

A new species of *Languidipes* Hubbard (Ephemeroptera, Polymitarcyidae) from Borneo

Guillermo Eduardo Hankel^{1,2}, Carlos Molineri¹

¹ Instituto de Biodiversidad Neotropical (IBN), Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Facultad de Ciencias Naturales e Instituto Miguel Lillo, Universidad Nacional de Tucumán, Yerba Buena, Tucumán, Argentina.

² Instituto de Invertebrados - Fundación Miguel Lillo. Miguel Lillo 251 - San Miguel de Tucumán - Tucumán - Argentina. CP: T4000JFE.

Corresponding author:

Guillermo E. Hankel

Tornquist s/n (alt. 1200), Colonia Juan Posse – Lastenia, Tucumán, ~~CP 4111~~, Argentina. CP 4111,

guillehankel@gmail.com

Abstract

The genus *Languidipes* is currently represented by three species distributed in southeastern Asiaⁿ, India, and Sri Lanka. *Languidipes corporaali* is the most widely distributed species, and both, male and female imagos, as well as nymphs, are known. In contrast, the other species, *L. ~~trapobanes~~trapobanes* and *L. lithophagus*, are only known from nymphs. Here, we describe a new species, *Languidipes janae* sp nov, based on male imagos collected from Borneo, Indonesia. This new species is characterized by the presence of ommation on mesonotum, and penis almost completely divided, with sub-quadrate base and a small outer projection basal to the long and slender distal arms. This constitutes the first record of the genus for Borneo. A cladistic analysis of the subfamily Asthenopodinae ~~corroborates~~ supports its taxonomic status.

Introduction

Polymitarcyidae (Ephemeroptera), with a worldwide distribution, includes large to medium-sized mayflies with burrowing nymphs (Kluge 2004, McCafferty 2004). ~~The~~Strong mandibular tusks

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Spanish (Argentina)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

of the immature forms are used to dig tunnels in ~~a variety of kinds~~ of underwater sediments, including mud, clay and even siliceous rocks (Molineri, Salles & Peters 2015, Bolotov et al. 2022). ~~In The additional particularity of they~~ producing silk ~~in from~~ the malpighian ducts, allow~~ings~~ them to coat their ~~tunnels burrowings~~ with a thin mesh of this material (Sattler 1967), or even to construct silk cases where tunnels are impossible to dig (Molineri & Emmerich 2010, Pai et al. 2023). Furthermore, adults are so short-lived, that they do not present functional legs (except for the male forelegs, used to gras~~pb~~ females ~~during~~ copula), spending their entire life in flight. This forces them to make their subimaginal molt in a unique manner, not shedding their cuticle in the classic form (as an entire piece) but in flakes that come off the body and wings (Molineri 2010). Because of their unique biology, including nymphs hidden in the substrates and extremely short-lived adults, specimens of this group are infrequently collected.

The genus *Languidipes* was originally described for *Asthenopus corporaali* Lestage, 1922 from Java, Indonesia. *Languidipes corporaali* (Lestage) was subsequently recorded from other Indonesian localities (Sumatra and Simeulue), as well as from Malaysia and Thailand (Baumgardner et al. 2012). The genus *Languidipes* also includes the species *L. trapebanes* ~~trapebanes~~ *trapebanes* (Hubbard 1984) (Hubbard 1984, Rathinakumar et al. 2019, Pai et al. 2023), from India and Sri Lanka, and the recently described *L. lithophagus* (Bolotov et al. 2022) from Myanmar.

A phylogenetic framework has been proposed for the subfamily Asthenopodinae, where *Languidipes* is included together with partially sympatric *Povilla* and other three South American genera (Molineri, Salles & Peters 2015).

Here we describe a new species of *Languidipes* based on male imagoes from Borneo, Indonesia, and test its phylogenetic relationships inside the subfamily.

Materials & methods

Specimens ~~awere~~ fixed in ~~alcohol 70°70 % (v/v) ethanol.~~ ~~wings of one of them were~~ One wing ~~was~~ removed and mounted dry ~~on~~ microscope slides. Genitalia was dissected and temporarily mounted in gel alcohol for study and drawings with a camera lucida attached to ~~an~~ Olympus BX51 microscope. Photographs were taken with a Zeiss Axiocam ICc5 attached to a Zeiss Stemi 508 stereo microscope. Some images were processed with CombineZP software (Hadley, 2010) to improve focus.

Material is deposited in the following Institution: IBN (Instituto de Biodiversidad Neotropical, Tucumán), and FAMU (Florida A&M University, Tallahassee, FL).

The morphological matrix published in Molineri, Salles & Peters (2015) was revised, the new species amended, and some characters of *L. corporaali* were modified following the description of Baumgardner et al. (2012). All other taxa and characters in the matrix were not modified (Appendix 1).

The TNT program (Goloboff, Farris & Nixon 2008) was used to ~~searching most~~ setup parsimonious trees. Heuristic searches were conducted under implied weights (Goloboff, Mattoni & Quinteros 2006) with $k = 3$ and 100 replicates of tree bisection and reconnection. All characters were treated as non-additive except for continuous characters (chars. 0 to 26), for additional details see Molineri, Salles & Peters (2015). Group support was calculated with the method of frequency difference (Goloboff et al. 2003), using 1000 replications of symmetric jackknifing.

The electronic version of this article in Portable Document Format (PDF) will constitute a published work as defined by the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN). Consequently, the new names introduced in the electronic version are deemed effectively published under the Code solely from the electronic edition. This published work, along with the associated nomenclatural acts, has been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be accessed and the relevant information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix <http://zoobank.org/>. The LSID for this publication is: [LSIDurn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:048403BC-2E75-4C1B-AE70-8DDF826FF9CA]. The online version of this work is archived and available from the following digital repositories: PeerJ, PubMed Central SCIE, and CLOCKSS.
~~The electronic version of this article in Portable Document Format (PDF) will represent a published work according to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN), and hence the new names contained in the electronic version are effectively published under that Code from the electronic edition alone. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix <http://zoobank.org/>. The LSID for this publication is:~~

[LSIDurn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:048403BC-2E75-4C1B-AE70-8DDF826FF9CA]. The online version of this work is archived and available from the following digital repositories: PeerJ, PubMed Central SCIE and CLOCKSS.

Results

Description

Languidipes janae sp. nov. (Figures 1 – 3)

Type material. Holotype male imago from Indonesia (Borneo): Kalimantan, Timur Prov., Lake Semayang, nr. Kota Bangun, attracted to light on boat, 3.vii.1985, M. Christensen, specimen number IBN – E 6370. Paratypes: 4 male imagos, same data, all deposited in IBN (IBN – E – 6371, IBN – E – 6372, IBN – E – 6373 and IBN – E – 6374).

Additional material. We also examined 1 larvae of *L. ~~trapobanes~~aprobanes*, paratype, FAMU E2109, from Ceylon, Kollonawe, iv.1954 (no more data).

Diagnosis. The male imago of this species is characterized by the presence of ommation on mesonotum, and penis divided almost completely, with sub-quadrate base, small outer projection basally to the long and slender distal arms; distal arms with pointed apex.

Male imago. Length (mm): body, 10.0–14.0; forewing, 12.2–13.0; hind wing, 4.0–5.0; cercus, 26.0, ~~terminal filament~~parecercus, 0.5–1.1. Head. Compound eyes large, black, covering most of head, separated in the middle of head by a distance equal to 1/3 of the width of an eye (Figs. 1Aa, 1cC); lateral ocelli large and pedunculated (Fig. 1cC). Head brown dorsally, shaded with black mainly at the base of ocelli; ventrally much paler. Remnants of mouthparts whitish yellow.

Antenna: scape and pedicel yellowish (flagellum broken-off and lost). Thorax. Pronotum reddish brown with black stippling on central area; anterior membranous portion blackish, posterior margin whitish; sternum and pleura whitish. Mesonotum reddish brown slightly paler medially, shaded with black between the posterior scutal protuberances~~PSP~~; ommation (oval whitish median area in basal-anterior ¼ of mesonotum) present (arrow in Fig. 1cC); pleura and sternum light yellowish brown, furcasternal median impression translucent. Metanotum reddish brown shaded with black on median area and posterior margin, pleura yellowish, sternum whitish translucent. Forelegs relatively short (slightly shorter than ½ of body length), yellowish white (Fig. 1bB). Middle and hind legs whitish, weak (Fig. 1dD). Forewings (Fig. 2aA) hyaline shaded with gray along costal margin and on membrane basal to vein A. Hindwings (Fig. 2aA) hyaline,

125 shaded with gray at costal and basal half of subcostal areas, and at base. Veins of both wings
126 brownish, lighter toward apex, except cross veins on apical half of wing, translucent. Abdomen.
127 Dorsum brownish shaded with black, ventrally whitish. Genitalia (Figs. 2**bB** to 2**eE**, 3A and
128 3**bB**): forceps one-segmented, robust, distally with a patch of short and curved setae along the
129 inner margin. Penis divided almost completely, penis base sub-quadrate with a small outer
130 projection (arrow in Figs. 2**eE** and 3**bB**), distal arms long and slender with pointed apex. Cerci:
131 whitish, shaded with light gray basally. ~~Terminal filament~~ Paracercus as long as tergum X,
132 whitish and thin.

133 Etymology. The specific name (noun in the genitive case) is a tribute to Janice Peters (“Jan”),
134 who facilitated the material of the new species, and for her constant support.

135 Notes. In forewings, ICu veins presented variations among specimens. Frequently ICu1 is basally
136 fused to CuA but may be basally free or joined to ICu2, additionally ICu2 may be basally free or
137 fused to CuP.

138 Distribution. Data here presented constitute the first record of a *Languidipes* species in Borneo
139 Island (Fig. 4).

141 Phylogenetic study

142 Only one shortest tree was recovered (Fig. 5), with a tree length of 270.8, a total fit of 5.8, and an
143 adjusted homoplasy of 15.2. A high support was obtained for *Languidipes* (95%) and for the
144 sister group *Languidipes* + *Povilla* (87%). The synapomorphies supporting the genus
145 *Languidipes* (two species included) are: 1) ratio length second foretarsite / foretibia (char. 1
146 changes from 0.584-0.645 to 0.480), 2) ratio FW / foreleg length (char. 2, from 1.661-1.736 to
147 2.800), 3) ratio FW / cercus length (char. 3, from 0.339-0.347 to 0.375-0.464), 4) FW ratio length
148 / width (char. 4, from 2.000-2.214 to 2.265), 5) ratio length FW / HW (char. 5, from 2.302-2.447
149 to 2.790), 6) penes, ratio basal width / subapical width (char. 17, from 1.300 to 2.000), 7) FW Cu
150 sector, ICus joinning hind margin on different sides of tornus (char. 35): ICu1 close to tornus,
151 ICu2 on basitornal margin, and 8) median plate of styliger (char 41) absent. The autapomorphies
152 found for *Languidipes janae* are: 1) ratio subapical width of foretibia / subbasal width of tarsite 2
153 (char. 0, from 1.700 to 1.040), 2) ratio FW / cercus length (char. 3, from 0.375-0.464 to 0.500), 3)
154 ratio marginal length between main longitudinal veins/imv length (mean of all values in a wing)
155 (char. 9, from 1.653 to 1.745), 4) Rs stem length (FW male) / Rs from fork to margin (char. 10,
156 from 0.235-0.241 to 0.220), 5) ratio total length of forceps / basal width (char. 13, from 4.545 to
157 4.300-4.500), 6) ratio length / basal width of penile lobe (char. 15, from 4.706-5.200 to 2.600), 7)

158 penes, ratio basal width / subapical width (char. 17, from 2.000 to 3.125), and 8) male foretarsite
159 1 subrectangular (char. 29).

160

161 Discussion

162 The species of *Languidipes* seem restricted to southeastern Asia (Fig. 4). The range of
163 *Languidipes corporaali* is the widest of the genus, being recorded in some Indonesian islands
164 (Java, Sumatra, and Simeulue), Thailand, and Malaysia; with a doubtful record for Assam, India
165 (Chopra 1927, cited in Hubbard 1984). Hubbard (1984) affirms that probably this last record will
166 be a new species.

167 Most species of *Languidipes* are only known from nymphs. *Languidipes ~~trapobanes~~aprobanes* is
168 known from Sri Lanka and the south of India, while *L. lithophagus* was recently described from
169 Myanmar (Bolotov et al. 2022). It is possible that the males described here as *L. janae* represent
170 the adult stage of one of them, but this seems unlikely. Nevertheless, we prefer to describe the
171 new species because it constitutes the unique record from Borneo, and its size is relatively
172 smaller than the other species (Hubbard 1984; Rathinakumar et al. 2019; Bolotov et al. 2022; Pai
173 et al. 2023).

174 Styliger in *Languidipes* is reduced to pedestals, which appear to be the basal segment of forceps.
175 Median plate of styliger is not present, contrary to *Povilla* and other Asthenopodinae, but similar
176 to Campsurinae (Kluge 2004; Molineri, Salles & Peters 2015). Following this interpretation,
177 forceps of *Languidipes* are one-segmented, and the diagnosis proposed by Baumgardner et al.
178 (2012) including the statement “male genitalia without a remnant of styliger plate” should be
179 amended to “male genitalia without a remnant of the median plate of styliger”.

180 Surprisingly, a weak small circular area in the center of the mesonotum (Fig. 1cB) is present in
181 the specimens here studied. This structure, much resembling the ommatium of Caenidae and
182 Neophemeridae (Wang et al. 1997), is unique in the family Polymitarciidae, and most probably
183 is an independent acquisition.

184 Among the species of *Languidipes*, only *L. corporaali* is known from the male adult, and it
185 presents a penis structure strongly different to *L. janae* sp. nov. The basal portion of the penis are
186 wide and laterodistally rounded in *L. corporaali*, but is sub-quadrate and with an acute projection
187 in outer margin in *L. janae*. Penis arms in *L. corporaali* ends more acutely than in the species
188 described here. Finally, penis is divided from the base of the arms to the apex in *L. corporaali*,
189 but *L. janae* presents a much deeper division including most of the basal portion of penis.

190 The previous phylogenetic hypothesis (Molineri, Salles & Peters 2015) is not modified by the
191 inclusion of *Languidipes janae*. As expected, this species is grouped with *L. corporaali* in a well-
192 defined group, sister to *Povilla*.

193

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

194 **Acknowledgments**

195 We thank Luciana Cristobal for the map, and Janice Peters for providing the specimens here
196 described.

197

198 **Bibliography**

199 Baumgardner, D. E., Peters, J. G., Ghani, I. A. & Hubbard, M. D. (2012). The adult stage of
200 *Languidipes corporaali* (Lestage, 1922), new status and the validity of *Povilla* (Navas)
201 (Ephemeroptera: Polymitarcyidae: Asthenopodinae). Aquatic Insects 34, 107–113.
202 <https://doi.org/10.1080/01650424.2012.713487>

203 Bolotov, I. N., Kondakov, A. V., Potapov, G. S., Palatov, D. M., Chan, N., Lunn, Z., Bovykina
204 G. V., Chapurina Y. E., Kolosova Y. S., Spitsyna E. A., Spitsyn V. M., Lyubas A. A.,
205 Gofarov M. Y., Vikhrev I. V., Yapaskurt V. O., Bychkov A. Y. & Pokrovsky, O. S.
206 (2022). Bioerosion of siliceous rocks driven by rock-boring freshwater insects. npj
207 Materials Degradation, 6(1), 3. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41529-022-00216-6>

208 Chopra B. (1927). The Indian Ephemeroptera (mayflies). Part I. - The suborder Ephemeroidea:
209 Families Palingeniidae and Polymitarcyidae – Records of the Indian Museum 29, 91-138,
210 pl. 8-10.

211 Goloboff P.A., Farris J.S., Kallersjo M., Oxelman B., Ramirez M.J., Szumik C.A. (2003).
212 Improvements to resampling measures of group support. Cladistics 19, 324–332.
213 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-0031.2003.tb00376.x>

214 Goloboff P.A., Farris J.S., Nixon K. (2008). TNT, a free program for phylogenetic analysis.
215 Cladistics 24, 774–786. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-0031.2008.00217.x>

216 Goloboff P.A., Mattoni C., Quinteros S. (2006). Continuous characters analyzed as such.
217 Cladistics, 22: 589–601. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-0031.2006.00122.x>

218 Hadley A. (2010). CombineZP software.
219 <http://www.hadleyweb.pwp.blueyonder.co.uk/CZP/Installation.htm>

220 Hubbard, M. D. (1984). A revision of the genus *Povilla* (Ephemeroptera: Polymitarcyidae).
221 Aquatic Insects 6, 17–35. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01650428409361158>

222 Kluge N.J. (2004) The phylogenetic system of Ephemeroptera. Kluwer, 442 pp.

223 Lestage, J. A. (1922). Notes sur les genres *Asthenopus* - *Povilla* (Ephemeroptera) et description
224 d'une espèce javanaise nouvelle (*Asthenopus corporaali*). Annales de la Société
225 Entomologique de Belgique 62: 142-148.

226 McCafferty W.P. (2004). Higher classification of the burrowing mayflies (Ephemeroptera:
227 Scaphodonta). Entomological News 115: 84–92.

228 Molineri C (2010) A cladistic revision of *Tortopus* Needham & Murphy with description of the
229 new genus *Tortopsis* (Ephemeroptera: Polymitarcyidae). Zootaxa 2481: 1–36.

230 Molineri C, Emmerich D (2010) New species and new stage descriptions of *Campsurus* major
231 species group (Polymitarcyidae: Campsurinae), with first report of silk-case construction
232 in mayfly nymphs. Aquatic Insects 32: 265–280. doi: 10.1080/01650424.2010.533131

233 Molineri, C., Salles, F. F., & Peters, J. G. (2015). Phylogeny and biogeography of
234 Asthenopodinae with a revision of *Asthenopus*, reinstatement of *Asthenopodes*, and the
235 description of the new genera *Hubbardipes* and *Priasthenopus* (Ephemeroptera,
236 Polymitarcyidae). ZooKeys, (478), 45. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.478.8057

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

- 237 Pai, S. G., Kalleshwaraswamy, C. M., Varanashi, K., Ranjith, M., & Rajkumar, M. (2023). First
238 record of Mayfly *Povilla (Languidipes) taprobanes* Hubbard from Karnataka. Indian
239 Journal of Entomology, 610-616. <https://doi.org/10.55446/IJE.2021.392>
240 Rathinakumar, T., Kubendran, T., & Balasubramanian, C. (2019). New record of the Genus
241 *Povilla* (Navas, 1912) (Ephemeroptera, Polymitarcyidae) from southern Western Ghats,
242 India. Journal of Entomological Research, 43(1), 89-92. DOI: 10.5958/0974-
243 4576.2019.00018.5
244 Sattler W. (1967). Über die Lebensweise, insbesondere das Bauverhalten, neotropischer
245 Eintagsfliegen-Larven (Ephemeroptera, Polymitarcyidae). Beiträge zur Neotropischen
246 Fauna 5:89–110. doi: 10.1080/01650526709360399
247 Wang, T. Q., McCafferty, W. P., & Bae, Y. J. (1997). Sister relationship of the Neophemeridae
248 and Caenidae (Ephemeroptera: Pannota). Entomological News, 108, 1: 52-56.