Peer∪

Impact of nitrogen fertilizer type and application rate on growth, nitrate accumulation, and postharvest quality of spinach

Kemal Yalçın Gülüt and Gamze Güleç Şentürk

Department of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition/Faculty of Agriculture, Çukurova University, Sarıçam, Adana, Turkey

ABSTRACT

Background: A balanced supply of nitrogen is essential for spinach, supporting both optimal growth and appropriate nitrate (NO_3^-) levels for improved storage quality. Thus, choosing the correct nitrogen fertilizer type and application rate is key for successful spinach cultivation. This study investigated the effects of different nitrogen (N) fertilizer type and application rates on the growth, nitrate content, and storage quality of spinach plants.

Methods: Four fertilizer types were applied at five N doses (25, 50, 200, and 400 mg N kg⁻¹) to plants grown in plastic pots at a greenhouse. The fertilizer types used in the experiment were ammonium sulphate (AS), slow-release ammonium sulphate (SRAS), calcium nitrate (CN), and yeast residue (YR). Spinach parameters like Soil Plant Analysis Development (SPAD) values (chlorophyll content), plant height, and fresh weight were measured. Nitrate content in leaves was analyzed after storage periods simulating post-harvest handling (0, 5, and 10 days). **Results:** The application of nitrogen fertilizer significantly influenced spinach growth parameters and nitrate content. The YRx400 treatment yielded the largest leaves $(10.3 \pm 0.5 \text{ cm long}, 5.3 \pm 0.2 \text{ cm wide})$. SPAD values increased with higher N doses for AS, SRAS, and CN fertilizers, with AS×400 (58.1 \pm 0.8) and SRAS×400 (62.0 \pm 5.8) reaching the highest values. YR treatments showed a moderate SPAD increase. Fresh weight response depended on fertilizer type, N dose, and storage period. While fresh weight increased in all fertilizers till 200 mg kg^{-1} dose, a decrease was observed at the highest dose for AS and CN. SRAS exhibited a more gradual increase in fresh weight with increasing nitrogen dose, without the negative impact seen at the highest dose in AS and CN. Nitrate content in spinach leaves varied by fertilizer type, dose, and storage day. CNx400 resulted in the highest NO_3^- content (4,395 mg kg⁻¹) at harvest (Day 0), exceeding the European Union's safety limit. This level decreased over 10 days of storage but remained above the limit for CN on Days 0 and 5. SRAS and YR fertilizers generally had lower NO₃⁻ concentrations throughout the experiment. Storage at +4 °C significantly affected NO₃⁻ content. While levels remained relatively stable during the first 5 days, a substantial decrease was observed by Day 10 for all fertilizers and doses, providing insights into the spinach's nitrate content over a 10-day storage period.

Conclusion: For rapid early growth and potentially higher yields, AS may be suitable at moderate doses (200 mg kg⁻¹). SRAS offers a more balanced approach, promoting

Submitted 18 April 2024 Accepted 20 June 2024 Published 12 July 2024

Corresponding author Kemal Yalçın Gülüt, kygulut@cu.edu.tr

Academic editor Elsayed Mansour

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 16

DOI 10.7717/peerj.17726

Copyright 2024 Gülüt and Şentürk

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

sustained growth while potentially reducing NO_3^- accumulation compared to AS. Yeast residue, with its slow nitrogen release and consistently low NO_3^- levels, could be a viable option for organic spinach production.

Subjects Agricultural Science, Plant Science

Keywords Nitrogen fertilizers, Nitrate accumulation, Spinach growth, Slow release fertilizer, Storage quality

INTRODUCTION

The global demand for leafy vegetables significantly increased in recent decades, driven by population growth and dietary shifts (*FAOSTAT*, 2024). The intensifies agricultural practices, often leading to increased use of fertilizers, a major source of nitrate (NO_3^-) in plants. While synthetic fertilizers are popular for their ease of application and high solubility, their excessive use can significantly elevate NO_3^- levels in leafy vegetables (*Bai et al., 2021; Dezhangah et al., 2022; Luetic et al., 2023*). The increased level of NO_3^- in leafy plants raises a crucial question: how can we maximize the health benefits of leafy vegetables while minimizing NO_3^- and NO_2^- content without compromising recommended uptake levels?

Nitrate accumulation is closely related to the nitrogen (N) metabolism of plants. Nitrogen is a crucial macro-nutrient essential for plant growth and development. Plants uptake N in the form of NO_3^- and NH_4^+ from the soil solution. When a plant absorbs more nitrate than it can use for immediate growth and protein synthesis, an imbalance occurs. This excess nitrate can then accumulate in the plant's tissues. This phenomenon is particularly pronounced in non-leguminous crops, where higher concentrations of NO_3^- tend to accumulate in the leaves, while lower levels are found in storage organs like bulbs, seeds, fruits, roots, and tubers (*Santamaria, 2006; Bian et al., 2020*). For this reason, leafy vegetables like spinach, lettuce, and parsley are considered prominent NO_3^- accumulating species.

Several factors influence NO₃⁻ accumulation in plants, including growing season (*Citak* & Sonmez, 2010; M'hamdi et al., 2016), variety, and cropping system (Koh, Charoenprasert & Mitchell, 2012). Studies by Kaminishi & Kita (2006) has highlighted the impact of seasonality on NO₃⁻ levels in in spinach, with warmer seasons showing higher accumulation compared to colder periods Likewise, research by Koh, Charoenprasert & Mitchell (2012) demonstrates the influence of cropping systems, with organically grown spinach exhibiting lower NO₃⁻ content compared to conventionally grown varieties. This difference is likely attributed to the use of synthetic fertilizers in conventional farming, leading to higher soil N availability and plant uptake. Additionally, the study by Koh, Charoenprasert & Mitchell (2012) suggests that spinach variety can also play a role, with some cultivars exhibiting a greater response to soil nitrogen levels than others. Supporting this notion, studies have shown that organic fertilizers release nitrogen slower than inorganic fertilizers, leading to lower NO₃⁻ accumulation in the edible parts of organically grown crops compared to conventionally grown crops (Colla et al., 2018; de Gonzalez et al., 2015). de Gonzalez et al. (2015) further found that conventional vegetables contained

significantly higher NO_3^- compared to organic vegetables, but there were no significant differences in nitrite content between conventional and organic vegetables. Nitrite levels generally ranged from 0.1 to 1.2 mg kg⁻¹ fresh weight, except for conventional spinach, which exhibited a higher value of 8.0 mg kg⁻¹ fresh weight.

In a study examining the effects of various fertilization methods on spinach growth and NO_{3}^{-} content, Vico et al. (2020) found intriguing results. They found that the spinach yield ranged between 32.2 and 50.5 t ha⁻¹, with the highest yields observed in plots treated with certain fertilizers. Furthermore, spinach fertilized with fresh organic amendments, such as digested sewage sludge and composted cow manure, exhibited the highest $NO_3^$ concentrations in leaves, ranging from $1,906-280 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ fresh weight. Interestingly, they noted that organic-based fertilizers resulted in similar yields to conventionally managed fields, suggesting the potential for organic practices to maintain productivity while potentially influencing NO₃ accumulation. Organic-based fertilizers may enhance soil health and microbial activity, leading to improved nutrient availability and uptake by plants, hence resulting in comparable yields to conventionally managed fields (Verma, Pramanik & Bhaduri, 2020). However, the higher NO₃-concentrations observed in organically fertilized spinach could be attributed to the slower release of nutrients from organic sources, which may result in prolonged exposure to NO₃⁻ accumulation in plant tissues (Zandvakili et al., 2019). This trade-off highlights the importance of balancing agronomic practices to optimize yield while minimizing NO_3^- accumulation, ultimately ensuring both productivity and food safety.

Controlled-release fertilizers offer a potential solution by minimizing nutrient loss and promoting plant uptake (*Trenkel*, 2010). This allows for reduced fertilizer application rates while maintaining yields, and significantly reducing NO_3^- accumulation (*Trenkel*, 2010). Other strategies, like combining fertilizers with dicyandiamide (DCD) or zeolite (*Elrys et al.*, 2021) or using slow-release release fertilizers (*Wang et al.*, 2020), have also shown promise in mitigating NO_3^- accumulation. However, research on the effectiveness of these methods specifically in leafy vegetables, like spinach, remains limited.

Understanding the effects of agricultural practices on NO_3^- accumulation in leafy vegetables is of great importance. This study investigates the effects of four different N fertilizer types (ammonium sulfate, slow-release fertilizer with DCD inhibitor, calcium nitrate, and yeast residue) applied at varying N doses (25, 50, 100, 200, 400 mg N kg⁻¹) under controlled greenhouse conditions. We aim to evaluate the impact of treatments on yield, nutritional status, and quality parameters, and most importantly NO_3^- accumulation in spinach plants. This research is expected to provide valuable guidance for optimizing fertilizer use and agricultural practices to control NO_3^- accumulation in spinach cultivation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted in the Research and Application Greenhouses of the Department of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Çukurova University, Turkiye. Temperature, relative humidity, and light density in the greenhouse fluctuated between 25 °C and 35 °C, 70% and 85% and 25 and 28 klux, respectively, during

the experiment. The plant material used was the Matador spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) variety, which is a broad-leaved variety. This spinach variety, characterized by rapid development, large and short-petioled dark green leaves with smooth texture and oval tips, exhibiting a spreading growth habit. Additionally, it boasts high productivity and cold tolerance, making it suitable for cultivation throughout Turkiye. Ideal germination and growth occur between 15 °C and 25 °C.

The soil used in the experiment was obtained from the Research and Application Fields of Agricultural Faculty. The pH of the soil was 8.50, indicating alkaline conditions. Electrical conductivity was relatively low at 0.23 mmhos/cm, indicating non saline conditions. The soil had a high calcium carbonate content (29.1%) and a moderate organic matter content (1.20%). It also contained 13.4 mg P kg⁻¹ soil, 375.2 mg K kg⁻¹ soil, 355.2 mg Mg kg⁻¹ soil, 1.46 mg Cu kg⁻¹ soil, 0.55 mg Zn kg⁻¹ soil, 6.43 mg Fe kg⁻¹ soil, and 10.37 mg Mn kg⁻¹ soil.

Greenhouse experiment

The experimental layout followed a completely randomized block design with three replicates, utilizing a total of 60 pots. Four different N fertilizer types with distinct characteristics were utilized in the experiment. The fertilizer types used in the experiment were ammonium sulphate (AS), slow-release ammonium sulphate (SRAS), calcium nitrate (CN), and yeast residue (YR). Five different nitrogen (N) doses (25, 50, 100, 200, and 400 mg N kg^{-1}) were applied in the form of SRAS, AS, CN, and YR. AS is the fertilizer with the highest N content (21% N), which does not contain inhibitors, organic matter, or organic carbon. CN, on the other hand, has a lower N content (11.8% N) compared to AS, and lacks inhibitors, organic matter, or organic carbon. SRAS with dicyandiamide (DCD) inhibitor contains the same 21% N as AS, but it includes a DCD inhibitor, which helps slow down the release of nitrogen. The DCD prevents the conversion of ammonium to nitrate in soil. This effect is believed to be caused by DCD binding to the active sites of ammonia monooxygenase, a copper-containing metalloenzyme crucial for ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (Amberger, 1989). This strategy enhances nitrogen use efficiency by mitigating nitrogen losses via leaching and denitrification processes. Consequently, DCD application improves fertilizer efficacy while minimizing environmental concerns such as nitrate contamination of water resources and the release of greenhouse gases. SRAS does not contain organic matter or organic carbon. YR (organic nitrogen source), has the lowest N content (3% N). It contains 35% organic matter and 16% organic carbon but does not include any inhibitors.

The experiment utilized plastic pots, each containing 2 kg of soil. The pot used in the greenhouse experiment measured 18 cm in height, 20 cm in diameter, and 18 cm in depth. As a base fertilizer application, all pots received 100 mg kg⁻¹ phosphorus (P) in the form of KH₂PO₄, 125 mg kg⁻¹ K in the form of KH₂PO₄, 10 mg kg⁻¹ Fe in the form of Fe-EDTA, and 2.5 mg kg⁻¹ Zn in the form of ZnSO₄. The amount of sulfur in pots receiving ammonium sulfate was calculated, and CaSO₄ was applied to all pots to ensure equal sulfur content.

The experiment began with seeding (10 seeds per pot) on February 24th, 2021. Seeds germinated within approximately 8–10 days. After about 16 days (March 10th, 2021), seedlings were thinned to maintain six plants per pot. Throughout the growing season, pots were watered whenever needed to maintain soil moisture content close to field capacity, allowing for free drainage to occur. On April 16, 2021, 52-day-old plants were harvested, coinciding with the observation of significant differences in growth and development due to the varying N fertilizer types and increasing N application rates.

Measurements of spinach parameters

Soil Plant Analysis Development (SPAD) measurements were taken on a fully mature young leaf priror to harvest (52nd day of the experiment, April 16, 2021). SPAD values were measured by averaging three readings taken from the midpoints of spinach leaves using a portable chlorophyll meter (SPAD-502 Minolta Camera Co., Tokyo, Japan) (*Cordeiro, Alcantara & Barranco, 1995*). Additionally, observations were conducted on five randomly chosen plants per plot for the following parameters: plant height (cm), leaf count per plant, leaf length (cm), leaf width (cm), and fresh leaf weight per plant (g). Plant height was measured as the distance from the ground to the highest point of a leaf. Leaf length was determined by measuring from the base of the petiole (leaf stalk) to the tip of the leaf blade. Leaf width was not a single measurement but the average of three widths taken across the leaf blade at 25%, 50%, and 75% of its total length.

Analysis of leaf samples

Upon harvest, six plants from each pot were carefully divided into three equal groups for separate storage periods. Two plants were allocated to each storage period to ensure balanced representation within each group. The first portion (Day 0) was washed and dried immediately in a 48 °C oven. The second portion (Day 5) was washed, placed in polyethylene bags, and stored in a refrigerator (+4 $^{\circ}$ C) for 5 days. Similarly, the third portion (Day 10) was washed, bagged, and refrigerated (+4 °C) for 10 days. After completing the storage periods, the plant samples were dried in an oven at 70 °C for 48 h for the analysis. The selection of storage durations (Day 0, Day 5, and Day 10) was carefully considered to capture the range of potential storage periods encountered by consumers. Day 0 represents the immediate post-harvest stage, reflecting the quality and nutrient content of spinach at the time of purchase. This point is particularly relevant for consumers who purchase and consume spinach within a short period. Day 5 represents an intermediate storage period, simulating situations where spinach is stored for a few days before consumption. This duration aligns with common home storage practices and provides insights into the quality changes that may occur during this period. Day 10 represents an extended storage period, mimicking scenarios where spinach is stored for a longer duration before consumption. This duration is particularly relevant for commercial storage and transportation practices, allowing for the assessment of long-term quality changes.

The selection of these storage durations is supported by research from *Mudau et al.* (2015), who investigated the impact of storage temperature and duration on the nutritional

quality of spinach. Their findings indicate that that the concentrations of magnesium, zinc, and iron decreased after 8 days of storage at 4 $^{\circ}$ C. Similarly, it is noted that samples stored at 4 $^{\circ}$ C exhibited significantly higher levels of carotenoids up to 6 days, while the total phenolic compounds gradually decreased. Additionally, it is mentioned that the total antioxidant activities and vitamin C content showed a similar trend, remaining stable at 4 $^{\circ}$ C but decreasing after 6 days.

The nitrate content in plant samples was measured colourimetrically using a method developed by *Cataldo et al.* (1975). This method relies on the formation of a yellow color complex in a strongly acidic environment. The intensity of the color complex is directly proportional to the nitrate concentration. Dried and finely ground plant samples were suspended in distilled water and incubated at 45 °C for 1 h, followed by centrifugation for 15 min. A clear-colored aliquot was mixed with 5% salicylic acid in H₂SO₄ and allowed to stand for 20 min. Then, 2 N NaOH was added while gently stirring, and the absorbance was measured at 410 nm using a spectrophotometer relative to the reference sample. The concentration of nitrates in the sample was measured by comparing it to a standard curve created using potassium nitrate (KNO₃). The results are expressed as miligrams of nitrate per gram of fresh weight of the sample.

Soil analysis

The soil used in the study was sieved through a 2 mm mesh to remove rocks and roots. Electrical conductivity (EC) and pH of soil samples were determined in 1 soil: 2.5 deionized water mixture using the method described by *Rhoades (1983)* Calcium carbonate content was measured by estimating the quantity of the CO₂ produced by HCl addition to the soil. Organic matter content was analysed using the Walkley–Black dichromate oxidation procedure (*Nelson & Sommers, 1982*). Available P was extracted with 0.5 M sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO₃) (*Olsen et al., 1954*) and determined by spectrophotometry. The available concentrations zinc (Zn), iron (Fe), manganase (Mn) and cupper (Cu) were determined after extraction with DTPA solution (*Lindsay & Norvell, 1978*). Available nitrogen potassium (K) and magnesium (Mg) contents in soil were determined from the neutral 1 mol/L ammonium acetate extracts (1:5, m/V) and measured by a flame Photometer (*Knudsen, Peterson & Pratt, 1982*).

Statistical analysis

All measured variables were subjected to statistical analysis using the SPSS software package. A variance analysis (ANOVA) was conducted to assess the presence of statistically significant differences among the means of treatment groups. In the experiment, four N fertilizer types, five N doses, and three different storage periods were included as the factors. The effects of individual factors, as well as the effects of two-way and three-way interactions, were analyzed for the data obtained in the experiment. Following a significant ANOVA result (p < 0.05), a *post-hoc* test, the Least Significant Difference (LSD) test, was employed to identify specific pairwise differences between treatment means.

RESULTS

The effect of slow-release, chemical, and organic nitrogen fertilizer applications on some plant characteristics of spinach

The number of leaves, leaf length, leaf width, green part length and SPAD value varied significantly among different fertilizer types (P = 0.01) and nitrogen (N) doses (P = 0.01) (Table 1). Among the fertilizer types, the highest number of leaves was recorded in the calcium nitrate (CN) \times 400 mg N kg⁻¹ treatment (58.7 leaves pot⁻¹), and the lowest number of leaves was obtained in yeast residue (YR)×25 mg N kg⁻¹ treatment (32.0 leaves pot⁻¹). For the ammonium sulphate (AS) treatment, the leaf number increased progressively with increasing N doses. Specifically, the AS×200 treatment exhibited the highest leaf number (50.0 \pm 0.0 leaves pot⁻¹) among AS treatments, followed closely by AS×50 (44.0 \pm 0.8 leaves pot⁻¹) and AS×25 (35.0 \pm 0.8 leaves pot⁻¹) treatment. In the case of the slow-release ammonium sulphate (SRAS) treatment, leaf number also showed variability across different N doses. The highest leaf number $(51.0 \pm 0.0 \text{ leaves pot}^{-1})$ was obtained in the SRAS \times 200 treament, followed by SRAS \times 400 (45.3 \pm 0.5 leaves pot⁻¹), SRAS \times 50 (42.0 \pm 0.0 leaves pot⁻¹) and SRAS \times 25 treatment (34.0 \pm 0.8 leaves pot⁻¹). The CN×400 treatment had the highest leaf number $(58.7 \pm 0.5 \text{ leaves pot}^{-1})$ among CN treatments, followed by CN×200 (54.0 \pm 0.0 leaves pot⁻¹), CN×100 (53.0 \pm 0.8 leaves pot⁻¹) and CN×25 (38.0 \pm 0.8 leaves pot⁻¹) treatments. For the YR treatment, there was a notable variation in leaf number across N doses. The YR×100 treatment had the highest leaf number (52.0 \pm 0.8 leaves/pot), followed by YR×400 (49.0 \pm 0.8 leaves pot⁻¹), YR×50 (37.0 \pm 0.0 leaves pot⁻¹) and YR×25 (32.0 \pm 0.0 leaves pot⁻¹).

Ammonium sulphate (21% N) led to moderate increases in leaf length and width with increasing N doses (Table 1). However, similar to the number of leaves, leaf length at the highest dose of 400 mg N kg⁻¹ was lower compared to 200 mg N kg⁻¹ N dose. The leaf length in SRAS treatments significantly increased with increasing N doses upto 200 mg N kg⁻¹ N dose and remained constant at the highest N dose. The difference in leaf length response between AS and SRAS fertilizers suggest that the slow-release mechanism might have contributed to better nutrient uptake even at the highest N application dose. Calcium nitrate, with a N content of 11.8%, also showed a trend of increasing leaf length and width with higher N doses. The increase in leaf length and width was relatively consistent across all doses, indicating a steady response to N supplementation. Yeast residue, with a lower N content of 3% but a higher organic matter content of 35% and organic carbon content of 16%, resulted in variable effects on leaf length and width. While lower N doses showed smaller leaf lengths compared to other fertilizers, the highest N dose led to the longest (10.3 \pm 0.5 cm) and widest (5.3 \pm 0.2 cm) leaves observed in the experiment.

The ANOVA revealed a significant effect of both fertilizer type and N dose on plant height (P = 0.01). There was also a significant interaction effect between fertilizer type and N dose (P = 0.01). The highest dose of YR (YR×400) resulted in the tallest plants overall (23.7 cm), while lower YR doses had minimal impact (Table 1). The CN treatments generally produced taller plants compared to some AS or SRAS treatments, with CN×400 (20.5 cm) being the tallest among CN groups. AS and SRAS showed the most variation,

Table 1 Impact of fertilizer type and nitrogen doses on leaf properties and SPAD values in spinach.Each cell in the table contains mean values \pm standard error of mean for the leaf parameters and SPAD readings along with the letters for statistical analysis.

	Leaf number	Leaf length	Leaf width	Plant length	SPAD
	Leaf/pot	cm			
AS×25	$35.0 \pm 0.8 \ l^{**}$	$7.1 \pm 0.4~{\rm fg}$	3.4 ± 0.1 fgh	$14.3\pm0.2~{\rm f}$	$37.3 \pm 0.6 \text{ efg}$
AS×50	$44.0\pm0.8~\mathrm{h}$	$7.5 \pm 0.4 \text{ c-f}$	$4.0 \pm 0.2 \text{ cd}$	$17.2\pm0.4~\mathrm{d}$	$26.0\pm0.7~\mathrm{h}$
AS×100	$41.0 \pm 0.8 ~\rm ij$	$8.7 \pm 0.6 \text{ bc}$	$3.6 \pm 0.1 \text{ ef}$	$17.3 \pm 0.2 \text{ d}$	32.9 ± 0.6 fgh
AS×200	$50.0 \pm 0.0 \text{ ef}$	$8.2\pm0.6~b\text{-f}$	$4.0 \pm 0.0 \text{ cd}$	$20.8\pm0.6~\mathrm{b}$	55.3 ± 1.4 ab
AS×400	$44.3\pm0.5~\mathrm{h}$	$7.7 \pm 0.7 \text{ c-f}$	$3.6 \pm 0.0 \text{ ef}$	$18.1\pm0.3~\mathrm{d}$	$58.1 \pm 0.8 \text{ ab}$
CN×25	$38.0\pm0.8~k$	6.2 ± 0.2 gh	3.1 ± 0.1 h	$14.2\pm0.1~{\rm f}$	32.5 ± 0.7 gh
CN×50	$47.0\pm0.8~{\rm g}$	$7.3 \pm 0.6 \text{efg}$	3.4 ± 0.3 e-h	$14.2\pm0.2~{\rm f}$	$27.2\pm0.4~\mathrm{h}$
CN×100	53.0 ± 0.8 bc	$7.5 \pm 0.0 \text{ c-f}$	3.3 ± 0.2 fgh	$17.2 \pm 0.2 \text{ d}$	43.2 ± 0.8 cde
CN×200	$54.0\pm0.0~b$	$7.5 \pm 0.4 \text{ c-f}$	$4.0 \pm 0.1 \text{ cd}$	$17.5 \pm 0.4 \text{ d}$	$56.6 \pm 0.5 \text{ ab}$
CN×400	$58.7 \pm 0.5 a$	$8.3 \pm 0.5 \text{ b-e}$	$4.4\pm0.1~\mathrm{b}$	$20.5\pm0.4~\mathrm{b}$	$51.5 \pm 0.6 \text{ bc}$
YR×25	$32.0\pm0.0~\mathrm{m}$	5.7 ± 0.2 h	3.1 ± 0.1 gh	$13.7\pm0.5~{\rm f}$	$34.8 \pm 1.1 \text{ e-h}$
YR×50	$37.0\pm0.0~\mathrm{k}$	$7.2 \pm 0.6 \text{ efg}$	3.8 ± 0.1 de	$14.0\pm0.4~{\rm f}$	38.7 ± 1.4efg
YR×100	$52.0\pm0.8~cd$	$7.6 \pm 0.4 \text{ c-f}$	4.2 ± 0.2 bcd	$17.2\pm0.2~\mathrm{d}$	$41.9 \pm 0.8 \text{ def}$
YR×200	$40.0\pm0.8~j$	$7.2 \pm 0.6 \text{ efg}$	4.2 ± 0.2 bc	$17.7\pm0.3~\mathrm{d}$	50.4 ± 0.7 bcd
YR×400	$49.0 \pm 0.8~\mathrm{f}$	$10.3\pm0.5~\mathrm{a}$	5.3 ± 0.2 a	23.7 ± 0.8 a	50.1 ± 0.8 bcd
SRAS \times 25	$34.0\pm0.8\ l$	$7.3 \pm 0.5 \text{ d-g}$	3.4 ± 0.1 e-h	$15.3 \pm 0.5 e$	42.1 \pm 0.7 def
SRAS \times 50	$42.0\pm0.0~\mathrm{i}$	$7.0 \pm 0.~\mathrm{fg}$	$3.5 \pm 0.2 \text{ efg}$	$15.4 \pm 0.4 \text{ e}$	$34.2\pm0.5~\mathrm{e}\textrm{-h}$
$SRAS \times 100$	$37.0\pm0.8~k$	8.5 ± 0.4 bcd	4.2 ± 0.2 bc	$19.3\pm0.2~\mathrm{c}$	$36.9 \pm 0.4 \text{efg}$
SRAS \times 200	$51.0\pm0.0~{\rm de}$	$9.0\pm0.4~b$	4.2 ± 0.2 bcd	$20.4\pm0.3~\mathrm{b}$	52.8 ± 1.3 b
$SRAS \times 400$	$45.3\pm0.5~h$	$9.0\pm0.8~b$	4.1 ± 0.1 bcd	$20.4\pm0.8~\mathrm{b}$	$62.0 \pm 5.8 \text{ a}$
Fertilizer (F) <i>P</i> :LSD value	0.01:0.57	0.01:0.47	0.01:0.15	0.01:0.41	0.16:3.58
Nitrogen dose (ND) <i>P</i> :LSD value	0.01:0.64	0.01:0.52	0.01:0.17	0.01:0.46	0.01:4.01
F × ND <i>P</i> :LSD value	0.01:1.28	0.01:1.	0.01:0.35	0.01:0.91	0.01:8.01
Note:					

Values with the same letter in the table do not significantly differ from each other (p < 0.05), AS, Ammonium Sulphate; CN, Calcium Nitrate; YR, Yeast Residue; SRAS, Slow Release Ammonium Sulphate; Nitrogen Doses (mg/kg).

with AS×200 achieving a height comparable to the tallest CN treatment, but other AS and SRAS doses resulting in shorter plants.

SPAD values, an indicator of chlorophyll content and leaf health, showed significant variability across different fertilizer types and N doses. In AS treatments, SPAD values increased from 37.3 ± 0.6 (AS×25) to 58.1 ± 0.8 (AS×400), indicating a 35.8% increase in chlorophyll content with increasing AS doses. Similar to AS treatments, SPAD values ranged from 34.2 ± 0.5 (SRAS×50) to 62.0 ± 5.8 (SRAS×400), showing a substantial increase in chlorophyll content with increasing SRAS doses. SPAD values in CN treatments ranged from 27.2 ± 0.4 (CN×50) to 58.7 ± 0.5 (CN×400), suggesting a positive

Period	N dose	Ammonium sulphate	Slow release ammonium sulphate	Calcium nitrate	Yeast residue	
	mg/kg	g/pot				
Day 0	25	8.2 ± 1.7 j-s*	$5.6 \pm 0.5 \text{ p-s}$	8.0 ± 1.3 j-s	7.0 ± 1.1 l-s	
	50	10.5 ± 1.4 d-p	8.1 ± 0.7 j-s	9.2 ± 0.8 h-s	7.8 ± 0.3 fk-s	
	100	10.9 ± 1.3 d-o	9.6 ± 0.2 g-r	$12.9 \pm 2.0 \text{ a-k}$	$12.0 \pm 2.4 \text{ a-l}$	
	200	15.3 ± 1.0 a-d	$14.8 \pm 2.3 \text{ a-g}$	$16.2 \pm 2.2 \text{ abc}$	13.3 ± 0.7 a-j	
	400	$11.5 \pm 1.0 \text{ b-n}$	$14.4 \pm 0.7 \text{ a-h}$	11.8 ± 0.8 a-m	$16.6 \pm 0.5 \text{ ab}$	
Day 5	25	$7.8 \pm 1.6 \text{ k-s}$	5.9 ± 0.9 o-s	$7.4 \pm 0.9 \text{ l-s}$	$4.7 \pm 0.3 \text{ rs}$	
	50	8.7 ± 2.3 I-s	8.3 ± 0.7 j-s	8.9 ± 0.3 I-s	$7.3 \pm 0.9 \text{ s}$	
	100	11.9 ± 3.1 a-m	$12.2 \pm 2.5 \text{ a-l}$	12.1 ± 1.5 a-l	9.0 ± 1.1 i-s	
	200	$14.9 \pm 0.9 \text{ a-f}$	11.2 ± 1.1 c-n	9.9 ± 1.3 f-r	$10.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ d-p}$	
	400	13.1 ± 1.4 a-j	13.9 ± 0.3 a-i	15.2 ± 3.5 a-e	14.3 ± 1.3 a-h	
Day 10	25	$4.8 \pm 2.2 \text{ qrs}$	$4.1 \pm 1.2 \text{ s}$	$6.3 \pm 0.6 \text{ n-s}$	$4.1 \pm 0.6 \ s$	
	50	$5.6 \pm 1.0 \text{ p-s}$	$6.6 \pm 1.2 \text{ m-s}$	8.4 ± 2.4 j-s	$6.2 \pm 0.7 \text{ n-s}$	
	100	$8.4 \pm 2.4 \text{ j-s}$	$5.2 \pm 0.7 \text{ qrs}$	9.9 ± 1.9 f-r	$8.0 \pm 0.5 \text{ j-s}$	
	200	10.1 ± 1.9 e-p	$10.9 \pm 1.4 \text{ d-p}$	$14.4 \pm 1.1 \text{ a-h}$	7.6 ± 1.6 k-s	
	400	8.5 ± 1.7 j-s	$14.7 \pm 0.4 \text{ a-g}$	$14.7 \pm 3.0 \text{ a-g}$	16.9 ± 1.7 a	
ANOVA	Day		LSD = 0.94 P = 0.001			
	Fertilizer type		LSD = 1.085 P = 0.054			
	Nitrogen dose		LSD = 1.213 P = 0.001			
	Day × Fertilizer type		LSD = 1.88 P = 0.080			
	Day × Nitrogen dose		LSD = 2.112 P = 0.095			
	Fertilizer type \times M	Nitrogen dose	LSD = 2.427 P = 0.010			
	Day × Fertilizer type × Nitrogen dose		LSD = 4.203 P = 0.752			

 Table 2 The effects of different N sources and doses on fresh weight of spinach plants during different storage days. Each cell in the table contains mean \pm standard error of mean values for the fresh weights of spinach plants in each pot along with the letters for statistical analysis.

Note: • Values with the same letter in the table do not significantly differ from each other (p < 0.05).

effect on chlorophyll content. SPAD values YR treatments ranged from 34.8 ± 1.1 (YR×25) to 50.4 ± 0.7 (YR×200), indicating a moderate increase in SPAD values with increasing YR doses.

The effect of different fertilizer types and doses on fresh weight

Fresh weight of spinach plants at each storage period (0, 5, and 10 days) was significantly different from each other. The effect of fertilizer type on fresh weight was not statistically significant (P = 0.054). Additionally, significant differences (P = 0.01) were evident within each fertilizer type across the different N doses (Table 2). The effect of fertilizer type × N dose interaction on fresh weight was significant (P = 0.010), while Day × fertilizer type (P = 0.080), Day × N dose (P = 0.095) and Day × Fertilizer Type × N Dose (P = 0.752) interactions were not statistically significant.

At the begining of storage period (day 0), the AS and CN fertilizers showed an increase in fresh weights of spinach with increasing N dose up to 200 mg kg⁻¹, followed by a decrease at 400 mg N kg⁻¹ (Table 2). On Day 5, the highest yield in the AS application was recorded at the 200 mg N kg⁻¹ N dose (14.9 g pot⁻¹), while the highest fresh spinach weights in other fertilizer types were obtained at the 400 mg N kg⁻¹ N dose (Table 2). A similar pattern of weight increase and decrease with increasing N dose was observed in the AS application throughout all days. Weight increased up to the 200 mg N kg⁻¹ N dose on all days but decreased at the 400 mg N kg⁻¹ N dose. In SRAS, the slow-release form of AS, weight increased with increasing N dose up to 200 mg N kg⁻¹ on Day 0, and there was a statistically insignificant decrease at the 400 mg N kg⁻¹ N dose. However, on Day 5 and Day 10, weight consistently increased with increasing N dose. The increase became more pronounced when increasing the N dose from 200 to 400 mg N kg⁻¹ every 3 days. The weight, initially 13.3 g on the Day 0, increased to 16.6, 10.9 g pot⁻¹ on Day 5 increased to 14.3 g pot⁻¹, and the weight of 7.6 g pot⁻¹ on Day 10 increased to 16.9 g pot⁻¹ at the 400 mg N kg⁻¹ N dose. In the CN application, a similar trend to AS was observed with increasing N dose.

The effect of different fertilizer types and doses on NO_3^- content of spinach plants

The effect of increasing doses of slow-release, chemical, and yeast residue fertilizers, as well as their different doses, on NO_3^- content of spinach plant was determined by drying and analyzing both the leaf blade and petiole together. The average NO_3^- content in spinach plants during Day 0, 5, and 10 of the storage period are shown in Table 3. Variance analysis revealed that nitrogen fertilizer type, dose, and storage day as well as their interactions significantly influenced the nitrate concentration of spinach plants. All fertilizer types exhibited a decrease in NO_3^- concentration of spinach plants between Day 0 and Day 10. Nitrate concentration generally decreased over the storage period for all nitrogen fertilizer types and doses. For example, the NO_3^- content in spinach plants treated with 400 mg/kg AS on Day 0 (2,668 mg NO_3^- kg⁻¹) declined to 2,130 mg NO_3^- kg⁻¹ by Day 10.

The NO₃⁻ content in the YR fertilizer treatments varied across different days. On Day 0, the NO₃⁻ concentrations were higher compared to other fertilizers, with values ranging from 1,431 to 1,812 mg NO₃⁻ kg⁻¹ for YR × 25 and YR × 400, respectively. However, as time progressed, there was a general decrease in NO₃⁻ content. On Day 5, the NO₃⁻ concentrations increased, ranging from 951 mg NO₃⁻ kg⁻¹ to 1,882 mg NO₃⁻ kg⁻¹ for YR × 25 and YR × 400, respectively. Finally, by Day 10, the NO₃⁻ concentrations further decreased, with values ranging from 377 mg NO₃⁻ kg⁻¹ to 1,385 mg NO₃⁻ kg⁻¹ for YR × 25 and YR × 400, respectively (Table 3). As expected, NO₃⁻ content generally increased with higher N doses. For instance, on Day 0, the concentration in spinach treated with 400 mg/kg ammonium sulfate was almost double that of the 25 mg/kg dose (2,668 mg NO₃⁻¹ kg⁻¹) (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

Morphological characteristics of spinach leaves

This study demonstrated a positive impact of N fertilization on spinach growth parameters like leaf size and plant height. All fertilizers increased leaf length and width with higher N

table contains mean ± standard error of mean values for the nitrate contents of spinach plants in each pot along with the letters for statistical analysis.						
Period	N dose	Ammonium sulphate	Slow release ammonium sulphate	Calcium nitrate	Yeast residue	
	mg/kg	mg/kg				
Day 0	25	$850 \pm 42 \text{ opg}^*$	945 ± 41 gh	1,203 ± 17 jkl	1,431 ± 126 hi	
	50	882 ± 53 m-q	961 ± 30 gh	1,234 ± 22 ijk	1,436 ± 66 hi	
	100	960 ± 23 mno	961 ± 32 gh	1,258 ± 86 ijk	1,700 ± 183 fg	
	200	1,390 ± 33 ıj	$1,029 \pm 61 \text{ fgh}$	1,868 ± 210 f	1,717 ± 161 fg	
	400	2,668 ± 12 d	2,134 ± 175 c	4,395 ± 488 e	1,812 ± 159 f	
Day 5	25	831 ± 60 opg	$860 \pm 46 \text{ n-q}$	1,066 ± 9 k-n	951 ± 12 mno	
	50	863 ± 31 n-q	904 ± 17 m-q	1,189 ± 82 jkl	1,080 ± 92 klm	
	100	931 ± 116 mno	929 ± 79 mno	1,206 \pm 60 jkl	1,284 ± 134 ij	
	200	1,365 ± 82 ij	987 ± 68 mno	1,605 ± 34 gh	1,428 ± 18 hi	
	400	2,544 ± 288 d	2,082 ± 107 e	3,418 ± 220 b	1,882 ± 118 f	
Day 10	25	$405 \pm 64 \text{ tu}$	369 ± 54 u	462 ± 37 stu	377 ± 16 u	
	50	429 ± 13 tu	586 ± 21 rst	486 ± 5 stu	394 ± 8 tu	
	100	488 ± 14 stu	$707 \pm 40 \text{ qr}$	587 ± 6 rst	$640 \pm 15 \text{ rs}$	
	200	915 ± 73 m-p	715 ± 7 pqr	981 ± 52 mno	1,026 ± 45 l-o	
	400	2,130 ± 41 e	$2,069 \pm 47 e$	2,976 ± 136 c	1,385 ± 17 ij	
ANOVA	Day		LSD = 38.968 P = 0.001			
	Fertilizer ty	vpe	LSD = 44.996 P = 0.001			
	Nitrogen d	ose	LSD = 50.307 P = 0.001			
	Day × Fert	ilizer type	LSD = 77.936 P = 0.001			
	Day × Nitr	ogen dose	LSD = 87.135 P = 0.048			
	Fertilizer ty	vpe × Nitrogen dose	LSD = 100.615 P = 0.001			
	Day × Fertilizer type × Nitrogen dose		LSD = 174.27 P = 0.001			

Table 3 The effects of different N sources and doses on nitrate concentration of spinach plants during different storage days. Each cell in the

Note:

Values with the same letter in the table do not significantly differ from each other (p < 0.05).

doses, with AS and CN showing the most consistent response. This aligns with the findings of Özenç & Senlikoğlu (2017) who reported a 12% increase in spinach leaf number with higher N doses, and Purquerio et al. (2007) who observed larger leaf area in arugula plants with higher N doses. These findings highlight the importance of both N content and organic matter characteristics when selecting fertilizers for for leafy crops.

Plant length, a crucial morphological parameter indicating plant vigor and growth of plants significantly increased with higher N doses across fertilizer types. The most pronounced increase was observed for CN treatment (44% increase at the highest N dose), possibly due to calcium's role in cell wall structure enhancement, as reported by Kacar & Katkat (2015). Additionally, reduced sodium uptake associated with CN fertilization, as observed by Ebert et al. (2002), may contribute to improved plant growth. This aligns with findings from Thapa et al. (2021), Zaman et al. (2018) and Shormin & Kibria (2018) who reported increased plant height and leaf number with high N application. The observed increase in plant growth parameters can likely be attributed to enhanced photosynthetic activity due to increased N availability (Kubar et al., 2022).

Chlorophyll content indicated by SPAD values, a generally increased with higher N doses for AS, SRAS, and CN treatments, indicating improved chlorophyll systhesis leaf health. The YR treatment resulted in moderate increases in SPAD values, suggesting a less pronounced effect compared to AS, CN, and SRAS. The positive relationship between plant N nutrition and SPAD values observed in this study aligns with established knowledge (Esfahani et al., 2008; Hou et al. 2021). As reported by Porter & Evans (1998), increasing N concentration in leaves, associated with higher N application, enhances the intensity of light utilized during photosynthesis. However, while we did not directly measure photosynthesis, the positive relationship between SPAD values and N dose in our study suggests potentially improved photosynthetic activity, particularly for AS and SRAS treatments with the highest SPAD values. The observed variability in SPAD values across N fertilizer types suggests that fertilizer type, beyond just N content, may influence chlorophyll content and potentially photosynthetic performance. Future studies directly measuring photosynthesis are needed to confirm this hypothesis. Although Han et al. (2023) highlighted the complexity of NO_3^- stress on spinach leaves, our results generally support the positive relationship between N dose and SPAD values. Future studies should directly measure photosynthesis to confirm these findings and explore potential strategies for mitigating NO₃⁻ stress to ensure food safety.

Fresh weights of spinach plants during various storage periods

Numerous studies have highlighted the positive effects of N application and various N fertilizer types on plant growth and yield (*Albayrak & Çamaş, 2006; Tekeli & Daşgan, 2013*). Nitrogen serves a pivotal role in stimulating vegetative growth, enhancing leaf development, and improving overall plant health. Furthermore, N fertilization has been shown to enhance photosynthesis, leading to increased biomass production and improved crop yields (*Züst & Agrawal, 2016*). However, recent findings by *Han et al. (2023)* suggest a more nuanced relationship between NO_3^- levels and plant growth. Their study revealed that excessive NO_3^- concentrations could significantly reduce plant biomass, indicating negative effects on growth. Similarly, our observations indicated a decrease in spinach plant fresh weights at the highest N doses (400 mg kg⁻¹) for all N fertilizer types, highlighting the importance of optimizing fertilization to avoid adverse effects on growth and yield.

While N application can enhance plant growth and yield, increasing it beyond a certain point can be counterproductive (*The, Snyder & Tegeder, 2021*). Our study, along with findings by *Han et al. (2023*), underscores the importance of optimizing N fertilization strategies. This is crucial to maximize plant growth and yield while minimizing negative environmental consequences, such as N emissions (*Guo, Liu & He, 2022; Menegat, Ledo & Tirado, 2022*). Our study also revealed differences in yield response among different N fertilizer types. YR exhibited a continuous yield increase, indicating a potentially slower N release compared to AS and CN, which showed a decrease at the highest N dose. SRAS shows a similar increase as AS up to moderate N dose (200 mg N kg⁻¹), but without the sharp decline observed with AS and CN at the highest dose (400 mg N kg⁻¹). This suggests a slower release profile for SRAS compared to AS, but without the negative impact of excess N. In general, the AS generally outperformed SRAS in yield across all N doses on Day 0. This suggests a quicker availability of N from AS for early plant growth. The optimal N dose may vary depending on fertilizer type. AS and CN may benefit most from a 200 mg N kg⁻¹ dose on Day 0, while YR and SRAS might require higher doses for

maximum yield. The organic nature of YR and the controlled release mechanism of SRAS likely explain their delayed response. Their full effects might be realized later in the growth cycle.

Nitrate content of spinach plants during various storage periods

Increasing N application doses generally led to higher initial NO₃⁻ concentrations across all fertilizer types and days. Our findings are consistent with Liu et al. (2006), who observed a dramatic increase in leaf NO_3^- concentration at the highest N application rate (240 mg N kg⁻¹ soil), reaching 708 mg NO₃⁻¹ kg⁻¹. Among inorganic fertilizers (AS, SRAS, CN), SRAS generally resulted in lower peak NO₃⁻ concentrations compared to AS at equivalent application rates. This slower release and uptake pattern may contribute to steadier supply of N throughout the growth period, potentially beneficial for plant growth. The magnitude of NO_3^- concentration increase varied depending on the fertilizer type. CN exhibited the most significant increase compared to AS and SRAS, highlighting the influence of fertilizer choice on NO₃ accumulation. Our findings align with Inal & Tarakcioglu (2001), who stated that ammonia-based nitrogen fertilizers are less readily absorbed by most plants compared to nitrate-based fertilizers. This characteristic can be advantageous in reducing the risk of excessive nitrate uptake within crops. For instance, the lowest NO_3^- content on Days 0 and 5 was observed in AS \times 25 (850 and 831 mg NO₃⁻ kg⁻¹, respectively) treatments, as well as SRAS \times 25 (369 mg NO₃⁻ kg⁻¹) and YR (377 mg NO₃⁻ kg⁻¹) treatments on Day 10 (Table 2). Given the significance of spinach and other leafy green vegetables in human nutrition, it is crucial to maintain NO_3^- concentration below the recommended levels to ensure the consumer safety (Vico et al., 2020). The European Union has established a safe limit for NO_3^- levels in spinach at less than 3,500 mg NO_3^- kg⁻¹ of fresh weight, with even lower limits for infants and young children (Regulation No 1258/2011 of 2 December 2011; EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2008). While most of our treatments remained within safe limits, the NO_3^- concentration in spinach exceeded or closely approached the safe limit of 3,500 mg NO_3^- kg⁻¹ fresh weight with CN applications at 400 mg N kg⁻¹ on Days 1 and 5. In line with previous research by Vico et al. (2020), who reported NO₃⁻ concentrations in spinach plants typically below established safety thresholds, most of our treatments resulted in safe NO₃ levels. Their experiment, utilizing a normalized N application rate of 150 kg ha⁻¹, evaluated eight different fertilizing scenarios, including inorganic NPK fertilizers, digestates, biosolids, and organic amendments like composts and vermicomposts. The resulting NO_3^- levels in their spinach leaves ranged between 280 and 1,906 mg NO_3^- kg⁻¹ fresh weight. The lower NO_3^- content observed in our SRAS treatments compared to the corresponding AS treatment suggests a potential benefit of slow-release fertilizers. Similar to SRAS, slow-release CN fertilizer applications might limit the plant's N uptake rate and contribute to a decrease in accumulated NO₃.

Comparing NO₃⁻ concentrations between Day 0 and Day 5 revealed varying trends across different N fertilizer types. The percent changes for AS ranged from -1.80% to -4.60%, indicating a consistent decrease in NO₃⁻ concentration across all doses. SRAS showed decreases ranging from -2.44% to -8.99%, with the highest decrease observed at the lowest dose. The CN exhibited notable decreases ranging from -3.64% to -22.23%, with the largest decrease observed at the highest dose. In contrast, the YR displayed a mix of trends, with percent changes ranging from -33.61% to 3.86%. While most doses showed decreases, the highest dose experienced a slight increase in NO₃⁻ concentration (Table 3).

The changes in NO_3^- concentration in spinach plants were significant between Day 0 and Day 10 across different fertilizer types and N doses. For instance, with AS fertilizer, there was a decrease in NO_3^- concentration ranging from approximately 52.94% to 20.18% as the N dose increased from 25 to 400 mg N kg⁻¹. Similarly, the SRAS showed a reduction in NO_3^- concentration by approximately 53.02% to 18.30% across the same range of N doses. The CN exhibited a decrease in NO_3^- concentration ranging from about 61.62% to 39.59%, while the YR displayed a wider range of decreases, from approximately 71.89% to 34.51% across the various N doses (Table 3). Tamme et al. (2010) reported that during storage of leafy vegetables (such as spinach) at room temperature, NO_3^- levels in the vegetables decreased significantly by an average of 87.4% from the third day. On the other hand, nitrite levels in the leaves increase dramatically, ranging from 1,857 to 3,617 mg kg⁻¹. Chung, Chou & Hwang (2004) stated that when leafy vegetables were stored in the refrigerator, there were no significant changes in NO_3^- and nitrite levels by the 7th day. In this study, while the NO_3^- content in spinach leaves did not change significantly after the first 5 days, NO_3^- levels decreased significantly at the end of the 10th day in all applied N fertilizer types and doses.

The type and amount of N fertilizer applied to soil are the key factors influencing $NO_3^$ levels in vegetables. *Chohura & Kolota (2009)* found that AS fertilizer led to lower $NO_3^$ concentrations in vegetables compared to other fertilizers. In line withthe findings of *Chohura & Kolota (2009)*, other studies revealed that fertilizers applied in the NO_3^- form resulted in higher NO_3^- concentrations compared to those applied in the NH_4^+ form. Slow-release fertilizers, with the effect of nitrification inhibitors, retain N in the soil for a longer period in the NH_4^+ form, delaying NO_3^- formation and minimizing $NO_3^$ accumulation in plant leaves (*Amberger, 1989; Inal & Tarakcioglu, 2001*). *Krezel & Kolota (2014)* did not report a significant impact of fertilizer type on NO_3^- levels in spinach. The lowest NO_3^- content was recorded in plants fertilized with AS (295.17 mg NO_3^- kg⁻¹ fresh weight), while the highest was found in those given ammonium nitrate (354.02 mg NO_3^- kg⁻¹ fresh weight).

Similar to our findings, *Liu et al.* (2014) showed that the lettuce grown without fertilizer application exhibited the lowest NO_3^- concentration (1,391 mg kg⁻¹), while the highest content was observed in lettuce treated with inorganic fertilizers. Nitrate concentrations in lettuce treated with inorganic and organic fertilizers ranged from 5,000 to 6,100 (mg NO_3^- kg⁻¹) and 4,300 to 5,200 (mg NO_3^- kg⁻¹), respectively. The reseachers indicated that the addition of liquid fertilizers resulted in a further 4–10% reduction in NO_3^- concentration compared to lettuce treated solely with organic fertilizers. *Güneş (2021)* showed that the

application of AS and nitrification inhibitors DCD and DMPP under field conditions resulted in lower NO_3^- concentrations in spinach plants. Slow released fertilizers are nitrification inhibitor fertilizers that inhibit the activity of nitrifying bacteria responsible for converting ammonium to nitrate, thereby allowing nitrogen to remain in the ammonium form for 4–8 weeks depending on soil conditions (*Scheffer & Bartels, 1998*). Similar to *Güneş (2021), Montemurro et al. (2008)* reported that the application of the nitrification inhibitor DCD fertilizer reduced NO_3^- concentration in lettuce by 24% compared to urea application.

Organic N sources like YR, consistently maintained lower NO_3^- levels in spinach plants, throughout the experiment, potentially minimizing the risk of excess NO_3^- accumulation compared to inorganic fertilizers. The slower release of N from organic source, which may not be fully utilized by the plants during the experimental period, could contribute to this observation. This is because organic fertilizers typically do not provide N in a readily available form (*Herencia et al., 2011*). Therefore, NO_3^- accumulation in edible part of crops is usually lower in organically grown crops than in conventionally grown crops (*Pavlou, Ehaliotis & Kavvadias, 2007*). Supporting this finding, *Liu et al. (2014)* showed that lettuce grown under organic fertilizer (200 kg N ha⁻¹) accumulated 14 to 19% less NO_3^- compared to mineral nitrogen fertilizer at (200 kg N ha⁻¹ as NH_4NO_3).

CONCLUSIONS

This study investigated the impact of nitrogen fertilizer type and application rate on the growth, nitrate content, and storage quality of spinach plants. The findings highlight the importance of tailoring nitrogen fertilization strategies to achieve desired outcomes. For producers seeking rapid early growth and potentially higher yields, ammonium sulphate (AS) emerged as a viable option at moderate doses (200 mg N kg⁻¹). However, the observed decrease in growth at the highest dose (400 mg N kg⁻¹) underscores the importance of careful monitoring to avoid exceeding safe nitrate limits set by regulatory bodies.

Slow-release ammonium sulphate (SRAS) presented a more balanced approach. While promoting sustained growth comparable to AS at moderate doses, SRAS resulted in generally lower peak nitrate concentrations, potentially reducing the risk of exceeding safe limits. This characteristic makes SRAS a promising option for producers seeking to optimize both yield and consumer safety. Yeast residue (YR) emerged as a viable option for organic spinach production. Despite its lower nitrogen content compared to other fertilizers, YR still promoted plant growth, albeit at a slower rate. Importantly, YR consistently maintained the lowest nitrate levels throughout the experiment, offering a potential solution for organic producers concerned about nitrate accumulation.

While the study provides valuable insights into the effects of different nitrogen fertilizers on spinach growth and nitrate content. However, it is essential to acknowledge some limitations that may affect the generalizability of the findings. The study was conducted under controlled greenhouse conditions. Real-world field conditions can vary significantly in terms of temperature, light intensity, rainfall patterns, and soil properties. These factors can influence plant growth, nutrient uptake, and nitrate accumulation. Therefore, the observed responses in the greenhouse may not translate directly to outdoor settings. In addition, the study utilized a single spinach cultivar, Matador, a broad-leaved variety. Different spinach cultivars exhibit varying responses to fertilizer application due to inherent genetic differences. Evaluating a wider range of cultivars would provide a more comprehensive understanding of fertilizer effects on spinach production. By acknowledging these limitations, we emphasize the need for further research under field conditions, using diverse spinach varieties, and considering the influence of interacting environmental factors. This broader perspective will contribute to the development of more robust and generalizable recommendations for sustainable spinach production practices.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

This study was supported by the Çukurova University Research Projects Unit. Project (No. FYL-2021-13962). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: Çukurova University Research Projects: FYL-2021-13962.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author Contributions

- Kemal Yalçın Gülüt conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Gamze Güleç Şentürk conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability: The raw data is available in the Supplemental File.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/ peerj.17726#supplemental-information.

REFERENCES

Albayrak S, Çamaş N. 2006. The performance of turnip (*Brassica rapa* L.) varieties in response to nitrogen fertilization. OMU Journal of Agriculture Faculty 21:44–48 (in Turkish) DOI 10.1501/Tarimbil_0000000423.

- Amberger A. 1989. Research on dicyandiamide as a nitrification inhibitor and future outlook. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 20(19–20):1933–1955 DOI 10.1080/00103628909368195.
- Bai X, Jiang Y, Miao H, Xue S, Chen Z, Zhou J. 2021. Intensive vegetable production results in high nitrate accumulation in deep soil profiles in China. *Environmental Pollution* 287:117598 DOI 10.1016/j.envpol.2021.117598.
- Bian Z, Wang Y, Zhang X, Li T, Grundy S, Yang Q, Cheng R. 2020. A review of environment effects on nitrate accumulation in leafy vegetables grown in controlled environments. *Foods* 9(6):732 DOI 10.3390/foods9060732.
- Cataldo DA, Haroon M, Schrader LE, Youngs VL. 1975. Rapid colorimetric determination of nitrate in plant tissue by nitration of salicylic acid. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 6(1):71–80 DOI 10.1080/00103627509366547.
- Chohura P, Kolota E. 2009. Effect of nitrogen fertilizationon the yield and quality of field-grown leaf lettuce forspring harvest. *Vegetable Crops Research Bulletin* 71(1):41–49 DOI 10.2478/v10032-009-0025-8.
- Chung JC, Chou SS, Hwang DF. 2004. Changes in nitrate and nitrite content of four vegetables during storage at refrigerated and ambient temperatures. *Food Additives and Contaminants* 21(4):317–322 DOI 10.1080/02652030410001668763.
- **Citak S, Sonmez S. 2010.** Effects of conventional and organic fertilization on spinach (*Spinacea oleracea* L.) growth, yield, vitamin C and nitrate concentration during two successive seasons. *Scientia Horticulturae* **126(4)**:415–420 DOI 10.1016/j.scienta.2010.08.010.
- Colla G, Kim HJ, Kyriacou MC, Rouphael Y. 2018. Nitrate in fruits and vegetables. *Scientia Horticulturae* 237:221–238 DOI 10.1016/j.scienta.2018.04.016.
- **Cordeiro AM, Alcantara E, Barranco D. 1995.** *Differences in tolerance to iron deficiency among olive (Olea europea L.) cultivars: iron nutrition in soils and plants.* Abadia J. (ed.). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 197–200.
- de Gonzalez MTN, Osburn WN, Hardin MD, Longnecker M, Garg HK, Bryan NS, Keeton JT. 2015. A survey of nitrate and nitrite concentrations in conventional and organic-labeled raw vegetables at retail. *Journal of Food Science* 80(5):C942–C949 DOI 10.1111/1750-3841.12858.
- Dezhangah S, Nazari F, Kamali K, Hosseini MJ, Mehrasbi MR. 2022. A survey on nitrate level in vegetables to assess the potential health risks in Iran. *International Journal of Food Properties* 25(1):1958–1973 DOI 10.1080/10942912.2022.2117377.
- Ebert G, Eberle J, Ali Dinar H, Lüdders P. 2002. Ameliorating effects of Calcium Nitrate on growth, mineral uptake, and photosynthesis of NaCl-stressing guava seedlings. *Scientia Horticultura* 93:125–135 DOI 10.1016/S0304-4238(01)00325-9.
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2008. Nitrate in vegetables. Scientific opinion of the panel on contaminants in the food chain. (Question No EFSA-Q-2006-071). *EFSA Journal* 689:1–79.10 DOI 10.2903/j.efsa.2008.689.
- Elrys AS, El-Maati MFA, Abdel-Hamed EMW, Arnaout SM, El-Tarabily KA, Desoky ESM. 2021. Mitigate nitrate contamination in potato tubers and increase nitrogen recovery by combining dicyandiamide, moringa oil and zeolite with nitrogen fertilizer. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 209:111839 DOI 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.111839.
- Esfahani M, Abbasi HR, Rabiei B, Kavousi M. 2008. Improvement of nitrogen management in rice paddy fields using chlorophyll meter (SPAD). *Paddy and Water Environment* 6(2):181–188 DOI 10.1007/s10333-007-0094-6.
- **FAOSTAT. 2024.** Food and agriculture organization of the united nations. Crops and livestock products database. *Available at https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QCL/visualize*.

- **Guo C, Liu X, He X. 2022.** A global meta-analysis of crop yield and agricultural greenhouse gas emissions under nitrogen fertilizer application. *Science of the Total Environment* **831**:154982 DOI 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.154982.
- **Güneş H. 2021.** The effect of nitrification-inhibited fertilizers on the yield and growth of Spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.). Ankara University Institute of Science. Master's Thesis, Ankara.
- Han K, Zhang J, Wang C, Yang Y, Chang Y, Gao Y, Xie J. 2023. Changes in growth, physiology, and photosynthetic capacity of spinach (Spinacia oleracea L.) under different nitrate levels. *PLOS ONE* 18(3):e0283787 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0283787.
- Herencia JF, García-Galavís PA, Dorado JAR, Maqueda C. 2011. Comparison of nutritional quality of the crops grown in an organic and conventional fertilized soil. *Scientia Horticultura* 129:882–888 DOI 10.1016/j.scienta.2011.04.008.
- Hou W, Shen J, Xu W, Khan MR, Wang Y, Zhou X, Zhang Z. 2021. Recommended nitrogen rates and the verification of effects based on leaf SPAD readings of rice. *PeerJ* 9:e12107 DOI 10.7717/peerj.12107.
- Inal A, Tarakcioglu C. 2001. Effects of nitrogen forms on growth, nitrate accumulation, membrane permeability, and nitrogen use efficiency of hydroponically grown bunch onion under boron deficiency and toxicity. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 24(10):1521–1534 DOI 10.1081/PLN-100106018.
- Kacar B, Katkat AV. 2015. Plant Nutrition. Ankara: Nobel Publishing, 678.
- Kaminishi A, Kita N. 2006. Seasonal change of nitrate and oxalate concentration in relation to the growth rate of spinach cultivars. *HortScience* 41(7):1589–1595 DOI 10.21273/HORTSCI.41.7.1589.
- Knudsen D, Peterson GA, Pratt PF. 1982. Lithium, sodium and potassium. methods of soil analysis. part 2. chemical and microbiological properties. Second Edition (Ed: A.L. Page). Madison, WI, USA: American Society of Agronomy, 225–246.
- Koh E, Charoenprasert S, Mitchell AE. 2012. Effect of organic and conventional cropping systems on ascorbic acid, vitamin C, flavonoids, nitrate, and oxalate in 27 varieties of spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.). *Journal ofAagricultural and Food Chemistry* **60(12)**:3144–3150 DOI 10.1021/jf300051f.
- Krezel J, Kolota E. 2014. Source of nitrogen affects the yield and quality of spinach cultivars grown for autumn harvest. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B-Soil & Plant Science 64(7):583–589 DOI 10.1080/09064710.2014.934273.
- Kubar MS, Alshallash KS, Asghar MA, Feng M, Raza A, Wang C, Saleem K, Ullah A, Yang W, Kubar KA, Yang C, Selim S, Abdel Latef AAH, Safhi FA, Alshamrani SM. 2022. Improving winter wheat photosynthesis, nitrogen use efficiency, and yield by optimizing nitrogen fertilization. *Life* **12(10)**:1478 DOI 10.3390/life12101478.
- Lindsay WL, Norvell W. 1978. Development of a DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese, and copper. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 42(3):421–428 DOI 10.2136/sssaj1978.03615995004200030009x.
- Liu CW, Sung Y, Chen BC, Lai HY. 2014. Effects of nitrogen fertilizers on the growth and nitrate content of lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.). *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 11(4):4427–4440 DOI 10.3390/ijerph110404427.
- Liu YJ, Tong YP, Zhu YG, Ding H, Smith FA. 2006. Leaf chlorophyll readings as an indicator for spinach yield and nutritional quality with different nitrogen fertilizer applications. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 29(7):1207–1217 DOI 10.1080/01904160600767401.
- Luetic S, Knezovic Z, Jurcic K, Majic Z, Tripkovic K, Sutlovic D. 2023. Leafy vegetable nitrite and nitrate content: potential health effects. *Foods* 12(8):1655 DOI 10.3390/foods12081655.

- Menegat S, Ledo A, Tirado R. 2022. Greenhouse gas emissions from global production and use of nitrogen synthetic fertilisers in agriculture. *Scientific Reports* 12(1):1–13 DOI 10.1038/s41598-022-18773-w.
- Montemurro F, Capotorti G, Lacertosa G, Palazzo D. 2008. Effects of urease and nitrification inhibitors application on urea fate in soil and nitrate accumulation in lettuce. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 21(2):245–252 DOI 10.1080/01904169809365399.
- M'hamdi M, Abid G, Chikh-Rouhou H, Razgallah N, Hassen A. 2016. Effect of genotype and growing season on nitrate accumulation and expression patterns of nitrate transporter genes in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science* **62(11)**:1508–1520 DOI 10.1080/03650340.2016.1154544.
- Mudau AR, Nkomo MM, Soundy P, Araya HT, Ngezimana W, Mudau FN. 2015. Influence of postharvest storage temperature and duration on quality of baby spinach. *HortTechnology* 25(5):665–670 DOI 10.21273/HORTTECH.25.5.665.
- Nelson DW, Sommers LE. 1982. Total carbon, organic carbon, and organic matter. In: Part 2: Methods of Soil Analysis. Vol. 9. Second Edition (Agronomy Monograph), Page AL (ed). Madison, WI: ASA and SSSA, 539–579.
- **Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanabe FS, Dean LA. 1954.** Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. In: *Circular*. Washington, D. C: United States Department of Agriculture.
- Özenç BD, Şenlikoğlu G. 2017. Effects of compost and nitrogen fertilizer application on the growth of spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.). *Academic Journal of Agriculture* 6:227–234.
- Pavlou GC, Ehaliotis CD, Kavvadias VA. 2007. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers applied during successive crop seasons on growth and nitrate accumulation in lettuce. *Scientia Horticulturae* 111(4):319–325 DOI 10.1016/j.scienta.2006.11.003.
- **Porter R, Evans DV. 1998.** The trapping of surface waves by multiple submerged horizontal cylinders. *Journal of Engineering Mathematics* **34(4)**:417–433 DOI 10.1023/A:1004361929350.
- Purquerio LFV, Demant LAR, Goto R, Boas RLV. 2007. Effect of side dressing nitrogen fertilization and distance between plants on yield of rocket salad. *Horticultura Brasileira* 25(3):464–470 DOI 10.1590/S0102-05362007000300028.
- **Rhoades JD. 1983.** Soluble salts. In: Page AL, Miller RH, Keeney DR, eds. *Methods of Soil Analysis: Chemical and Microbiological Properties.* Second Edition. Madison, WI: ASA and SSSA, 167–179.
- Santamaria P. 2006. Nitrate in vegetables: toxicity, content, intake, and EC regulation. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 86(1):10–17 DOI 10.1002/jsfa.2351.
- **Scheffer B, Bartels R. 1998.** Nitrate discharge can be reduced by using stabilized N fertilizers a sandy soil can be reduced. In: *VDLUFA Publications Conference Proceedings*. Vol. 49, 633–636 [In German].
- Shormin T, Kibria MG. 2018. Effects of nitrogen from different inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield of indian spinach (*Basella Alba L.*). *IOSR Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Sciences* 13(5):43–48.
- Tamme T, Reinik M, Püssa T, Roasto M, Meremäe K, Kiis A. 2010. Dynamics of nitrate and nitrite content during storage of home-made and small-scale industrially produced raw vegetable juices and their dietary intake. *Food Additives and Contaminants* 27(4):487–495 DOI 10.1080/19440040903439796.
- **Tekeli E, Daşgan HY. 2013.** Optimization of organic nitrogen fertilization in greenhouse pepper cultivation. *Journal of Cukurova University Faculty of Science and Engineering* **29(2)**:49–57.

- Thapa P, Shrestha RK, Kafle K, Shrestha J. 2021. Effect of different levels of nitrogen and farmyard manure on the growth and yield of spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.). *Agraarteadus, Journal of Agricultural Science* 32(2):335–340 DOI 10.15159/jas.21.21.
- The SV, Snyder R, Tegeder M. 2021. Targeting nitrogen metabolism and transport processes to improve plant nitrogen use efficiency. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 11:628366 DOI 10.3389/fpls.2020.628366.
- **Trenkel ME. 2010.** Slow-and controlled-release and stabilized fertilizers: an option for enhancing *nutrient use efficiency in agriculture.* Paris, France: International Fertilizer Industry Association (IFA).
- Verma BC, Pramanik P, Bhaduri D. 2020. Organic fertilizers for sustainable soil and environmental management. Nutrient dynamics for sustainable crop production. In: Meena R, ed. *Nutrient Dynamics for Sustainable Crop Production*. Singapore: Springer, 289–313.
- Vico A, Sáez JA, Pérez-Murcia MD, Martinez-Tomé J, Andreu-Rodríguez J, Agulló E, Bustamante MA, Sanz-Cobena A, Moral R. 2020. Production of spinach in intensive Mediterranean horticultural systems can be sustained by organic-based fertilizers without yield penalties and with low environmental impacts. *Agricultural Systems* 178(11):102765 DOI 10.1016/j.agsy.2019.102765.
- Wang C, Lv J, Coulter JA, Xie J, Yu J, Li J, Zhang J, Tang C, Niu T, Gan Y. 2020. Slow-release fertilizer improves the growth, quality, and nutrient utilization of wintering Chinese chives (*Allium tuberosum Rottler* ex Spreng.). Agronomy 10(3):381 DOI 10.3390/agronomy10030381.
- Zaman Q, Hamid F, Islam S, Ahmad F, Ahmad N. 2018. Impact of various levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth and yield of spinach (*Spinacea oleracea* L.) under conditions of Mansehra (Pakistan). *Open Academic Journal of Advanced Science and Technology* 2(1):5–8 DOI 10.33094/5.2017.2018.21.5.8.
- Zandvakili OR, Barker AV, Hashemi M, Etemadi F, Autio WR, Weis S. 2019. Growth and nutrient and nitrate accumulation of lettuce under different regimes of nitrogen fertilization. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 42(14):1575–1593 DOI 10.1080/01904167.2019.1617313.
- Züst T, Agrawal AA. 2016. Mechanisms and evolution of plant resistance to aphids. *Natural Plants* 2:15206 DOI 10.1038/nplants.2015.206.