

Comments for 99580-v0

NO	SECTION	LINE	COMMENTS
1	TITLE	1-2	<p>This study was limited to the Arab population, so the title should be:</p> <p>Morphometric analysis of the skull base and palatal regions for gender identification Using CBCT in Arab population</p>
2	ABSTRACT	23-25	<p>The objectives of this study were to evaluate the accuracy of morphometry of skull base and palate in gender discrimination using cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) scanning and to assess the accuracy of the results among a sample of the Arab population</p> <p>In conclusion the authors should make a conclusion about how many percent the accuracy of morphometry of skull base and palate in gender discrimination using CBCT.</p>
2	INTRODUCTION	41-59	<p>Explanation about the advantage of CBCT in this Introduction is too long.</p> <p>The authors should explain what is the problem to gender determination at present so that why the authors offer CBCT to solve the problem.</p> <p>We could find so many published-articles that used CBCT for gender determination among a sample of the Arab population, so what the novelty/difference of this manuscript with published-articles?</p>
3	METHODS	81	For inclusion criteria, how about age range and dentition condition (example: missing teeth, that can affect the measurement)?
		92	The authors should explain more detail about exclusion criteria, for example: tooth condition, history of medical disease, and patients with crown and bridge that affect the measurements.
4	CONCLUSION	277	In conclusion the authors should make a specific conclusion about how many percent the accuracy of morphometry of skull base and palate in gender discrimination using CBCT.