

1 **Optimizing Total RNA Extraction Method for**

2 **Human and Mice Samples**

3 Yumei Zeng^{1,*}, Xiaoxue Tang^{2,*}, Jinwen Chen³, Xi Kang¹, Dazhang Bai¹²

4 ¹Department of Neurology, Affiliated Hospital of North Sichuan Medical College,
5 Nanchong, Sichuan, China

6 ²Institute of Neurological Diseases, Affiliated Hospital of North Sichuan Medical
7 College, Nanchong, Sichuan, China

8 ³Department of Clinical Laboratory, Affiliated Hospital of North Sichuan Medical
9 College, Nanchong, Sichuan, China

10 Correspondence:

11 Dazhang Bai¹²

12 1 Maoyuan South Road, Shunqing District, Nanchong City, Sichuan Province, 63700,
13 China

14 E-mail: baidazhang@126.com

15 *Yumei Zeng and Xiaoxue Tang contributed equally to this work.

16

17

18

19

20 Abstract

21 **Background:** [Extracting](#) high-quality total RNA is pivotal for advanced RNA
22 molecular studies, such as Next-generation sequencing and expression microarrays
23 where RNA is hybridized. Despite the development of numerous extraction methods
24 in recent decades, like the cetyl-[trimethyl ammonium bromide \(CTAB\)](#) and the
25 traditional TRIzol reagent methods, their complexity and high costs often impede
26 their application in small-scale laboratories. Therefore, a practical and economical
27 method for RNA extraction that maintains high standards of efficiency and quality
28 needs to be provided to optimize RNA extraction from human and mice tissues.

Deleted: The extraction of

Deleted:

29 **Method:** This study proposes enhancements to the TRIzol method [by incorporating](#)
30 guanidine isothiocyanate (GITC-T method) and [sodium dodecyl sulfate \(SDS-T](#)
31 method). We evaluated the effectiveness of these modified methods [compared](#) to the
32 TRIzol method using a micro-volume UV-visible spectrophotometer, electrophoresis,
33 q-PCR, RNA-Seq, and whole transcriptome sequencing.

Deleted: through the incorporation of

Deleted: /or

Deleted: in comparison

34 **Result:** The micro-volume UV-visible spectrophotometer, electrophoresis, and RNA-
35 Seq demonstrated that the GITC-T method yielded RNA with higher yields, integrity,
36 and purity, while the consistency in RNA quality between the two methods was
37 confirmed. Taking mouse cerebral cortex tissue as a sample, the yield of total RNA
38 extracted by the GITC-T method was 1959.06 ± 49.68 ng/mg, while the yield of total
39 RNA extracted by the TRIzol method was 1673.08 ± 86.39 ng/mg. At the same time,
40 the $OD_{260/280}$ of the total RNA samples extracted by the GITC-T method was
41 2.03 ± 0.012 , and the $OD_{260/230}$ was 2.17 ± 0.031 , while the $OD_{260/280}$ of the total RNA

samples extracted by the TRIzol method was 2.013 ± 0.041 and the $OD_{260/230}$ was 2.11 ± 0.062 . Furthermore, q-PCR indicated that the GITC-T method achieved higher yields, purity, and greater transcript abundance of total RNA from the same types of animal samples than the TRIzol method.

Conclusion: The GITC-T method not only yields higher purity and quantity of RNA but also reduces reagent consumption and overall costs, thereby presenting a more feasible option for small-scale laboratory settings.

Keywords: total RNA extraction method, TRIzol reagent, the TRIzol method, GITC-T method, SDS-T method

Introduction

In recent years, ribonucleic acid (RNA)-based research methodologies have advanced significantly, encompassing techniques like RNA hybridization, real-time fluorescent quantitative polymerase chain reaction (q-PCR), RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq), and whole transcriptome sequencing. These methods have garnered considerable interest and application across various domains, including public health (Torii, Furumai & Katayama, 2021; Hoffman et al., 2022), clinical diagnostics (Yüce, Filiztekin & Özkaya, 2021; Gagliardi et al., 2021), and life sciences (Clark et al., 2019). Despite the evolution of RNA research techniques, small-scale domestic laboratories often encounter obstacles in adopting these advanced methods due to technical complexities and resource limitations. The commonly employed methods for RNA extraction, such as phenol-chloroform extraction (Dimke et al., 2021; Hoffman et al., 2022), density gradient centrifugation (Weis, Schnell & Egert, 2020), TRIzol (Ma et al., 2010), and

Deleted: the

70 various commercial kits, including spin column(Biró et al., 2019), silica column(Yang
71 et al., 2017), and magnetic bead extraction (He et al., 2017; Klein et al., 2020),
72 present their challenges. Traditional techniques like phenol-chloroform extraction and
73 density gradient centrifugation are labor-intensive and complex, hindering widespread
74 adoption.

75 Conversely, the traditional TRIzol reagent method (the TRIzol method), centrifugal
76 column extraction, silica gel column extraction, and magnetic bead extraction are easy
77 to use but costly and not suitable for large-scale use in small-scale laboratories(Brown
78 et al., 2018; Scholes & Lewis, 2020; Schactler et al., 2023). To obtain an easy-to-
79 operate and inexpensive RNA extraction method, researchers have been continuously
80 trying to improve the RNA extraction reagents or extraction methods, with most of
81 the improved methods based on the TRIzol reagent(Duy et al., 2015; Gandhi, O'Brien
82 & Yadav, 2020; Schactler et al., 2023). However, these modified methods either
83 failed to reduce the cost of total RNA extraction experiments or increased the
84 complexity of the total RNA extraction process. Therefore, we propose changing the
85 TRIzol method to create a simple and inexpensive approach for total RNA extraction.

86 The conventional TRIzol reagent is recognized for its stability and efficiency in
87 extracting total RNA(Kao et al., 2023). However, its relatively high cost can lead to
88 attempts to minimize reagent use during experiments, potentially resulting in organic
89 residue contamination and impacting subsequent molecular experiments. Phenol and
90 guanidine isothiocyanate (GITC) are the main components of the traditional TRIzol
91 reagent, while GITC is also a cost-effective auxiliary reagent increasingly employed
92 in improved RNA extraction methods. The GITC, with strong protein denaturing
93 capabilities, aids in cell membrane disruption and disrupts protein-nucleic acid
94 interactions, effectively inactivating ribonucleases (RNases) in cells(Ghawana et al.,

Formatted: Spanish

Formatted: Spanish

Formatted: Spanish

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Deleted: extractions

Formatted: Spanish

Deleted: own sets of

Deleted:

Deleted: the majority

Deleted: plan to modify

Deleted: obtain

Deleted: method

2011). This action is crucial for releasing and preserving intact RNA. Studies have shown that GITC not only inhibits RNase activity but also plays a role in the phase separation of nucleic acids, adjustable through concentration modification. Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), an effective anionic surfactant in RNA extraction, assists in disrupting cell and nuclear membranes and emulsifying lipids. Its role is vital in denaturing proteins and detaching them from RNA, facilitating the release and preservation of RNA(Barbier et al., 2019; Vennapusa et al., 2020). Since GITC and SDS are relatively inexpensive and low-toxicity reagents, they are often used to improve the extraction method of total RNA.

In this study, we introduced the addition of GITC (GITC-T method) and SDS (SDS-T method) to the commercial TRIzol reagent process for extracting total RNA from human and [mouse](#) samples. The primary aim was to reduce the volume of the TRIzol reagent required, thereby decreasing experimental costs while still obtaining RNA products of similar or enhanced quality. By modifying the conventional process with these additions, we aimed to provide a straightforward, cost-effective, and universally applicable method for total RNA extraction from human and mouse samples, specifically tailored to meet the needs of small-scale laboratories facing financial constraints.

Deleted: /or

Deleted: mice

Materials and Methods

Experimental Materials

C57BL/6J Mice: Obtained from Changzhou Cavins Laboratory Animal Co., Ltd and these mice were fed in the Laboratory Animal Center of North Sichuan Medical

126 College on a normal diet, weighing between 20-25 g, and were aged 6-8 weeks. Mice
127 were anesthetized with 3% isoflurane and executed by neck dissection.

128 Human Astrocytoma Cells (U87-MG): Sourced from Wuhan Procell Life
129 Science & Technology Co., Ltd (Catalog No: CL-0238). Human Cervical Carcinoma
130 Cells (Hela S3): Acquired from Sichuan Bio Biotechnology Co., Ltd (Catalog No:
131 B26087).

132 Blood Samples: Gathered from healthy adults at the Affiliated Hospital of North
133 Sichuan Medical College or ourselves.

134 **Experimental Reagents and Instruments**

135 **Reagents:**

136 Chemicals: Included but not limited to, in the study, GITC, SDS, chloroform,
137 isopropanol (IPA), 75% ethanol, agarose, [Trihydroxy methyl](#) aminomethane (Tris),
138 Ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA), and anhydrous acetic acid.

Deleted: Trihydroxymethyl

139 Commercial Reagents: Included but not limited to the TRIzol reagent, Eagle's
140 Basic Medium (BME), F-12K medium, and fetal bovine serum (FBS), procured from
141 Thermo Fisher Scientific. Additional reagents included diethyl pyrocarbonate-treated
142 water (DEPC water), trypsin-EDTA solution, penicillin-streptomycin solution, and
143 Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS) from Ranjco Technology Co., Ltd. The
144 reverse transcription kit (R-T Kit) and real-time fluorescence quantitative PCR kit (q-
145 PCR Kit) were acquired from TaKaRa, with q-PCR primer pairs synthesized by
146 Shanghai Sangong Biotechnology Co., Ltd. More details about the reagents are
147 referenced in Supplementary Table 1.

149 **Instruments:**

150 Homogenization was performed using a Handheld homogenizer (Tengen Biochemical
151 Technology (Beijing) Co., Ltd OSE-Y50). Spectrophotometer: NanoDrop™ One
152 Micro-volume UV-Vis Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.).
153 Electrophoresis: Mini ReadySub-Cell GT Horizontal Electrophoresis System (Bio-
154 Rad Laboratories, Inc.). Gel Documentation: Gel documentation imaging system
155 (GenoSens 2000, Clinx Science Instruments Co., Ltd). PCR Analysis: CFX Opus 96
156 Real-Time PCR System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). More information on
157 instruments is summarized in Supplementary Table 2.

158 **Experimental Methods**

159 **Preparation of Tissue Samples**

160 C57BL/6J mice who are about eight weeks old were humanely euthanized under deep
161 anesthesia using 3% isoflurane gas, adhering to approved ethical guidelines. Brain
162 tissues were quickly extracted using humane methods. The cerebral cortex was
163 separated on ice in pre-chilled disposable cell culture dishes to maintain tissue
164 integrity. The tissues were then gently homogenized, aliquoted into sterile, enzyme-
165 free 1.5 ml Eppendorf centrifuge tubes (EP tubes), and accurately weighed. These
166 prepared cerebral cortex samples were preserved on ice to ensure freshness until
167 further processing.

168 **Preparation of Cell Samples**

169 U87-MG and Hela S3 were cultured in BME and F-12K medium, respectively, both
170 supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin-streptomycin solution. The cells were
171 incubated at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. At the exponential growth phase, the
172 original cell culture was discarded. Cells were rinsed with 1 mL of Dulbecco's
173 phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS), followed by discarding the DPBS. Subsequently, 1
174 mL of trypsin-EDTA solution was added for cell detachment and incubated at 37°C
175 for 3 minutes. The trypsinization was stopped by adding 2 mL of the respective
176 complete medium. The cells were resuspended through gentle pipetting and
177 transferred to a 15 mL centrifuge tube for centrifugation at 100×g for 5 minutes. The
178 supernatant was discarded, and the cells were resuspended in 3 mL of DPBS, equally
179 distributed into three sterile, enzyme-free 1.5 mL EP tubes, and centrifuged at 200×g
180 for 5 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded, and the cell pellets were kept on
181 ice.

Deleted: then

182 **Preparation of Blood Samples**

183 Blood samples from healthy adults were collected into vacuum blood collection tubes
184 with purple caps, indicating the presence of EDTA as an anticoagulant. The samples
185 were mixed thoroughly by gentle inversion and aliquoted into three sterile, enzyme-
186 free 1.5 mL EP tubes, each receiving 200 µL of blood. These samples were then stored
187 temporarily at four °C for backup.

Deleted: 4

188 **Total RNA Extraction Using the TRIzol method**

189 Total RNA was extracted from blood, cell, and tissue samples, including mouse
190 cerebral cortex tissues, following the guidelines of the manufacturer manual, which is

193 modified slightly by us to elevate the yield of total RNA. The procedure is
194 summarized as follows:

195 Lysis: Initially, 500 µl of the TRIzol reagent was added to each sample tube, and
196 the tissues were homogenized using a handheld homogenizer. An additional 500 µl of
197 TRIzol was then added to ensure complete lysis.

198 Phase Separation: Following 5-min incubation at room temperature, 200 µl of
199 chloroform was added. The tubes were vigorously shaken to form a pink emulsion
200 and allowed to hold for 3 min at room temperature before undergoing centrifugation
201 at 12,000×g for 15 min at 4°C. The aqueous phase was meticulously transferred to a
202 new tube to avoid protein contamination.

203 RNA Precipitation: An equal volume of IPA was mixed well with the
204 supernatant, and the samples were left to precipitate at -20°C overnight. The
205 following day, the supernatant was discarded after centrifugation at four °C for 15
206 minutes at 12,000×g.

207 Washing: The RNA pellet underwent washing with 1 ml of 75% ethanol by
208 centrifuging at 7,500 × g for 5 min at four °C, followed by a second spin at 12,000 × g
209 for 5 minutes to ensure thorough washing.

210 Drying and Dissolving: The ethanol was discarded, and the RNA pellet was air-
211 dried with open caps for 5-10 minutes. Subsequently, the dried RNA was
212 redissolution in 20 µl of DEPC water, ensuring complete dissolution before storage at
213 -80°C.

214 **Optimization of the amount of GITC and SDS additions**

Deleted: minute

Deleted: minutes

Deleted: minutes

Deleted: 4

Deleted: minutes

Deleted: 4

This method was applied explicitly to mouse cerebral cortex tissue samples as outlined below:

Deleted: specifically

Lysis: Initially, 200 µl of 3 mol/L (M), 4 M, and 5 M for GITC and 5%, 10%, and 15% for SDS solution were added separately to the prepared sample tube, followed by homogenization with a handheld homogenizer. Subsequently, 800 µl of TRIzol reagent was added to the EP tube and thoroughly mixed. The remaining steps are the same as those in the TRIzol method.

Total RNA Extraction Using the GITC-T Method

This method was applied to mouse cerebral cortex tissue samples as follows:

Lysis: Initially, 100 µl of 20% SDS solution was added to the prepared sample tubes and homogenized with a handheld homogenizer. Subsequently, 800 µl of TRIzol reagent and 100 µl of GITC solution were added. Mix the lysate well and place on ice for 15 minutes. The remaining steps are the same as those in the TRIzol method.

Total RNA Extraction Using the SDS-T Method

This method was applied explicitly to mouse cerebral cortex tissue samples as outlined below:

Deleted: specifically

Lysis: Initially, 200 µl of 10% SDS solution was added to the prepared sample tube, followed by homogenization with a handheld homogenizer. Subsequently, 800 µl of TRIzol reagent was added to the EP tube and thoroughly mixed, then placed on ice for 15 minutes. The remaining steps are the same as those in the TRIzol method,

and the procedure of the three animal sample total RNA extraction methods is summarized in Table 1.

Total RNA Yield and Purity Assay

The yield and purity of total RNA extracted from human and mouse samples were assessed using Thermo's NanoDrop™ One Micro-volume UV-Vis Spectrophotometer.

The indicators of RNA purity focus on the absorbance [of](#) the OD₂₆₀/OD₂₈₀ and OD₂₆₀/OD₂₃₀ ratios. The procedure is outlined as follows:

Instrument Preparation: The spectrophotometer was meticulously cleaned before the testing to ensure accurate purity assessments.

Baseline Calibration: DEPC water served to establish a blank sample for calibrating the absorbance baseline specific to the RNA solvent.

Sample Measurement: Take one microliter of each RNA sample for concentration and purity detection. The purity of RNA samples is mainly reflected in the ratio of OD₂₆₀/OD₂₈₀ and OD₂₆₀/OD₂₃₀. Each sample underwent three separate measurements.

Data Analysis: The mean value and standard error (mean ± SEM) were calculated from the nine data points to assess the efficiency, purity levels, and reproducibility of the different RNA extraction methods.

Total RNA Integrity Assay

The integrity of the total RNA samples was evaluated using agarose gel electrophoresis, following these steps:

Each RNA sample was electrophoresed using an agarose gel at a concentration of 1% containing an ethidium bromide substitute. The parameters of agarose gel electrophoresis are voltage 120 V and time 45 mins. After electrophoresis, electropherograms were acquired using the Gel Documentation Imaging System, and 28S and 18S band signals were acquired using Image J (version 1.8.0) software to assess the integrity of each RNA sample.

Abundance Detection of Transcripts in Total RNA Samples

The quantification of specific gene transcripts within total RNA samples involved several key steps:

Primer Design: Primers for the human housekeeping gene Glyceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH, Gene ID: 2597) and the long non-coding gene PU.1 induced regulator of S100A8 and S100A9 alarmin transcription 1 (PIRAT1, Gene ID: 101929559) were identified using the "Pick Primers" tool on the NCBI website (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>). The selected primers span at least one intron to ensure specificity for cDNA. Sequence information of primer pairs is shown in Supplementary Table 3.

cDNA Synthesis: Genomic DNA (gDNA) is removed by DNase, which is in a reverse transcript kit (TaKaRa, #RR047A). Then 1000 ng of total RNA was reverse transcribed into complementary DNA (cDNA) following the protocol provided with the reverse transcription kit.

q-PCR Setup: The q-PCR reaction mix was prepared according to the quantitative PCR kit's instructions (TaKaRa, #RR820A). PCR amplification was conducted on the CFX96 Real-Time PCR System, with a total reaction volume of 25

288 μ L. The cycling conditions were as follows: an initial pre-denaturation at 95°C for 30
289 seconds, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 5 seconds, and
290 annealing/extension at 60°C for 30 seconds. The melting curves of the q-PCR
291 products were analyzed from 65 °C to 95 °C.

292 Data Analysis: The relative abundance of the target gene transcript in the RNA
293 sample was determined by analyzing the threshold cycle (Ct) of the q-PCR reaction to
294 evaluate which total RNA sample had more starting copies of the target gene
295 transcript(Livak & Schmittgen, 2001; Schmittgen & Livak, 2008).

296 Transcriptome and Whole Transcriptome Sequencing

297 RNA samples, including those extracted from human whole blood, using both the
298 TRIzol method and the GITC-T method, as well as RNA from Hela S3 and U87-MG
299 cell lines, were forwarded to Wuhan Gene Read Biotechnology Co., Ltd. for
300 comprehensive RNA sequencing analysis.

301 The RNA-Seq samples were constructed using the VAHTS® Universal V8
302 RNA-seq Library Prep Kit for Illumina (Vazyme, #NR605). Whole transcriptome
303 sequencing samples require the construction of two sequencing libraries: first, the
304 VAHTS® Small RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina V2 (Vazyme, #NR811) is used to
305 construct small RNA sequencing libraries. Second, ribosomal RNA was removed
306 using the Ribo-MagOff rRNA Depletion Kit (Vazyme, #N420), and then the
307 VAHTS® Universal V8 RNA-seq Library Prep Kit for Illumina (Vazyme, #NR605)
308 was used to construct lncRNA-sequencing libraries. Finally, all libraries were
309 sequenced using the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform.

310 Statistical analysis

Deleted: ,

312 Statistical analysis was performed for each total RNA extraction method, involving at
313 least three separate extraction trials. These trials encompassed a variety of animal
314 samples, including mouse cerebral cortex tissue, human tumor cells, and human blood
315 samples. Two-sided t-tests were utilized to compare data between two distinct groups,
316 evaluating statistical differences. In cases of multiple group comparisons, either one-
317 way or two-way ANOVA was employed, complemented by Tukey's multiple
318 comparisons test to ascertain statistical significance. The findings were presented as
319 mean \pm SEM, with GraphPad Prism 8 software ~~used~~ for computation and
320 visualization.

Deleted: employed

Deleted: both

Deleted: purposes

321 Results

322 Higher yield of total RNA from animal samples extracted by the GITC-T 323 method

324 The study examined the effect of adding GITC and SDS on the total RNA yield from
325 animal samples, particularly mouse cerebral cortex tissues. Concentration gradients of
326 3 mol/L (M), 4 M, and 5 M for GITC and 5%, 10%, and 15% for SDS were tested to
327 determine the optimal concentrations. RNA sample concentrations detected by
328 NanoDrop™ One (Table 2) and their statistical bar plots (Figs. 1A and 1B) showed
329 that the yield of total RNA increased with increasing GITC concentration. At the
330 same time, the yield of total RNA peaked at 10% SDS, and too high or too low SDS
331 concentrations reduced the yield of RNA. This is also shown for the electrophoresis
332 patterns (Figs. 1C and 1D) and their statistical bar plots (Figs. 1E and 1F) of RNA
333 samples.

Upon identifying the optimal concentrations of GITC (5 M) and SDS (10%), the study compared total RNA yields from the same animal samples using three extraction methods: the TRIzol method, GITC-T, and SDS-T methods. NanoDrop™ One quantified the yields, which were normalized to the unit weight of the mouse cerebral cortex tissues. The GITC-T exhibited the highest RNA yield, surpassing that of the TRIzol method, while the SDS-T yielded the least. Detailed outcomes are provided in Table 3 and Fig. 1G.

Higher purity of total RNA from animal samples extracted by GITC-T method

The purity of total RNA extracted from animal samples using the GITC-T method was evaluated by measuring OD₂₆₀/OD₂₈₀ and OD₂₆₀/OD₂₃₀ ratios with NanoDrop™ One. An OD₂₆₀/OD₂₈₀ ratio below 1.9 suggests protein contamination, while a ratio above 2.1 indicates potential DNA contamination or RNA degradation(Desjardins & Conklin, 2010). Similarly, an OD₂₆₀/OD₂₃₀ ratio below 2.0 indicates salt contamination, whereas a ratio above 2.0 signifies high-purity RNA without salt contamination(Ahlberg, Jenmalm & Tingö, 2021). According to the results presented in Table 4 and Fig. 2, RNA samples extracted via the GITC-T method exhibited the most minor contamination by proteins and salt ions, surpassing those obtained through the TRIzol method. Conversely, the SDS-T method showed the highest levels of protein and salt ion contamination. Consequently, further comparative experiments focused on the GITC-T and the TRIzol methods to assess their efficacy in RNA extraction.

Deleted: least

The q-PCR results (Fig. S2) show that for total RNA samples extracted from human blood using the TRIzol method, the Ct values are significantly lower in the group without gDNA removal compared to the group with gDNA removal. That indicates a high amount of residual gDNA in the total RNA samples. Conversely, for total RNA samples extracted using the GITC-T method, the Ct value difference between the two groups is much smaller, suggesting less residual gDNA in these samples. Thus, it concluded that the total RNA samples extracted using the GITC-T method have less residual gDNA than those extracted using the TRIzol method, implying higher total RNA purity.

Higher integrity of total RNA extracted by the GITC-T method

The integrity of total RNA extracted from animal tissues and cells was notably higher with the GITC-T method. Typically, animal cells yield three primary RNA types—28S, 18S, and 5S—distinguishable by agarose gel electrophoresis. RNA integrity is inferred from the 28S to 18S band intensity ratio, with the ideal ratio being approximately two-fold higher for the 28S bands. Figs. 1C, 1D, and S1 illustrate that both the GITC-T and the TRIzol methods produced clear bands for all three RNA types, indicating good integrity. Furthermore, the integrity assessment extended to U87-MG cell samples processed by both methods, with sequencing pre-sequencing quality tests conducted by Wuhan Gene Read Biotechnology Co., Ltd using the Bioptic Qsep 100 bioanalyzer. The findings, depicted in Fig. 3A, showed that the GITC-T method yielded a larger area under the 28S peak and a higher 28S/18S ratio compared to the TRIzol method, affirming the superior integrity of RNA extracted via the GITC-T method.

Deleted: and

In addition, total RNA samples extracted by the GITC-T and TRIzol methods were subjected to denaturing agarose gel electrophoresis after RNA-Seq and stored in Wuhan Gene Read Biotechnology Co., Ltd. The results of electrophoresis are shown in Fig. S3, and the 28S, 18S, and 5S bands of each RNA sample are clearly visible, and the brightness of the 28S band significantly exceeds the brightness of the 18S band (about 2-fold), confirming that the RNA samples obtained by the total RNA extraction methods of the two animal samples are of good integrity. At the same time, the total RNA extracted by the GITC-T method from the same sample had a higher signal intensity than that extracted by the TRIzol method.

There is no difference between the total RNA extracted by the GITC-T method and the TRIzol method

Deleted: No

The study compared total RNA extracted from human blood, Hela S3 cells, and U87-MG cells using both the GITC-T and the TRIzol methods, with samples sent to Wuhan Gene Read Biotechnology Co., Ltd for RNA-seq sequencing or whole transcriptome sequencing. Quality control results, presented in Fig. 3B, demonstrated consistent RNA quality between the two extraction methods across various sample types, suggesting no significant difference in the total RNA extracted by either method. Furthermore, RNA-Seq analysis of cells revealed comparable RNA sequence compositions between samples processed with the TRIzol method (Fig. 4A) and those with the GITC-T method (Fig. 4B), reinforcing the conclusion that the two methods yield essentially equivalent total RNA in terms of quality and composition.

The total RNA transcript abundance of animal samples extracted by the GITC-T method was higher.

The study compared transcript abundance in total RNA extracted from animal samples using the GITC-T method versus the TRIzol method. Quantitative amplification was performed following the q-PCR kit instructions, with primer pairs listed in Supplementary Table 3 and the reaction system detailed in Supplementary Table 4. The melting curve for the q-PCR primer products revealed a single peak for the GAPDH and PIRAT1 primers, indicating high primer specificity (Fig. 5A). To eliminate the interference of reagents and gDNA residues on the q-PCR results of total RNA samples extracted by different methods, we set three q-PCR groups: no template group, no gDNA removal group, and gDNA removal group. The q-PCR amplification curves demonstrated earlier peaks for samples extracted with the GITC-T method, suggesting a higher number of transcript copies for the GAPDH and PIRAT1 genes compared to those extracted by the TRIzol method (Fig. 5B). Statistical analysis confirmed that the q-PCR Ct values for the GITC-T method were lower, indicating a significant difference in GAPDH and PIRAT1 transcript copy numbers between the two extraction methods (Fig. 5C).

The total RNA extracted from animal samples by the GITC-T method was superior to the TRIzol method.

The GITC-T method for extracting total RNA from animal samples demonstrated superiority over the TRIzol method across multiple metrics. While the consistency in RNA quality between the two methods was confirmed (Fig. 3B), the GITC-T method yielded RNA with higher integrity (Fig. 3A) and greater transcript abundance (Figs. 5B and 5C). Furthermore, comparisons revealed that the GITC-T method achieved higher yields (Table 3, Fig. 1G) and purity (Table 4, Fig. 2, Supplementary Table 5) of total RNA from the same types of animal samples than the TRIzol method. These

advantages highlight the GITC-T method's overall superiority in extracting total RNA, offering the additional benefit of reducing experimental costs by minimizing the use of the traditional TRIzol reagent.

Discussion

RNA, a complex and multifaceted biomolecule, remains a pivotal subject of study in life sciences and medicine(Roszkowski & Mansuy, 2021). Essential for a range of analyses such as q-PCR, RNA-seq, and whole transcriptome sequencing, high-quality total RNA samples are fundamental(Roszkowski & Mansuy, 2021; Dandare et al., 2022; Zhao et al., 2023). While the cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) method is traditionally employed for extracting total RNA from plant samples(Sasi et al., 2023; Mainkar et al., 2023), the TRIzol method is the standard for animal samples(Chomczynski & Sacchi, 2006). Despite advancements in technology enhancing the diversity of RNA extraction methods for animal samples, surpassing the efficacy of the TRIzol method proves challenging. Techniques like spin column extraction, though streamlining the process, often result in lower RNA yields(Roos-van Groningen et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2017). Similarly, magnetic bead extraction achieves high purity but is deterred by higher costs(Butcher et al., 2014; Adams et al., 2015). This study aims to identify a total RNA extraction method from animal samples that offers both cost-efficiency and RNA quality comparable or superior to the TRIzol method. Building on previous research to enhance animal sample RNA extraction methods(Rodgers et al., 2022; Faraldi et al., 2022; Avramov et al., 2024), the focus is on refining the TRIzol method to balance cost-effectiveness with high-quality RNA yield.

In this research, GITC, a potent protein denaturant, and SDS, an anionic surfactant, were employed for their cost-effectiveness and efficacy in disrupting cell membranes to release and safeguard RNA during total RNA extraction from animal samples(Singer & Tjeerdema, 1993; Ogram et al., 1995; Otzen et al., 2022). Despite their everyday use, the study encountered several challenges:

Deleted: common

1. SDS Precipitation: SDS solution-containing sample homogenates precipitated SDS crystals when cooled on ice, leading to a diminished RNA yield. This indicates the need for careful temperature management when using SDS in RNA extraction processes.

2. Inadequacy for Serum or Plasma Samples: Neither 200 μ L of serum nor plasma provided sufficient RNA for q-PCR analysis. This outcome suggests that the TRIzol method and its modifications may not be optimal for extracting RNA from serum or plasma samples. This finding differs somewhat from the results of Chen et al.(Chen et al., 2023).

3. Heparin anticoagulant interfered with the q-PCR assay: While the GITC-T, TRIzol, and SDS-T methods successfully extracted RNA from heparin-anticoagulated whole blood samples, the resultant RNA failed to produce amplification products in q-PCR experiments. Conversely, RNA extracted from EDTA-anticoagulated samples did not face this issue, indicating that heparin may interfere with RNA quality or q-PCR reactions, rendering heparin-anticoagulated samples unsuitable for such analyses.

4. Although the GITC and SDS can be used together or separately to improve the traditional TRIzol method when extracting RNA by the GITC-T method, SDS and GITC should not be added successively. The TRIzol reagent must be added after SDS to act as a buffer, or crystals will precipitate when they meet directly. In the future, we

Deleted: use

can change the order of adding the three reagents, such as adding GITC first, then TRIzol, and finally SDS, to see if we can achieve similar or better total RNA extraction efficiency from animal samples.

Deleted: try to

5. GITC Concentration Optimization: The study experimented with three GITC concentration gradients—3 M, 4 M, and 5 M—and observed that RNA yield increased with GITC concentration. This suggests further increasing the GITC concentration or reducing the TRIzol reagent volume might enhance RNA yield and overall experimental outcomes. Of course, this speculation still needs further experimental verification.

Deleted: that

Denaturing gel electrophoresis offers precise measurement of RNA molecular weights and integrity assessment, yet standard agarose gel electrophoresis is favored for its convenience and quickness in evaluating RNA integrity (Figs. S1 and S3). Although the theoretical ideal for the 28S/18S ribosomal RNA band brightness ratio is 2.7:1, a 2:1 ratio is commonly accepted to indicate good RNA integrity. In practice, clear visibility of 28S, 18S, and 5S RNA bands with a 28S:18S ratio exceeding 1.0 is sufficient for most experimental needs. The GITC-T and the TRIzol methods can yield RNA of satisfactory integrity (Figs. 3A and S3). Consistency analyses of RNA-seq data from samples extracted using either method revealed high correlation coefficients, nearing 1.0 (Fig. 3B), suggesting that the total RNA quality is highly similar regardless of the extraction method. This similarity indicates that variations in RNA samples are more likely attributed to differences in sample types rather than the extraction techniques employed. Therefore, substituting the TRIzol method with the GITC-T method, which offers higher yields, is unlikely to introduce biases in experimental outcomes.

Deleted: as indicative of

Deleted: Both the

Deleted: are capable of yielding

Deleted: used

Deleted:

Moreover, compositional analysis of RNA-seq data revealed no significant differences in the sequence component composition between the GITC-T and the TRIzol methods (Fig. 4). However, there was a notable increase in the percentage of intronic sequences in RNA samples extracted with the GITC-T method. This suggests the presence of a higher proportion of precursor mRNAs, implying that the GITC solution may more effectively disrupt cellular membranes to release nuclear precursor mRNAs. This insight could be particularly relevant for studies on gene expression and RNA processing.

Deleted: focusing

The GITC-T method, an adaptation of the TRIzol method, minimizes the amount of TRIzol reagent required for extracting total RNA from animal samples, thereby reducing experimental costs without complicating the extraction process. This approach yields total RNA comparable to that obtained through the TRIzol method, with the added advantages of higher yield and purity. Given these benefits, the cost-effective and efficient GITC-T method emerges as a particularly suitable option for smaller-scale laboratories seeking to maintain high standards of RNA extraction while managing limited resources. The GITC-T method reduces the volume of the TRIzol reagent required, thereby decreasing experimental costs while still obtaining high standards of efficiency and quality of RNA products.

Deleted: that is essentially

Conclusions

The GITC-T method, an adaptation of the TRIzol method, minimizes the amount of TRIzol reagent required for extracting total RNA from animal samples, thereby reducing experimental costs without complicating the extraction process. This approach yields total RNA comparable to that obtained through the TRIzol method, with the added advantages of higher yield and purity. At present, we have only

validated the effectiveness of the GITC-T method on human and mouse samples, and further validation is needed to determine whether it is generalizable to other model and non-model species. Given these benefits, the cost-effective and efficient GITC-T method emerges as a particularly suitable option for smaller-scale laboratories seeking to maintain high standards of RNA extraction while managing limited resources.

Additional Information and Declarations

Funding

This work was supported by the Doctoral Research Foundation of North Sichuan Medical College (CBY21-QD17); the City-School Science and Technology Strategic Cooperation Project of Nanchong (22SXQT0032); the Sichuan Science and Technology Program (2023NSFSC0709).

Competing Interests

The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

Author Contributions

DB designed this research. YZ and XT performed research and analyzed data. JC and XK assisted with the sampling and data analysis. YZ, XT, and DB wrote the paper. All the authors approved the final draft and agreed to be accountable for the content of the work.

Ethical approval

All animal experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Research and Ethics Committee of North Sichuan Medical College (Approval Number NSMC(A)2021(114)). All procedures and husbandry followed the NIH Guide for the

Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. The "4R" principle of experimental animals was actively followed, and efforts were made to reduce the amount of suffering of experimental animals. The procedures for human blood sample collection were approved by the Ethics Committee of the Affiliated Hospital of North Sichuan Medical College (File Number: 2023ER372-1).

Deleted: and

Data Available

RNA-seq data for different types of RNA samples extracted using the traditional TRIzol method and the modified GITC-T method have been deposited at <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.25678368>. They are publicly available as of the date of publication.

Deleted: , and

Reference

- Adams NM, Bordelon H, Wang K-KA, Albert LE, Wright DW, Haselton FR. 2015. Comparison of Three Magnetic Bead Surface Functionalities for RNA Extraction and Detection. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces* 7:6062–6069. DOI: 10.1021/am506374t.
- Ahlberg E, Jenmalm MC, Tingö L. 2021. Evaluation of five column - based isolation kits and their ability to extract miRNA from human milk. *Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine* 25:7973–7979. DOI: 10.1111/jcmm.16726.
- Avramov M, Gallo V, Gross A, Lapen DR, Ludwig A, Cullingham CI. 2024. A cost-effective RNA extraction and RT-qPCR approach to detect California serogroup viruses from pooled mosquito samples. *Scientific Reports* 14:2339. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-024-52534-1.
- Barbier FF, Chabikwa TG, Ahsan MU, Cook SE, Powell R, Tanurdzic M, Beveridge CA. 2019. A phenol/chloroform-free method to extract nucleic acids from recalcitrant, woody tropical species for gene expression and sequencing. *Plant Methods* 15:62. DOI: 10.1186/s13007-019-0447-3.

588 Biró O, Fóthi Á, Alasztics B, Nagy B, Orbán TI, Rigó J. 2019. Circulating
589 exosomal and Argonaute-bound microRNAs in preeclampsia. *Gene* 692:138–
590 144. DOI: 10.1016/j.gene.2019.01.012.

591 Brown RAM, Epis MR, Horsham JL, Kabir TD, Richardson KL, Leedman PJ.
592 2018. Total RNA extraction from tissues for microRNA and target gene
593 expression analysis: not all kits are created equal. *BMC Biotechnology* 18:16.
594 DOI: 10.1186/s12896-018-0421-6.

595 Butcher A, Aslam S, Hemyari P, Cowen U, Heilek G. 2014. HCV RNA
596 detection in HCV antibody-positive patients with the COBAS®
597 AmpliPrep/COBAS® TaqMan® HCV test, v2.0 in comparison with FDA-
598 approved nucleic acid tests. *Journal of Clinical Virology* 60:336–340. DOI:
599 10.1016/j.jcv.2014.04.018.

600 Chen F, Shi B, Liu W, Gong J, Gao J, Sun Y, Yang P. 2023. Circulating
601 exosomal microRNAs as biomarkers of lupus nephritis. *Frontiers in*
602 *Immunology* 14:1326836. DOI: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1326836.

603 Chomczynski P, Sacchi N. 2006. The single-step method of RNA isolation by
604 acid guanidinium thiocyanate-phenol-chloroform extraction: twenty-something
605 years on. *Nature Protocols* 1:581–585. DOI: 10.1038/nprot.2006.83.

606 Clark JZ, Chen L, Chou C-L, Jung HJ, Lee JW, Knepper MA. 2019.
607 Representation and relative abundance of cell-type selective markers in
608 whole-kidney RNA-Seq data. *Kidney International* 95:787–796. DOI:
609 10.1016/j.kint.2018.11.028.

610 Dandare A, Rafiq M, Liaquat A, Jawad Khan M. 2022. Two hours method for
611 RNA and DNA co-extraction from blood of coronary artery disease patients:
612 Fast, simple and economical technique. *Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences*
613 38. DOI: 10.12669/pjms.38.7.5509.

614 Desjardins P, Conklin D. 2010. NanoDrop Microvolume Quantitation of
615 Nucleic Acids. *Journal of Visualized Experiments*:2565. DOI: 10.3791/2565.

616 Dimke H, Larsen SL, Skov MN, Larsen H, Hartmeyer GN, Moeller JB. 2021.
617 Phenol-chloroform-based RNA purification for detection of SARS-CoV-2 by

618 RT-qPCR: Comparison with automated systems. *PLOS ONE* 16:e0247524.
619 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0247524.

620 Duy J, Koehler JW, Honko AN, Minogue TD. 2015. Optimized microRNA
621 purification from TRIzol-treated plasma. *BMC Genomics* 16:95. DOI:
622 10.1186/s12864-015-1299-5.

623 Faraldi M, Mangiavini L, Conte C, Banfi G, Napoli N, Lombardi G. 2022. A
624 novel methodological approach to simultaneously extract high-quality total
625 RNA and proteins from cortical and trabecular bone. *Open Biology* 12:210387.
626 DOI: 10.1098/rsob.210387.

627 Gagliardi S, Poloni ET, Pandini C, Garofalo M, Dragoni F, Medici V, Davin A,
628 Visonà SD, Moretti M, Sproviero D, Pansarasa O, Guaita A, Ceroni M,
629 Tronconi L, Cereda C. 2021. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 genome and whole
630 transcriptome sequencing in frontal cortex of COVID-19 patients. *Brain,*
631 *Behavior, and Immunity* 97:13–21. DOI: 10.1016/j.bbi.2021.05.012.

632 Gandhi V, O'Brien MH, Yadav S. 2020. High-Quality and High-Yield RNA
633 Extraction Method From Whole Human Saliva. *Biomarker Insights*
634 15:117727192092970. DOI: 10.1177/1177271920929705.

635 Ghawana S, Paul A, Kumar H, Kumar A, Singh H, Bhardwaj PK, Rani A,
636 Singh RS, Raizada J, Singh K, Kumar S. 2011. An RNA isolation system for
637 plant tissues rich in secondary metabolites. *BMC research notes* 4:85. DOI:
638 10.1186/1756-0500-4-85.

639 He H, Li R, Chen Y, Pan P, Tong W, Dong X, Chen Y, Yu D. 2017. Integrated
640 DNA and RNA extraction using magnetic beads from viral pathogens causing
641 acute respiratory infections. *Scientific Reports* 7:45199. DOI:
642 10.1038/srep45199.

643 Hoffman JS, Hirano M, Panpradist N, Breda J, Ruth P, Xu Y, Lester J,
644 Nguyen BH, Ceze L, Patel SN. 2022. Passively sensing SARS-CoV-2 RNA in
645 public transit buses. *Science of The Total Environment* 821:152790. DOI:
646 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.152790.

647 Kao C-Y, Chang C-T, Kuo P-Y, Lin C-J, Chiu H-H, Liao H-W. 2023.
648 Sequential isolation of metabolites and lipids from a single sample to achieve
649 multiomics by using TRIzol reagent. *Talanta* 258:124416. DOI:
650 10.1016/j.talanta.2023.124416.

651 Klein S, Müller TG, Khalid D, Sonntag-Buck V, Heuser A-M, Glass B, Meurer
652 M, Morales I, Schillak A, Freistaedter A, Ambiel I, Winter SL, Zimmermann L,
653 Naumoska T, Bubeck F, Kirrmaier D, Ullrich S, Barreto Miranda I, Anders S,
654 Grimm D, Schnitzler P, Knop M, Kräusslich H-G, Dao Thi VL, Börner K,
655 Chlanda P. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 RNA Extraction Using Magnetic Beads for
656 Rapid Large-Scale Testing by RT-qPCR and RT-LAMP. *Viruses* 12:863. DOI:
657 10.3390/v12080863.

658 Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. 2001. Analysis of Relative Gene Expression Data
659 Using Real-Time Quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ Method. *Methods*
660 25:402–408. DOI: 10.1006/meth.2001.1262.

661 Ma W, Wang M, Wang Z-Q, Sun L, Graber D, Matthews J, Champlin R, Yi Q,
662 Orlowski RZ, Kwak LW, Weber DM, Thomas SK, Shah J, Kornblau S, Davis
663 RE. 2010. Effect of Long-term Storage in TRIzol on Microarray-Based Gene
664 Expression Profiling. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention*
665 19:2445–2452. DOI: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-10-0565.

666 Mainkar P, Jayaswal D, Kumar D, Jayaswall K, Jaiswal S, Singh AN, Kumar S,
667 Kansal R. 2023. Development of modified CTAB and Trizol protocols to
668 isolate high molecular weight (HMW) RNA from polyphenol and
669 polysaccharides rich pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp. *PLOS ONE*
670 18:e0291949. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0291949.

671 Ogram A, Sun W, Brockman FJ, Fredrickson JK. 1995. Isolation and
672 characterization of RNA from low-biomass deep-subsurface sediments.
673 *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 61:763–768. DOI:
674 10.1128/aem.61.2.763-768.1995.

675 Otzen DE, Pedersen JN, Rasmussen HØ, Pedersen JS. 2022. How do
676 surfactants unfold and refold proteins? *Advances in Colloid and Interface*
677 *Science* 308:102754. DOI: 10.1016/j.cis.2022.102754.

678 Rodgers KP, Hulbert A, Khan H, Shishikura M, Ishiyama S, Brock MV, Mei Y.
 679 2022. A TRIzol-based method for high recovery of plasma sncRNAs
 680 approximately 30 to 60 nucleotides. *Scientific Reports* 12:6778. DOI:
 681 10.1038/s41598-022-10800-0.

682 Roos-van Groningen MC, Eikmans M, Baelde HJ, Heer EDE, Bruijn JA. 2004.
 683 Improvement of extraction and processing of RNA from renal biopsies. *Kidney*
 684 *International* 65:97–105. DOI: 10.1111/j.1523-1755.2004.00366.x.

685 Roszkowski M, Mansuy IM. 2021. High Efficiency RNA Extraction From
 686 Sperm Cells Using Guanidinium Thiocyanate Supplemented With Tris(2-
 687 Carboxyethyl)Phosphine. *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology*
 688 9:648274. DOI: 10.3389/fcell.2021.648274.

689 Sasi S, Krishnan S, Kodackattumannil P, Shamisi AA, Aldarmaki M, Lekshmi
 690 G, Kottackal M, Amiri KMA. 2023. DNA-free high-quality RNA extraction from
 691 39 difficult-to-extract plant species (representing seasonal tissues and tissue
 692 types) of 32 families, and its validation for downstream molecular applications.
 693 *Plant Methods* 19:84. DOI: 10.1186/s13007-023-01063-5.

694 Schactler SA, Scheuerman SJ, Lius A, Altemeier WA, An D, Matula TJ,
 695 Mikula M, Kulecka M, Denisenko O, Mar D, Bomsztyk K. 2023. CryoGrid-
 696 PIXUL-RNA: high throughput RNA isolation platform for tissue transcript
 697 analysis. *BMC Genomics* 24:446. DOI: 10.1186/s12864-023-09527-7.

698 Schmittgen TD, Livak KJ. 2008. Analyzing real-time PCR data by the
 699 comparative CT method. *Nature Protocols* 3:1101–1108. DOI:
 700 10.1038/nprot.2008.73.

701 Scholes AN, Lewis JA. 2020. Comparison of RNA isolation methods on RNA-
 702 Seq: implications for differential expression and meta-analyses. *BMC*
 703 *Genomics* 21:249. DOI: 10.1186/s12864-020-6673-2.

704 Singer MM, Tjeerdema RS. 1993. Fate and Effects of the Surfactant Sodium
 705 Dodecyl Sulfate. In: Ware GW ed. *Reviews of Environmental Contamination*
 706 *and Toxicology*. Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology.
 707 New York, NY: Springer New York, 95–149. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4613-9529-
 708 4_3.

709 Torii S, Furumai H, Katayama H. 2021. Applicability of polyethylene glycol
 710 precipitation followed by acid guanidinium thiocyanate-phenol-chloroform
 711 extraction for the detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA from municipal wastewater.
 712 *Science of The Total Environment* 756:143067. DOI:
 713 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143067.

714 Vennapusa AR, Somayanda IM, Doherty CJ, Jagadish SVK. 2020. A
 715 universal method for high-quality RNA extraction from plant tissues rich in
 716 starch, proteins and fiber. *Scientific Reports* 10:16887. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-
 717 020-73958-5.

718 Weis S, Schnell S, Egert M. 2020. Towards safer stable isotope probing -
 719 effect of formamide on the separation of isotope-labeled and unlabeled
 720 *Escherichia coli* RNA by isopycnic density ultracentrifugation. *Canadian*
 721 *Journal of Microbiology* 66:491–494. DOI: 10.1139/cjm-2019-0612.

722 Yang F, Wang G, Xu W, Hong N. 2017. A rapid silica spin column-based
 723 method of RNA extraction from fruit trees for RT-PCR detection of viruses.
 724 *Journal of Virological Methods* 247:61–67. DOI:
 725 10.1016/j.jviromet.2017.05.020.

726 Yüce M, Filiztekin E, Özkaya KG. 2021. COVID-19 diagnosis —A review of
 727 current methods. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics* 172:112752. DOI:
 728 10.1016/j.bios.2020.112752.

729 Zhao Z, Attanasio C, Pedano MS, Cadenas de Llano-Pérula M. 2023.
 730 Comparison of human dental tissue RNA extraction methods for RNA
 731 sequencing. *Archives of Oral Biology* 148:105646. DOI:
 732 10.1016/j.archoralbio.2023.105646.

Formatted: Spanish

733