Comments to the Author

I would like to thank the section editor for providing me the opportunity to re-review the manuscript entitled “Influence of parental involvement and parenting styles in children's active life: a systematic review.” Following the previous review, I have offered some specific input below for the author/s to consider, which I hope they will find helpful. I wish the author/s all the best with this manuscript.

Abstract

1. Line 43-44
   “Furthermore, it was utilized the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses 2020. ”- I suggest providing the explanation in the "Quality Assessment" section.
2. Line45-51

   A total of 10 articles were included in this study. Based on the results and Table 2, some articles showed significant findings while others did not. It is recommended that each of these 10 articles should provide a brief summary to support the "conclusion" statement.

Method

Line 180-205

It is recommended to present information in a coherent sentence format rather than using bullet points. This approach enhances the smoothness and coherence of the text. The paragraph primarily contains information about the number of researchers involved in literature search, the handling of discrepancies in the number of included articles when searching independently, the number of articles excluded at each stage of the search process, and the reasons for exclusion.

Line 199-205

The content should be consistent with Figure 1. In summary, during the retrieval process, how many duplicate articles were excluded through database or other index searches (the author/s' first version was 46 articles)? How many articles were excluded during the abstract and title screening step? And how many articles were excluded during the full-text screening step? (What were the reasons for exclusion?)

This provides guidance for the necessary revisions.

Result

Line 237

“However, in two of the nine works…….” Is it nine or ten?

Figure1

1. According to the PRISMA 2020 flow diagram template for systematic reviews, the author/s' first version was to distinguish “Identification of new studies via databases and registers” and “Identification of new studies via other methods”, so the flow chart should be produced from these two parts.
2. “Duplicate records removed” should be explained during the searching step of “Identification of new studies via databases and registers” and “Identification of new studies via other methods”. In addition, the author/s' finally presented 31 articles, but only ten were included in this study.
3. It is recommended to read the 2020 version carefully (The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews/ https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2021.105906/ Figure1)