1	Evaluation of genetic variation and host resistance to
2	wheat stem rust pathogen (Puccinia graminis f. sp.
3	<i>tritici</i>) in
4	bread wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) varieties grown in
	Türkiye
5	Ahmet CAT ¹
6 7	Annet CA1
8	¹ Department of Plant Protection, Siirt University, Siirt, Türkiye
9	F
10	Corresponding Author:
11	
12	Ahmet CAT ¹
13 14	Siirt University, Siirt, 56100, Türkiye
15	Sint Oniversity, Sint, 30100, Turkiye
16	Email address: ahmetcat@siirt.edu.tr
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	

Abstract

Wheat stem rust, which is caused by *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici* (*Pgt*), is a highly destructive disease that affects wheat crops on a global scale. In this study, the reactions of 150 bread wheat varieties were evaluated for natural *Pgt* infection at the adult-plant stage in the 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 growing seasons, and they were analyzed using specific molecular markers to detect stem rust resistance genes (*Sr22*, *Sr24*, *Sr25*, *Sr26*, *Sr31*, *Sr38*, *Sr50*, and *Sr57*). Based on phenotypic data, the majority of the varieties (62%) were resistant or moderately resistant to natural *Pgt* infection. According to molecular results, it was identified that *Sr57* was present in 103 varieties, *Sr50* in nine varieties, *Sr25* in six varieties, and *Sr22*, *Sr31*, and *Sr38* in one variety each. Additionally, their combinations *Sr25+Sr50*, *Sr31+Sr57*, *Sr38+Sr50*, and *Sr38+Sr57* were detected in these varieties. On the other hand, *Sr24* and *Sr26* were not identified. In the literature, this is the first study to identify these resistance genes. In addition, many varieties had low stem rust scores, including a large minority that lacked *Sr57*. These varieties must have useful resistance to stem rust and could be the basis for selecting greater, possibly durable resistance.

The text was previously published as a preprint (https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-2947074/v1)

Introduction

Wheat (Triticum L.) is one of the most important cereal crops grown worldwide due to its contribution to human nutrition as a 20% main energy source; it also contains substantial vitamins and minerals for human health. Owing to the increasing world population every year and limited production areas, food shortages are unavoidable. Fungal diseases have accelerated this trend. Stem rust caused by the biotrophic fungal pathogen *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. tritici (Pgt) is one of the most threatening wheat diseases, causing huge yield losses worldwide. It was reported that yield losses of up to 80% have occurred during epidemic conditions (Figueroa et al., 2018). In the past, growing resistant varieties and the United States and Europe are the main countries that use the eradication of *Berberis* spp. as an effective method to control wheat stem rust, rather than most other countries. (Peterson et al., 2005) except for Ethiopia where the pathogen caused dramatic yield losses of the wheat variety "Enkoy" in 1993 and 1994 (Shank 1994; Singh et al., 2011). Over the past two decades, there have been reports of aggressive pathogenic strains classified as TTKSK. For instance, in 1998, a new emergent race known as Ug99, designated TTKSK in Uganda, was discovered to have broken down the resistance gene Sr31 (Pretorius et al., 2000). Since then, more than 17 genes have been rendered ineffective against Ug99 (Singh et al., 2008). Subsequently, it was reported that new variants of Ug99 have evolved and exhibit virulence against the resistance genes Sr24, Sr36, Sr38, and SrTmp (Jin et al., 2008; Jin et al., 2009; Newcomb et al., 2016). Additionally, Ug99 race and its variants have been determined in different countries especially in Africa where they caused severe outbreaks of the pathogen (Fetch et al., 2016; Nazari et al., 2021; Singh et al., 2011). In 2016, the stem rust race TTTTF caused the breakdown of resistance in numerous durum and bread wheat varieties in Sicily, representing a significant threat that has been identified in other

European countries (*Bhattacharya 2017; Olivera Firpo et al.*, 2017; Olivera et al., 2019). Wheat varieties carrying resistance genes are used to effectively control of stem rust disease. The use of resistant varieties of stem rust pathogens is essential; however, its variants can overcome resistance genes. To prevent this from happening, it is necessary to obtain knowledge about the gene content of wheat varieties and their respective frequencies Generally, rust resistance genes in wheat have been grouped into two groups, conferring forms all-stage or seedling resistance (ASR) and adult plant resistance (APR).

Molecular markers have been developed and routinely used to study the genetic resistance of varieties, varieties or landraces against stem rust disease (Goutam et al., 2015). So far, 70 stem rust resistance genes have been reported in wheat and their relatives (McIntosh et al., 2016). In this study, Sr resistance genes, anayzed in this study, specifically Sr22, Sr24, Sr25, Sr26, Sr31, Sr38, and Sr57 provide resistance to stem rust at all stages, while with Sr57 demonstratesing demonstrating effectiveness during the adult plant stage (APR). However, Pgt pathotypes that are virulent on some resistance genes have been reported. For example; Jin et al. (2007) reported the occurrence of susceptible infection responses in wheat lines and cultivars carrying Sr24 during a stem rust screening field nursery conducted in Njoro, Kenya. In addition, one isolate showing virulence on Sr50 was detected in 2014 (Mago et al., 2015). Nevertheless, all three resistance genes, namely Sr22, Sr26, and Sr50, remain effective against TTKSK (Ug99) and its derivatives (Singh et al., 2011). Abou-Zeid et al. (2023) stated that the resistance genes Sr22, Sr25, Sr26, and Sr50 may currently be the most helpful race-specific resistance genes, relying depending on how they are used in combination. Most previous studies on this subject have been carried out by various researchers who have identified the frequency of different Sr resistance genes in wheat varieties from different countries. (Baranova et al., 2023; Kelbin et al., 2022; Li et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2017).

Türkiye is one of the major wheat-producing countries, with 17.650 million tons of production and nearly 6.7 million ha acreage (*FAO*, 2020). It is also one of the most important gene centers inwheat. Many stem rust epidemics occurred in Türkiye from 1932 to 1960. High yield losses, ranging from 40% to 75%, were recorded in the Mediterranean and Aegean regions (*İyriboz İleri*, 1941). In addition, yield losses of up to 50% Mediterranean, Black Sea and Southwest Anatolia regions were reported (*Oran Parlak 1969*; *Özbaş 1967*). Several studies were conducted to determine the prevalence of the disease from 1993 to 1997 and the results showed that its frequency changed from 4.9% to 28% (*Düşünceli et al.*, 2000; *Mamluk et al.*, 1997; *Yıldırım et al.*, 2000). In addition, 21 races of the pathogen were reported in Türkiye (Mert et al., 2012) and the stem rust race, TTTTF, was commonly detected in Kastamonu province (*Akci Karakaya*, 2021). As indicated above, stem rust disease have frequently occurred in some regions of Türkiye. However, the gene content of bread wheat varieties (whether they carried which *Sr* gene(s)) has not been identified. The objectives of this research were (i) to evaluate 150 bread wheat varieties for resistance to stem rust in adult plants under natural infection in the 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 growing seasons and (ii) to identify the presence or absence of resistance genes *Sr22*, *Sr24*, *Sr25*,

Sr26, Sr31, Sr38, Sr50, and Sr57 using molecular markers.

Materials & Methods

Plant materials

In this study, 150 bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) varieties were analyzed. Information on these wheat varieties is provided in Table S1. In addition, the wheat cultivar "Morocco" was used as the susceptible control in the field trials in 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 growing seasons. Furthermore, the positive control lines, Prelude*6//Pre/Mq8*/Agent for *Sr24*, Eagle with *Sr26* for *Sr26*, Benno *Sr31*/6*LMPGfor *Sr31*, VPM (PI 519303) for *Sr38*, Fed*3/Gabo*51 for *Sr50*, Opata 85 for *Sr57*, were used.

Assessment of field response to stem rust

Field tests were carried out to evaluate adult plant resistance of the varieties in the natural infection conditions at the experimental station of the Akdeniz University, Antalya, Türkiye (36°53'56"N 30°38'13"E). In November of both years, two rows measuring 100 cm in length were planted for each variety at the experimental site. Furthermore, the susceptible wheat variety "Morocco" was also sown as a spreader between the rows to assess rust reactions under natural infection conditions. Standard cultural practices were carried out at the site as well.

To evaluate the disease reactions at the adult plant stage (Zadoks scale 70 to 80), when the disease development reached 70% or more in the susceptible control, the disease was scored two to four times in field experiments at 7-10 days intervals. In field observations, the highest infection rate and disease severity score for each wheat variety were considered. The modified Cobb scale was used to determine the disease severity by estimating the proportion of the stem area covered by rust pustules (*Peterson et al., 1948*). Infection reaction was scored according to the size of pustules and quantity of necrosis and chlorosis on the stem described by *Roelfs* (1992). The reactions were classified as: "0" denotes no visible symptoms, "R" denotes resistant expressed as no uredia and distinct necrotic area, "MR" denotes moderately resistant expressed as small uredia surrounded by either chlorotic and necrotic areas, "MS" denotes moderately susceptible expressed as medium uredia and surrounded by chlorotic areas and "S" denotes fully susceptibility expressed as uredia largely and no chlorotic and necrotic areas. The coefficient of infection (CI) was calculated using the combined value of disease severity and reactions on an assigned scale. This scale was pointed out as: immune = 0.0, R = 0.2, MR = 0.4, MS = 0.8 and S = 1.0 (*Stubbs et al., 1986*). CI values were grouped as described by *Akan et al.* (2012).

DNA extraction and molecular detection of Sr genes

Total genomic DNA was extracted from seedlings of each variety at two leaf stages using the NucleoSpin® Plant II Extraction Kit (Macherey-Nagel, France) following the procedure described in the manufacturer's instructions. The quality and quantity of the extracted DNA was analyzed on 1% agarose gel electrophoresis stained with ethidium bromide. Then, they were dissolved with Tris-EDTA (TE) buffer at a strand concentration of 50 ng/µl and kept at -20°C until using the molecular studies. The genomic DNAs of each variety were analyzed to identify of resistance

genes *Sr24*, *Sr25*, *Sr26*, *Sr31*, *Sr38*, *Sr50*, and *Sr57* using different molecular markers-. Information related to these markers is given in Table 1.

Total PCR volume of each primer was prepared as 15 μl consisting of 1 X PCR buffer, 1.5 mM MgCL₂, 0.2 mM each of the dNTP mixture, 1 μM each of forward and reverse primer, 1 U Taq DNA polymerase, 100 ng of DNA and 8.28 μl of double distilled water in a final volume of 15 μl. Amplification of DNAs was performed in a thermal cycler (T100, Bio-Rad, USA) under the following conditions except for *Sr24*#12 primer pair: 94°C for 3 min for initial denaturation; 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 50 to 65°C 30 s at annealing temperature depending on molecular markers (Table 2) for 1 min, and 72°C for 1 min; and 5 min of final extension at 72°C. *Sr24*#12 was amplified in a touch-down PCR program by the following conditions: 7 cycles, 0.5 °C down each cycle starting from 62 °C and the remaining 30 cycles at 59 °C. Besides, each PCR analysis was performed two times to obtain accurate results.

- The Amplified PCR products were separated by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis at 80V for 1 h.
 The gels stained with ethidium bromide were visualized under UV light using a –gel imaging system (UVsolo Touch, Analytik Jena, Germany). In addition, a 100 bp DNA Ladder (Thermo
- 173 Fisher Scientific, USA) was used as a molecular weight marker.

Statistical analysis

All obtained data were first recorded in Microsoft Excel. Basic statistical parameters (mean, minimum, maximum, coefficient of variation, standard deviation, skewness, and kurtosis) and correlation analysis were performed using Minitab® 21.4.1 software.

Results

Evaluations of bread wheat varieties for stem rust resistance at adult plant stage

Among the varieties, disease severity rates ranged from 0.00 to 100, 0.00 to 90.00 in the growing seasons 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 respectively (Table 2). The overall coefficient of variation was calculated to be 123.55 %. In addition, kurtosis and skewness values also confirmed a normal distribution for disease severity among the varieties (Table 2). Statistical analysis showed high correlations between the reactions of all varieties during the two growing seasons (Figure 1). Based on the coefficient of infection (CI), the tested 150 bread wheat varieties were clustered into five groups: immune, expressed CI value to 0; resistant, expressed CI value 1 to 5; moderately resistant, expressed CI value 21 to 60; and susceptible, expressed CI value 6 to 20; moderately susceptible, expressed CI value 21 to 60; and susceptible, expressed CI value 61 to 100. Among them, 94 (62% of varieties) were resistant or moderately resistant, whereas 44 (29%) showed moderately susceptible or susceptible reactions at the adult plant stage in 2020. In contrast, 85 (56% of varieties) were resistant or moderately resistant, but 53 (35%) were moderately susceptible or susceptible in 2021. Moreover, 12 varieties showed immune reactions in both years (Table S2).

Molecular identification of *Sr* genes

In this study, six out of the eight *Sr* genes, *Sr22*, *Sr25*, *Sr31*, *Sr38*, *Sr50* and *Sr57* genotyped with molecular markers were identified in the 150 bread wheat varieties, while whereas the resistance genes *Sr24* and *Sr26* were not detected in these varieties (Table S2). The SSR marker WMC633, tightly linked to *Sr22* at distances of 1-2 cM was used to determine the present gene (*Olson et al., 2010*). The marker produced several fragments. Among them, a fragment of 117 bp was evaluated as a resistance marker resistant, whereas other fragments of 171, 193, and 221 bp were-susceptible. Molecular In the molecular screening of the tested varieties, only one variety "Kırgız 95" produce produced an expected fragment on of 117 bp (Figure S1). STS marker *Sr24*#12, which is completely linked to *Sr24*, was used to detect the presence of this gene (*Mago et al., 2005*). This marker is dominant and produces only a 500 bp fragment. According to the PCR results, *Sr24* was not detected among the tested varieties.

Molecular marker GB was used to determine the presence of *Sr25* (*Prins et al.*, 2001). Six varieties of bread wheat (Nacibey, Ekiz, Murat-1, Bezosta-ja-1, Beşköprü, and Bone de) were found to carry the *Sr25* gene (Figure S2). Another resistance gene, *Sr26*, is linked to the *Sr26*#43 marker (*Mago et al.*, 2005). Specific fragments of 207 bp related to the marker were not amplified among all the tested varieties. The resistance gene *Sr31* was identified using the molecular marker Iag95, which produces a 1100 bp specific PCR fragment (*Mago et al.*, 2002). Based on the molecular results, only one variety (Karacabey 97) carried *Sr31* (Figure S3).

To identify the presence of *Sr38*, the marker VENTRIUP-LN2 was used to produce a 262 bp PCR fragment (*Helguera et al.*, 2003). Molecular findings showed that only one variety (Çetinel 2000) carried this resistance gene (Figure S4). Nine wheat varieties out of all tested wheat materials (Harmankaya-99, Lancer, Doğu 88, Palandöken 97, Meta 2002, Yüreğir-89, Özkan, Alka and Iridium) were detected to carry in the presence of the wheat stem rust resistance gene*Sr50* which produced a 470 bp band in PCR using the *Sr50*-5p F3/*Sr50*-5p R3 primer pairs (Figure S5). The primer pair, L34DINT9F/L34PLUSR, was used to determine the presence of the resistance gene *Sr57* (*Lagudah et al.*, 2009) and 517 bp fragments were obtained by PCR amplification. According to the molecular results, 103 of 150 bread wheat varieties carried this gene (Figure S6).

In this study, nine bread wheat varieties carrying combinations of two *Sr* genes were identified (Table 3). In this context, four wheat varieties (Doğu 88, Meta 2002, Özkan and Iridium) carried both *Sr50* and *Sr57*; three varieties (Ekiz, Bezostaja-1 and Beşköprü) contained *Sr25* and *Sr57*; one variety (Çetinel 2000) carried both *Sr38* and *Sr57*, and one variety (Karacabey-97) carried both *Sr31* and *Sr57*.

Discussion

Stem rust is a serious problem and yield loss because of its recently increased threat to wheat production. The main reason for these losses is the emergence of new virulent races, and the urediniospores of the disease can be dispersed over long distances by human activities or wind.

236 Therefore, monitoring the changes in virulence of Pgt races is crucial. In addition, there is a need 237 for a better understanding of the genetic resistance of wheat varieties. The resistance of varieties 238 to stem rust under natural infection generally varies based on weather conditions and rust 239 populations. The frequencies of the resistance groups R and MR were 56%-62% in 2019-2020 and 240 2021-2021 growing seasons, respectively. Shamanin et al. (2016) indicated that adult plant 241 resistance to local stem rust population was observed in 26 genotypes (16.5%) of tested 146 wheat 242 genotypes. In another research conducted by *Elkot et al.* (2020) 12 of 38 Egyptian wheat varieties 243 showed immune reactions under natural infection conditions during 2018-2019 growing period. 244 In the current study, the resistance of bread wheat varieties to stem rust disease under natural 245 infection conditions was evaluated, and the major Sr genes were identified in these varieties. Sr22246 gene is derived from Triticum monococcum ssp. (Gerechter-Amitai et al., 1971) and T. 247 monococcum L. (Kerber Dyck 1973) and is located on 7AL. In this study, the closest marker 248 WMC633 flanking Sr22 (Olson et al., 2010) was used to detect and it was found that "Kırgız 95" 249 variety carried this gene.

250

251

252

253

254

255256

257

258

259

260

261262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

Stem rust resistance genes Sr24, Sr25, and Sr26 derived from Thinopyrum ponticum are commonly used in wheat breeding. Sr25, and Sr26 provide resistance to most races of stem rust including Ug99 and its variants. The molecular markers Sr24#12 linked with Sr24, Gb linked with Sr25, and Sr26#43 linked with Sr26 were used to identify these genes. None of varieties tested in this study carried either Sr24 or Sr26. These findings are consisted consistent with the study conducted by Xu et al. (2018), who reported that 136 wheat varieties collected from China were molecularly screened to detect Sr24 and Sr26, and their results showed that none of these varieties had two resistance genes. Similarly, Sr26 was not detected in 119 wheat samples (Li et al., 2016). Moreover, similar findings shown by many researchers indicated not detected Sr26 gene in the wheat genotypes in China (Lin et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2017). As previous studies have reported, the combination of the Sr25 gene with the Sr6Agi gene is widely preferred in wheat breeding to stem rust. However, Baranova et al. (2023) indicated that wheat varieties carrying only Sr25 were susceptible to Pgt. In a study conducted by Xu et al. (2018), two wheat varieties carried this gene pedigree information. This finding is consisted consistent with another study by Lin et al. (2021), which revealed that no wheat lines containing Sr25 were detected among the varieties tested in their study. In contrast, this gene was found in six Turkish bread wheat varieties in this study.

The resistance genes *Sr31* and *Sr50* were transferred from the rye varieties "Petkus" and "Imperial" respectively. These two genes were introgressed into the wheat genome by translocation from 1R (*Mago et al.*, 2015). In this study, the *Sr31*-linked marker Iag95 and *Sr50*-linked marker *Sr50*-5p-F3, R2 were used to screen the bread wheat varieties in the present study. *Pretorius et al.* (2000) and stated that *Sr31* gene was not effective to Ug99. In 2014, the *Sr50* virulence isolate was found in a greenhouse, but this gene was still effective against Ug99 and its derivatives. According to the molecular results, one variety carried *Sr31* and nine varieties carried *Sr50*. On the other hand, the resistance gene *Sr31* was previously identified in the varieties Seri 82, Yildiz 98, Tahirova 2000, and Osmaniyem by Yediay et al. (2010). According to the results of the present study, Seri 82,

Yildiz 98, Tahirova 2000, and Osmaniyem do not carry *Sr31*. This result can be attributed to the utilization of different DNA primers in these studies.

The resistance gene *Sr38*, which is linked with other rust resistance genes, namely *Yr17* and *Lr37* derived from *T. ventricosum*, is widely preferred because of its resistance to multiple diseases. The gene *Sr57* associated with resistance to wheat yellow rust (*Yr18*), leaf rust (*Lr34*), and powdery mildew (*Pm38*) provides broad-spectrum resistance to these wheat pathogens and is effective in adult plants. *Olivera et al.* (2022) detected *Sr* genes in 120 bread wheat varieties and breeding lines in Spain via molecular markers. They reported that *Sr38* (in 50 varieties), *Sr31* (in 16 varieties), *Sr24* (in one variety), *Sr7a* (in 11 varieties), and *Sr57* (in 14 varieties). Lin et al., (2021) indicated that the *Sr38* gene was detected in 19 of 95 tested wheat lines. These results are correlated with the findings reported by *Xu et al.* (2018), who detected the *Sr38* gene in 28 of 136 wheat varieties in China. *Kelbin et al.* (2022) demonstrated that *Sr57* is present in 17 of 92 new Siberian agricultural wheat germplasms. In contrast, the majority of the wheat varieties tested in this study carried the gene *Sr57*.

Overall, this study presents potentially useful information regarding the resistance of wheat varieties to stem rust disease in Türkiye. The effect of *Sr57* on CI deserves more attention. The varieties with *Sr57* had a mean 65% less stem rust than those without it. However, there is wide variation within the *Sr57* and non-*Sr57* groups, indicating that *Sr57* alone is not sufficient to achieve an acceptably high resistance. The implication for breeding is that selecting *Sr57* can help achieve moderately resistant to stem rust, but further selection in trials at well-infected sites is expected to further improve resistance, as shown by the many varieties with *Sr57* and low CI values. *Sr26* was not found in bread wheat varieties, despite its long-standing value as a source of durable resistance to stem rust. *Sr25*, *Sr38*, and *Sr50* did not control stem rust in the field, as indicated by the variety scores in the results of the current study. Only one variety each had *Sr22* and *Sr31*, so little can be said about the value of these genes. Both varieties had fairly low field scores but were not immune to stem rust. This finding can be explained by the fact that *Sr22* and *Sr31* are partially effective against avirulent pathogen population, or that virulence is at an amage moderate frequency in the pathogen population.

Conclusions

In this study, 150 wheat varieties commonly grown in different regions of the Türkiye were evaluated under natural infection conditions to Pgt disease and they were screened at molecular level using the gene spesifiegene-specific DNA markers to determine the stem rust resistance genes. The results showed that except for Sr24 and Sr26, the remaining resistance genes were determined in the wheat varieties in different rates. The varieties carrying the same resistance genes have showed shown different reactions to stem rust, and this variability can be attributed to the presence of unidentified resistance genes, varying expression levels of them, and the influence of other stress factors. The knowledge obtained from the present study is a valuable tool for wheat breeders and wheat varieties carrying Sr gene or their combinations can be utilized in future breeding research aimed at enhancing stem rust resistance.

316 Acknowledgements 317 318 The author thanks Mehmet TEKIN from Akdeniz University in Türkiye for providing plant 319 materials 320 321 References 322 Abou-Zeid MA, Mabrouk OI, Draz IS, Saad-El-Din HI, Safhi FA, ALshamrani SM, Esmail 323 SM. 2023. Stem rust appraisal of local and global wheat germplasm with a new virulence threat 324 to resistance genes. Australasian Plant Pathology, **52**(1): 67-87. 325 Akan K, Mert Z, Cetin L, Salantur A, Yazar S, Dönmez E, Özdemir B, Yalçın S, Yusuf Ö, 326 Wanyera R. 2012. Bazı buğday genotiplerinin lokal sarı pas ve kara pas ırklarıyla Ug99 kara pas 327 328 ırkına reaksiyonlarının belirlenmesi. Tarla Bitkileri Merkez Arastırma Enstitüsü Dergisi 21:22-31 329 330 Akci N., Karakaya A. 2021. Puccinia graminis f. sp. tritici races identified on wheat and Berberis 331 spp. in northern Turkey. *Indian Phytopathology*, **74**(4), 1105-1109. 332 333 Baranova O, Solyanikova V, Kyrova E, Kon'kova E, Gaponov S, Sergeev V, Shevchenko S, 334 Mal'chikov P, Dolzhenko D, Bespalova L, Ablova I, Tarhov A, Vasilova N, Askhadullin D, 335 Askhadullin D, Sibikeev S. 2023. Evaluation of resistance to stem rust and identification of sr 336 genes in russian spring and winter wheat cultivars in the Volga region. Agriculture 13:635 DOI 337 10.3390/agriculture13030635 338 339 **Bhattacharya S. 2017.** Deadly new wheat disease threatens Europe's crops. *Nature* **542**:145-146 DOI 10.1038/nature.2017.21424 340 341 Düşünceli F, Çetin L, Albustan S. 2000. Occurrence and importance of rust diseases (Puccinia 342 spp.) of wheat in Central Anatolia and strategies for their control. Orta Anadolu'da hububat 343 tarımının sorunları ve çözüm yolları Sempozyumu, Konya, Turkey, 8-11 Haziran 1999:693-696 344 345 Elkot A, El-Orabey W, Draz I, Sabry S. 2020. Marker-assisted identification of stem rust 346 resistance genes Sr2, Sr13, Sr22 and Sr24 in Egyptian wheat cultivars. Egypt J Plant Breed 24:225-347 245 DOI 10.12816/EJPB.2020.199317 348 349 **FAO. 2020**. Crops and livestock products. https://wwwfaoorg/faostat/en/#data/QCL 350

Fetch T, Zegeye T, Park RF, Hodson D, Wanyera R. 2016. Detection of wheat stem rust races
TTHSK and PTKTK in the Ug99 race group in Kenya in 2014. *Plant Disease* 100:1495-1495 DOI
10.1094/pdis-11-15-1356-pdn
354

- Figueroa M, Hammond-Kosack, KE,, Solomon, PS. 2018. A review of wheat diseases-a field
- perspective. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, **19**(6): 1523-1536

- 358 Gerechter-Amitai ZK, Wahl I, Vardi A, Zohary D. 1971. Transfer of stem rust seedling
- resistance from wild diploid einkorn to tetraploid durum wheat by means of a triploid hybrid bridge.
- 360 Euphytica **20**:281-285 DOI 10.1007/bf00056088

361

- Goutam U, Kukreja S, Yadav R, Salaria N, Thakur K, Goyal AK. 2015. Recent trends and
- perspectives of molecular markers against fungal diseases in wheat. Front Microbiol 6:861 DOI
- 364 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00861

365

- 366 Helguera M, Khan I, Kolmer J, Lijavetzky D, Zhong-Qi L, Dubcovsky J. 2003. PCR assays
- 367 for the *Lr37-Yr17-Sr38* cluster of rust resistance genes and their use to develop isogenic hard red
- spring wheat lines. *Crop Science* **43**:1839-1847

369

İyriboz NŞ, İleri M. 1941. *Hububat hastalıkları*. İzmir: Kültür Basımevi,.

371

- 372 Jin Y, Szabo L, Pretorius Z, Singh R, Ward R, Fetch Jr T. 2008. Detection of virulence to
- 373 resistance gene Sr24 within race TTKS of Puccinia graminis f. sp. tritici. Plant Disease 92:923-
- 374 926

375

- 376 Jin Y, Szabo LJ, Rouse MN, Fetch T, Jr., Pretorius ZA, Wanyera R, Njau P. 2009. Detection
- of virulence to resistance gene *Sr36* within the TTKS race lineage of *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici*.
- 378 *Plant Disease* **93**:367-370 DOI 10.1094/PDIS-93-4-0367

379

- 380 Kelbin VN, Skolotneva ES, Shamanin VP, Salina EA. 2022. Diversity of stem rust resistance
- in modern Siberian bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) germplasm. *Plant Breeding* **141**:194-203 DOI
- 382 10.1111/pbr.12999
- 383 Kerber E, Dyck P. 1973. Inheritance of stem rust resistance transferred from diploid wheat
- 384 (*Triticum monococcum*) to tetraploid and hexaploid wheat and chromosome location of the gene
- 385 involved. *Can J Genet Cytol* **15**:397-409

386

- Lagudah ES, Krattinger SG, Herrera-Foessel S, Singh RP, Huerta-Espino J, Spielmeyer W,
- 388 Brown-Guedira G, Selter LL, Keller B. 2009. Gene-specific markers for the wheat gene
- 389 Lr34/Yr18/Pm38 which confers resistance to multiple fungal pathogens. Theoretical and Applied
- 390 *Genetics*, **119**: 889-898.

- 392 Li TY, Cao YY, Wu XX, Xu XF, Wang WL. 2016. Seedling resistance to stem rust and
- 393 molecular marker analysis of resistance genes in wheat cultivars of Yunnan, China. PLoS One
- **11**:e0165640 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0165640

- 396 Lin Q, Gao Y, Wu X, Ni X, Chen R, Xuan Y, Li T. 2021. Evaluation of resistance to wheat stem
- rust and identification of resistance genes in wheat lines from Heilongjiang province. PeerJ
- **9**:e10580 DOI 10.7717/peerj.10580

399

- 400 Mago R, Bariana HS, Dundas IS, Spielmeyer W, Lawrence GJ, Pryor AJ, Ellis JG. 2005.
- Development of PCR markers for the selection of wheat stem rust resistance genes Sr24 and Sr26
- 402 in diverse wheat germplasm. *Theor Appl Genet* **111**:496-504 DOI 10.1007/s00122-005-2039-z

403

- 404 Mago R, Spielmeyer W, Lawrence J, Lagudah S, Ellis G, Pryor A. 2002. Identification and
- 405 mapping of molecular markers linked to rust resistance genes located on chromosome 1RS of rye
- 406 using wheat-rye translocation lines. *Theor Appl Genet* **104**:1317-1324 DOI 10.1007/s00122-002-
- 407 0879-3

408

- 409 Mago R, Zhang P, Vautrin S, Simkova H, Bansal U, Luo MC, Rouse M, Karaoglu H,
- 410 Periyannan S, Kolmer J, Jin Y, Ayliffe MA, Bariana H, Park RF, McIntosh R, Dolezel J,
- 411 **Berges H, Spielmeyer W, Lagudah ES, Ellis JG, Dodds PN. 2015**. The wheat *Sr50* gene reveals
- 412 rich diversity at a cereal disease resistance locus. Nat Plants 1:15186 DOI
- 413 10.1038/nplants.2015.186

414

- 415 Mamluk O, Cetin L, Braun H-J, Bolat N, Bertschinger L, Makkouk K, Yildirim A, Saari E,
- **Zencirci N, Albustan S. 1997**. Current status of wheat and barley diseases in the Central Anatolian
- 417 Plateau of Turkey. *Phytopathol Mediterr*:167-181

418

- 419 McIntosh R, Dubcovsky J, Rogers W, Morris C, Appels R, Xia X, AZUL B. 2016. Catalogue
- of gene symbols for wheat: 2015-2016. *Annual Wheat Newsletter* **58**:1-18

421

- 422 Mert Z, Karakaya A, Düşünceli F, Akan K, Çetin L 2012. Determination of Puccinia graminis f.
- 423 sp. tritici races of wheat in Turkey. Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry **36**: 107-120

424

- Nazari K, Al-Maaroof E, Kurtulus E, Kavaz H, Hodson D, Ozseven I. 2021. First report of
- 426 Ug99 Race TTKTT of wheat stem rust (*Puccinia graminis* f. sp. tritici) in Iraq. *Plant Dis* **105**:2719
- 427 10.1094/PDIS-02-21-0404-PDN

428

- Newcomb M, Olivera PD, Rouse MN, Szabo LJ, Johnson J, Gale S, Luster DG, Wanyera R,
- 430 Macharia G, Bhavani S, Hodson D, Patpour M, Hovmoller MS, Fetch TG, Jr., Jin Y. 2016.
- Kenyan Isolates of *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici* from 2008 to 2014: Virulence to *SrTmp* in the
- 432 Ug99 race group and implications for breeding programs. *Phytopathology* **106**:729-736 DOI
- 433 10.1094/PHYTO-12-15-0337-R

- Olivera Firpo P, Newcomb M, Flath K, Sommerfeldt-Impe N, Szabo L, Carter M, Luster D,
- 436 Jin Y. 2017. Characterization of *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. tritici isolates derived from an unusual
- wheat stem rust outbreak in Germany in 2013. *Plant Patholology* **66**:1258-1266

- Olivera P, Newcomb M, Szabo LJ, Rouse M, Johnson J, Gale S, Luster DG, Hodson D, Cox
- 440 **JA, Burgin L. 2015**. Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of race TKTTF of *Puccinia*
- graminis f. sp. tritici that caused a wheat stem rust epidemic in southern Ethiopia in 2013-14.
- 442 *Phytopathology* **105**:917-928

443

- Olivera PD, Sikharulidze Z, Dumbadze R, Szabo LJ, Newcomb M, Natsarishvili K, Rouse
- 445 MN, Luster DG, Jin Y. 2019. Presence of a sexual population of *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici*
- in Georgia provides a hotspot for genotypic and phenotypic diversity. *Phytopathology* **109**:2152-
- 447 2160 DOI 10.1094/PHYTO-06-19-0186-R

448

- Olivera PD, Villegas D, Cantero-Martínez C, Szabo LJ, Rouse MN, Luster DG, Bartaula R,
- 450 Lopes MS, Jin Y. 2022. A unique race of the wheat stem rust pathogen with virulence on
- 451 Sr31 identified in Spain and reaction of wheat and durum cultivars to this race. Plant Patholology
- **71**:873-889 DOI 10.1111/ppa.13530

453

- Olson EL, Brown-Guedira G, Marshall D, Stack E, Bowden RL, Jin Y, Rouse M, Pumphrey
- 455 MO. 2010. Development of wheat lines having a small introgressed segment carrying stem rust
- 456 resistance gene *Sr22*. *Crop Science* **50**:1823-1830

457

- 458 Oran Y, Parlak Y. 1969. Studies on the chemical control of cereal rusts. Bitki Koruma Bülteni
- **459 10**:87-98

460

- 461 Özbaş O. 1967. Pas ve sürmeye dayanıklı buğday yetiştirilmesi üzerine araştırmalar. Samsun
- 462 Bölge Ziraî Mücadele Araştırma Enstitüsü Neşriyatı, Teknik Bülten
- 463 **Peterson PD, Leonard KJ, Miller JD, Laudon RJ, Sutton TB. 2005**. Prevalence and distribution
- of common Barberry, the alternate host of *Puccinia graminis*, in Minnesota. *Plant Disease* **89**:159-
- 465 163 DOI 10.1094/PD-89-0159

466

- **Peterson RF, Campbell A, Hannah A. 1948**. A diagrammatic scale for estimating rust intensity
- on leaves and stems of cereals. Can J Res 26:496-500 DOI https://doi.org/10.1139/cjr48c-03

469

- 470 **Pretorius ZA, Singh RP, Wagoire WW, Payne TS. 2000**. Detection of virulence to wheat stem
- 471 rust resistance gene Sr31 in Puccinia graminis f. sp. tritici in Uganda. Plant Disease 84:203 DOI
- 472 10.1094/PDIS.2000.84.2.203B

- 474 **Prins R, Groenewald J, Marais G, Snape J, Koebner R. 2001**. AFLP and STS tagging of *Lr19*,
- a gene conferring resistance to leaf rust in wheat. *Theor Appl Genet* **103**:618-624

Roelfs AP. 1992. *Rust diseases of wheat: concepts and methods of disease management:* Cimmyt.

478

- Shamanin V, Salina E, Wanyera R, Zelenskiy Y, Olivera P, Morgounov A. 2016. Genetic
- diversity of spring wheat from Kazakhstan and Russia for resistance to stem rust Ug99. Euphytica
- **481 212**:287-296 DOI 10.1007/s10681-016-1769-0

482

- 483 Shank R. 1994. Wheat stem rust and drought effects on bale agricultural production and future
- 484 prospects. Report on February: 17-28

485

- 486 Singh RP, Hodson DP, Huerta-Espino J, Jin Y, Bhavani S, Njau P, Herrera-Foessel S, Singh
- **PK**, **Singh S**, **Govindan V**. **2011**. The emergence of Ug99 races of the stem rust fungus is a threat
- 488 to world wheat production. Annual Review Phytopatholology 49:465-481 DOI 10.1146/annurev-
- 489 phyto-072910-095423

490

- 491 Singh RP, Hodson DP, Huerta-Espino J, Jin Y, Njau P, Wanyera R, Herrera-Foessel SA,
- 492 Ward RW. 2008. Will stem rust destroy the world's wheat crop? Advances in agronomy 98:271-
- 493 309 DOI 10.1016/S0065-2113(08)00205-8

494

- 495 **Stubbs R, Prescott J, Saari E, Dubin H. 1986**. Cereal disease methodology manual.
- 496 Xu X, Yuan D, Li D, Gao Y, Wang Z, Liu Y, Wang S, Xuan Y, Zhao H, Li T, Wu Y. 2018.
- 497 Identification of stem rust resistance genes in wheat cultivars in China using molecular markers.
- 498 *PeerJ* **6**:e4882 DOI 10.7717/peerj.4882

499

- 500 Xu XF, Li DD, Liu Y, Gao Y, Wang ZY, Ma YC, Yang S, Cao YY, Xuan YH, Li TY. 2017.
- Evaluation and identification of stem rust resistance genes Sr2, Sr24, Sr25, Sr26, Sr31 and Sr38 in
- wheat lines from Gansu Province in China. PeerJ 5:e4146 DOI 10.7717/peerj.4146

503

- Yediay, FE, Baloch FS, Kilian B, Özkan H. 2010. Testing of rye-specific markers located on
- 1RS chromosome and distribution of 1AL. RS and 1BL. RS translocations in Turkish wheat
- 506 (Triticum aestivum L., T. durum Desf.) varieties and landraces. Genetic Resources and Crop
- 507 Evolution, **57**: 119-129.

508

- 509 Yıldırım A, Kınacı E, Hekimhan H, Çeri S. 2000. Investigation of the situation of important
- 510 cereal diseases in Konya, Karaman and Aksaray regions and determination of resistance sources.
- 511 Orta Anadolu'da hububat tarımının sorunları ve çözüm yolları Sempozyumu, Konya, Turkey, 8-11
- 512 *Haziran 1999*:404-413