

# Revise

The authors have done a cross-sectional study to clarify the association between dietary factors with cardiometabolic risk factors in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. They are minor grammatical errors in the manuscript so extra review of the text can be done. In the following section, some comments are given to improve the quality of the study.

## **Modifying the abstract section**

1. Specify a separate title for the conclusion in the abstract.
2. It is suggested to use more numbers in expressing the results related to the abstract section.

## **Improvement of the introduction section**

3. In order to better express the prevalence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, it is better to state the percentage of its prevalence in the world and developing and advanced countries.
4. It is suggested to make a better comparison between the present study and similar previous studies and to state its strengths and limitations.
5. It is suggested to summarize the mechanisms mentioned in the introduction and give more explanations in the discussion section.

## **The transparency of the method**

6. What do you mean by the phrase "took medications or supplements that could influence physical functioning"?
7. Wasn't it necessary to consider a body mass index range for patients to enter the study?
8. Have other chronic diseases been considered?

## **Further description of the results**

9. There is no description of the gender distribution of the participants in the Demographic characteristics of the participants' section.
10. Considering the effect of male and female hormonal differences on the results, please express the results separately for both sexes.
11. State the cutoff used for each dietary pattern. It should also be stated in the upper part of the tables.
12. In table 1, 2, for each variable, state its values in the entire population.

**Improve the discussion section**

13. In the discussion section, it is appropriate to explain about the articles that are aligned and not aligned with our results, but the strengths and limitations of these studies and the reason for the difference or similarity between the results of these studies and our study should be stated.