Peer

Submitted 26 December 2023 Accepted 18 June 2024 Published 19 July 2024

Corresponding author Hibraim Adán Pérez-Mendoza, hibraimperez@ciencias.unam.mx

Academic editor Daniel Hughes

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 15

DOI 10.7717/peerj.17705

Copyright 2024 Figueroa-Huitrón et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

Physiological thermal responses of three Mexican snakes with distinct lifestyles

Ricardo Figueroa-Huitrón^{1,2}, Anibal Díaz de la Vega-Pérez³, Melissa Plasman⁴ and Hibraim Adán Pérez-Mendoza¹

- ¹ Laboratorio de Ecología Evolutiva y Conservación de Anfibios y Reptiles, Facultad de Estudios Superiores Iztacala, UNAM, Tlalnepantla, Estado de México, Mexico
- ² Posgrado en Ciencias Biológicas, Unidad de Posgrado, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad de México, Mexico
- ³ Consejo Nacional de Humanidades Ciencias y Tecnologías-Centro Tlaxcala de Biología de la Conducta, Universidad Autónoma de Tlaxcala, Tlaxcala, Mexico

⁴ Centro Tlaxcala de Biología de la Conducta, Universidad Autónoma de Tlaxcala, Tlaxcala, Mexico

ABSTRACT

The impact of temperature on reptile physiology has been examined through two main parameters: locomotor performance and metabolic rates. Among reptiles, different species may respond to environmental temperatures in distinct ways, depending on their thermal sensitivity. Such variation can be linked to the ecological lifestyle of the species and needs to be taken into consideration when assessing the thermal influence on physiology. This is particularly relevant for snakes, which are a very functionally diverse group. In this study, our aim was to analyze the thermal sensitivity of locomotor performance and resting metabolic rate (RMR) in three snake species from central Mexico (Crotalus polystictus, Conopsis lineata, and Thamnophis melanogaster), highlighting how it is influenced by their distinctive behavioral and ecological traits. We tested both physiological parameters in five thermal treatments: 15 °C, 25 °C, 30 °C, 33 °C, and 36 °C. Using the performance data, we developed thermal performance curves (TPCs) for each species and analyzed the RMR data using generalized linear mixed models. The optimal temperature for locomotion of *C. polystictus* falls near its critical thermal maximum, suggesting that it can maintain performance at high temperatures but with a narrow thermal safety margin. T. melanogaster exhibited the fastest swimming speeds and the highest mass-adjusted RMR. This aligns with our expectations since it is an active forager, a high energy demand mode. The three species have a wide performance breadth, which suggests that they are thermal generalists that can maintain performance over a wide interval of temperatures. This can be beneficial to *C. lineata* in its cold habitat, since such a characteristic has been found to allow some species to maintain adequate performance levels in suboptimal temperatures. RMR increased along with temperature, but the proportional surge was not uniform since thermal sensitivity measured through Q_{10} increased at the low and high thermal treatments. High Q_{10} at low temperatures could be an adaptation to maintain favorable performance in suboptimal temperatures, whereas high Q_{10} at high temperatures could facilitate physiological responses to heat stress. Overall, our results show different physiological adaptations of the three species to the environments they inhabit. Their different activity patterns and foraging habits are closely linked to these adaptations. Further studies of other populations with different climatic conditions would provide valuable information to complement our current understanding of the effect of environmental properties on snake physiology.

Subjects Ecology, Zoology

Keywords Ecophysiology, Metabolism, Performance breadth, Endemic species, Thermal tolerance

INTRODUCTION

Temperature affects the biology of ectotherms at all levels, from physiology to behavior, and these animals have developed various adaptations to balance such effects (*Abram et al., 2017*). In this sense, different species may respond to environmental temperatures in distinct ways, depending on their sensitivity to environmental temperatures. The extent to which temperature influences the physiological performance of an organism is called thermal sensitivity, a continuum ranging from thermal specialists whose performance is strongly influenced by temperature, to thermal generalists that perform well over a broad range of temperatures (*Angilletta, 2009*). How sensitive a species is to temperature can be linked to behavioral, ecological, and evolutionary aspects (*Bars-Closel, Camacho & Kohlsdorf, 2018; Senior et al., 2019*). In this context, it is of particular interest to determine the magnitude and consequences of the effects of temperature on physiology, and this has been one of the focal points of research in non-avian reptiles (hereinafter "reptiles").

The impact of temperature on physiology has been examined through two main parameters: performance, primarily assessed through locomotion speed, and metabolic rates, primarily evaluated by the rates of O_2 consumption or CO_2 production (see *Bennett*, 1990; Angilletta, Niewiarowski & Navas, 2002; de Andrade, 2016; Tomlinson, 2019). The thermal sensitivity of performance is analyzed by developing thermal performance curves (TPCs), which are continuous equations that describe how performance changes as a function of body temperature (*Taylor et al., 2021*). TPCs have a distinctive shape, and they follow a pattern in which performance increases along temperature up to an optimal level beyond which it decreases rapidly (Angilletta, 2006; Tomlinson, 2019). Useful parameters and information can be obtained after generating a TPC, such as the optimal temperature at which performance is maximized (T_0) and performance breadth (B_{80}) , which is the temperature interval in which favorable performance (usually specified as 80% of maximum performance) can be maintained (Angilletta, Niewiarowski & Navas, 2002). Furthermore, the development of TPCs also encompasses the determination of the thermal tolerance breadth (TTB) of the organisms, which is delimited by the critical maximum and minimum temperatures (CT_{max} and CT_{min}). These values represent the threshold beyond which the physiological functioning of an organism is lost and mark the start and end points of the TPCs, where performance drops to zero (*Taylor et al., 2021*). CT_{max} is also the reference point for determining the thermal safety margin (TSM), a concept defined by Sinclair et al. (2016) as the difference between T_o and CT_{max}. The TSM represents thus the margin available to organisms to sustain their performance prior to reaching their thermal threshold. In this way, TPCs provide valuable insights into the relationship between temperature and physiological performance.

Metabolic rate is also strongly influenced by temperature, and resting metabolic rate (RMR) is one of the most commonly used measurements to assess this relation, as it increases with body temperatures. Different environmental conditions can affect metabolic rates distinctively, leading to variable energetic costs at different temperatures. For instance, species living in arid environments present low metabolic rates, a strategy that may decrease energetic costs and dehydration risk (Dupoué, Brischoux & Lourdais, 2017). On the other hand, species that live in cold habitats often have a higher RMR than those from temperate or warm environments (Žagar et al., 2018; Plasman et al., 2020), an adaptation that enables them to maintain high activity levels in non-favorable environments, where the conditions can impair locomotor performance (Stellatelli et al., 2022). The thermal sensitivity of metabolic rates has often been represented through the Q_{10} index. This index represents how much metabolic rates change with a 10 °C increase in body temperature. A Q_{10} value of two, for example, represents that the metabolic rate has doubled (Schmidt-Nielsen, 1997). In reptiles, the most common scenario is that species present a Q₁₀ within the range of 2–3 (*Kinoshita et al., 2018*). However, a wide range of variation has been reported in the group (Frappell & Daniels, 1991; Brashears & Denardo, 2013; Arnall, Kuchling & Mitchell, 2014).

The physiology of reptiles and their relationship with environmental temperatures are closely linked to the habits of a species. In a comparative study among squamates, Andrews & Pough (1985) report that fossorial species are the ecological group with the lowest metabolic rates, although some species do not follow this trend (Wang & Abe, 1994). As for aquatic reptiles, there is no clear evidence of differences between their metabolic rates and those of terrestrial reptiles (Christian et al., 1996; Wallace & Jones, 2008). However, being highly thermally sensitive can be beneficial to aquatic species, like the yellow-lipped Sea Kraits (Laticauda colubrina), whose metabolic rate increases on land, boosting processes like digestion, and decreases in water, allowing them to spend less energy while submerging and foraging (Dabruzzi, Sutton & Bennett, 2012). In the terrestrial snake Storeria dekayi, performance level increases with higher temperatures, but the degree of thermal sensitivity varies across different microhabitats and locomotion modes (Gerald & *Claussen*, 2007). Furthermore, there are also metabolic differences regarding foraging mode. Active foragers require more energy to pursue prey, which leads them to have higher metabolic rates than ambush predators (Aubret, Tort & Sarraude, 2015; Dupoué, Brischoux & Lourdais, 2017).

In this context, it is important to take into consideration the variation in ecological and behavioral traits when assessing the effects of temperature on reptile physiology. This is of particular relevance when working with snakes, which are a very diverse group, both in species richness and functional diversity (*Tingle, Garner & Astley, 2024*). Despite the great diversity present in Mexico (*Flores-Villela & García-Vázquez, 2014; Ramírez-Bautista et al., 2023*), to our knowledge, there has been no study focusing on the thermal sensitivity of physiological traits in Mexican snakes. Here, our aim was to analyze the thermal sensitivity of locomotor performance and RMR in three snake species from central Mexico (*Crotalus polystictus, Conopsis lineata*, and *Thamnophis melanogaster*), highlighting how it is influenced by their distinct behavioral and ecological traits. We selected these species as they are distinctive representatives of the herpetofaunal communities in the region and are among the most abundant species. Moreover, they encompass three prevalent lifestyles commonly found within snake assemblages (*Di Pietro et al., 2020*): *C. lineata* is a small fossorial ambush predator predominantly dwelling underground; *C. polystictus* is a terrestrial ambush predator commonly found in grasslands and beneath rocks; and *T. melanogaster* is a semiaquatic active forager, typically inhabiting lakeshores and streams. We hypothesized that, since they have distinct lifestyles, the physiological thermal responses would be different. We predicted that, considering it is an active forager that spends considerable time in the open, *T. melanogaster* would be a thermal specialist and present the highest levels of performance (higher T_o and B_{80}) and RMR (after adjusting for body mass). On the contrary, being a fossorial species with little mobility, we predicted *C. lineata* to present the lowest levels of performance and RMR. Since it is an ambush predator, albeit with more conspicuous habits than *C. lineata*, we expected *C. polystictus* to have intermediate levels of performance and RMR relative to the other two species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study species and sites

Crotalus polystictus is an endemic rattlesnake from central Mexico (*Mociño-Deloya et al., 2009*). It is a diurnal, medium-sized viper with an average snout-vent length (SVL) of about 60 to 70 cm (*Campbell & Lamar, 2004*). It inhabits pine-oak forests, scrublands, and both dry and humid grasslands between 1,450 and 2,739 m above sea level (*Meik et al., 2012*). This terrestrial species spends considerable time under rocks, and it alternates between shelters and the open for thermoregulation. It feeds mostly on small rodents as a sit-and-wait predator (*Mackessy et al., 2018*). The study site is located in San Bartolo Morelos, on the northwest side of Estado de México. The site is composed of a series of croplands surrounded by grasslands and oak forest patches, and it is located at an elevation of 2,660 m. Climate is subhumid temperate with summer rains. Rocky areas between croplands provide suitable shelters for the rattlesnakes.

Conopsis lineata is a small-sized fossorial snake with a mean SVL of around 18 cm. This diurnal species spends most of its time within burrows or under rocks and logs, where it feeds on larvae, insects, and other arthropods (*Ramírez-Bautista et al., 2009*; *García-Balderas et al., 2014*). It inhabits pine-oak forests, montane cloud forests, xerophytic shrubs, and fir forests in an altitude range from 1,700 to 3,100 m above sea level (*Goyenechea & Flores-Villela, 2006; Ramírez-Bautista et al., 2009*). The study site is located in Los Dinamos National Park, which is part of the forested and montane area in the western part of Mexico City. Climate is subhumid semicold with annual rainfall of 900 to 1,300 mm and a mean annual temperature range of 9 °C to 15 °C (*Kovács et al., 2021*). The study site is an open area used for shepherding and plum tree cultivation, located at an elevation of 2,720 m.

Thamnophis melanogaster is a medium-sized, semiaquatic colubrid with an average SVL of about 40 cm (*Manjarrez, Macías Garcia & Drummond, 2017*). It is distributed widely throughout Mexico, and it inhabits riverbanks and lake shores (*Ramírez-Bautista et al., 2009*). It uses shelters on land, near aquatic bodies, and forages actively underwater,

feeding on aquatic prey such as tadpoles, fish, and invertebrates (*Manjarrez, García & Drummond, 2013*). It is mostly a diurnal species but can also be active during particularly warm nights (*Rossman, Ford & Seigel, 1996*). The study site is the ecotourism park Arcos del Sitio, which is located within the Tepotzotlán mountain range in Estado de México, at an elevation of 2,280 m. Climate is subhumid temperate with summer rains, with an annual precipitation of 700–800 mm (*Espinosa-Graciano & García-Collazo, 2017*). The dominant vegetation in the area is crasicaule shrubland, heavily fragmented by shepherding areas. Gallery forest is present along the Arcos del Sitio River, where individuals of *T. melanogaster* are mostly found in areas with reduced vegetation cover.

Field work and snake captivity

We visited each site every 2 months from April 2021 to July 2023, excluding the winter period (December to February) when snakes are rarely found active. Furthermore, many squamate species present a decrease in metabolic rates during winter as part of the brumation process (Dubiner et al., 2023), which would have biased our measurements. For C. polystictus and T. melanogaster, each visit lasted 3 days, during which a team of 2-4 people searched for the snakes in their preferred microhabitats from 10:00 to 18:00 h. As the study site of C. lineata is considerably smaller, sampling occasions for this species were shorter, lasting 1 or 2 days, with a sampling schedule from 10:00 to 14:00 h. For each snake encountered, we registered the time of capture, body mass (g), SVL (mm), sex, and geographic coordinates. We determined sex by cloacal probing; however, to avoid hurting them, we did not use the technique on the small juveniles, whose size prevented the probe from entering easily. We identified pregnant females by palpation, which were not considered for the experiments. After capturing the snakes, we kept them inside cloth sacks and transported them to the laboratory. We planned our field trips and experiments so that all individuals spent a similar amount of time in captivity (between 5 and 8 days) before the onset of the experiments, which lasted between 7 and 10 days. On average, the time between capture and the end of the experiments was just over 2 weeks.

While in captivity, we maintained the snakes in either $40 \times 30 \times 30$ cm terrariums (*C. polystictus* and *C. lineata*) or in $50 \times 40 \times 30$ cm fish tanks conditioned with a dry area (*T. melanogaster*). We placed the enclosures in a room at ambient temperature with natural light, under a natural photoperiod. We conditioned all enclosures with cardboard boxes to serve as refuges and water bowls that we filled constantly. We placed heating mats under one extreme of each enclosure so that snakes could have the opportunity to thermoregulate. Using a digital timer, we set the heating mats to be on at a constant schedule of 09:00 to 17:00 h. We did not feed the snakes prior to the experiments so that digestion did not interfere with the tests, which is a safe procedure since snakes can spend extended periods of time without eating (*McCue, Lillywhite & Beaupre, 2012*). After the experiments were finished, we maintained the snakes in their enclosures and fed them once every 2 weeks until the subsequent field trip, during which we liberated the organisms at their respective study site. We fed *C. lineata* with crickets (*Acheta domesticus*), *C. polystictus* with mice (*Mus musculus*), and *T. melanogaster* with small fish (*Chirostoma* sp.).

Permission to collect the animals was given by the Secretary of Environment and Natural Resources (SEMARNAT) through the permits SGPA/DGVS/06935/21 and SPARN/DGVS/00316/23. Experimental procedures were made under the approval of the ethics committee of the Faculty of Higher Studies (FES) Iztacala of the National Autonomous University of Mexico (UNAM) through the permit CE/FESI/022023/1578.

Thermal tolerance

To avoid subjecting a large number of animals to stressful experimentation, we decided to perform the CT_{min} and CT_{max} trials with a subset of individuals. We utilized the snakes collected in 2023: 10 individuals of C. polystictus (six females and four males), eight of C. lineata (three females, two males, and three juveniles), and seven of T. melanogaster (three females and four males). We followed the most commonly used procedure to determine thermal tolerance in reptiles, which is to heat and cool the animals to the point of losing the righting response (Taylor et al., 2021). To avoid confounding negative effects, we made these experiments last after performance and RMR tests. To determine CT_{min} , we placed the snakes in a $50 \times 40 \times 50$ cm plastic container, of which the bottom was covered with frozen refrigerant gel packs. With this procedure, snakes were cooled at a rate of 1 °C/min. After 15 min, using a pair of 30 cm metal tweezers, we started turning snakes on their backs every minute. At the moment in which the snakes were unable to right themselves, we terminated the experiment and recorded their body temperature with a contact thermometer (Fluke model 52-II, \pm 0.1 °C), whose sensor was inserted 0.5–1 cm into the cloaca, and recorded it as the CT_{min}. To measure CT_{max}, we placed a 200 W heat lamp 50 cm above a plastic bucket (30 cm in diameter and 40 cm high), in which we placed the snakes. With these conditions, we were able to heat the snakes steadily at a rate of 1.5 °C/min. When the snakes recurred to panting as a cooling strategy, we started turning them on their backs every 30 s. When they were unable to right themselves up, we recorded that temperature as CT_{max} in the same way as we did with CT_{min} . Right after each CT_{min} or CT_{max} test ended, we placed the snakes in direct contact with water at ambient temperature (1 cm deep) inside a $60 \times 40 \times 50$ cm plastic container and allowed them to recover. To avoid subjecting the snakes to consecutive stressful experiments, we first performed the CT_{min} tests and let the snakes recover for 24 h before the CT_{max} tests. With these data, we determined TTB as the distance in °C between CT_{max} and CT_{min} (Stellatelli et al., 2022; Valdez Ovallez et al., 2022).

Thermal treatments preparation

We ran both the performance and RMR trials on a set of thermal treatments that, without exceeding the TTB limits, encompasses temperatures below, within, and over their set point temperature range (T_{set}). This range represents the target body temperatures that organisms attempt to achieve (*Hertz, Huey & Stevenson, 1993*), and we calculated it for the three species in another study focused on their thermal ecology (R. Figueroa-Huitron et al., 2023, unpublished data). We found that, while their overall thermoregulation strategies are different, their T_{set} and field body temperatures are similar. This allowed us to make a

generalization to determine five ecologically relevant temperatures for the three species: $15 \degree C$, $25 \degree C$, $30 \degree C$, $33 \degree C$, and $36 \degree C$. Approximately, $15 \degree C$ is the lowest body temperature we registered on the field, $25 \degree C$ is near the mean T_{set} , $30 \degree C$ is the upper limit of T_{set} , and $33 \degree C$ is the maximum body temperature registered in the field. Though it was not considered at first, we included the $36 \degree C$ treatment subsequently to observe the response of the snakes above voluntarily maintained body temperatures in natural conditions and to better adjust the thermal performance curves over a wide temperature range (*Taylor et al., 2021*).

Before the onset of each test, we set the snakes to acclimatize to the target temperature for at least 30 min. To achieve this, we put the individuals in hermetically closed chambers placed in a darkened incubator. Depending on the size of each individual, we used 50 ml centrifuge tubes or plastic containers of 300 or 900 ml, which allowed the snakes to adopt a coiled resting position but not move freely. In both experimental procedures, we randomized the sequence of thermal treatments for each individual so that none passed through the treatments in direct ascending or descending order. Since metabolic rates change during rest hours (*Dubiner et al., 2023*), all tests were performed between 9:00 and 18:00 h, within the observed activity period of the snakes in the field, so that our data accurately represent RMR. Individuals performed only one experimental trial per day to reduce possible confounding effects derived from acute stress.

Locomotor performance

We evaluated locomotor performance through swimming speed. We decided to use swimming speed over crawling speed because in terrestrial conditions snakes frequently adopt defensive behaviors, such as coiling and biting, which would interfere with the locomotion trials. Swimming forward is a reflex behavior of snakes in water; thereby, swimming speed is an adequate measurement to assess the locomotor capacity of both semiaquatic and terrestrial snakes (*Aïdam, Michel & Bonnet, 2013*). To carry out the swimming speed trials, we designed a rectangular lane made from wood, covered with impermeable resin. The lane was 350 cm long, 30 cm wide, and 40 cm high. Along the lane, we placed marks every 30 cm to serve as a reference for the determination of the distance covered by the snakes. For each thermal treatment, we filled the lane with water up to a level of 15 cm and either heated or cooled the water up to the targeted trial temperature using refrigerated gel packs and electric water heaters.

On each trial, we placed the snake at one end of the lane and followed it as it went down the lane. If a snake stopped, we stimulated it to continue swimming forward by gently touching it with a herpetological hook. We made sure that snakes advanced at least through five marks (150 cm) throughout the trials, which lasted a maximum of 2 min. We filmed trials from an overhead view with a digital video camera (Akaso EK7000) at the highest frame rate: 60 frames per second (fps). We analyzed the resulting videos in the video editing program Shotcut (Version 21.05.18), in which we determined the number of frames it took for the snakes to move through each 30 cm segment. We then divided each value by 60 to get time in seconds, and with that information, we calculated swimming speed for each segment. We chose the highest value as the maximum swimming speed at the given temperature (Table S1).

Resting metabolic rate

To measure RMR, we used the rate of CO₂, since it is a reliable measure of basic maintenance in a steady state (*Holden et al., 2022*). We used an open flow respirometry system, following the protocol of *Plasman et al. (2020*). Incurrent air was scrubbed with Drierite (calcium sulfate for water vapor) and Ascarite (sodium hydroxide for CO₂) so that dry, CO₂-free air was pumped into the chambers at a rate of 100 ml·min⁻¹. Water vapor was also removed from the excurrent air sample, and the proportion of CO₂ in the excurrent gas was analyzed using a Foxbox O₂/CO₂ analyzer (Sable Systems International). After the acclimation period, the trials ran over 30 min, unless more time was required for the CO₂ levels to stabilize. Due to logistical difficulties, we did not perform trials for the 33 °C treatment with the animals collected in 2023 (10 *C. polystictus*, eight *C. lineata*, and seven *T. melanogaster*). We utilized the Expedata software (Sable Systems International, North Las Vegas, NV, USA) to visualize the CO₂ curves and to make the calculation of the lowest average levels of CO₂ during 100 continuous seconds. From the data obtained, we calculated RMR in terms of rates of carbon dioxide production (VCO₂; ml CO₂/min) using the following equation (*Lighton, 2008*),

$$VCO_2 = FR_i(F_eCO_2 - F_iCO_2)$$

where FR_i is the incurrent air flow rate in ml/min, and F_eCO_2 and F_iCO_2 are the fractional concentrations of CO_2 in the excurrent and incurrent gas samples, respectively. Finally, we calculated the thermal sensitivity through the Q_{10} index. We calculated Q_{10} both over the entire thermal interval of the experiments and between subsequent thermal treatments using the following equation:

 $Q_{10} = (RMR_2/RMR_1)^{[10/(T_2-T_1)]}$

Statistical analyses

To assess the thermal sensitivity of locomotor performance, we constructed TPCs. For each species, we utilized the average swimming speed of all individuals at each of the five thermal trials as the base data on which the curves were fitted. The boundaries of the curves, where the performance value is zero, were set at the mean CT_{min} and CT_{max} . Following common practice in the field (see *Angilletta, 2006; Taylor et al., 2021*), we fitted a set of curve equations and obtained their R² values and the Akaike information criterion adjusted for small samples (AICc, our sample size was 33 for *C. polystictus*, 31 for *C. lineata* and 38 for *T. melanogaster*). To fit the curves, we utilized the software TableCurve 2D (Systat Software Inc., version 5.01). From the pool of fitted curves, we filtered the ones with the highest R² (over 0.9) and selected the best curve according to the lowest AICc (Table S2) as their TPC. We then calculated maximum swimming speed (V_{max}), T_o, TSMs, and B₈₀ from the TPC of each species.

We analyzed the data on resting metabolic rates using generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs), which allow for study designs with repeated measures. We log₁₀ transformed RMR and body mass to normalize the data and account for the non-linearity of their relationship (*Glazier*, 2021). We set thermal treatment, body mass, and sex as fixed predictors and snake identification as the random factor. We tested seven models with combinations of these predictors, including the interaction of temperature and body mass (Table S3). The best model was selected based on the lowest AICc.

To account for body mass while testing for differences in RMR between species, we ran a GLM with species set as a fixed factor and tested the effect of the species \times body mass interaction. We then calculated the estimated marginal means and performed marginal contrasts analysis. To test for differences in swimming speeds, direct RMR among species, and overall Q₁₀, we used the Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn tests. We tested normality with the Shapiro-Wilk test and homoscedasticity with the Bartlett test. Analyses were made in R version 4.3.2 (*R Core Team, 2023*) using the following packages: AICcmodavg (*Mazerolle, 2023*) for computing AICc values; FSA (*Ogle et al., 2023*) for performing the Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn tests; lme4 (*Bates et al., 2015*) for fitting GLMMs; and modelbased (*Makowski et al., 2020*) for estimating and comparing marginal means. We used ggplot2 (*Wickham, 2016*) to generate the figures.

RESULTS

Locomotor performance and thermal tolerance

We examined the effect of temperature on performance on 33 *C. polystictus* (12 males, 14 females, and seven unsexed juveniles), 31 *C. lineata* (16 males, 15 females), and 38 *T. melanogaster* (12 males, 15 females, and 11 juveniles). The curves that best fit the performance data were an exponentially modified Gaussian for *C. polystictus* ($R^2 = 0.92$, Table S2), an asymmetric logistic for *C. lineata* ($R^2 = 0.94$, Table S2), and an extreme value distribution for *T. melanogaster* ($R^2 = 0.93$, Table S2). The TPCs of the three species are represented in Fig. 1. Overall, *T. melanogaster* reached the highest swimming speeds, whereas *C. lineata* presented the lowest ($X^2 = 176.02$, *P* < 0.001). *C. polystictus* presents the highest T_o , followed by *T. melanogaster* and then *C. lineata* (Table 1). The TTB of *C. polystictus* is 37.2 °C, ranging from 5.9 °C to 43.1 °C (Table 1). The T_o (36.7 °C) and the upper limit of the B₈₀ (39.2 °C) of this species are close to the CT_{max}, which resulted in the lowest TSM among the three species (Fig. 1, Table 1). The TTB of *C. lineata* is 31.9 °C, ranging from 9.3 to 41.2 °C, whereas that of *T. melanogaster* is 33.1 °C, ranging from 8.5 °C to 41.6 °C (Table 1). These two species present similar values of T_o (27.8 °C, 28.6 °C) and B₈₀ (20.1–34.3 °C, 20.7–34.9 °C, Table 1).

Resting metabolic rate

We calculated the RMR of 41 *C. polystictus* (15 males, 19 females, and seven unsexed juveniles), 26 *C. lineata* (11 males, 13 females, and two juveniles), and 36 *T. melanogaster* (13 males, 15 females, and eight juveniles). The bigger species had higher absolute values of RMR, with *C. polystictus* presenting the highest values of RMR ($X^2 = 149.18$, *P* < 0.001; Figs. 2, 3; Table S4). However, after variable transformation and taking body mass into



Figure 1 Thermal performance curves of the three study species. Vertical lines indicate the inferior and superior limits of B_{80} , and the shapes point to T_0 . Solid lines and a triangle, in orange, represent *Crotalus polystictus*. Dot-dashed lines and a square, in green, represent *Conopsis lineata*. Dashed lines and a diamond, in blue, represent *Thamnophis melanogaster*. Full-size \square DOI: 10.7717/peerj.17705/fig-1

Table 1 Performance characteristics.									
	CT _{min} (°C)	CT _{max} (°C)	TTB (°C)	Τ _o (° C)	TSM (°C)	V _{max} (cm/s)	B ₈₀ (°C)		
Crotalus polystictus	5.9 ± 0.27	43.1 ± 0.92	37.2 ± 0.83	36.7	6.4	42.0	26.3-39.2		
Conopsis lineata	9.3 ± 1.67	41.2 ± 1.42	31.9 ± 2.19	27.8	13.4	29.3	20.1-34.3		
Thamnophis melanogaster	8.5 ± 1.14	41.6 ± 1.34	33.1 ± 1.60	28.6	13	71.7	20.7-34.9		

Note:

Relevant performance characteristics of the study species. CT_{min} and CT_{max} values presented \pm SD.

consideration, *T. melanogaster* had a higher estimated marginal mean (t = -2.56, P < 0.05). No significant differences were found between *C. polystictus* and *C. lineata*.

For all three species, the GLMM that best explained RMR included the additive effect of temperature and body mass (RMR~Temperature + Body mass. Conditional $R^2 = 0.96$ for *C. polystictus*, 0.85 for *C. lineata*, and 0.92 for *T. melanogaster*). The coefficients of the best models for the three species are presented in Table 2, whereas all tested models with their AICc values are presented in Table S3. In all three species, the thermal treatments affected RMR positively, and this effect increased along with temperature (Table 2). Body mass also had a positive, significant effect on RMR in the three species.

Conopsis lineata and *T. melanogaster* had very similar values of overall Q_{10} (2.20, 2.11, Table 3), but *C. polystictus* had a significantly lower Q_{10} than the other two species (1.62, $X^2 = 28.447$, P < 0.001; *post-hoc* Dunn test: P < 0.001 in both cases). The variation of Q_{10} across the thermal treatments was also similar in *C. lineata* and *T. melanogaster*. In both species, Q_{10} progressively decreased from 15 °C to 33 °C but increased abruptly in the 33 °C to 36 °C interval (Table 3). On the other hand, Q_{10} of *C. polystictus* increased slightly from 15 °C to 33 °C but also experienced a noticeable increment between 33 °C and 36 °C (Table 3).



Figure 2 Resting metabolic rates for the studied species. RMR per thermal treatment of the three study species. Orange triangles represent *Crotalus polystictus*, green circles represent *Conopsis lineata*, and blue squares represent *Thamnophis melanogaster*. Horizontal lines above and below each point represent ±1 SE. Full-size 🖬 DOI: 10.7717/peerj.17705/fig-2



Figure 3 Relation between resting metabolic rate and body mass. Relation of RMR with body mass at each thermal treatment for the three study species: (A) *Crotalus polystictus*, (B) *Conopsis lineata*, and (C) *Thamnophis melanogaster*. Visual representation of the thermal treatments is as follows (from bottom to top): 15 °C: dot-dashed line and crosses in dark blue. 25 °C: dashed line and squares in light blue. 30 °C: long-dashed line and triangles in yellow. 33 °C: solid line and diamonds in orange. 36 °C: unevenly dashed line with circles in red. Shaded areas around each line represent 95% CI. Photographs credits: L. E. Bucio-Jiménez.

Table 2 Resting metabolic rate models.												
Crotalus polystictus				Conopsis lineata				Thamnophis melanogaster				
RMR~Temperature + Body mass				RMR ~ Temperature + Body Mass				RMR~Temperature + Body mass				
Fixed effects	Estimate ± SE	df	t value	P value	Estimate ± SE	df	t value	P value	Estimate ± SE	df	t value	P value
Intercept	-0.944 ± 0.055	45.121	-17.294	< 0.001	-0.931 ± 0.076	27.475	-12.233	< 0.001	-0.965 ± 0.043	50.309	-22.497	< 0.001
Tb 25 $^{\circ}C$	0.182 ± 0.019	126.803	9.323	< 0.001	0.399 ± 0.035	76.930	11.375	< 0.001	0.358 ± 0.031	113.520	11.439	< 0.001
Tb 30 $^{\circ}C$	0.252 ± 0.019	126.803	12.926	< 0.001	0.536 ± 0.035	76.930	15.276	< 0.001	0.581 ± 0.032	114.221	18.388	< 0.001
Tb 33 °C	0.371 ± 0.023	130.764	16.347	< 0.001	0.608 ± 0.037	77.950	16.472	< 0.001	0.659 ± 0.034	117.084	19.490	< 0.001
Tb 36 °C	0.381 ± 0.024	130.492	16.061	< 0.001	0.709 ± 0.053	81.626	13.280	< 0.001	0.774 ± 0.038	121.942	20.518	< 0.001
Body mass	0.760 ± 0.029	41.18	25.976	< 0.001	0.610 ± 0.105	23.099	5.800	< 0.001	0.674 ± 0.030	32.223	22.657	< 0.001

Note:

The GLMMs that best explain RMR for the study species. Estimates are presented \pm SE.

Table 3 Q_{10} values for the species across thermal treatments.								
	Q ₁₀ (15–25 °C)	Q ₁₀ (25–30 °C)	Q ₁₀ (30–33 °C)	Q ₁₀ (33-36 °C)	Overall Q ₁₀ (15–36 °C)			
Crotalus polystictus	1.44	1.52	1.68	2.54	1.62			
Conopsis lineata	2.36	1.85	1.05	3.42	2.20			
Thamnophis melanogaster	2.3	1.82	1.16	4.09	2.11			

DISCUSSION

In this work, we analyzed the thermal sensitivity of performance and RMR of three Mexican snake species with distinct lifestyles. Our results support our hypothesis that thermal physiology is influenced by the distinct lifestyles of the species. According to our predictions, we found that the active forager semiaquatic *T. melanogaster* showed the highest levels of performance and mass-adjusted RMR. We expected *T. melanogaster* to be a thermal specialist, but the results show that it can maintain performance over a wide range of temperatures. The fossorial ambush forager *C. lineata* had the lowest levels of performance, as expected, but it also had a relatively wide B_{80} , and its mass-adjusted RMR did not differ from that of *C. polystictus*, which is also an ambush forager, but is a more conspicuous terrestrial species. *C. lineata* seems to be well adapted to the thermally challenging environment that it inhabits. *C. polystictus* exhibited the most resistance to high temperatures, having the highest T_0 and B_{80} .

It is noteworthy that the upper limit of B_{80} of *C. polystictus* and its T_o fall very near its CT_{max} (Fig. 1). This results in a low TSM, considerably lower than those of *C. lineata* and *T. melanogaster* (Table 1). Having a small TSM can be risky, since being active near the CT_{max} increases overheating risk (*Sinclair et al., 2016*). In such a scenario, behavioral thermoregulation strategies such as retreat site selection, can be key adjustments to buffer the effect of high temperatures (*Sunday et al., 2014; Muñoz & Losos, 2018; Vicenzi et al., 2019*). In this sense, the activity pattern of *C. polystictus* as a sit-and-wait predator is very beneficial, since much of the time it dedicates to foraging activities happens within shelters

and not exposed in the open. This result suggests that *C. polystictus* would need to actively thermoregulate at suboptimal temperatures to avoid overheating, which aligns with the results we obtained in a thermal ecology study made with the same population, as its T_o and B_{80} (36.7 °C) are higher than its T_{set} (23.4–31.4 °C, R. Figueroa-Huitron et al., 2023, unpublished data). This, however, is a pattern that is present in other ectotherms. *Martin* & *Huey* (2008) developed a model that shows that, since performance drops rapidly at higher temperatures due to the form of TPCs, the optimum body temperature at which ectotherms operate should be at a lower temperature than the T_o that theoretically maximizes fitness. Considering that B_{80} and T_{set} of *C. polystictus* are relatively wide, it has the capacity to avoid overheating risk while maintaining adequate levels of performance at high temperatures.

The B_{80} of the three species (Table 1) is wider than the reported values for several species of snakes and lizards (*Huey & Bennett, 1987; Tanaka, 2008; Lelièvre et al., 2010; Bonino et al., 2015; Romero-Báez et al., 2020*), which suggests that they are thermal generalists that can maintain performance over a wide interval of temperatures. Having a wide B_{80} can be beneficial to the performance of *C. lineata* in the cold site of Los Dinamos, since such a characteristic has been found to allow some species to maintain adequate performance levels in suboptimal temperatures (*Gómez-Alés et al., 2018; Valdez Ovallez et al., 2022*). For *T. melanogaster*, its wide B_{80} could be a factor contributing to its wide distribution, the widest among our three species, ranging from central to northwestern Mexico. Wide performance breadths have been associated with species with widespread distributions that can inhabit variable environments (*Bonino et al., 2015; Dematteis et al., 2022*).

Higher levels of locomotor performance can be linked to foraging mode and type of habit in snakes, with active foragers being distinctively faster than ambush predators (Whitaker & Shine, 2002; Lelièvre et al., 2010). T. melanogaster is an active forager that feeds on aquatic prey in the open and, accordingly, had the fastest swimming speeds in our tests (Fig. 1). On the other hand, C. polystictus and C. lineata are ambush foraging species (Meik et al., 2012; Raya-García, Alvarado-Díaz & Suazo-Ortuño, 2020) who require to strike fast but do not require high speeds to chase their prey. Furthermore, active foragers like T. melanogaster can be exposed to higher predation risk since they are more active in the open (Secor, 1995). Higher locomotion speeds can help counteract this problem and evade potential predators. A positive relationship between locomotor capacity and survival has been reported for T. sirtalis and some juvenile lizards (Jayne & Bennett, 1990; Miles, 2004; Husak, 2006). It is important to note that T. melanogaster is the only one of our study species that is ecologically adapted to the semiaquatic lifestyle. In this sense, having the highest swimming speed may also be attributed to morphological adaptations. Body shape is an important adaptation for aquatic and semiaquatic snakes (Brischoux & Shine, 2011), with a dorso-ventrally elongated body being more efficient to swim. Another adaptation found in the semiaquatic colubrid Nerodia sipedon is the ability to laterally compress the posterior half of the body when swimming (Pattishall & Cundall, 2008). This allows N. sipedon to boost swimming speed without reducing locomotor performance on land, where, like T. melanogaster, it thermoregulates and finds cover. Surprisingly, there are no

in-depth studies with *T. melanogaster* focusing on morphological adaptations to the semiaquatic lifestyle (except for eyesight, *Schaeffel & De Queiroz*, 1990), so more research in this regard is necessary to better understand the locomotor capacities of this species.

All three species in this study presented TTB's above 30 °C, which are similar to those reported for other snakes (*Jacobson & Whitford*, 1970, 1971; *Huang et al.*, 2007; *Tanaka*, 2008). In particular, *C. polystictus* had the widest TTB (37.15 °C) and the highest CT_{max} (43.1 °C). This suggests that this species is more resistant to high temperatures. Furthermore, a wide TTB is often linked to species that experience high climatic variability (*Cruz et al.*, 2005; *Gutiérrez-Pesquera et al.*, 2016). This relation has been studied in the context of species with wide distributions that encompass different environments, and *C. polystictus* can fit into this category. Further studies made at sites with different conditions are desired to corroborate if *C. polystictus* follows this pattern; however, local seasonal variation can give us a hint in this direction. The study site of *C. polystictus*, San Bartolo Morelos, has noticeable thermal seasonality, and the wide TTB of this species can allow it to thrive during winter and the hottest period of the year, in late spring and early summer.

The GLMMs that best represented our RMR data show that it is positively influenced by both temperature and body mass (Fig. 2, Tables 2 and S4). After adjusting for body mass, we found that T. melanogaster had the highest proportional values of RMR. This result aligns with our expectations, given the lifestyles of the three species. T. melanogaster chases prey actively, a strategy that demands more energy than ambush foraging and results in higher metabolic rates. This is a trend documented extensively in several snake species (Secor & Nagy, 1994; Dupoué, Brischoux & Lourdais, 2017; Stuginski et al., 2018). RMR increased along with temperature; however, the Q_{10} values calculated for each thermal treatment transition show that the proportional increase was not uniform (Table 3). Some studies with squamates have reported a pattern similar to what we found, in which the Q_{10} values are relatively stable at medium temperatures but show a significant increase in metabolic thermal sensitivity at both extreme ends of its temperature range (Jacobson & Whitford, 1971; Davies & Bennett, 1981; Watson & Burggren, 2016). High Q₁₀ at lower temperatures could be an adaptation to maintain favorable levels of physiological performance in suboptimal temperatures (Zaidan, 2003; Plasman et al., 2020) and a mechanism to rapidly transition from an inactive to an active state (Davies & Bennett, 1981). On the other extreme of the spectrum, high Q_{10} could facilitate the physiological responses to heat stress. A study with the garter snake Thamnophis elegans showed that by increasing their metabolic rates, it ensures the delivery of the required oxygen to their tissues to maintain metabolic and physiological competence when acutely exposed to near-lethal temperatures (Gangloff et al., 2016).

The thermal sensitivity of RMR across the whole experiment was more pronounced in *C. lineata* and *T. melanogaster* than in *C. polystictus*, a result not only clear in the Q_{10} values but also in raw data visualized by thermal treatment (Fig. 3). The overall Q_{10} of *C. lineata* and *T. melanogaster* (2.37 and 2.32) are similar to the values reported for *T. elegans* and other snakes (*Finkler & Claussen, 1999; McCue & Lillywhite, 2002; Zaidan, 2003; Holden et al., 2022*). On the other hand, the overall Q_{10} of *C. polystictus*

(1.67) is lower than the mentioned species and the reported values for other species of the *Crotalus* genus (*Beaupre, 1993*; *Beaupre & Duvall, 1998*; *Beaupre & Zaidan, 2001*; *Dorcas, Hopkins & Roe, 2004*). Having a low Q_{10} can provide some advantages for *C. polystictus,* since having a metabolism with low thermal sensitivity can provide a buffer effect to seasonal changes. In the context of climate change, if its metabolism is not greatly affected by rising temperatures, then its maintenance energy requirements may remain relatively stable. Maintaining regular levels of energy requirements is an important factor in buffering the effects of climate change (*Crowell et al., 2021*).

Overall, our results show different physiological adaptations of the three species to the environments they inhabit. Their different activity patterns and foraging habits are closely linked to these adaptations. It is important to note, though, that we studied only one species from each lifestyle. Further studies with more species are necessary to assess the generality of our interpretations. Also, the three species we studied have relatively wide distributions in which climatic conditions can be very variable. Among squamates, there is evidence that thermal acclimation and geographic variation have considerable effects on the thermal physiology of species (Angilletta, 2001; Bruton, Cramp & Franklin, 2012; Sun et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2018). Follow-up studies of other populations of these species with different climatic conditions would provide valuable information to complement our study. Continuing investigation with other species would help us better understand the effect of environmental properties on snake physiology in a more holistic way. Finally, we consider that, at a time in which the need for forecasts of the effect of climate change on ectotherms is continually growing, studies like this one can serve as stepping-stones towards generating more robust assessments, complemented with valuable physiological information.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Jorge Ayala-Berdon and Kevin Medina-Bello for logistical and technical assistance regarding the use of the respirometry equipment. We thank Antonio Sánchez, Estefanía Trejo, CONANP authorities, and, especially, Moisés Castillo and his family for providing logistical assistance to survey the study sites. Thanks to Edgar Castañeda Huitrón and Aurora Romero Flores for contributing with the elaboration of the wooden lane used to measure performance. For their support on field surveys, we thank Elia F. Díaz-Martínez, Sarai J. González-Ramos, César Verano-Gallegos, J. Isaac Chiu-Valderrama, Brasil Canales-Gordillo, Daniel J. Sánchez-Ochoa, L. Eduardo Bucio-Jiménez, and J. Luis Jaramillo-Alba. This article is a requirement of Ricardo Figueroa-Huitrón for obtaining the degree of 'Doctor en Ciencias', issued by Posgrado en Ciencias Biológicas, UNAM.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

This research was funded by Dirección General de Personal Académico Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM; PAPIIT Projects IA204416, IN217621, IN222418 and IN203516) and Consejo Nacional de Humanidades, Ciencias y Tecnologías (Scholarship number 775220 and Cátedras CONAHCYT project number 883). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: Dirección General de Personal Académico Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México: UNAM; PAPIIT Projects IA204416, IN217621, IN222418, and IN203516. Consejo Nacional de Humanidades, Ciencias y Tecnologías: 775220 and Cátedras CONAHCYT project number 883.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author Contributions

- Ricardo Figueroa-Huitrón conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Anibal Díaz de la Vega-Pérez conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Melissa Plasman conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Hibraim Adán Pérez-Mendoza conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.

Animal Ethics

The following information was supplied relating to ethical approvals (*i.e.*, approving body and any reference numbers):

Permit CE/FESI/022023/1578 was given by the ethics committee of FES Iztacala, UNAM.

Field Study Permissions

The following information was supplied relating to field study approvals (*i.e.*, approving body and any reference numbers):

Collecting permits SGPA/DGVS/06935/21 and SPARN/DGVS/00316/23 (Dirección General de Vida Silvestre, DGVS) were given by the Secretary of Environment and Natural Resources (SEMARNAT).

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability: The data is available in the Supplemental File.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/ peerj.17705#supplemental-information.

REFERENCES

- Abram PK, Boivin G, Moiroux J, Brodeur J. 2017. Behavioural effects of temperature on ectothermic animals: unifying thermal physiology and behavioural plasticity. *Biological Reviews* 92(4):1859–1876 DOI 10.1111/brv.12312.
- Aïdam A, Michel CL, Bonnet X. 2013. Effect of ambient temperature in neonate aspic vipers: growth, locomotor performance and defensive behaviors. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part* A: Ecological Genetics and Physiology 319A(6):310–318 DOI 10.1002/jez.1794.
- Andrews RM, Pough FH. 1985. Metabolism of squamate reptiles: allometric and ecological relationships. *Physiological Zoology* 58(2):214–231 DOI 10.1086/physzool.58.2.30158569.
- **Angilletta MJ Jr. 2001.** Variation in metabolic rate between populations of a geographically widespread lizard. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* **74(1)**:11–21 DOI 10.1086/319312.
- Angilletta MJ Jr. 2006. Estimating and comparing thermal performance curves. *Journal of Thermal Biology* 31(7):541–545 DOI 10.1016/j.jtherbio.2006.06.002.
- **Angilletta MJ Jr. 2009.** *Thermal adaptation: a theoretical and empirical synthesis.* Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Angilletta MJ Jr, Niewiarowski PH, Navas CA. 2002. The evolution of thermal physiology in ectotherms. *Journal of Thermal Biology* 27(4):249–268 DOI 10.1016/S0306-4565(01)00094-8.
- Arnall SG, Kuchling G, Mitchell NJ. 2014. A thermal profile of metabolic performance in the rare Australian chelid, *Pseudemydura umbrina*. *Australian Journal of Zoology* 62(6):448–453 DOI 10.1071/ZO14065.
- Aubret F, Tort M, Sarraude T. 2015. Evolution of alternative foraging tactics driven by water temperature and physiological constraints in an amphibious snake. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 115(2):411–422 DOI 10.1111/bij.12520.
- Bars-Closel M, Camacho A, Kohlsdorf T. 2018. Shifts in space and time: ecological transitions affect the evolution of resting metabolic rates in microteiid lizards. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 221:159 DOI 10.1242/JEB.175661.
- Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker BM, Walker S. 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software* 67(1):1–48 DOI 10.18637/jss.v067.i01.
- **Beaupre SJ. 1993.** An ecological study of oxygen consumption in the mottled rock rattlesnake, *Crotalus lepidus*, and the black-tailed rattlesnake, *Crotalus molossus molossus*, from two populations. *Physiological Zoology* **66(3)**:437–454 DOI 10.1086/physzool.66.3.30163702.
- **Beaupre SJ, Duvall D. 1998.** Variation in oxygen consumption of the western diamondback rattlesnake (*Crotalus atrox*): implications for sexual size dimorphism. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B* **168**(7):497–506 DOI 10.1007/s003600050170.
- **Beaupre SJ, Zaidan F III. 2001.** Scaling of CO2 production in the timber rattlesnake (*Crotalus horridus*), with comments on cost of growth in neonates and comparative patterns. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* **74(5)**:757–768 DOI 10.1086/322965.
- Bennett AF. 1990. Thermal dependence of locomotor capacity. *American Journal of Physiology Regulatory Integrative and Comparative Physiology* 259(2):R253–R258 DOI 10.1152/ajpregu.1990.259.2.r253.

- Bonino MF, Moreno-Azócar DL, Schulte IIJA, Abdala CS, Cruz FB. 2015. Thermal sensitivity of cold climate lizards and the importance of distributional ranges. *Zoology* 118(4):281–290 DOI 10.1016/j.zool.2015.03.001.
- **Brashears JA, Denardo DF. 2013.** Revisiting python thermogenesis: brooding burmese pythons (*Python bivittatus*) cue on body, not clutch, temperature. *Journal of Herpetology* **47(3)**:440–444 DOI 10.1670/12-050.
- Brischoux F, Shine R. 2011. Morphological adaptations to marine life in snakes. *Journal of Morphology* 272(5):566–572 DOI 10.1002/jmor.10933.
- **Bruton MJ, Cramp RL, Franklin CE. 2012.** Benefits of thermal acclimation in a tropical aquatic ectotherm, the Arafura filesnake, *Acrochordus arafurae. Journal of Comparative Physiology B: Biochemical, Systemic, and Environmental Physiology* **182(4)**:541–551 DOI 10.1007/s00360-011-0643-6.
- **Campbell JA, Lamar WW. 2004.** *The venomous reptiles of the Western Hemisphere.* Ithaca, N.Y: Cornell University Press.
- Christian KA, Weavers BW, Green B, Bedford GS. 1996. Energetics and water flux in a semiaquatic lizard, *Varanus mertensi*. *Copeia* 2(2):354–362 DOI 10.2307/1446851.
- Crowell HL, King KC, Whelan JM, Harmel MV, García G, Gonzales SG, Maier PH, Neldner H, Nhu TM, Nolan JT, Taylor EN. 2021. Thermal ecology and baseline energetic requirements of a large-bodied ectotherm suggest resilience to climate change. *Ecology and Evolution* 11(12):8170–8182 DOI 10.1002/ece3.7649.
- **Cruz FB, Fitzgerald LA, Espinoza RE, Schulte JA. 2005.** The importance of phylogenetic scale in tests of Bergmann's and Rapoport's rules: lessons from a clade of South American lizards. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **18(6)**:1559–1574 DOI 10.1111/j.1420-9101.2005.00936.x.
- **Dabruzzi TF, Sutton MA, Bennett WA. 2012.** Metabolic thermal sensitivity optimizes sea krait amphibious physiology. *Herpetologica* **68(2)**:218–225 DOI 10.1655/HERPETOLOGICA-D-11-00077.1.
- **Davies PMC, Bennett EL. 1981.** Non-acclimatory latitude-dependent metabolic adaptation to temperature in juvenile natricine snakes. *Journal of Comparative Physiology. B* **142(4)**:489–494 DOI 10.1007/BF00688980.
- **de Andrade DOV. 2016.** Temperature effects on the metabolism of amphibians and reptiles. Caveats and recommendations. In: *Amphibian and Reptile Adaptations to the Environment*. Florida, USA: CRC Press, 129–154 DOI 10.1201/9781315373638.
- **Dematteis A, Stellatelli OA, Block C, Vega LE, Dajil JE, Cruz FB. 2022.** Correspondence between thermal biology and locomotor performance in a liolaemid lizard from the southeastern coastal Pampas of Argentina. *Journal of Thermal Biology* **105(4)**:103173 DOI 10.1016/j.jtherbio.2021.103173.
- Di Pietro DO, Williams JD, Cabrera MR, Alcalde L, Cajade R, Kacoliris FP. 2020. Resource partitioning in a snake assemblage from east-central Argentina. *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciencias* 92(2):1–22 DOI 10.1590/0001-3765202020180766.
- Dorcas ME, Hopkins WA, Roe JH. 2004. Effects of body mass and temperature on standard metabolic rate in the eastern diamondback rattlesnake (*Crotalus adamanteus*). *Copeia* 9(1):145–151 DOI 10.1643/CP-03-074R1.
- **Dubiner S, Jamison S, Meiri S, Levin E. 2023.** Squamate metabolic rates decrease in winter beyond the effect of temperature. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **92(11)**:2163–2174 DOI 10.1111/1365-2656.13997.

- **Dupoué A, Brischoux F, Lourdais O. 2017.** Climate and foraging mode explain interspecific variation in snake metabolic rates. In: *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences.* 284 DOI 10.1098/rspb.2017.2108.
- Espinosa-Graciano EM, García-Collazo R. 2017. Dieta estacional del coyote (*Canis latrans*) en el Parque Estatal Sierra De Tepotzotlán, Estado De México. *BIOCYT Biología Ciencia y Tecnología* 10(37–39):687–696 DOI 10.22201/fesi.20072082.2017.10.60058.
- **Finkler MS, Claussen DL. 1999.** Influence of temperature, body size, and inter-individual variation on forced and voluntary swimming and crawling speeds in *Nerodia sipedon* and Regina septemvittata. *Journal of Herpetology* **33(1)**:62–71 DOI 10.2307/1565543.
- Flores-Villela Ó, García-Vázquez UO. 2014. Biodiversidad de reptiles en México. *Revista Mexicana de Biodiversidad* 85:467–475 DOI 10.7550/rmb.43236.
- Frappell PB, Daniels CB. 1991. Ventilation and oxygen consumption in agamid lizards. *Physiological Zoology* 64(4):985–1001 DOI 10.1086/physzool.64.4.30157953.
- **Gangloff EJ, Holden KG, Telemeco RS, Baumgard LH, Bronikowski AM. 2016.** Hormonal and metabolic responses to upper temperature extremes in divergent life-history ecotypes of a garter snake. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **219**:2944–2954 DOI 10.1242/jeb.143107.
- García-Balderas CM, Quintero-Díaz GE, Lucio-Palacio CR, Valdez-Jiménez D. 2014. Conopsis lineata (Lined Tolucan Ground Snake). Diet. Herpetological Review 45:337.
- Gerald GW, Claussen DL. 2007. Thermal dependencies of different modes of locomotion in neonate brown snakes, *Storeria dekayi*. Copeia 2007:577–585 DOI 10.1643/0045-8511(2007)2007.
- **Glazier DS. 2021.** Biological scaling analyses are more than statistical line fitting. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **224(11)**:225 DOI 10.1242/jeb.241059.
- Gómez-Alés R, Acosta JC, Astudillo V, Córdoba M, Blanco GM, Miles DB. 2018. Effect of temperature on the locomotor performance of species in a lizard assemblage in the Puna region of Argentina. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B: Biochemical, Systemic, and Environmental Physiology* 188(6):977–990 DOI 10.1007/s00360-018-1185-y.
- Goyenechea I, Flores-Villela Ó. 2006. Taxonomic summary of *Conopsis*, Günther, 1858 (Serpentes: Colubridae). *Zootaxa* 27(1):1–27 DOI 10.11646/ZOOTAXA.1271.1.1.
- **Gutiérrez-Pesquera LM, Tejedo M, Olalla-Tárraga MA, Duarte H, Nicieza AG, Solé M. 2016.** Testing the climate variability hypothesis in thermal tolerance limits of tropical and temperate tadpoles. *Journal of Biogeography* **43(6)**:1166–1178 DOI 10.1111/jbi.12700.
- Hertz PE, Huey RB, Stevenson RD. 1993. Evaluating temperature regulation by field-active ectotherms: the fallacy of the inappropriate question. *The American Naturalist* 142(5):796–818 DOI 10.1086/285573.
- Holden KG, Hedrick AR, Gangloff EJ, Hall SJ, Bronikowski AM. 2022. Temperaturedependence of metabolism and fuel selection from cells to whole organisms. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part A: Ecological and Integrative Physiology* 337(3):199–205 DOI 10.1002/jez.2564.
- Huang S-M, Huang S-P, Chen Y-H, Tu M-C. 2007. Thermal tolerance and altitudinal distribution of three *Trimeresurus* snakes (Viperidae: Crotalinae) in Taiwan. *Zoological Studies* 46:592–599.
- Huey RB, Bennett AF. 1987. Phylogenetic studies of coadaptation: preferred temperatures versus optimal performance temperatures of lizards. *Evolution* **41(5)**:1098–1115 DOI 10.1111/j.1558-5646.1987.tb05879.x.
- Husak JF. 2006. Does speed help you survive? A test with Collared Lizards of different ages. *Functional Ecology* 20(1):174–179 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2435.2006.01069.x.

- Jacobson ER, Whitford WG. 1970. The effect of acclimation on physiological responses to temperature in the snakes, *Thamnophis proximus* and *Natrix rhombifera*. *Comparative Biochemistry & Physiology* 35(2):439–449 DOI 10.1016/0010-406X(70)90607-9.
- Jacobson ER, Whitford WG. 1971. Physiological responses to temperature in the patch-nosed snake, *Salvadora hexalepis*. *Herpetologica* 27:289–295.
- Jayne BC, Bennett AF. 1990. Selection on locomotor performance capacity in a natural population of garter snakes. *Evolution Education and Outreach* 44(5):1204–1229 DOI 10.1111/j.1558-5646.1990.tb05226.x.
- Kinoshita C, Fukuoka T, Niizuma Y, Narazaki T, Sato K. 2018. High resting metabolic rates with low thermal dependence induce active dives in overwintering Pacific juvenile loggerhead turtles. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 221:1269 DOI 10.1242/jeb.175836.
- Kovács B, Marquez-Linares MA, Rodriguez-Espinosa PF, Gutierrez-Yurrita PJ, Perez-Verdin G. 2021. Analysis of cultural ecosystem services of rock climbing settings in Mexico City: the case of Los Dinamos Recreational Park. *Ecosystems and People* 17(1):370–382 DOI 10.1080/26395916.2021.1946594.
- Lelièvre H, Le Hénanff M, Blouin-Demers G, Naulleau G, Lourdais O. 2010. Thermal strategies and energetics in two sympatric colubrid snakes with contrasted exposure. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B: Biochemical, Systemic, and Environmental Physiology* 180(3):415–425 DOI 10.1007/s00360-009-0423-8.
- Lighton JRB. 2008. Measuring metabolic rates: a manual for scientists. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press DOI 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195310610.001.0001.
- Mackessy SP, Leroy J, Mociño-Deloya E, Setser K, Bryson RW, Saviola AJ. 2018. Venom ontogeny in the mexican lance-headed rattlesnake (*Crotalus polystictus*). *Toxins* 10(7):271 DOI 10.3390/toxins10070271.
- Makowski D, Ben-Shachar MS, Patil I, Lüdecke D. 2020. Estimation of model-based predictions, contrasts and means. GitHub. Available at https://github.com/easystats/modelbased.
- Manjarrez J, García CM, Drummond H. 2013. Variation in the diet of the Mexican black-bellied Gartersnake *Thamnophis melanogaster*: importance of prey availability and snake body size. *Journal of Herpetology* 47(3):413–420 DOI 10.1670/12-174.
- Manjarrez J, Macías Garcia C, Drummond H. 2017. Morphological convergence in a Mexican garter snake associated with the ingestion of a novel prey. *Ecology and Evolution* 7(18):7178–7186 DOI 10.1002/ece3.3265.
- Martin TL, Huey RB. 2008. Why "suboptimal" is optimal: Jensen's inequality and ectotherm thermal preferences. *The American Naturalist* 171(3):102–118 DOI 10.1086/527502.
- **Mazerolle MJ. 2023.** AICcmodavg: model selection and multimodel inference based on (Q)AIC(c). *Available at https://cran.r-project.org/package=AICcmodavg.*
- McCue MD, Lillywhite HB. 2002. Oxygen consumption and the energetics of island-dwelling Florida cottonmouth snakes. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* 75(2):165–178 DOI 10.1086/339390.
- McCue MD, Lillywhite HB, Beaupre SJ. 2012. Physiological responses to starvation in snakes: low energy specialists. In: McCue MD, ed. *Comparative Physiology of Fasting, Starvation, and Food Limitation*. Berlin, Heidelberg, Berlin Heidelberg: Springer, 103–131 DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-29056-5_8.
- Meik JM, Setser K, Mociño-Deloya E, Lawing AM. 2012. Sexual differences in head form and diet in a population of Mexican lance-headed rattlesnakes, *Crotalus polystictus*. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **106(3)**:633–640 DOI 10.1111/j.1095-8312.2012.01881.x.

- **Miles DB. 2004.** The race goes to the swift: fitness consequences of variation in sprint performance in juvenile lizards. *Evolutionary Ecology Research* **6**:63–75.
- Mociño-Deloya E, Setser K, Pleguezuelos JM, Kardon A, Lazcano D. 2009. Cannibalism of nonviable offspring by postparturient Mexican lance-headed rattlesnakes, *Crotalus polystictus*. *Animal Behaviour* 77(1):145–150 DOI 10.1016/j.anbehav.2008.09.020.
- Muñoz MM, Losos JB. 2018. Thermoregulatory behavior simultaneously promotes and forestalls evolution in a tropical lizard. *The American Naturalist* 191(1):E15–E26 DOI 10.1086/694779.
- **Ogle DH, Doll JC, Wheeler AP, Dinno A. 2023.** FSA: simple fisheries stock assessment methods. *Available at https://fishr-core-team.github.io/FSA/.*
- Pattishall A, Cundall D. 2008. Dynamic changes in body form during swimming in the water snake *Nerodia sipedon*. *Zoology* 111(1):48–61 DOI 10.1016/j.zool.2007.04.002.
- Plasman M, Bautista A, McCUE MD, Díaz de la Vega-Pérez AH. 2020. Resting metabolic rates increase with elevation in a mountain-dwelling lizard. *Integrative Zoology* 15(5):363–374 DOI 10.1111/1749-4877.12434.
- **R Core Team. 2023.** *R: a language and environment for statistical computing.* Vienna: The R Foundation for Statistical Computing. *Available at http://www.R-project.org/.*
- Ramírez-Bautista A, Hernández-Salinas U, García-Vázquez UO, Leyte-Manrique A, Canseco-Márquez L. 2009. Herpetofauna del Valle de México: Diversidad y Conservación. Pachuca: Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo.
- Ramírez-Bautista A, Torres-Hernández LA, Cruz-Elizalde R, Berriozabal-Islas C, Hernández-Salinas U, Wilson LD, Johnson JD, Porras LW, Balderas-Valdivia CJ, González-Hernández AJX, Mata-Silva V. 2023. An updated list of the Mexican herpetofauna: with a summary of historical and contemporary studies. *ZooKeys* 1166:287–306 DOI 10.3897/zookeys.1166.86986.
- Raya-García E, Alvarado-Díaz J, Suazo-Ortuño I. 2020. Influence of prey scent on chemosensory behavior of two fossorial earthsnakes: Conopsis biserialis and *Conopsis nasus* (Serpentes: Colubridae). *Journal of Herpetology* 54(4):460–464 DOI 10.1670/19-051.
- Romero-Báez Ó, Santos-Bibiano R, Domínguez-Godoy MA, Miles DB, Muñoz-Nolasco FJ. 2020. Thermal ecophysiology of a native and an invasive gecko species in a tropical dry forest of Mexico. *Journal of Thermal Biology* 90(1–9):102607 DOI 10.1016/j.jtherbio.2020.102607.
- **Rossman DA, Ford NB, Seigel RA. 1996.** *The garter snakes: evolution and ecology.* Oklahoma, EUA: University of Oklahoma Press.
- Schaeffel F, De Queiroz A. 1990. Alternative mechanisms of enhanced underwater vision in the garter snakes *Thamnophis melanogaster* and T. couchii. *Copeia* 1990(1):50–58 DOI 10.2307/1445821.
- Schmidt-Nielsen K. 1997. Animal physiology: adaptation and environment. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University press.
- Secor SM. 1995. Ecological aspects of foraging mode for the snakes *Crotalus cerastes* and Masticophis flagellum. *Herpetological Monographs* 9:169–186 DOI 10.2307/1467004.
- Secor SM, Nagy KA. 1994. Bioenergetic correlates of foraging mode for the snakes *Crotalus cerastes* and *Masticophis flagellum*. *Ecology* 75(6):1600–1614 DOI 10.2307/1939621.
- Senior AF, Atkins ZS, Clemann N, Gardner MG, Schroder M, While GM, Wong BBM, Chapple DG. 2019. Variation in thermal biology of three closely related lizard species along an elevation gradient. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 127(2):278–291 DOI 10.1093/biolinnean/blz046.

- Sinclair BJ, Marshall KE, Sewell MA, Levesque DL, Willet CS, Slotsbo S, Dong Y, Harley CDG, Marshall DJ, Helmuth BS, Huey RB. 2016. Can we predict ectotherm responses to climate change using thermal performance curves and body temperatures? *Ecology Letters* 19(11):1372–1385 DOI 10.1111/ele.12686.
- Stellatelli OA, Vega LE, Block C, Rocca C, Bellagamba P, Dajil JE, Cruz FB. 2022. Latitudinal pattern of the thermal sensitivity of running speed in the endemic lizard *Liolaemus multimaculatus*. *Integrative Zoology* **17**(4):619–637 DOI 10.1111/1749-4877.12579.
- Stuginski DR, Navas CA, de Barros FC, Camacho A, Bicudo JEPW, Grego KF, de Carvalho JE. 2018. Phylogenetic analysis of standard metabolic rate of snakes: a new proposal for the understanding of interspecific variation in feeding behavior. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B: Biochemical, Systemic, and Environmental Physiology* 188(2):315–323 DOI 10.1007/s00360-017-1128-z.
- Sun B, Tang W, Zeng Z-G, Du W-G. 2014. The seasonal acclimatisation of locomotion in a terrestrial reptile, *Plestiodon chinensis* (Scincidae). *Asian Herpetological Research* 5(3):197–203 DOI 10.3724/SP.J.1245.2014.00197.
- Sunday JM, Bates AE, Kearney MR, Colwell RK, Dulvy NK, Longino JT, Huey RB. 2014. Thermal-safety margins and the necessity of thermoregulatory behavior across latitude and elevation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 111(15):5610–5615 DOI 10.1073/pnas.1316145111.
- Tanaka K. 2008. Putting ourselves in a striped snake's shoes: thermal sensitivity of locomotor performance in a melanistic/striped colour-dimorphic snake. *Acta Zoologica* 89(3):211–218 DOI 10.1111/j.1463-6395.2007.00309.x.
- Taylor EN, Diele-Viegas LM, Gangloff EJ, Hall JM, Halpern B, Massey MD, Rödder D, Rollinson N, Spears S, Sun B, Telemeco RS. 2021. The thermal ecology and physiology of reptiles and amphibians: a user's guide. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part A: Ecological and Integrative Physiology* 335(1):13–44 DOI 10.1002/jez.2396.
- Tingle JL, Garner KL, Astley HC. 2024. Functional diversity of snake locomotor behaviors: a review of the biological literature for bioinspiration. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1533:16–37 DOI 10.1111/nyas.15109.
- Tomlinson S. 2019. The mathematics of thermal sub-optimality: nonlinear regression characterization of thermal performance of reptile metabolic rates. *Journal of Thermal Biology* 81:49–58 DOI 10.1016/j.jtherbio.2019.02.008.
- Valdez Ovallez FM, Gómez-Alés R, Astudillo V, Córdoba M, Fava G, Acosta R, Blanco GM, Villavicencio J, Acosta JC. 2022. Thermal biology and locomotor performance of the Andean lizard *Liolaemus fitzgeraldi* (Liolaemidae) in Argentina. *Acta Zoologica* **104(4)**:1–14 DOI 10.1111/azo.12440.
- Vicenzi N, Kubisch EL, Ibargüengoytía NR, Corbalán V. 2019. Thermal sensitivity of performance of *Phymaturus palluma* (Liolaemidae) in the highlands of Aconcagua: vulnerability to global warming in the Andes. *Amphibia-Reptilia* 40(2):207–218 DOI 10.1163/15685381-20181072.
- Wallace BP, Jones TT. 2008. What makes marine turtles go: a review of metabolic rates and their consequences. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* **356(1–2)**:8–24 DOI 10.1016/j.jembe.2007.12.023.
- Wang T, Abe AS. 1994. Oxygen uptake in snakes: is there a reduction in fossorial species? *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A* 107(3):483–485 DOI 10.1016/0300-9629(94)90029-9.

- Watson CM, Burggren WW. 2016. Interspecific differences in metabolic rate and metabolic temperature sensitivity create distinct thermal ecological niches in lizards (*Plestiodon*). *PLOS ONE* 11(10):e0164713 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0164713.
- Whitaker PB, Shine R. 2002. Thermal biology and activity patterns of the eastern brownsnake (*Pseudonaja textilis*): a radiotelemetric study. *Herpetologica* 58:436–452 DOI 10.1655/0018-0831(2002)058.
- Wickham H. 2016. ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Wu Q, Dang W, Hu YC, Lu HL. 2018. Altitude influences thermal ecology and thermal sensitivity of locomotor performance in a toad-headed lizard. *Journal of Thermal Biology* 71:136–141 DOI 10.1016/j.jtherbio.2017.11.005.
- Zaidan F III. 2003. Variation in cottonmouth (*Agkistrodon piscivorus leucostoma*) resting metabolic rates. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology—Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology* 134(3):511–523 DOI 10.1016/S1095-6433(02)00344-6.
- Žagar A, Carretero MA, Marguč D, Simčič T, Vrezec A. 2018. A metabolic syndrome in terrestrial ectotherms with different elevational and distribution patterns. *Ecography* 41(10):1728–1739 DOI 10.1111/ecog.03411.