

Study on the inhibitory mechanism of fig leaf extract against postharvest Fusarium in melon

Jun Yao ^{1,2}, Xin Li Geng ^{2*}, He Yun Zheng ², Zhi Wei Wang ², Cui Huan Zhang ², Jing Li², Zaituna Maimaiti ², Yong Qin^{1*}

1. College of Horticulture, Xinjiang Agricultural University, Urumqi Xinjiang, 830000, China;
2. Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region Grapevine and Melon Fruit Research Institute, Shanshan Xinjiang, 838200, China

Corresponding Author:

Yong Qin¹

College of Horticulture, Xinjiang Agricultural University, Urumqi Xinjiang, 830000, China

Email address: xjndqinyong@sina.com.

Xin Li Geng ²

Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region Grapevine and Melon Fruit Research Institute, Shanshan Xinjiang, 838200, China

Email address: 89069899@qq.com

Abstract

The objective of this study was to explore the bacteriostatic mechanism of fig leaf extract against *Fusarium* and to provide a theoretical basis for the development of new plant-derived fungicides.

Methods: The bacteriostatic properties of fig leaf extract were analyzed by the ring of inhibition method. *Fusarium equiseti* was selected as the target for analyzing its bacteriostatic mechanism in terms of mycelial morphology, ultrastructure, cell membrane permeability, plasma membrane peroxidation, reactive oxygen species (ROS) content and changes in the activity of protective enzymes. The effect of this extract was verified in melon, and its components were

determined by metabolite analysis using ultraperformance liquid chromatography – mass spectrometry (UPLC – MS). Results: Fig leaf extract had an obvious inhibitory effect on *Fusarium*, and the difference was significant ($P < 0.05$) or highly significant ($P < 0.01$). Scanning

Comment [V1]: What species

Formatted: Font: Italic

Comment [V2]: Not clear

31 and transmission electron microscopy revealed that *F. equiseti* hyphae exhibited obvious folding,
32 twisting and puckering phenomena, resulting in an increase in the cytoplasmic leakage of spores,
33 interstitial plasma, and the concentration of the nucleus, which seriously damaged the integrity of
34 the fungal cell membrane. This phenomenon was confirmed by propidium iodide (PI) and
35 fluorescein diacetate (FAD) staining, cell membrane permeability and malondialdehyde (MDA)
36 content. Fig leaf extract also induced the mycelium to produce excessive H₂O₂, which led to
37 lipid peroxidation of the cell membrane, promoted the accumulation of MDA, accelerated
38 protein hydrolysis, induced an increase in antioxidant enzyme activity, and disrupted the balance
39 of ROS metabolism; these findings showed that fungal growth was inhibited, ~~which was verified~~
40 ~~in melons~~. A total of 1540 secondary metabolites were detected by broad-targeted metabolomics,
41 among which the bacteriostatic active substances flavonoids (15.45%), phenolic acids (15%),
42 and alkaloids (10.71%) accounted for a high percentage. Conclusion: Fig leaf extract has the
43 potential to be developed into a plant-derived fungicide as a new means of postharvest pathogen
44 prevention and control in melon.

45 **Keywords:** fig leaf extract, Fusarium , mechanism of inhibition, broadly targeted
46 metabolomics, melon

48 Introduction

49 China is one of the largest producers of melon (*Cucumis melo*) in the world, with 387,100
50 hectares accounting for more than 45% of the global total planted area and 14,071,600 tonnes of
51 production, or approximately 50%, according to the World System of Agricultural Organisations
52 (FAO) Database (2022). Melon has attracted much attention as a specialty cash crop. However,
53 melon subjected to long-distance transport and short-term storage will experience a 5-15% loss
54 in the sales process and a 3-5% loss through wholesale, distribution and other intermediate links.
55 Studies have shown that postharvest pathogenic fungal colonies of melons are diverse, ~~with-of~~
56 ~~- these~~ Fusarium being the dominant fungal genus (Zheng et al., 2020). More than 15% of
57 Brazilian melons are reportedly lost to Fusarium fruit rot during export ~~transport~~ (Nogueira et
58 al., 2023). Fusarium is an important postharvest pathogen of fruits and vegetables ~~and can infect~~
59 ~~fruits and vegetables~~ such as blackthorn plum (Hye et al., 2016), watermelon (Balasubramaniam
60 et al., 2023), winter pumpkin (Kitabayashi et al., 2023), banana (Kanche et al., 2023),
61 pineapple (Barral et al., 2019), kiwifruit (Wang et al., 2023) and melon (Nogueira et al., 2023). In

Formatted: Font: Italic

Comment [V3]: Not clear check the sentence

Formatted: Font: Italic

62 | addition to causing postharvest decay, *Fusarium oxysporum* metabolically produces various
63 | mycotoxins, such as deoxynivalenol (DON) (Ferreira et al.2018), zearalenone (ZEN)(Marin et
64 | al.,2004), fumonisin FB1 (FB1)(Juglal et al.,2002) and other mycotoxins, which are harmful to
65 | the safety of fruits and vegetables.

Formatted: Font: Italic

66 | Chemical fungicides can effectively control a variety of postharvest diseases caused by
67 | Fusarium, but there are many problems, such as pollution of the environment, pesticide residues
68 | harmful to health and drug resistance. Therefore, ~~the research and~~ the present study was
69 | ~~carried to develop~~ment of new natural plant-derived bacteriostatic agents ~~against Fusarium has~~
70 | ~~become an important direction for food preservation~~causing post harvest disease in melon.

Formatted: Font: Italic

71 | Several studies have reported that extracts of *Cunoniaceae* species(Fogliami et al.,2002), *Morus*
72 | *alba* and *Aegle marmelos* (Naseem et al.,2021), Mesquite(Lopez er al.,2021), bagasse(Hadimani
73 | et al.,2023), clove and thyme(Monteiro et al.,2013), and cumin seeds (Wang et al.,2017) have
74 | significant inhibitory effects on *Fusarium* spores. The use and residue of pesticides can be
75 | effectively reduced through the application of plant-derived extract preparations. Fig leaves are
76 | rich in a variety of functional components, such as flavonoids, polysaccharides, polyphenols and
77 | other compounds, which have antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anticancer and antimicrobial
78 | effects(Mustafa,et al.,2019;Mahmoudi et al.,2016). Figs have low leaf utilization(Teruela et
79 | al.,2021), and fig leaf extract has high phenolic and antioxidant activities (Stintic et al.,2021).

Formatted: Font: Italic

80 | Using fig leaf extract, Ustun E(Ustun et al.,2022)and Arumugam J (Arumugam et
81 | al.,2021)synthesized nanoparticles with antioxidant, bacteriostatic and photocatalytic properties.

Comment [V4]: Not clear

Comment [V5]: Not clrar

82 | The remarkable bacteriostatic properties of fig leaf extracts have attracted the attention of
83 | researchers. Currently, postharvest rot disease in melon caused by *F. oxysporum* is controlled by
84 | UV-C radiation (Daniel et al.,2021), heat treatment (Bokshi et al.,2007), bioinducer induction
85 | (Sanchez et al.,2009), and pulsed light (pulsed light)(Francisco et al.,2020); however, plant-
86 | derived bacteriostatic materials for the biological control of *F. oxysporum* in postharvest melon

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

87 | have rarely been reported. In this study, we used the bacteriostatic properties of fig leaf extract as
88 | a plant source material to control postharvest rot disease in melon caused by *Fusarium*, clarify
89 | the bacteriostatic mechanism of *Fusarium*, propose a new method for the green prevention and
90 | control of postharvest rot disease in fruits and vegetables and provide a theoretical basis for the
91 | development of a new type of plant source fungicide.

Comment [V6]: ?

Comment [V7]: Not clear check the sentences

93 Materials & Methods

94 2.1 Materials

95 2.1.1 Materials for testing

96 Xinjiang Early Yellow fig leaves were harvested in November 2022 in Lukqin Town,
97 Shanshan County, Xinjiang, after fruit ripening and harvesting.

98 Strains H-3, H-5, H-6, H-10, 1 and 2 were isolated ~~for from infected~~ melon storage fungal
99 disease testing and sequenced to obtain the ITS sequence of the strains. After a BLAST search in
100 GenBank to obtain the registration number of similar strains, the sequence of these isolates and
101 the similarity of the reference sequence were 99-100% (as shown in Table 1).

Comment [V8]: Very poor sentences

102 2.1.2 Test instruments

103 An ultrasonic cell pulverizer, a rotary evaporator, an ultraclean bench, a fluorescence
104 microscope, an autoclave, an ultraviolet spectrophotometer, a high-speed low-temperature
105 centrifuge, and a constant-temperature shaker were used.

106 2.2 Experimental methods

107 2.2.1 Preparation of fig leaf extracts

108 The preparation method was performed according to the methods ~~of Zhao (described by~~
109 Zhao et al.,(2018), with slight adjustment. The fig leaves were washed after harvesting, dried
110 naturally, ~~placed in a at~~ 60° C ~~in a~~ drying oven, ~~then~~ crushed, and sieved through 80 mesh to
111 obtain a dry powder. Fig leaf powder (5.0 g) was weighed into a 150 mL conical flask, ~~for that~~
112 100 mL 70% ethanol solution was added, and the powder was soaked at room temperature for 12
113 h. The mixture was put into the ultrasonic cell crusher for 30 min. The ethanol extracts of fig
114 leaves were filtered with filter paper, the filtrate was put in a rotary evaporator at 70° C and
115 distilled under reduced pressure to obtain the fig leaf concentrate master batch, which was sealed
116 in a 4° C refrigerator for preservation.

117 2.2.2 Antifungal potential of fig leaf extracts

118 In the growth rate assay(Chen et al.,1990), the ~~master leaf extract~~ was mixed with potato
119 dextrose agar (PDA) medium at a ratio of 5:1 to create a drug-carrying medium, sterile water
120 was used as a blank control, and each treatment was repeated five times. The isolated and
121 purified H-3, H-5, H-6, H-10, 1 and 2 strains were activated in PDA media at 28° C for 72 h,
122 after which the bacteria were removed from the edge of the colony with a perforator to make a

Comment [V9]: How much per cent

Comment [V10]: Check it is bacteria or fungi

123 pellet with a diameter of 6 mm. The resulting pellet was subsequently transferred to the drug-
124 loaded PDA Petri dishes and blank control dish and incubated at 28° C for 72 and 96 h. The
125 diameter of the colonies was subsequently measured via the crossover method, after which the
126 inhibition rate of the growth of mycelia was calculated. The relevant formulae were as follows:

127 Mycelial growth inhibition rate (%) = (mycelial growth diameter of control group - mycelial
128 growth diameter of treatment group)/(mycelial growth diameter of control group - diameter of
129 mycelial cake) × 100

130 2.2.3 Effect of fig leaf extract on *F. equiseti* cell morphology

131 In the ultraclean bench with 6 mm sterilized punch in the edge of the activated *F. equiseti*
132 fungi, with a sterilized inoculation needle to pick up complete colonies, inverted to 100 mL
133 potato liquid culture medium, placed in a constant temperature shaker at 28° C incubated for 24
134 h, and then combined with 20 mL of sterile water as a control or 20 mL of concentrated fig leaf
135 extract as a treatment. The potato glucose liquid medium containing the fig leaf extract was
136 incubated for 24 h, the organisms were collected and washed with phosphate-buffered saline (pH
137 7.2) 3 times, and the treated samples were put into 3% glutaraldehyde fixative and placed at 4°
138 C for 12 h fixation. The samples were subsequently sent to Guangzhou Jingyan Testing
139 Technology Service Co. for electron microscopic observation.

140 2.2.4 Effect of fig leaf extract on the cell membrane permeability of *F. equiseti*

141 2.2.4.1 PI and FAD staining

142 Propidium iodide (PI) was added to a solution at a concentration of 400 µg/mL in PBS,
143 and fluorescein diacetate (FAD) was dissolved in acetone and added to a solution at a
144 concentration of 5 mg/mL. When staining, the spores of *F. equiseti* were made into a 1×10⁶
145 spore suspension, which was added to the PI or FAD solution and then left in the dark at room
146 temperature for 5 min for staining. Staining was observed and photographed under a
147 fluorescence microscope.

148 2.2.4.2 Determination of extracellular conductivity

149 Mycelia of *F. equiseti* pathogenic bacteria samples cultured in a constant temperature
150 shaker at 28° C for 24 h, were, under aseptic conditions, added to a 50 mL sterile ~~centrifuge~~
151 tube ~~and~~, centrifuged at 3000 g at low speed for 20 min, and then rinsed three times with
152 sterilized deionized water to fully remove the medium, and then an equal amount of mycelia was

Comment [V11]: How you have
cutted 6mm bacteria

Comment [V12]: Which drug ?

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Comment [V13]: Very poor sentence
formation

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

153 added to 100 mL sterile deionized water for incubation; subsequently, 1 mL of supernatant was
154 taken from each bottle and centrifuged at $3000 \times g$ for 5 min to measure the conductivity. The
155 mother liquor of the fig leaf extract was subsequently added to potato glucose liquid medium at a
156 concentration of 20%, after which the mixture was incubated at a constant temperature of 1200
157 r/min at 28°C in a constant temperature shaker. At 0, 0.5, 1.5, 2.5, 3.5, 4.5, 5.5, 6.5, 7.5 and 8.5
158 h, 1 mL of supernatant was collected and centrifuged at $3000 \times g$ for 5 min to measure the
159 conductivity of the supernatant. Afterward, the sample was replenished with sterile deionized
160 water, and each test was repeated three times.

Comment [V14]: ?

161 2.2.4.3 Determination of enzyme activity

162 Samples of *F. equiseti* pathogenic bacteria that were cultured ~~in a constant temperature~~
163 ~~shaker~~ at 28°C for 48 h were taken, fig leaf extract was added to potato dextrose liquid medium
164 on an ultraclean bench, fig leaf extract was filtered through a sterile 0.20 mm microporous
165 membrane, and an equal amount of sterile deionized water was added to the negative control
166 group. The mycelia of the *F. equiseti* pathogen were removed at 0, 3, 6, 12 and 24 h and washed
167 three times with PBS. The samples were subsequently filtered with gauze, and the water was
168 removed. The Samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C .

Comment [V15]: Very poor sentences

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Comment [V16]: Check your conducting expt on fungi not bacteria
Check these sentences

169 To determine the MDA content, 0.1 g of mycelia was weighed, 1 mL of extraction solution
170 was added, the homogenate was fully ground with a mortar and pestle ~~at low temperature~~, and
171 the mixture was centrifuged at 10000 r/min and 4°C ~~at low temperature~~ for $\mu 10$ min.
172 Afterward, 225 μL of the supernatant was collected to measure the MDA content in the mycelia
173 according to the instructions of the kit.

Comment [V17]: ?

174 The standard curve was $y=0.0391x-0.0076$, $R^2=0.9997$, x is the concentration of the
175 standard (nmol/mL), and y is the ΔA . MDA content (nmol/g) $= (\Delta A + 0.0076) \div 0.0391 \times V_{\text{ti}} \div$
176 W

177 Determination of protein content: First, 0.1 g of mycelia was weighed, added to 1 mL of
178 distilled water, fully ground and homogenized with a mortar and pestle ~~at low temperature~~.
179 ~~Afterward, the mixture was and~~ centrifuged at 12000 r/min at 4°C at a ~~low temperature~~ for $\mu 10$
180 min. Afterward, 500 μL of the supernatant was taken, and a blank control tube was used to adjust
181 the temperature to zero. The content of the proteins in the mycelia was measured in accordance
182 with the instructions of the kit.

Comment [V18]: ?

183 For the standard curve, $y=14.253x-0.0007$, $R^2=0.9997$, x is the concentration of the
184 standard (mg/mL), and y is the ΔA . $Cpr (mg/g \text{ fresh weight})=0.07 \times (\Delta A+0.0007) \div W$

185 Determination of hydrogen peroxide content: Mycelia (0.15 g) were weighed and
186 combined with 1.5 mL of extraction solution, fully ground with a mortar and pestle ~~at low~~
187 ~~temperature~~ until a white powder was formed. The mixture was transferred to an EP tube, 1.5
188 mL of the extract was centrifuged in an ultralow temperature centrifuge at 10,000 r/min at 4° C
189 for 10 min, and then 1,000 μ L of the supernatant was collected for H₂O₂ content determination
190 in the mycelium according to the instruction manual of the kit.

191 The standard curve was $y=0.6674x-0.0155$, $R^2=0.9997$, x is the concentration of the
192 standard (μ mol/mL), and y is the ΔA . $H_2O_2 \text{ content } (\mu\text{mol/mL})=(\Delta A+0.0155) \div 0.6674 \times$
193 $V_{ti} \div W$

194 2.2.4.4 Determination of protective enzyme activity

195 Superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity: Mycelia (0.1 g) were added to 1 mL of extraction
196 solution, a mortar and pestle was used to fully grind the mixture to white powder, and the
197 homogenate was centrifuged ~~in an ultralow temperature centrifuge~~ at 12000 r/min and 4° C for
198 10 min. A total of 50 mL of supernatant was collected and processed according to the
199 instructions of the kit for microadjustment to measure SOD activity.

200 Peroxidase (POD) activity: Mycelia (0.1 g) were weighed, 1 mL of extraction solution was
201 added, the mixture was ground to a white powder with a mortar and pestle at low temperature,
202 and the mixture was centrifuged at 12000 ~~rpm~~/min and 4° C for 10 min. Afterward, 50 mL of
203 the supernatant was collected to measure the POD activity according to the manufacturer
204 instructions.

205 $POD \text{ content } (U/g \text{ fresh weight}) = \Delta A \times V_1 \div (W \times V_2 \div V_3) \div 1 \div T$

206 Catalase (CAT) activity: Mycelia (0.1 g) was combined with 1 mL of extraction solution,
207 fully ground with a mortar and pestle at low temperature until a white powder formed, and
208 centrifuged in an ultralow temperature centrifuge at 12000 r/min and 4° C for 10 min.
209 Supernatant (35 mL) was subjected to CAT activity determination according to the manufacturer
210 instructions.

211 $CAT \text{ content } (\mu\text{mol/min/g fresh weight})=[\Delta A \times \text{Total V counter} \div (\epsilon \times d) \times 106] \div (W \times$
212 $V_{\text{sample}} \div \text{Total V sample}) \div T=678 \times \Delta A \div W$

Comment [V19]: Very poor sentences

2.2.5 Effect of fig leaf extract on the lesion diameter of damaged inoculated fruit

The spore suspension was prepared according to the methods of ~~Bi Yang~~ (described by Bi et al.,2006). The PDA medium containing Fusarium was cultured at 28 ° C for 7 d. Approximately 10 mL of sterile water containing 0.05% Tween 20 was added by volume, and the spores of Fusarium on the PDA medium were scraped off with a glass rod transferred into 50 mL triangular flasks, oscillated on a vortex mixer for 15 s, and subsequently filtered through double-layered gauze. The filtrate was counted on a hematology counting plate to calculate the concentration of the spore suspension, which was subsequently diluted to 1×10^6 spores/mL with sterile water to dilute to 1×10^6 spores/mL.

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Fruit damage was induced via inoculation according to the methods of ~~described by Bi Yang~~ (Bi et al.,2006). The fruits were first rinsed with tap water, soaked in 2% sodium hypochlorite solution by volume for 1 min, rinsed with tap water and dried at room temperature ($22 \pm 2^\circ$ C). After surface disinfection of the fruit inoculation site with 70% ethanol solution by volume, five holes (3 mm deep) were punched uniformly in the equatorial part of the fruit with a sterilized hole punch (6 mm in diameter). The holes were inoculated with 20 μ L of the above spore suspension, inoculated with sterile water as the control group, dried and stored at room temperature. The diameter of the spots was measured at 3, 6 and 9 days after inoculation. Three fruits were used for each treatment at each time point, and the results were replicated three times.

Comment [V20]: ?

2.2.6 LC-MS detection of secondary metabolites in fig leaf extracts

A total of 100 μ L of the fig leaf extract master batch was added to a 2.0 mL centrifuge tube, 100 μ L of 70% methanol internal standard extract was added, and the mixture was vortexed for 15 min and centrifuged at 12000 r/min for 3 min at 4 ° C. The supernatant was filtered with a microporous filter membrane (0.22 μ m) and stored in an injection bottle for LC-MS detection, and the samples were subsequently sent to Wuhan Mavi Metabolic Technology Service Co.

Comment [V21]: Very poor sentences

Comment [V22]: ?

Comment [V23]: ?

Data processing

The measured values of each indicator were statistically analyzed and plotted using Origin 8.5. The data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS 17.0 data processing software, and the significance of the differences was analyzed using Duncan's multiple comparisons ($P < 0.05$ indicates significant differences).

Results

3.1 Effect of fig leaf extracts on colony diameter

The fig leaf extract showed different inhibitory effects on all postharvest fungal diseases of melon plants. As shown in Figure 1, fig leaf extract had more obvious inhibitory effects on H-3, H-5, H-6, and No. 1 than on other fungal strains, for which the inhibition rates were 46.80%, 42.88%, 45.31%, and 42.34%, respectively. The difference reached a highly significant level ($P < 0.01$), whereas the inhibitory effects on H-10 and 2 fungi were inhibited, but the difference was not significant.

Comment [V24]: At what per cent

3.2 Effect of fig leaf extract on the morphology of *F. equiseti* cells

Scanning electron microscopy revealed that the surface of the mycelia of *F. equiseti* in the control group had fine folds, the mycelia were cylindrical and had a smooth surface and uniform thickness, and the tip of the mycelia was a full semicircle (Fig. 2A, B); however, after 24 h of treatment with fig leaf extract, the surface of the mycelia appeared to have more folds, exhibiting a notable puckering phenomenon accompanied by a small amount of leakage of cytoplasmic substances (Fig. 2C, D). Transmission electron microscopy revealed that in the control group, the cell wall and cell membrane of the fungal hyphae were clear and structurally intact, the interstitium was uniformly thin and clear, the intracellular cytoplasm was structurally intact, and the nuclei were rounded in the center of the cell, with vacuoles of varying sizes in the vicinity (Fig. 2E, F, and G); however, in the control group, after treatment with fig leaf extract for 24 h (Figs. 2 H, I, and J), the cell membrane was not smooth, and the interstitium was not smooth, exhibiting a small amount of cytoplasmic leakage (Fig. 2C, and D). The cell membrane edges were not smooth, the intercellular plasm was enlarged, the surface of the cell wall was notably rough, leakage of intracellular cytoplasm occurred, the nucleoplasm was condensed, the nucleus was fragmented, and apoptotic vesicles were formed, among other morphological changes.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Bold

Comment [V25]: % age

Therefore, the results of scanning electron microscopy tests revealed that fig leaf extract can increase the extravasation of substances within the cell membrane, resulting in the collapse of the mycelial cell wall and cell membrane due to the leakage of large amounts of cytoplasmic debris, after which the mycelia undergo puckering and nonuniform swelling.

3.3 PI and FAD staining to determine the effect of fig leaf extracts on *F. equiseti* cell membrane integrity

Comment [V26]: expand

PI is a nuclear staining reagent that stains DNA and can be used to verify that cell membranes are not damaged; if red fluorescence is observed under a fluorescence microscope

274 after staining, the plasma membrane is not intact. Similarly, FAD staining can be used to verify
275 whether the cell membrane is intact; if green fluorescence is observed under a fluorescence
276 microscope, the cytoplasmic membrane is intact. As shown in Fig. 3, the number of spores in the
277 control treatment was significantly greater than that in the fig leaf extract treatment (Fig. 3A, D),
278 the number of spores with red fluorescence in the control treatment was significantly lower than
279 that in the fig leaf extract treatment after PI staining (Fig. 3B, E), and the number of spores with
280 green fluorescence in the control treatment was significantly greater than that in the fig leaf
281 extract treatment after FAD staining (Fig. 3C, F); these findings indicate that fig leaf extract
282 treatment damaged the integrity of the *F. equiseti* cell membrane.

283 3.4 Effect of fig leaf extract on *F. equiseti* mycelial cell membrane permeability and MDA 284 content

285 As shown in Figure 4, the changes in cell membrane permeability and MDA content of *F.*
286 *equiseti* mycelia in both the control and treatment groups showed a gradual increase. The cell
287 membrane permeability increased more quickly in the treated group than in the control group,
288 and there was a transient and rapid increase in the cell membrane permeability in the control
289 group from $23.33 \pm 2.63 \mu\text{s/cm}$ to $36.50 \pm 1.46 \mu\text{s/cm}$ in 0-1.5 h. This difference may be
290 attributed to the fact that after the addition of deionized water to the mycelia of the pathogenic
291 fungus *F. equiseti*, some of the intracellular ions of the fungus were exuded into the solution
292 from the cell due to the change in osmotic pressure. Some of the fungal intracellular ions leaked
293 from the cell into the solution due to the change in osmotic pressure, and the extracellular
294 conductivity generally maintained an equilibrium state from 2.5 to 8.5 h, which was 39.57 ± 0.52
295 $\mu\text{s/cm}$ at 8.5 h. In contrast, the cell membrane permeability of the treated group increased
296 rapidly from $24.33 \pm 6.33 \mu\text{s/cm}$ to $47.33 \pm 0.89 \mu\text{s/cm}$ in the period from 0 h to 2.5 h and then
297 increased at a more gentle rate in the period of 3.5-8.5 h, from $48.07 \pm 1.5 \mu\text{s/cm}$ to 47.33 ± 0.89
298 $\mu\text{s/cm}$ and from $48.07 \pm 1.51 \mu\text{s/cm}$ to $50.07 \pm 1.04 \mu\text{s/cm}$, and the differences were all
299 significant ($P < 0.05$) after 0.5 h. The MDA content also increased faster in the treated group than
300 in the control group, with the MDA content in the control group increasing slowly from $2.84 \pm$
301 0.28 mmol/g to $4.08 \pm 0.29 \text{ mmol/g}$; however, in the treated group, the MDA content increased
302 rapidly from $2.89 \pm 0.32 \text{ mmol/g}$ to $6.22 \pm 0.65 \text{ mmol/g}$. The difference reached a highly
303 significant level ($P < 0.01$). The increase in MDA content after 24 h was 43.41% and 115.04% in

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Comment [V27]: ?

Comment [V28]: ?

Formatted: Font: Italic

Comment [V29]: ?

Comment [V30]: ?

Comment [V31]: ?

Comment [V32]: mM or mmol check

Comment [V33]:

Comment [V34]:

304 the control and treated groups, respectively. Taken together, these findings indicate that the cell
305 membrane of *F. equiseti* mycelia was disrupted by treatment with fig leaf extract, which resulted
306 in spillage of intracellular fluids, increased conductivity in the extracellular fluid, and a
307 significant increase in MDA content, which indicated lipid peroxidation in the cell membrane of
308 the fungal tissues.

Formatted: Font: Italic

309 3.5 Effect of fig leaf extract on the protein content of *F. equiseti* mycelia

Formatted: Font: Italic

310 As shown in Fig. 5, the protein content in the mycelia of the control and treatment groups
311 exhibited opposite trends, with the protein content of the control group showing a gradual
312 increase, ~~where as-and-the~~ protein content of the treatment group showing a gradual decrease.
313 The protein content in the mycelia of the control group increased from 0.283 ± 0.009 mg/mL to
314 0.316 ± 0.008 mg/mL, while the protein content in the mycelia of the treated group decreased
315 from 0.281 ± 0.006 mg/mL to 0.264 ± 0.004 mg/mL, exhibiting a significant difference ($P < 0.05$)
316 after 6 h of treatment. These findings indicate that fig leaf extract has a significant inhibitory
317 effect on the soluble protein content in *F. equiseti* mycelia.

Formatted: Font: Italic

318 3.6 Effect of fig leaf extract on reactive oxygen species (ROS) levels and antioxidant 319 enzyme activities in *F. equiseti* mycelia

Formatted: Font: Italic

320 H_2O_2 is an important reactive oxygen species, and its content can reflect changes in ROS in
321 mycelia. As shown in Figure 6A, the changes in H_2O_2 concentration in the mycelia of the control
322 and treatment groups were similar and showed a gradual increase. The H_2O_2 concentration in the
323 control group slowly increased from 4.24 ± 0.30 mmol/mg prot to 5.25 ± 0.32 mmol/mg prot,
324 whereas that in the treatment group increased from 4.75 ± 0.17 mmol/mg prot to 7.84 ± 0.08
325 mmol/mg prot, and the H_2O_2 concentration in the control group and the treatment group
326 increased from 4.75 ± 0.17 to 7.84 ± 0.08 mmol/mg prot by 24 h. The rates of increase in H_2O_2
327 content were 23.99% and 65.27% in the control and treated groups, respectively. The rate of
328 increase in H_2O_2 content in the treated group was greater than that in the control group, and the
329 differences reached highly significant levels ($P < 0.01$) after 3 h of treatment. These findings
330 suggested that fig leaf extract can induce excessive production of H_2O_2 in the mycelia of *F.*
331 *equiseti*, thus disrupting the normal growth of Fusarium.

Comment [V35]:

Comment [V36]:

Comment [V37]:

Comment [V38]:

Comment [V39]:

Formatted: Font: Italic

332 CAT, POD and SOD are important antioxidant enzymes in fungi that can scavenge oxygen
333 radicals in the body. The activities of these three enzymes exhibited similar trends, all of which

tended to increase first and then decrease. The SOD activity in the treated group increased rapidly from 2.80 ± 0.29 U/g prot to 3.88 ± 0.30 U/g prot within 0-3 h and then decreased slowly to 2.48 ± 0.09 U/g prot within 24 h. The difference in SOD activity between the control group and the treated group was significant ($P < 0.05$) at 3 h, and the SOD activity increased by 38.60% (Figure 6B). Similarly, the POD activity in the treated group increased rapidly from 0.667 ± 0.058 U/g prot to 2.8 ± 0.2 U/g prot from 0-3 h. At 3 h, the difference between the control and treated groups was significant ($P < 0.05$), and the POD activity increased by 320% (Fig. 6C). CAT activity in the treated group increased rapidly from 0.798 ± 0.058 U/g prot to 1.328 ± 0.12 U/g prot from 0-3 h, followed by a slow decrease to 0.945 ± 0.165 U/g prot at 24 h. At 3 h, the difference between the treated and control groups was significant ($P < 0.05$), with an increase in CAT activity of 66.41% (Fig. 6D).

3.7 Effect of fig leaf extract on the lesion diameter of damaged inoculated fruit

As shown in Figure 7, the effects of *F. equiseti* inoculation and suppression by fig leaf extract were obvious for two melon varieties, Xizhou Mi 17 and Huang Meng Crisp; the differences between the control group and the treatment group was significant ($P < 0.05$) at 6 and 3 days of inoculation, respectively; and the suppression rates reached 29.77% and 8.82%, respectively. With the prolongation of treatment, the effect of fig leaf extract did not weaken, the differences between the control group and the treatment group reached significant levels ($P < 0.05$) at 9 days and 6 days after inoculation for Xizhou Mi 17 and Huangmeng Crisp, respectively, and the inhibition rates reached 21.62% and 10.91%, respectively. These findings indicate that fig leaf extract is effective at inhibiting *F. oxysporum* in postharvest melon and has a long duration of action.

3.8 Compositional analysis of fig leaf extracts

Using UPLC-MS wide-target metabolomics technology, a total of 1540 metabolites were detected and analyzed in fig leaf extracts, of which amino acids and derivatives accounted for 16.04%; flavonoids, 15.45%; phenolic acids, 15%; others, 12.14%; alkaloids, 10.71%; organic acids, 7.73%; lipids, 7.47%; lignin and coumarin, 5.91%; nucleotides and derivatives, 4.61%; terpenoids, 3.18%; quinine, 1.43%; and tannins, 0.32% (Fig. 8). Flavonoids, phenolics, and alkaloids, which exhibit fungicidal activity, were present at relatively high percentages.

Discussion

Formatted: Font: Italic

364 Plant-derived natural products mainly refer to the secondary metabolites of plants; these
365 metabolites are widely available in nature, are extremely diverse, and include many types of
366 effective antibacterial agents. In the present study, the secondary metabolites in fig leaf extracts
367 were analyzed via UPLC-MS through a broad-targeted metabolomics technique, and it was
368 found that there was a high percentage of bacteriostatically active compounds, such as flavonoids
369 (15.45%), phenolic acids (15%), and alkaloids (10.71%) were observed. This finding is in
370 agreement with the results of ~~SU~~(Su et al.,(2023))on the major bioactive constituents of fig
371 leaves. It has been shown that the flavonoid extracts 2,5-dicyclopentenyl cyclopentanone from
372 marigold ~~can~~inhibit the growth of watermelon wilt fungus (Du et al.,2017). Flavonoids from
373 bitter ginseng have inhibitory effects on bacteria and fungi (Hadadi et al.,2020). Moreover,
374 flavonoids, ~~as~~are the important medicinal components in fig leaves, have unique
375 pharmacological effects and a wide range of applications in the fields of food, medicine, and
376 wellness products, which is consistent with the aim of this study.

Comment [V40]: ?

377 The cell wall and cell membrane play crucial roles in maintaining the morphology of fungi,
378 and plant-derived flavonoids can destroy the integrity and permeability of the cell wall
379 membrane, thus disrupting the cellular morphology of microorganisms (Cushnie et al.,2011). In
380 the present study, significant changes in the morphology and ultrastructure of *Fusarium* after
381 treatment with fig leaf extract were observed via electron microscopy, which showed leakage of
382 cell contents, crumpling and even collapse of hyphae, and disruption of the integrity of the cell
383 membrane, which led to an increase in the conductivity of the extracellular fluid. PI-FAD double
384 staining also confirmed the disruption of *Fusarium* cell membrane integrity and a decrease in
385 spore activity. ~~WANG~~(Wang et al.,2017) reported that *Fusarium rotundum* mycelia were
386 severely curved, wrinkled and collapsed after treatment with carvacrol and eugenol, and PI
387 staining revealed that the treatment disrupted the integrity and permeability of the *F. rotundum*
388 cell membranes, which was in agreement with the results of the present study. Thus, fig leaf
389 extract inhibited fungal growth by disrupting *Fusarium* cell membrane permeability.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

390 Proteins, as the basic material basis of life activities, play an important physiological role in
391 cells, and a reduction in protein content affects the normal physiological function of cells(Yin et
392 al.,2020). Moreover, protein leakage is one of the signs ~~that~~for the integrity of the cell
393 membrane is disrupted (Baijipai et al.,2013). In this study, the protein content in the mycelia of
394 the control and treatment groups showed opposite trends, with a gradual decrease in the protein

395 content in the mycelia of the treatment group, which demonstrated that the synthesis of fungal
396 proteins was inhibited. LIU (Liu et al.,2018)demonstrated that an aqueous solution of total
397 ginseng stem and leaf saponins at 10 mg/mL inhibited the synthesis of *Fusarium rotundifolium*
398 proteins, which led to an inhibition of mycelial growth. Therefore, fig leaf extract can achieve
399 bacterial inhibition by inhibiting mycelial protein synthesis.

400 Membrane peroxidation and oxygen radical reactions maintain a dynamic equilibrium state
401 in fungi to maintain the normal metabolic processes of fungal cells, and once this equilibrium is
402 disturbed, the cell membrane of fungi can be damaged, resulting in cellular injury(Yan et
403 al.2015). Many studies have shown that membrane peroxidation is one of the main reasons for
404 the increase in cell membrane permeability(Chowhan et al.2013;Duma et al.,2012). MDA is an
405 important metabolite in the process of biomembrane peroxidation, and its content in mycelia can
406 reflect the degree of damage to tissue membranes (Guo,et al.,2017). Additionally, it is an
407 important indicator of the degree of lipid peroxidation in fungal cell membranes after drug
408 treatment(Wang et al.,2009). In the present study, the content of MDA in *Fusarium* mycelium
409 increased significantly after treatment with fig leaf extract, and at the same time, it induced the
410 production of excessive H₂O₂ in the mycelia, thus disrupting the normal growth of *Fusarium*.

411 SOD, CAT and POD are important antioxidant enzymes in fungi that can scavenge oxygen
412 radicals in the body, and changes in the activities of these three enzymes can be used to reflect
413 the process of membranous peroxidation in fungal mycelia(Qu et al.,2017). In this study, the
414 activities of these three protective enzymes tended to increase and then decrease, indicating that
415 in the early stage of fig leaf extract treatment, the defense system of fungal tissues produces
416 many protective enzymes to resist the oxidative damage to tissues caused by excessive ROS;
417 however, as the fig leaf extract treatment concentration increased, the activities of the three
418 enzymes decreased due to the intensification of fungal tissue damage.

419 Conclusions

420 Fig leaf extract treatment disrupted the integrity of the *Fusarium* cell membrane, increased
421 conductivity, disrupted protein synthesis, increased the leakage of cell contents and promoted the
422 accumulation of MDA, which exacerbated the degree of cell membrane damage, induced
423 changes in antioxidant enzyme activities in the organism, and disrupted the balance of reactive
424 oxygen species metabolism. These changes led to the inhibition of fungal growth. The
425 authenticity and reliability of the inhibitory effect of fig leaf extract on *Fusarium* was confirmed

Formatted: Font: Italic

by the damage inoculation test of *Fusarium* spores. Therefore, this study revealed the inhibitory effect of fig leaf extract on *Fusarium* and the underlying mechanism and provides a theoretical basis for the use of fig leaf extract as a natural plant-derived fungicide.

References

Zheng H Y, Gen X L, Yao j, Zhang C H, Liao X F. 2020. Pathogen Identification and Their Diversity of Melon Postharvest Diseases in Xinjiang. *Southwest China Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 33(4):788-796.

Nogueira G de A, Conrado V S C, Freires A L de A, Souza J J F, Figueiredo F R A, Barroso K A, Araujo M B M, Nascimento L V, Lima J S S, Neto F B, Silva W L, Ambrosio M de Q. 2023. Aggressivity of Different *Fusarium* Species Causing Fruit Rot in Melons in Brazil. *Plant Disease* 107(3):886-892.

Hye W L, Thi T T N, Hyang B L. 2016. First Report of Post-Harvest Fruit Rot of *Aronia melanocarpa* Caused by *Fusarium tricinctum* in Korea. *The Korean Journal of Mycology* 44:68-71.

Balasubramaniam J, Goh K S, Sani S F, Alam M W, Ismail N A, Gleason M, Rosli H . 2023. *Fusarium falciforme* and *F. oxysporum* causing postharvest fruit rot of watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus*) in Malaysia: A first report. *Crop Protection* 163:106115.

Kitabayashi S, Kawaguchi A, Yoshida M, Kami D, Sugiyama K, Kawakami A. 2023. First report of *Fusarium ipomoeae* and *F. citri* causing postharvest fruit rot of winter squash (*Cucurbita maxima*). *Journal of general plant pathology* 89(1):61-66.

Kanhe N R, Abhilasha A L, Girish P. 2019. Eco-friendly management of post harvest rot of Banana (*Musa paradisiaca* L.). *Annals of Plant Protection Sciences* 27(3):363-366.

Barral B, Chillet M, Lechaudel M, Logan R, Schorr G S. 2019. Coumaroyl-isocitric and caffeoyl-isocitric acids as markers of pineapple fruitlet core rot disease. *Fruits* 74(1):11-17.

Wang W Z, He L N, Zhang Z Z, Li W Z, Chen J, Chen T T, Long Y H. 2023. Activity of the botanical compound thymol against kiwifruit rot caused by *Fusarium tricinctum* and the underlying mechanisms. *Pest Management Science* 79(7):2493-2502.

Ferreira F M D, Hirooka E Y, Ferreira F D. 2018. Effect of *Zingiber officinale* Roscoe essential oil in fungus control and deoxynivalenol production of *Fusarium graminearum* Schwabe in vitro. *Food Additives & Contaminants: Part A* 35:2168-2174.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

457 Marin S,Velluti A,Ramos A J,Sanchis V.2004.Effect of essential oils on zearalenone and
458 | deoxynivalenol production by *Fusarium graminearum* in non-sterilized maize grain. Food
459 Microbiology 21(3):313-318.

Formatted: Font: Italic

460 Juglal S,Govinden R,Odhav B.2002.Spice oils for the control of co-occurring mycotoxin-
461 producing fungi.Journal of Food Protection 65:683-687.

462 Fogliani B, Bouraïma-Madjebi S, Medevielle V. 2002.Screening of 50 Cunoniaceae species
463 from New Caledonia for antimicrobial properties. New Zealand Journal of botany 40:511-520.

464 Naseem H, Hina A, Tehmina A,Waheed A.2021.Phyto-Synthesized Silver Nanoparticles using
465 | Leaves Extracts of Morus alba and *Aegle marmelos* Inhibited Fusarium Wilt and Charcoal Rot in
466 Tomato.International Journal of Agriculture and Biology 25(1):165-172.

Formatted: Font: Italic

467 Lopez-Anchondo A N, Lopez-dela C D, Gutierrez-Reyes E,Castaneda-Ramirez J C,De La
468 Fuente-Salcido N M.2021.Antifungal Activity In Vitro and In Vivo of Mesquite Extract
469 | (*Prosopis glandulosa*) Against Phytopathogenic Fungi. Indian Journal of Microbiology 61(1):85-
470 90.

Formatted: Font: Italic

471 Hadimani S,Supriya D,Roopa K,Soujanya S K,Rakshata V,Netravati A,Akshayakumar V,De B
472 S,Jogaiah S.2023.Biodegradable hybrid biopolymer film based on carboxy methyl cellulose and
473 selenium nanoparticles with antifungal properties to enhance grapes shelf life.International
474 Journal of Biological Macromolecules: Structure, Function and Interactions 237:0141-8130.

475 Monteiro F P, Ferreira L C, Silva J L. 2013.Influence of Plant Extracts and Essential Oils
476 | against Panama Disease (*Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. cubense) in Banana Seedlings. Journal of
477 Agricultural Science 5:63-74.

Formatted: Font: Italic

478 Wang Y,Zhang J,Sun Y,Feng J T,Zhang X.2017.Evaluating the Potential Value of Natural
479 Product Cuminic Acid against Plant Pathogenic Fungi in Cucumber. Molecules 22(11):1-10.

480 Mustafa E, Merve E,Nuraniye E,Mehnet A,Esra U.2019.In vitro evaluation of the chemical
481 composition and various biological activities of ficus carica leaf extracts. Turkish journal of
482 pharmaceutical sciences 16(4):401-409.

483 Mahmoudi S,Khali M,Benkhaled K,Benamirouche K,Baiti I.2016.Phenolic and Flavonoid
484 Contents, Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Activities of Leaf Extracts from Ten Algerian Ficus
485 carica L.Varieties.Asian Pac J Trop Biomed 6(3):239-245.

486 Teruela C, Andreu C L, Lopez L D, Sendra E, Hernandez F, Cano L M. 2021. *Ficus carica* fruits,
 487 by-products and based products as potential sources of bioactive compounds: A review.
 488 *Agronomy* 11(9):2073-4395.
 489 F Stinic, C G Constantin, A C Butcaru, A C Asnic, C A Mihai. 2021. Biochemical assessment of
 490 some extracts derived from leaves and fruit of *Ficus carica* L. *Acta Horticulturae* 1310:123-128.
 491 Ustun E, Onbas S C, Celik S K. 2022. Green Synthesis of Iron Oxide Nanoparticles by Using
 492 *Ficus Carica* Leaf Extract and Its Antioxidant Activity. *Biointerface Research in Applied*
 493 *Chemistry* 12(2):2108-2116.
 494 Arumugam J, Thambidurai S, Suresh S, Kandasamy M, Pugazhenthiran N, Kumar S
 495 K, Muneeswaran T, Quero F. 2021. Green synthesis of zinc oxide nanoparticles using *Ficus carica*
 496 leaf extract and their bactericidal and photocatalytic performance evaluation. *Chemical Physics*
 497 *Letters* 783:139040.
 498 Daniel T, Katia L N, Rosa T S F. 2021. Control of *Fusarium* rot in Galia-melon and preservation
 499 of fruit quality with UV-C radiation and hot water treatments. *Tropical Plant Pathology* 46:350-
 500 359.
 501 Bokshi A, Morris S C, McConchie R. 2007. Environmentally-safe control of postharvest
 502 diseases of melons (*Cucumis melo*) by integrating heat treatment, safe chemicals, and systemic
 503 acquired resistance. *New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Science* 35(2):179-186.
 504 Sanchez E A, Tiznado H M E, Ojeda C A J, Valenzuela Q A I, Troncoso R R. 2009. Induction
 505 of Enzymes and Phenolic Compounds Related to the Natural Defence Response of Netted Melon
 506 Fruit by a Bio-elicitor. *Journal of Phytopathology* 157(1):24-32.
 507 Francisco O F, Ebenézer de O S, Mônica Maria de A L, Paulo R V R, Andreia H O, Jhonyson A
 508 C G, Davila S Z, Patricia N B, Guilherme J Z. 2020. Effect of pulsed light on postharvest disease
 509 control-related metabolomic variation in melon (*Cucumis melo*) artificially inoculated with
 510 *Fusarium pallidoroseum*. *plos one* 15(4):1-18.
 511 Zhao M H, Liu Z Q, Geng A F, Xie W B. 2018. Identification and content determination of active
 512 constituents of fig leaves. *Chinese Journal of Applied Chemistry* 35(11):1391-1398.
 513 Chen N L. 1990. Bioassay techniques for pesticides. Beijing: Beijing Agricultural University
 514 Press. 1990 : 66-68.
 515 Bi Y, Tian S P, Guo Y R. 2006. Sodium silicate reduces Post harvest decay on Hami melons:
 516 induced resistance and fungistatic effects. *Plant Disease* 90:279-283.

517 SU X J, XU Ying, ZONG C Y,Qian J.2023.Research progress on extraction technology of
 518 bioactive components and total flavonoids from fig leaves.Chemical Engineer 37(2):62-65.
 519 Du R, Liu J, Sun P.2017.Inhibitory effect and mechanism of Tagetes erecta L fungicide on
 520 Fusarium oxysporumf sp. niveum. Scientific Reports 7:14442.
 521 Hadadi Z, Nematzaden G A, Ghahari S.2020. A study on the antioxidant and antimicrobial
 522 activities in the chloroformic and methanolic extracts of 6 important medicinal plants collected
 523 from north of Iran . BMC Chem 14:33.
 524 Cushnie T P T, Lamb A J. 2011.Recent advances in understanding the antibacterial properties of
 525 flavonoids. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents 38(2):99-107.
 526 Wang Y, Zhang J, Sun Y,Feng J T,Zhang X .2017.Evaluating the Potential Value of Natural
 527 Product Cuminic Acid against Plant Pathogenic Fungi in Cucumber. Molecules 22(11):1-10.
 528 Yin L Z,Chen J H,Wang K Y,Geng Y,Lai W M,Huang X L,Chen D F,Guo H R,Fang J,Chen Z
 529 L,Tang L,Huang C,Li N Q,Ouyang P. 2020.Study the antibacterial mechanism of
 530 cinnamaldehyde against drug-resistant Aeromonas hydrophila in vitro. Microbial Pathogenesis
 531 145:104208.
 532 Bajpai V K, Sharma A, Baek K H. 2013.Antibacterial mode of action of Cudrania tricuspidata
 533 fruit essential oil, affecting membrane permeability and surface characteristics of food-borne
 534 pathogens. Food Control 32(2):582-590.
 535 Liu F F,Zhang A H,Lei F J,Xu Y H,Zhang L X.2018.Inhibiting Effects of Total Ginsenosides of
 536 Ginseng Stems and Leaves against Fusarium solani and Their Antibacterial Mechanism.Journal
 537 of Jilin Agricultural University 40(1):85-91
 538 Yan S Y.2015.The antibacterial activity of eudophytic fungi from Rhodiola sp.and effects of
 539 Se⁴⁺、Pb²⁺ on its physiological activity.Shanxi University.
 540 Chowhan N,Singh H P,Batish D R.2013.G-Pinene inhibited germination and early growth
 541 involves membrane peroxidation . Protoplasma 250:691-700.
 542 Duma R K,Nenavathu B P,Gangishetty M K,Reddy A V R.2012.Studies on antibacterial activity
 543 of ZnO nanoparticles by ROS induced lipid peroxidation . Colloids&Surfaces B Biointerfaces
 544 94:143-150.

545 Guo Y L, Guo L, Yan W B,Wang Z,Chen W F,Chen M X.2017.Progress in the effect of stress
546 on physiological and chemical characteristics of tea tree chloroplast . Journal of Food Safety and
547 Quality 8(5):1610-1615.

548 Wang C M, Zhang J,Chen H.2009.Lipid Peroxidation and Membrane Disruption by Natural
549 Compound Eugenolin Mycelia of Botrytis cinerea. Chinese Journal of Pesticide Science 11:104-
550 108.

551 Qu M Y, Li H T,Cheng H.2017.Antioxidant enzymes of fungi. International Journal of
552 Dermatology and Venereology 43:50-53.