

Comments for peerj-93806

NO	SECTION	LINE	COMMENTS
1	ABSTRACT	41	What is the rationale or background of your study when we already knew (based on the previous published-papers), Galilean is the best magnification system compare to another loupe for students or professionals.
		58	<p>The authors wrote: <i>The angular deviation from the neutral position of the neck was found to be significantly higher during cavity preparations performed with the naked eye and the Simple loupe, irrespective of the prepared tooth.</i></p> <p>Why no statement about the angular deviation from the position of the trunk???</p>
		69	<p>In Abstract the authors wrote: <i>Galilean loupe resulted in lower muscle activity in the neck and back regions and that the Galilean and Keplerian loupes resulted in less angular deviations of the neck and trunk during cavity preparation.</i></p> <p>In full manuscript the authors wrote: <i>Galilean system generally promotes less angular deviation from the neutral neck position and less muscle activity in the evaluated regions</i></p> <p>The conclusion in Abstract is quite different with Conclusion in full paper. Which one is correct???</p>
2	RESULTS		In this study, there is no data on the age and gender of participants, which may affect the results of this study.
3	CONCLUSION		There was no conclusion about effect of different magnification system on angular deviation of the trunk since the purpose of this study was to assess the effects of using different magnification systems on the angular deviations from the neutral positions of the neck and trunk