

Determining the plant-pollinator network in a culturally significant food and medicine garden in the Great Lakes region

Shelby D. Gibson¹, Thomas M. Onuferko^{2,3}, Lisa Myers⁴ & Sheila R. Colla⁴

¹ Department of Biology, York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

² Department of Biological Sciences, University of Toronto Scarborough, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

³ Canadian Museum of Nature, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

⁴ Faculty of Environmental and Urban Change, York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Corresponding Author:

Shelby Gibson¹

102 Life Sciences Building, Toronto, Ontario, M3J 1P3, Canada

Email address: shelbydgibson@gmail.com

Abstract

Understanding the interactions between plants and pollinators within a system can provide information about pollination requirements and the degree to which species contribute to floral reproductive success. Past research has focused largely on interactions within monocultured agricultural systems and only somewhat on wild pollination networks. This study focuses on the culturally significant Three Sisters Garden, which has been grown and tended by Anishinaabe and Haudenosaunee peoples for generations. Here, the plant-pollinator network of the traditional Three Sisters Garden with the inclusion of some additional culturally significant plants was mapped. Important visitors in this system included the common eastern bumble bee, *Bombus impatiens* Cresson (Hymenoptera: Apidae), and the hoary squash bee, *Eucera Xenoglossa pruinosa* (Say) (Hymenoptera: Apidae), as determined by their abundances and pollinator service index (PSI) values. Understanding the important key pollinators in the Three Sisters Garden links biological diversity to cultural diversity through the pollination of culturally significant plants. Further, this information could be of use in supporting Indigenous food sovereignty by providing information knowledge about which wild pollinators could be supported to increase fruit and seed set within the Three Sisters Garden. Our findings can also lead to more effective conservation of important wild pollinator species.

Commented [SW1]: My understanding is that the Anishinaabe do not consider themselves a traditionally agricultural peoples. Better check this with an Anishinaabe elder.

Introduction

Pollination is a mutualistic interaction between two levels of the food web—plants and their pollinators (Jordano, 1987; Carvalheiro et al. 2008; Ings et al. 2009; [Willis Chan and Raine, 2023](#)). Network theory has been used in the evaluation of mutualistic interactions, and the interactions are cumulatively referred to as a plant-pollinator network (Jordano, 1987; Ings et al. 2009; Kaiser-Bunbury and Blüthgen, 2015; Jolls et al. 2019). While plant-pollinator network characteristics (such as asymmetry and nestedness) (Bascompte et al. 2003; Bascompte et al. 2006; Montoya et al. 2006) make them theoretically robust, there is potential for anthropogenically driven environmental disturbance to eventually collapse plant-pollinator networks (Kearns et al. 1998; Potts et al. 2010; Brosi and Briggs, 2013; Tucker and Rehan, 2016; [Tscharntke 2021](#)).

Plant-pollinator networks are negatively impacted by various factors including habitat fragmentation and land use changes (Spiesman and Inouye, 2013), conventional agricultural practices (e.g., pesticides), non-native species introductions (Kearns and Inouye, 1998), and [increasingly](#) climate change (Memmott et al. 2007). Documenting these mutualisms and modeling how they respond to change are integral to the conservation and restoration of ecological networks (Memmott, 2009; Kaiser-Bunbury and Blüthgen, 2015; Tucker and Rehan, 2016). Studying plant-pollinator networks helps to fill in baseline information about the [ecological](#) role of wild bees and to understand the stability and/or resiliency of the network to environmental change (Tucker and Rehan, 2016). The loss of even a single species can have significant effects on reproductive success of the plants within a system (Brosi and Briggs, 2013). [Declines of pollinators within a network can contribute to negative feedback of less floral reproduction, which then in turn contributes to fewer resources for pollinators \(Tscharntke, 2021\)](#). Wild pollinators ~~are providing~~ significant levels of pollination services to crops (Garibaldi et al. 2011), yet the details [\(e.g., the level of pollinator abundance or diversity required to provide adequate pollination\)](#) of these relationships remain relatively unknown (Kovacs-Hostyanszki 2017; Danforth et al. 2019). [Pollination deficits are a threat to global food security \(Tscharntke 2021\)](#).

[There have been efforts recently to increase food production without increasing the level of environmental harm from agriculture \(Pretty 2014; Tscharntke 2021; Ramirez and Wright 2023\)](#). Intercropping is a practice that may ~~have the ability to~~ increase yield and promote sustainable land and resource use (Tscharntke 2021; Ramirez and Wright, 2023). [Intercropping has also been suggested as a method of reducing agricultural causes of pollinator decline \(Kovacs-Hostyanszki 2017; Tscharntke 2021\)](#). The Three Sisters method of cultivation is a polyculture practice (intercropping) involving the growth of multiple crops simultaneously (Eames-Sheavly, 1993; Kuepper et al. 2016).

[Archaeobotanical remnants in the forests and prairies of Canada show evidence of corn domestication as early as 500 A.D. \(Boyd and Surette, 2010\) and common bean and squash cultivation in the Woodland period \(1000 B.C.--1000 A.D.\) \(Boyd et al. 2014\)](#). [The Three Sisters was grown for 500 years pre-contact by the Seneca people in western New York and was](#)

referred to as “Diohe’ko”, which translates to “these sustain us” (Lewandowski, 1987). The Haudenosaunee people (people of the long house) of the Eastern United States and Canada have traditionally planted the Three Sisters Garden (Eames-Sheavly, 1993). Broadly, it has been reported that the Three Sisters was grown by all tribes who practiced agriculture in northeastern North America (Lewandowski, 1987).

This study aims to better understand the pollinator community and plant-pollinator network in a Three Sisters Garden (TSG). The Three Sisters Garden is composed of corn (*Zea mays* L. (Poales: Poaceae)), common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L. (Fabales: Fabaceae)), and squash (*Cucurbita* L. sp. (Cucurbitales: Cucurbitaceae)) (Boyd et al. 2014).

Cucurbita plants (pumpkins, squash, gourds) are monoicous and rely on insect pollination; each plant has both pistillate (female) and staminate (male) flowers (Stapleton et al. 2000; Whitaker and Davis, 2012; Brochu et al. 2021, Willis Chan and Raine, 2021). Flowers open at dawn and close by noon each day, and pollination must occur within this window (Nepi and Pacini, 1993, Willis Chan and Raine, 2021). *EuceraXenoglossa pruinosa* is an oligolectic bee species, foraging only on the flowers of *Cucurbita* crops (Hurd and Linsley, 1964; Willis Chan 2020; Brochu et al. 2021). The hoary squash bee’s natural geographic range has increased over the past 1000 years following the spread of squash planting for agricultural purposes (Brochu et al. 2021). Domesticated squash has been receiving pollination by wild pollinators prior to the introduction of the western honey bee, *Apis mellifera* L. (Hymenoptera: Apidae) (Lopez-Urbe et al. 2016). *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. (Fabaceae) is self-compatible, i.e., able to self-pollinate as the flower opens (Ibarra-Perez et al. 1999, de Souza Paulino et al. 2023). It is also noted, however, that the reproductive success of the plant (seed yield) can be increased by visits by larger bees (e.g., carpenter bees, bumble bees, etc.) (Ibarra-Perez et al. 1999). *Phaseolus coccineus* has been found to set few pods without the presence of insect visitors (Darwin, 1876, Free, 1966, Free and Racey 1968, Kendall and Smith, 1976). *Zea mays* is wind pollinated and therefore does not rely on insects for pollination; however, insects may visit the flowers (Johnson and Hayes, 1932).

In some cases, sunflowers would be grown along one side of the Three Sisters Garden (Kuepper et al. 2016); it has been reported that this was done to attract pollinators to the garden (Native Seeds Search, 2020; Rodale Institute, 2020). Other plants, including Hopi tobacco (*Nicotiana rustica* L. (Solanales: Solanaceae)), purple coneflower (*Echinacea purpurea* L.) Moench (Asterales: Asteraceae)), common milkweed (*Asclepias syriaca* L. (Gentianales: Apocynaceae)), wild bergamot (*Monarda fistulosa* L. (Lamiales: Lamiaceae)), Oswego tea/bee balm (*Monarda didyma* L.), and American vervain (*Verbena hastata* L. (Lamiales: Verbenaceae)), are also planted in some food and medicine gardens (Our Sustenance, 2020; PAN and TRCA, 2020).

The Three Sisters Garden (TSG) is a growing method with long biological and cultural roots, and medicine plants are important to many Indigenous cultures (Densmore, 1928; Lewington, 1990; Padulosi et al. 2004; Genuisz, 2015; PAN and TRCA, 2020). A better understanding of the pollinator community and plant-pollinator network in the Three Sisters

Commented [SW2]: This is not strictly true. They forage on wild *Cucurbita* where those plants are present. However across much of the bee species range, it is true that they depend upon *Cucurbita* crops.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Commented [SW3]: And it provides little, if any, nectar

Formatted: Font: Italic

Commented [SW4]: There are much more recent papers to cite here. Consider Wheelock et al. 2016 doi: 10.1093/ee/nvw087 and doi: 10.137/journal.pone.0143479 and Rondeau et al. (2022) doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2022.943237

Formatted: English (United States)

Garden will provide information about the wildlife that provides ecological services to this kind of garden and thus the pollinators that are connected to Indigenous food and medicine sovereignty. Intercropping also offers a sustainable agricultural practice that may be useful, specifically in urban agriculture (Ramirez and Wright, 2023).

The objective of this study is to map the plant-pollinator network in the culturally significant Three Sisters garden and determine if and how the pollinator community in the garden differs from the local wild pollinator community based on pan-trap sampling in adjacent, natural sites and in the context of other regional studies. These baseline conditions will be useful for predicting how the Three Sisters Garden system may be impacted by environmental change into the future.

Human societies across the globe have developed in concert with the local landscape, including particular plant and animal species (Lewington, 1990). In the geopolitical nation now called Canada, various Indigenous nations have long-standing connected relationships with the land (Turner, 1973; Hellson, 1974; Arnanson, 1981; Marles et al. 2000; Uprety et al. 2012; Turner et al. 2013; Brokenleg and Ternes, 2013; Genuisz, 2015). For the Ojibway people situated near the Great Lakes, plants are a part of living *Mino bimaadizi*, or the good life (Densmore, 1928). Plants are regarded as sacred by the Ojibway due to their connection to nourishment for and healing of the body (Densmore, 1928).

Many Indigenous communities are experiencing a dramatic loss of their cultural practices, including traditional stories, land use and language (Brokenleg and Ternes, 2013; Reyes-García et al. 2013). These losses have been found to be intertwined with biological losses on the landscape, e.g., if a plant is no longer present, the word for it is eroded out of language and social memory (Davidson-Hunt and Berkes, 2003). Whereas it is through these means that cultural diversity and biological diversity can be lost, biocultural diversity can be conserved in tandem with biodiversity through food (Hill et al. 2019). At the intersection of environmental protection and Indigenous reconciliation is food sovereignty, where the rights of people to define and control their own food production systems are supported and valued (Armstrong, 2020; Settee and Shukla, 2020). Achieving food security and food sovereignty will require Indigenous peoples to have access to, and the right to grow, their culturally appropriate foods (Armstrong, 2020; Settee and Shukla, 2020). The UN Sustainable Development Goal #2 is to end hunger, achieve food security and improve nutrition, and promote sustainable agriculture (UN, 2023). Target 2.3 refers to increasing agricultural productivity of small-scale farmers and Indigenous peoples (UN, 2023). Target 2.4 refers to increasing agricultural systems that maintain ecosystems, mitigate climate change, and increase soil health (UN, 2023). These targets highlight the importance of studying the relationship between culturally significant plant systems and pollinators to better understand how to manage these systems.

This study aims to better understand the pollinator community and plant-pollinator network in a Three Sisters Garden. The Three Sisters Garden is composed of corn (*Zea mays* L. (Poales: Poaceae)), common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L. (Fabales: Fabaceae)), and squash (*Cucurbita* L. sp. (Cucurbitales: Cucurbitaceae)) (Boyd et al. 2014). Archaeobotanical remnants

in the forests and prairies of Canada show evidence of corn domestication as early as 500 A.D. (Boyd and Surette, 2010) and common bean and squash cultivation in the Woodland period (1000 B.C.—1000 A.D.) (Boyd et al. 2014). The Three Sisters was grown for 500 years pre-contact by the Seneca people in western New York and was referred to as “Diohe'ko”, which translates to “these sustain us” (Lewandowski, 1987). The Haudenosaunee people (people of the long house) (referred to as Iroquois by settlers) of eastern United States and Canada have traditionally planted the Three Sisters Garden (Eames-Sheavly, 1993). Broadly, it has been reported that the Three Sisters was grown by all tribes who practiced agriculture in northeastern North America (Lewandowski, 1987).

Domesticated squash has been receiving pollination by wild pollinators prior to the introduction of the western honey bee, *Apis mellifera* L. (Hymenoptera: Apidae) (Lopez-Urbe et al. 2016). The Three Sisters method of cultivation is a polyculture practice (intercropping) involving the growth of multiple crops simultaneously (Eames-Sheavly, 1993; Kuepper et al. 2016). In some cases, sunflowers would be grown along one side of the Three Sisters Garden (Kuepper et al. 2016); while not explicitly stated in the scientific literature, it has been reported elsewhere that this was done to attract pollinators to the garden (Native Seeds Search, 2020; Rodale Institute, 2020). Each plant brings a valuable service to the garden: the corn provides long stalks for the beans to climb, the beans fix nitrogen in the soil, and the squash shades the soil to suppress weeds and retain moisture (Eames-Sheavly, 1993; Kuepper et al. 2016). This ecological agriculture method also discourages pests with the diverse cropping method (Eames-Sheavly, 1993). It has also been reported that growing the Three Sisters Garden has higher yields than growing the same crops in rows on the same land (Creasy, 1988; Mt. Pleasant, 2001; Elliot, 2004; Trotman-Martinez, 2007). The “Three Sisters” when eaten together also provide a balanced meal nutritionally (Lewandowski, 1987; Mt. Pleasant, 2001; Trotman-Martinez, 2007).

Other culturally important plants, including Hopi tobacco (*Nicotiana rustica* L. (Solanales: Solanaceae)), purple coneflower (*Echinacea purpurea* (L.) Moench (Asterales: Asteraceae)), common milkweed (*Asclepias syriaca* L. (Gentianales: Apocynaceae)), baby-saver plant/wild bergamot (*Monarda fistulosa* L. (Lamiales: Lamiaceae)), Oswego tea/bee balm (*Monarda didyma* L.), and American vervain (*Verbena hastata* L. (Lamiales: Verbenaceae)), are also planted in some Indigenous food and medicine gardens, particularly of Haudenosaunee and Anishinaabe peoples (Our Sustenance, 2020; PAN and TRCA, 2020). Traditionally, some of these plants would have been gathered growing in the wild (Densmore, 1928; Genuisz, 2015); now, they are also grown in representative gardens showcasing and supporting culturally significant plants and diversified growing methods (PAN and TRCA, 2020). Hopi tobacco is a culturally significant plant sacred to Anishinaabe people and other North American Indigenous peoples and is used in daily life to give thanks and to carry prayers to the Creator and is also used at many ceremonies and events (Struthers & Hodge, 2004; Brokenleg & Ternes, 2013; Sadik, 2014; Genuisz, 2015). Oswego tea has medicinal and stimulant properties but is also used as a drinking tea, while baby-saver plant is used more commonly for its medicinal purposes, such as for infants with colic, by the Anishinaabe (Genuisz, 2015). The Ojibway people used common

199 milkweed for medicinal purposes (women's health), as food, and in charms, and American
200 vervain for medicine and treating ailments (e.g., nosebleeds) (Densmore, 1928). The Three
201 Sisters Garden is a growing method with long biological and cultural roots, and medicine plants
202 are important to many Indigenous cultures (Densmore, 1928; Lewington, 1990; Padulosi et al.
203 2004; Genuisz, 2015; PAN and TRCA, 2020). A better understanding of the pollinator
204 community and plant-pollinator network in the Three Sisters Garden will provide information
205 about the wildlife that provides ecological services to this kind of garden and thus the pollinators
206 that are connected to Indigenous food and medicine sovereignty.

207 The objective of this study is to map the plant-pollinator network in the culturally
208 significant Three Sisters garden and determine if and how the pollinator community in the
209 garden differs from the local wild pollinator community based on pan-trap sampling in adjacent,
210 natural sites and in the context of other regional studies.

211 212 **Materials & Methods**

213 214 *Study Sites and Land Acknowledgment*

215
216 This research was undertaken on the traditional territories of multiple First Nations. The
217 sites located in Tkaranto, including the campus of York University, are located on the
218 traditional territory of the Anishinabek Nation, the Haudenosaunee Confederacy, the Huron-
219 Wendat, and the Métis. The current treaty holders in this location are the Mississaugas of the
220 New Credit First Nation, and the land is subject to the Dish with One Spoon Wampum Belt
221 Covenant. The eastern Ontario sites are located on unceded Algonquin territory and are subject
222 to Treaty 27 and Treaty 27 1/4. We acknowledge the generations of caretaking of the land by the
223 many Indigenous peoples who have and still do call these places home. Plot A is located in
224 Arnprior, Ontario, Plot B is located in Pakenham, Ontario, and Plot C is located in Lanark,
225 Ontario (Table 1).

226 227 *Planting*

228
229 Seeds for this study were sourced from Urban Harvest (www.urbanharvest.ca) and
230 included scarlet runner (*Phaseolus coccineus* L.) and true red cranberry beans (*Phaseolus*
231 *vulgaris*), delicata (*Cucurbita pepo* L. 'Delicata') and pattypan squash (*Cucurbita pepo* 'Patty
232 Pan'), bloody butcher corn (*Zea mays* 'Bloody Butcher'), purple coneflower (*Echinacea*
233 *purpurea*), bee balm (*Monarda didyma*), and sunflowers (*Helianthus annuus* L. 'Autumn
234 Beauty' (Asterales: Asteraceae)). Pots with purple coneflower, Oswego tea, and American
235 vervain (*Verbena hastata* L.) were added to the garden sites since growing these plants from
236 seeds is a multi-year process. Sunflowers and medicine plants were added to replicate the
237 addition of these plants to the traditional TSG system. Seeds were started indoors in April (2019
238 and 2020) using Organic ProMix and Jiffy pots (4"). The soil was kept moist until germination,

Commented [SW5]: Why not stick with just one version. In the abstract you use the term Anishinaabe, here you use Anishinabek. Both are correct but in the interests of clarity for your readers, I would chose one and stick with it.

and light was provided using a TOLYS 1000W LED light. At each site, the earth was tilled with a shovel, and soil amendments were added: two 85L bags of Organic ProMix and 4 bags of composted sheep manure per plot.

Planting methods followed those described by Six Nations Our Sustenance (2020), which include mounds of soil placed with the centre of each mound 5 ft from the centre of the next mound for a total of 18 mounds. Each mound was 18" across and 12" high. Four corn plants were planted in a 6" square in alternating mounds. Beans were planted 3" from each corn plant, creating a square in each corn/bean mound. Squash plants were planted in the remaining mounds, with 2 plants spaced 4" apart (alternating mounds of 'Patty Pan' and 'Delicata' squash). In 2019, Plots A and B in eastern ON were used, and each contained a 15 ft x 15 ft Three Sisters Garden, as well as smaller pots of the plants located at two sites in Toronto, and one site in Toronto at a community garden. In 2020, Plots A, B, and C in eastern ON were used, and each contained a 30 ft x 30 ft garden plot of the Three Sisters. Plot A also had purple coneflower, Oswego tea, milkweed, and American vervain growing in the garden. Plots B and C also had purple coneflower, bee balm, and sunflowers growing.

Insect Interaction Observations, Collection & Curation

~~In 2019, two days per week, 20-minute sweep netting sessions were conducted at each of the five garden sites (Toronto—three sites, eastern Ontario—two sites).~~ Four days per week sweep-net sampling for the plant-pollinator network was conducted, rotating between morning and afternoon sessions, resulting in a total of four sampling sessions per garden per week at three sites in eastern Ontario (Plots A, B, and C). Morning sessions were between 8 AM and noon, and afternoon sessions between 1 PM and 5 PM (as in Tucker and Rehan, 2016). Previous work sampling the plant-pollinator network in a garden setting has used similar methods (Gotlieb et al. 2011). The garden plot was sampled walking down each of the rows and up the next row for 20 minutes. Each pollinator on a flower or inflorescence was collected using a sweep net and placed in an insect vial and the plant species was noted on the vial. It was not determined whether pollen was deposited, rather a visit to the flower was used to signal a visit. Vials were placed in a small lunch cooler containing icepacks until the end of the 20-minute session. At the end of each session, the cooler was emptied, with each specimen that could be identified on the wing identified and released (*Apis mellifera*, *Bombus* spp.). A voucher of each species was collected to represent each species collected in the field (Kearns and Inouye, 1993; Packer et al. 2018). Each specimen not identified in the field was labelled with the floral species, site, and date. Collected specimens were placed in 70% ethanol (Kearns and Inouye, 1993) and pinned at the end of each sampling day throughout the season.

Pan trap sampling was conducted in the natural areas adjacent to the gardens. Pan traps were placed 500 m away from the garden site at two sites. Small plastic coloured bowls (yellow, blue, and white) were placed on the surface of the ground and filled with soapy water. A 200 m

Commented [SW6]: Ontario

transect was used, and pan traps were placed every 10 m in a repeating order of yellow, blue, and white. Pan traps were set in place for 24 hr periods on sweep sampling days.

Bee Identification

Vouchered collected specimens are stored at Dr. Sheila Colla's laboratory at York University, Toronto, Canada. ~~The 2019 records were identified to genus and include preserved, physical as well as imaged specimens.~~ The records were identified to species or morphospecies and include preserved, physical and some imaged specimens (e.g., *Xenoglossa*, *SmithEucera* *Scopoli* (Hymenoptera: Apidae), *Bombus* Latreille (Hymenoptera: Apidae)). Pan trap samples were identified to species. Bees were identified to genus (and species for genera that are monotypic in Eastern Canada) using the key of Packer *et al.* (2007). Species-level identifications were made with reference to the keys and taxon concepts of de Silva (2012) for *Coelioxys* Latreille (Hymenoptera: Megachilidae); Gardner & Gibbs (2022) for metallic weak-veined *Lasioglossum* Curtis (Hymenoptera: Halictidae); Gibbs *et al.* (2013) for non-metallic weak-veined *Lasioglossum*; Lavery & Harder (1988) and Williams *et al.* (2014) for *Bombus*; McGinley (1986) for strong-veined *Lasioglossum*; Mitchell (1960) for *Halictus* Latreille (Hymenoptera: Halictidae); Mitchell (1962) for *Melissodes* Latreille (Hymenoptera: Apidae) and *Osmia* Panzer (Hymenoptera: Halictidae); Onuferko (2017, 2018) for *Epeolus* Latreille (Hymenoptera: Apidae); Oram (2018) for *Hylaeus* Fabricius (Hymenoptera: Colletidae); Portman *et al.* (2022) for *Augochlora* Smith (Hymenoptera: Halictidae) and *Augochlora* Sandhouse (Hymenoptera: Halictidae); Rehan & Sheffield (2011) for *Ceratina* Latreille (Hymenoptera: Apidae); Mitchell (1962) and Rowe (2017) for non-*Osmia* Osmiini; Mitchell (1962) and Sheffield *et al.* (2011) for *Megachile* Latreille (Hymenoptera: Megachilidae); and Stephen (1954) and Mitchell (1960) for *Colletes* Latreille (Hymenoptera: Colletidae). It was not possible to distinguish some females of *Ceratina dupla* Say from *C. mikmaqi* Rehan & Sheffield, so they were treated as a single morphospecies in data analysis, as *Ceratina dupla/mikmaqi*.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted in R (R version 3.6.2 (2019-12-12) (R Core Team, 2019). The R package 'vegan' (Oksanen *et al.* 2020) was used to run species accumulation estimates using the abundance-based data (Gardener, 2014). The R package 'bipartite' (Dormann *et al.* 2008) was used to run community (function *networklevel*) and species (function *specieslevel*) level network analyses. Community level analysis was conducted including calculations of weighted nestedness and weighted connectance (Tucker and Rehan, 2018). Nestedness refers to the level of overlap between generalist and specialist interactions, where values closer to "1" indicate a high degree of overlap and values closer to 0 indicate a low degree of overlap (Tucker and Rehan, 2018; Delmas *et al.* 2019). Connectance refers to the proportion of possible interactions that have been realized in the network (Kearns *et al.* 1998; Tucker and

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Rehan, 2018; Delmas et al. 2019) and is a measure of the community's ability to respond to change such as species loss (Dunne et al. 2002; Tucker and Rehan, 2016). Species level analysis included estimation and comparisons of weighted degree and Pollination Service Index (PSI) values (Dormann et al. 2008; Tucker and Rehan, 2018). Degree measures the diet breadth of the pollinators or the number of unique interactions of floral visitors (Tucker and Rehan, 2016). The PSI measures the relative importance of each pollinator species to the functioning of the community (1 = the species is critical to ecosystem functioning, 0 = community could function without the species) (Dormann et al. 2008). PSI is calculated by first determining the proportion to which a pollinator visit's each plant species, second the proportion a plant is visited by each bee species (Dormann and Fründ, 2024). Third, these proportions are multiplied to determine the PSI value for each pollinator species (Dormann and Fründ, 2024).

Results

Abundance and Diversity

~~A total of 286 interactions were observed during the 2019 sampling period (July–August 2019). Eleven bee genera were recorded at all sites combined (Figure 1), with six genera recorded at the eastern ON sites and nine at the Toronto sites. A total of twelve varieties of plants were observed at all sites (Figure 1), with four at the eastern ON sites and ten at the Toronto sites.~~ A total of 310 interactions were observed during the sampling period (July–August 2020) across all sites. Thirty-seven bee species/morphospecies were identified at all sites combined (Figure 1), with fourteen recorded at Plot A, nineteen at Plot B, and twenty-two at Plot C. A total of ten plant varieties were present across all sites combined (Figure 1), with eight varieties at Plot A, five varieties at Plot B, and seven varieties at Plot C. The species accumulation estimate using the Chao 1 and ACE tests was 63 (se.chao1, 18). The observed 37 species represent 59% of the ~63 bee species estimated to occur in the plant community. Bees of the following three families were recorded: Apidae, Megachilidae, and Halictidae. No Andrenidae or Colletidae were recorded in the interaction sampling. The most frequent family was Apidae (200), the most frequent genus was *Bombus* (93), and the most frequent species were *Bombus impatiens* Cresson (88) and the hoary squash bee, ~~*Eucera Xenoglossa*~~ *pruinosa* (Say) (81). The Shannon's Diversity for the garden sampling was 2.37.

A total of 397 bee specimens were collected from pan traps sampling. Bees of nineteen genera and 53 species were collected in the pan trap samples. Bees of five families were collected (Apidae, Megachilidae, Andrenidae, Colletidae, Halictidae). Halictidae (228) was the most frequent bee family collected and Andrenidae was the least frequent (Table 2). *Halictus* (88) was the most frequent genus, and *Halictus ligatus* (68) was the most frequent species (Table 2). The species accumulation estimate using the Chao 1 and ACE tests was 62 (se.chao1, 6). The observed 53 species represent 85% of the ~62 bee species estimated to occur at the site. The Shannon's Diversity for the pan trap sampling was 3.22.

Commented [SW7]: No apostrophe

Commented [SW8]: Be careful here. The term "variety" has a very specific meaning in agriculture and is not synonymous with species or type. I suggest using another word here. I assume that you mean species so use that term and it will be clear.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Commented [SC9]: Is there a figure for this? (I haven't looked yet)

Plant-pollinator Network

During 2019 sampling, the most common interaction observed with all sites combined (Figure 1) and at the eastern ON sites was between *Eucera* and *Cucurbita pepo* ‘Patty Pan’. The most common interaction during 2019 at the Toronto sites was between *Apis mellifera* and *Cucurbita maxima* ‘Gede-Okosomin’. Weighted connectance was 0.12 and weighted nestedness was 0.2. The Pollinator Service Index (PSI) was highest across sites for *Xylocopa* Latreille (Hymenoptera: Apidae) (1.0) and *Agapostemon* Guérin-Ménéville (Hymenoptera: Halictidae) (0.86). The PSI was lowest across sites for *Ceratina* (0.05) (Table 3).

For the garden sampling, the most common interaction observed across sites was between *Cucurbita pepo* ‘Patty Pan’ and *Eucera X. pruinosa* (53 interactions) and *Bombus impatiens* (54 interactions) (Figure 1). Weighted connectance was 0.08 and weighted nestedness was 0.47. *Bombus impatiens* had the highest degree (6) and *Eucera X. pruinosa* (42) and *Bombus impatiens* (41) had the highest PSI (Table 3).

Cucurbita pepo ‘Patty Pan’ was associated with the highest number of bees (127), followed by *Nicotiana rustica* ‘Hopi’, which was associated with the second highest number (69) (Figure 2). *Phaseolus coccineus* ‘Scarlet Runner’ and *Verbena hastata* were associated with the least number of bees (4) (Figure 23). *Nicotiana rustica* ‘Hopi’ was associated with the highest number of bee species (19), followed by *Cucurbita pepo* ‘Patty Pan’ (11) (Figure 23). *Phaseolus coccineus* ‘Scarlet Runner’ was associated with only one species of bee (Figure 34). The most common bee species recorded were *Bombus impatiens* (88) and *Eucera X. pruinosa* (81) (Figure 4). Seventeen bee species were recorded only once (Figure 4). There were no interactions recorded for corn flowers in any years.

Discussion

The most abundant visitor to the Three Sisters gardens, and the visitor with the highest PSI, was *Eucera X. pruinosa*. The most common interactions were between *Eucera X. pruinosa* and *Cucurbita pepo* ‘Patty Pan’ and *Bombus impatiens* and *Cucurbita pepo* ‘Patty Pan’. Based on PSI, *Eucera X. pruinosa* is one of the key species within the Three Sisters Garden system. There were only two records of *Eucera X. pruinosa* in the pan trap samples, which can be explained by this species’ specialization on cucurbits. *Eucera Xenoglossa pruinosa* had the highest PSI at all sites combined as well as at Plots A and B and was most frequently collected while visiting *Cucurbita pepo* ‘Patty Pan’ and *Cucurbita pepo* ‘Delicata’.

As highlighted by Willis Chan (2020) and Willis Chan and Raine (2021a; 2021b; 2023), *Eucera X. pruinosa* has a close association with *Cucurbita* crops grown for agricultural purposes in Ontario. The range of *Eucera X. pruinosa* in Ontario is outside of the range of wild *Cucurbita* (López-Uribe et al. 2016). The range of *Eucera X. pruinosa* has been found to be impacted by agricultural expansion in North America (Pope et al. 2023). The history of the Three Sisters Garden and the remnants of domesticated beans and squash during the woodland period (Boyd et al. 2014), as

Formatted: Indent: First line: 1.27 cm

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Commented [SW10]: Not all cucurbits. They specialise on the genus *Cucurbita*

well as the close association still found today between *EX. pruinosa* and *Cucurbita* crops, highlight the importance of continued research focusing on managing threats to *EX. pruinosa* in a changing environment to ensure continued pollination services by wild bees to this culturally and economically significant food plant. There were also other bee species interacting with *C. cucurbita* pepo including: *Apis mellifera*, *Augochlora pura*, *Augochlora aurata*, *Bombus* spp., *Halictus rubicundus*, *Lasioglossum* spp., *Megachile brevis*, *Melissodes* spp. Future studies may should examine the role of these bee species to pollination of *Cucurbita*.

The diversity of bees collected in the natural was higher than that in the TSG system. The Shannon's Diversity Index for the garden samples was 2.37, and for the pan traps was 3.22. Based on the species accumulation estimates, our results represent 59% of bee species estimated to occur in the Three Sisters Garden TSG system. The interaction sampling recorded three bee families whereas pan trap sampling recorded five bee families. Perhaps increased sampling effort would have recorded Andrenidae and Colletidae in the interaction sampling. The absence of *Andrena* Fabricius (Hymenoptera: Andrenidae) (the largest genus of andrenids in Eastern Canada) from our samples may be explained by our sampling having take place during the summer and *Andrena* being most active in the spring.

Current management recommendation for *EX. pruinosa* in agroecosystems are as follows: (1) minimize pesticide exposure, (2) provide nesting sites, (3) maintain yearly field proximity, (4) monitor populations, parasites, & pathogens, and (5) limit deep tillage (Brochu et al. 2021). With information about the importance of *EX. pruinosa* to the Three Sisters Garden TSG and current management recommendations, it is possible to both maintain healthy pollinator populations and ensure adequate pollination of culturally significant food plants. In the absence of squash bees, honey bees and bumble bees are known to be effective pollinators of *Cucurbita* crops (McGrady et al. 2021); however, these pollinators can create competition for resources with squash bees (Brochu et al. 2021) and are not as effective due to their foraging activity taking place primarily after the early morning pollination window for *Cucurbita* plants (Willis Chan and Raine, 2021). Therefore, using management recommendations for squash bees can increase their populations as well as pollination of *Cucurbita* crops by squash bees. Kovacs-Hostyanszki et al. (2017) recommend managing agricultural areas at the landscape-scale rather than the farm-scale, which is contingent on communication between among those growing the plants crops.

Based on abundance, *B. impatiens* and *EX. pruinosa* were the most common floral visitor bee species in the Three Sisters Garden TSG system. Tucker and Rehan (2016) found *B. impatiens* to be the most abundant species found in their recent study as well. *Bombus impatiens* is a bumble bee species with a broad distribution in North America that is also used as a managed pollinator (including outside its native range) (Ratti and Colla, 2010). It has a wide diet breadth, frequently found on both native and introduced plant species (Williams et al. 2009; Colla and Dumesht, 2010; Richards et al. 2011; Colla et al. 2012; Williams et al. 2014). In this study, *B. impatiens* had the highest overall diet breadth of all bee species, which would explain its ubiquitousness in wild and managed systems. While *B. impatiens* was a common visitor to the

Commented [SW11]: I heartily agree. Especially with respect to pesticide exposure

Commented [SW12]: Again, I agree. Are these species picking up pollen? Are they moving it from flower to flower? Do they groom it off themselves? These are all very interesting questions to consider. *Cucurbita* pollen is very large, spiny and oily.

Commented [SW13]: environment

Commented [SW14]: That makes sense.

Commented [SW15]: Tell us how the TSG may already be implementing some of these things (no pesticides, no tillage) and how it could be improved. On a different note and for your interest only, my team and I have recently developed a mobile app called SCOUT IPPM that allows *Cucurbita* growers to monitor pests and pollinators simultaneously. It can be downloaded for free from either google play or the app store. Researchers will have access to the data generated by growers when they use the app.c

Commented [SW16]: I disagree. If present, squash bees outcompete honey bees for *Cucurbita* floral resources. They simply get to the flowers way ahead of the honey bees.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Commented [SW17]: There is some very specific and hard hitting data on the effects of exposure to imidacloprid for squash bees in Ontario. It may warrant inclusion here. Doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-83341-7.

Commented [SW18]: This sentence seems to have something missing. Do you mean that growers should communicate with each other? I simply don't see how you would manage agricultural areas at the landscape level unless the land is not owned privately, which it mostly is. Agriculture is complicated. There may be many crops, many management styles, many personalities within a landscape. Also, you seem to have drifted away from considering TSG into monoculture production of *Cucurbita* here.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Don't add space between paragraphs of the same style

438 ~~Cucurbits~~, it is unlikely that this species was contributing to pollination of the *Cucurbita*
439 flowers. Willis-Chan and Raine (2021) found that bumble bees in Ontario are active after the
440 critical pollination window for ~~Cucurbits~~, and therefore are not significant pollinators of the
441 crop. While bumble bees and honey bees are found visiting *Cucurbita* flowers, it is likely that the
442 pollen has been depleted and the bees are foraging for nectar (Percival 1947, Artz and Nault
443 2011, Brochu et al. 2020, Willis Chan and Raine, 2021). Pollen supply on staminate flowers has
444 been found to decrease by approximately 60% within the first hour after the flowers open
445 (Brochu et al. 2020 and Willis Chan and Raine, 2021). This information further highlights the
446 importance of *EX. pruinosa* to the ~~Three Sisters garden~~TSG system. Willis Chan and Raine
447 (2021) found that the pollination window of *Cucurbita* in Ontario to be between 6:00am and
448 8:00am. Future studies investigating the role of *EX. pruinosa* to the ~~Three Sisters garden~~TSG
449 should take this into account during experimental design.

Commented [SW19]: Cucurbita

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Commented [SW20]: Hmm. I don't think that is what the paper said. I quote from the abstract of that paper "Peak activity of hoary squash bees and bumble bees coincided with the daily crop pollination window, whereas peak activity of honey bees and other wild bees occurred after that window."

Commented [SW21]: My work Willis Chan & Raine, 2021 does not support this statement. See earlier comment.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Commented [SW22]: In Ontario

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

450 Within a pollination network, high connectance and high interaction diversity are
451 associated with stability and resilience (Tscharntke 2021). The overall weighted connectance of
452 0.08 is the same value found in wild bee pollination networks in northern New England (Tucker
453 and Rehan, 2016). The weighted nestedness of 0.47 is similar to this study as well (0.51) (Tucker
454 and Rehan, 2016). The authors concluded that both connectance and nestedness were low,
455 indicating that the pollination network in their study may not be resilient to change and may be
456 impacted by significant disturbances (Tucker and Rehan, 2016).

457 Based on the similar results of our study, we ~~can conclude~~deduce that the ~~Three Sisters~~
458 ~~Garden~~TSG plant-pollinator network may not be resilient to environmental perturbations. Brosi
459 and Briggs (2013) suggest that plant-pollinator networks overestimate the resiliency of
460 pollination networks to perturbations and found that the removal of one pollinator species ~~can~~
461 ~~affects~~ the quality of reproduction of plant species in the system. Brosi and Briggs (2013)
462 suggest that this is because when a pollinator is removed, the plant-pollinator network finds
463 species within the system that will replace the pollination service to the plants visited by this
464 particular pollinator species, but in doing so the network does not consider the pollinator
465 effectiveness of each species. Pollinator effectiveness refers to how well a species of pollinator
466 moves pollen and sets fruits and seeds for a particular plant (Brosi and Briggs, 2013; McGrady et
467 al. 2021). Therefore, it is important to study not only the plant-pollinator network but also
468 particular interactions between a plant species and its specific pollinators. If *EX. pruinosa* were
469 not present, *Bombus* species could provide adequate pollination in terms of pollen grains
470 deposited (McGrady et al. 2021), ~~however the pollination as visits~~ would be outside of the
471 pollination window ~~wherewhen~~ the plants are most receptive (Willis Chan, 2021).

Formatted: Don't add space between paragraphs of the same style

472 The second most frequently visited plant in this study was *N. rustica*. Hopi tobacco is a
473 culturally significant plant to many Indigenous peoples (Brokenleg et al. 2013; Sadik, 2014).
474 *Nicotiana rustica* is a monoicous plant reported to produce up to two thirds of its seeds through
475 self-pollination and is pollinated by bees (Mather & Vines, 1952). In this study, *N. rustica*
476 supported the highest diversity of bee species and the second highest abundance of bee visits.
477 *Augochlorella aurata* represented 16% of the records in the pan trap samples from the wild bee

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Commented [SW23]: Again, the paper cited does not support this statement.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Commented [SW24]: Monoecious. Check spelling throughout.

families, suggesting growing *N. rustica* may provide important foraging resources to wild bees. While *N. rustica* is self-compatible (Mather & Vines, 1952, Raguso et al. 2003), visits by insects increase its reproductive success (Adler et al. 2012; Gibson et al. 2022). *Augochlorella aurata* belongs to the family Halictidae (sweat bees), which like most other sweat bees nests in bare soil (Buckley et al. 2019), but it is a species that has also been found to be an important pollinator of *N. rustica* (Gibson et al. 2022).

Management recommendations for Halictidae includes providing appropriate nesting and foraging resources (Buckley et al. 2019). Avoiding tilling the soil is a key factor in providing nesting space for sweat bees (Buckley et al. 2019). Lewandoski (1987) reports that upon observation of European agriculture, the Seneca people who had traditionally grown the Three Sisters Garden were shocked by the “wounding of Mother Earth” occurring, which was a reference to the tillage of the soil. In some cases, however, mild tilling has a positive impact on ground-nesting bee abundance in agricultural areas (Cusser et al. 2023).

Beans, forming a large component of the Three Sisters Garden, had some of the lowest observed rates of bee visitation. Ibarra-Perez et al. (1999) reports that *P. vulgaris* self pollinates as the flower opens; thus, the plant does not require insect pollination (Ibarra-Perez et al. 1999). It is also noted, however, that the reproductive success of the plant (seed yield) can be increased by visits by larger bees (carpenter bees, bumble bees) (Ibarra-Perez et al. 1999). Interestingly, *P. coccineus* has been noted to set few pods without the presence of insect visitors (Darwin, 1876, Free, 1966, Free and Racey 1968, Kendall and Smith, 1976). Only *B. ombus impatiens* was observed visiting *P. coccineus*, despite the other genera being present and visiting other plants within the plant community. Overall, bee visitation to both bean varieties was quite low. Beans are mostly self-pollinated and with limited floral resources available, and yield is only marginally increased by insect pollination (Ibarra-Perez et al. 1999).

Indigenous and other local communities support pollinator conservation through (1) supporting biocultural (biological and cultural) diversity, (2) landscape management, and (3) diversified farming systems (Hill et al. 2019). Here we examine one of those diversified farming systems. The Three Sisters is a key feature of northeastern North American Indigenous agriculture (Lewandoski, 1987). The intercropping growing method of the Three Sisters Garden has been found to support not just humans physically and spiritually but also a wide diversity of wild pollinators through foraging and nesting provisions. Supporting the cultivation of culturally appropriate foods, and therefore food sovereignty, simultaneously supports and depends on the conservation of wild bee species.

Conclusions

In this study we aimed to determine the plant-pollinator network in a Three Sisters Garden in the Great Lakes Region. The results of this study highlight *Bombus impatiens* and *Eucera Xenoglossa pruinosa* as important pollinators in the TSGarden system. Three of five bee families found in nearby natural areas were also found in the garden system. One limitation of this study is the lack of sweep net sampling in natural areas which may be an avenue for

Commented [SW25]: This is very interesting. I am presently working on a project to characterize pesticide hazard to wild bees associated with agriculture. *A. aurata* ranks very high on the list of wild bee species most at hazard. Unfortunately this work is not yet published but I thought it might interest you.

518 future research. Future directions may also include more research efforts focused on the critical
519 role of wild pollinators in culturally significant plants and the applied policies and programs
520 towards promoting their conservation and diversity.
521

522 **Acknowledgements**

523 We thank Ben Shearer for field assistance on this project, and the private landowners who
524 volunteered their land for the study. Thank you to Dana Prieto, Research Associate for The
525 Finding Flowers Project. We acknowledge the support of the Natural Sciences and Engineering
526 Research Council of Canada (NSERC), [PGSD3 - 547190-2020]. We acknowledge the support
527 of the Government of Canada's New Frontiers in Research Fund (NFRF), [NFRFE-2018-
528 00485].~~We thank Ben Shearer for field assistance on this project, and the private landowners~~
529 ~~who volunteered their land for the study. We thank Dana Prieto, Research Associate for The~~
530 ~~Finding Flowers Project. Funding was gratefully received from The Tri-Council New Frontiers~~
531 ~~Grant (#xxxx).~~
532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

References

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

Adler, L. S., Seifert, M. G., Wink, M., & Morse, G. E. (2012). Reliance on pollinators predicts defensive chemistry across tobacco species. *Ecology Letters*, 15(10), 1140–1148. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01838.x>.

Armstrong, J. (2020). ‘Living from the Land: Food Security and Food Sovereignty Today and into the Future’ in Turner, N. Plants, People, and Places: The Roles of Ethnobotany and Ethnoecology in Indigenous Peoples’ Land Rights in Canada and Beyond. McGill-Queen’s University Press.

Arnanson, T., Hebda, J., & Johns, T. (1981). Use of plants for food and medicine by Native Peoples of eastern Canada. National Research Council of Canada.

Artz, D. R., and B. A. Nault. 2011. Performance of *Apis mellifera*, *Bombus impatiens*, and *Peponapis pruinosa* (Hymenoptera: Apidae) as pollinators of pumpkin. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 104: 1153–1161.

Barthel, S., Crumley, C. L., & Svedin, U. (2013). Biocultural Refugia : Combating the Erosion of Diversity in Landscapes. *Human Ecology*, 18(4), 71–86.

Bascompte, J., Jordano, P., Melián, C. J., & Olesen, J. M. (2003). The nested assembly of plant-animal mutualistic networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 100(16), 9383–9387. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1633576100>.

Bascompte, J., Jordano, P., & Olesen, J. M. (2006). Asymmetric coevolutionary networks facilitate biodiversity maintenance. *Science*, 312(5772), 431–433. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1123412>.

Berkes, F. Colding, J., Folke, C. (2000). Rediscovery of Traditional Ecological Knowledge as adaptive management. *Ecological Applications*, 10(5), 1251–1262.

Boyd, M. , & Surette, C. (2010). Northernmost precontact Maize in North America. *American Antiquity*, 75(1), 117–133.

Boyd, M., Surette, C., Lints, A., & Hamilton, S. (2014). Wild Rice (*Zizania* spp.), the three sisters, and the woodland tradition in Western and Central Canada. *Midwest Archaeological Conference Inc. Occasional Papers*, 1(1), 7–32. Retrieved from https://www.lakeheadu.ca/sites/default/files/uploads/53/StudentPapers/Boydetal_2014_MAC_OccasionalPaper1.pdf.

Brochu, K. K., M. T. van Dyke, N. J. Milano, J. D. Petersen, S. H. McArt, B. A. Nault, A. Kessler, and B. N. Danforth. 2020. Pollen defenses negatively impact foraging and fitness in a generalist bee (*Bombus impatiens*: Apidae). *Sci. Rep.* 10: 3112.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: French (France)

Formatted: French (France)

- Brochu, K. K., Fleischer, S. J., and Lopez-Urbe, M. M. (2021). Biology of the squash bee, *Eucera (Peponapis) pruinosa*. Penn State Extension. doi:10.26207/x4w5-8813. <https://lopezuribelab.com/squash-bee-biology/>.
- Brokenleg, I., & Tornes, E. (2013). Walking toward the sacred: Out Great Lakes Tobacco Story. Great Lakes Inter-Tribal Epidemiology Center.
- Brosi, B. J., & Briggs, H. M. (2013). Single pollinator species losses reduce floral fidelity and plant reproductive function. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 110(32), 13044–13048. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1307438110>.
- Buckley, K., Zettel Nalen, C., & Ellis, J. (2019). Common name: Sweat bees, halictid bees. University of Florida. Available at http://entnemdept.ufl.edu/creatures/misc/bees/halictid_bees.htm. (Accessed February 11, 2021).
- Carvalho, L. G., Barbosa, E. R. M., & Memmott, J. (2008). Pollinator networks, alien species and the conservation of rare plants: *Trinia glauca* as a case study. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 45(5), 1419–1427. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2008.01518.x>.
- Chan, S. (2020). *Where Wild Meets Cultivated: Implications of the Close Association Between the Hoary Squash Bee (Eucera (Peponapis) pruinosa (Say, 1837)) and Cucurbita Crops in Ontario, Canada* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada).
- Colla, S.R. and Dumes, S., (2010). The bumble bees of southern Ontario: Notes on natural history and distribution. *Journal of the Entomological Society of Ontario*, 141.
- Colla, S.R., Gadallah, F., Richardson, L., Wagner, D. and Gall, L., (2012). Assessing declines of North American bumble bees (*Bombus* spp.) using museum specimens. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 21, pp.3585-3595.
- Creasy, R. (1998). Three sisters of life: squash, beans and corn in the Native American garden. *Harrowsmith*, 3(17):80-87.
- Cusser, S. et al. (2023) 'Public and private economic benefits of adopting conservation tillage for cotton pollination', *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*. Elsevier B.V., 342(November 2022), p. 108251. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2022.108251.
- Darwin, C. (1876). *The Effects of Cross and Self Fertilization in the Vegetable Kingdom*. John Murray, London.
- Davidson-Hunt, I., & Berkes, F. (2003). Learning as you journey: Anishinaabe perception of socioecological environments and adaptive learning. *Ecology and Society*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.5751/es-00587-080105>.
- De Silva N. (2012). Revision of the cleptoparasitic bee genus *Coelioxys* (Hymenoptera: Megachilidae) in Canada. MSc thesis, York University, Toronto.

de Souza Paulino, C. *et al.* (2023) 'Neutral influence of animal pollination in the common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L., Fabaceae) production and seed germination', *Scientia Horticulturae*. Elsevier B.V., 318(April), p. 112096. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2023.112096.

Delmas, E., Besson, M., Brice, M. H., Burkle, L. A., Dalla Riva, G. V., Fortin, M. J., ... Poisot, T. (2019). Analysing ecological networks of species interactions. *Biological Reviews*, 94(1), 16–36. <https://doi.org/10.1111/brev.12433>.

Densmore, F. (1928). *Strength of the Earth. The Classic Guide to Ojibwe Uses of Native Plants*. Minnesota Historical Society Press. St. Paul, MN. ISBN 0-87351-562-5.

Dormann, C.F., Gruber, B. & Fründ, J. (2008) Introducing the bipartite package: analyzing ecological networks. *Rnews*, 8, 8–11.

Dormann, C.F. & Fründ. (2024). Calculate various indices for network properties at the species level. <https://search.r-project.org/CRAN/refmans/bipartite/html/specieslevel.html>.

Dunne, J. A., Williams, R. J., & Martinez, N. D. (2002). Food-web structure and network theory: The role of connectance and size. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 99(20), 12917–12922. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.192407699>.

Eames-Sheavly, M. (1993). *The Three Sisters Exploring an Iroquois Garden*. Cornell Cooperative Extension Publication, 2–6. Retrieved from www.mediasrv.cornell.edu.

Elliot, B. (2004). Companion planting and the Three Sisters. *Small Farm Today*, 21(2):16-21.

Free, J. B. (1966). The pollination of the beans *Phaseolus multiflorus* and *Phaseolus vulgaris* by honeybees. *Journal of Apicultural Research*, 5, 87-91.

Free, J. B. & Racey, P. A. (1968). The pollination of runner beans (*Phaseolus multiflorus*) in a glasshouse. *Journal of Apicultural Research*, 7, 67-9.

Gardener, M. (2014). *Community Ecology: Analytical Methods using R and Excel*. Pelagic Publishing, Exeter. ISBN 978-1-907807-61-9.

Gardner J, Gibbs J. (2022). New and little-known Canadian *Lasioglossum* (*Dialictus*) (Hymenoptera: Halictidae) and an emended key to species. *The Canadian Entomologist* **154**:1–37. DOI 10.4039/tce.2021.47.

Garibaldi, L. A., Aizen, M. A., Klein, A. M., Cunningham, S. A., & Harder, L. D. (2011). *Global growth and stability of agricultural yield decrease with pollinator dependence*. 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1012431108>.

689 Genuisz, M. (2015). Plants Have so Much to Give us, all we Have to do is Ask. Anishinaabe
690 Botanical Teachings. University of Minnesota Press. Minneapolis, MN. ISBN 978-0-8166-9676-
691 5.
692
693 Gibbs J, Packer L, Dumesh S, Danforth BN. (2013). Revision and reclassification of
694 *Lasioglossum* (*Evylaeus*), *L. (Hemihalictus)* and *L. (Sphecodogastra)* in eastern North America
695 (Hymenoptera: Apoidea: Halictidae). *Zootaxa* **3672**(1):1–117. DOI 10.11646/zootaxa.3672.1.1.
696
697 Gibson, S.D., Halvorson, K.S., Myers, L., Colla, S.R., (2022). Insect visitation and pollination of
698 a culturally significant plant, Hopi tobacco (*Nicotiana rustica*), ISCIENCE. doi:
699 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2022.105613>.
700
701 Gotlieb, A., Hollender, Y., & Mandelik, Y. (2011). Gardening in the desert changes bee
702 communities and pollination network characteristics. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 12(4), 310–
703 320. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2010.12.003>.
704
705 Hellson, J. C. (1974). Ethnobotany of the Blackfoot Indians, Ottawa. National Museums of
706 Canada. Mercury Series.
707
708 Hill, R., Nates-Parra, G., Quezada-Euán, J. J. G., Buchori, D., LeBuhn, G., Maués, M. M., ...
709 Roué, M. (2019). Biocultural approaches to pollinator conservation. *Nature Sustainability*, 2(3),
710 214–222. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-019-0244-z>.
711
712 Hurd, P. D., & Linsley, E. G. (1964). The squash and gourd bees-genera *Peponapis* Robertson
713 and *Xenoglossa* Smith-inhabiting America north of Mexico (Hymenoptera: Apoidea). *Hilgardia*,
714 35(15), 375–477. <https://doi.org/10.3733/hilg.v35n15p375>.
715
716 Ibarra-Perez, F. J., Barnhart, D., Ehdaie, B., Knio, K. M., & Waines, J. G. (1999). Effects of
717 insect tripping on seed yield of common bean. *Crop Science*, 39(2), 428–433.
718 <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1999.0011183X0039000200022x>.
719
720 Ings, T. C., Montoya, J. M., Bascompte, J., Blüthgen, N., Brown, L., Dormann, C. F., ...
721 Woodward, G. (2009). Ecological networks - Beyond food webs. *Journal of Animal Ecology*,
722 78(1), 253–269. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2008.01460.x>.
723
724 Jolls, C. L., Inkster, J. N., Scholtens, B. G., Vitt, P., & Havens, K. (2019). An endemic plant and
725 the plant-insect visitor network of a dune ecosystem. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 18,
726 e00603. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2019.e00603>.
727
728 [Johnson, I. J. and H. K. Hayes. \(1932\). Comparison of hand and wind pollination in making F1](#)
729 [crosses in inbred lines of corn. Journal of the American Society of Agronomy, 23 \(2\), 85-90.](#)
730
731 Jordano, P. (1987). Patterns of mutualistic interactions in pollination and seed dispersal:
732 Connectance, dependence asymmetries, and coevolution. *American Naturalist*, 129(5), 657–677.
733

Formatted: French (France)

Formatted: French (France)

Formatted: French (France)

Field Code Changed

Kaiser-Bunbury, C. N., & Blüthgen, N. (2015). Integrating network ecology with applied conservation: A synthesis and guide to implementation. *AoB PLANTS*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/plv076>.

Kearns, C. A., & Inouye, D. W. (1993). *Techniques for Pollination Biologists*. University Press of Colorado, Niwot, Colorado. ISBN 0-97081-281-5.

Kearns, C. A., Inouye, D. W., & Waser, N. M. (1998). Endangered mutualisms: The conservation of plant-pollinator interactions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 28, 83–112.

Kendall, D. A., & Smith, B. D. (1976). The pollinating efficiency of honeybee and bumblebee visits to flowers of the runner bean (*Phaseolus coccineus* L.). *British Ecological Society*, 13(3), 749–752.

[Kovács-Hostyánszki, A. et al. \(2017\) 'Ecological intensification to mitigate impacts of conventional intensive land use on pollinators and pollination', *Ecology Letters*, 20\(5\), pp. 673–689. doi: 10.1111/ele.12762.](#)

Kuepper, G., Dodson, M., & Duncan, J. (2016). Companion planting & botanical pesticides: concepts & resources. *ATTRA Sustainable Agriculture*, 1–20.

Lavery TM, Harder LD. (1988). The bumble bees of eastern Canada. *The Canadian Entomologist* **120**(11):965–987. DOI 10.4039/Ent120965-11.

Lewandowski, S. (1987). Diohe'ko, the Three Sisters in Senca life: Implications for a native agriculture in the finger lakes region of New York State. *Agriculture and Human Values*, 4(2-3):76-93.

Lewington, A. (1990). *Plants for People*. Oxford University Press, Inc. New York, NY. ISBN 0-19- 520840-4.

López-Urbe, M. M., Cane, J. H., Minckley, R. L., & Danforth, B. N. (2016). Crop domestication facilitated rapid geographical expansion of a specialist pollinator, the squash bee *Peponapis pruinosa*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B Biological Sciences*, 283(1833), 20160443. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.0443>.

MacInnis, G., Normandin, E. and Ziter, C. D. (2023). Decline in wild bee species richness associated with honey bee (*Apis mellifera* L.) abundance in an urban ecosystem. *PeerJ*, 11, p. e14699. Doi: 10.7717/peerj.14699.

MacIvor JS, Roberto AN, Sodhi DS, Onuferko TM, Cadotte MW. (2017). Honey bees are the dominant diurnal pollinator of native milkweed in a large urban park. *Ecol Evol*. 2017;7:8456–8462. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.3394>.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Marles, R. J., Clavelle, C., Monteleone, L., Tays, N., & Burns, D. (2000). *Aboriginal Plant Use in Canada's northwest Boreal Forest*. Natural Resources Canada and Canadian Forest Service. UBC Press, Vancouver, BC.

Mather, K., and Vines, A., (1952). The Inheritance of H of *Nicotiana rustica*. Quantitative Inheritance, 49-79, ed. E. C. R. Reeve and C. H. Waddington. H.M.S.O., London.

May, R. 1973. *Stability and complexity in model ecosystems*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, USA.

McGinley RJ. (1986). Studies of Halictinae (Apoidea: Halictidae), I: revision of New World *Lasioglossum* Curtis. *Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology* **429**:1–294.

McGrady, C. M. *et al.* (2020) 'Wild Bee Visitation Rates Exceed Pollination Thresholds in Commercial Cucurbita Agroecosystems', *Journal of Economic Entomology*, **113**(2), pp. 562–574. doi: [10.1093/jee/toz295](https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/toz295).

Memmott, J. (2009). Food webs: A ladder for picking strawberries or a practical tool for practical problems? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **364**(1524), 1693–1699. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0255>.

Memmott, J., Craze, P. G., Waser, N. M., & Price, M. V. (2007). Global warming and the disruption of plant-pollinator interactions. *Ecology Letters*, **10**(8), 710–717. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01061.x>.

Mitchell TB. (1960). Bees of the eastern United States. Volume I. *North Carolina Agricultural Experiment Station Technical Bulletin* **141**:1–538.

Mitchell TB. (1962). Bees of the eastern United States. Volume II. *North Carolina Agricultural Experiment Station Technical Bulletin* **152**:1–557.

Montoya, M., Pimm, S. L., & Solé, R. V. (2006). Ecological networks and their fragility. *Nature*, **442**(July), 259–264. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04927>.

Mt. Pleasant, J. (1994). Competitive abilities of six maize hybrids with four weed control practices. *Weed Technology*, **8**:124-128.

Native Seed Search. (2020). How to Grow a Three Sisters Garden. <https://www.nativeseeds.org/blogs/blog-news/how-to-grow-a-three-sisters-garden>.

Nepi, M., & Pacini, E. (1993). Pollination, pollen viability, and pistil receptivity in *Cucurbita pepo*. *Annals of Botany*, **72**(6), 527-536. <https://doi.org/10.1006/anbo.1993.1141>.

Oksanen, J., Guillaume Blanchet, F., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., Minchin, P. R., O'Hara, R. B., Simpson, G. L., Solymos, P., Stevens, M. H. H., Szoecs, E. & H. Wagner. (2020). Package 'vegan'. <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/vegan/vegan.pdf>.

Formatted: French (France)

826 Onuferko TM. (2017). Cleptoparasitic bees of the genus *Epeolus* Latreille (Hymenoptera:
827 Apidae) in Canada. *Canadian Journal of Arthropod Identification* **30**. DOI 10.3752/cjai.2017.30.
828
829 Onuferko TM. (2018). A revision of the cleptoparasitic bee genus *Epeolus* Latreille for Nearctic
830 species, north of Mexico (Hymenoptera, Apidae). *ZooKeys* **755**:1–185. DOI
831 [10.3897/zookeys.755.23939](https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.755.23939).
832
833 Oram RJ. (2018). Revision of the genus *Hylaeus* Fabricius (Hymenoptera: Colletidae) in Canada.
834 M.Sc. thesis, University of Regina, Regina.
835
836 Our Sustenance. (2020). The Medicines. <http://oursustenance.ca/gardening-101/the-medicines/>.
837
838 Packer L, Genaro JA, Sheffield CS. (2007). The bee genera of Eastern Canada. *Canadian*
839 *Journal of Arthropod Identification* **3**. DOI 10.3752/cjai.2007.03.
840
841 Packer, L., Monckton, S. K., Onuferko, T. M., & Ferrari, R. R. (2018). Validating taxonomic
842 identifications in entomological research. *Insect Conservation and Diversity*, 11(1), 1–12.
843 <https://doi.org/10.1111/icad.12284>.
844
845 Padulosi, S., Leaman, D., & Quek, P. (2004). Challenges and opportunities in enhancing the
846 conservation and use of medicinal and aromatic plants. *Journal of Herbs, Spices & Medicinal*
847 *Plants*, 9(4), 243–267. https://doi.org/10.1300/j044v09n04_01.
848
849 Peel Aboriginal Network and Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (PAN) and (TRCA).
850 (2020). Medicine Wheel Garden. Gitigaan Mashkiki (Ojibway).
851 <http://www.trca.on.ca/dotAsset/149974.pdf>.
852
853 [Percival, M. 1947. Pollen collection by *Apis mellifera*. *New Phytol.* 46: 142–165.](#)
854
855 Polfus, J. L., Heinemeyer, K., & Hebblewhite, M., T. R. T. F. N. (2014). Comparing traditional
856 ecological knowledge and western science woodland caribou habitat models. *Journal of Wildlife*
857 *Management*, 78(1), 112–121. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jwmg.643>.
858
859 Polfus, J. L., Manseau, M., Simmons, D., Neyelle, M., Bayha, W., Andrew, F., & Andrew, L.
860 (2016). Legha 'Gots ' Enete (Learning Together): The Importance of Indigenous perspectives in
861 the identification of biological variation. In *Ecology and Society* (Vol. 21).
862 <https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-08284-210218>.
863
864 [Pope, N. S. et al. \(2023\) 'The expansion of agriculture has shaped the recent evolutionary history](#)
865 [of a specialized squash pollinator', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*](#)
866 [United States of America](#), 120(15), pp. 1–10. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2208116120.
867
868 Portman ZM, Arduser M, Lane IG, Cariveau DP. (2022). A review of the *Augochloropsis*
869 (Hymenoptera, Halictidae) and keys to the shiny green Halictinae of the midwestern United
870 States. *ZooKeys* **1130**:103–152. DOI 10.3897/zookeys.1130.86413.
871

Formatted: French (France)

Formatted: French (France)

Formatted: French (France)

Potts, S. G., Biesmeijer, J. C., Kremen, C., Neumann, P., Schweiger, O., & Kunin, W. E. (2010). Global pollinator declines: trends, impacts and drivers. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 25(6), 345–353. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2010.01.007>.

R Core Team (2019). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <https://www.R-project.org/>.

Ramirez, C. and Wright, A. J. (2023) ‘Microclimate and growth advantages in the “Three sisters” planting food system in an urban garden’, *Plant and Soil*. Springer International Publishing. doi: 10.1007/s11104-023-06419-3.

Rehan SM, Sheffield CS. (2011). Morphological and molecular delineation of a new species in the *Ceratina dupla* species-group (Hymenoptera: Apidae: Xylocopinae) of eastern North America. *Zootaxa* **2873**(1):35–50. DOI 10.11646/zootaxa.2873.1.3.

Raguso, R. A., Levin, R. A., Foose, S. E., Holmberg, M. W., & McDade, L. A. (2003). Fragrance chemistry, nocturnal rhythms and pollination “syndromes” in *Nicotiana*. *Phytochemistry*, 63(3), 265–284. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422\(03\)00113-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422(03)00113-4).

Ratti, C.M. and Colla, S.R., (2010). Discussion of the presence of an eastern bumble bee species (*Bombus impatiens* Cresson) in western Canada. *The Pan-Pacific Entomologist*, 86(2), pp.29-31.

Reyes-García, V., Guèze, M., Luz, A. C., & Paneque-gálvez, J. (2013). Evidence of traditional knowledge loss among a contemporary indigenous society. *Evolution and Human Behaviour*, 34(4), 249–257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2013.03.002.Evidence>.

Richards, M. H., Rutgers-Kelly, A., Gibbs, J., Vickruck, J. L., Rehan, S. M., & Sheffield, C. S. (2011). Bee diversity in naturalizing patches of Carolinian grasslands in Southern Ontario, Canada. *Canadian Entomologist*, 143(3), 279–299. <https://doi.org/10.4039/n11-010>.

Rodale Institute. (2020). The Three Sisters... and That Fourth Sister No One Really Talks About. <https://rodaleinstitute.org/blog/the-three-sistersand-that-fourth-sister-no-one-really-talks-about/>.

Rowe G. (2017). A taxonomic revision of the Canadian non-*Osmia* Osmiini (Hymenoptera Megachilidae). MSc thesis, York University, Toronto.

Sadik, T. (2014). *Traditional Use of Tobacco among Indigenous Peoples in North America*. Retrieved from <https://cottfn.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/TUT-Literature-Review.pdf>.

Sheffield CS, Ratti C, Packer L, Griswold T. (2011). Leafcutter and mason bees of the genus *Megachile* Latreille (Hymenoptera: Megachilidae) in Canada and Alaska. *Canadian Journal of Arthropod Identification* **18**:1–107. DOI 10.3752/cjai.2011.18.

Spiesman, B. J., & Inouye, B. D. (2013). Habitat loss alters the architecture of plant-pollinator interaction networks. *Ecology*, 94(12), 2688–2696. <https://doi.org/10.1890/13-0977.1>.

- Stapleton, S. C., Wien, H. C., & Morse, R. A. (2000). Flowering and fruit set of pumpkin cultivars under field conditions. *HortScience*, 35(6), 1074-1077. <https://doi.org/10.21273/HORTTECH.11.1.152C>.
- Stephen WP. (1954). A Revision of the bee genus *Colletes* in America north of Mexico (Hymenoptera, Colletidae). *The University of Kansas Science Bulletin* 36(6):149–527.
- Struthers, R., & Hodge, F. S. (2004). Sacred Tobacco Use in Ojibwe Communities. *Journal of Holistic Nursing*, 22(3), 209–225. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0898010104266735>.
- Trotman Martinez, R. (2007). An evaluation of the productivity of the Native American “Three Sisters” agriculture system in Northern Wisconsin. M.Sc. Thesis, (August).
- Tscharntke, T. (2021) ‘Disrupting plant-pollinator systems endangers food security’, *One Earth*, Elsevier Inc., 4(9), pp. 1217–1219. doi: 10.1016/j.oneear.2021.08.022.
- Tucker, E. M., & Rehan, S. M. (2016). Wild bee pollination networks in northern New England. *Journal of Insect Conservation*, 20(2), 325–337. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10841-016-9870-1>.
- Tucker, E. M., & Rehan, S. M. (2018). Farming for bees: annual variation in pollinator populations across agricultural landscapes. *Agricultural and Forest Entomology*, 20(4), 541–548. <https://doi.org/10.1111/afe.12287>
- Turner, N. J., (1973). The Ethnobotany of the Bella Coola Indians of British Columbia. *Syesis* 6:193- 220.
- Turner, N. J, Deur, D., Lepofsky, D. (2013). Plant Management Systems of British Columbia’s First Peoples. *BC Studies*, (179), 107–133. <https://doi.org/10.14288/bcs.v0i179.184112>.
- Tylianakis, J. M., E. Laliberte, A. Nielsen, and J. Bascompte. (2010). Conservation of species interaction networks. *Biological Conservation* 143:2270–2279.
- Udy, K. L., Reininghaus, H., Scherber, C., & Tscharntke, T. (2020). Plant–pollinator interactions along an urbanization gradient from cities and villages to farmland landscapes. *Ecosphere*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.3020>.
- United Nations (UN). (2023). Goal 2: End hunger, achieve food security, and improved nutrition and sustainable agriculture. <https://sdgs.un.org/goals/goal2>.
- Upreti, Y., Asselin, H., Dhakal, A., & Julien, N. (2012). Traditional use of medicinal plants in the boreal forest of Canada: Review and perspectives. *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine*, 8(1), 7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1746-4269-8-7>.
- Whitaker, T. W., & Davis, G. N. (2012). *Cucurbits: Botany, Cultivation & Utilization*. Delhi, India: Biotech Books.

964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991

Williams, P., Colla, S. and Xie, Z., (2009). Bumblebee vulnerability: common correlates of winners and losers across three continents. *Conservation Biology*, 23(4), pp.931-940.

Williams PH, Thorp RW, Richardson LL, Colla SR. (2014). The Bumble Bees of North America. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ. ISBN 978-0-691-15222-6.

Willis Chan, S. (2020). *Where Wild Meets Cultivated: Implications of the Close Association Between the Hoary Squash Bee (*Eucera (Peponapis) pruinosa* (Say, 1837) and Cucurbita Crops in Ontario, Canada* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada).

Willis Chan, D. S. and Raine, N. E. (2021a) ‘Hoary Squash Bees (*Eucera pruinosa*; Hymenoptera: Apidae) Provide Abundant and Reliable Pollination Services to Cucurbita Crops in Ontario (Canada)’, *Environmental Entomology*, 50(4), pp. 968–981. doi: 10.1093/ee/nvab045.

Willis Chan, D. S. and Raine, N. E. (2021b) ‘Phenological synchrony between the hoary squash bee (*Eucera pruinosa*) and cultivated acorn squash (*Cucurbita pepo*) flowering is imperfect at a northern site’, *Current Research in Insect Science*. Elsevier B.V., 1(September). doi: 10.1016/j.cris.2021.100022.

Willis Chan, D. S. and Raine, N. E. (2023) ‘Sharing the Wealth: Pollen Partitioning in a Cucurbita Pepo Crop Pollination System With Reference To Female Wild Hoary Squash Bees (*Eucera Pruinosa*)’, *Journal of Pollination Ecology*, 33(Stephen 1959), pp. 228–238. doi: 10.26786/1920-7603(2023)751.

Formatted: Line spacing: single

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Font color: Black

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Italic

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic