Peer

Marine catfishes (Ariidae—Siluriformes) from the Coastal Amazon: mitochondrial DNA barcode for a recent diversification group?

Ítalo Lutz^{[1](#page-0-0)}, Thais Martins¹, Paula Santana¹, Charles Ferreira¹, Josy Miranda^{[1](#page-0-0)}, Suane Matos¹, Valdemiro Muhala^{[2,](#page-0-1)[3](#page-0-2)}, Iracilda Sampaio³, Marcelo Vallinoto^{[3](#page-0-2)} and Grazielle Evangelista-Gomes^{[1](#page-0-0)}

¹ Laboratório de Genética Aplicada (LAGA), Instituto de Estudos Costeiros (IECOS), Universidade Federal do Pará, Bragança, Brazil

² Divisão de Agricultura, Instituto Superior Politécnico de Gaza, Chókwè, Mozambique

³ Laboratório de Evolução (LEVO), Instituto de Estudos Costeiros (IECOS), Universidade Federal do Pará, Bragança, Brazil

ABSTRACT

Background: Ariidae species play a significant role as fishing resources in the Amazon region. However, the family's systematic classification is notably challenging, particularly regarding species delimitation within certain genera. This difficulty arises from pronounced morphological similarities among species, posing obstacles to accurate species recognition.

Methods: Following morphological identification, mitochondrial markers (COI and Cytb) were employed to assess the diversity of Ariidae species in the Amazon. Results: Our sampling efforts yielded 12 species, representing 92% of the coastal Amazon region's diversity. Morphological identification findings were largely corroborated by molecular data, particularly for species within the Sciades and Bagre genera. Nonetheless, despite morphological support, Cathorops agassizii and Cathorops spixii displayed minimal genetic divergence (0.010). Similarly, Notarius quadriscutis and Notarius phrygiatus formed a single clade with no genetic divergence, indicating mitochondrial introgression. For the majority of taxa examined, both COI and Cytb demonstrated efficacy as DNA barcodes, with Cytb exhibiting greater polymorphism and resolution. Consequently, the molecular tools utilized proved highly effective for species discrimination and identification.

Subjects Aquaculture, Fisheries and Fish Science, Genetics, Marine Biology, Molecular Biology, Zoology

Keywords Amazon coast, Ariidae, COI, Cytb, mtDNA

INTRODUCTION

The order Siluriformes is quite diverse and widely distributed throughout the world, having two families with marine representatives, Ariidae and Plotosidae ([Sullivan,](#page-22-0) [Lundberg & Hardman, 2006](#page-22-0); [Nelson, Grande & Wilson, 2016](#page-21-0)). Ariidae is a monophyletic group, represented by 157 valid species, distributed in three subfamilies, Ariinae, Bagreinae

Submitted 9 February 2024 Accepted 25 May 2024 Published 28 August 2024

Corresponding author Grazielle Evangelista-Gomes, [grazielle@ufpa.br](mailto:grazielle@�ufpa.br)

[Academic editor](https://peerj.com/academic-boards/editors/) [Carlos Eurico Fernandes](https://peerj.com/academic-boards/editors/)

[Additional Information and](#page-15-0) [Declarations can be found on](#page-15-0) [page 16](#page-15-0)

DOI [10.7717/peerj.17581](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.17581)

(cc) Copyright 2024 Lutz et al.

[Distributed under](http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0](http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

OPEN ACCESS

and Galeichthyinae ([Betancur-R, 2009](#page-17-0); [Marceniuk, Menezes & Britto, 2012a](#page-20-0); [Fricke et al.,](#page-18-0) [2023](#page-18-0)).

The species are found in tropical and subtropical waters of the Atlantic, Indian and Pacific Oceans ([Kailola, 2004](#page-19-0); [Barletta & Blaber, 2007](#page-17-1)). The vast majority of the species are confined to coastal, estuarine and mangrove areas with turbid waters, generally found at a depth of up to 150 m ([Kailola, 2004](#page-19-0); [Marceniuk & Menezes, 2007](#page-20-1)).

Ariids have economic value in many regions where they are found (*Amin et al.*, 2016; [Marceniuk et al., 2022](#page-20-2)). In Brazil, they are common targets for small and large-scale fishing, using different fishing methods ([Dantas et al., 2010](#page-18-1); [Bentes et al., 2012](#page-17-3); [Carvalho](#page-18-2) [Neta et al., 2014](#page-18-2)). More precisely on the northern coast of Brazil, species such as Sciades parkeri, Sciades proops and Bagre bagre are important fishing resources ([Martins et al.,](#page-21-1) [2021b](#page-21-1)). These species are generally sold in the form of fillets ([Betancur et al., 2015](#page-17-4); [Gilio-](#page-19-1)[Dias et al., 2020](#page-19-1)) and supply the national and international market, while the swim bladder is exported, mainly to Asian countries for cosmetic purposes (*[Jimenez et al., 2021](#page-19-2)*). Other species of catfish are also sold fresh in local markets and fairs such as the Feira Livre de Bragança, in the Salgado region and northeast of Pará (*[Martins et al., 2021b](#page-21-1)*).

Commercial fraud resulting from the replacement of "Gurijuba" S. parkeri fillets with "Uritinga" S. proops fillets has been reported in the coastal regions of the Amazon ([Gomes](#page-19-3) [et al., 2019](#page-19-3)). S. parkeri is currently listed as vulnerable by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN). To prevent population decline and ensure environmental and economic sustainability, it is essential to enhance knowledge of the diversity and systematics of catfish in the region and implement effective management and conservation measures for these resources (*[Marceniuk et al., 2021](#page-20-3)*).

Despite the great relevance in the fishing and bioecological scenarios, its systematics is still not well understood. Many studies have been done on the taxonomy of this group, but some genera have unclear species boundaries, which leads to confusion in taxon identification ([Ferraris, 2007](#page-18-3); [Mazlan et al., 2008](#page-21-2); [Betancur-R, Marceniuk & Béarez, 2008](#page-17-5); [Ng, 2012](#page-21-3); [Marceniuk, Oliveira & Ferraris, 2023](#page-20-4)). In some cases, Arrids species present marked morphological similarities in addition to ontogenetic variations and sexual dimorphism.

The lack of testimonial specimens in museums and collections, combined with other factors, makes it difficult to identify diagnostic characters and recognize species. Consequently, it is challenging to reconstruct their evolutionary kinship relationships ([Marceniuk, 2005](#page-20-5), [2007](#page-20-6); [Marceniuk & Menezes, 2007](#page-20-1); [Betancur-R, Marceniuk & Béarez,](#page-17-5) [2008](#page-17-5)). However, more recent approaches, utilizing integrative data from morphology and evolutionary molecular genetics, have significantly improved the understanding of the real diversity of Ariidae and their phylogenetic relationships ([Marceniuk, Menezes & Britto,](#page-20-0) [2012a](#page-20-0); [Marceniuk, Oliveira & Ferraris, 2023](#page-20-4)). Markers based on DNA have been efficiently and robustly utilized to identify fish diversity ([de Sousa et al., 2022](#page-18-4); [Tang et al., 2023](#page-22-1); [Lutz](#page-19-4) [et al., 2023a](#page-19-4)). They have been instrumental in tasks such as registration of cryptic species ([González-Castellano et al., 2020](#page-19-5); [Louro et al., 2021](#page-19-6)), tracing threatened species in trade ([Martins et al., 2021a](#page-21-4)), resolving phylogenetic and taxonomic inconsistencies ([Ronco et al.,](#page-22-2) [2020](#page-22-2); [Dornburg & Near, 2021](#page-18-5); [van Staden et al., 2023](#page-23-0)), and identifying and authentication processed products derived from fish ([Veneza et al., 2018](#page-23-1); [Gomes et al., 2019](#page-19-3); [Barbosa et al.,](#page-17-6) [2020](#page-17-6); [Barbosa, Sampaio & Santos, 2021](#page-17-7); [Lutz et al., 2023b](#page-20-7)).

Mitochondrial markers, such as the Cytochrome C Oxidase Subunit 1 (COI) and Cytochrome b (Cytb) genes, are frequently employed to identify species based on their genetic differences. These markers can distinguish between closely related taxa with high accuracy, even with single-locus analyses ([Palacios-Barreto et al., 2017](#page-21-5); [Dalton et al., 2020](#page-18-6); [Lutz et al., 2023b](#page-20-7)). However, many marine catfish species from the Ariidae family lack molecular data in public databases, which hampers taxonomic and systematic studies of these species. Therefore, our objective was to assess the diversity of Ariidae on the Amazon coast using mitochondrial DNA Barcodes (regions of the COI and Cytb genes) and to provide new insights into the evolutionary relationships of the group.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling

Whole individuals of Ariidae were collected at landing ports and fish markets in Bragança, northeast of the State of Pará, Amazon coast (Lat 1°3'57.43"S, Long 46°47'22.24"W), between January and December 2020. The samples used in this study were obtained directly from fishing docks and fishmongers, thus comprising dead specimens. Therefore, no collection licenses or approval by the by Animal Ethics Committee were required. All samples were collected and transported with the authorization of the Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade (ICMBio) (SISBIO license n. 12773-1).

Entire individuals of Ariidae, including specimens of all collected species, were photographed to form the scientific image bank of the Ariidae family, and underwent the process of fixation with 10% formaldehyde and preservation with absolute commercial alcohol ([Martins, 1994](#page-21-6)). After the fixation/preservation process, the samples were incorporated into the zoological collection of the Laboratório de Genética Aplicada (LAGA), Instituto de Estudos Costeiros (IECOS), Universidade Federal do Pará (UFPA).

Muscle tissue samples were collected from each individual. Each tissue was given a number and stored in 2.0 mL Eppendorf microtubes containing 70% alcohol. Soon after, these samples were stored in a freezer at −20 °C at the Laboratório de Genética Aplicada (LAGA), Universidade Federal do Pará (UFPA).

Morphological analysis

All samples were morphologically identified through literature ([Marceniuk, 2005](#page-20-5), [2007](#page-20-6); [Marceniuk et al., 2017a](#page-20-8)). According to [Marceniuk, Oliveira & Ferraris \(2023\)](#page-20-4), the genera Aspistor, Amphiarius, and Notarius are synonyms, so we identified all species of the three genera as Notarius, as validated by the IUCN and Eschmeyer's Catalog of Fishes ([Fricke](#page-18-0) [et al., 2023](#page-18-0)).

The morphological analysis strictly followed [Marceniuk \(2007\)](#page-20-6) and [Marceniuk et al.](#page-20-8) $(2017a)$, with updates to genus and species names. The individuals were observed from the left side, with measurements taken using a manual caliper (0.1 mm) and rulers adjusted to millimeters. Among the main characters observed, we used: shape and length of the maxillary barbel, number of pairs of mental barbels, number of rays in the anal fin, size and length of the base of the adipose fin, size of the eye in relation to the body, thin or thick lips, shape of the nuchal plate, presence of the dental plate in the vomer, shape of the accessory dental plates and number of rays in the first or second gill arch. The characteristics are described in detail in the literature consulted ([Marceniuk, 2007](#page-20-6); [Marceniuk et al., 2017a](#page-20-8)).

Laboratory procedures

We followed the saline solution (NaCl) protocol by $Aljanabi \&\ Martinez$ (1997) to extract DNA from the samples. We then added 2 μ L of a solution with Gel redTM dye and Blue Juice to the extraction products, and ran them on a 1% agarose gel with electrophoresis at 60V for about 40 min, to check the quality of the DNA.

We amplified the Barcode region of the COI gene and the first part of the Cytb gene from the 5′ end, from the total genomic DNA, using the Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) technique. We used the primers FishR1 and FishR2 ([Ward et al., 2005](#page-23-2)) for COI and FishCytb-F and TrucCytb-R ([Sevilla et al., 2007](#page-22-3)) for Cytb. We followed the same PCR volumes and conditions as [Gomes et al. \(2019\)](#page-19-3) for S. parkeri and S. proops.

We purified the positive PCR products with PEG 8000 (Polyethylene Glycol), as described by Paithankar $\mathcal C$ Prasad (1991), and sequenced them using the dideoxyterminal method ([Sanger, Nicklen & Coulson, 1977](#page-22-4)), with the Big Dye 3.1 Kit (ABI Prism TM Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction-PE; Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. We then performed capillary electrophoresis ABI 3500 XL automatic sequencer (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA).

Genetic sequence and analysis bank

To investigate the genetic diversity and phylogenetic relationships of Ariidae, we constructed a genetic sequence and analysis bank using two mitochondrial genes, COI and Cytb. We collected 57 specimens from 12 species and four genera of Ariidae, and identified them morphologically. We sequenced both genes for each specimen and obtained 114 sequences in total. The sequences generated were submitted to GenBank. The COI sequences have accession numbers [OR646749](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/OR646749) to [OR646805](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/OR646805) and the Cytb sequences have accession numbers [OR674791](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/OR674791) to [OR674847.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/OR674847) In Barcode of Life Data System (BOLD), the COI sequences have been deposited under accession numbers [BAGR001-24](https://www.boldsystems.org/index.php/Public_RecordView?processid=BAGR001-24) to [BAGR057-](https://www.boldsystems.org/index.php/Public_RecordView?processid=BAGR057-24) [24](https://www.boldsystems.org/index.php/Public_RecordView?processid=BAGR057-24). Six sequences were added to this database to compose the outgroup (Ictaluridae Ictalurus punctatus-[NC003489,](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC003489) Auchenipteridae Tympanopleura atronasus—[MT712806,](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MT712806) Pimelodidae Brachyplatystoma rousseauxii—[MT551779](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MT551779)—COI; I. punctatus[-AY184253](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AY184253), T. atronasus[-DQ119403,](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/DQ119403) B. rousseauxii[-JF898518-](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/JF898518)Cytb), available on GenBank. The generated sequences were automatically aligned in the ClustalW application ([Thompson, Higgins & Gibson, 1994](#page-22-5)) and corrected in BioEdit 7.2.5 ([Hall, 1999](#page-19-7)). Aligned sequences were concatenated using Sequence Matrix 1.9 ([Vilgalys & Hester, 1990](#page-23-3)). Possible stop codons were investigated using the MEGA 11.0.11 ([Tamura, Stecher & Kumar, 2021](#page-22-6)).

Interspecific and intraspecific Kimura-2-parameter (K2P) distances for Ariidae were calculated in MEGA 11.0.11 ([Tamura, Stecher & Kumar, 2021](#page-22-6)) for the two databases, COI and Cytb. The maximum and minimum K2P distances were visualized graphically using histograms generated in the R 4.3.0 program (R Core Team, 2023), with the ggplot2

package ([Wickham, Chang & Wickham, 2022](#page-23-4)). The barcoding gap for each species was calculated as the difference between the minimum interspecific distance and the maximum intraspecific distance ([Cardoni et al., 2015](#page-18-7); [Wei et al., 2021](#page-23-5)).

The two databases were analyzed using the DNAsp (Librado $\&$ Rozas, 2009) to identify the frequency and possibility of sharing haplotypes between valid species, previously identified with morphology.

Using GenBank (National Center for Biotechnology Information—[http://www.ncbi.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) [nlm.nih.gov](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)) ([Benson et al., 2012](#page-17-9)) and the BOLD platform (Barcoding of Life Database [https://www.boldsystems.org/\)](https://www.boldsystems.org/) (Ratnasingham $\&$ Hebert, 2007) the genetic similarity of the haplotypes identified with the available sequences was verified.

The choice of the best evolutionary model was conducted on the CIPRES Science Gateway 3.3 platform (*Miller, Pfeiffer* $\&$ *Schwartz, 2010*) for both data sets, using jModelTest2 in XSEDE ([Darriba et al., 2012](#page-18-8); [Towns et al., 2014](#page-22-7)). The analysis recommended the HKY+I+G evolutionary model for the two markers (COI and Cytb), based on the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). The Bayesian inference tree with the concatenated bank was built in the MrBayes 3.2 software in XSEDE 3.2.7 ([Ronquist et al.,](#page-22-8) [2012](#page-22-8)), using 50 million generations and 10% burn-in, being conducted on the CIPRES Science Gateway 3.3 platform ([Miller, Pfeiffer & Schwartz, 2010](#page-21-10)). The log files were checked in Tracer 1.5 ([Rambaut & Drummond, 2009](#page-21-11)) and the convergence chains considered adequate presented values greater than >200 ESS (Effective Sampling Size).

We applied the software BEAST 1.8.4 ([Drummond & Rambaut, 2007](#page-18-9); [Rambaut &](#page-21-11) [Drummond, 2009](#page-21-11)) to perform Bayesian inference (BI) analyses on the COI and Cytb datasets separately. We ran the analyses on the CIPRES Science Gateway 3.3 platform ([Miller, Pfeiffer & Schwartz, 2010](#page-21-10)), using a strict clock model and the Yule speciation process as the tree prior. The posterior probability was estimated with 50 and 30 million generations (COI and Cytb, respectively) and 10% burn-in. The log files were checked in Tracer 1.5 ([Rambaut & Drummond, 2009](#page-21-11)) to evaluate the convergence chain and the appropriate burn-in length. The convergence chains considered adequate presented values greater than >200 ESS. For the analysis of the genus Notarius, public sequences available on GenBank for Notarius phrygiatus and Notarius quadriscutis were added to the COI bank (Cytb sequences were not available). A Bayesian inference analysis was performed on this bank, repeating almost all of the previous steps, only changing the recommended model to HKY +I. The trees generated in BEAST were summarized in TreeAnnotator 1.10.4 to obtain the best tree. The resulting tree was visualized in FigTree 1.4.4 ([http://tree.](http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/) [bio.ed.ac.uk/software/](http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/)figtree/) and edited in InkScape 0.92.4 (<https://www.inkscape.org>).

Species delimitation

For species delimitation tests, three methods were applied: a) Generalized Mixed Yule Coalescent, GMYC ([Pons et al., 2006](#page-21-12)), b) Bayesian Poisson Tree Process, PTP ([Zhang et al.,](#page-23-6) [2013](#page-23-6)) and c) Assemble Species by Automatic Partitioning, ASAP (Puillandre, Brouillet $\&$ [Achaz, 2021](#page-21-13)). The designation Molecular Operational Taxonomic Units (MOTUs) ([Floyd](#page-18-10) [et al., 2002](#page-18-10)) was taken into consideration as molecular units to represent potential species.

The GMYC analysis was conducted using the Splits package (*Ezard, Fujisawa* \mathfrak{S} [Barraclough, 2009](#page-18-11)) in the R 4.3.0 program ([R Core Team, 2023](#page-21-8)). The analysis was performed with a summarized ultrametric tree from the BEAST program.

The PTP method was conducted using as input file a maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree in the IQ-TREE 2.1.3 software ([Nguyen et al., 2015](#page-21-14)), with the GTR F model with a total of 1,000 Booststrap pseudoreplicates ([Felsenstein, 1985](#page-18-12)). The analysis was carried out on the PTP online platform (<https://species.h-its.org/ptp/>), following the platform's standard parameters.

ASAP analysis was performed on the ASAP online platform ([https://bioinfo.mnhn.fr/](https://bioinfo.mnhn.fr/abi/public/asap/asapweb.html) [abi/public/asap/asapweb.html\)](https://bioinfo.mnhn.fr/abi/public/asap/asapweb.html) with the Kimura (K80) TS/TV evolutionary model.

RESULTS

Species diversity, based on morphology

For all 57 entire individuals collected, we performed identification based on morphology, consulting specialized literature on the group. We recorded a total of 12 species, five species of the Sciades genus: S. couma ($N = 6$), S. herzbergii ($N = 5$), S. parkeri ($N = 6$), S. passany ($N = 4$) and S. proops ($N = 4$); four from the genus Notarius: N. grandicassis $(N = 5)$, N. phrygiatus (N = 3), N. quadriscutis (N = 5) and N. rugispinis (N = 5); two from the genus Cathorops: C. agassizii ($N = 4$) and C. spixii ($N = 5$); and one from the genus *Bagre: B. bagre* $(N = 5)$.

Species diversity, based on DNA markers and comparison with public banks

We analyzed the diversity of Ariidae catfishes from the Amazon coast using 114 sequences of two molecular markers (COI and Cytb genes). Each marker had 57 sequences from different specimens. The sequences were free of insertions, deletions or stop codons, indicating that they were functional fragments for both markers. The COI sequences had a length of 505 bp, corresponding to the Barcode region, while the Cytb sequences had a length of 704 bp, resulting in a total length of 1,209 bp for the concatenated dataset.

The COI and Cytb markers revealed 25 and 31 haplotypes, respectively. Most species had unique haplotypes, such as *C. agassizii* and *C. spixii*. However, *N. phrygiatus* and N. quadriscutis shared haplotypes. These two species had varying numbers of individuals with common haplotypes depending on the marker, with five individuals for COI and two individuals for Cytb, indicating a single species ([Table S1\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.17581/supp-1).

For molecular identification based on genetic similarity, we observed that some comparisons between COI haplotypes and sequences from public databases showed inconsistencies with the previous identification based on morphology [\(Table S2\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.17581/supp-2). The haplotypes of N. phrygiatus and N. quadriscutis obtained a similarity of 100% and 99.8% with Notarius luniscutis in GenBank, respectively. In BOLD, the haplotypes of N. phrygiatus and N. quadriscutis obtained a similarity of 100% and 99.79% with N. grandicassis, respectively. The haplotypes of C. agassizii were identified as C. spixii in the two public database, C. agassizii 4 and C. agassizii 7 showed similarities of 99.37% and 100% in GenBank, respectively. In BOLD, C. agassizii 4 obtained 99% and C. agassizii

798.8%. The S. parkeri 3 haplotype was identified as Netuma sp. in GenBank, however, it obtained 100% similarity in BOLD with its respective morphological identification, S. parkeri. The S. couma and S. passany haplotypes that did not match in GenBank were identified with more than 100% similarity in BOLD with their respective morphological identifications ([Table S2\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.17581/supp-2).

Cytb marker haplotypes also showed inconsistencies in comparisons made in GenBank ([Table S3\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.17581/supp-3). The haplotypes of N. phrygiatus and N. quadriscutis returned with similarity greater than 99% for the species N. quadriscutis and N. luniscutis, respectively. The C. agassizii haplotypes showed a similarity greater than 99% with C. spixii and Cathorops arenatus species. All C. spixii haplotypes returned with a similarity greater than 99% to the species of C. spixii and C. arenatus. The haplotypes of the species of the genera Bagre and Sciades, and N. grandicassis specie were correlated with more than 99% with their respective morphological identifications ([Table S3\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.17581/supp-3).

Using the combined COI and Cytb database, the BI tree identified 10 clades with strong statistical support, especially for the Sciades genus and the B. bagre, N. rugispinis and N. grandicassis species [\(Fig. 1\)](#page-7-0). The A. phrygiatus and As. quadriscutis species formed a single clade with high support, as well as C. agassizii and C. spixii, but the latter two could be distinguished by their sequences.

Genetic distances and barcode gap

Using the K2P model for COI Barcode, the intraspecific distances for each species varied from 0.000 to 0.014, with N. grandicassis having the highest value. The interspecific distances varied from 0.000 to 0.187, with the lowest values observed between N. phrygiatus and N. quadriscutis (0.000) and C. agassizii and C. spixii (0.010) [\(Table S4\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.17581/supp-4).

For Cytb, the intraspecific distances for each species ranged from 0.000 to 0.012, with B. bagre showing the highest value. The interspecific distances ranged from 0.000 to 0.203, with the lowest values observed between N . *phrygiatus* and N . *quadriscutis* (0.000) and C. agassizii and C. spixii (0.016) [\(Table S4](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.17581/supp-4)).

Regarding the Barcode gap, the species of Sciades, B. bagre, N. grandicassis and N. rugispinis had enough genetic distances to distinguish them from the other species. For the other species, N. phrygiatus, N. quadriscutis, C. agassizii and C. spixii, there was no Barcode gap [\(Fig. 2\)](#page-8-0).

Species delimitation

The phylogenetic tree, based on Bayesian inference, was effective in discriminating the majority of different species, represented by reciprocally monophyletic groups, supported by high statistical values, both for COI and Cytb. However, the delimitation tests (GMYC, PTP and ASAP) presented different results for each marker, highlighting Cytb as the marker with the highest resolution to identify marine catfish. From the COI ultrametric tree, 10 MOTUS were retrieved, with all tests in agreement [\(Fig. 3A\)](#page-9-0). For the Cytb tree, the GMYC and PTP tests delimited 11 MOTUS and the ASAP 10 [\(Fig. 3B\)](#page-9-0).

The Cathorops genus showed different formation according to the marker and test ([Fig. 3](#page-9-0)). The COI marker ([Fig. 3A\)](#page-9-0) generated a single MOTU for the species C. agassizii Peer.

Figure 1 Bayesian inference tree (BI) based on the diversity of Ariidae from the coastal region of the Amazon based on the concatenated mitochondrial genes COI and Cytb. Bootstrap values are pre-sented at the tree's internodes. Full-size [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.17581/](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.17581/fig-1)fig-1

and C. spixii for the three tests used. On the other hand, in the Cytb marker [\(Fig. 3B](#page-9-0)), the C. agassizii and C. spixii species formed different MOTUS in the GMYC and PTP tests, but recovered a single MOTU in the ASAP test $(Fig. 4)$ $(Fig. 4)$ $(Fig. 4)$. The species N. quadriscutis and N. phrygiatus were not distinguished as separate taxa, considering both markers.

DISCUSSION

Diversity of Ariidae in the coastal Amazon

In the Amazon coastal region, 13 species of the Ariidae family are known to occur, eight of these species are endemic to the Amazon and Orinoco rivers plumes, and 12 (92%) of them were studied in this work ([Marceniuk et al., 2021](#page-20-3); [Marceniuk, Oliveira & Ferraris, 2023](#page-20-4)) and are representative of the diversity of marine catfish on the North Brazilian coast. These species have widely shared morphological characters ([Marceniuk et al., 2021](#page-20-3)), and therefore can be easily confused, in addition to the profound genetic similarity between some taxa, which can limit correct discrimination for some species. In an attempt to

standardize a DNA Barcode marker for Amazonian coastal catfish, we corroborate data already available in the literature, which suggest a still confusing systematics for Ariidae, including many taxa from the South Western Atlantic.

Recent work has demonstrated that molecular identification approaches, using a single mitochondrial locus, for families of fish with recent diversification and poorly understood

 0.03

Figure 3 Ultrametric topologies with species delimitation scenarios based on the mitochondrial genes COI (A) and Cytb (B), for Ariidae species from the coastal region of the Amazon. Vertical bars correspond to each test. Different colors indicate each species discriminated. Bootstrap values are presented at the tree's internodes. Full-size [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.17581/](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.17581/fig-3)fig-3

Figure 4 Species of Ariidae from the coastal region of the Amazon that presented molecular identification according to morphological identification. Full-size [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.17581/](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.17581/fig-4)fig-4

taxonomy, may not be effective for some taxa, as already recorded for certain groups of Teleosts and Elasmobranchs ([Sanches et al., 2021](#page-22-9); [Santana et al., 2023](#page-22-10)).

Morphological identification versus molecular identification

High morphological similarity, ontogenetic variation and sexual dimorphism are effective barriers to the recognition of marine catfish species from the Ariidae family ([Marceniuk,](#page-20-5) [2005](#page-20-5), [2007](#page-20-1); [Marceniuk & Menezes, 2007](#page-20-1); [Betancur-R, Marceniuk & Béarez, 2008](#page-17-5)). This scenario generated provisional generic classifications carried out in recent decades when they were based entirely on external morphological characters (*Taylor & Menezes*, 1978; Kailola $\&$ Bussing, 1995). Since then, studies that addressed genus changes, reevaluation and validation of species have been produced, showing the difficulties in understanding the true systematics of Ariidae ([Betancur-R et al., 2007](#page-17-10); [Marceniuk & Menezes, 2007](#page-20-1); [Betancur-](#page-17-5)[R, Marceniuk & Béarez, 2008](#page-17-5); [Marceniuk, Menezes & Britto, 2012a](#page-20-0); [Marceniuk et al.,](#page-20-9) [2012b](#page-20-9), [2017b](#page-20-10)).

In this work, based on morphology, our previous identification recorded 12 species of marine/coastal catfish from the 57 Ariidae individuals sampled from the Amazon coastal region. The only species from the coastal Amazon not represented in this sampling was C. arenatus, a "Uricica amarela" very similar morphologically and genetically to C. spixii, however, with distribution restricted of the Amazon and Orinoco rivers plumes ([Marceniuk et al., 2021](#page-20-3); [Marceniuk, Oliveira & Ferraris, 2023](#page-20-4)).

The phylogenetic tree based on Bayesian inference, using combined data (COI and Cytb) revealed 10 main clades ([Fig. 1\)](#page-7-0). The groups composed of the species of the genera Sciades and the species B. bagre, N. grandicassis, N. rugispinis, shown in [Fig. 4](#page-10-0), had molecular identification that matched the morphological identification and their relationships agreed with [Betancur-R et al. \(2007\)](#page-17-10) and Marceniuk, Menezes $\&$ Britto [\(2012a\)](#page-20-0). Identification also confirmed by the similarities obtained in the comparisons of public databases ([Tables S2](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.17581/supp-2) and [S3\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.17581/supp-3).

The phylogenetic analysis revealed a discrepancy between the morphological and molecular identification of N. quadriscutis and N. phrygiatus, two species that clustered together in the phylogenetic trees. The genetic analysis showed no Barcode gap between N. quadriscutis and N. phrygiatus, with zero genetic distance for both COI and Cytb. This result was consistent with the delimitation tests, where the two species formed a single clade, and also with the fact that they shared mitochondrial haplotypes ([Fig. 4,](#page-10-0) [Table S1\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.17581/supp-1).

N. quadriscutis and N. phrygiatus are two distinct species of catfish that can be easily identified by their external morphology. N. quadriscutis has a broad, square-shaped nuchal plate that resembles a "butterfly", while N. phrygiatus has a narrow, V-shaped nuchal plate and lacks the vomerine tooth plates ([Marceniuk et al., 2017a](#page-20-8)). We compiled images of these two species, showing their different characteristics ([Fig. 5A\)](#page-12-0) and the polymorphic sites at each marker ([Fig. 5B\)](#page-12-0).

However, in the Bayesian inference analysis, public COI samples for N. phrygiatus did not group with our sequences, forming a separate clade [\(Fig. 5C](#page-12-0)). In fact, the molecular analysis by [Marceniuk, Oliveira & Ferraris \(2023\)](#page-20-4) using mitochondrial and nuclear markers confirmed that *N. quadriscutis* and *N. phrygiatus* belong to separate clades, validating their taxonomic status.

This result raises some hypotheses. First, no matter how closely we followed the literature, our morphological identification of N. phrygiatus was erroneous. Second, public deposits of *N. phrygiatus* are misidentified. Finally, there is possibly a process of hybridization or mitochondrial introgression between the two species, N. quadriscutis and N. phrygiatus, reinforced by the species' distribution zones [\(Fig. 5D\)](#page-12-0), with an area of overlap and then sympatry. We believe that this last hypothesis, hybridization, is the most likely in this case. In addition, only a few sequences were available for Cytb and none for COI. More sequences were needed for a better understanding.

The relationships between the genera Amphiarius, Aspistor and Notarius are confusing. Amphiarius species differ from Aspistor and almost all Notarius species in swim bladder morphology, but this character is shared between *Amphiarius* and *N. grandicassis* (Marceniuk $\&$ Birindelli, 2010). Therefore, the monophyly of the genus Amphiarius was suggested by [Marceniuk \(2003\)](#page-20-12) and [Kailola \(2004\)](#page-19-0), representing the description of a new genus and thus forming three valid genera, Amphiarius, Aspistor and Notarius, according to morphological characters ([Marceniuk & Menezes, 2007](#page-20-1)).

The species sampled in the present study, N. phrygiatus and N. rugispinis belonged to the genus Amphiarius, while N. quadriscutis belonged to the genus Aspistor. However, [Betancur-R et al. \(2007\)](#page-17-10) considered that the genera Amphiarius and Aspistor are synonymous with Notarius, the latter being a valid genus, a classification also already adopted in the work of *Marceniuk*, *Oliveira* \mathcal{E} Ferraris (2023), where a new classification for Ariidae was proposed.

The haplotypes of N. phrygiatus and N. quadriscutis showed 99% similarity with N. luniscutis in GenBank [\(Table S2\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.17581/supp-2). Although N. luniscutis is not found on the northern coast of Brazil, but in the Northeast, East and South regions ([Marceniuk et al., 2019](#page-20-13)), N. quadriscutis and N. luniscutis represent distinct morphological lineages, but with an absence of genetic differentiation in the Cytb genes and subunits 8 and 6 of ATP synthase

Figure 5 Morphological and molecular comparison and distribution area between Ariidae species from the coastal region of the Amazon, Notarius phrygiatus and Notarius quadriscutis. (A) Main morphological characters that diûerentiate the two species according to [Marceniuk](#page-20-8) [et al. \(2017a\)](#page-20-8). (B) Polymorphic sites between the two species for the mitochondrial genes COI and Cytb. The numbering above the nucleotides reûects the position of the polymorphic sites in the 505 bp fragments for COI and 704 bp fragments for Cytb. (C) Bayesian Inference Tree (BI) of Notarius species. (D) Accepted distribution range according to International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) for both species. The base shape file used was obtained from the Natural Earth website [\(https://www.naturalearthdata.com](https://www.naturalearthdata.com)). Species distribution shape files were obtained from IUCN [\(https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/197078/2478828](https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/197078/2478828)[/https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/190119/1940944\)](https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/190119/1940944).

Full-size [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.17581/](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.17581/fig-5)fig-5

(ATPase 8/6), that is, the two species undergo a very recent speciation process ([Marceniuk](#page-20-13) [et al., 2019](#page-20-13)), which is also found in other Ariidae ([Betancur-R & Armbruster, 2009](#page-17-11)). As N. phrygiatus and N. quadriscutis formed a single clade ([Figs. 2](#page-8-0)–[4\)](#page-10-0), 99% similarity of the two species with N. luniscutis was expected.

However, the 99% similarity of N. phrygiatus and N. quadriscutis with N. grandicassis on the BOLD platform ([Table S3\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.17581/supp-3) is a consequence of incorrect identification, considering that N. phrygiatus and N. quadriscutis did not group with N. grandicassis in our analyzes ([Figs. 2](#page-8-0)–[4\)](#page-10-0), indicating that they are distinct species.

Species delimitation

The genus Cathorops presented different species delimitation according to the marker and the test ([Fig. 3](#page-9-0)). The COI marker generated a single MOTU for the species C. agassizii and C. spixii in the three tests used. On the other hand, in the Cytb marker, C. agassizii and C. spixii formed a single MOTU in the ASAP test and two MOTUs in the GMYC and PTP tests. Unlike the GMYC and PTP coalescence-based tests, the clades formed from the ASAP test are generated from a pairwise genetic distance matrix (Puillandre, Brouillet $\&$ [Achaz, 2021](#page-21-13)), which resulted in the formation of a single clade for both species analyzed.

Cathorops is a monophyletic group according to morphological and molecular data ([Betancur-R, 2009](#page-17-0); [Marceniuk, Menezes & Britto, 2012a](#page-20-0)). C. agassizii and C. spixii present morphological characteristics that separate the two species ([Marceniuk et al., 2012b](#page-20-9)) ([Fig. 6\)](#page-14-0), however, they present an interspecific distance of 1% with the COI, a value lower than the 2% threshold used to differentiate species ([Hebert & Gregory, 2005](#page-19-10)) and 1.6% with Cytb. Interspecific distances below 2% for the Cytb gene, in species of the genus Cathorops, have already been observed in Cathorops fuerthii and Cathorops maglarensis, which have 1.3% genetic distance in the Cytb gene, and Cathorops mapale and Cathorops wayuu, which have 1.1% distance between them in ATPase 8/6 gene (*[Marceniuk et al., 2019](#page-20-13)*).

Our results showed a low interspecific divergence in the pair of species of the genus Cathorops, but without the sharing of haplotypes for the two markers, which has already been observed in other studies ([Betancur-R et al., 2007](#page-17-10)), which may also be indicative of recent speciation ([Cawthorn, Steinman & Corli Witthuhn, 2011](#page-18-13); [Hou et al., 2018](#page-19-11)), or that it is a single species of "Uricica". This low genetic distance between species of the genus Cathorops results in the similarity of more than 99% of C. agassizii haplotypes to the species *C. spixii* and *C. arenatus* (Tables *S1* and *S2*). Further investigations using nuclear marker libraries should be conducted to elucidate relationships within the genus Cathorops, especially involving C. agassizii, C. spixii and C. arenatus.

COI and Cytb as barcodes for Ariidae

The sampled individuals of N. grandicassis formed a single clade in the concatenated tree ([Fig. 1](#page-7-0)) and in the delimitation tests ([Fig. 3\)](#page-9-0), but they had the highest intraspecific distance $(1.4%)$ among all the individuals we analyzed in this study ([Table S3\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.17581/supp-3). This distance was lower than the one reported by *Guimarães-Costa et al.* (2020), who found a distance of 3.2% for N. grandicassis individuals, resulting in two operational taxonomic units (OTUs). These results indicate the potential presence of cryptic diversity in the Amazon coastal region ([Guimarães-Costa et al., 2020](#page-19-12)), which needs further evaluation along with the other species in the genus Notarius, considering the low genetic diversity between N. grandicassis and Notarius parmocassis, the latter being common on the East coast of Brazil ([Marceniuk](#page-20-10) [et al., 2017b](#page-20-10)).

In our analyses, an overlap of intra and interspecific distances was seen, resulting in the absence of a gap between species, a scenario caused mainly by the clade formed by

N. quadriscutis and N. phrygiatus [\(Fig. 1\)](#page-7-0). Therefore, the COI and Cytb markers are not effective to be DNA Barcode for all Ariidae species, despite well-resolved clades, mainly the genera Bagre and Sciades [\(Fig. 1\)](#page-7-0).

The COI and Cytb genes are commonly used to investigate fish diversity, functioning as a DNA Barcode tool ([Fernandes et al., 2017](#page-18-14); [Bingpeng et al., 2018](#page-17-12); [Wang et al., 2018](#page-23-7); [Gomes](#page-19-3) [et al., 2019](#page-19-3)), and the use of these two markers is linked to the concept of Barcode gap, that is, the greatest intraspecific distance should not exceed the smallest interspecific distance, separating the species ([Meyer & Paulay, 2005](#page-21-15); [Knebelsberger et al., 2014](#page-19-13); [Shen et al., 2016](#page-22-12)).

Unlike COI, the Cytb gene presented itself as a better Barcode for Ariidae fish from the coastal region of the Amazon, presenting higher interspecific distance values ([Table S3\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.17581/supp-3) and forming clades different from *Cathorops* species in the GMYC and PTP tests ([Fig. 3B\)](#page-9-0). The Cytb gene also presented more polymorphic sites between the two species, C. agassizii and C. spixii [\(Fig. 6B\)](#page-14-0). The Cytb gene has been used as a barcode for different groups of fish, successfully identifying species of Flatfishes, Gadoids, Scombridae, Stromateidae, among others ([Sotelo et al., 2001](#page-22-13); [Calo-Mata et al., 2003](#page-17-13); [Chow et al., 2003](#page-18-15); [Wei et al.,](#page-23-5) [2021](#page-23-5)).

To improve the identification of species in complex groups like the genus Cathorops, it may be necessary to adopt Cytb or other new markers instead of the COI gene, which is the most widely used Barcode fragment. The COI gene may not provide enough information to resolve the systematics of these groups. Nuclear markers should also be included to avoid the limitations of ancestral polymorphism in the analyses.

CONCLUSIONS

We conducted a study to examine the diversity of Ariidae in the Amazon coastal area using morphological and molecular methods (COI and Cytb). Most taxa, such as Sciades and Bagre genera, were consistent with their previous morphological identification based on the molecular data. However, C. agassizii and C. spixii, which were also supported by morphology, had low genetic variation and need more data to confirm their status. N. grandicassis had the highest intraspecific distance values, suggesting cryptic diversity within this species. Despite their morphological differences, N. quadriscutis and N. phrygiatus formed a single clade, indicating case of mitochondrial introgression, reinforced by the species' distribution areas.

Our results provided new insights for a group with poorly understood systematics such as marine catfishes from the Ariidae family which limited the effectiveness of mitochondrial markers to distinguish between species. However, for most of the taxa we analyzed, COI and Cytb were efficient as DNA Barcode, especially Cytb, which had more polymorphism and resolution. The molecular tools we used were very effective for discriminating and identifying most species. The unresolved cases are related to the unresolved systematics of the group and require a standardized nuclear marker to identify the species.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

This work was supported by the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), under the Universal Call (process: 439113/2018-0) and from Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES), which provided a doctoral scholarship (88887.494974/2020-00) for Ítalo Lutz. The APC was covered by the Programa de Apoio à Publicação Qualificada (PAPQ)/Universidade Federal do Pará (UFPA). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq): 439113/2018-0. Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES): 88887.494974/ 2020-00.

Programa de Apoio à Publicação Qualificada (PAPQ)/Universidade Federal do Pará (UFPA).

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author Contributions

- . Ítalo Lutz conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- . Thais Martins analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- . Paula Santana analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- . Charles Ferreira analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- . Josy Miranda performed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- . Suane Matos performed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- . Valdemiro Muhala analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- . Iracilda Sampaio conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- . Marcelo Vallinoto conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- . Grazielle Evangelista-Gomes conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.

Animal Ethics

The following information was supplied relating to ethical approvals (i.e., approving body and any reference numbers):

All samples were collected and transported with authorization of the Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade (ICMBio) (SISBIO license n. 12773-1).

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

Sequences are available at GenBank, specifically: The COI sequences: [OR646749](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/OR646749) to [OR646805](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/OR646805), and the Cytb sequences: [OR674791](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/OR674791) to [OR674847.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/OR674847)

The COI sequences are also available at the Barcode of Life Data System (BOLD): [BAGR001-24](https://www.boldsystems.org/index.php/Public_RecordView?processid=BAGR001-24) to [BAGR057-24](https://www.boldsystems.org/index.php/Public_RecordView?processid=BAGR057-24).

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at [http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.17581#supplemental-information) [peerj.17581#supplemental-information](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.17581#supplemental-information).

REFERENCES

- Aljanabi SM, Martinez I. 1997. Universal and rapid salt-extraction of high quality genomic DNA for based techniques. Nucleic Acids Research 25(22):4692–4693 [DOI 10.1093/nar/25.22.4692.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.22.4692)
- Amin M, Shoaib M, Nabi G, Ahmed N, Kifayatullah M. 2016. A comprehensive review on fishery biology of catfishes. Journal of Biology and Life Science 7(1):1–11 [DOI 10.5296/jbls.v7i1.8421](http://dx.doi.org/10.5296/jbls.v7i1.8421).
- Barbosa AJ, Sampaio I, da Silva EM, Alcântara JVL, Santos S. 2020. Molecular authentication by DNA barcoding and multiplex PCR assay reveals mislabeling and commercial fraud of the Acoupa weakfish (Cynoscion acoupa), an economically important sciaenid marketed in Brazil. Food Control 117:107351 [DOI 10.1016/j.foodcont.2020.10735](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2020.10735).
- Barbosa AJ, Sampaio I, Santos S. 2021. Re-visiting the occurrence of mislabeling in frozen pescada-branca (Cynoscion leiarchus and Plagioscion squamosissimus-Sciaenidae) sold in Brazil using DNA barcoding and octaplex PCR assay. Food Research International 143(5):110308 [DOI 10.1016/j.foodres.2021.110308](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2021.110308).
- Barletta M, Blaber SJ. 2007. Comparison of fish assemblages and guilds in tropical habitats of the Embley (Indo-West Pacific) and Caeté (Western Atlantic) estuaries. Bulletin of Marine Science 80(3):647–680.
- Benson D, Cavanaugh M, Clark K, Karsch-Mizrachi I, Lipman DJ, Ostell J, Sayers EW. 2012. GenBank. Nucleic Acids Research 41(D1):D36–D42 [DOI 10.1093/nar/gks1195](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1195).
- Bentes B, Isaac VJ, Espírito-Santo RVD, Frédou T, Almeida MCD, Mourão KRM, Frédou FL. 2012. Multidisciplinary approach to identification of fishery production systems on the northern coast of Brazil. Biota Neotropica 12(1):81–92 [DOI 10.1590/S1676-06032012000100006](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1676-06032012000100006).
- Betancur R, Marceniuk AP, Giarrizzo T, Fredou FL. 2015. Sciades parkeri. The IUCN red list of threatened species 2015. Available at <https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/155018/722547> (accessed 5 July 2023).
- Betancur-R R. 2009. Molecular phylogenetics and evolutionary history of ariid catfishes revisited: a comprehensive sampling. BMC Evolutionary Biology 9(1):1–18 [DOI 10.1186/1471-2148-9-175.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-9-175)
- Betancur-R R, Acero A, Bermingham E, Cooke R. 2007. Systematics and biogeography of New World sea catfishes (Siluriformes: Ariidae) as inferred from mitochondrial, nuclear, and morphological evidence. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 45(1):339–357 [DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2007.02.022.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2007.02.022)
- Betancur-R R, Armbruster JW. 2009. Molecular clocks provide new insights into the evolutionary history of galeichthyine sea catfishes. Evolution 63(5):1232–1243 [DOI 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00640.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00640.x)
- Betancur-R R, Marceniuk AP, Béarez P. 2008. Taxonomic status and redescription of the Gillbacker sea catfish (Siluriformes: Ariidae: Sciades parkeri). Copeia 2008(4):827–834 [DOI 10.1643/CI-07-218.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1643/CI-07-218)
- Bingpeng X, Heshan L, Zhilan Z, Chunguang W, Yanguo W, Jianjun W. 2018. DNA barcoding for identification of fish species in the Taiwan Strait. PLOS ONE 13(6):e0198109 [DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0198109](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0198109).
- Calo-Mata P, Sotelo CG, Pérez-Martín RI, Rehbein H, Hold GL, Russell VJ, Pryde S, Quinteiro J, Rey-Méndez M, Rosa C, Santos AT. 2003. Identification of gadoid fish species

using DNA-based techniques. European Food Research and Technology 217(3):259–264 [DOI 10.1007/s00217-003-0735-y](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00217-003-0735-y).

- Cardoni S, Tenchini R, Ficulle I, Piredda R, Simeone MC, Belfiore C. 2015. DNA barcode assessment of Mediterranean mayflies (Ephemeroptera), benchmark data for a regional reference library for rapid biomonitoring of freshwaters. Biochemical Systematics and Ecology 62(1):36–50 [DOI 10.1016/j.bse.2015.07.035](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bse.2015.07.035).
- Carvalho Neta RNF, Sousa DBP, de Almeida ZDS, Santos DMS, Tchaicka L. 2014. A histopathological and biometric comparison between catfish (Pisces, Ariidae) from a harbor and a protected area, Brazil. Aquatic Biosystems $10(1)!$ -7 [DOI 10.1186/s12999-014-0012-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12999-014-0012-5).
- Cawthorn DM, Steinman HA, Corli Witthuhn R. 2011. Establishment of a mitochondrial DNA sequence database for the identification of fish species commercially available in South Africa. Molecular Ecology Resources 11(6):979–991 [DOI 10.1111/j.1755-0998.2011.03039.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2011.03039.x)
- Chow S, Nohara K, Tanabe T, Itoh T, Tsuji S, Nishikawa Y, Uyeyanagi S, Uchikawa K. 2003. Genetic and morphological identification of larval and small juvenile tunas (Pisces: Scombridae) caught by a mid-water trawl in the western Pacific. Bulletin of Japan Fisheries Research and Education Agency 8:1–14.
- Dalton DL, de Bruyn M, Thompson T, Kotzé A. 2020. Assessing the utility of DNA barcoding in wildlife forensic cases involving South African antelope. Forensic Science International: Reports 2(10):100071 [DOI 10.1016/j.fsir.2020.100071](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fsir.2020.100071).
- Dantas DV, Barletta M, Costa MF, Barbosa‐Cintra SCT, Possatto FE, Ramos JAA, Lima ARA, Saint-Paul U. 2010. Movement patterns of catfishes (Ariidae) in a tropical semi-arid estuary. Journal of Fish Biology 76(10):2540–2557 [DOI 10.1111/j.1095-8649.2010.02646.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2010.02646.x)
- Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D. 2012. jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and high-performance computing. Nature Methods 9(8):772 [DOI 10.1038/nmeth.2109.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2109)
- de Sousa RPC, Bessa-Brito CD, Guimarães-Costa A, Evangelista-Gomes G, Sampaio I, de Oliveira EHC, Vallinoto M. 2022. Exploring the diversity of Elopidae (Teleostei; Elopiformes) using DNA barcoding analysis. Diversity 14(11):1008 [DOI 10.3390/d14111008](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/d14111008).
- Dornburg A, Near TJ. 2021. The emerging phylogenetic perspective on the evolution of actinopterygian fishes. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 52(1):427–452 [DOI 10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-122120-122554](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-122120-122554).
- Drummond AJ, Rambaut A. 2007. BEAST: bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees. BMC Evolutionary Biology 7:214 [DOI 10.1186/1471-2148-7-214.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-7-214)
- Ezard T, Fujisawa T, Barraclough TG. 2009. Splits: species' limits by threshold statistics. R package version, 1(11), r29. Available at <http://R-Forge.R-project.org/projects/splits/> (accessed 20 May 2023).
- Felsenstein J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 39(4):783–791 [DOI 10.1111/j.1558-5646.1985.tb00420.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1985.tb00420.x).
- Fernandes TJ, Costa J, Oliveira MBP, Mafra I. 2017. DNA barcoding coupled to HRM analysis as a new and simple tool for the authentication of Gadidae fish species. Food Chemistry 230:49–57 [DOI 10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.03.015](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.03.015).
- Ferraris CJ. 2007. Checklist of catfishes, recent and fossil (Osteichthyes: Siluriformes), and catalogue of siluriform primary types. Zootaxa 1418(1):1–628 [DOI 10.11646/zootaxa.1418.1.1.](http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.1418.1.1)
- Floyd R, Abebe E, Papert A, Blaxter M. 2002. Molecular barcodes for soil nematode identification. Molecular Ecology 11(4):839–850 [DOI 10.1046/j.1365-294X.2002.01485.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.2002.01485.x).
- Fricke R, Eschmeyer W, Fong JD, Fricke R. 2023. Eschmeyer's catalog of fishes. Available at <https://researcharchive.calacademy.org/research/ichthyology/catalog/SpeciesByFamily.asp> (accessed 29 May 2023).
- Gilio-Dias SMC, Machado R, Miranda TM, Kubo RR. 2020. Artisanal fisherfolk's Local Ecological Knowledge on catfish and fishing legislations: a necessary dialog. Ambiente & Sociedade 23(4):509 [DOI 10.1590/1809-4422asoc20180333r2vu2020L6AO.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1809-4422asoc20180333r2vu2020L6AO)
- Gomes G, Correa R, Veneza I, da Silva R, da Silva D, Miranda J, Sampaio I. 2019. Forensic analysis reveals fraud in fillets from the "Gurijuba" Sciades parkeri (Ariidae–Siluriformes): a vulnerable fish in brazilian coastal Amazon. Mitochondrial DNA Part A: DNA Mapping, Sequencing, and Analysis 30(5):721–729 [DOI 10.1080/24701394.2019.1622694](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/24701394.2019.1622694).
- González-Castellano I, González-López J, González-Tizón AM, Martínez-Lage A. 2020. Genetic diversity and population structure of the rockpool shrimp Palaemon elegans based on microsatellites: evidence for a cryptic species and differentiation across the Atlantic-Mediterranean transition. Scientific Reports 10:10784 [DOI 10.1038/s41598-020-67824-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-67824-7).
- Guimarães-Costa A, Machado FS, Reis-Filho JA, Andrade M, Araújo RG, Corrêa EMR, Sampaio I, Giarrizzo T. 2020. DNA Barcoding for the assessment of the taxonomy and conservation status of the fish bycatch of the northern Brazilian shrimp trawl fishery. Frontiers in Marine Science 7:566021 [DOI 10.3389/fmars.2020.566021.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.566021)
- Hall TA. 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. In: In Nucleic Acids Symposium Series, Vol. 41. London: Information Retrieval Ltd., c1979-c2000, 95–98.
- Hebert PD, Gregory TR. 2005. The promise of DNA barcoding for taxonomy. Systematic Biology 54(5):852–859 [DOI 10.1080/10635150500354886](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10635150500354886).
- Hou G, Chen WT, Lu HS, Cheng F, Xie SG. 2018. Developing a DNA barcode library for perciform fishes in the South China Sea: species identification, accuracy and cryptic diversity. Molecular Ecology Resources 18(1):137–146 [DOI 10.1111/1755-0998.12718.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12718)
- Jimenez ÉA, Barboza RSL, da Silva Garcia J, da Silva Correa EC, Amaral MT, Frédou FL. 2021. International trade of Amazon fish byproducts: threats and opportunities for coastal livelihoods. Ocean & Coastal Management 212(1):105812 [DOI 10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2021.105812](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2021.105812).
- Kailola PJ. 2004. A phylogenetic exploration of the catfish family Ariidae (Otophysi; Siluriformes). The Beagle Records of the Museums and Art Galleries of the Northern Territory 20(1):87–166 [DOI 10.5962/p.286323.](http://dx.doi.org/10.5962/p.286323)
- Kailola PJ, Bussing WA. 1995. Ariidae. Bagres marinos. In: Fischer W, Krupp F, Schneider W, Sommer C, Carpenter KE, Niem V, eds. Guia FAO para Identification de Especies para los Fines de la Pesca. Pacifico Centro-Oriental. Rome: FAO, 860–886.
- Knebelsberger T, Landi M, Neumann H, Kloppmann M, Sell AF, Campbell PD, Laakmann S, Raupach MJ, Carvalho GR, Costa FO. 2014. A reliable DNA barcode reference library for the identification of the North European shelf fish fauna. Molecular Ecology Resources 14(5):1060–1071 [DOI 10.1111/1755-0998.12238](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12238).
- Librado P, Rozas J. 2009. DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data. Bioinformatics 25(11):1451–1452 [DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp187.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp187)
- Louro M, Kuzmina TA, Bredtmann CM, Diekmann I, de Carvalho LMM, von Samson-Himmelstjerna G, Krücken J. 2021. Genetic variability, cryptic species and phylogenetic relationship of six cyathostomin species based on mitochondrial and nuclear sequences. Scientific Reports 11(1):8245 [DOI 10.1038/s41598-021-87500-8.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-87500-8)
- Lutz Í, Martins T, Araújo F, Ferreira C, Santana P, Miranda J, Matos S, Sousa J, Pereira L, Bentes B, da Silva R, Veneza I, Sampaio I, Vallinoto M, Gomes GE, Schubert M. 2023a. Molecular characterization of juvenile fish from the Amazon estuary using DNA barcoding approach. PLOS ONE 18(9):e0292232 [DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0292232.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0292232)
- Lutz Í, Miranda J, Martins T, Santana P, Ferreira C, Muhala V, Sampaio I, Vallinoto M, Evangelista Gomes G. 2023b. A multiplex PCR forensic protocol for the molecular certification of sea catfishes (Ariidae–Siluriformes) from coastal Amazon, Brazil. Microchemical Journal 195(6):109417 [DOI 10.1016/j.microc.2023.109417](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2023.109417).
- Marceniuk AP. 2003. Relações filogenéticas e revisão dos Gêneros da Família Ariidae (Ostariophysi, Siluriformes). Thesis, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil.
- Marceniuk AP. 2005. Redescrição de Genidens barbus (Lacépede, 1803) e Genidens machadoi (Miranda-Ribeiro, 1918), bagres marinhos (Siluriformes, Ariidae) do Atlântico sul ocidental. Papéis Avulsos de Zoologia (São Paulo) 45(11):111–125 [DOI 10.1590/S0031-10492005001100001.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0031-10492005001100001)
- Marceniuk AP. 2007. Revalidação de Cathorops arenatus e Cathorops agassizii (Siluriformes, Ariidae), bagres marinhos das regiões norte e nordeste da América do Sul. Iheringia. Série Zoologia 97(4):360–375 [DOI 10.1590/S0073-47212007000400002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0073-47212007000400002).
- Marceniuk AP, Betancur-R R, Acero PA, Muriel-Cunha J. 2012b. Review of the genus Cathorops (Siluriformes: Ariidae) from the Caribbean and Atlantic South America, with description of a new species. Copeia 2012(1):77–97 [DOI 10.1643/CI-10-202](http://dx.doi.org/10.1643/CI-10-202).
- Marceniuk AP, Birindelli JL. 2010. Morphology of the gas bladder in sea catfishes (Siluriformes: Ariidae). Zootaxa 2579(1):59–68.
- Marceniuk AP, Burlamaqui TCT, Oliveira C, Carneiro J, Soares BE, Sales JBDL. 2019. Incipient speciation, driven by distinct environmental conditions, in the marine catfishes of the genus Aspistor (Siluriformes, Ariidae), from the Atlantic coast of South America. Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research 57(2):400–417 [DOI 10.1111/jzs.12261](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jzs.12261).
- Marceniuk AP, Caires RA, Carvalho-Filho A, Rotundo MM, Santos WCR, Klautau AGCM. 2021. Peixes teleósteos da Costa Norte do Brasil. Santa CatarinaRevista CEPSUL-Biodiversidade e Conservação. Marinha: Santa Catarina, e2021006.
- Marceniuk AP, Caires RA, Rotundo MM, Alcantara RAK, Wosiacki WB. 2017a. The icthyofauna (Teleostei) of the Rio Caeté estuary, northeast Pará, Brazil, with a species identification key from northern Brazilian coast. Pan-American Journal of Aquatic Sciences 12(1):31–79.
- Marceniuk AP, Menezes NA. 2007. Systematics of the family Ariidae (Ostariophysi, Siluriformes), with a redefinition of the genera. Zootaxa $1416(1)$:1–126 [DOI 10.11646/zootaxa.1416.1.1.](http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.1416.1.1)
- Marceniuk AP, Menezes NA, Britto MR. 2012a. Phylogenetic analysis of the family Ariidae (Ostariophysi: Siluriformes), with a hypothesis on the monophyly and relationships of the genera. Zoological Journal of The Linnean Society 165(3):534–669 [DOI 10.1111/j.1096-3642.2012.00822.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.2012.00822.x)
- Marceniuk AP, Oliveira C, Ferraris CJ Jr. 2023. A new classification of the family Ariidae (Osteichthyes: Ostariophysi: Siluriformes) based on combined analyses of morphological and molecular data. Zoological Journal of The Linnean Society zlad078(2):426–476 [DOI 10.1093/zoolinnean/zlad078.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/zoolinnean/zlad078)
- Marceniuk AP, Oliveira C, Sales JBL, Betancur-R R. 2022. The marine catfishes of the genus Bagre (Siluriformes; Ariidae) from the Western Atlantic. Marine Biodiversity 52(1):12 [DOI 10.1007/s12526-021-01238-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12526-021-01238-0).
- Marceniuk AP, Siccha-Ramirez R, Barthem RB, Wosiacki WB. 2017b. Redescription of Notarius grandicassis and Notarius parmocassis (Siluriformes; Ariidae), with insights into morphological plasticity and evidence of incipient speciation. Systematics and Biodiversity 15(3):274–289 [DOI 10.1080/14772000.2016.1256916](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14772000.2016.1256916).
- Martins UR. 1994. Coleção taxonômica. In: Fundamentos práticos de taxonomia zoológica: coleções, bibliografia, nomenclatura. São Paulo, Brazil: Universidade Estadual Paulista, 19–43, 1994.
- Martins TS, da Silva RDF, Veneza IB, Santana PCP, Correa RR, Brígida NCNS, Gonçalves JS, da Silva RCM, de Mesquita DC, Lutz ÍAF, Evangelista Gomes GF. 2021b. Diversity and abundance of commercialized fish in northeastern Pará, coastal amazon: the case of the street market in Bragança-pa. Arquivos de Ciências do Mar 54(1):27–43 [DOI 10.32360/acmar.v54i1.43960](http://dx.doi.org/10.32360/acmar.v54i1.43960).
- Martins T, Santana P, Lutz Í, da Silva R, Guimarães-Costa A, Vallinoto M, Sampaio I, Evangelista-Gomes G. 2021a. Intensive commercialization of endangered sharks and rays (Elasmobranchii) along the coastal Amazon as revealed by DNA barcode. Frontiers in Marine Science 8:769908 [DOI 10.3389/fmars.2021.769908.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.769908)
- Mazlan AG, Abdullah S, Shariman MG, Arshad A. 2008. On the biology and bioacoustic characteristic of spotted catfish Arius maculatus (Thunberg 1792) from the Malaysian Estuary. Research Journal of Fisheries and Hydrobiology 3(2):63–70.
- Meyer CP, Paulay G. 2005. DNA barcoding: error rates based on comprehensive sampling. PLOS Biology 3(12):e422 [DOI 10.1371/journal.pbio.0030422](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0030422).
- Miller MA, Pfeiffer W, Schwartz T. 2010. Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. In: Proceedings of the Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE), New Orleans, LA, 1–8 [DOI 10.1109/GCE.2010.5676129.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/GCE.2010.5676129)
- Nelson JS, Grande TC, Wilson MV. 2016. Fishes of the world. New Jersey, US: John Wiley & Sons.
- Ng HH. 2012. The ariid catfishes of Singapore. Nature in Singapore 5:211–222.
- Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Molecular Biology and Evolution 32(1):268–274 [DOI 10.1093/molbev/msu300.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300)
- Paithankar KR, Prasad KS. 1991. Precipitation of DNA by polyethylene glycol and ethanol. Nucleic Acids Research 19(6):1346 [DOI 10.1093/nar/19.6.1346](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/19.6.1346).
- Palacios-Barreto P, Cruz VP, Foresti F, Rangel BS, Uribe-Alcocer M, Diaz-Jaimes P. 2017. Molecular evidence supporting the expansion of the geographical distribution of the Brazilian cownose ray Rhinoptera brasiliensis (Myliobatiformes: Rhinopteridae) in the western Atlantic. Zootaxa 4341(4):593–600 [DOI 10.11646/zootaxa.4341.4.11.](http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4341.4.11)
- Pons J, Barraclough TG, Gomez-Zurita J, Cardoso A, Duran DP, Hazell S, Kamoun S, Sumlin WD, Vogler AP, Hedin M. 2006. Sequence-based species delimitation for the DNA taxonomy of undescribed insects. Systematic Biology 55(4):595–609 [DOI 10.1080/10635150600852011](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10635150600852011).
- Puillandre N, Brouillet S, Achaz G. 2021. ASAP: assemble species by automatic partitioning. Molecular Ecology Resources 21(2):609–620 [DOI 10.1111/1755-0998.13281.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13281)
- Rambaut A, Drummond AJ. 2009. Tracer v1.5. Available at <http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer> (accessed 18 December 2023).
- Ratnasingham S, Hebert PD. 2007. BOLD: the barcode of life data system (http://www. barcodinglife.org). Molecular Ecology Notes 7(3):355–364 [DOI 10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01678.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01678.x)
- R Core Team. 2023. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R program version 4.3.0. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available at [https://cran.r](https://cran.r-project.org/bin/windows/base/)[project.org/bin/windows/base/](https://cran.r-project.org/bin/windows/base/) (accessed 15 June 2023).
- Ronco F, Büscher HH, Indermaur A, Salzburger W. 2020. The taxonomic diversity of the cichlid fish fauna of ancient Lake Tanganyika, East Africa. Journal of Great Lakes Research 46(5):1067–1078 [DOI 10.1016/j.jglr.2019.05.009.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2019.05.009)
- Ronquist F, Teslenko M, Van Der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP. 2012. MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Systematic Biology 61(3):539–542 [DOI 10.1093/sysbio/sys029.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029)
- Sanches D, Martins T, Lutz Í, Veneza I, Silva RD, Araújo F, Muriel-Cunha J, Sampaio I, Garcia M, Sousa LM, Gomes G. 2021. Mitochondrial DNA suggests Hybridization in Freshwater Stingrays Potamotrygon (POTAMOTRYGONIDAE: MYLIOBATIFORMES) from the Xingu river, Amazonia and reveals speciation in Paratrygon aireba. Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências 93(3):e20191325 [DOI 10.1590/0001-3765202120191325](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0001-3765202120191325).
- Sanger F, Nicklen S, Coulson AR. 1977. DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 74(12):5463–5467 [DOI 10.1073/pnas.74.12.5463.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.74.12.5463)
- Santana P, Martins T, Miranda J, Lutz Í, da Silva R, Mesquita D, Martins R, Veneza I, Vallinoto M, Sampaio I, Gomes GE. 2023. Teleost fish trade in the Coastal Amazon: DNA Barcode reveals occurrence of threatened species and hidden diversity by category. Scientific Reports 13(1):19749 [DOI 10.1038/s41598-023-47063-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-47063-2).
- Sevilla RG, Diez A, Norén M, Mouchel O, Jérôme M, Verrez-Bagnis V, Pelt HV, Krey LF, Krey G, The Fishtrace Consortium, Bautista JM. 2007. Primers and polymerase chain reaction conditions for DNA barcoding teleost fish based on the mitochondrial cytochrome b and nuclear rhodopsin genes. Molecular Ecology Notes 7(5):730–734 [DOI 10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01863.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01863.x)
- Shen Y, Guan L, Wang D, Gan X. 2016. DNA barcoding and evaluation of genetic diversity in Cyprinidae fish in the midstream of the Yangtze River. Ecology and Evolution 6(9):2702–2713 [DOI 10.1002/ece3.2060](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2060).
- Sotelo CG, Calo-Mata P, Chapela MJ, Pérez-Martín RI, Rehbein H, Hold GL, Russell VJ, Pryde S, Quinteiro J, Izquierdo M, Rey-Méndez M, Rosa C, Santos AT. 2001. Identification of flatfish (Pleuronectiforme) species using DNA-based techniques. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 49(10):4562–4569 [DOI 10.1021/jf010452a](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jf010452a).
- Sullivan JP, Lundberg JG, Hardman M. 2006. A phylogenetic analysis of the major groups of catfishes (Teleostei: Siluriformes) using rag1 and rag2 nuclear gene sequences. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 41(3):636–662 [DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2006.05.044.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2006.05.044)
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Kumar S. 2021. MEGA11: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 11. Molecular Biology and Evolution 38(7):3022–3027 [DOI 10.1093/molbev/msab120.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msab120)
- Tang Q, Deng L, Luo Q, Duan Q, Wang X, Zhang R. 2023. DNA barcoding of fish species diversity in Guizhou, China. Diversity 15(2):203 [DOI 10.3390/d15020203.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/d15020203)
- Taylor WR, Menezes NA. 1978. Ariidae. In: Fischer W, ed. FAO Species Identification Sheets for Fishery Purposes. West Atlantic (Fishing Area 31). Rome: FAO.
- Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ. 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Research 22(22):4673–4680 [DOI 10.1093/nar/22.22.4673](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/22.22.4673).
- Towns J, Cockerill T, Dahan M, Foster I, Gaither K, Grimshaw A, Hazlewood V, Lathrop S, Lifka D, Peterson GD, Roskies R, Scott JR, Wilkins-Diehr N. 2014. XSEDE: accelerating scientific discovery. Computing in Science & Engineering 16(5):62-74 [DOI 10.1109/MCSE.2014.80.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2014.80)
- van Staden M, Ebert DA, Gennari E, Leslie RW, McCord ME, Parkinson M, Watson RGA, Wintner S, Silva C, Merwe AEB. 2023. Molecular taxonomy of South Africa's catsharks: how far have we come? Diversity 15(7):828 [DOI 10.3390/d15070828](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/d15070828).
- Veneza I, Silva R, Freitas L, Silva S, Martins K, Sampaio I, Schneider H, Gomes G. 2018. Molecular authentication of Pargo fillets Lutjanus purpureus (Perciformes: Lutjanidae) by DNA barcoding reveals commercial fraud. Neotropical Ichthyology 16(1):3389 [DOI 10.1590/1982-0224-20170068](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1982-0224-20170068).
- Vilgalys R, Hester M. 1990. Rapid genetic identification and mapping of enzymatically amplified ribosomal DNA from several Cryptococcus species. Journal of Bacteriology 172(8):4238–4246 [DOI 10.1128/jb.172.8.4238-4246.1990](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/jb.172.8.4238-4246.1990).
- Wang L, Wu Z, Liu M, Liu W, Zhao W, Liu H, You F. 2018. DNA barcoding of marine fish species from Rongcheng Bay, China. PeerJ 6:e5013 [DOI 10.7717/peerj.5013.](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.5013)
- Ward RD, Zemlak TS, Innes BH, Last PR, Hebert PDN. 2005. DNA barcode Australia's fish species. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 360(1462):1847–1857 [DOI 10.1098/rstb.2005.1716](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2005.1716).
- Wei J, Wu R, Xiao Y, Zhang H, Jawad LA, Wang Y, Liu J, Al-Mukhtar MA. 2021. Validity of Pampus liuorum Liu & Li, 2013, Revealed by the DNA Barcoding of Pampus Fishes (Perciformes, Stromateidae). Diversity 13(12):618 [DOI 10.3390/d13120618.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/d13120618)
- Wickham H, Chang W, Wickham MH. 2022. Package 'ggplot2'. Create elegant data visualizations using the grammar of graphics. Available at [https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ggplot2/](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ggplot2/index.html) [index.html](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ggplot2/index.html).
- Zhang J, Kapli P, Pavlidis P, Stamatakis A. 2013. A general species delimitation method with applications to phylogenetic placements. Bioinformatics 29(22):2869–2876 [DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/btt499.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt499)