

# Covariation between microeukaryotes and bacteria associated with Planorbidae snails

Camille Clerissi<sup>1,\*</sup>, Camille Huot<sup>2,\*</sup>, Anaïs Portet<sup>3</sup>, Benjamin Gourbal<sup>2</sup> and Eve Toulza<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Current Affiliation: PSL Université Paris: EPHE-UPVD-CNRS, UAR 3278 CRIOBE, Université de Perpignan, Perpignan Cedex, France

<sup>2</sup> IHPE, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, Univ. Perpignan via Domitia, Perpignan, France

<sup>3</sup> Current Affiliation: MIVEGEC, IRD, CNRS, University of Montpellier, Montpellier, France

\* These authors contributed equally to this work.

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Microbial communities associated with macroorganisms might affect host physiology and homeostasis. Bacteria are well studied in this context, but the diversity of microeukaryotes, as well as covariations with bacterial communities, remains almost unknown.

**Methods:** To study microeukaryotic communities associated with Planorbidae snails, we developed a blocking primer to reduce amplification of host DNA during metabarcoding analyses. Analyses of alpha and beta diversities were computed to describe microeukaryotes and bacteria using metabarcoding of 18S and 16S rRNA genes, respectively.

**Results:** Only three phyla (Amoebozoa, Opisthokonta and Alveolata) were dominant for microeukaryotes. Bacteria were more diverse with five dominant phyla (Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Tenericutes, Planctomycetes and Actinobacteria). The composition of microeukaryotes and bacteria were correlated for the *Biomphalaria glabrata* species, but not for *Planorbarius metidjensis*. Network analysis highlighted clusters of covarying taxa. Among them, several links might reflect top-down control of bacterial populations by microeukaryotes, but also possible competition between microeukaryotes having opposite distributions (Lobosa and Ichthyosporea). The role of these taxa remains unknown, but we believe that the blocking primer developed herein offers new possibilities to study the hidden diversity of microeukaryotes within snail microbiota, and to shed light on their underestimated interactions with bacteria and hosts.

**Subjects** Biodiversity, Microbiology

**Keywords** Holobiont, Microbiota, Metabarcoding, Blocking primer, Heterobranchia

## INTRODUCTION

Interactions between micro- and macroorganisms are ubiquitous on Earth.

The composition of these microbial communities (hereafter named microbiota), although dependent on environmental microbes, are mostly specific and distinct from the environment even in aquatic organisms living in a highly connected and microbe-rich environment (*Dittami et al., 2021*). Microbiota composition might thus be influenced by environmental microbes, host genotype (*Rohwer et al., 2002; Fraune & Bosch, 2007;*

Submitted 3 August 2023  
Accepted 19 November 2023  
Published 19 December 2023

Corresponding author  
Camille Clerissi,  
camille.clerissi@ephe.sorbonne.fr

Academic editor  
Michael LaMontagne

Additional Information and  
Declarations can be found on  
page 17

DOI [10.7717/peerj.16639](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.16639)

© Copyright  
2023 Clerissi et al.

Distributed under  
Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

**OPEN ACCESS**

*Roterman et al., 2015; Brooks et al., 2016*), but also by host metabolic state and diet (*Sommer & Bäckhed, 2013; Wang et al., 2014; Carmody et al., 2015*).

Studies of hosts and their microbiota (associations called holobionts) mostly concerned bacteria, but very few focused on microeukaryotes. Consequently, it is unclear whether microeukaryotic communities also have specific associations with hosts, and whether interactions exist between microeukaryotic and bacterial assemblages. Indeed, members of both assemblages interact within biogeochemical cycles (*Azam et al., 1983; Thingstad et al., 2008*), or might be linked through top-down control or competition (*Raven, Finkel & Irwin, 2005*). Methodological issues mainly explain this lack of knowledge (*Vestheim & Jarman, 2008; Leray et al., 2013*). Indeed, although the 16S rRNA gene is well suited to metabarcoding surveys of bacterial communities, 18S rRNA primers mostly amplify the abundant host DNA rather than microeukaryotic communities.

A set of non-metazoan primer set (UNonMet) was first developed to study parasite diversity within metazoan samples (*Bower et al., 2004*). A recent *in silico* analysis revealed that this primer set performed well to amplify most non-metazoan sequences (with less effectiveness on Excavata and Archaeplastida) and exclude most metazoan sequences (except for Cnidaria, Demospongiae, Hexactinellida, and Homoscleromorpha) (*Clerissi et al., 2020*). However, the expected amplicon size (~600 bp) is not suitable for Illumina MiSeq sequencing (2 × 300 bp maximum, requiring overlap between read pairs). The use of nested PCR (*i.e.*, two-step PCR that consists of amplifying a shorter amplicon after a first PCR using the UNonMet primers) was thus proposed to tackle the amplicon size issue (*del Campo et al., 2019*). An alternative strategy is to use a universal primer set targeting all eukaryotes in combination with a blocking primer that specifically prevents amplification of a single taxonomic group (the host). Blocking primers are modified with a Spacer C3 CPG (3 hydrocarbons) at the 3'-end, thus the elongation is prevented during PCR and the targeted sequences are not amplified. Such an approach has the advantage of being very specific (excluding only sequences similar to the blocking primer), and has proven to be effective in the study of fish and krill gut contents (*Vestheim & Jarman, 2008; Leray et al., 2013*), coral and oyster-associated microeukaryotes (*Clerissi et al., 2018, 2020*), and in the removal of metazoa sequences from seawater community samples (*Tan & Liu, 2018*).

Hence, we developed a blocking primer to study microeukaryotes associated with subtropical aquatic snails (Planorbidae), and to compare microeukaryotic and bacterial assemblages. In particular, several Planorbidae are intermediate hosts of schistosomes, parasitic trematodes infecting animals (including humans), and microbiota might play a role in host-parasite interactions (*Le Clec'h et al., 2022*). Indeed, the presence of microorganisms in the hemolymph of snails may impair or stimulate schistosome parasite development. Planorbidae host very diverse bacterial communities (*Ducklow, Clausen & Mitchell, 1981; Van Horn et al., 2012; Silva et al., 2013; Portet et al., 2021*), and host genetics influence the structure of this microbiota (*Allan et al., 2018; Huot et al., 2020*). Several bacterial pathogens were identified in Planorbidae, namely within *Paenibacillus* (*Duval et al., 2015*) and *Vibrio* genera (*Ducklow, Tarraza & Mitchell, 1980*). The snail microbiota appears involved in polysaccharide digestion and nitrate detox (*Du et al., 2022*).

In contrast, microeukaryotes associated with snails are not well studied. For example,

*Biomphalaria glabrata* snails were found to harbor a eukaryotic symbiont belonging to Filasterea, *Capsaspora owczarzaki* (Hertel, Loker & Bayne, 2002; Hertel et al., 2004; Shalchian-Tabrizi et al., 2008), and it was demonstrated that snail eggs from the *B. glabrata* species had antimicrobial activities against Oomycete infections (Baron et al., 2013). However, the diversity of microeukaryotes associated with snails remained unknown particularly at the community scale.

As a consequence, in this study we (i) describe microeukaryote diversity within planorbid snails, and (ii) analyze covariations between microeukaryotes and bacterial assemblages. Such analyses might help to identify important microbial partners of snails and ecological interplays between microeukaryotes and bacteria within the Planorbidae microbiota.


## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Biological material

Five established laboratory populations of Planorbidae snails were used in this study (Fig. 1): three populations of *B. glabrata*, two from Brazil (BgBAR and BgBRE) and one experimentally selected for reduced compatibility to different *S. mansoni* parasite strains (BgBS90) (Ittiprasert & Knight, 2012; Theron et al., 2014), a population of another Planorbinae genus (*Planorbarius metidjensis*) (Kincaid-Smith et al., 2021), and a population of a non-Planorbinae species (*Bulinus truncatus*) (Martínez-Ortí, BARGUES & Mas-Coma, 2015). All populations were reared in the same conditions and maintained within water tanks of 8L at constant temperature of 26 °C. Snails were fed every 2 days with lettuce and 50% of the water was renewed every week. Parts of the Materials and Methods were previously published as part of Camille Huot's PhD thesis (<https://theses.hal.science/tel-03506228/document>).

### Design of blocking primers for snails

Blocking primers were designed to block the host DNA amplification using 18SV4 primer set (Table 1). Only sequences in the non-redundant (99%) Silva SSU database (release 128) (Quast et al., 2013; Yilmaz et al., 2013) that matched with the 18SV4 primer set (one mismatch was allowed because known sequences of some snails differed from one position with this primer set) were used for subsequent analyses. Metazoa were removed from the microeukaryote dataset, and a host database was also created keeping all sequences of Heterobranchia species (mollusc sub-class that includes Planorbidae). The last 40 nucleotides of Heterobranchia, corresponding to the 3'-region of host amplicon including the reverse primer, were aligned with the metazoan-free database using Muscle v3.8.31 (Edgar, 2004). Blocking primers were designed to overlap with this region. Entropy decomposition (R package {otu2ot}, CalcEntropy seq) (Ramette & Buttigieg, 2014) was used to check the alignment of nucleotides between both Heterobranchia and microeukaryote databases. The diversity of Heterobranchia was particularly high at the 3' region of host amplicons (Fig. S1), thus the *Biomphalaria* genus was targeted to design blocking primer (28 bp) with a 10 bp overlap with the reverse primer, and a T<sub>m</sub> similar to the targeted primer set. The blocking primer was synthesized using a Spacer C3 CPG



**Figure 1** Microbiota samples from three snail species.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.16639/fig-1

(3 hydrocarbons) at the 3'-end, to prevent the PCR elongation as previously described (Vestheim & Jarman, 2008; Leray et al., 2013). For bacterial community analysis, we targeted V3V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene using the 341F and 805R primer set (Table 1) (Klindworth et al., 2013).

### DNA extraction, PCR and sequencing

After collection of snail individuals, shells were cleaned with cotton buds soaked in bleach (to avoid transfers of contaminants on snail body). Molluscs were then removed from the shell by dissection and flash-frozen individually in liquid nitrogen before being stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  until DNA extraction.

DNA extraction was performed according to the manufacturer's protocol ("NucleoSpin tissue" kit, Macherey-Nagel, Allentown, PA, USA). To improve DNA extractions, we performed an additional 30 s mechanical lysis using zirconium beads (BioSpec, Bartlesville, OK, USA) and MagNA Lyser Instrument (Roche, Indianapolis, IN, USA), before the 90 min enzymatic lysis in the presence of proteinase K. DNA concentration and quality were checked with Epoch microplate spectrophotometer (BioTek Instruments, Inc., Winooski, VT, US).

Then, the rRNA genes were amplified and sequenced using the 16S V3V4 region for bacterial communities (Klindworth et al., 2013), and the 18S V4 region for eukaryotic communities (Table 1) (Stoeck et al., 2010). The standard Illumina two-step protocol with Fluidigm-indexed primers was used. Locus-specific PCR reactions were carried out on 1  $\mu\text{L}$  of DNA extracts in a 25  $\mu\text{L}$  volume with final concentrations of 0.4  $\mu\text{M}$  of each PCR primers, 0.02 U of the Qiagen HotStarTaq DNA Polymerase, 0.2 mM of the dNTP mix and 1xTaq buffer. To reduce amplification of snail amplicons for 18SV4, tests to find the best ratio of blocking primers were performed as previously described (Vestheim & Jarman, 2008). We determined that 1.5:1 was the optimal ratio. Blocking primers were

**Table 1** Primers used in this study.

Marker region	Target	Forward (5'->3')	Reverse (5'->3')	Blocking primer (5'->3')	Reference
18SV4	Eukaryota	CCAGCASCYGC GGTAATTCC	ACTTTCGTTCTT GATYRA	TCTTGACTAATGAAA ACATTCTTGACAA	<i>Stoek et al. (2010)</i> and this study for the blocking primer
16SV3V4	Bacteria	CCTACGGGNGG CWGCAG	GACTACHVGGG TATCTAATCC	–	<i>Klindworth et al. (2013)</i>

added to the PCR mix at a final concentration of 1.2  $\mu\text{M}$ . PCR cycling included an initial incubation of 15 min at 96 °C followed by 35 cycles of 96 °C for 30 s, 52 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 1 min, with a final 10 min incubation at 72 °C. After bead clean-up, the second indexing PCR with Illumina Fluidigm primers was performed with 1  $\mu\text{L}$  of a dilution of 1/25 of the first PCR products and following manufacturer's instructions. Library construction and paired-end sequencing (250 bp read length) were performed at the McGill University (Genome Quebec Innovation Centre, Montréal, Canada). Sequencing was performed on the MiSeq system (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) using the v2 chemistry according to the manufacturer's protocol. Raw sequence data are available in the SRA database (accession number [PRJNA554540](#) and [PRJNA579897](#) for the 16S and 18S datasets, respectively).

### Sequence analyses

We used the FROGS pipeline (Find Rapidly OTU with Galaxy Solution) implemented into a galaxy instance ([https://vm-galaxy-prod.toulouse.inrae.fr/Galaxy\\_menu/galaxy-sigenae.html](https://vm-galaxy-prod.toulouse.inrae.fr/Galaxy_menu/galaxy-sigenae.html)) for sequence analysis (*Escudie et al., 2017*). Briefly, paired reads were merged using FLASH (*Magoč & Salzberg, 2011*). After denoising and primer/adaptor removal with cutadapt (*Martin, 2011*), *de novo* clustering was done using SWARM with denoising and aggregation distance  $d = 3$  (*Rognes et al., 2015*). The SWARM algorithm uses iterative single-linkage with a local clustering threshold ( $d$ ). Chimera were removed using VSEARCH (*Rognes et al., 2016*). We filtered the dataset for singletons and we annotated Operational Taxonomic Units (OTU) using Blast+ against the Protist Ribosomal Reference database (PR2) (*Guillou et al., 2013*) for microeukaryote sequences, and the Silva database (release 123) for bacterial sequences.

Subsequent analyses were done using R v3.3.1 (*R Development Core Team, 2008*). Rarefaction curves of species richness for microeukaryotes and bacteria were produced using the {phyloseq} R package (*McMurdie & Holmes, 2013*) and the rarecurve function. To compare samples for alpha and beta diversity, we only kept samples having at least 5,000 reads and we subsampled the dataset to this minimal value for all markers using the rarefy\_even\_depth function. The alpha diversity metrics (Chao1 and Shannon) were estimated at the OTU level with the estimate\_richness function. Moreover, Pielou's measure of species evenness was computed using the diversity function in {vegan} (*Dixon, 2003*). We also used phyloseq to obtain abundances at different taxonomic ranks (from genus to phylum) (tax\_glom function).



## Statistical analyses

Clustering methods were used to describe composition of microbial communities between samples. Hierarchical clusterings (average linkages (hclust {stats})) of microbial communities were computed using Bray-Curtis dissimilarities (vegdist {vegan}). Clusterings of 18SV4 and 16SV3V4 were plotted face-to-face using the tanglegram function {dentextend} (Galili, 2015) and the “sort = TRUE” option. Abundances of microbial families associated with each sample were plotted against the clustering using the heatmap.2 function and the {gplots} package.

We performed Student’s t-test (t.test {stats}) or non-parametric Wilcoxon test (wilcox.test {stats}) (when normality was rejected with the Shapiro-Wilk test, (shapiro.test {stats})) to compare alpha diversity metrics (Chao1, Pielou’s evenness and Shannon) between 18SV4 and 16SV3V4. Moreover, we tested the correlation between 18SV4 and 16SV3V4 for alpha diversity metrics using Spearman’s rho statistic (cor.test {stats}). The correlation between microeukaryote and bacterial assemblages was tested (based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities) using the Mantel test (mantel {vegan}). Lastly, network analysis was computed using the netConstruct and netAnalyze functions from the NetCoMi package (Peschel et al., 2021), and the 25 more abundant OTUs from 18SV4 and 16SV3V4 datasets with the following parameters: association measure, Spearman; normalization method, CLR; threshold for sparsification, 0.3; clustering method, fast greedy modularity optimization. Script and input files are available at <https://osf.io/evu6x/>.


## Phylogenetic analyses

We computed BLASTn (Altschul et al., 1990) searches using microeukaryotic and bacterial OTUs against the non-redundant nucleotide collection of NCBI. For each OTU, we kept the first 100 hits and among them only sequences having host information in their annotation. In addition to the OTUs of this study, one outgroup was added to each alignment (16V3V4 and 18SV4BP). Sequences were aligned using MAFFT (default parameters) (Kato et al., 2002), and trimmed at each extremity. Poorly aligned and highly variable regions of the alignment were automatically removed using Trimal (“automated1” option) (Capella-Gutiérrez, Silla-Martínez & Gabaldón, 2009). Maximum likelihood (ML) trees were computed with IQ-TREE v1.3.8 using the best model (selected with the Bayesian information criterion) (HKY+G4 for microeukaryotes and TN+I+G4 for bacteria) (Nguyen et al., 2014), and validated via an ultrafast bootstrap procedure with 1,000 replicates (Quang et al., 2013).

## RESULTS

### Design of a blocking primer to study microeukaryotes

A preliminary sequencing test was performed to describe microeukaryote communities associated with a *B. glabrata* sample using the 18S rDNA V4 region (Table 1). However, because this primer set was designed to amplify all eukaryotes (Stoeck et al., 2010), *B. glabrata* amplicons were dominant (i.e., 81.4% of 2,445 sequences). To increase the proportion of microeukaryote sequences, a blocking primer targeting the 18S V4 region (hereafter named 18SV4BP) of the *Biomphalaria* genus was designed. To estimate the



**Figure 2** Proportion of sequences for 18SV4BP and 16SV3V4. (A) Sequences of Planorbidae, Embryophyceae, microeukaryotes and other taxonomic groups are indicated for 18SV4BP. (B and C) Dominant phyla of snail-associated microbial communities using rarefied samples of 18SV4BP and 16SV3V4. [Full-size !\[\]\(1663bb69f307a960345edb0e712f8c02\_img.jpg\) DOI: 10.7717/peerj.16639/fig-2](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.16639/fig-2)

specificity of this blocking primer, we identified sequences of the Silva SSU database that matched with both the primer set and the blocking primer (see Methods for more details). About 75% of *Biomphalaria* amplicons were predicted to be removed using the blocking primer (Table S1). Moreover, a very low proportion (<1%) of microeukaryote amplicons might be targeted by this blocking primer (all were holozoans).

Using this blocking primer, we then amplified ribosomal gene fragments from three Planorbidae species (*B. glabrata* (three different populations), *P. metidjensis* and *B. truncatus*) (Fig. 1). On average, each sample had 20,668 ( $\pm 12,577$ ) host sequences, and 7,290 ( $\pm 6,445$ ) microeukaryote sequences (Table S2). Snails corresponded to 53% ( $\pm 23\%$ ) of the whole sequences, microeukaryotes represented 21% ( $\pm 17\%$ ), and Embryophyceae 22% ( $\pm 20\%$ ) (Fig. 2 and Table S2). The presence of Embryophyceae might be due to lettuce feeding of snails.

### Dominant microbiota associated with Planorbidae snails

We then compared microeukaryotes and bacterial assemblages using 18SV4BP (Table S3) and 16SV3V4 (Table S4) datasets. Altogether, 13 samples were used for comparisons of both datasets (Table S2). Indeed, in order to compute rigorous analyses, samples with less than 5,000 microeukaryote sequences were removed from the dataset. Rarefaction curves

suggested that most tended to level-off, but also that rare microeukaryotes were not captured using such a sequencing depth (Fig. S2).

In order to identify dominant taxa, we first studied microeukaryotes and bacteria at the phylum level. Microeukaryotes were mainly represented by Amoebozoa, Opisthokonta and Alveolata (Fig. 2B). Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes were the most abundant bacteria phyla with also high proportions of Tenericutes, Planctomycetes and Actinobacteria (Fig. 2C). Secondly, we described the distribution of dominant taxa at the class level (Fig. 3). This level was selected because the dominant microeukaryote had no precise affiliation below this taxonomic rank. We found that Lobosa-G1 (Amoebozoa) and Ichthyosporea (Opisthokonta) were the main microeukaryotes within snails, and that they had opposite distributions. Indeed, while Ichthyosporea had high abundances in all BgBRE individuals (except BgBRE-2), Lobosa-G1 showed high abundances in the other samples. In contrast, Alphaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria, Flavobacteriia and Gammaproteobacteria were common bacterial class within snail microbiota. Lastly, we studied snail microbiota at the OTU level. In particular, we described the global structure of microbial communities and the identity of dominant OTUs. OTUs for the bacterial 16SV3V4 marker showed a more even structure than 18SV4BP (Fig. S3; values of evenness: 0.63 for 16SV3V4 and 0.23 for 18SV4BP, respectively), highlighting that the number of dominant OTUs in bacterial microbiota were relatively higher than in microeukaryotes. Accordingly, dominant OTUs (B\_4, phylum: Tenericutes, class: Mollicutes) corresponded to 12% of bacterial microbiota (Table S4). In contrast, dominant eukaryotic OTUs (M\_7, phylum: Amoebozoa, class: Lobosa-G1) represented 68% of the eukaryotic sequences (Table S3).

### Comparisons between microeukaryotic and bacterial communities


Then, we compared alpha and beta diversities of microeukaryotes and bacteria. All alpha diversity indices (Chao1, Evenness and Shannon) of bacteria were higher than those of microeukaryotes ( $p < 0.001$ ) (Table 2 and Table S5). However, the correlation between 18SV4BP and 16SV3V4 datasets for alpha diversity indices revealed that microeukaryotic and bacterial communities were not significantly correlated for any indices (Table 2).

Secondly, analyses of beta diversity showed that both communities displayed similar patterns (Fig. 4), and that dissimilarities were significantly correlated ( $r = 0.81$ ,  $p = 0.001$ , Mantel test). At the intraspecific level, the correlation was only significant for *B. glabrata* ( $r = 0.79$ ,  $p = 0.001$ ) and not for *P. metidjensis* ( $r = -0.17$ ,  $p = 0.716$ ).

### Network analysis of microbiota within *B. glabrata*

Because Bray-Curtis dissimilarities between microeukaryotes and bacteria were significantly correlated for *B. glabrata*, a network analysis was computed to describe OTU covariations. (Fig. 5 and Table S6). Three clusters of microeukaryotes and bacteria were identified using the NetComi package. Among them, M\_31 (Alveolata, Oligohymenophorea) was highly linked to B\_70 (Verrucomicrobia, Verrucomicrobiae), M\_7 (Amoebozoa, Lobosa-G1) to B\_20 (Actinobacteria, Actinobacteria), and M\_17





**Figure 3** Distribution of dominant snail-associated microbial communities at the class level. Clustering was computed based on class abundances using Bray-Curtis dissimilarities and Spearman's rho correlation coefficient distances (average linkage method) for samples and taxa, respectively. The corresponding taxonomic group precedes class names (M for microeukaryotes and B for bacteria). Only classes with a relative abundance above 4% in at least one sample are shown.

Full-size DOI: [10.7717/peerj.16639/fig-3](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.16639/fig-3)

(Opisthokonta, Ichthyosporea) to B\_39 (Proteobacteria, Alphaproteobacteria) according to the association measure of the network (Table S6).

### Phylogenetic analyses of abundant and covarying OTUs


Phylogenetic analyses were computed to describe the most abundant (at least 10% of all sequences) microeukaryotes (M\_7\_Lobosa-G1 and M\_10\_Ichthyosporea) and bacteria (B\_4\_Mollicutes and B\_3\_Flavobacteriia), as well as highly linked OTUs identified using the network analysis (M\_7\_Lobosa-G1, M\_17\_Ichthyosporea, M\_31\_Oligohymenophorea, B\_20\_Actinobacteria, B\_39\_Alphaproteobacteria and B\_70\_Verrucomicrobiae). Nucleotide sequences of these OTUs were compared to the nucleotide collection of NCBI using BLASTn (see Methods for more details), and phylogenetic reconstructions were then computed using OTUs of this study and NCBI sequences having host information in their annotation. For microeukaryotes, M\_7\_Lobosa-G1 was related to uncultured eukaryotes and to strains belonging to the Tubulinea class within the Lobosa division (Fig. 6 and Table S7). These sequences were identified in Arthropoda, Echinodermata and fishes. M\_31\_Oligohymenophorea was close to a strain of *Rhabdostyla commensalis*, previously identified in a polychaete.

**Table 2** Comparison of alpha diversity indices between 18SV4BP and 16SV3V4.

Index	Wilcoxon test	Spearman's rho correlation
Chao1	16SV3V4 (91; 0.0001221)	-0.451 (0.122)
Evenness	16SV3V4 (91; 0.0001221)	0.225 (0.459)
Shannon	16SV3V4 (91; 0.0001221)	0.368 (0.217)


**Note:**

For Wilcoxon tests, "18SV4BP" and "16SV3V4" indicate which marker had significantly higher values, and numbers into parentheses are  $V$  and  $p$ -values. For Spearman correlations, numbers are correlation coefficients (Spearman's rho statistic) and numbers into parentheses are  $p$ -values.



**Figure 4** Clustering of microbial communities using 18SV4BP and 16SV3V4. Clustering were computed using Bray-Curtis dissimilarities based on OTU abundances, and the average linkage method. Each color corresponds to a snail population. The grey lines rely the same samples between 18SV4BP and 16SV3V4. Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.16639/fig-4

M\_10\_Ichthyosporea and M\_17\_Ichthyosporea belonged to a cluster formed by uncultured eukaryotes identified in Amphibia, Arthropoda, and fishes. For bacteria, B\_3\_Flavobacteria was close to a strain of *Cloacibacterim haliotis* found in another Mollusca (Fig. 7). B\_4\_Mollicutes was linked to Mycoplasmataceae sequences identified in Arthropoda, birds, Mammalia, plants and Porifera. M\_31\_Oligohymenophorea and B\_39\_Alphaproteobacteria were similar to strains associated with fishes, *Mycobacterium syngnathidarum* and *Tabrizicola piscis*, respectively. Lastly, B\_70\_Verrucomicrobiae was near the sequence of a strain of *Luteolibacter ambystomatis*, previously identified in *Ambystoma andersoni*, an amphibian.




**Figure 5** Network analyses between dominant microeukaryotes and bacteria. The analysis was computed using the first 25 most abundant OTUs of microeukaryotes and bacteria. The corresponding taxonomic group precedes OTU numbers (M for microeukaryotes and B for bacteria). Three clusters of covarying OTUs are colored in orange, yellow and red. Red and blue lines between OTUs indicate positive or negative correlations, respectively. Line thickness highlights association strength. Dashed circles indicate the best association within each cluster. [Full-size !\[\]\(ba1b80118482ccef74a5d718ca4d7242\_img.jpg\) DOI: 10.7717/peerj.16639/fig-5](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.16639/fig-5)


## DISCUSSION

### Efficiency of blocking primers

Various efficiencies were observed for the different samples using the designed blocking primer. On average, host sequences still represented 53% ( $\pm 23\%$ , from 19 to 97%). Such variations were already reported in previous studies (*Vestheim & Jarman, 2008; Leray et al., 2013; Clerissi et al., 2018*). Moreover, this blocking primer targets the V4 region of 18S rRNA gene, which is commonly used for metabarcoding analyses (*Stoeck et al., 2010; Decelle et al., 2014; Massana et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2015; Giner et al., 2016; Piredda et al.,*



**Figure 6** Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree of microeukaryotic sequences. The tree was rooted using *B. glabrata*. Numbers are ultrafast bootstraps (%) reflecting clade support of the main nodes. [Full-size !\[\]\(5f471a71b78d7676bc356df190b88ab4\_img.jpg\) DOI: 10.7717/peerj.16639/fig-6](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.16639/fig-6)



**Figure 7** Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree of bacterial sequences. The tree was rooted using an archaeon. Numbers are ultrafast bootstraps (%) reflecting clade support of the main nodes.

Full-size [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.16639/fig-7](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.16639/fig-7)

2017; *Tragin et al., 2017*), and thus it makes possible the comparison with diverse types of samples already available in public databases.

### Dominant taxa within Planorbidae snails

We identified dominant microeukaryotes and bacteria associated with snails at the level of phylum, class and OTU. Although the amiboid *C. owczarzaki* (Filasterea) was proposed to be a eukaryotic symbiont of *B. glabrata* (*Hertel, Loker & Bayne, 2002; Hertel et al., 2004*), we did not find related sequences in our dataset. The closest OTU was M\_2647 (Opisthokonta, Choanoflagellata) with 84% identity for this amplified region of the 18SV4 (blastn analysis against NCBI). This absence may not be linked to a PCR bias, because the complete 18S rRNA sequence of *C. owczarzaki* ATCC\_30864 (available on



NCBI with the accession number [XR\\_889844.1](#)), contains the forward and reverse regions of 18SV4 and is not targeted by the newly designed blocking primer.

In contrast, we found that Lobosa-G1 (Amoebozoa) and Ichthyosporea (Opisthokonta) were the main taxa of microeukaryotes identified within snails when analyses were computed at the class level ([Fig. 3](#)). Among Lobosa-G1, an OTU represented 68% of all microeukaryotes (M\_7\_Lobosa-G1), and was close to NCBI sequences of the Tubulinea class. Lobosa and Tubulinea include free-living and parasitic microeukaryotes ([Schnittger & Florin-Christensen, 2018](#); [Walochnik, 2018](#)), and are also known to favor the multiplication of several animal pathogens infecting cattle ([Kadlec, 1978](#)), fishes ([Dyková & Lom, 2004](#)), reptiles ([Telford & Bursey, 2003](#)), and humans ([Fields et al., 1989](#); [Kuchta et al., 1993](#); [Fields, 1996](#); [Brieland et al., 1996](#); [Horn et al., 2000](#)).

Moreover, the Ichthyosporea class was also described as containing many pathogens of amphibians, arthropods, birds, fishes, mammals and molluscs, but also mutualistic and commensal strains found in the nutrient-rich digestive tract of healthy hosts ([Beebee & Wong, 1992](#); [Glockling, Marshall & Gleason, 2013](#); [Belda et al., 2017](#); [Xiong et al., 2018](#); [Chan et al., 2021](#)). However, little is known concerning their interactions with hosts and their role in host homeostasis so far. In our study, the Ichthyosporea class was only composed of the *Anurofeca* genus. Although this genus was already identified in *B. glabrata* ([Hertel et al., 2004](#)) and might regulate anuran larval populations ([Beebee & Wong, 1992](#)), their effect on snail population remains unknown. Because the Ichthyosporea class contains several pathogens, future studies should decipher whether Planorbidae might act as reservoirs of pathogenic strains infecting other metazoans.

Lastly, one bacterial OTU (B\_4) from the Mollicutes class dominated snail bacterial microbiota ([Table S4](#)). This class contains pathogens, but also mutualists and commensals ([Bolaños et al., 2019](#); [Benedetti, Curreli & Zella, 2020](#)). Links with Mollicutes were already observed for many invertebrate hosts, such as other snails ([Pawar et al., 2012](#)), but also chitons ([Duperron et al., 2013](#)), oysters ([King et al., 2012](#); [Fernandez-Piquer et al., 2012](#); [de Lorgeril et al., 2018](#); [Pimentel et al., 2021](#)), and arthropods ([Fraune & Zimmer, 2008](#)). In particular, a recent study performed on oysters highlighted a high prevalence of Mollicutes and also a potential genomic adaptation to host environment ([Pimentel et al., 2021](#)). Moreover, a cophylogenetic analyses of Mollicutes and scorpions showed a pattern of cospeciation ([Bolaños et al., 2019](#)). Both observations suggested specific interactions between Mollicutes and their hosts.

To conclude, because all snails were healthy when microbiota were sampled, we hypothesized that the dominant taxa identified in this study might be commensals or mutualistic partners, although one cannot reject the hypothesis that they may be opportunistic pathogens which will become virulent when conditions are favorable.

### Significant correlation between microeukaryotic and bacterial assemblages

Our analyses highlighted that microeukaryotic and bacterial assemblages were significantly correlated based on community dissimilarity values for microbiota of *B. glabrata* ([Fig. 4](#)). The significant link observed for *B. glabrata* might be related to host factors,



environmental conditions, but also to ecological interplays between microeukaryotes and bacteria. Indeed, members of both communities establish relationships for biogeochemical cycles as described in free-living communities (Azam *et al.*, 1983; Thingstad *et al.*, 2008). They could also be linked to top-down control or competition, because ciliates and flagellates are known grazers of bacteria (Raven, Finkel & Irwin, 2005), and competition exists between bacteria and microeukaryotes for nutrients (Thingstad *et al.*, 2008). Grazers such as amoeba might also contain various resistant microorganisms (bacteria and viruses), and even play a role of melting pot for microbial evolution (Boyer *et al.*, 2009; Moliner, Fournier & Raoult, 2010).

### Clusters of covarying taxa within Planorbidae snails

The description of clusters of covarying taxa may help to explain the significant correlation observed between microeukaryotic and bacterial assemblages, and to better understand the ecological interplays within microbiota.

First, opposite distribution was observed between Lobosa-G1 and Ichthyosporea at the class level. No opposite distribution between these two taxa has ever been observed to the best of our knowledge. This type of distribution might reflect competition, but also bottom-up or top-down effects. However, we were not able to identify the most important factors at this step. As a consequence, future studies should analyze additional snail populations in various environments to explain the basis of this dichotomy.

Secondly, a network analysis computed at the OTU level highlighted three clusters of covarying taxa (Fig. 5). Among them, M\_31\_Oligohymenophorea was highly linked to B\_70\_Verrucomicrobiae, M\_7\_Lobosa-G1 to B\_20\_Actinobacteria, and M\_17\_Ichthyosporea to B\_39\_Alphaproteobacteria. Phylogenetic analyses revealed that M\_31\_Oligohymenophorea and B\_70\_Verrucomicrobiae were close to strains of *Rhabdostyla commensalis* and *Luteolibacter ambystomatis*, respectively. *Rhabdostyla commensalis* was isolated from the polychaete *Salvatoria* sp. (Lu *et al.*, 2020). The relative OTU identified in our study might be an epibiotic strain, because several peritrich ciliates colonize snail shells (Sartini *et al.*, 2018). *Luteolibacter ambystomatis* was isolated from a skin lesion of the salamander *Ambystoma andersoni* (Busse *et al.*, 2021), possibly due to a bacterial infection, but the pathogenic nature of this strain was not tested. Although, no interactions were reported between both species or genera before, we hypothesized that top-down interactions might explain this link, because *Rhabdostyla commensalis* is a ciliate, organisms known to graze on bacteria (Raven, Finkel & Irwin, 2005).

The interaction between M\_7\_Lobosa-G1 and B\_20\_Actinobacteria might reflect top-down interactions, but also endosymbiotic relationships. Indeed, while M\_7\_Lobosa-G1 was affiliated to the Lobosa division (Amoebozoa), the sequence of B\_20\_Actinobacteria was close to a pathogenic strain of *Mycobacterium syngnathidarum* (Fogelson *et al.*, 2018). Because *Mycobacterium* can enter and replicate within amoeba (Cirillo *et al.*, 1997), it is likely that M\_7\_Lobosa-G1 favored the presence of B\_20\_Actinobacteria by intracellular infections.

Lastly, the interaction between M\_17\_Ichthyosporea and B\_39\_Alphaproteobacteria was more difficult to interpret. M\_17\_Ichthyosporea was affiliated to the *Anurofeca* genus

(Opisthokonta, Ichthyosporea), and B\_39\_Alphaproteobacteria was a close to a strain of *Tabrizicola piscis* isolated from the intestinal tract of the freshwater fish, *Acheilognathus koreensis* (Han et al., 2020). We did not find studies that previously identified interactions between these two taxa, and because all ichthyosporeans were isolated from metazoans, it was considered that associations with animals were exclusive. However, several genera of Ichthyosporea (*Abeoforma*, *Anurofeca*, *Pseudoperkinsus*) were identified using environmental sequences (del Campo & Ruiz-Trillo, 2013), highlighting the lack of knowledge concerning the ecology of this microeukaryotic class and that exclusive interactions with metazoans were not mandatory.

As a consequence, *in silico* analysis of microbiota might shed light on putative interactions, but such observations must be validated in future studies using additional populations, environmental conditions, and microbiological culture methods.

### Improvements and limitations

This first exploratory analysis of eukaryotic microbiota of Planorbidae snails performed at the community level revealed the diversity of this understudied compartment as well as correlations with bacterial microbiota. However, it also highlighted the necessity of increasing the sequencing depth to study microeukaryotes when using this blocking primer, because snails and Embryophyceae still represented high proportions of the remaining sequences. This observation had notably an impact on the number of replicates kept to compute alpha and beta diversity analyses in this study. In addition, batch and host effects were confused here (Table S2). Although previous studies highlighted host effect for bacterial microbiota composition of Planorbidae snails (Huot et al., 2020), it was difficult to explain whether differential distribution was due to competition, bottom-up or top-down factors.

### CONCLUSIONS

We designed a blocking primer to describe eukaryotic microbiota from several snail populations and to compare microeukaryotes with bacterial assemblages. Both types of assemblages were correlated in this study for community dissimilarities within the *B. glabrata* species. Future studies should test whether this link is due to host or environmental factors, and if ecological interplays exist between microeukaryotes and bacteria within snail microbiota. In particular, more snail populations and environmental conditions will be necessary to describe the observed opposite distribution between Lobosa and Ichthyosporea, but also to better understand the highlighted covariations between OTUs.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank IHPE members, and more especially Jean-Michel Escoubas, for stimulating discussions. We are grateful to the Genotoul bioinformatics platform Toulouse Midi-Pyrenees and Sigenae group for providing help and computing resources thanks to Galaxy instance (<http://sigenae-workbench.toulouse.inra.fr>). We are also grateful to

Sébastien Brunet and Pierre Lepage from the McGill University and Genome Quebec Innovation Center for technical assistance.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

### Funding

Camille Clerissi benefited from post-doctoral fellowships from CNRS and IFREMER. This work was supported by the DHOF program of the UMR5244/IHPE. This study was supported by the “Laboratoire d’Excellence (LABEX)” TULIP (ANR-10-LABX-41). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

### Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors:

CNRS and IFREMER.

DHOF Program: UMR5244/IHPE.

“Laboratoire d’Excellence (LABEX)” TULIP (ANR-10-LABX-41).

### Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Author Contributions

- Camille Clerissi conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Camille Huot performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Anaïs Portet performed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Benjamin Gourbal conceived and designed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Eve Toulza conceived and designed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.

### DNA Deposition

The following information was supplied regarding the deposition of DNA sequences:

The datasets generated during the current study are available in the Sequence Read Archive repository: [PRJNA554540](#) and [PRJNA579897](#) for the 16S and 18S datasets, respectively.

### Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The script and input files are available at OSF: Clerissi, Camille. 2023. “Planorbidae Microbiota.” OSF. November 9. [osf.io/evu6x](https://osf.io/evu6x).

## Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.16639#supplemental-information>.

## REFERENCES

- Allan ERO, Tennessen JA, Sharpton TJ, Blouin MS. 2018. Allelic variation in a single genomic region alters the microbiome of the snail *Biomphalaria glabrata*. *Journal of Heredity* **109**(5):604–609 DOI [10.1093/jhered/esy014](https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esy014).
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **215**(3):403–410 DOI [10.1016/S0022-2836\(05\)80360-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2).
- Azam F, Fenchel T, Field J, Gray J, Meyer-Reil L, Thingstad F. 1983. The ecological role of water-column microbes in the sea. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **10**:257–263 DOI [10.3354/meps010257](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps010257).
- Baron OL, van West P, Industri B, Ponchet M, Dubreuil G, Gourbal B, Reichhart JM, Coustau C. 2013. Parental transfer of the antimicrobial protein LBP/BPI protects *Biomphalaria glabrata* eggs against oomycete infections. *PLOS Pathogens* **9**(12):e1003792 DOI [10.1371/journal.ppat.1003792](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003792).
- Beebee TJC, Wong AL-C. 1992. *Prototheca*-mediated interference competition between anuran larvae operates by resource diversion. *Physiological Zoology* **65**(4):815–831 DOI [10.1086/physzool.65.4.30158541](https://doi.org/10.1086/physzool.65.4.30158541).
- Belda E, Coulibaly B, Fofana A, Beavogui AH, Traore SF, Gohl DM, Vernick KD, Riehle MM. 2017. Preferential suppression of *Anopheles gambiae* host sequences allows detection of the mosquito eukaryotic microbiome. *Scientific Reports* **7**(1):3241 DOI [10.1038/s41598-017-03487-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-03487-1).
- Benedetti F, Curreli S, Zella D. 2020. Mycoplasmas-host interaction: mechanisms of inflammation and association with cellular transformation. *Microorganisms* **8**(9):1351 DOI [10.3390/microorganisms8091351](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8091351).
- Bolaños LM, Rosenblueth M, Manrique de Lara A, Migueles-Lozano A, Gil-Aguillón C, Mateo-Estrada V, González-Serrano F, Santibáñez-López CE, García-Santibáñez T, Martínez-Romero E. 2019. Cophylogenetic analysis suggests cospeciation between the scorpion *Mycoplasma* Clade symbionts and their hosts. *PLOS ONE* **14**(1):e0209588 DOI [10.1371/journal.pone.0209588](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0209588).
- Bower SM, Carnegie RB, Goh B, Jones SR, Lowe GJ, Mak MW. 2004. Preferential PCR amplification of parasitic protistan small subunit rDNA from metazoan tissues. *Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology* **51**(3):325–332 DOI [10.1111/j.1550-7408.2004.tb00574.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1550-7408.2004.tb00574.x).
- Boyer M, Yutin N, Pagnier I, Barrassi L, Fournous G, Espinosa L, Robert C, Azza S, Sun S, Rossmann MG, Suzan-Monti M, La Scola B, Koonin EV, Raoult D. 2009. Giant Marseillevirus highlights the role of amoebae as a melting pot in emergence of chimeric microorganisms. *Proceedings of The National Academy of Sciences of The United States of America* **106**:21848–21853 DOI [10.1073/pnas.0911354106](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0911354106).
- Brieland J, McClain M, Heath L, Chrisp C, Huffnagle G, LeGendre M, Hurley M, Fantone J, Engleberg C. 1996. Coinoculation with *Hartmannella vermiformis* enhances replicative *Legionella pneumophila* lung infection in a murine model of Legionnaires' disease. *Infection and Immunity* **64**(7):2449–2456 DOI [10.1128/iai.64.7.2449-2456.1996](https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.64.7.2449-2456.1996).

- Brooks AW, Kohl KD, Brucker RM, van Opstal EJ, Bordenstein SR, Relman D. 2016. Phylosymbiosis: relationships and functional effects of microbial communities across host evolutionary history. *PLOS Biology* 14(11):1–29 DOI 10.1371/journal.pbio.2000225.
- Busse H-J, Kämpfer P, Szostak MP, Spargser J. 2021. *Luteolibacter ambystomatis* sp. nov., isolated from the skin of an Anderson's salamander (*Ambystoma andersoni*). *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* 71(10):115 DOI 10.1099/ijsem.0.005043.
- Capella-Gutiérrez S, Silla-Martínez JM, Gabaldón T. 2009. trimAl: a tool for automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics* 25(15):1972–1973 DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp348.
- Carmody RN, Gerber GK, Luevano JM, Gatti DM, Somes L, Svenson KL, Turnbaugh PJ. 2015. Diet dominates host genotype in shaping the murine gut microbiota. *Cell Host and Microbe* 17(1):72–84 DOI 10.1016/j.chom.2014.11.010.
- Chan J, Wang L, Li L, Mu K, Bushek D, Xu Y, Guo X, Zhang G, Zhang L. 2021. Transcriptomic response to *Perkinsus marinus* in two *Crassostrea* oysters reveals evolutionary dynamics of host-parasite interactions. *Frontiers in Genetics* 12:795706 DOI 10.3389/fgene.2021.795706.
- Cirillo JD, Falkow S, Tompkins LS, Bermudez LE. 1997. Interaction of *Mycobacterium avium* with environmental amoebae enhances virulence. *Infection and Immunity* 65(9):3759–3767 DOI 10.1128/iai.65.9.3759-3767.1997.
- Clerissi C, Brunet S, Vidal-Dupiol J, Adjeroud M, Lepage P, Guillou L, Escoubas J-M, Toulza E. 2018. Protists within corals: the hidden diversity. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 9:531 DOI 10.3389/fmicb.2018.02043.
- Clerissi C, Guillou G, Escoubas J-M, Toulza E. 2020. Unveiling protist diversity associated with the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* using blocking and excluding primers. *BMC Microbiology* 20(1):193 DOI 10.1186/s12866-020-01860-1.
- de Lorgeril J, Lucasson A, Petton B, Toulza E, Montagnani C, Clerissi C, Vidal-Dupiol J, Chaparro C, Galinier R, Escoubas J-M, Haffner P, Dégremont L, Charrière GM, Lafont M, Delort A, Vergnes A, Chiarello M, Faury N, Rubio T, Leroy MA, Pérignon A, Régler D, Morga B, Alunno-Bruscia M, Boudry P, Le Roux F, Destoumieux-Garçon D, Gueguen Y, Mitta G. 2018. Immune-suppression by OsHV-1 viral infection causes fatal bacteraemia in Pacific oysters. *Nature Communications* 9(1):4215 DOI 10.1038/s41467-018-06659-3.
- Decelle J, Romac S, Sasaki E, Not F, Mahé F. 2014. Intracellular Diversity of the V4 and V9 regions of the 18S rRNA in marine protists (Radiolarians) assessed by high-throughput sequencing. *PLOS ONE* 9(8):e104297 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0104297.
- del Campo J, Ruiz-Trillo I. 2013. Environmental survey meta-analysis reveals hidden diversity among unicellular opisthokonts. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30(4):802–805 DOI 10.1093/molbev/mst006.
- del Campo J, Pons MJ, Herranz M, Wakeman KC, del Valle J, Vermeij MJA, Leander BS, Keeling PJ. 2019. Validation of a universal set of primers to study animal-associated microeukaryotic communities. *Environmental Microbiology* 21:3855–3861 DOI 10.1111/1462-2920.14733.
- Dittami SM, Arboleda E, Auguet J-C, Bigalke A, Briand E, Cárdenas P, Cardini U, Decelle J, Engelen AH, Eveillard D, Gachon CMM, Griffiths SM, Harder T, Kayal E, Kazamia E, Lallier FH, Medina M, Marzinelli EM, Morganti TM, Núñez Pons L, Prado S, Pintado J, Saha M, Selosse M-A, Skillings D, Stock W, Sunagawa S, Toulza E, Vorobev A, Leblanc C, Not F. 2021. A community perspective on the concept of marine holobionts: current status, challenges, and future directions. *PeerJ* 9:e10911 DOI 10.7717/peerj.10911.

- Dixon P. 2003.** VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. *Journal of Vegetation Science* **14**:927–930 DOI [10.1111/j.1654-1103.2003.tb02228.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2003.tb02228.x).
- Du S, Sun X, Zhang J, Lin D, Chen R, Cui Y, Xiang S, Wu Z, Ding T. 2022.** Metagenome-assembled genomes reveal mechanisms of carbohydrate and nitrogen metabolism of schistosomiasis-transmitting vector *Biomphalaria glabrata*. *Microbiology Spectrum* **10**(2):e0184321 DOI [10.1128/spectrum.01843-21](https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.01843-21).
- Ducklow HW, Clausen K, Mitchell R. 1981.** Ecology of bacterial communities in the schistosomiasis vector snail *Biomphalaria glabrata*. *Microbial Ecology* **7**(3):253–274 DOI [10.1007/BF02010308](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02010308).
- Ducklow HW, Tarraza HM Jr, Mitchell R. 1980.** Experimental pathogenicity of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* for the schistosome-bearing snail *Biomphalaria glabrata*. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* **26**(4):503–506 DOI [10.1139/m80-084](https://doi.org/10.1139/m80-084).
- Duperron S, Pottier M-A, Léger N, Gaudron SM, Puillandre N, Le Prieur S, Sigwart JD, Ravaux J, Zbinden M. 2013.** A tale of two chitons: is habitat specialisation linked to distinct associated bacterial communities? *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **83**(3):552–567 DOI [10.1111/1574-6941.12014](https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6941.12014).
- Duval D, Galinier R, Mouahid G, Toulza E, Allienne JF, Portela J, Calvayrac C, Rognon A, Arancibia N, Mitta G, Théron A, Gourbal B. 2015.** A novel bacterial pathogen of *Biomphalaria glabrata*: a potential weapon for Schistosomiasis control? *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* **9**(2):e0003489 DOI [10.1371/journal.pntd.0003489](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0003489).
- Dyková I, Lom J. 2004.** Advances in the knowledge of amphizoic amoebae infecting fish. *Folia Parasitologica* **51**(2–3):81–97 DOI [10.14411/fp.2004.014](https://doi.org/10.14411/fp.2004.014).
- Edgar RC. 2004.** MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acid Research* **32**(5):1792–1797 DOI [10.1093/nar/gkh340](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh340).
- Escudie F, Auer L, Bernard M, Mariadassou M, Cauquil L, Vidal K, Maman S, Hernandez-Raquet G, Combes S, Pascal G. 2017.** FROGS: find, rapidly, OTUs with galaxy solution. *Bioinformatics* **34**(8):1287–1294 DOI [10.1093/bioinformatics/btx791](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx791).
- Fernandez-Piquer J, Bowman JP, Ross T, Tamplin ML. 2012.** Molecular analysis of the bacterial communities in the live Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) and the influence of postharvest temperature on its structure. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **112**(6):1134–1143 DOI [10.1111/j.1365-2672.2012.05287.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2012.05287.x).
- Fields BS. 1996.** The molecular ecology of legionellae. *Trends in Microbiology* **4**:286–290 DOI [10.1016/0966-842x\(96\)10041-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/0966-842x(96)10041-x).
- Fields BS, Sanden GN, Barbaree JM, Morrill WE, Wadowsky RM, White EH, Feeley JC. 1989.** Intracellular multiplication of *Legionella pneumophila* in amoebae isolated from hospital hot water tanks. *Current Microbiology* **18**(2):131–137 DOI [10.1007/BF01570838](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01570838).
- Fogelson SB, Camus AC, Lorenz W, Phillips A, Bartlett P, Sanchez S. 2018.** *Mycobacterium syngnathidarum* sp. nov., a rapidly growing mycobacterium identified in syngnathid fish. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **68**(12):3696–3700 DOI [10.1099/ijsem.0.002978](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.002978).
- Fraune S, Bosch TCG. 2007.** Long-term maintenance of species-specific bacterial microbiota in the basal metazoan *Hydra*. *Proceedings of The National Academy of Sciences of The United States of America* **104**:13146–13151 DOI [10.1073/pnas.0703375104](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0703375104).
- Fraune S, Zimmer M. 2008.** Host-specificity of environmentally transmitted *Mycoplasma*-like isopod symbionts. *Environmental Microbiology* **10**(10):2497–2504 DOI [10.1111/j.1462-2920.2008.01672.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2008.01672.x).



- Galili T.** 2015. Dendextend: an R package for visualizing, adjusting and comparing trees of hierarchical clustering. *Bioinformatics* **31**(22):3718–3720 DOI [10.1093/bioinformatics/btv428](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv428).
- Giner CR, Forn I, Romac S, Logares R, De Vargas C, Massana R.** 2016. Environmental sequencing provides reasonable estimates of the relative abundance of specific picoeukaryotes. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **82**(15):4757–4766 DOI [10.1128/AEM.00560-16](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00560-16).
- Glockling SL, Marshall WL, Gleason FH.** 2013. Phylogenetic interpretations and ecological potentials of the Mesomycetozoa (Ichthyosporea). *Fungal Ecology* **6**(4):237–247 DOI [10.1016/j.funeco.2013.03.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funeco.2013.03.005).
- Guillou L, Bachar D, phane Audic S, Bass D, dric Berney C, Bittner L, Boutte C, tan Burgaud G, de Vargas C, Decelle J, del Campo J, Dolan JR, Dunthorn M, Edvardsen B, Holzmann M, Kooistra WHCF, Lara E, Le Bescot N, Logares R, Mahé F, Massana R, Montresor M, Morard R, Not F, Pawlowski J, Probert I, Sauvadet A-L, Siano R, Stoeck T, Vaultot D, Zimmermann P, Christen R.** 2013. The Protist Ribosomal Reference database (PR2): a catalog of unicellular eukaryote small sub-Unit rRNA sequences with curated taxonomy. *Nucleic Acids Research* **41**(D1):597–604 DOI [10.1093/nar/gks1160](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1160).
- Han JE, Kang W, Lee J-Y, Sung H, Hyun D-W, Kim HS, Kim PS, Tak EJ, Jeong Y-S, Lee J-Y, Lee S-Y, Yun J-H, Jung M-J, Shin N-R, Whon TW, Kang M-S, Lee K-E, Lee B-H, Bae J-W.** 2020. *Tabrizicola piscis* sp. nov., isolated from the intestinal tract of a Korean indigenous freshwater fish, *Acheilognathus koreensis*. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **70**(4):2305–2311 DOI [10.1099/ijsem.0.004034](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.004034).
- Hertel LA, Barbosa CS, Santos RAAL, Loker ES.** 2004. Molecular identification of symbionts from the pulmonate snail *Biomphalaria glabrata* in Brazil. *Journal of Parasitology* **90**(4):759–763 DOI [10.1645/GE-223R](https://doi.org/10.1645/GE-223R).
- Hertel LA, Loker ES, Bayne CJ.** 2002. The symbiont *Capsaspora owczarzaki*, nov. gen. nov. sp., isolated from three strains of the pulmonate snail *Biomphalaria glabrata* is related to members of the Mesomycetozoa. *International Journal for Parasitology* **32**(9):1183–1191 DOI [10.1016/S0020-7519\(02\)00066-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519(02)00066-8).
- Horn M, Wagner M, Mu K-D, Fritsche TR, Schleifer K-H, Michel R.** 2000. *Neochlamydia hartmannellae* gen. (Parachlamydiaceae), an endoparasite of the amoeba *Hartmannella vermiformis*. *Microbiology* **146**(Pt 5):1231–1239 DOI [10.1099/00221287-146-5-1231](https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-146-5-1231).
- Hu SK, Liu Z, Lie AAY, Countway PD, Kim DY, Jones AC, Gast RJ, Cary SC, Sherr EB, Sherr BF, Caron DA.** 2015. Estimating protistan diversity using high-throughput sequencing. *Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology* **62**(5):688–693 DOI [10.1111/jeu.12217](https://doi.org/10.1111/jeu.12217).
- Huot C, Clerissi C, Gourbal B, Galinier R, Duval D, Toulza E.** 2020. Schistosomiasis vector snails and their microbiota display a phyllosymbiosis pattern. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **10**:3092 DOI [10.3389/fmicb.2019.03092](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.03092).
- Ittiprasert W, Knight M.** 2012. Reversing the resistance phenotype of the *Biomphalaria glabrata* snail host *Schistosoma mansoni* infection by temperature modulation. *PLOS Pathogens* **8**(4):e1002677 DOI [10.1371/journal.ppat.1002677](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002677).
- Kadlec V.** 1978. The occurrence of amphizoic amebae in domestic animals. *The Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology* **25**(2):235–237 DOI [10.1111/j.1550-7408.1978.tb04403.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1550-7408.1978.tb04403.x).
- Katoh K, Misawa K, Kuma K, Miyata T.** 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic Acids Research* **30**(14):3059–3066 DOI [10.1093/nar/gkf436](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkf436).
- Kincaid-Smith J, Tracey A, De Carvalho Augusto R, Bulla I, Holroyd N, Rognon A, Rey O, Chaparro C, Oleaga A, Mas-Coma S, Allienne J-F, Grunau C, Berriman M, Boissier J, Toulza E.** 2021. Morphological and genomic characterisation of the *Schistosoma* hybrid

- infecting humans in Europe reveals admixture between *Schistosoma haematobium* and *Schistosoma bovis*. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* **15**(12):e0010062  
DOI [10.1371/journal.pntd.0010062](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0010062).
- King GM, Judd C, Kuske CR, Smith C. 2012.** Analysis of stomach and gut microbiomes of the eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*) from coastal Louisiana, USA. *PLOS ONE* **7**(12):e51475  
DOI [10.1371/journal.pone.0051475](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0051475).
- Klindworth A, Pruesse E, Schweer T, Peplies J, Quast C, Horn M, Glöckner FO. 2013.** Evaluation of general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-generation sequencing-based diversity studies. *Nucleic Acids Research* **41**(1):e1 DOI [10.1093/NAR/GKS808](https://doi.org/10.1093/NAR/GKS808).
- Kuchta JM, Navratil JS, Shepherd ME, Wadowsky RM, Dowling JN, States SJ, Yee RB. 1993.** Impact of chlorine and heat on the survival of *Hartmannella vermiformis* and subsequent growth of *Legionella pneumophila*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **59**(12):4096–4100  
DOI [10.1128/aem.59.12.4096-4100.1993](https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.59.12.4096-4100.1993).
- Le Clec'h W, Nordmeyer S, Anderson TJC, Chevalier FD. 2022.** Snails, microbiomes, and schistosomes: a three-way interaction? *Trends in Parasitology* **38**(5):353–355  
DOI [10.1016/j.pt.2022.01.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2022.01.012).
- Leray M, Agudelo N, Mills SC, Meyer CP, Schierwater B. 2013.** Effectiveness of annealing blocking primers versus restriction enzymes for characterization of generalist diets: unexpected prey revealed in the gut contents of two coral reef fish species. *PLOS ONE* **8**(4):e58076  
DOI [10.1371/journal.pone.0058076](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0058076).
- Lu B, Shen Z, Zhang Q, Hu X, Warren A, Song W. 2020.** Morphology and molecular analyses of four epibiotic peritrichs on crustacean and polychaete hosts, including descriptions of two new species (Ciliophora, Peritrichia). *European Journal of Protistology* **73**(99):125670  
DOI [10.1016/j.ejop.2019.125670](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejop.2019.125670).
- Magoč T, Salzberg SL. 2011.** FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **27**(21):2957–2963  
DOI [10.1093/bioinformatics/btr507](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr507).
- Martin M. 2011.** Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet journal* **17**(1):10 DOI [10.14806/ej.17.1.200](https://doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.1.200).
- Martínez-Ortí A, Bargues MD, Mas-Coma S. 2015.** Dos nuevas localizaciones para España de *Bulinus truncatus* (Audouin, 1827) (Gastropoda, Planorbidae), hospedador intermediario de Schistosomiasis urinaria. *Arxius de Miscel·lània Zoològica* **13**:25–31  
DOI [10.32800/amz.2015.13.0025](https://doi.org/10.32800/amz.2015.13.0025).
- Massana R, Del Campo J, Sieracki ME, Audic S, Logares R. 2014.** Exploring the uncultured microeukaryote majority in the oceans: reevaluation of ribogroups within stramenopiles. *ISME Journal* **8**(4):854–866 DOI [10.1038/ismej.2013.204](https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2013.204).
- McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. 2013.** Phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PLOS ONE* **8**(4):e61217  
DOI [10.1371/journal.pone.0061217](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061217).
- Moliner C, Fournier PE, Raoult D. 2010.** Genome analysis of microorganisms living in amoebae reveals a melting pot of evolution. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* **34**(3):281–294  
DOI [10.1111/j.1574-6976.2009.00209.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2009.00209.x).
- Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2014.** IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **32**(1):268–274 DOI [10.1093/molbev/msu300](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300).

- Pawar KD, Banskar S, Rane SD, Charan SS, Kulkarni GJ, Sawant SS, Ghate HV, Patole MS, Shouche YS. 2012. Bacterial diversity in different regions of gastrointestinal tract of giant african snail (*Achatina fulica*). *MicrobiologyOpen* 1(4):415–426 DOI 10.1002/mbo3.38.
- Peschel S, Müller CL, von Mutius E, Boulesteix A-L, Depner M. 2021. NetCoMi: network construction and comparison for microbiome data in R. *Briefings in Bioinformatics* 22(4):bbaa290 DOI 10.1093/bib/bbaa290.
- Pimentel ZT, Dufault-Thompson K, Russo KT, Scro AK, Smolowitz RM, Gomez-Chiarri M, Zhang Y. 2021. Microbiome analysis reveals diversity and function of Mollicutes associated with the Eastern oyster, *Crassostrea virginica*. *mSphere* 6(3):e00227 DOI 10.1128/mSphere.00227-21.
- Piredda R, Tomasino MP, Manzari C, Pesole G, Montresor M, Kooistra CF, Sarno WH, Zingone DA. 2017. Diversity and temporal patterns of planktonic protist assemblages at a Mediterranean long term ecological research site. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 93(1):200 DOI 10.1093/femsec/fiw200.
- Portet A, Toulza E, Lokmer A, Huot C, Duval D, Galinier R, Gourbal B. 2021. Experimental infection of the *Biomphalaria glabrata* vector snail by *Schistosoma mansoni* parasites drives snail microbiota dysbiosis. *Microorganisms* 9(5):1084 DOI 10.3390/microorganisms9051084.
- Quang B, Anh M, Nguyen T, Von Haeseler A. 2013. Ultrafast approximation for phylogenetic bootstrap. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30(5):1188–1195 DOI 10.1093/molbev/mst024.
- Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, Rg Peplies J, Glö Ckner FO. 2013. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acid Research* 41:D590–D596 DOI 10.1093/nar/gks1219.
- Ramette A, Buttigieg PL. 2014. The R package otu2ot for implementing the entropy decomposition of nucleotide variation in sequence data. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 5:207 DOI 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00601.
- Raven JA, Finkel ZV, Irwin AJ. 2005. Picophytoplankton: bottom-up and top-down controls on ecology and evolution. *Vie et milieu* 55(3/4):209–215.
- R Development Core Team. 2008. *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Rognes T, Flouri T, Nichols B, Quince C, Mahé F. 2016. VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ* 4(17):e2584 DOI 10.7717/peerj.2584.
- Rognes T, Mahé F, Quince C, de Vargas C, Dunthorn M. 2015. Swarm v2: highly-scalable and high-resolution amplicon clustering. *PeerJ* 3(2):e1420 DOI 10.7717/peerj.1420.
- Rohwer F, Seguritan V, Azam F, Knowlton N. 2002. Diversity and distribution of coral-associated bacteria. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 243:1–10 DOI 10.3354/meps243001.
- Roterman YR, Benayahu Y, Reshef L, Gophna U. 2015. The gill microbiota of invasive and indigenous *Spondylus* oysters from the Mediterranean Sea and northern Red Sea. *Environmental Microbiology Reports* 7(6):860–867 DOI 10.1111/1758-2229.12315.
- Sartini B, Marchesini R, D’ávila S, D’Agosto M, Júnio R, Dias RJP. 2018. Diversity and distribution of peritrich ciliates on the snail *Physa Acuta* Draparnaud, 1805 (Gastropoda: Physidae) in a eutrophic lotic system. *Zoological Studies* 57:42 DOI 10.6620/ZS.2018.57-42.
- Schnittger L, Florin-Christensen M. 2018. Introduction into parasitic protozoa. In: Florin-Christensen M, Schnittger L, eds. *Parasitic Protozoa of Farm Animals and Pets*. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 1–10 DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-70132-5\_1.
- Shalchian-Tabrizi K, Minge MA, Espelund M, Orr R, Ruden T, Jakobsen KS, Cavalier-Smith T. 2008. Multigene phylogeny of Choanozoa and the origin of animals. *PLOS ONE* 3(5):e2098 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0002098.

- Silva TM, Melo ES, Lopes ACS, Veras DL, Duarte CR, Alves LC, Brayner FA. 2013. Characterization of the bacterial microbiota of *Biomphalaria glabrata* (Say, 1818) (Mollusca: Gastropoda) from Brazil. *Letters in Applied Microbiology* 57(1):19–25 DOI 10.1111/lam.12068.
- Sommer F, Bäckhed F. 2013. The gut microbiota-masters of host development and physiology. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 11(4):227–238 DOI 10.1038/nrmicro2974.
- Stoeck T, Bass D, Nebel M, Christen R, Jones MDM, Breiner H-W, Richard TA. 2010. Multiple marker parallel tag environmental DNA sequencing reveals a highly complex eukaryotic community in marine anoxic water. *Molecular Ecology* 19(s1):21–31 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2009.04480.x.
- Tan S, Liu H. 2018. Unravel the hidden protistan diversity: application of blocking primers to suppress PCR amplification of metazoan DNA. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 102:389–401 DOI 10.1007/s00253-017-8565-1.
- Telford SR, Bursey CR. 2003. Comparative parasitology of squamate reptiles endemic to scrub and sandhills communities of North-central Florida, U.S.A. *Comparative Parasitology* 70(2):172–181 DOI 10.1654/4060.
- Theron A, Rognon A, Gourbal B, Mitta G. 2014. Multi-parasite host susceptibility and multi-host parasite infectivity: a new approach of the *Biomphalaria glabrata*/*Schistosoma mansoni* compatibility polymorphism. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution* 26(4):80–88 DOI 10.1016/j.meegid.2014.04.025.
- Thingstad TF, Bellerby RGJ, Bratbak G, Børsheim KY, Egge JK, Heldal M, Larsen A, Neill C, Nejtgaard J, Norland S, Sandaa RA, Skjoldal EF, Tanaka T, Thyrraug R, Töpper B. 2008. Counterintuitive carbon-to-nutrient coupling in an Arctic pelagic ecosystem. *Nature* 455(7211):387–390 DOI 10.1038/nature07235.
- Tragin M, Zingone A, Vaultot D, Tragin M, Zingone A, Vaultot D. 2017. Comparison of coastal phytoplankton composition estimated from the V4 and V9 regions of 18S rRNA gene with a focus on photosynthetic groups and especially Chlorophyta. *Environmental Microbiology* 20:506–520 DOI 10.1111/1462-2920.13952.
- Van Horn DJ, Garcia JR, Loker ES, Mitchell KR, Mkoji GM, Adema CM, Takacs-Vesbach CD. 2012. Complex intestinal bacterial communities in three species of planorbid snails. *Journal of Molluscan Studies* 78(1):74–80 DOI 10.1093/mollus/eyr038.
- Vestheim H, Jarman SN. 2008. Blocking primers to enhance PCR amplification of rare sequences in mixed samples – a case study on prey DNA in Antarctic krill stomachs. *Frontiers in Zoology* 5(1):12 DOI 10.1186/1742-9994-5-12.
- Walochnik J. 2018. Amoebae. In: Florin-Christensen M, Schnittger L, eds. *Parasitic Protozoa of Farm Animals and Pets*. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 389–412.
- Wang J, Linnenbrink M, Künzel S, Fernandes R, Nadeau M-J, Rosenstiel P, Baines JF, McDonald E. 2014. Dietary history contributes to enterotype-like clustering and functional metagenomic content in the intestinal microbiome of wild mice. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 111(26):1635 DOI 10.1073/pnas.1402342111.
- Xiong J, Yu W, Dai W, Zhang J, Qiu Q, Ou C. 2018. Quantitative prediction of shrimp disease incidence via the profiles of gut eukaryotic microbiota. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 102(7):3315–3326 DOI 10.1007/s00253-018-8874-z.
- Yilmaz P, Parfrey LW, Yarza P, Gerken J, Pruesse E, Quast C, Schweer T, Rg Peplies J, Ludwig W, Glöckner FO. 2013. The SILVA and “All-species Living Tree Project (LTP)” taxonomic frameworks. *Nucleic Acid Research* 42(D1):D643–D648 DOI 10.1093/nar/gkt1209.