |              | 1          |             | 1        |              |
| Mubi (conflict-exposed)       | 0.18***           | [0.11,0.28] | 0.82         | [0.50,1.34]  | 4.78***    | [2.51,9.12] | 2.00     | [0.82,4.86]  |
| <b>Affected by insurgency</b> |                   |             |              |              |            |             |          |              |
| No                            | 1                 |             | 1            |              | 1          |             | 1        |              |
| Yes                           | 1.94**            | [1.23,3.08] | 1.03         | [0.63,1.68]  | 1.76*      | [1.08,2.88] | 0.33     | [0.13,0.80]  |
| <b>Control variables</b>      |                   |             |              |              |            |             |          |              |
| <b>Age</b>                    |                   |             |              |              |            |             |          |              |
| 18-37                         | 1                 |             | 1            |              |            |             |          |              |
| 38-57                         | 2.08***           | [1.46,2.96] | 1.85**       | [1.21,2.83]  | 2.46***    | [1.60,3.79] | 5.71**   | [2.01,16.23] |
| 58-77                         | 1.87*             | [1.12,3.14] | 2.63***      | [1.52,4.55]  | 1.70       | [0.96,3.02] | 11.92*** | [3.27,43.42] |
| 78-98                         | 1.04              | [0.36,2.98] | 4.39**       | [1.64,11.77] | 0.38       | [0.05,2.68] | 4.56     | [0.43,48.25] |
| <b>Sex</b>                    |                   |             |              |              |            |             |          |              |
| Female                        | 1                 |             | 1            |              | 1          |             | 1        |              |
| Male                          | 0.41***           | [0.29,0.59] | 1.06         | [0.71,1.58]  | 0.80       | [0.56,1.14] | 1.80     | [0.88,3.66]  |
| <b>Marital status</b>         |                   |             |              |              |            |             |          |              |
| Divorce                       | 1                 |             | 1            |              | 1          |             | 1        |              |
| Married                       | 0.52              | [0.21,1.30] | 0.74         | [0.27,2.02]  | 0.94       | [0.26,3.37] | 0.50     | [0.09,2.74]  |
| Single                        | 0.60              | [0.23,1.58] | 0.40         | [0.12,1.29]  | 1.02       | [0.26,4.10] | 0.14     | [0.01,2.48]  |
| Widowed                       | 0.59              | [0.20,1.76] | 1.32         | [0.42,4.19]  | 0.92       | [0.23,3.67] | 0.24     | [0.03,1.71]  |

|                             |      |             |        |              |       |             |      |              |
|-----------------------------|------|-------------|--------|--------------|-------|-------------|------|--------------|
| <b>Education level</b>      |      |             |        |              |       |             |      |              |
| No formal education         | 1    |             | 1      |              | 1     |             | 1    |              |
| Primary school              | 1.07 | [0.65,1.76] | 0.92   | [0.53,1.60]  | 0.93  | [0.56,1.54] | 0.90 | [0.35,2.32]  |
| Secondary                   | 0.75 | [0.50,1.14] | 0.63   | [0.39,1.00]  | 0.90  | [0.56,1.45] | 1.75 | [0.75,4.06]  |
| Tertiary                    | 0.65 | [0.41,1.03] | 0.43** | [0.23,0.78]  | 1.23  | [0.75,2.04] | 1.20 | [0.46,3.17]  |
| <b>Occupation</b>           |      |             |        |              |       |             |      |              |
| Artisan                     | 1    |             | 1      |              | 1     |             | 1    |              |
| Civil Servant               | 1.42 | [0.63,3.20] | 1.72   | [0.66,4.48]  | 0.94  | [0.41,2.14] | 2.25 | [0.79,6.47]  |
| Farmer                      | 0.93 | [0.43,2.02] | 1.39   | [0.57,3.39]  | 1.60  | [0.75,3.38] | 1.06 | [0.37,3.00]  |
| Full time housewife         | 0.87 | [0.37,2.04] | 1.79   | [0.68,4.71]  | 1.40  | [0.55,3.55] | 3.22 | [0.93,11.17] |
| Herder                      | 0.72 | [0.23,2.31] | 1.45   | [0.35,5.93]  | 1     |             | 1.61 | [0.17,15.73] |
| Other                       | 0.89 | [0.38,2.07] | 1.24   | [0.47,3.27]  | 1.88  | [0.80,4.41] | 1.88 | [0.61,5.81]  |
| Student                     | 0.51 | [0.19,1.40] | 0.5    | [0.08,2.96]  | 0.71  | [0.23,2.22] | 1    |              |
| Trader/Business             | 1.25 | [0.59,2.63] | 1.26   | [0.52,3.07]  | 1.64  | [0.78,3.42] | 1    |              |
| <b>Socioeconomic status</b> |      |             |        |              |       |             |      |              |
| Low income                  | 1    |             | 1      |              | 1     |             | 1    |              |
| Middle income               | 0.82 | [0.54,1.23] | 0.88   | [0.54,1.43]  | 0.95  | [0.62,1.47] | 0.54 | [0.26,1.13]  |
| Upper income                | 2.01 | [0.65,6.26] | 4.36*  | [1.13,16.80] | 2.41  | [0.92,6.36] | 2.73 | [0.34,22.19] |
| <b>Health insurance</b>     |      |             |        |              |       |             |      |              |
| No                          | 1    |             | 1      |              | 1     |             | 1    |              |
| Yes                         | 0.88 | [0.45,1.70] | 0.96   | [0.47,1.97]  | 1.12  | [0.58,2.17] | 0.72 | [0.24,2.14]  |
| <b>Smoking status</b>       |      |             |        |              |       |             |      |              |
| No                          | 1    |             | 1      |              | 1     |             | 1    |              |
| Yes                         | 0.51 | [0.22,1.18] | 0.51   | [0.14,1.85]  | 1.67  | [0.76,3.71] | 0.82 | [0.12,5.66]  |
| <b>Snuff usage</b>          |      |             |        |              |       |             |      |              |
| No                          | 1    |             | 1      |              | 1     |             | 1    |              |
| Yes                         | 1.61 | [0.65,3.98] | 0.19   | [0.02,1.66]  | 3.13* | [1.31,7.49] | 1.46 | [0.31,6.79]  |

|                                             |                                   |             |                                   |             |                                   |             |                                   |             |  |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|--|
| <b>Alcohol consumption</b>                  |                                   |             |                                   |             |                                   |             |                                   |             |  |
| No                                          | 1                                 |             | 1                                 |             | 1                                 |             | 1                                 |             |  |
| Yes                                         | 1.12                              | [0.63,1.99] | 1.66                              | [0.89,3.12] | 0.50                              | [0.23,1.09] | 0.26                              | [0.02,2.84] |  |
| <b>Daily fruit intake</b>                   |                                   |             |                                   |             |                                   |             |                                   |             |  |
| No                                          | 1                                 |             | 1                                 |             | 1                                 |             | 1                                 |             |  |
| Yes                                         | 0.75                              | [0.24,2.31] | 1.26                              | [0.38,4.13] | 1                                 |             | 1                                 |             |  |
| <b>Daily salt intake</b>                    |                                   |             |                                   |             |                                   |             |                                   |             |  |
| <5g/day                                     | 1                                 |             | 1                                 |             | 1                                 |             | 1                                 |             |  |
| >5g/day                                     | 1.05                              | [0.79,1.40] | 1.16                              | [0.83,1.63] | 1.40                              | [0.99,1.97] | 1.25                              | [0.68,2.30] |  |
| <b>Regular physical exercise</b>            |                                   |             |                                   |             |                                   |             |                                   |             |  |
| No                                          | 1                                 |             | 1                                 |             | 1                                 |             | 1                                 |             |  |
| Yes                                         | 1.19                              | [0.88,1.62] | 0.90                              | [0.62,1.32] | 1.16                              | [0.82,1.63] | 1.65                              | [0.81,3.33] |  |
| <b>CAP F=family history of hypertension</b> |                                   |             |                                   |             |                                   |             |                                   |             |  |
| No                                          | 1                                 |             | 1                                 |             | 1                                 |             | 1                                 |             |  |
| Yes                                         | 1.07                              | [0.70,1.63] | 3.12***                           | [2.00,4.88] | 1.3                               | [0.81,2.09] | 2.96**                            | [1.39,6.31] |  |
| <b>CAP F=family history of diabetes</b>     |                                   |             |                                   |             |                                   |             |                                   |             |  |
| No                                          | 1                                 |             | 1                                 |             | 1                                 |             | 1                                 |             |  |
| Yes                                         | 1.04                              | [0.60,1.79] | 0.95                              | [0.52,1.73] | 1.04                              | [0.59,1.84] | 4.31***                           | [1.92,9.67] |  |
| <b>Model diagnostics</b>                    |                                   |             |                                   |             |                                   |             |                                   |             |  |
| Number of observations                      | 972                               |             | 972                               |             | 929                               |             | 807                               |             |  |
| Model vs. empty model (Wald or LR)          | F(30, 972) = 143.74;<br>p < 0.001 |             | F(30, 972) = 110.77;<br>p < 0.001 |             | F(28, 929) = 158.06;<br>p < 0.001 |             | F(27, 807) = 102.47;<br>p < 0.001 |             |  |

|                                     |                                                     |                                                     |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Goodness-of-fit test                | Hosmer-Lemeshow:<br>F(8, 972) = 10.54;<br>p = 0.229 | Hosmer-Lemeshow:<br>F(8, 972) = 16.02;<br>p = 0.042 |
| Area under ROC<br>curve             | 0.739                                               | 0.752                                               |
| * p<0.05, ** p<0.01,<br>*** p<0.001 |                                                     |                                                     |

349 **4. Discussion**

350 This research conducted in the conflict-exposed Northeastern Nigeria outlines  
351 the distinct differences in several key indicators between two locales in the region:  
352 Mubi, a conflict-affected area, and Jada, a territory that was largely shielded from the  
353 insurgency that plagued the region. One of the most prominent differences in the  
354 health indicators for NCDs was the five-fold higher risk of depression among the  
355 residents of the conflict-exposed Mubi than among those in Jada.

356 | About five years post-conflict exposure in Plateau State, Northcentral Nigeria, Deleted[Author]: n  
357 | the prevalence of depression amongst affected persons was found to be 56.3%  
358 | (Davou et al. 2018). This is in consonant with the findings of this study. While the  
359 | conflict-exposed population of Mubi recorded a 30% prevalence of depression in the  
360 | post-conflict phase, the national prevalence of depression in Nigeria is 3.8%  
361 | (Gbadamosi et al. 2022). Post-conflict depression represents the most consistent  
362 | mental health complication of conflict (Anbesaw et al. 2024). The prevalence of  
363 | depression in the post-conflict population of the Syrian refugees, Nepalese,  
364 | Mogadishu-Somalia, Ethiopian and Uganda were 59.4%, 27.4%, 59%, 38.3% and  
365 | 67%, respectively (Anbesaw et al. 2024). While a pre-established diagnosis of  
366 | clinical depression increases the risk of consequent type 2 DM by 60%, a pre-  
367 | existing diagnosis of Type 2 DM poses a 15% risk of depression in the long run (de  
368 | Groot 2023). This implicates the potential impact of conflicts in the etiology of  
369 | depression in the aftermath of conflict.

370 Ultimately, a bidirectional relationship exists between diabetes and depression.  
371 | Diabetes increases the risk of diabetes and vice versa (Berge & Riise 2015).  
372 | Findings from Bădesc anu et al. (Bădescu et al. 2016), reported that the prevalence  
373 | of depression among individuals with diabetes mellitus is two to three times higher

374 than that among people without diabetes. Chronic stress is a common denominator  
375 for both of them (Bădescu et al. 2016). Similarly, depression increases the risk of  
376 diabetes by 37% (Knol et al. 2006). However, this study did not find a statistically  
377 significant difference in the prevalences of DM in the two studied communities  
378 despite a markedly elevated prevalence of depression of 30% in Mubi as against  
379 5 % in Jada. In addition, the prevalences of DM in both communities were about the  
380 same (Mubi 5.44% vs Jada 5% vs national 5.7%), while the national pooled  
381 prevalence of DM in Nigeria. This is consistent with a recent study that reported a  
382 prevalence of diabetes ranging from 1.6% to 4.6% in the same region (Stephen et al.  
383 2024). By implication, an Effective mental health support program in this recovery  
384 phase from armed-conflict exposed northeastern Nigeria will, in the long run, reduce  
385 the burden of DM and its attendant complications, which include diabetic kidney  
386 disease, heart attack and stroke, which are all NCDs. Furthermore, this study has  
387 also shown that DM is also associated with advancing age as reflected in the middle  
388 and elderly age brackets in Mubi. This aligns with the findings in a Chinese study  
389 (Bai et al. 2021), which revealed that the prevalence of type 2 DM increases with age,  
390 underscoring the multifactorial origin of NCDs (Syed et al. 2019).

391 Of note in this study is that hypertension is associated with increasing age,  
392 family of hypertension, tertiary education, high socioeconomic status, being widowed  
393 and diabetes, which further portrays the multifactorial origin of NCDs (Alsaadon et al.  
394 2022). Exposure to conflict bears no statistically significant relationship with  
395 hypertension in this study. This is contrary to the findings in South Sudan, which  
396 showed that the risk of hypertension increased by 50% in its conflict-exposed  
397 population (Narayan et al. 2010).

398 | Epidemiologically, hypertension tends to coexist with DM\_(Alsaadon et al. 2022).  
399 | This coexistence is known to be mediated by several pathophysiologic mechanisms.  
400 | Chronic inflammation, insulin resistance, oxidative stress, and vascular dysfunction  
401 | have been implicated as some of the fundamental pathogenesis common to both  
402 | (Sharma et al. 2020). Background family history of diabetes and hypertension is also  
403 | associated with the eventual diagnosis of these diseases in their first-degree  
404 | relatives, as reflected in this study\_(Moke et al. 2023). IDPs have increased physical  
405 | inactivity\_(Akinrolie et al. 2022), and physical inactivity increases the burden of  
406 | depression.

407 | In this study, a comparatively Higher prevalence of abdominal obesity is seen in  
408 | Jada when compared to Mubi. Population-based studies have shown that obesity  
409 | leads to depression and verse vasa\_(Steptoe & Frank 2023). Conversely, findings  
410 | from this study did not reflect a corresponding increase in the burden of DM in Jada,  
411 | which has a higher prevalence of obesity. However, the prevalence of abdominal  
412 | obesity in both communities surpasses the pooled national prevalence of obesity in  
413 | Nigeria ). Obesity is increasingly being implicated as a risk factor for so many  
414 | diseases, including diabetes\_(Ramalan et al. 2023), hypertension, breast cancer,  
415 | myocardial infarction and stroke\_(Kinlen et al. 2017). Hence, the local primary health  
416 | centres in Jada should intensify public health interventions such as health education  
417 | on the need to adopt lifestyle behavioral modifications such as maintaining a healthy  
418 | weight and exercise.

419 | In this study, there are more people of low socioeconomic status in Mubi than in  
420 | Jada (67.18% vs 84.93%). Generally, prolonged violent conflicts impoverish  
421 | communities and reduce both personal income and commonwealth. A study showed  
422 | that northeastern Nigeria has been severely impacted economically by the

423 insurgency that plagued the region alongside the perennial farmers-herders clashes,  
424 which saw many businesses destroyed\_(Odozi & Uwaifo Oyelere 2019). Low  
425 socioeconomic status is a risk factor for both T2DM and hypertension\_(Blok et al.  
426 2022; Hill-Briggs et al. 2021). Consequently, economic devastation occasioned by  
427 armed conflict increases the burden of NCDs on the population, hence the need for  
428 social safety nets to stem the tide of rising NCDs, by economically empowering the  
429 locals through the provision of capital, facilities and skill acquisition support.

430 The alarming indices for diabetes and hypertension in the elderly population,  
431 combined with the neglected state of geriatric healthcare in Nigeria, highlight the  
432 pressing need for comprehensive healthcare strategies for the ageing population.

433 Health care insurance coverage is abysmally low in both communities, which implies  
434 a potential barrier to healthcare access, especially mental health care. Lifestyle,  
435 including nutrition and exercise, is one of the most important modifiable risk factors  
436 for T2D. Both under and overnutrition with lack of exercise increase the risk of T2D.

Deleted[Author]: I

#### 437 **4.1 Limitations**

438 The present study was constrained by its hospital-based design, which  
439 predominantly involved recruiting individuals from relatively cosmopolitan and  
440 suburban locales with access to healthcare facilities. Moreover, we excluded  
441 individuals who were frail or bedridden upon admission, potentially overlooking  
442 cases of NCDs prevalent within this demography. In addition, this study did not  
443 include common cancers which are increasingly constituting some significant  
444 proportions of NCDs. Under the Nigerian healthcare structure, the diagnosis and  
445 management of cancer are beyond the confines and jurisdiction of general hospitals.  
446 Furthermore, cancer diagnosis requires more expertise, funding and technicalities,  
447 which are not available in general hospitals where this study was conducted. They

Deleted[Author]: ic



448 basically provide primary care healthcare and some aspects of secondary healthcare  
449 services.

450 Despite these limitations, this study has several strengths, mainly its novelty. To  
451 the best of our knowledge, this study is the first conducted to comparatively analyze  
452 the impact of conflict on the prevalence of NCDs in Northeastern Nigeria during the  
453 post-conflict recovery and rebuilding phases. Moreover, the inclusion of both  
454 inpatients and outpatients contributed to the representativeness of the study  
455 population, thereby enhancing the generalizability of the study findings to a certain  
456 degree.

457

## 458 **5. Conclusion**

459 This study sheds light on the significant disparities in key health indicators  
460 between Mubi, a conflict-affected area, and Jada, a more stable environment. The  
461 prevalence of depression in Mubi is notably higher than that in Jada, emphasizing  
462 the urgent need for mental health support in conflict-affected regions. Limited access  
463 to PSS for those with diabetes in Adamawa State further underscores the challenges  
464 faced by individuals with NCDs in the region. Lifestyle factors, such as nutrition and  
465 exercise, are crucial modifiable risk factors for T2D. This highlights the need for  
466 awareness campaigns on healthier dietary practices. Governmental agencies and  
467 the private sector are imperative for addressing the rising burden of NCDs in Nigeria  
468 and worldwide. This research underscores the urgent need for targeted interventions,  
469 policy changes, and increased resources to combat the growing challenge of NCDs,  
470 especially depression, in conflict-affected regions.

471

472

## References

- Adeloye D, Owolabi EO, Ojji DB, Auta A, Dewan MT, Olanrewaju TO, Ogah OS, Omoyele C, Ezeigwe N, and Mpazanje RG. 2021. Prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in Nigeria in 1995 and 2020: A systematic analysis of current evidence. *The Journal of clinical hypertension* 23:963-977.
- Akinrolie O, Masta AM, Jasper US, Fawole HO, Onyeso OK, Akinrolie O, and Jumbo US. 2022. Physical inactivity among internally displaced persons in Nigeria. *Journal of migration and health* 6:100140.
- Allen L, Williams J, Townsend N, Mikkelsen B, Roberts N, Foster C, and Wickramasinghe K. 2017. Socioeconomic status and non-communicable disease behavioural risk factors in low-income and lower-middle-income countries: a systematic review. *The Lancet Global Health* 5:e277-e289.
- Alsaadon H, Afroz A, Karim A, Habib SH, Alramadan MJ, Billah B, and Shetty AN. 2022. Hypertension and its related factors among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus—a multi-hospital study in Bangladesh. *BMC Public Health* 22:198.
- Anbesaw T, Kassa MA, Yimam W, Kassaw AB, Belete M, Abera A, Abebe G, Yimer N, Melkam M, and Ayano G. 2024. Factors associated with depression among war-affected population in Northeast, Ethiopia. *BMC psychiatry* 24:376. 10.1186/s12888-024-05812-1
- Association AD. 2017. 2. Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2018. *Diabetes Care* 41:S13-S27. 10.2337/dc18-S002
- Avan A, Digaleh H, Di Napoli M, Stranges S, Behrouz R, Shojaeianbabaei G, Amiri A, Tabrizi R, Mokhber N, and Spence JD. 2019. Socioeconomic status and stroke incidence, prevalence, mortality, and worldwide burden: an ecological analysis from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *BMC medicine* 17:1-30.
- Bădescu S, Tătaru C, Kobylinska L, Georgescu E, Zahiu D, Zăgrean A, and Zăgrean L. 2016. The association between diabetes mellitus and depression. *Journal of medicine and life* 9:120.
- Bai A, Tao J, Tao L, and Liu J. 2021. Prevalence and risk factors of diabetes among adults aged 45 years or older in China: A national cross-sectional study. *Endocrinology, diabetes & metabolism* 4:e00265.
- Bashir M, Yahaya A, Muhammad M, Yusuf A, and Mukhtar I. 2022. Prevalence of central obesity in Nigeria: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Public Health* 206:87-93.
- Berge LI, and Riise T. 2015. Comorbidity between type 2 diabetes and depression in the adult population: directions of the association and its possible pathophysiological mechanisms. *International journal of endocrinology* 2015:164760.
- Blok S, Haggenburg S, Collard D, Van Der Linden EL, Galenkamp H, Moll van Charante EP, Agyemang C, and Van Den Born B-JH. 2022. The association between socioeconomic status and prevalence, awareness, treatment and control of hypertension in different ethnic groups: the Healthy Life in an Urban Setting study. *Journal of Hypertension* 40:897-907. 10.1097/hjh.0000000000003092

- Brottem L. 2021. The growing complexity of farmer-herder conflict in West and Central Africa. *Africa Center for Strategic Studies United States of America*.
- Bull FC, Al-Ansari SS, Biddle S, Borodulin K, Buman MP, Cardon G, Carty C, Chaput J-P, Chastin S, and Chou R. 2020. World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. *British journal of sports medicine* 54:1451-1462.
- Carrette S, Dong Di DD, and Hasumi T. 2018. Non-communicable diseases in fragile, conflict, and violence situations: five key questions to be answered. In: Group TWB, editor. Washington, USA: The World Bank Group.
- Davou F, Armiyau A, Tungchama F, Maigari Y, Goar S, Audu M, Piwuna G, and Makput H. 2018. Psychiatric Morbidity among victims of violent ethno-religious conflicts in Barkin-Ladi, North Central Nigeria, five years after: prevalence and sociodemographic correlates. *Psychol Psychology Res Int J* 3:000168.
- de Groot M. 2023. Diabetes and Depression: Strategies to Address a Common Comorbidity Within the Primary Care Context. *American Journal of Medicine Open* 9:100039.
- Ekoh PC, Okoye UO, George EO, Chukwuemeka E, and Agbawodikeizu PU. 2023. Resettlement of internally displaced persons (IDPs) in Nigeria: The housing problems facing IDPs in Abuja camps and the risk of homelessness and secondary displacement. *Journal of Social Distress and Homelessness* 32:263-271.
- Gabb GM, Mangoni AA, Anderson CS, Cowley D, Dowden JS, Golledge J, Hankey GJ, Howes FS, Leckie L, Perkovic V, Schlaich M, Zwar NA, Medley TL, and Arnold L. 2016. Guideline for the diagnosis and management of hypertension in adults - 2016. *Med J Aust* 205:85-89. 10.5694/mja16.00526
- Garba D. 2018. Transnational Insurgency: Boko Haram and Regional Insecurity in Africa. *FUDMA Journal of politics and International Affairs*:82-98.
- Gbadamosi IT, Henneh IT, Aluko OM, Yawson EO, Fokoua AR, Koomson A, Torbi J, Olorunnado SE, Lewu FS, and Yusha'u Y. 2022. Depression in sub-saharan Africa. *IBRO neuroscience reports* 12:309-322.
- Greene-Cramer B, Summers A, Lopes-Cardozo B, Husain F, Couture A, and Bilukha O. 2020. Noncommunicable disease burden among conflict-affected adults in Ukraine: a cross-sectional study of prevalence, risk factors, and effect of conflict on severity of disease and access to care. *PLoS ONE* 15:e0231899.
- Hill-Briggs F, Adler NE, Berkowitz SA, Chin MH, Gary-Webb TL, Navas-Acien A, Thornton PL, and Haire-Joshu D. 2021. Social determinants of health and diabetes: a scientific review. *Diabetes Care* 44:258.
- Hosseinpoor AR, Bergen N, Kunst A, Harper S, Guthold R, Rekve D, d'Espaignet ET, Naidoo N, and Chatterji S. 2012. Socioeconomic inequalities in risk factors for non communicable diseases in low-income and middle-income countries: results from the World Health Survey. *BMC Public Health* 12:1-13.
- Internal displacement monitoring centre. 2024. Country Profile: Nigeria (accessed Assessed: 25/08/2024).
- Jawad M, Vamos EP, Najim M, Roberts B, and Millett C. 2019. Impact of armed conflict on cardiovascular disease risk: a systematic review. *Heart* 105:1388-1394.
- Kassy CW, Okeke CC, Ndu AC, and Umeobieri AK. 2022. Prevalence of Controlled Blood Pressure among Hypertensive Patients and Determinants of Hypertensive Complications in a Nigerian Population. *International Journal of Medicine and Health Development* 27:226-232.

571 Kinlen D, Cody D, and O'Shea D. 2017. Complications of obesity. *QJM: An*  
 572 *International Journal of Medicine* 111:437-443. 10.1093/qjmed/hcx152  
 573 Knol MJ, Twisk JW, Beekman AT, Heine RJ, Snoek FJ, and Pouwer F. 2006.  
 574 Depression as a risk factor for the onset of type 2 diabetes mellitus. A meta-  
 575 analysis. *Diabetologia* 49:837-845.  
 576 Maurer DM. 2012. Screening for depression. *American Family Physician* 85:139-144.  
 577 Mezuk B, Eaton WW, Albrecht S, and Golden SH. 2008. Depression and type 2  
 578 diabetes over the lifespan: a meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care* 31:2383-2390.  
 579 Moke E, Demaki W, Daubry T, Ataikiru O, Agbonifo-Chijiokwu E, Ofulue O,  
 580 Ekuerhare B, Akpoyovwere O, Edje K, and Isibor N. 2023. Coexistence of  
 581 hypertension with diabetes mellitus and its pharmacotherapy. *Scientia*  
 582 *Africana* 22:135-154.  
 583 Narayan KV, Ali MK, and Koplan JP. 2010. Global noncommunicable diseases—  
 584 where worlds meet. *New England Journal of Medicine* 363:1196-1198.  
 585 Odozi JC, and Uwaifo Oyelere R. 2019. Violent conflict exposure in Nigeria and  
 586 Economic welfare.  
 587 Ojiego-Okoro C, Umeh LC, and Nwodom DU. 2024. Boko Haram-Induced Forced  
 588 Migration: Implications for Social and Economic Development in the Lake  
 589 Chad Basin. *International Journal of Social Science and Human Research* 7.  
 590 Okeahialam B, Ogbonna C, Otokwula A, Joseph D, Chuhwak E, and Isiguzoro I.  
 591 2012. Cardiovascular epidemiological transition in a rural habitat of Nigeria:  
 592 the case of Mangu local government area. *West African journal of medicine*  
 593 31:14–18-14–18.  
 594 Ramalan M, Gezawa I, Musa B, Uloko A, and Fakhraddeen Y. 2023. Prevalence and  
 595 trends of adult overweight and obesity in Nigeria—A systematic review and  
 596 meta-analysis. *Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice* 26:1-15.  
 597 Roberts B, Damundu EY, Lomoro O, and Sondorp E. 2009. Post-conflict mental  
 598 health needs: a cross-sectional survey of trauma, depression and associated  
 599 factors in Juba, Southern Sudan. *BMC psychiatry* 9:1-10.  
 600 Rodgers A, Chow CK, Jackson RT, Patel A, and Usherwood T. 2017. Guideline for  
 601 the diagnosis and management of hypertension in adults—2016. *The Medical*  
 602 *Journal of Australia* 206:141.  
 603 Sharma A, Mittal S, Aggarwal R, and Chauhan MK. 2020. Diabetes and  
 604 cardiovascular disease: inter-relation of risk factors and treatment. *Future*  
 605 *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences* 6:1-19.  
 606 Stephen R, Tyndal J, Hsu V, Sun J, Umaru N, Olumoh J, Adegboye O, Owobi OU,  
 607 and Brown TT. 2024. The prevalence of pre-diabetes and diabetes in people  
 608 with and without past history of COVID-19 in northeastern Nigeria. *BMC*  
 609 *Public Health*. 10.1186/s12889-024-19854-3  
 610 Steptoe A, and Frank P. 2023. Obesity and psychological distress. *Philosophical*  
 611 *Transactions of the Royal Society B* 378:20220225.  
 612 Syed MA, Alnuaimi AS, Zainel AJ, and AA H. 2019. Prevalence of non-  
 613 communicable diseases by age, gender and nationality in publicly funded  
 614 primary care settings in Qatar. *BMJ nutrition, prevention & health* 2:20.  
 615 Tafida A, Tukur A, Adebayo E, Ndaghu A, Onu J, and Momodu J. 2023. Boko Haram  
 616 insurgency and livelihood vulnerability of rural households in Northern  
 617 Adamawa State, Nigeria. *Research in Globalization* 6:100116.  
 618 Wani RT. 2019. Socioeconomic status scales-modified Kuppuswamy and Udai  
 619 Pareekh's scale updated for 2019. *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary*  
 620 *Care* 8:1846-1849. 10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc\_288\_19

621 World Health Organization. 2008. WHO steps instrument question-by-question guide  
622 (core and expanded). *Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.*  
623 World Health Organization. 2013. Global action plan for the prevention and control of  
624 noncommunicable diseases 2013-2020. Geneva: Switzerland: World Health  
625 Organization.  
626 World Health Organization. 2021. *Guideline for the pharmacological treatment of*  
627 *hypertension in adults*: World Health Organization.  
628 Zhang T, Mei Q, Zhang Z, Walline JH, Liu Y, Zhu H, and Zhang S. 2022. Risk for  
629 newly diagnosed diabetes after COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-  
630 analysis. *BMC medicine* 20:444.

631

632